CHAPTER 16: DALL SHEEP MANAGEMENT REPORT

From: 1 July 2010 To: 30 June 2013¹

LOCATION

GAME MANAGEMENT UNITS: Eastern Unit 24A within and east of the Dalton Highway Corridor Management Area (DHCMA), Unit 25A, and Units 26B and 26C (49,600 mi²)

GEOGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION: Eastern Brooks Range

BACKGROUND

Dall sheep are found throughout the mountains of the eastern Brooks Range, which includes that portion of Unit 24A within and east of the Dalton Highway corridor management area (DHCMA), Unit 25A, Unit 26B, and Unit 26C. Heimer (1985) estimated there were 13,000 sheep in the eastern Brooks Range in 1985. In Unit 26C the population subsequently declined by approximately 40% in the Hulahula drainage and similar declines appear to have occurred in many other areas of Alaska. The most likely cause of the decline was severe weather, which reduced recruitment and may have increased predation. Although few surveys have been conducted in most areas, available survey and harvest data and observations by hunters indicate that populations have stabilized at lower levels since the late 1990s.

Consumptive use of Dall sheep in the eastern Brooks Range increased during the 1980s but subsequently declined as a result of the decline in sheep numbers during the 1990s. However, the opportunity to hunt sheep remains important to resident and nonresident hunters and the eastern Brooks Range has remained a popular area for sheep hunting. Currently, the eastern Brooks Range supports over 20% of statewide sheep hunters and accounts for over 30% of statewide sheep harvest.

MANAGEMENT DIRECTION

MANAGEMENT GOALS

- Protect, maintain, and enhance the sheep population and its habitat in concert with other components of the ecosystem.
- > Provide for continued general season harvest and subsistence use of sheep.
- > Provide an opportunity to hunt sheep under aesthetically pleasing conditions.

¹ At the discretion of the reporting biologist, this unit report may contain data collected outside the report period.

> Provide an opportunity to view and photograph sheep.

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE

> Provide maximum opportunity to hunt sheep.

RELATED MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

- Monitor sheep population status through composition and trend count areas.
- Work with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) to modify survey techniques so they are more conducive to the assessment of management objectives.
- Monitor the effects of the full-curl general season harvest and subsistence harvest.
- Work with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G)-Subsistence Division and FWS to manage subsistence sheep harvests.

METHODS

POPULATION STATUS AND TREND

Upper Chandalar Drainages Survey Area and Methods (Aerial)

ADF&G conducted annual composition surveys in the upper North Fork Chandalar River and upper Bettles River drainages in eastern Unit 24A and western Unit 25A since 2002 (S. Arthur, ADF&G, memorandum dated 21 July 2009 regarding Brooks Range sheep survey, Fairbanks). The 799 mi² survey area includes the drainages south of the North Fork Chandalar River, west of Chandalar Lake, and east of Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve (GAAR). Drainages within the survey area include Mathews, Big Spruce, Sheep, Robert, Phoebe, Willow, Geroe, Baby, and Quartz creeks along with portions of the DHCMA. In late June or early July, elevation contours were flown with fixed-wing aircraft in all available sheep habitat within the survey area and observed sheep were classified as lamb, ewe-like, or ram. Rams were further classified by horn size as legal for harvest (full curl or larger, including rams with both horns broken) or sublegal. Ewe-like sheep included adult females plus yearlings and 2-year-olds of both sexes that could not be distinguished from ewes.

Upper Atigun and Sagavanirktok Drainages Survey Area and Methods (Aerial)

An aerial composition survey of the upper Atigun and Sagavanirktok drainages was not conducted during RY10–RY12. Caikoski (2011) provide survey area descriptions and methods for a survey conducted in 2003.

Atigun River Survey Area and Methods (Ground Based)

In Unit 26B during 1986–2012, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR) staff conducted annual ground-based composition surveys east of the Dalton Highway from Atigun Pass to Atigun Gorge. Sheep were located from highway vehicles and classified as lamb, ewe, yearling, 2-year-old, and ram. Rams were further classified by horn size as $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{3}{8}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{5}{8}$, $\frac{3}{4}$, $\frac{7}{8}$, or full curl. Double-broomed rams were not classified as full curl unless they were broomed at full curl or longer. For comparison to other surveys conducted by fixed-wing aircraft, we reclassified these data as lamb, ewe-like, legal ram, and sublegal ram, recognizing that most double-broomed rams would not be included in the legal ram class.

Upper East Fork Chandalar Survey Area and Methods (Aerial)

In Unit 25A, ANWR staff conducted aerial surveys periodically since 1991 in the upper East Fork Chandalar River drainage (unpublished FWS reports entitled *Dall sheep survey in the Arctic Village sheep management area and vicinity*, authored by F. J. Mauer [1996], D. C. Payer [2006], and A. W. Brackney [2007], Fairbanks). Generally, the survey area encompasses the Arctic Village sheep management area (AVSMA), which lies between Crow Nest and Cane creeks, west of the East Fork Chandalar River to the Continental Divide and from Red Sheep Creek to Gilbeau Pass north of AVSMA. However, in most years, only portions of the survey area were surveyed. Surveys were flown with fixed-wing aircraft, along elevation contours for all available sheep habitat, and observed sheep were classified as lamb, ewe-like, or ram. Rams were further classified by horn size as mature (potentially full curl) and other ram. Ewe-like classification included adult females plus yearlings and 2-year-olds of both sexes that could not be distinguished from ewes.

Upper Hulahula Survey Area and Methods (Ground Based)

An aerial composition survey of the upper Hulahula drainage was not conducted during RY10–RY12. Caikoski (2011) provide survey area descriptions and methods for surveys conducted during 1992–2009.

Population Demographics and Spatial Ecology

In 2008, ADF&G initiated a 4-year research project to evaluate demographics and spatial ecology of Dall sheep in western Unit 25A and eastern Unit 24A (Arthur 2013). The study area was the same geographic region where ADF&G conducted composition surveys mentioned above since 2002. Objectives of the research were to estimate birth rates, lamb and ewe survival, and sheep movement. Arthur (2013) provides a more comprehensive explanation of the study objectives and methods used.

HARVEST

Based on harvest reports, we evaluated harvest, hunter use patterns, and characteristics of harvested sheep by regulatory year (RY), which begins 1 July and ends 30 June (e.g., RY11 = 1 July 2011 through 30 June 2012). Federal subsistence permit hunts and state registration hunts were analyzed separately from general season hunts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

POPULATION STATUS AND TREND

Population Size

Population size for the eastern Brooks Range is unknown. However, we compared count data of total sheep observed during composition surveys to estimate trend in the upper Chandalar/upper Bettles (eastern Unit 24A and Unit 25A) and upper Atigun River (Unit 26B) drainages. Both survey areas consisted of the same geographic area and survey techniques remained consistent within survey areas and between years. Aerial counts of total sheep in the upper North Fork Chandalar and upper Bettles River drainages of eastern Unit 24A and Unit 25A were higher in 2012 (1,738 observed sheep) compared to 2002–2009 ($\bar{x} = 1,350$; range = 989–1,589 observed; Table 1). The cause of annual variability in counts is largely unknown, but variation in sheep

survival and recruitment, movement, and measurement error likely affect indices of abundance in this survey area.

In Unit 26B, ground-based counts conducted in the upper Atigun River during 1986–2008 have been highly variable between years making detection of trend difficult (Table 2). Total counts in 2011 (162) and 2012 (170) were below the 1986–2008 mean ($\bar{x} = 276$) but within the range observed since 1986 (range: 120–515). This survey area is likely biased to low elevation habitats that are easily sampled from the road and sheep distribution at the time of the survey may affect survey results. Although this survey was not designed to estimate sheep population size, dramatic changes in abundance can probably be detected.

Population Composition

Upper Chandalar Drainage Survey Area (Aerial). ADF&G conducted composition surveys in the upper North Fork Chandalar River and upper Bettles River drainages in 2012. The 2012 lamb:100 ewe-like ratio (18 lambs:100 ewe-likes) and the proportion of lambs in the population (12%) were below the long-term mean of 27 lambs:100 ewe-likes and 16% lambs in the population during 2002–2009, but within the range observed for both demographics (range of lamb:100 ewe-likes:18–43, percent lambs: 12–25, years 2002–2009, Table 1). Although the total number of rams observed appears stable, both the number and proportion of legal sheep among all rams observed declined in 2009 and 2012 compared to 2002–2007. In 2009 and 2012, legal rams made up 10% and 9% of all rams observed compared to the 2002–2007 mean ($\bar{x} = 15\%$, Table 1).

Atigun River Survey Area (Ground Based). Unit 26B ground-based surveys conducted by ANWR in 2011 and 2012 in the upper Atigun River drainage indicated moderate lamb:ewe-like ratios ($\bar{x} = 42$:100), that were higher than the average ratio observed during 1986–2012 ($\bar{x} = 31$:100, Table 2). Although the proportion of legal sheep and the ratio of lambs:100 ewe-likes have been variable and appear stable since 1986, the number of rams counted in this survey are likely biased low as a result of survey design and sexual segregation of sheep among different habitat types. In this survey, counts and classifications were conducted from the Dalton Highway and were biased to lower elevation habitats visible from the road. In addition, double-broomed rams were not classified as full curl unless they were broomed at full curl or longer.

<u>Atigun River Survey Area (Aerial).</u> Aerial survey of the Atigun River drainage only occurred in 2003. Results of that survey are available in Table 3 and in Caikoski (2011).

<u>Upper East Fork Chandalar Survey Area (Aerial)</u>. ANWR staff conducted an aerial composition survey in the upper East Fork Chandalar River drainage in Unit 25A in 2012 (Table 4). A comparison of demographics across all years is not possible because survey areas differed. However, survey areas were the same in 2006 and 2012, allowing comparisons between those years. In 2012, 27 lambs:100 ewe-likes were observed representing 15% of the population. This was similar to ratios observed in 2006 when 22 lambs:100 ewe-likes representing 14% of the population was observed. The total number of mature rams and the proportion of legal sheep is unknown because rams were classified as either mature or other, and the mature sheep classification likely included sublegal rams.

<u>Upper Hulahula Survey Area and Methods (Ground Based).</u> A ground-based survey of the upper Hulahula drainage in Unit 26C typically conducted by ANWR has not occurred since 2009. Results of past surveys are available in Table 5 and in Caikoski (2011).

Direct comparisons of legal rams among surveys conducted by ADF&G and those conducted by ANWR were not possible because the criteria for classifying rams differed between surveys. Although we made general inferences about age structure among rams and between survey areas, we need consistency in classification before we can make more direct comparisons in this sex and age class.

Distribution and Movements

A study of GPS-radiocollared ewes during 2009–2012 in eastern Unit 24A and western Unit 25A indicated that movement of ewes was restricted to areas separated by drainages with large valley floors (Arthur 2013). These results are consistent with studies of radiocollared sheep during 1988–1992 which indicated that major drainages inhibited sheep movements, resulting in discrete subpopulations north and south of the Junjik River, and east and west of the East Fork Chandalar and Hulahula rivers. Sheep home range size was similar to those observed in the Alaska Range. However, movements of sheep near the East Fork Chandalar River were relatively extensive, perhaps because of less stable weather patterns and resulting changes in forage availability (Heimer et al. 1994).

Survival Rates

A 4-year ADF&G research project investigated survival rates of ewes and lambs during 2009–2012 in eastern Unit 24A and western Unit 25A (Arthur 2013). Lamb survival to age one was 68% (n = 19), 48% (n = 25), and 28% (n = 18) for the 2009, 2010, and 2011 cohorts, respectively. Predation and drowning were the most common sources of mortality. Annual ewe survival was 85% (n = 20), 88% (n = 26), and 77% (n = 22) in 2009, 2010, and 2011, respectively. All sources of mortality of ewes was the result of predation, primarily by wolves.

MORTALITY

Harvest

<u>Season and Bag Limit (RY10–RY12)</u>. Only state regulations are listed below, although federal subsistence regulations applied on federal lands within the area. Rams with both horns broken and less-than-full-curl rams that were at least 8-years old were legal for harvest under the full curl definition in state regulations.

Units and Bag Limits	Resident Open Season	Nonresident Open Season
Unit 24A. RESIDENT AND NONRESIDENT HUNTERS: 1 ram with full-curl horn or larger.	10 Aug–20 Sep	10 Aug–20 Sep

	Resident	Nonresident
Units and Bag Limits	Open Season	Open Season
Units 25A and 26C. RESIDENT HUNTERS: 1 ram with full-curl horn or larger 10 Aug– 20 Sep or 3 sheep may be taken by registration permit 1 Oct– 30 Apr	10 Aug–20 Sep 1 Oct–30 Apr	
NONRESIDENT HUNTERS: 1 ram with full-curl horn or larger.		10 Aug–20 Sep
Unit 26B, private lands within Gates of the Arctic National Park. RESIDENT HUNTERS: 3 sheep. NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:	1 Aug–30Apr	No Open Season
Unit 26B, remainder. RESIDENT AND NONRESIDENT HUNTERS: 1 ram with full-curl horn or larger.	10 Aug–20 Sep	10 Aug–20 Sep

<u>Alaska Board of Game Actions and Emergency Orders</u>. No Board of Game action was taken and no emergency orders were issued during RY10–RY12.

<u>Harvest by Hunters</u>. The total reported annual harvest during RY10–RY12 increased by 4% ($\bar{x} = 230$) compared to the previous 3 years (RY07–RY09; $\bar{x} = 222$) and has increased by 31% compared to the previous 8 years (RY02–RY09; $\bar{x} = 175$, Table 6). Additional harvest and hunting pressure occurred in all units but was most substantial in Units 25A, 26B, and 26C. Although total harvest and the number of hunters increased slightly across the eastern Brooks Range during RY10–RY12, average success rates remained the same ($\bar{x} = 44\%$, Table 7) and horn length remained stable ($\bar{x} = 35.5$ inches, Table 8) compared to the previous 8 years. The proportion of harvested rams with horns ≥40 inches in length increased slightly ($\bar{x} = 4.7\%$) compared to the previous 8-year mean ($\bar{x} = 4.1\%$, Table 8).

<u>Permit Hunts</u>. Participation in the state registration hunt RS595 has been open to all Alaska residents since RY90. However, with the exception of the first year the hunt was open, few permits have been issued each year (0–8) because the hunt is logistically difficult and hunters have limited interest in harvesting sheep during winter. During RY10–RY12, 5 sheep were harvested under RS595 (Table 9). In addition to RS595, 4 federal subsistence permit hunts (FS424, FS596/598, FS799, and FS699) occur in eastern Unit 24A, Unit 25A, Unit 25A-Unit 26C, and Unit 26B, respectively. Permits for these hunts were available to federally qualified subsistence hunters who hunted on federal land. In RY10, no sheep were reported harvested under any of the federal permit hunts and in RY11–RY12, reported federal harvest was not available.

<u>Hunter Residency and Success</u>. In RY10–RY11, Alaska resident hunters composed 77% of the total hunters, annually, in the eastern Brooks Range, an increase from 68% during the previous 8 years (Table 7). Overall success rates averaged 44% across RY10–RY11 and were similar compared to prior years. Nonresident hunters continued to have a higher success rate ($\bar{x} = 67\%$) compared to residents ($\bar{x} = 37\%$), reflecting the advantage of having a guide (Golden 1990). Success rates varied across the Brooks Range and were generally higher in areas that are more difficult to access or are accessible by aircraft only, compared to areas near the Dalton Highway. In addition, low success rates associated with archery-only hunts limit harvest within DHCMA.

<u>Harvest Chronology</u>. Most sheep harvest in the eastern Brooks Range occurs during August when the weather is most conducive to hunting (Table 10). In RY10–RY12, 79–89% of the reported harvest occurred before 1 September, consistent with previous years.

<u>Transport Methods</u>. Aircraft were the primary means of transportation for most hunters and were used in 77–81% of successful hunts (Table 11). Transport methods associated with most of the remaining harvest (in descending order) included highway vehicle, horse, and boat.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Sheep populations across the eastern Brooks Range appear to be stable, but remain below levels observed in the mid-1980s. Although population size and recruitment appear to be stable, survival rates, distribution, and habitat quality are poorly understood in most parts of the range.

We met our management objective to provide maximum opportunity to hunt sheep using the full-curl harvest strategy. The number of hunters increased by 35% and the harvest of full-curl rams increased by 37% during RY07–RY09 compared to the RY04–RY06 means and by 50% and 53%, respectively since RY99. However, the increasing trend in hunter numbers and harvest appeared to have stabilized during RY10–RY12. All biological indices suggest that the current level of harvest is sustainable and the current harvest remains below levels observed in the late 1980s and early 1990s when the sheep population was thought to be high and harvest ranged 250–350 rams annually.

ANWR contributed survey data in portions of the eastern Brooks Range that has helped assess sheep population status. However, survey techniques and classification schemes related to those surveys make comparisons difficult between years and survey areas. More specifically, consistency in classification is needed before inferences can be made about age structure among rams and the effects harvest may have on this segment of the population.

The management objective for the next report period will be changed to the following objectives, which are more easily quantified:

- Maximize hunter opportunity using a full-curl harvest strategy.
- > Maintain an average harvest of rams ≥ 8 years old.

REFERENCES CITED

Arthur, S. M. 2013. Demographics and spatial ecology of Dall sheep in the central Brooks Range. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Final Research Performance Report 1 July 2007–30 June 2013, Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Project 6.15, Juneau.

- Caikoski, J. R. 2011. Units 24A East, 25A, 26B, and 26C Dall sheep. Pages 162–180 [*In*]
 P. Harper, editor. Dall sheep management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2007–30 June 2010. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Project 6.0, Juneau.
- Golden, H. N. 1990. Units 24, 25A, 26B, and 26C Dall sheep. Pages 122–133 [*In*] S.O. Morgan, editor. Dall sheep annual management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 1988–30 June 1989. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation, Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Study 6.0, Juneau.
- Heimer, W. E. 1985. Population status and management of Dall sheep in Alaska, 1984. Northern Wild Sheep and Goat Council, Special Report:1–15.
- Heimer, W. E., F. J. Mauer, and S. Watson Keller. 1994. The effects of physical geography on Dall sheep habitat quality and home range size. Biennial symposium of Northern Wild Sheep and Goat Council 9:144–148.

PREPARED BY:

APPROVED BY:

Jason R. Caikoski Wildlife Biologist II Doreen I. Parker McNeill Management Coordinator

REVIEWED BY:

Torsten W. Bentzen Wildlife Biologist III

Laura A. McCarthy Publications Technician II

Marion E. Glaser Wildlife Technician III

Please cite any information taken from this section, and reference as:

Caikoski, J. R. 2014. Eastern Unit 24A and Units 25A, 26B, and 26C Dall sheep. Chapter 16 pages 16-1 through 16-18 [*In*] P. Harper and L. A. McCarthy, editors. Dall sheep management report of survey and inventory activities 1 July 2010–30 June 2013. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Species Management Report ADF&G/DWC/SMR-2014-4, Juneau.

The State of Alaska is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer. Contact the Division of Wildlife Conservation at (907) 465-4190 for alternative formats of this publication.

	Legal rams	Sublegal				Lambs:	Unknown	
Year	$(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$	rams	"Ewe-like" ^b	Lambs	% Lambs	100 Ewe-like ^b	rams ^a	Total
2002	50 (12)	380	884	221	14	25	4	1,539
2003	34 (14)	207	621	114	12	18	13	989
2004	43 (12)	320	908	180	12	20	9	1,460
2005	42 (17)	203	636	214	19	34	4	1,099
2006	46 (13)	313	857	224	15	26	77	1,517
2007	47 (24)	152	779	332	25	43	0	1,310
2009	31 (10)	298	911	295	19	32	0	1,535
2012	30 (9)	343	1,153	212	12	18	0	1,738

Table 1. Aerial Dall sheep composition surveys in the upper Chandalar River drainage (eastern Unit 24A and Unit 25A, 779 mi²), late June or early July 2002–2012.

^a Percent legal rams is calculated as a proportion of total rams. Unknown rams were censored in calculations of percent legal rams. ^b Includes adult females plus yearlings and 2-year-olds of both sexes.

	Legal rams	Sublegal				Lambs:	Unknown	
Year ^a	$(\%)^{b,c}$	rams ^c	"Ewe-like" ^d	Lambs	% Lambs ^b	100 Ewe-like ^d	sheep ^b	Total
1986	1 (2)	46	165	42	17	25	0	254
1987	0 (0)	59	130	47	20	36	0	236
1988	3 (5)	56	221	80	22	36	16	376
1989	0 (0)	87	237	40	11	17	0	364
1990	0 (0)	62	152	69	24	45	0	283
1991	2 (3)	73	296	122	25	41	22	515
1992	0 (0)	56	287	39	10	14	0	382
1993	1 (1)	69	183	24	9	13	0	277
1994	5 (7)	65	207	89	24	43	0	366
1995	0 (0)	55	224	28	9	13	0	307
1996 ^e	0 (0)	19	114	49	27	43	2	184
1997	0 (0)	40	91	16	11	18	0	147
1998	0 (0)	25	141	70	30	50	2	238
1999	0 (0)	32	140	40	19	29	8	220
2001	0 (0)	31	133	44	21	33	0	208
2003	1 (2)	54	256	68	18	27	10	389
2004	3 (5)	53	193	34	12	18	3	286
2005	2 (2)	81	189	80	23	42	3	355
2006	4 (9)	42	172	55	20	32	17	290
2007	1 (5)	21	69	20	18	29	9	120
2008	1 (3)	39	127	46	22	36	13	226
2011	0 (0)	29	90	38	23	42	3	160
2012	1 (3)	37	92	39	23	42	1	170

Table 2. Ground-based Dall sheep composition surveys in Atigun River drainage (Unit 26B), June 1986–2012.

Data source: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

^a Counts prior to 1990 occurred in Atigun Gorge only; during and after 1990, counts along the Dalton Highway (Atigun Gorge to Atigun Pass) were included. ^b Unknown sheep were censored in calculations of percent lambs. Percent legal rams is calculated as a proportion of total rams.

^c Legal sheep do not include double-broomed horns unless broomed at full curl or longer; sublegal sheep includes double-broomed sheep less than full curl.

^d Includes adult females plus yearlings and 2-year-olds of both sexes.

^e Incomplete count in Atigun Gorge (snow).

	Legal rams	Sublegal					
Year	$(\%)^{a}$	rams	"Ewe-like" ^b	Lambs	% Lambs	Lamb:100 "ewes"	Total
2003	42 (21)	163	589	147	16	25	941

Table 3. Aerial Dall sheep composition surveys in the upper Atigun River drainage (543 mi²), June 2003.

^a Percent legal rams is calculated as a proportion of total rams. ^b Includes adult females plus yearlings and 2-year-olds of both sexes.

Table 4. Aerial Dall sheep composition surveys in the East Fork Chandalar River, Arctic Village sheep management area, June 1992-2012.

Mature rams	Young				Lambs:	
$(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$	rams	"Ewe-like" ^b	Lambs	% Lambs	100 Ewe-like ^b	Total
4 (15)	23	155	34	16	22	216
20 (22)	72	219	45	13	21	356
16 (21)	60	121	0	0	0	197
15 (29)	37	89	17	11	19	158
32 (33)	64	262	58	14	22	416
6 (43)	8	62	16	17	26	92
59 (44)	74	230	63	15	27	426
	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Data source: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

^a Mature rams may include sublegal sheep and are not directly comparable to other surveys that include a legal ram classification. Percent mature rams is calculated as a proportion of total rams.

^b Includes adult females plus yearling and 2-year-olds of both sexes.

	U		1	1	5		U ,	
	Legal rams	Sublegal	"Ewe-		%	Lambs:	Unknown	
Year	$(\%)^{a,b}$	rams ^b	like" ^c	Lambs	Lambs ^a	100 Ewe-like ^c	sheep ^a	Total
1992 ^d	1 (2)	58	318	10	3	3	0	387
1993 ^e	12 (3)	369	709	171	14	24	0	1,261
1994 ^e	6 (4)	164	595	99	11	17	0	864
1995 ^e	25 (8)	295	631	179	16	28	0	1,130
1998 ^d	10 (8)	117	190	61	16	32	0	378
2000^{d}	7 (6)	106	219	20	6	9	0	352
2003 ^d	9 (12)	67	191	50	16	26	0	317
2004 ^d	9 (22)	32	201	71	23	35	0	313
2005 ^d	6 (6)	88	298	106	21	36	15	513
2006 ^d	4 (7)	50	320	80	18	25	13	467
2007 ^d	1 (1)	118	273	42	10	15	75	509
2008 ^d	2 (3)	77	318	89	18	28	26	512
2009 ^d	10 (9)	112	472	48	7	10	50	692

Table 5. Aerial and ground-based Dall sheep composition surveys in the Hulahula drainage, June 1992–2009.

Data source: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

^a Unknown sheep were censored in calculations of percent legal rams and percent lambs.

^b Legal sheep do not include double-broomed horns unless broomed at full curl or longer; sublegal sheep includes double-broomed sheep less than full curl.

Percent legal rams is calculated as a proportion of total rams.

^c Includes adult females plus yearlings and 2-year-olds of both sexes.

^d Ground survey: upper Hulahula only.

^e Helicopter surveys over most of the drainage.

	Unit								Total
Regulatory	24A	East	25	δA	26	26B		26C	
year	Hunters	Harvest	Hunters	Harvest	Hunters	Harvest	Hunters	Harvest	
2002	30	11	91	40	102	29	82	28	108
2003	43	17	102	53	115	33	81	39	142
2004	42	17	83	45	130	41	71	49	152
2005	43	18	108	66	165	47	73	40	171
2006	60	17	111	74	151	30	85	41	162
2007	48	14	121	77	168	55	120	70	216
2008	60	27	145	74	205	57	112	67	225
2009	53	17	142	84	147	47	117	76	224
2010	51	23	158	77	186	63	117	66	229
2011	58	16	155	89	183	55	151	87	247
2012	48	17	132	62	192	64	145	72	215

Table 6. Reported numbers of hunters^a and harvest^a in eastern Unit 24A and Units 25A, 26B, and 26C, regulatory years^b 2002–2012.

^a Excludes state registration and federal subsistence permit hunts.
^b Regulatory year begins 1 July and ends 30 June (e.g., regulatory year 2002 = 1 July 2002–30 June 2003).

S	uccessful hunte	rs		Unsuccessful hunters					
Nonlocal				Local ^c	Nonlocal				Total
resident	Nonresident	Unk	Total (%)	resident	resident	Nonresident	Unk	Total (%)	hunters
36	54	4	94 (35)	2	116	52	3	173 (65)	267
52	72	0	125 (42)	1	124	43	2	170 (58)	295
55	76	2	133 (48)	1	117	23	3	144 (52)	277
77	86	1	169 (44)	4	187	27	1	219 (56)	388
78	69	4	156 (39)	2	197	41	6	246 (61)	402
116	97	1	216 (47)	2	206	32	1	241 (53)	457
123	87	15	225 (43)	3	241	44	7	295 (57)	520
122	94	8	224 (49)	3	185	44	3	235 (51)	459
148	79	1	229 (45)	2	240	42	0	284 (55)	513
153	90	1	245 (45)	1	261	37	3	302 (55)	547
139	74	0	213 (43)	0	237	39	3	279 (57)	492
-	Nonlocal resident 36 52 55 77 78 116 123 122 148 153 139	Nonlocal resident Nonresident 36 54 52 72 55 76 77 86 78 69 116 97 123 87 122 94 148 79 153 90 139 74	Nonlocal Unk 36 54 4 52 72 0 55 76 2 77 86 1 78 69 4 116 97 1 123 87 15 122 94 8 148 79 1 139 74 0	Nonlocal Total (%) 36 54 4 94 (35) 52 72 0 125 (42) 55 76 2 133 (48) 77 86 1 169 (44) 78 69 4 156 (39) 116 97 1 216 (47) 123 87 15 225 (43) 122 94 8 224 (49) 148 79 1 229 (45) 153 90 1 245 (45) 139 74 0 213 (43)	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Table 7. Eastern Unit 24A and Units 25A, 26B, and 26C sheep hunter^a residency and success, regulatory years^b 2002–2012.

^a Excludes state registration and federal subsistence permit hunts.
^b Regulatory year begins 1 July and ends 30 June (e.g., regulatory year 2002 = 1 July 2002–30 June 2003).
^c Local resident is a resident of Units 25A, 26B, 26C, Coldfoot, or Wiseman.

Regulatory		\overline{x} Horn length	
year	n^{b}	(inches)	$\% \geq 40$ inches
2002	94	35.5	3
2003	125	35.2	4
2004	136	35.5	6
2005	168	35.0	4
2006	147	35.4	6
2007	218	35.5	2
2008	225	35.3	2
2009	224	35.5	6
2010	223	35.5	4
2011	239	35.7	6
2012	206	35.4	4

Table 8. Dall sheep mean horn length of harvested rams in eastern Unit 24A and Units 25A, 26B, and 26C, regulatory years^a 2002–2012. _

^a Regulatory year begins 1 July and ends 30 June (e.g., regulatory year 2002 = 1 July 2002-30 June 2003). ^b Excludes state registration and federal subsistence permit hunts.

		RS595		FS799		Total
Regulatory	FS424	(Unit 25A and	FS596/598	(Unit 25A and	FS699	reported
year	(Unit 24A)	Unit 26C)	(Unit 25A)	Unit 26C)	(Unit 26B)	harvest
2002	2	0	0	3	0	5
2003	2	0	0	0	0	2
2004	3	0	0	1	0	4
2005	2	0	0	2	0	4
2006	5	0	1	0	1	7
2007	1	0	3	0	0	4
2008	0	1	0	0	1	2
2009	0	3	0	0	0	3
2010	0	0	0	0	0	0
2011	n/a	3	n/a	n/a	n/a	3
2012	n/a	2	n/a	n/a	n/a	2

Table 9. Eastern Unit 24A and Units 25A, 26B, and 26C reported Dall sheep harvest by permit hunt (FS424^a, RS595^b, FS596^c, FS799^d, and FS699^e), regulatory years^f 2002–2012.

^a Federal subsistence hunt FS424 includes Unit 24A, except that portion within Gates of the Arctic National Park.

^b State registration hunt RS595 includes Unit 25A, east of the Middle Fork Chandalar River and Unit 26C.

^c Federal subsistence hunt FS596/598 includes Unit 25A within the Arctic Village sheep management area.

^d Federal subsistence hunt FS799 includes most of Unit 25A and all of Unit 26C.

^e Federal subsistence hunt FS699 includes Unit 26B within the Dalton Highway corridor management area.

^f Regulatory year begins 1 July and ends 30 June (e.g., regulatory year 2002 = 1 July 2002–30 June 2003).

Regulatory		Ha	rvest chronol	ogy percent	by month/	day		
year	8/5-8/11	8/12-8/18	8/19-8/25	8/26-9/1	9/2-9/8	9/9–9/15	9/16-9/22	n
2002	5	31	30	19	7	3	4	93
2003	17	39	24	14	3	2	1	122
2004	21	39	20	12	5	2	0	134
2005	20	37	23	12	5	1	1	169
2006	25	33	14	14	6	4	3	156
2007	22	29	26	12	6	3	3	213
2008	17	30	25	13	8	5	1	223
2009	16	38	24	12	5	3	2	215
2010	19	37	22	11	5	4	1	226
2011	26	29	24	10	6	2	1	241
2012	26	31	19	11	7	4	1	204

Table 10. Eastern Unit 24A and Units 25A, 26B, and 26C Dall sheep harvest^a chronology percent by month/day, regulatory years^b 2002–2012.

^a Excludes state registration and federal subsistence permit hunts and sheep reported taken outside of the general season dates. ^b Regulatory year begins 1 July and ends 30 June (e.g., regulatory year 2002 = 1 July 2002–30 June 2003).

	Harvest percent by transport method								
Regulatory				3- or 4-			Highway		-
year	Airplane	Horse	Boat	wheeler	Snowmachine	ORV	vehicle	Unk	n
2002	84	4	3	0	0	0	9	0	94
2003	80	8	3	0	0	0	7	2	125
2004	83	8	0	0	0	0	8	1	136
2005	75	8	1	0	0	0	15	1	169
2006	68	10	9	1	0	0	12	1	156
2007	78	10	2	0	0	0	9	1	216
2008	73	9	3	0	0	0	14	1	225
2009	74	10	2	<1	0	1	11	1	224
2010	77	7	<1	<1	0	0	11	3	229
2011	81	6	3	<1	0	0	8	1	247
2012	77	6	1	0	0	0	15	1	213

Table 11. Eastern Unit 24A and Units 25A, 26B, and 26C Dall sheep harvest^a percent by transport method, regulatory years^b 2002– 2012. _

^a Excludes state registration and federal subsistence permit hunts. ^b Regulatory year begins 1 July and ends 30 June (e.g., regulatory year 2002 = 1 July 2002–30 June 2003).