

On-Time Advisory Committee Comment Index

Anchorage Advisory Committee (3.5.19 minutes)	AC01
Central Bering Sea Advisory Committee (10.24.18 minutes)	AC02
Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee (12.11.18 minutes).....	AC03
Central Peninsula Advisory Committee (2.5.19 minutes).....	AC04
Copper River/Prince William Sound Advisory Committee (10.22.18 minutes).....	AC05
Craig Advisory Committee (2.19.19 minutes).....	AC06
Denali Advisory Committee (11.12.2018 minutes)	AC07
East Prince of Wales Advisory Committee (1.18.2019 minutes).....	AC08
Fairbanks Advisory Committee (2.13.19 minutes)	AC09
Homer Advisory Committee (2.12.19 minutes).....	AC10
Juneau Douglas Advisory Committee (12.6.19 & 2.5.2019 minutes).....	AC11
Kenai Soldotna Advisory Committee (2.19.19 minutes).....	AC12
Ketchikan Advisory Committee (2.27.19 minutes).....	AC13
Koyukuk River Advisory Committee (12.11.18 minutes).....	AC14
Lake Iliamna Advisory Committee (10.23.18 & 2.13.19 minutes).....	AC15
Lower Bristol Bay Advisory Committee (2.6.19 minutes).....	AC16
Matanuska Valley Advisory Committee (2.27.19 minutes)	AC17
McGrath Advisory Committee (9.27.18 minutes)	AC18
Mt Yenlo Advisory Committee (3.5.19 minutes)	AC19
Nushagak Advisory Committee (1.31.19 minutes).....	AC20
Paxson Advisory Committee (10.23.19 minutes)	AC21
Petersburg Advisory Committee (2.12.19 minutes).....	AC22
Seldovia Advisory Committee (10.19.18 minutes)	AC23

On-Time Advisory Committee Comment Index

Seward Advisory Committee (11.8.18 minutes).....	AC24
Stony Holitna Advisory Committee (12.7.18 minutes).....	AC25
Tok Cutoff/Nabesna Road Advisory Committee (2.27.19 minutes).....	AC26
Upper Lynn Canal Advisory Committee (2.4.19 minutes)	AC27
Whittier Advisory Committee (1.5.19 minutes)	AC28
Wrangell Advisory Committee (1.7.19 minutes)	AC29
Yukon Flats Advisory Committee (12.4.18 minutes)	AC30

Anchorage Advisory Committee
March 5th, 2019
Cabela's Anchorage Alaska

- I. Call to Order: 6:36pm by Kevin Taylor (Chair)
- II. Prayer led by: Tyler Loken
- III. Roll Call:
 - Members Present: Neil DeWitt, Bryce Eckroth, Martin Weiser, Matt Moore, Kevin Taylor, Willow Hetrick, Ernie Weiss Tyler Loken, & Phillip Calhoun.
 - Members Absent (Excused): J.R. Gates, Shawna Buchanan, Rick Rodgers & Dino Sutherland.
 - Members Absent (Unexcused): Grant Koplin
 - Number Needed for Quorum on AC: Complete: 9 members present.
 - List of User Groups Present: None
- IV. Approval of Agenda: Motioned by Martin Weiser, seconded by Matt Moore. All approved.
- V. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: Previously approved via email.
- VI. Fish and Game Staff Present: Sherry Wright, Jeff Milton
- VII. Guests Present: Zach and Jim Stubbs, Max Mitchell, Nick Maccaby, Scott Brother.
- VIII. Public Testimony:
 - Zachary Stubbs – seeking support of EOs on the Kenai Peninsula, specifically the Ninilchik River. ADF&G issued EOs to restrict king salmon fishing on the Ninilchik River. This includes restrictions on the newly-established youth fishery which is the first Wednesday of June each year, open for a period of 18 hours (6am – 10pm). Would like ADF&G to exclude the youth fishery and not require the kids to release wild fish and also be able to use bait. The actions taken in the EO do not support the Department's goals of increasing youth involvement. The ability for youth to be able to enjoy the fishery is immeasurable and the enjoyment is directly tied with their success which is heightened with the ability to keep wild fish and use bait.
- IX. Committee Reports
 - Game Chair – Matt Moore: None
 - Fish Chair – Martin Weiser: None
- X. Old Business:
 1. Hatchery presentation/discussion by Jeff Milton, Southcentral Sportfish Hatchery manager at ADF&G
 - The Divisions operates William Jack Hernandez Hatchery (Anchorage) & Ruth Burnett Hatchery (Fairbanks).
 - In 2017, 47 million hatchery-produced salmon or 21% of the statewide commercial salmon harvest.

- In 2017, 194,000 Alaska hatchery fish were caught in the sport, personal use and subsistence fisheries.
- Annually releasing 7.2 million fish (rainbow trout, arctic char, arctic grayling, lake trout, king and coho salmon.
- ~2,500,000 king and coho smolt are stocked at 12 sites in Southcentral Alaska.
- >200 locations of stocking efforts
- Goal is to protect wild stocks while increasing diversity and opportunity in the fisheries: typically stock sterile triploid fish.

XI. New Business:

1. Report from Phil Calhoun on 40-mile Caribou Herd Coalition Meeting
 - Meeting in Tok, Alaska.
 - Coalition is comprised of First Nations in Canada and game managers and biologists from the Yukon region.
 - Calf weight is low
 - Producing cows (~3 years of age) are not producing as much as years prior.
 - Managers think that the population grew too rapidly and should be reduced or at least slowed down (i.e. stabilize the herd and have a 1-2% growth rate); concern from resource users.
 - No predator control currently underway.
2. Prepare comments on the Joint Board Proposals
 - See discussions provided in template below).
3. Discuss election which will occur at the April meeting
 - Martin Weiser, Willow Hetrick, Bryce Eckroth, Kevin Taylor are up for re-election, as well as seats resigned by Frank Neumann and Mike Taylor. The committee will appoint two alternates.
4. Discuss developing a letter to the Department re: youth fishery on the Kenai Peninsula
 - Give Kevin Taylor & Martin Weiser authority to draft and submit letter. AC members will have 24 hours to review and provide comments. The fishery opens on Memorial Day weekend, youth day is June 5th.
 - Could provide a proposal to April 10th Board of Fish proposals for Cook Inlet



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
12	Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area		
Oppose	0	8	Oppose because some people reside in certain areas but hunt/fish in other areas of the State. This proposal's language is equally vague compared to the current regulations.
17	Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees		
Support	8	0	Support for reasons stated.
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
Oppose	0	8	Opposed because should be more clearly defined; is so broad that all meetings could be done via email and could diminish the ability of the public to attend. There are times when this is useful, and we support the ability to be able to use email voting via email under special circumstances. Suggest revising language.
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
Support	8	0	When the Board is making changes, it would be nice for those involved and directly affected to be present. This occurred in the past but are no longer able to do that.
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
Oppose	2	6	Opposed: Would like to be able to give input when needed but do not think there should be a blanket opportunity because this could bog down the process. Support: See comments on Proposal #28. There are lots of other users that are able to have seats at the board table and the ACs should be a part of this discussion. Suggest always allowing the public to be able to make comments.
30	Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions		
Support	8	0	Should get more than 3 minutes to provide testimony as these are important issues.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
31	Provide a definition for a board hearing of at least three board members with a public comment requirement		
Support	8	0	Support for reasons stated.
32	Repeal the provision for Board of Fisheries members attending advisory committee meetings to be in compliance with the statutory requirement for holding board meetings in specific areas of the state		
No Action	-	-	See comments on proposal #31
38	Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months		
Support	8	0	Support for reasons stated.
<i>In addition to the above proposals, the Joint Board will discuss setting a schedule for future Joint Board meetings. Currently, Joint Board meetings are scheduled on an as-needed basis. Advisory committees are welcome to provide recommendations on this topic.</i>			

Adjournment: 8:30pm

Minutes Recorded By: Willow Hetrick

Minutes Approved By: Kevin Taylor

Date Approved: March 6th, 2019

CENTRAL BERING SEA ADVISORY COMMITTEE



MEETING MINUTES

October 24–25, 2018

ADF&G Office, 9:00am

570 4th Ave. Bethel, AK

Teleconference: 1.800.504.8071 Code: 5432709

Call to Order: CBSA meeting opened by Chairman David Bill, Sr. @ 9:06a. Recess at 6:00pm on 10/24/18; Reconvene at 8:35am on 10/25/18 (11 members present, Leo Moses Jr. Absent)

Invocation: David Carl

Roll Call: Made by Stan Atchak (secretary), 11 present: David Bill Sr., Stan Atchak, Tommy Kusaiak, Peter Julius (teleconference), Howard Amos, Stanley Tom, Stanley Anthony, Jacqueline Cleveland, Susie Walter, Willie Atti (Teleconference), Leo Moses Jr. (Teleconference)

Peter Julius excused @ 4:25pm on 10/24/18

Approval of Agenda: Susie Walter made motion, 2nd by Howard Amos, Approved

Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: October 10-11, 2017

- Chairman wanted to see if the agenda is good.
- David Carl wanted clarification that “musk ox are afraid of water” and he says it is not true; The reindeer and musk ox are not afraid of water. Howard Amos agrees to what David Carl noted. (p. 6 of minutes needs correction)
- Howard Amos made a motion to accept the minutes of 10/11-12/2018, with correction noted. Second by Thomas Kusaiak. All in favor motion passed to accept the minutes.

Moment of Silence: Remembering former member- Joseph John Sr.

- There was a moment of silence for elder Joseph John for his passing.

Introductions:

- a. Fish and Game Staff: (Teleconference: Jeff Estensen, John Chythlook, Christy Gleason, Holly Carroll) Jen Peeks, Pat Jones, Dave Runfola, Colton Lipka, Keith Oster
- b. Other Agency Representatives: Sarah Mutter AVCP, John Orr AVCP, Ken Stahlnecker USFWS
- c. Members of the Public/ Other: Elizabeth Kusaiak, Pete Walter, Pat Samson (Translator)

Public Comments/ Testimony: *3 minutes per person*

- **Pat Samson...**Public Comment...asked if ADFG could shut things down with Donlin. Who has the authority over shipping lanes? Dave Runfola- US Coast Guard or BLM- Bonnie Million, Corp of Engineers. David Bill discussed the Togiak Coast Guard. Commented that if you don't know the shipping in the Bering Sea to call the coast guard.

Old Business:

- **Officer Elections:** Election of the Officers for CBSA committee.
- Tommy K. wants to have staggered terms for the officers

CENTRAL BERING SEA ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES



AC02
2 of 2

October 24–25, 2018
ADF&G Office, 9:00am
570 4th Ave. Bethel, AK

Teleconference: 1.800.504.8071 Code: 5432709

Alaska Board of Fisheries and Game: Joint Board Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
2	Move the seat designation for the community of Chevak from the Central Bering Sea AC to the Coastal Lower Yukon AC		
Oppose	0	11	<p>Proposal to move Chevak from CBSAC to CLYAC.</p> <p>David Bill, Sr. stated that Chevak residents don't go to the Yukon for subsistence fishing, they only go to the Aprewna area.</p> <p>Stan Atchak explained why Chevak should stay in CBSAC rather than move to CLYAC. He read the letter of support from the Chevak Traditional Council.</p> <p>Susie Walter makes a motion to adopt the proposal, seconded by Howard Amos.</p> <p>Roll call vote called with 11 no and 0 yes. Motion failed to move Chevak. Vote to stay with CBSA and not move to CYAC.</p>

Minutes Recorded By: Stan Atchak
Minutes Approved By: David Bill Sr.
Date: January 1, 2019

Minutes Approved By:

Date: 12/18/2018



Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee
December 11, 2018, 12:30-6:00 pm
MEETING MINUTES
Tele-conference and Napaimute Aniak Office
Teleconference info: 1(800) 504-8071 Access: 5432709#



Meeting called to order: 1:05

Approval of Agenda: Agenda was amended to address replacement for Mark Leary and JB Proposal #3 at the beginning of the meeting.

Roll Call: Quorum: Yes

Present: Ricky Ciletti, Lisa Feyereisen, Walter Morgan, Darlene Heckman, Billy Alexie, Lucy Simeon, Tim Zaukar

Absent: Mark Leary (resigned), Nick Kameroff (excused)

Invocation: Walter Morgan

Introduction of Guests:

Rebecca Wilmarth,
John Chythlok,
Mike Robinson,
Megan Leary,
Dan Gillikin,
Patrick Moses,
John AVCP,
John LE USFWS,
Chris McDevitt,
Aaron Tiernan,
Nick Smith
Tracy Simeon,
Patrick Snow
Will Hartman,
Doug Carney
Gail Vanderpool
Josh Peirce,
Jon Barton,
Kent Most,
Nick Smith,
Jen Peeks (2:10)

Discussion and Vote: Member at Large:

It was discussed that Mark Leary indicated he wanted to resign as Member at Large. **Rebecca Wilmarth**, Georgetown was nominated and unanimously approved to fill his seat.

Update from Game (Josh, Jon):

Wildlife overview: Jon Barton and Josh Peirce

FP19-08	42
FP19-09	45
FP19-10	48



Other/Miscellaneous Business:

Adjourn:

Alaska Joint Board: AYK Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
3	Add two undesignated seats to the Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee		
Support	6		This proposal will put on the books what is taking place in practice for 6 years. It was felt that the persons to fill these two seats should live in the area. The abstain as RC didn't feel the need for this proposal since it was already being practices. 1 Abstain

Minutes Recorded By: Lisa Feyereisen

Minutes Approved By: Lisa Feyereisen, 12/31/18
Rebecca Wilmarth, 01/02/19



ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

AC NAME: Central Peninsula

LOCATION (town): Ninilelik

DATE (of meeting): 2/5/19 cont. from Jan. 22 meeting

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rion Vanek, John McCombs
Steve Vanek, Dave Martin, Madeline Thomas,
Mike Schuster, Jesse Klucas, Dave Blossom
Joe Simpson, Greg Enkewski, Dan Prestley
Gary Deiman, Jeff Berger

MEMBERS ABSENT EXCUSED:
~~Adron Berger~~ Adron Berger

MEMBERS ABSENT UNEXCUSED:

QUORUM PRESENT: YES NO

AGENCY STAFF PRESENT: None

Time Meeting Called to Order: 7:05 PM

Old Business and New Business (See following pages)

Time Meeting Adjourned: 9:10 PM

Steve Vanek
Signature, Committee Secretary



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
12	Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area		
No Action			See comments in Prop # 17
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
Support	12 yes	0 no	This is practical and logical. AC not in an area should not have jurisdiction over that area. It is contrary to the charge of AC members to have local knowledge & expertise and represent the views of the locals.
14	Update the area of jurisdiction for westward area king crab to include the Kotzebue Fish and Game Advisory Committee		
15	Amend the emergency closure process to exclude advisory committees that have not meet within the last three years		
Support	13 yes	0 no	we agree with the Department as explained in the issue statement.



16	Expand the functions of the advisory committees to encourage the involvement of youth and elders		
Oppose	1 yes	12 no	You shouldn't need a regulation to encourage youth and elder involvement in committee activities. That should be happening anyway. Doing the regulation won't necessarily improve participation. Supporter agreed with proposer
17	Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees		
Support	12 yes	0 no	This is a good overhaul of the AC election procedures. It clearly spells out the procedure.
18	Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not refuse membership to a nominee		
19	Simplify the process for removing advisory committee members for having unjustifiable absences from meetings		
NO Action			See # 20
20	Amend the removal for cause provisions		
Support	13 yes	0 no	We agree with the Department's justification. Will make it clearer
21	Amend the advisory committee vacancy rules		



22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
oppose	0	13	we dont oppose the idea of this proposal. But as written it would apply to all AC's. We didnt think that is a good idea.
23	Provide guidance for advisory committee actions having abstentions		
support	13	0	abstention abstention should not even be counted, only yes and no votes
24	Add language to clarify advisory committee meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act and modify noncompliant provisions		
oppose	0	13	The Department should <u>not</u> be able to call for an AC meeting.
25	Remove redundant provisions for advisory committee officer regulations and clarify duties of the secretary		
support	13	0	we agree with the issues presented.
26	Schedule certain requests for advisory committees to be acted upon by each board during regularly scheduled meetings		
oppose	0	13	we didnt see any need to change it now



27	Remove the concept of "active" and "inactive" committees		
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
Support	13 yes	0 no	This is very important to do. It will actually save time because the AC rep. can explain the purpose and the affect of their proposal when the Board stays from what the proposal is addressing. Historically AC's sat at the table!
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
Support	13 yes	0 no	we strongly agree and have asked for the AC's to be at the table during deliberation many time in the past. It was also a recommendation from the last Regional AC meeting in the 1990's. AC's ability to answer questions and add explanation will keep the Board focused on the intent of the proposal, save time and most importantly result in regulation addressing the intent of the AC.
30	Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions		
Support	13 yes	0 no	The Sponsor of an ACR should have the opportunity to give testimony at work sessions and answer questions. That is what the public process is all about.
31	Provide a definition for a board hearing of at least three board members with a public comment requirement		
Support	13 yes	0 no	we agree with clarifying the definition for a board hearing.



32	Repeal the provision for Board of Fisheries members attending advisory committee meetings to be in compliance with the statutory requirement for holding board meetings in specific areas of the state		
33	Amend the Joint Board's procedure for establishing fish and game regulations		
Support	13	0	We agree with the issue statement, especially the last statement, most AC members cannot attend the Board meetings and are thus denied participation.
34	Amend the Joint Board Petition Policy to correct a contradiction with the subsistence proposal policy		
Support	13	0	This gets rid of contrary regulation.
35	Repeal and rewrite the Joint Board Petition Policy		
oppose	0	13	We feel that this proposal does not solve the problem. 30 days is too long for a real emergency.
36	Allow a petitioner the opportunity to present information about the petition to the		



			boards and establish definitions for unforeseen and unexpected events and situations
37			Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy
38			Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months
Support with one opposed	12	1	It was felt that if removed from the book of regulations, it would be hard to get it back on the books, so leave it in the book. The opposer felt the language was ambiguous.
39			Update the regulations to correct errors and omissions
40			Amend regulations to align and clarify current practices for advisory committees and the process for adopting fish and game regulations
oppose	0	13	There are too many parts to this proposal, some are good and some are not. It is not our job to take apart this proposal. It should have been several proposals.

Minutes taken by Steve Vorek
Approved by David Martin Chair
Date approved: 2-12-19

Submitted By
Thomas M. Carpenter
Submitted On
1/30/2019 11:57:23 AM
Affiliation
Copper River/PWS Sound AC

Phone
9072022361
Email
whiskeyridge@ctcak.net
Address
po box 1663
po box 1663
Cordova, Alaska 99574

Copper River Prince William Sound AC Comments

JB Proposal 22, Uniform Rules of Operation 5 AAC 96.60

The AC discussed the merits of electronic discussion and voting by email, ultimately finding some advantages would exist especially in rural communities, but a final decision was made that voting and debating using an email format takes away transparency from the process along with eliminating the public notice and the public's ability to participate which is the core of the AC system.

Motion failed 0-13

JB Proposal 28, Regular meetings 5 AAC 96.641

There are instances where this would be a benefit to the Board, but both Boards operate independently of each other and the chairs of each currently have the ability to call on the AC chair to answer or clarify during deliberations. A regulation making this mandatory is not needed.

Motion failed 0-13

JB Proposal 29, New section 5 AAC 96.XXX

Similar to proposal 28, making this a regulation is not needed. Board chairs have the ability to call on AC chairs currently to clarify during deliberations.

Motion Failed 0-13

JB Proposal 38, Adoption of fish and game regulations 5 AAC 96.6XXX

This Committee feels strongly that fisheries that have been closed by EO for multiple years should be reported each year to the Board but don't feel making it mandatory is warranted. The board should ask that a list of these fisheries be compiled each fall before board cycle so the public and Board know the status of these fisheries. This will give both the public and the Board clarity and allow each to react if they see something that should be looked at more closely.

Motion Failed 5-8

**Craig Fish and Game Advisory Committee
February 18, 2019
Craig City Council Chamber**

I. Call to order: 6:12pm

II. Roll Call:

Members Present: Fred Hamilton, Ray Douville, Mike Douville, Kurt Whitehead, Ellen Hannan, Brian Castle

Members Absent (Excused): Chuck Haydu(Excused), Kirk Agnitsch, Steve Merritt (Resigned), Dave Creighton (Excused)

Members Absent (Unexcused): Kirk Agnitsch

Number needed for Quorum for AC:

List of user groups present; POW hatchery Bill Gass & Bill Lundberg, ADFG Craig Schwanke

Guests: Mike Kampnich, Joel Steenstra

III. Approval of Agenda:

IV.

V. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: From December 12, 2018 accepted minutes from 12.12.18 meeting

VI. Fish and Game Staff present: Craig Schwanke gave presentation on Fisheries meeting, 2019 regulations will be essentially the same as 2018, June 1st start date for sportfishing kings near Coffman, Discussion on liberalizing sportfishing in Pt. St. Nick....to expensive to do cost recovery for Craig, troll openings for Kings this year
Hatchery presentation: significant opposition against hatcheries at the next fisheries meeting, reduce current hatcheries production in dramatic fashion, they sent us an email tonight, attacking the statewide hatchery fish and concerned about pinks, sockeye, etc. Not sure if the board of fish can legally manage the hatcheries, Kenai River and Cook Inlet are driving these proposals. Sportfishing in SE AK are usually in large support of hatcheries as well as the commercial fishermen. Port Asumcion Chums and Cohos are going in the water. Boundary line is barely inside the Port Asumcion Bay. Chums are usually done after the first part of August.

VII. Guests: Joel Steenstra & Mike Kampnich

VIII. Old Business: Report from Kurt on BOG meeting. Emailed out and attached.

Opposed Proposal 171 6-0. Discussed at length and feel the current system is working fine, don't change it.

Review and updated information

IX. New Business:

Voted in support of the draft resolution 6-0 IN SUPPORT of the statewide hatchery program.

Kurt asked the board to withdrawal the joint board proposal #1. Discussion of the logic behind the proposal was to try and encourage the other communities on the island to start their own AC so POW has a larger voice.

Elections:

Seats that are up for reelection are:

Fred Hamilton- Subsistence

Steve Merritt- Troll

Ellen Hannan- Hunting

Mike Douville- Longline

Kirk Agnitsch- Sport fishing

Doug Rhodes- Alt. Gillnet

Not sure if Kirk Agnitsch or Steve Merritt wants to be on the AC.

Voted 6-0 to retain Fred Hamilton as the subsistence .

Voted 6-0 to retain Ellen Hannan as the hunting seat.

Voted 6-0 to retain Mike Douville as the longline seat.

Voted 6-0 to retain Kirk Agnitsch as the sportfishing seat.

Voted 6-0 to elect Joel Steenstra for the Craig AC as an undesignated seat until the next meeting.

Voted 6-0 to elect Mike Kampnich for the Craig AC as the gillnet seat.

Voted 8-0 to elect Kurt Whitehead as the secretary.

Voted 8-0 to elect Ellen Hannan as the chair of the AC.

Voted 8-0 to change Brian Castle's designation to the trapper seat.

Voted 8-0 to elect Fred Hamilton as the vice chair of the AC.

Next meeting scheduled for April 1 or 8, 2019.

Lots of discussion on liberalizing the bear harvest.

Adjournment: Adjourned on 8:02pm.

Minutes Recorded By: Kurt Whitehead

Minutes Approved by:

Date: _____

**Denali Advisory Committee
November 12, 2018
Cantwell School**

- I. Call to Order: 7:10 by Gordon Carlson

- II. Roll Call:
Members Present: Jeff Burney, Gordon Carlson, Justin Mason, Lance Williams, Ray Atkins, Bruce Carlson,

Members Absent (Excused): Marie Gore, Caleb Holum, Don Holum

Members Absent (Unexcused):

Number Needed for Quorum on AC:6

List of User Groups Present:

- III. Approval of Agenda:

- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: Already completed before meeting by committee

- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Scott Sands AST, Frank Robbins ADFG (Via video conference)

- VI. Guests Present: Jeni Mason, Cantwell School Principal

- VII. Old Business:

- VIII. New Business:
Gordon Carlson informed the committee members that Harold Maurey has resigned from the Denali AC.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
	0	6	Members discussed that numerous drainages just to the South of Cantwell flow into the Cook Inlet Drainages. Many users of the fisheries here in Cantwell and the surrounding areas are using the waters that flow into the Cook Inlet including Lower Caribou Lake, all drainages of the Chulitna, Bull River. The King Salmon fishery has been closed for many years in the area. Also the AC has weighed in on possession limits of Lake trout in these daring's also in the past. The Denali AC wants to protect its voice in the discussions regarding Salmon and other species in the Cook Inlet and Resurrection Bay area.
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
	0	6	Much discussion about the pros and cons of having a place at the table during discussion of our proposals at the Board of Game meetings. Members could see the advantages of helping bring clarification to discussion of proposals. Members of the Denali AC have concerns however that some AC's will have too much sway or power and could possibly derail, misdirect, or push conversation in directions that could negatively impact the discussion and decisions of the board. Members felt the board does an adequate job of searching out and asking clarifying questions to make sure they are ruling on decisions with the best information available to them from the AC's.
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
	0	6	Same points and issues as 28 but could possibly become more complicated with multiple AC's at the table. Members were very concerned about this proposal slowing down the process and making meetings and proposal discussion just too difficult during meetings.
<p><i>In addition to the above proposals, the Joint Board will discuss setting a schedule for future Joint Board meetings. Currently, Joint Board meetings are scheduled on an as-needed basis. Advisory committees are welcome to provide recommendations on this topic.</i></p>			

Adjournment: November 12, 2018 9:17 pm

Minutes Recorded By: Justin Mason
 Minutes Approved By: Gordon Carlson, Lance Williams
 Date Approved: November 12, 2018

East Prince of Wales Fish & Game Advisory Committee
January 18, 2019
Coffman Cove, Alaska
**Excerpted for Joint Board Meeting
March 2019**

- I. Call to Order: 5:07 pm by Chairman John Ryan
- II. Roll Call:
Members Present: John Ryan, PJ Olsen, William Pattison, JR Parsley, Ray Slayton, Tyra Huestis, Bryce Brucker, Gary Anderson
Members Absent (Excused): Dave Egleston,
Members Absent (Unexcused): Brian Wilson, Gregg Cook
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 6
- III. Approval of Agenda:
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: Minutes were approved December 27, 2018
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Craig Schwanke
- VI. Guests Present: Mike Huestis, Neil Schoenfelder, Evyonne Somfleth, Gina Kavaretta,
• ~~Chairman~~ ~~Chairman~~ heard nothing back from Kasaan about having a representative on East POW AC.
• ~~Ray Wilson~~
- VII. Old Business:
 -

VIII. New Business:

- Discuss adding a designated Hollis seat to the East Pow AC since Hollis is interested in being a part of the AC. Perhaps even add Point Baker, Port Protection, Naukati, and Gutchi Cove and change the boundary to be the North End of POW Island.
Bryce Brucker proposes that we include Hollis as designated seat and reach out to Point Baker, Port Protection, Naukati, and Gutchi Cove communities and see if any are interested in being included in our AC. Proposal seconded by William Pattison.
No opposition, one abstain, 7 in favor. Motion passes.

- Discussion as to whether this AC wants to send a representative to the Board of Fisheries. William Pattison explained that being “in person” does carry more weight at these Boards. It is also advantageous to meet the people at these meetings.

- Ray Slayton moves that this AC writes a letter of support that John Ryan be on this committee; JR Parsley seconds this motion.
Discussion: Perry Olson shares that having John on this board gives this board a wealth of information that we would be denied if he were not present.
Seven in favor; John Ryan abstains. Motion Passes.

Gary Anderson volunteers to draft this letter on behalf of the AC and send it to the necessary personnel at the State.

- Next meeting tentatively set for the first week of June in Thorne Bay. Exact date and time to be announced.

Bryce Brucker moves to adjourn; Perry Olson seconds.
Unanimous in favor. Motion passes.

Adjournment: 7:58 p.m.

Minutes Recorded By: Tyra Huestis
Minutes Approved By: John Ryan
Date: January 25, 2019

Fairbanks Fish and Game Advisory Committee
 February 13, 2019 Meeting Minutes
 Pioneer Hall, Pioneer Park, Fairbanks, AK

I. Call to Order: Chair at 1839

II. Roll Call –

	<u>CHAIR</u> Kirk Schwalm	<u>VICE-CHAIR</u> Mark Richards	<u>SECRETARY</u> Ed Horton	Al Barrette	Chuck Derrick	John Wisniewski	Warren Giuchici	Richard Doering
Present	X	X	X		X			X
Absent						X		
Abs/Excused				X			X	
	Mike Bryan	Levi Lewellyn	Jeff Lucas	Mike Tinker	Mike Quinn	John Siegfried	Vince Holton	Virgil Umphenour (alternate)
Present	X			X				X
Absent		X						
Abs/Excused			X		X	X	X	

III. Approval of Agenda: Unanimous, added discussion of letter supporting Doug Vincent-Lang to old business.

IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: Jan 9 unanimously approved. note: guest “Dave Vick” should have said “Gale Vick”

V. Correspondence: None.

VI. ADFG/AWT Staff Present: Tony Hollis, Klaus Wutting, Trooper Valentine.

VII. Guests: 4 guests. Gale Vick, Erick from USARAK, Troy Bunce, Sofia Bracio.

VIII. Comments:

- Chair Comments: ADFG was spared in Gov Dunleavy’s proposed budget. Discussed that he applied for a superior court judgeship, and will not be able to vote on any actions during this meeting. The AC still has a quorum.

- Subcommittee Chairs:

- o N/A
- o Mike Tinker thanked attendees of the Fish/Joint Subcommittee for good turnout and discussions.

- AC Member Comments: None
- Public Comments: Troy Bunce is concerned about accessing his cabin and trapline on BLM land in the Dalton Highway corridor. BLM said he is on hold until prop 129 is cleared up. This line has been trapped since the 1980's. The FAC supports his further use and would like to see this resolved as well. If it is resolved in the BOG meeting he will be able to trap next winter. Erick from USARAK would like to see the maps in the hunting regulations clarified to show the military lands better. There has been confusion by the public on what the restricted area boundaries are, and if they apply to certain locations. He was referred to ADFG for mapping issues. Kirk would like a planned discussion with him in the future about working with the Army to ensure hunting opportunities.

IX. F&G/AWT Staff Reports:

- Game: Tony Hollis. Antlerless reauthorization in 20B should match last year. 20A will be the same, but reduced to 290 in more accessible areas. No counts have been done in 20A since 2015. Discussed Delta AC's concerns for their areas, and FAC's concerns with low Minto moose numbers.
- Sport Fish: N/A
- AWT: N/A

X. Old Business:

- o Support for Doug Vincent-Lang. Mike Tinker would like to submit a letter of support from the Fairbanks Advisory Committee. Kirk would require the signature delegated to the Vice-chair. Minor changes were made to Mike's draft.
 - Motion to support approved, 7-0-1.

XI. New Business:

- Fortymile Harvest Management Coalition meeting. Mike Tinker discussed the meeting, summary follows: Caribou are showing signs of stress. Everyone indicates a need for management. Discussed multi-bag harvest hunt parameters. Canada now allows 40mi caribou hunting, and harvested 50-60 this year. Alaska and Canada will share quota next year. Gov Dunleavy says putting food on Alaskan plates is his highest priority. Mixing different herds is not an issue.
- Finalize comments on Southcentral BOG Meeting proposals. FAC discussed props that the Game Subcommittee thought were best brought to the full committee.
 - o Prop 99. Discussed between FAC members. Most like the basic idea of increasing resident percentages, but believe 90%, plus a share of the non-resident 10% is too much. Virgil disagreed with the proposal's statement that other states are 90/10 Res/NR, and explained that number doesn't take landowner tags into account.
 - Motion to support failed, 0-7-1.
 - o Prop 100. Mark had concerns about the subcommittee's comment to oppose. He would like to see FAC support and to amend comments to keep the resident and non-resident pools the same, and for the new 2DK permits to be created with an increased total quota.
 - Motion to support as amended approved, 7-0-1.
 - o Prop 102. FAC discussed whether to amend comments to add a NR Draw hunt instead



of no NR hunting opportunity. There were concerns about guides using the area heavily, taking the allotted quota, and reducing resident hunting opportunity. As of now, the quota is not being met from year-to-year, however.

- Motion to support as written approved, 4-3-1.
 - Prop 103. Mark discussed the subcommittee's questions, and provided information from ADFG about unfilled tags. All FAC members were involved in in-depth discussion on both sides. The FAC believes the proposal addresses a loophole, but examples were given as to why the proposal will not work to fix the problem as written. No alternatives were agreed upon during discussions.
 - Motion to TNA with a note that the FAC believes the intent of the regulations is not for the guides to circumvent the draw process using a federal concession. Approved 7-0-1.
 - Prop 104. No comments.
 - Keep Game subcommittee comments, approved 7-0-1.
 - Prop 130. Virgil had concerns on the proposal as written. His issue was that it does not clarify the difference between guided non-residents and unguided non-residents. He would like to amend that no changes be made to current non-resident guide requirements.
 - Motion to support as amended, approved 7-0-1.
 - Props 135, 136, 137.
 - Motion to support, approved 7-0-1.
 - Props 139, 140, 141.
 - Motion to support, approved 7-0-1.
 - Prop 149.
 - Motion to support, approved 7-0-1.
 - Motion to support all other Game Subcommittee comments, approved 7-0-1.
- Finalize comments on statewide BoF Proposals. Mike Tinker discussed Fish subcommittee comments.
- Prop 165. Klaus read ADFG comments. Gale Vick (guest) weighed in. Trooper Valentine weighed in.
 - Motion to adopt, approved 7-0-1.
 - Prop 171. Mike liked the concept, but believed it was too much for the BOF to tackle. He advised to TNA with that comment.
 - Motion to TNA with comment, 7-0-1.
 - Briefly discussed all other comments.
 - Motion to adopt all other Fish Subcommittee comments, approved, 7-0-1.
- Finalize comments on Joint Board Proposals. Briefly discussed all comments made at the Fish Subcommittee. Kirk commented on prop 17 and asked "Would that mean all ACs will have to check IDs at the door?" Virgil agrees with prop 22. He said the current system means ACs have no opportunity to comment on things happening between pre-planned meetings.
- Motion to support all comments, approved, 7-0-1.
- Discussion of Interior BOG meeting location. Kirk provided a letter on the different locations presented and the viability of hosting the BOG meeting. The letter clearly shows Fairbanks is the best option for all to participate.
- Motion to support Fairbanks as the best option and North Pole as an alternative, approved 7-0-1.

- Nominations for Virgil to the Hatchery committee (if there is money for it), Al to the BOG meeting, and Mike to the Joint Board meeting, all approved 7-0-1.

XII. Adjourn: 22:19

Minutes Recorded by Ed Horton

Please see attached for subcommittee comments

Fairbanks Advisory Committee Fisheries Subcommittee Meeting
February 1/7/2019
Creamers Refuge Conference Room

Members Present: Mike Tinker, Mark Richards, John Wisniewski, John Siegfried, Chuck Derrick, Ed Horton, Richard Doering

Staff Present: Nissa Pilcher, BDS

Public Present: Marv & Becky Hassebroek

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
11	Consolidate regulations addressing the creation of advisory committees		
	7	0	We support. This is housekeeping editing. (Make sure no needed issues are left out in the “repeals”).
12	Require members to reside within the committee’s geographic area		
	0	7	We oppose. The AAC citation should be 5AAC 97.005. Alaska has different residency requirements like living for 30 days in a community before voting there. This proposal is a case of AC jurisdiction versus AC residency. It’s not needed.
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
	0	7	We oppose. This is a commercial fish AC asking to eliminate non commercial fish participation.
14	Update the area of jurisdiction for westward area king crab to include the Kotzebue Fish and Game Advisory Committee		
	7	0	No comment.
15	Amend the emergency closure process to exclude advisory committees that have not meet within the last three years		
	7	0	We support. If an AC has not kept up for more than a Board cycle they should not be able to close seasons.
16	Expand the functions of the advisory committees to encourage the involvement of youth and elders		
	0	7	We oppose. This change is not needed. Nothing prevents the requested emphasis from happening now.
17	Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees		
	7	0	We support. This is clarification. We assume this will not require us to check the identification of every voter(?)
18	Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not refuse membership to a nominee		
	7	0	No comment.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
19	Simplify the process for removing advisory committee members for having unjustifiable absences from meetings		
	7	0	No comment.
20	Amend the removal for cause provisions		
	7	0	No recommendation. This is editing.
21	Amend the advisory committee vacancy rules		
	7	0	No recommendation. This is editing.
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
	7	0	We support. (Our proposal) The requirement that we follow every requirement of the Open Meetings regulations is false. The existing requirement that AC's are always bound is not correct. There are exemptions within the statute that apply. AC's do not take "final regulatory action". We recommend, except for emergency closures. Simplifying how we can communicate would save time, money and energy without changing our "recommendations". The public can be involved by email also. We have a large email list and a Facebook page. A discussion on what is really required and what exceptions we might use is needed. It needs to be a discussion beyond the Boards attorneys, looping in advice from other agency boards, committees and commissions.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
23	Provide guidance for advisory committee actions having abstentions		
	7	0	We support. Clarification. Housekeeping.
24	Add language to clarify advisory committee meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act and modify noncompliant provisions		
	0	7	We oppose. AC's need to qualify for exceptions. See comments on #22.
25	Remove redundant provisions for advisory committee officer regulations and clarify duties of the secretary		
	7	0	We support. Housekeeping.
26	Schedule certain requests for advisory committees to be acted upon by each board during regularly scheduled meetings		
	7	0	We support. (Our proposal). This addition would allow AC issues to be discussed with their and public comment without requiring a separate meeting. Fits the 3 year cycle also.
27	Remove the concept of "active" and "inactive" committees		
	7	0	No comment. Housekeeping
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
	7	0	<p>We support. This concept although seemingly terrifying to the Boards, would allow questions to be answered without a “break”. That process now depends on whether a chairman realizes the answers or clarifications are available in the “audience”. Use the AC representatives the same way you use the staff during deliberations. We have all experienced a board floundering on understanding intent or wording and getting a skewed result or postponement WHEN THE ANSWER WAS SITTING IN THE FRONT ROW.</p> <p>We have asked the legislature to look at this issue in the past because the boards will not. They ask if the boards have “expressed” no support for this action. This is an opportunity to clarify for AC’s and legislators.</p>
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
	7	0	We support. See comment on #28.
30	Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions		
	7	0	We support. (Our proposal). This is another clarifying definition.
31	Provide a definition for a board hearing of at least three board members with a public comment requirement		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
	7	0	We support. (Our proposal). This definition would apply to both boards. Fisheries has a “member go to an advisory committee” concept that does not get the job done.
32	Repeal the provision for Board of Fisheries members attending advisory committee meetings to be in compliance with the statutory requirement for holding board meetings in specific areas of the state		
	7	0	We support. (Our proposal). Change the BOF present definition to something both boards can use and assure the noted statutory compliance.
33	Amend the Joint Board’s procedure for establishing fish and game regulations		
	7	0	We support. These changes are overdue. Some recent BGP’s resulted in regulations never contemplated by the proposers or public. Although some are editorial or combining ideas, the original authors are not cited in the final product. I.e. the “track” of what is final is difficult to compare or understand with regard to original intent.
34	Amend the Joint Board Petition Policy to correct a contradiction with the subsistence proposal policy		
	7	0	No comment. Housekeeping
35	Repeal and rewrite the Joint Board Petition Policy		
	7	0	We support. (Our proposal)



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
36	Allow a petitioner the opportunity to present information about the petition to the boards and establish definitions for unforeseen and unexpected events and situations		
	7	0	No objection, however we suggest using “petitioner” in place of “interested person”
37	Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy		
	7	0	No comment. Isn't this like #34?
38	Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months		
	7	0	Our question, “What is the duration of a shellfish E.O.?” 24 months is too long for emergency regulation. We recommend 90 days. A board can notice and hold a teleconference to deal with a specific issue. Let's use our technology rather than abuse the state definition of emergency.
39	Update the regulations to correct errors and omissions		
	7	0	We support. Housekeeping
40	Amend regulations to align and clarify current practices for advisory committees and the process for adopting fish and game regulations		
	7	0	We support. Housekeeping.

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
<p><i>In addition to the above proposals, the Joint Board will discuss setting a schedule for future Joint Board meetings. Currently, Joint Board meetings are scheduled on an as-needed basis. Advisory committees are welcome to provide recommendations on this topic.</i></p>			

Adjournment:

Comments Recorded By: M. Tinker
 Minutes Approved By: Vote of AC
 Date: Feb 13, 2019

Homer Fish and Game Advisory Committee
Feb. 12, 2019
NERRS Building

Call to Order: Meeting called to order by Chair Lyon at 6:01 pm

Members Present: Dave Lyon (chair), Tom Young (vice-chair), George Matz (secretary), Gary Sinnhuber, Tom Hagberg, Dan Anderson, Marvin Peters, Joey Allred, Jr, Jim Meesis, Michael Craig, Dennis Wade, Wes Humbyrd, Doug Malone, Malcolm Milne, Matt Hakala, Morgan Jones.

Members Absent Excused: Lee Martin

Public Present:

Beaver Nelson, Jessie Nelson, Matt Alward, Penelope Haas, Nancy Hilstrand, Lynn Whitmore.

Staff Present: ADF&G; Mike Booz, Holly Dickson, Carol Kerkvliet, Elisa Russ. AST: Alisha Beach

Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes:

Minutes of the January AC meeting were approved.
Minutes of Fisheries Subcommittee were approved.

Old Business: Dan Anderson discussed the Fisheries Subcommittee meeting last week regarding Cook Inlet mixed salmon stocks, interception of these stocks, and further insight from recent genetic studies of these fisheries. The meeting covered a lot of issues but didn't hone it down to a proposal. However, Dan took these thoughts and came up with a rough working draft to facilitate further discussion. Dan handed out a two-page draft that in addition had a map of Kodiak salmon management units. He suggested amendments to the North Shelikof and Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plans. The intent of this effort is to be able to submit a proposal to the BOF by the April 10th deadline.

New Business: Penelope Haas of KBCS provided new research about the impact that pink salmon have on populations of diatoms and copepods while ocean feeding. She felt that this information needs to be part of the discussion on salmon hatchery operations that the BOF Hatchery Committee is having March 8 in Anchorage.

ADF&G staff led a discussion on Kachemak Bay tanner crab. There currently isn't an open season for tanner crab because their survey counts were below threshold limits. A seasonal limit on crab was mentioned. The Homer AC had a brief discussion about whether we should work up a proposal on the issue. The consensus was to defer at this time.

Lynn Whitmore, chairman of Kachemak Moose Habitat, Inc. gave his annual review on lands or easements that have been purchased to protect prime moose habitat in the Kachemak Bay area.

He said they are working the Kachemak Heritage Land Trust to secure some prime winter habitat along the Anchor River.

The AC approved of Dan Anderson representing us at the BOF meeting Feb. 21-27 in Anchorage.

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals			
March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
12	Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area		
Support	15	0 – 0	While this hasn't been an issue in Homer, it is conceivable that it might be. The AC believes that allowing non-residents to become members could be disruptive.
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
Support	15	0 – 0	
17	Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees		
Support	14	0 – 1	Needed administrative changes. The vote to abstain was based on too much information.
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
Support	13	2 - 0	<p>There was some discussion about deleting the sentence on voting. An amendment was offered but failed 2 – 13 – 0.</p> <p>The AC is aware that current interpretation of the Open Meetings Act does not allow discussion on AC matters except in an open forum. However, the AC disagrees with this interpretation and believes that the public would be better served by the proposed changes than the status quo. If the Boards approve this proposal, it becomes regulation, thereby accomplishing that change.</p> <p>The Homer AC's experience is that the current interpretation of the law clearly inhibits a beneficial exchange of ideas that can improve member knowledge before voting. Denying this exchange has greater negative consequences than the remote possibility that a member of the public might be interested in all</p>



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			<p>AC discussion on a proposal. If AC exchange is via email or social media, it is on the public record so transparency is not an issue.</p> <p>Also, AC members should not be held to the same standard as board members. Board members are public officials who make actual decisions. AC members are volunteers who are only advisory. Furthermore, AC meetings are totally different than board meetings; short without much face-to-face opportunity for more detailed exchange. AC meetings don't have the same level of public scrutiny as board meetings often have.</p>
23	Provide guidance for advisory committee actions having abstentions		
Support	15	0 – 0	<p>Abstention votes by the Homer AC are usually because the member doesn't feel familiar enough with the issue to vote on it and doesn't want to affect the results either way. Nevertheless, we have been determining "support" or "oppose" based on whether the count of yeas amounts to a majority. In essence, abstentions are counted as nays. There have been a couple of votes where yeas were greater than nays, but not a majority when abstentions are included. This proposal, if approved, will correct that.</p>
24	Add language to clarify advisory committee meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act and modify noncompliant provisions		
Support	15	0 – 0	No discussion
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
Oppose	0	13 – 2	<p>The AC felt that conceptually the proposal has merit, but that the process of bringing AC's to the table would be cumbersome and create unnecessary delays. There are other options to consider, such as how BOG meetings are organized. Perhaps the organization of BOG meetings should be more like BOF meetings.</p>
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Oppose	0	15 - 0	It was noted that this proposal is similar to #28 but could have additional problems. Allowing an AC to sit at the table during deliberations for proposals affecting their regions is prone to abuse. An AC would have inordinate opportunity to participate in a wide range of proposals where claims interest. A concern expressed is that unlike other members of the public, AC members would have access to board members in an area closed off to the public.
30	Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions		
Support	10	4 - 1	A clear definition of what is or isn't a work session is needed.
33	Amend the Joint Board's procedure for establishing fish and game regulations		
Support	15	0 - 0	The Homer AC has a long history of opposing board generated proposals that do not go through the public vetting process that all other proposals go through. We have also opposed board generated amendments to existing proposals that are not within the bounds of the original proposal or group of very similar proposals.
38	Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months		
Support	15	0 - 0	Submitted by the Homer AC.



Alaska Board of Game Southcentral Region Meeting Proposals
March 15-19, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
93	Increase the bag limit for deer in Unit 8		
Support	15	0 - 0	Doug Malone, who was not at the last meeting, asked that we go back to the BOG proposals and consider #93. His reason for support is that the biggest mortality factor for Kodiak deer is winter kill due to deep snows that occur every few years. When winters are mild, deer herds increase rapidly. This allows for greater harvest which might also reduce habitat impacts. Bag limits should be increased when deer populations are growing but reduced when there have been severe winters.

Meeting adjourned at 9:17

Minutes recorded by George Matz

Approved by: Dave Lyon

Date Approved: 2/19/2019

**JUNEAU DOUGLAS Advisory Committee
6 December 2018
University of Alaska Southeast**

- I. Call to Order: 5:35 by Kevin Maier

- II. Roll Call:
Members Present: Jason Kolhause (Processor), Thatcher Brouwer (Comm fish), Mike Bethers (sport), Ed Buyarski (sport), Jesse Ross (trapper), Melanie Brown (alternate), Krstine Trott (non-consumptive, personal), Jesse Walker (sport), Nick Orr (sport), Chris Miller (comm fish) Forest Wagner (comm fish), Terry White (charter, salt) Kevin Maier (charter, freshwater) Peter Robertson (non-consumptive commercial)

Members Absent (Excused): Mike Cole, Alternative, Atlin Daugherty (hunting guide), Richard Yamada (charter, salt)

Members Absent (Unexcused):
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8
List of User Groups Present: sport fish/hunt/personal use; charter freshwater/saltwater; commercial fish; processor; non-consumptive personal/commercial; trapping

- III. Approval of Agenda:
Unanimously approved.

- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes:
Unanimously approved as amended.

- V. Fish and Game Staff Present:
Roy Churchill, Area Management Biologist

- VI. Guests Present:
Matt Wilson, citizen
Jake Abbott, citizen

- VII. Old Business:

- VIII. New Business:

Juneau Douglas Advisory Committee
2.5.19
University of Alaska Southeast, Room 218

Call to Order: [6:35 pm] by [Kevin Maier (charter, freshwater)].

IX. Roll Call:

Members Present: Forest Wagner (commercial fishing), Melanie Brown (alternate), Peter Robertson (non-consumptive commercial), Jesse Walker (sport), Atlin Daughtery (hunting guide), Kristine Trott (non-consumptive personal), Richard Yamada (charter, salt), Chris Miller (commercial fish), Thatcher Brouwer (commercial fish), Terry White (charter, salt), Jesse Ross (trapper), Ed Buyarski (sport), Kevin Maier (charter, freshwater).

Members Absent (Excused): Mike Bethers (sport), Jason Kolhause (processor), Nick Orr(sport)

Members Absent (Unexcused):

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8

List of User Groups Present: ADFG, Commercial Fish, Sport Fish, Personnel Use, Hatchery.

X. Approval of Agenda: Approved by unanimous consent.

XI. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: Previously approved.

XII. Fish and Game Staff Present: Dan Teske (ADFG), Dave Love, (ADFG) Matt Catterson (ADFG), Flip Pryor (ADFG)

XIII. Guests Present: Eric Prestegard (DIPAC)

XIV. Old Business:

XV. New Business:

Statewide Board of Fish Proposals, Joint Board Proposals and Hatchery Resolution.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
1	Designate seats for the community of Craig and the Port St. Nicholas area on the Craig Advisory Committee		
2	Move the seat designation for the community of Chevak from the Central Bering Sea Advisory Committee to the Coastal Lower Yukon Advisory Committee		
3	Add two undesignated seats to the Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee		
4	Move the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee from the Western Region to the Interior Region		
5	Designate two seats on the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee for the community of Georgetown		
6	Dissolve the Lake Minchumina Advisory Committee		
7	Reduce the membership for the Lake Iliamna Advisory Committee to ten and add designations for the communities of Pope Vannoy Landing and Port Alsworth		
8	Establish seat designations for the Naknek/Kvichak Advisory Committee		
9	Change the name of the Kotzebue Advisory Committee to Kotzebue Sound Advisory Committee		
10	Reduce the number of undesignated seats for advisory committees in the Arctic region		
11	Consolidate regulations addressing the creation of advisory committees		
12	Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area		
Support	9	3 and 1 Abstain	Kevin Maier: People participate in fisheries all around state. Forrest Wagner: Do you need to be a resident? Ed Buyarski: We should live in the community where the advisory committee is located.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			Jesse Walker: This is a good proposal. Chris Miller: The current language regarding community you live in and AC you can be on is a little vague
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
14	Update the area of jurisdiction for westward area king crab to include the Kotzebue Fish and Game Advisory Committee		
15	Amend the emergency closure process to exclude advisory committees that have not meet within the last three years		
16	Expand the functions of the advisory committees to encourage the involvement of youth and elders		
	12	1	What does this mean?
17	Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees		
	11		Melanie: They are trying to clarify and codify regulations. Kevin: House Keeping. 2 abstain.
18	Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not refuse membership to a nominee		
	13		Kevin: The Department is trying to reign in rouge ACs. Jesse: could someone demand that they be seated if we do not have full number? Ed: Would we restrict any member from filling an undesignated seat? Chris: Clarified that this is for communities that share representation have designated seats.
19	Simplify the process for removing advisory committee members for having unjustifiable absences from meetings		
20	Amend the removal for cause provisions		
Support	13		Cleans up the language. Deletes duplicative language.
21	Amend the advisory committee vacancy rules		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
Opposed	5	7 and 2 Abstain	<p>Melanie Brown: Difficult to get quorum in rural Alaska especially in Western Alaska. This could be very helpful for advisory committees in rural Alaska.</p> <p>Jason Kolhase and Chris Miller: Think it is critical that we come together and discuss proposals in person and allow public to comment. If do this we are eliminating public and fish and game.</p> <p>Ed Buyarski: This could be beneficial everyone once and a while when it is difficult to organize a meeting.</p>
23	Provide guidance for advisory committee actions having abstentions		
Support	14	0	General feeling that this makes sense.
24	Add language to clarify advisory committee meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act and modify noncompliant provisions		
25	Remove redundant provisions for advisory committee officer regulations and clarify duties of the secretary		
26	Schedule certain requests for advisory committees to be acted upon by each board during regularly scheduled meetings		
27	Remove the concept of "active" and "inactive" committees		
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
30	Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
31			Provide a definition for a board hearing of at least three board members with a public comment requirement
32			Repeal the provision for Board of Fisheries members attending advisory committee meetings to be in compliance with the statutory requirement for holding board meetings in specific areas of the state
33			Amend the Joint Board's procedure for establishing fish and game regulations
34			Amend the Joint Board Petition Policy to correct a contradiction with the subsistence proposal policy
35			Repeal and rewrite the Joint Board Petition Policy
36			Allow a petitioner the opportunity to present information about the petition to the boards and establish definitions for unforeseen and unexpected events and situations
37			Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy
38			Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months
39			Update the regulations to correct errors and omissions
40			Amend regulations to align and clarify current practices for advisory committees and the process for adopting fish and game regulations
<p><i>In addition to the above proposals, the Joint Board will discuss setting a schedule for future Joint Board meetings. Currently, Joint Board meetings are scheduled on an as-needed basis. Advisory committees are welcome to provide recommendations on this topic.</i></p>			

Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: Thatcher Brouwer
Minutes Approved By: Kevin Maier
Date: 2.20.19

Kenai/Soldotna Fish & Game Advisory Committee
2/19/2019
Kenai River Center

I. Call to Order: Meeting started @ 6:30pm

II. Roll Call:

Kenai Fish & Game Advisory Committee

First Name	Last Name	Present	Absent	Excused
Al	Belknap	X		
Alexander	Agosti		X	
Andrew	Carmichael	@7:30pm		
Chris	Hanna	X		
Dick	Dykema	X		
Dyer	Van Devere	X		
Greg	Geller			X
Jerry	Strieby	X		
Joe	Thomas			X
Mike	Crawford	X		
Monte	Roberts	X		
Paul A.	Shadura II			X
Todd	Smith	X		
Will	Lee	X		

Number Needed for Quorum on AC:

List of User Groups Present:

III. Approval of Agenda:

IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: Meeting minutes from December 18th, 2018 and February 13th, 2019 have been approved.

Meeting Minutes from tonight's meeting 02-19-2019 are approved unless any objections arise prior to Friday the 22nd.

V. Fish and Game Staff Present:

VI. Guests Present: 4

VII. Old Business:

VIII. New Business: Next Meeting is scheduled for April 4th, which would be our election meeting. Meeting will be held at the Soldotna Sport Center. Board of Fisheries proposals are due April 10th.

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals			
March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
12	Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area		
Opposed	0	8	Would be difficult to define geographic area and hard to regulate. Example would be the Kenai Peninsula, as there are multiple AC's and people could be on different AC's. 1 Abstention.
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
Opposed	0	7	These communities are in the Cook Inlet Drainage, though by removing these communities could further split AC jurisdiction and areas in the future. 2 Abstentions
15	Amend the emergency closure process to exclude advisory committees that have not meet within the last three years		
Support	9	0	This is logical and makes a lot of sense as those AC's that have not been participating could hold up an important closure.
16	Expand the functions of the advisory committees to encourage the involvement of youth and elders		
Opposed	0	9	We are not opposed to encouraging youth and elders, we are not sure how this is going to be achieved or regulated. And we are not sure by what means this is going to be accomplished.
17	Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees		
Support As Amended	9	0	Would like to see (96.910(10)) defined; we would like to know what the definition of the area is. Amendment vote 10 -0 Amendment:



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			8.) Each advisory committee may appoint alternates according to the individual AC's by-laws.
18	Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not refuse membership to a nominee		
Supported	10	0	This would help ACs fill seats to form a quorum.
19	Simplify the process for removing advisory committee members for having unjustifiable absences from meetings		
Support	9	0	This has been a past problem and could be addressed going forward in the future.
20	Amend the removal for cause provisions		
Support	10	0	Both Proposal 20 & Proposal 21 must go together
21	Amend the advisory committee vacancy rules		
Support	10	0	Proposal 21 & 20 are linked and one can not go without the other.
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
Opposed	0	10	We understand that this is not legal due to the open meetings act.
33	Amend the Joint Board's procedure for establishing fish and game regulations		
Support	10	0	This is to help clean-up public process while not changing the intent of the proposal.
38	Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months		
Support	9	1	Department has authority and the board doesn't need to be dealing with it. Support for this proposal is to take action on the regulation that is currently in place that does not actually reflect the population in the east side cook inlet clam population.

Adjournment: Meeting Adjourned @ 9:00pm

Minutes Recorded By: Will Lee
Minutes Approved By: Mike Crawford, Chair
Date Approved: 2/20/2019



Ketchikan Advisory Committee Minutes

Date: 2/27/2019

Location: ADFG Conference Room

Call to Order: 5:30 pm

Roll Call: 12 voting members present

Name	Seat	Check if present
John Scoblic	Chair	Excused
Sue Doherty	Vice Chair	X
Whitney Crittenden	Secretary	X
Matt Allen	Secretary	X
Beau Dale		X
Clay Bezenek	Alternate	X
Daryle James	Saxman Rep	X
Don Westlund		X
Frank James, Sr.	Saxman	X
Joe Roth		X
Josh Cohen	Alternate	Absent
Kenny Shaw		X
Larry McQuarrie		Excused
Norm Skan		X
Perry Leach		Excused
Randy Williams	Alternate	Excused
Rudy Franulovich		X
Russell Miller		Excused

Public Present: Norm Ariola

Department Present: Justin Breese, ADFG

Introductions: All advisory committee members, public, and department staff introduced themselves.

Approve Meeting Agenda:

Approve Previous Meeting's Minutes: Bezenek moves to approve previous minutes, seconded by Skan. The motion was approved unanimously approved.

Reports:

Chair: Chairmen Scoblic was unable to make the meeting, and Doherty stepped in as acting chair.

Department: No report given by department staff.

Public Comment: Norman **Ariola** expressed concern regarding the herring fisheries in our region. He wants to protect the herring stocks and shared his support of the Sitka tribes and their recent actions against the State. Concern regarding king salmon stocks was also shared. **Doherty** instructed **Ariola** that the best way to have the AC address these concerns would be to draft a proposal of what he would hope to accomplish. A discussion regarding regulation changes with conducted with **Breese** from ADFG.

Old Business:

Proposal #1: **Doherty** introduced the proposal. **Allen** moves to adopt and **Bezenek** seconds. **Dale** shared his understanding of geography and believes that Klawock is within the area of Craig in regards to community, regulations, and shared natural resources. **Allen** offered that Klawock had recently reinstated its advisory committee, and that this proposal is exclusionary. **Bezenek** discussed that the Craig AC would include the members of Port Saint Nick, and that separate communities used to have their own advisory committees. **Doherty** clarified that this would designate seats to members of the communities of Port Saint Nick and Craig. **Roth** opposes this due to the inclusion of a geographic location requirement to serve on the committee. **Westlund** discussed the Board's lack of authority to designate seats, and that seats are generally assigned by the legislature. **Roth** called the question. **The AC opposes this proposal (2 support, 7 oppose, 1 abstain)**. There was discussion to revisit this proposal at the end of the meeting. **Doherty** stated that in order to nominate members someone has to be a resident of the State of Alaska, though members of advisory committees do not have to be residents.

Proposal #11: **Doherty** shared that this proposal by the department is about housekeeping and combines information into one section. **Allen** moves to adopt and seconded by **Roth**. **Allen**, **Roth**, and **Skan** support this proposal. **Doherty** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose)**.

Proposal #12: **Doherty** introduced the proposal as a request for the definition of geographic location. **Breese** stated that only members from a community can vote for individuals to participate on the advisory committee. **Allen** moves to adopt, and **Roth** seconds. **Allen** has issue with supporting this proposal due to the lack of a definition for a geographic area. **Roth** does not support this because people don't always need to reside somewhere to have



knowledge of the area. **Bezenek** supports because the AC represents the community, democratic process, and doesn't want non-residents to sway votes. **Westlund** has an issue with this proposal because geographic area is not defined. He believes that residency in the community should be a part of the process. **Doherty** felt that this proposal was not well developed, and that the Board process is not the place to do this. **Franulovich** wants to see things defined before moving forward with this. **James Sr.** doesn't like anyone else making decisions for him and wants that to be addressed. **Westlund** shared that the intent of the proposal is to have people reside within the area. **Skan** calls the question. **The proposal fails (1 support, 11 oppose).**

Proposal #15: **Doherty** presented that this proposal defines an "active" committee and their allowed involvement in emergency closures. **Bezenek** moves to adopt, **Roth** seconds. **Allen** opposes this proposal because whether active or not, the advisory committees are still in the books. **Breese** stated that as long as advisory committees are organized that they are able to make comments. **Bezenek** shared his historic knowledge of an example where the Ketchikan AC was unable to comment because it was not active or organized. **Doherty** wanted to point out that some areas have overlapping jurisdiction and that if AC's are inactive that sometimes the active AC's are unable to get their majority. **Allen** will change his initial feelings for the proposal and calls the questions. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #16: **Allen** moves to adopt, **Roth** seconds. **Bezenek** feels that this is a good proposal. **Crittenden** would like to see this AC reach out to the youth in our community. This could be done through establishing a non-voting seat for a representative from the high school. Also through the inclusion of high school students related to requirements of government courses. **Crittenden** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #17: **Doherty** spoke to the length of this ADFG proposal and that it aims to present the regulation in a chronological order. **Crittenden** moves to adopt, **Bezenek** seconds. **Franulovich** was concerned about the quorum for elections. **Breese** informed that a quorum is not necessary for the initial elections. **Roth** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #18: **Doherty** shared that this proposal adds a provision about seat designation; that AC's can't refuse membership if undesignated seats are available. **Crittenden** moves to adopt, seconded by **Bezenek**. **Skan** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #19: **No action taken**, proposal 20 and proposal 21 address the same issue.

Proposal #20: **Bezenek** moves to adopt, seconded by **James Sr.** **Allen** offered that this proposal just clarifies unjustifiable absences. **Crittenden** the spirit of this proposal is that it puts the authority within the hands of the advisory committees. **Bezenek** supports this proposal. **Westlund** has issues with the part regarding "consecutive absences". **Crittenden** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**



Proposal #21: Bezenek moves to adopt, seconded by **Roth**. **Westlund** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #22: Westlund moves to adopt, seconded by **Bezenek**. **Bezenek** informed the committee that this proposal aims to allow meeting and voting by email. **Allen** stated that this proposal violates the Open Meetings Act. **Breese** added that regulation prohibits voting by private email for it excludes the public. **Bezenek** calls the question. **The proposal fails unanimously (0 support, 12 oppose).**

Proposal #23: Crittenden moves to adopt, seconded by **Roth**. **Skan** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #24: Westlund moves to adopt, seconded by **Bezenek**. **Allen** inquired if this includes elections. **Breese** responded that it only works where there is no committee. **Allen** and **Breese** discussed the implications of the approval of this proposal. **Crittenden** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #25: Bezenek moves to adopt, **Westlund** seconds. **Doherty** shared that officers are elected by majority vote and secretary's duties are explicitly stated. **Allen** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #26: Westlund moves to adopt, seconded by **Bezenek**. **Westlund** feels that these decisions should include both boards. **Allen**, **Shaw**, and **Westlund** oppose this proposal. **Westlund** calls the question. **The proposal fails (2 support, 10 opposes).** The committee would like the comments to show that joint board decisions should include both boards. **Skan** likes it because it would reduce costs and allow quicker action.

Proposal #27: Westlund moves to adopt, **Bezenek** seconds. **Doherty** explains that this changes term from dormant to inactive. **Bezenek** stated that this aims to clarify reactivation and will support. **Skan** calls the question. **The proposal passes unanimously (12 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #28: Westlund moves to adopt, **Roth** seconds. **Westlund** shares that AC's can be called upon any time by the Board, they already have testimony opportunity. The seat is unnecessary. **Doherty** has witness proposals go sideways and off track of original intent. **Roth** calls the question. **The proposal passes (10 support, 2 oppose).** **Allen** brought to light that there is more to the proposal than just the opportunity for a seat, the author would like to see all public oral testimony given at the advisory committee level. Only written testimony would be allowed at the Board level. This eliminates all public testimony at the meetings. **Westlund** would like comments regarding the deliberations have already been given an opportunity. **Roth** motions to reconsider, **Dale** seconds. **Westlund** calls the question. **The proposal fails unanimously (0 support, 12 oppose).**

Proposal #29: Doherty discussed that this proposal gives advisory committees within the region a seat at the table for proposals. **Westlund** moves to adopt, **Allen** seconds. **Allen** was concerned that an AC represented might misrepresent the proposal of an individual. **Westlund**



sarcastically wanted to know how many seats AC's will get at the table? **Franulovich** stated that this provides opportunity. **Bezenek** discussed that AC's used to be a part of the deliberation process, and it would make the BOF more interesting. **Breese** questioned the AC if they thought that this would give AC's more power? They are already given opportunity. **Doherty** said she could see this if it was specific to AC proposals, but it is not. It could logistically be a nightmare. **Allen** the opportunity exists when AC's give testimony. **Westlund** calls the question. **The proposal fails (5 support, 7 oppose)**. Comments to be added from members: **Bezenek** wanted to include his support that it allows committees to speak their piece, **Franulovich** shared it provides the opportunity, and **Westlund** felt that it was too broad.

Proposal #30: Westlund moves to adopt, **Roth** seconds. **Doherty** provided an overview and likes that it defines a work session, though she is against the submitter getting extra testimony. **Skan** shared that there is no comment time currently and it gives those a chance to sell it. A work session review is for non-regulatory items, and they won't be making decisions that change regulation. **Franulovich** calls the question. **The proposal fails unanimously (0 support, 12 opposes)**.

Proposal #31: Westlund moves to adopt, and **Skan** seconds. **Breese** shared that the Open Meetings Act should regulate this process. **Westlund** moves to take no action. **Shaw** second. **There was unanimous consensus to take no action on this proposal**. Comments for the Board include that clarification was necessary in order to vote.

Proposal #32: Allen moves to adopt, and **Westlund** seconds. **Allen** proposed to take no action. **Crittenden** seconds. **The vote to take no action was unanimously supported (12 support, 0 opposes)**. This does not pertain to our region.

Proposal #33: Allen moves to adopt, **Bezenek** seconds. **Doherty** shares that everyone has the same proposal deadline and that UFA supports the first half of the proposal, but not the second half of the proposal. **Westlund** opposes this proposal because it ties the Boards hands. **Franulovich** has seen the Board change proposals from the original intent. **Shaw** stated that this proposal has politician written all over it and he is against it. **Bezenek** is concerned that this would take decisions away from the Board and put it in hands of individuals. **Allen** calls the question. **The proposal fails (1 support, 11 oppose)**. One AC member leaves the meeting and the quorum drops down to 11 voting members.

Proposal #34: Allen moves to adopt, **Westlund** seconds. **Breese** explained to the committee that the proposal would prevent the Board from taking action on subsistence and personal use issues, and that it defines what a real emergency is. **Westlund** wants to keep the status quo. **Allen** shared that this tries to fix a contradiction and removes additional consideration given to special subsistence issues. **Skan**, doubles the consideration and fixes the error and supports this. **Roth** would initially abstain from this proposal. **Allen** read it aloud to **Roth**. **Roth** appreciates **Allen's** assistance and feels like he could make an informed vote. **Roth** calls the question. **The proposal passes (10 support, 0 oppose)**.



Proposal #35: Westlund moves to adopt, **Allen** seconds. **Doherty** does not believe that this has been fully vetted and she will not support. **Westlund** and **Allen** rescind their initial motions and seconds. **Westlund** moves to take no action, seconded by **James Sr.** **The committee unanimously votes to take no action on the proposal.**

Proposal #36: Allen moves to adopts, **Westlund** seconds. **Roth** says it's hard to define unforeseen or unexpected. **Allen** calls the question. **The proposal fails unanimously (0 support, 11 oppose).**

Proposal #37: Allen moves to adopt, **Westlund** seconds. **Doherty** does not support their interpretation. **Roth** will oppose. **Westlund** calls the question. **The proposal fails unanimously (0 support, 11 oppose).**

Proposal #38: Westlund moves to adopt, **Skan** seconds. **Westlund** shared that abalone has been closed for 30 years and it's still on the books, but the season is not open. **Shaw** asked if there is any reason these fisheries shouldn't be reviewed every 24 to 48 months. **Allen** said that this particular issue would not be revisited without this change. **James Sr** shared that the ADFG has to come up with a decision, and he doesn't like emergency orders for eternity. **Breese** says that ADFG have to evaluate emergency orders. For example, in SE we have eulachon, its currently in the regulations, we have to review, if ADFG deem it necessary to close we have to release an emergency order. There is no season for abalone, so they can still get permits even though there is no fishery. **Allen** will be opposing. **Doherty** shared that this puts the decision of EO's in the hands of the Board instead of the department and she will be opposing. **Roth calls the question. The proposal fails (0 support, 10 oppose).**

Proposal #39: Allen moves to adopt, **Westlund** seconds. **Doherty** provides information that this addresses omissions and languages and is basically housekeeping. **Roth** called the question. **The proposal passes unanimously; one member left the meeting. (10 support, 0 oppose).**

Proposal #40: Allen moves to adopt, **Westlund** seconds. **Crittenden** shared that most of this we (secretary) are doing anyway. **Westlund** calls the question. **The proposal passes (8 support, 1 oppose, 1 abstain).**

There was brief discussion on the Hatchery Resolution which was sent before the deadline. Items were removed that did not represent all communities. There was the remove of the word subsistence and a point regarding stocks of concern.

Westlund recommended that we removed his shrimp proposal for the agenda this meeting and move it to the agenda for next meeting. This was agreed upon unanimously.

New Business:

Frank James Sr. met with **Scoblic** to discuss his removal from the committee due to health concerns which was recommended by his doctor. There will need to be a new member selected to replace his designated seat. **James Sr.** has a replacement in mind.

There was discussion about future work sessions. The advisory committee will need to reach out to the public to identify points of concerns.

Doherty or **Scoblic** will represent the Ketchikan AC at the upcoming Board of Fish meeting.

Set Next Meeting Date: Thursday March 28th at 5:30 in the ADFG Conference Room

Adjourn: 8:52 pm

**Koyukuk River Advisory Committee
December 11, 2018
Allakaket Tribal Council**

- I. Call to Order: 2 pm by Chairman Jack Reakoff

- II. Roll Call: Jack Reakoff, Undesignated
Nicole Mcanallen, Undesignated alternate
Harding Sam, Alatna
Jared Sam, Alatna
Marcus Ambrose, Hughes Alternate
Shawn Huffman, Huslia
Warner Bergman, Allakaket
Members Absent (Excused): Vacant Unesignated
2 vacant Bettles/Evensville
Wilmer Betus & Hugh Bifelt, Hughes
Jack Wholecheese & Darrell Vent, Huslia
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 6 (currently, after election it will change)

- III. Approval of Agenda: Approved no additions
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: approved no changes
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Sara Longson, DWC; Nissa Pilcher*, BDS; Deena Jallen*, DCF
- VI. Guests Present: Vince Mathews, Kanuti Refuge FWS; Gordon Bergman, 1st Chief of Allakaket, Charles Ned< Joseph Williams III, Shawn Bergman, Sam Henry, Steve Bergman
- VII. Old Business:
- VIII. New Business:
AC Comments
 - Jared Sam would like to see predator control instituted again
 - Harding Sam commented on there not being many moose (9 moose taken for 41 families in Allakaket & Alatna combined, which was brought up many times during the meeting)
 - Federal moose hunt open until October 1 on federal lands unknown by many
 - Discussion to draft a state moose season extension proposal at this meeting; added to the end of the agenda
 - Marcus got a moose but had to go a long way in his boat and used a lot of fuel. Was not in favor of cutting antler but a discussion on why this practice was put in place changed his mind
 - Warner did not get a moose but went a long way in his boat and used a lot of fuel. Expressed interest in extending CUA and asked how to turn the CUA into a refuge. Concerned that a guide got 4 moose within the CUA and that there was no enforcement to be seen this hunting season.

Public Comments

- Steve Bergman noted he does not want to see the CUA changed- last time a proposal went before the Board of Game to change it, it came out smaller and don't want to see it happen again, noted lower moose and caribou numbers, he was unable to get a moose this year, and that he would support a season extension on state lands. Discussion on who would be able to hunt during this season.
- Gordon inquired what happened to the meat from guided moose, which insued a discussion on having council offices get in touch with the BGCSB to set up some sort of meat drop off. Vince Mathews noted that their was an ethics working group that was working on, in part, streamlining this process.
- Sam Henry expressed appreciation for Orville Huntington and all he had done for the people of the region. Noted that they had almost lost him as his funding from TCC had stopped but that Doyon was now paying his salary.

Election of Alatna

Alatna already had their elections; Harding Sam and Jared Sam will continue to serve Alatna on the committee

Election of Allakaket

Warner Bergman no longer wanted to serve. Charles Ned, Vincent Simon, and Joseph Williams III were elected to fill the Allakaket seats

Election of Undesignated seat

Shawn Bergman elected to fill the undesignated seat that has traditionally been held by Allakaket/Alatna

Board of Fisheries

Deena Jallen, Assistant Summer Season Yukon Manager with DCF, gave brief season update, noted boarder passage goal was met but subsistence was restricted at times.



Alaska Board of Fisheries: AYK Proposals

January 15-19, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
86	Allow subsistence fishing for non-salmon fish with hook and line gear in District 4 of the Yukon Area		
Support with Amendment	11	0	Would like to see this in the Koyukuk excluding the Dalton Corridor Management Area. This is a traditional method for nonsalmon species.
87	Allow subsistence fishing for salmon with drift gillnets in the entire Yukon River		
Support with Amendment	11	0	Amend to be clear it is outside of the Dalton CUA
88	Require fish wheels to be closely attended during times of conservation for any species		
89	Allow retention of king salmon for subsistence purposes, by emergency order, during times of king salmon conservation in the Yukon Area		
Support with Amendment	11	0	Amend to be clear it is outside of the Dalton CUA & include the Koyukuk River
90	Reduce the amount of time prior to opening of the commercial fishing season in Districts 1-3 and Subdistrict 4-A of the Yukon Area when subsistence fishing for salmon is prohibited		
91	Reduce the amount of time prior to opening of each commercial fishing period in Districts 1-3 of the Yukon Area when subsistence fishing for salmon is prohibited		
92	Restrict gillnet mesh size to a maximum of 6 inches in Districts 4, 5, and 6 subsistence and commercial salmon fisheries		
Opposed	0	11	Concerned about fish dropping out of nets, how the morphology of the fish changes as you go up river and that this would mean that we wouldn't be able to use the larger net for sheefish
93	Repeal the requirement to remove the tips of the tail fin of subsistence-taken salmon in Districts 1 – 3 of the Yukon Area		
94	Allow the taking of the first king salmon entering the Yukon River for religious and ceremonial use		
Oppose	0	11	Unclear proposal how it is written



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Statewide Finfish Proposals			
March 8-11, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
161	Require weekly reporting of salmon harvest by all permit or license holders		
Oppose	0	11	This is a pretty broad brush that doesn't seem to fit on a statewide level
162	Require biweekly reporting of all sport, personal use, and subsistence king salmon catch		
Oppose	0	11	Fishermen are out all summer without communication
163	Prohibit the intentional waste or destruction of subsistence-caught fish		
Support	11	0	The intentional was of fish is not ok, no matter what type of fish. If it has to be in regulation to stop people from doing this then so be it. If nothing else the fish should be salvaged and given to a musher. Culturally we don't waste anything.
164	Prohibit the intentional waste or destruction of sheefish		
TNA	11	0	Based on action taken on 163

Alaska Board of Game Southcentral Region Meeting Proposals			
March 15-19, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
129	Clarify the ability for trappers to transport furbearers or lawful parts of game as trapping bait within the Dalton Highway Corridor Management Area		
Support	11	0	We agree that snow machines may be used to transport trappers, furbearers, and game parts lawfully used as bait under a trapping license in this management area
141	Reauthorize the resident grizzly/brown bear tag fee exemptions for the Interior and Eastern Arctic Region		
Support	11	0	

Federal Fisheries Proposals

FP19-07

Support; reference comments made on state proposal mirroring federal



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
Support	11	0	It can be quite some time before when an AC member testifies and when the proposal gets deliberated on, this would allow dialog. The department, Department of Law and Public Safety already get to do this. This lack of dialog during deliberations is an issue in this process
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
Opposed	0	11	This is a bit too broad
37	Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy		
Oppose	0	11	Subsistence is the highest ranked activity in Alaska and we want this to remain on the books to protect subsistence users; this policy effects every resident in Alaska and we do not want to see it go away

Board of Game

Call for Proposals

Committee brought up the idea that was mentioned in the beginning of the meeting to submit a proposal to extend bull moose season from September 25th to October 1st with harvest ticket for residents only in 24B in the Controlled Use Area. The moose aren't moving till later.

Predator Control

There was no update on the program, Glenn Stout was unable to attend this meeting as he was in Galena doing moose surveys. Concern over the wolf numbers were back (moose harvest numbers for Alatna and Allakaket mentioned again) and that the grizzlies are eating more moose now too.

An additional teleconference will be conducted in March to discuss predator control, review the 24B moose proposal and draft any others.

Charles Ned was elected to attend the BOF Meeting in January.

Adjournment: 5:50 pm

Minutes Recorded By: Nicole McAnallen

Minutes Approved By: Jack Reakoff

Date: _____

**Lake Iliamna AC Minutes
October 23, 2018
Igiugig, Alaska**

- I. Call to Order: by Randy Alvarez, 10:35

- II. Roll Call:
Members Present: Randy Alvarez, Tinny Hedlund, Elijah Eknaty, Henry Olympic, Bill Trefon, George Alexie, Warren Hill. (Quorum met).

Members Absent (Excused): Jim Tilly, Karla Jensen, Tim Anelon
Members Absent (Unexcused):
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 6
List of User Groups Present:

- III. Approval of Agenda: Hedlund moves, Eknaty seconds. Motion carries 7/0

- IV. Fish and Game Staff Present: : Taryn Oconnor-Brito, Lee Borden, Dave Crowley, Travis Ellison

- V. Guests Present: Christina Salmon, Gene Sandone (Telephone, BBEDC member), James Vanlanden (Telephone, ADFG Subsistance)

- VI. ADF&G Staff Updates:
ADFG wildlife update from Dave Crowley:
 - o Stated that moose composition counts have been completed in units 9b and 9c. Counts show increased calf survival and a bull/cow ratio of 30-35/1. He feels the ratio is biased in favor of bulls due to the difficulty of sighting cows and calves during snowless surveys, which the state is working to correct in the data collection. Group discussion around warm temps effecting moose movements and makes for difficult hunting.
 - o Caribou counts completed for unit 9. Data has not been finalized.
 - o Bear counts completed in unit 9 show a slight decrease in population and decrease in harvest but the animals appeared to be bigger.
 - o Calf mortality study has been completed but no data available yet. Discussion, Bill T questioned if state and feds share survey data, Dave C answered yes and explained further.
ADFG Commercial fish report from Travis Ellison:



- 2018 was the largest ever recorded salmon return to Bristol Bay. All the nushagak district rivers exceeding escapement goals (provided escapement numbers) . Egegik was the smallest relative return but still met escapement goals. Discussion by the group that perhaps the ADFG counting towers were taken down too soon, especially on the Kvichak. Potential late runs going uncounted.
- The state is now funding counting towers on the Alagnak, Togiak, and Igushik Rivers.

ADFG Sport Fish report from Lee Borden:

- All rivers had good reports regarding numbers of sport fishing success, except the Iliamna River which numerous reports were received regarding low numbers of Dolly Varden.
- An emergency order bag limit reduction for sockeye was issued for the Kvichak River during a stretch of low returns but was later rescinded when large numbers of salmon arrived. Critical area closures were also issued and later rescinded for same reasons on the Kvichak River.
- Bag limits for sockeye were liberalized on the Alagnak River after a larger than expected return of salmon arrived.
- ADFG conducted a mortality study on catch and release chinook on the Nushagak River. Data revealed a 7% mortality rate on a sample size of 300 fish caught.

VII. New Business:

1. Reauthorization of Brown Bear Tag Fee exemption:
Voted 6/1, motion passes.
2. Lake Iliamna AC Agenda Change Request for Board of Game:
Voted 7/0, motion passes to adopt ACR as amended.
3. Proposals for Board of Fisheries Bristol Bay Finfish meeting: See table.
4. Lake Iliamna AC Joint Board Proposal: See table
5. Proposals for Alaska Peninsula/Aleutian Islands/Chignik Finfish meeting: See table
6. Approval of minutes for submission to the Board of Fisheries before the November 14th deadline: AC members voted to allow chairmen Alvarez to approve the minutes.



Alaska Board of Game Southcentral Region Meeting Proposals

March 15-19, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
140	Reauthorize the resident brown bear tag fee exemptions for the Central/Southwest Region		
support	6	1	Some discussion about this hunt becoming sport in nature, conflicting with local guides, also much want and waste by locals.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Bristol Bay Proposals

November 28-December 4, 2018 | Dillingham, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
19	Allow subsistence fishing for salmon with dipnets near Dillingham		
No action	7	0	The AC would not support this in our region for fear of over use by non-locals.
21	Allow subsistence fishing with hook and line attached to rod or pole in Six Mile Lake		
Support as amended	7	0	Strike words "Six Mile Lake", add "Little Six Mile Lake" to park boundary on all state owned waters.
23	Clarify that the holder of two drift gillnet limited entry permits may operate up to 150 fathoms of drift gillnet gear		
support	7	0	Discussion, the committee would prefer the permit ownership laws remain as they are. Allowing one person to fish two permits will harm those permit holders that do not have their own boat.
24	Allow the holder of either two set gillnet or two drift gillnet limited entry permits to operate more gear than the holder of a single limited entry permit		
oppose	0	7	See comments on proposal 23
25	Allow an individual holding two drift gillnet limited entry permits to operate up to 200 fathoms of drift gillnet gear		
oppose	0	7	See comments on proposal 23
26	Allow the owner of two drift gillnet limited entry permits to operate 200 fathoms of drift		



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Bristol Bay Proposals

November 28-December 4, 2018 | Dillingham, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
	gillnet gear from a single vessel		
Oppose	0	7	See comments on proposal 23
27	Allow the holder of two set gillnet limited entry permits in the Naknek-Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik districts to operate 100 fathoms of set gillnet gear		
oppose	0	7	See comments on proposal 23
28	Allow commercial fishing for salmon, with set net gear only, within the section of the Kvichak River that borders Levelock Village land		
oppose	0	7	
30	Increase the maximum length for drift gillnet vessels from 32 feet in overall length to 42 feet in overall length		
oppose	0	7	
31	Delay implementation of the 48-hour district transfer notification period until the third Saturday in June		
oppose	0	7	
32	Extend duration of late-season fishing periods in the Naknek-Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik Districts		
			No motion.
33	Allow the use of beach weirs in commercial salmon fishing in Bristol Bay		
oppose	0	7	Discussion about how a wier is just another name for a fish trap, which have been illegal statewide for decades and for good reason.
36	Repeal conditions that must be met prior to allowing commercial fishing for salmon in the Alagnak River Special Harvest Area		
support	7	0	
37	Manage the Naknek and Kvichak sections independent of each other based on the harvestable surplus within each section and establish section-specific harvest allocation criteria so that 84% of each section's harvest is allocated to the drift gillnet fleet and 16% of the section's harvest is allocated to the set gillnet fleet		
			No motion. Discussion that this seems to be a repeat discussion and will come up again. Effort should be put into a resolution for this but this proposal does not seem adequate, it would too difficult to enforce and would be easy to manipulate.
41	Reduce fishing time in the Nushagak District commercial salmon fishery when the Nushagak River sport fishery is restricted for king salmon conservation		



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Bristol Bay Proposals			
November 28-December 4, 2018 Dillingham, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
oppose	0	7	
42	Reduce fishing time in the Nushagak District commercial salmon fishery when the Nushagak River sport fishery is restricted for king salmon conservation		
oppose	0	7	

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals			
March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
7	Reduce the membership for the Lake Iliamna Advisory Committee to ten and add designations for the communities of Pope Vannoy Landing and Port Alsworth		
Support as amended	7	0	Discussion about how some communities are shrinking while others are growing. The AC should represent the changing populations. Reducing the total number simplifies operations while maintaining fair representation. Proposal as amended will remove Pope Vannoy from having a designated and is given to Port Alsworth. We will now have seven designated seats. One each going to the communities of Pedro Bay, Port Alsworth, Nondalton, Igiugig, Kokhonak, Newhalen, and Iliamna. And Three undesignated seats for a total of ten committee seats.

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Alaska Peninsula/Chignik/Aleutian Islands-Bering Sea Proposals	
February 21-27, 2019 Anchorage, AK	
Proposal Number	Proposal Description



Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
134	Repeal the current South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan and readopt the management plan in place prior to 2001		
support	7	0	Recommendations to support 134 and 135 as they will restrict the intercept sockeye fisheries to Bristol bay.
135	Repeal the current South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan and readopt an amended version of the management plan in place prior to 2001		
support	7	0	
136	Amend the South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan so that fishing periods are structured with 24-hour windows where commercial salmon fishing gear is in the water		
	No motion. Discussion, 136 is similar to 134 and 135 in that this will allow for a “window” of time for salmon to pass through the intercept fishery and travel to the other fishing and spawning grounds across the state.		
143	Repeal the immature salmon test fishery in the Shumagin Islands Section		
oppose	0	7	
144	Restrict commercial fishing for salmon in areas both along the coast and in open seaward waters of the Outer Port Heiden Section and the open seaward waters of the Ilnik Section when the preseason Bristol Bay sockeye salmon forecast is 30 million fish or less		
support	7	0	Discussion detailing how this could help share the burden of conservation across the entire region.
145	Restrict commercial fishing for salmon along the coast and in offshore waters of the Outer Port Heiden Section and restrict fishing in offshore waters of the northeast portion of the Ilnik Section		
support	7	0	Discussion supporting this action as it will reduce bycatch to Bristol Bay as well as help stop/lower the over escapement problems to the Outer Port Heiden area and Ilnik Rivers. The district boundaries currently make product quality lower due to the distances the fleet must travel.

I. Miscellaneous Business:

1. Set date and time of next AC Meeting: Tentative early March Teleconference to discuss further Joint Board Proposals.

Adjournment: 4:30pm

Minutes Recorded By: Hill

Minutes Approved By: Chairman Randy Alvarez

Date: 11/14/18

Lake Iliamna Fish and Game Advisory Committee Teleconference February 13, 2019 at 10am

I. Call to order

Called to order at 10:05 by Randy Alvarez

II. Roll Call

Members Present

Bill Trefon, Thomas Hedlund, Henry Olympic, Warren Hill, George Alexie, Jim Tilly,
Randy Alvarez

Members Absent

Karla Jensen, Tim Anelson, Elijah Ignaty

III. Approval of Agenda

Henry moved to approve the agenda

Thomas seconded

Vote 7-0

IV. Introduction

Staff: Taryn O'Conner-Brito, Board support

Dave Crowley, Game Biologist- King Salmon

Chris Peterson, Game Biologist- King Salmon

Guests: Bob Cusac, Gene Sandone- BBEDC

V. ADFG Staff Updates

Dave Crowley commented on the ACR that the Lake Iliamna AC sent in.

The BOG decided to take it up at the March meeting.

ADFG supports changing the Brown Bear season back to the way it was. Is neutral
on the Spring season.

Randy asked Dave who is his supervisor? He said Todd Ranaldi

VI. Comments

AC Members, none at this time

Public, none at this time

VII. New Business

I. BOG proposals 147, 148

II. Joint Board Fish and Game proposals

Randy asked Taryn to read proposal 147

Thomas moved to adopt proposal 147, seconded by Henry

The comments were strong in support. The same as when we proposed the ACR.

Vote 7-0 passed

Proposal 148: Randy asked Taryn to read and what is the difference.

Taryn read and said the difference is the season would close on the 25th instead of the
31st as our committee recommends. Dave, Game Biologist, concurred.

Jim Tilly commented that he sent in proposal 148. Said the season does not need to
shortened. He will support the AC proposal. The 25th would align with coast.

Thomas agreed, keep 31st.

Warren moved to adopt 148, Jim seconds

Public comment: Bob asked can we throw it out. Randy said no, but we can oppose.

Warren and Bill concur with Randy

Vote 148, failed 0-7

AC member asked Dave Crowley if he is going to be at the meeting? Dave said he plans to be but it's up to his boss. Thomas said he listened to the teleconference when the BOG took up our ACR and the game biologist at that meeting didn't have any idea of the situation.

Dave said he wrote the AMR himself. Department is in support. Usually neutral. Good step.

Randy said he could go to the BOG meeting for the committee and would like to be there for staff reports and deliberations. Hope they do reports right before ACR proposal.

II. Joint Board Proposals

Proposals 1-6

no comment

Proposal 7

this is our proposal, reference comments from last AC meeting

Proposal 8

Randy commented that Levelock is part of this. Levelock is in unit 9b and are on the same drainage as the rest of us are. Would make sense for them to be in the Lake Iliamna AC.

No motion, no action

Proposals 9-11

No comment

Proposal 12

Randy likes the idea that keeps people that don't live in the AC area from voting in that area. Taryn said the proposal addresses membership not voting.

Randy commented that now there is nothing to stop people from other areas coming to our AC meeting in force and voting members on our committee.

Thomas commented that would be more toward commercial resources than anything else.

Randy commented that the Boards hold the AC's in higher regard than the general Public. Members should represent that area in all aspects.

Bill agrees.

Thomas moves to adopt, seconds by Jim

Vote 7-0 passed

Proposals 13-17

No comment

Proposal 18

Taryn explains the proposal.

Comments, Randy agrees with the proposal, Bill agrees.

Warren moves to adopt, seconded by Bill

Vote 7-0 passed

Proposals 22 - 40 no comment

Joint Board meeting. Randy suggested Warren attend. Warren said he could do that.



Taryn will send Warren the notes and email for him to do the minutes.
AC will not meet again till board cycle comes up or something comes up.
Randy commented that detailed minutes are best as it gives the AC representative
At meetings to take part in a discussion.

Adjourned at 11:27am

Minutes by Randy Alvarez



**Lower Bristol Bay Advisory Committee
February, 6th, 2019
Teleconference**

I. **Call to Order:** 9:09 a.m. by Mitch Seybert, Chair

II. **Roll Call:**

Members Present: Mitch Seybert, Mike Enright, Tim Enright, Brian Cato (until 10:20), Kim Rice, Mary West, John Christiansen, Gerald Olsen Jr., Tracy Vrem, Tom Bursch (arrived 10:20)

Members Absent : Eric Beeman, Mark Kosbruk, Eddie Clark

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 7

List of User Groups Present:

III. **Approval of Agenda:** Tim E moved, Mike E 2nd. Approved unanimously.

IV. **Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes:**

V **Fish and Game Staff Present:**

Bob Murphy—ADFG North Peninsula

Travis Ellison—ADFG Nak-Kvi

Taryn Oconnor-Brito—LBBAC

VI. **Guests Present:** Gene Sandone—BBEDC

Chuck McCallum—Lake and Pen Borough

Avery Lill—KDLG

Chris Maines—BBNA

Old Business: Staff Comments

Bob Murphy: Got email for Prop 144. Was there one for 145 also?

Mitch S: No

Taryn O: New board meeting sched. One in the book is not accurate.

Gene S: Issue with Prop 145 amendment?.

Bob M: Coordinates not the same line. Latitude is same but not longitude.

When plotted is 3 miles inland. much different line—one is N-S, the other E-W.

144 is N-S, but 145 is a completely different line.

Mitch S: Want both lines East—West. How to fix? So should we make both lines the same?

Gene S: That's what I understand you wanted to do. Write amendments to both proposals and submit?



Bob M: Do you want to use new coordinates in 144 or 145? 144 is simpler but 145 is E-W and more restrictive, but at a stranger angle. We don't currently have E-W lines, but lines are more parallel to beach. In 144 there is 5-8 miles new closed area above Reindeer Cr, area that is currently fishable.

Mitch S: is East-West, or lines at a different angle that normally used, a problem?

Bob M: No, but 145 would draw a boundary box to north of area and make a little sliver of area that would remain open in area you are trying to close. Prop 144 coordinates are cleaner and more straightforward, and your intention is clearer.

Mitch S: So we should use the 144 coordinates for the north line?

Bob M: I can't tell you what to do, but 144 lines are simpler and very straightforward .

Mitch S: Prop 145 lines are straight with the current, though. Maybe we should go N-S. Gene?

Gene S: Think Bob explained it pretty well. E-W is perpendicular to beach, N-S is how all the rest of the boundaries are set, on the longitudinal line.

Mitch S: Protection area for Reindeer Creek?

Bob M: N-S line-creek mouth moves a bit some years, not much. Prop 144 coordinate is south of Reindeer Ck, which would be above in the closed waters in 144.

Mitch S: So it would be protected?

Bob M: If 144 adopted with the proposed coordinates, Reindeer Ck would be in the new closed area.

Mitch S: So we just need to make it the same as 144?

Bob M: Would make it simpler for folks to understand. And sorts out the problem with small sliver of open area, which I know you don't want to leave open.

Mitch S: So that would be an amendment to 145 which we would have to consider.

Bob M: I think putting the coordinates from 144 into 145 would make it easier to understand and less ambiguous. Willing to help write up amendment.

Mitch S: Need to vote now or are we still on public comments?

Taryn O: We've kind of merged into new business, but we should see if anyone has any more public comments.

Mitch S: Anyone else? Chuck?

Chuck M: Looks like AC is on the right track. Make it easy for the public to understand.

Mitch S: Any more comments? Anyone want to bring it to the table, proposal 145 for an amendment? How should we do that?

Taryn O: Make a motion to reconsider

VIII. New Business:

Prop 145

Tim E: move to reconsider 145.

Mike E?: second



Taryn O: Need to vote on the motion to reconsider
Mitch S: All in favor of the reconsidered motion
Motion carried unanimously.
Taryn O: Someone needs to move to amend.
Brian C: Move to amend 145. Tim E, second
Mitch S: Wording?
Gene S: use same coordinates as presented in 144 for northern 145 boundary.
Mitch S: Bob, does that work?
Bob M: Yes
Mitch S: Any more discussion?
Question called on amendment. Carried Unanimously
Taryn O: now you need to vote on 145 as amended.
Vote on amendment to Prop 145 as amended: Carries Unanimously.

Prop 144

Mitch S: Need to vote to reconsider.
Motion to reconsider by Gerald O, 2nd Brian C
Approved unanimously.
Gerald O: Move to amend, Tim E 2nd.
Gene S: Amendment as emailed out before the meeting: this proposal also seeks to reduce fishing time in the Ilnik and OPH sections when the pre-season BB forecast is 30 million fish or less. I believe it will reduce fishing time by about 50%. Does that look right Bob?
Bob M: Looks about right.
Mitch S: Anything to add or take away, anyone? Helps when runs are small in BB and puts burden of conservation on everyone.
Gene S: at present, current regs only restrict fishing in this area when EG North line is pulled in to protect UGA fish. Very late to react to small run strength. Doesn't proscriber any particular action, just states that Comisioner is to take " appropriate actions" .
Bob M: or when Ilnik or Meshik is under escaping. So we also open or close N. Peninsula fisheries based on local abundance.
Gene S: Yes. SW based on Ilnik, NW based on Ilnik and UGA.
Mitch S: Processing (catch limits) during main season in N Pen?
Bob M: Yes, some years, etc.. N Pen has one shore based processor, and also export to King Cove.
Discussion of processing limits, etc by Mitch S and Bob M.
Mitch S: call for vote as amended
Vote Prop 144 as amended—Carries unanimously

Bob M departs

Joint Board Props introduced by Taryn O: No Dept comments. Any questions, I will try to answer, but these are only my understanding. 40 proposals total.
Props 1–11 No Action



Prop 12

Taryn O: No minutes from other ACs on this yet.

Gene S: No minutes, but my notes have Nush AC opposed, Togiak AC supported.

Mitch S: LBBAC very diverse. Members live all over, but are all users. Majority are area residents. Current membership works well. I think majority should be area residents, but other users should be able to be AC members also.

Seems like our current makeup works well

No motion on 12

Props 13–18 No action.

Meeting halted due to phones being down @ 10:20, restarted @ 10:25 with members Mitch, Gerald, Tim, Kim, Mike, John, Mary and Tom.

Props 19–27 No Action.

Prop 28

Tim E moves to adopt, Gerald O 2nd.

Mitch S: Would allow AC to counter new things that come up during deliberation. In favor of proposal.

Tom B: Can't see downside.

Tim E: Concur. For proposal.

Taryn O: Possible proposal inflation, by ACs, just to get some time at the Board table, the only potential downside that I can see. Don't know enough about it to say for sure.

Mitch S: Not voting rights, just comments.

Vote Prop 28—Carries unanimously

Prop 29

Tim E moves to adopt, Gerald O 2nd.

No further discussion. Reference comment on prop 28.

Vote Prop 29—Carries unanimously.

Props 30–40 No Action

Approval of minutes from this meeting.

Mike E motions for Mitch S to approve minutes from this meeting, Tim E 2nd.

Motion carries unanimously.

Recording of minutes and meeting notes by Taryn to be sent to Eric B for drafting of minutes.

Next meeting time to be called at discretion of Chair



Adjournment: 11:00 a.m.

Minutes Recorded By: Eric M Beeman
Minutes Approved By: mt sj
Date: 2/29/17

**Matanuska Valley Fish & Game Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes of February 27, 2019
MTA Building Palmer**

- Call to Order: 7:01 pm by Herb Mansavage
- Roll Call:
Members Present: Herb Mansavage, Birch Yuknis, Dan Montgomery, Tim Stephens, Neil DeWitt, Danny Lewis, Bob Marshall, Hans Nordstrom, Don Dygert, Mont Mahoney

Members Absent (Excused): Austin Manelick, Chris Alderman, Dom Nickles, Andy Couch, Mel Grove, Chad Lipse

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: Eight, Ten Present

List of User Groups Present: ADF&G, General Public
- Approval of Agenda: Dan motions, Neil 2nds. No objections
- Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: Dan Motions, Neil 2nds. No Objections
- Fish and Game Staff Present: Tim Peltier Wildlife Biologist III
- Guests Present: Cabot Pitts and Robert Cassell
- New Business: The AC agrees that Dan Montgomery, Herb Mansavage and/or Neil DeWitt may speak for the AC at the upcoming BOG meeting in Anchorage March 14-19 and at the Joint Board meeting March 21-25 in Anchorage. The upcoming Board of Fish meeting in Anchorage March 8-12 the AC agrees that Neil DeWitt, Andy Couch and/or Herb Mansavage can speak on behalf of the AC.

Alaska Board of Game Southcentral Region Meeting Proposals March 15-19, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended,	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes



Oppose, No Action			
93	Increase the bag limit for deer in Unit 8		
Oppose	3	7	Neil Motions, Hans 2nds. ADF&G is neutral and feels there is "probably" no biological concern. Dan feels the current harvest is adequate and the Department can manage it. Don states some areas are hunted harder and this could lead to overharvest in those areas. Neil states that one bad snow year can wipe out the herd. Danny supports this proposal, he has hunted Kodiak for 35 years and there are lots of deer.
94	Lengthen the hunting season for goat in Unit 8 Remainder		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Dan supports for those people who want a long hair goat. ADF&G states there is currently no biologic concern, and an EO can close the hunt.
95	Change the bag limit, lengthen the season, and implement reporting requirements for goat in Unit 8 Remainder		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Dan supports. It is a Kodiak AC proposal and they know their backyard. Taking of Nannies can really help control the population. Don concurs with Dan. Plus Nannies taste better.
96	Establish a new registration hunt for goat in Unit 8		
Oppose	0	10	Neil motions and Hans seconds. Birch opposes this as this is an enforcement issue. Dan states that the Kodiak AC did not support this. Neil sees this as a special hunt on private land.
97	Allow the use of muzzleloaders for goat hunting in Unit 8		
Oppose	2	8	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Herb feels the muzzleloader take would be minimal. Don brought up what the Kodiak AC's amendment was. Our AC feels that proposals with options are more difficult to vote on, should present two proposals and we can vote on each individually. Birch brought up that a muzzle loader can also be used in the regular drawing for this area.
98	Lengthen the fall hunting season for brown bear in Unit 8		
Oppose	0	10	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Don mentions that the early part of October was taken away on the Alaska Peninsula to limit the harvest of sows. Department feels that is why the Oct 25th date was implemented to let the sows den while the boars are still out. Dan mentions that the Kodiak AC opposed and he won't support, the current system works. Birch states if you want more daylight apply for a Spring hunt.
99	Allocate at least 90% of the Unit 8 brown bear drawing permits to residents		
Support as amended	6	4	As amended. (Herb Amended to 80/20 resident/Nonresident split) The author of the proposition was present. Bob Cassel was on this AC two years ago. He quit the AC because two years ago he went to the BOG with this issue and nothing was done. Bob feels the current system for Brown bear permits does not follow the Alaska Constitution. Bob was also not happy with the



			recent policy for allocation adopted by the BOG that put residents on the same level as nonresidents. Dan feels that this proposal would decimate the guide industry on Kodiak. Also that nonresidents may be eliminated from some hunts if there are not 10 permits in a draw to give at least one (10%) to a nonresident. Dan feels that the guide industry is vital to the Kodiak economy. Herb compared this to the lower 48 prestigious tags. There residents have a better chance for those tags than nonresidents. Brought up that even if this were to pass the draw odds for residents would still be low, just not as low. Dan brings up that the Kodiak AC voted this down and that the current system has been in place since 1976/77. Neil brought up that the Anchorage AC amended to 75/25. Don brought up that the guides there have Federal concessions and that limits where they can go. Don opposes on the economic impact to Kodiak and the State. (Non resident tags being more expensive and the Pittman/Robertson match) Hans does not feel that just because something has been this way for a long time (Kodiak Brown bear Tag system) doesn't make it right and that residents need to be more of a priority. Dan states that the current system has managed the brown bear population on Kodiak well. Birch does not feel that the guide industry would be decimated because if the guide offers a quality hunt at a quality price residents would use them for these basically once in a lifetime tags.
100	Create a separate drawing for second degree of kindred brown bear permits in Unit 8		
Oppose	0	10	Neil Motions, Hans seconds. Birch states that a nonresident is a nonresident. That there is a nonresident hunting license and a resident hunting license, there is no second degree of kindred license. Dan is opposed because our AC recently voted to keep the second degree of kindred in the resident pool for the draw. Don is against because what if the hunts are undersubscribed? Could give this pool of people greater odds than a regular nonresident or a resident.
101	Create a resident tag for Kodiak brown bear from the nonresident permit allocation		
NA			No Action based on the comment in the proposal book that the BOG does not have authority to change tag fees.
102	Eliminate nonresident opportunity for the RB230 and RB260 registration permit brown bear hunts in Unit 8		
Support	6	4	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Dan is opposed. This is a road system hunt and would eliminate nonresidents. Herb is for this proposal. Good opportunity for residents. Danny states that the resident local hunters are weekend hunters, that the nonresident guided hunters hunt more often. Dan brings up that the Kodiak AC voted this proposal down. Dan had numbers



			for prior year harvest indicating an increased nonresident participation and a decreasing resident participation.
103	Transfer under-subscribed nonresident Kodiak brown bear drawing permits to the resident drawing permit allocation		
Oppose	3	7	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Neil brought up that the Anchorage AC voted this down.
104	Allow residents to return Kodiak brown bear drawing permits in advance of the hunting season to be reissued to residents		
Oppose	1	9	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Neil brought that the Anchorage AC voted for this proposal. Birch does not like penalizing someone on opportunity over harvest. Don states that the Department expects a certain number of people to not participate and if the Department starts reissuing these permits eventually the Department will be issuing less permits in the future, and the Department agreed. Herb could support if there was a provision for a last minute emergency exception, he likes the idea of more opportunity.
105	Adjust the boundaries of the Kodiak brown bear drawing hunt areas for Kiliuda Bay and Ugak Bay		
Oppose	1	9	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Don is opposed. This proposer is a nonresident guide who wants to align the State and Federal boundaries. a self serving proposal. Dan brings up that guides on Kodiak can only apply one person for each drawing tag. That this would make a smaller area.
106	Provide educational material to encourage Kodiak brown bear hunters to harvest boars and penalize hunters for taking sows		
Oppose	2	8	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Birch feels that more information is good. Don states there already is a video, it may just need to be updated. Dan brings up that these hunts are usually once in a lifetime hunts so a penalty for future hunting here is not much of a deterrent. The Department was not sure if guides are already penalized in some way for shooting a sow with a smaller skull. (Apparently in the past this may have been in place, a sow with a large skull may be a non breeder and therefore not an issue)
107	Prohibit shooting from a boat while hunting in the Ugak Bay area in Unit 8		
Oppose	0	10	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Don brings up that the Kodiak AC new of no known reports of this being an issue. Birch brought up if they want it in one area do it Unit wide. Dan said if one bay make it the whole unit. The Department is of course neutral but that boat based shooting leads to increased wounding loss.
108	Create a controlled use area on the Ayakulic River in Unit 8		
Oppose	0	10	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Birch states if one river do all the rivers in the unit.
109	Require all snares on the Kodiak road system to have a breakaway mechanism		
Support	10	0	Neil motions and Hans seconds. Don gave us a lesson on



			breakaway snares and the poundages. Birch asked if this is a specific area or if so far from a road, just that it should be defined better on what specific area. Danny has taken hunters for buffalo there and a client one time shot a buffalo with a snare on its leg that had been there for a long time. Dan mentions that the Kodiak AC wants this and voted 12-0 for this proposal.
146	Change the bag limit for Tier II moose hunts to any mose and establish a resident antlerless moose drawing hunt in Unit 16B		
Oppose	2	8	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Dan asks the Department why is there even a Tier II hunt in a Unit that is over the population objective. The Department states that the Tier II moose are different than the Fall moose. These are moose that come down from the hills and that the Department wants more direction from the BOG. Also that the Tyonek and Yenlo hills AC's probably would not vote for antlerless hunts and therefore have to use a Tier II. Birch feels the Departments population objective is too low for this area. Dan concurs. Birch brought up that predator management has eneded for this unit as well. Dan feels that overharvest near the accessible points will occur. Herb has hunted this area for a long time and he sees lots of moose. Neil wants to know if any of these "hill" moose are from 16A? The Department does not feel that many moose from 16A cross the Kahiltna to get to 16B.
147	Change the hunting dates for Brown Bear in Unit 9B as follows:		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans seconds. The Department supports 147 over 148. The AC likes the extra week of opportunity over 148.
148	Change the hunting season dates for Brown Bear in Unit 9B as follows:		
NA	NA		See 147
149	Establish seasons and bag limits for mule deer statewide, excluding Sitka black-tailed deer, as follows:		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans Seconds. Every once in awhile we like to support the Department. Don brings up the Department needs animals to test and one way to get them is if they are harvested.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
12	Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area		
Support	8	2	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Herb states no offense to Neil but he has to support. Birch concurs. Birch wants clarification on "reside?" six months and a day etc...
15	Amend the emergency closure process to exclude advisory committees that have not meet within the last three years		
Support	10	0	Neil motions. Hans seconds. Good to support the Department every so often.
16	Expand the functions of the advisory committees to encourage the involvement of youth and elders		
Support	8	2	Neil motions. Hans seconds. Birch wanted a more concrete definition of encourage.
19	Simplify the process for removing advisory committee members for having unjustifiable absences from meetings		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans seconds.
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Can make minutes at last meeting of year easier.
25	Remove redundant provisions for advisory committee officer regulations and clarify duties of the secretary		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans seconds. It is hard to be secretary and try to participate in meetings effectively.
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans seconds. Good to have no misintrepatation.
32	Repeal the provision for Board of Fisheries members attending advisory committee meetings to be in compliance with the statutory requirement for holding board meetings in specific areas of the state		
Support	10	0	Neil motions, Hans seconds. May encourage BOF members to show up at AC meetings.
38	Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months		
Support	6	4	Neil motions, Hans seconds. If a fishery has been closed for two

			years the BOF needs to meet to address this issue.
--	--	--	--

Meeting adjourned at 9:10 pm.

Minutes Recorded By: Birch Yuknis
Minutes Approved By: Herb Mansavage, Chair
Date Approved: 3/1/2019

**McGrath Fish & Game Advisory Committee
September 27, 2018
Captain Snow Center, McGrath**

- I. Call to Order: 12:10 by Chairman Roger Seavoy

- II. Roll Call:
Members Present:
 - Lewis Egrass- McGrath
 - Steffan Strick- McGrath
 - Dan Esai - Nikolai
 - Roger Seavoy - McGrath
 - Ken Deardorff – McGrath
 - Vern John – Nikolia Alternate
 - Mike Tierney – McGrath Alternate
 - Ray Collins – McGrath*

*Ray jointed at 12:25

Members Absent (Excused):

 - Andrew Runkle
 - Clinton Goods
 - Peter Snow
 - Mark Cox
 - Jesse Grady
 - Jimmy Nikolai

Members Absent (Unexcused): none

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8

- III. Approval of Agenda: Yes
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: 10/3/2018 Approved
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Louise Standish & Josh Pierce (DWC), Colton Lipka (DCF), Nissa Pilcher*(BDS), and Dave Runfola* (SUBS)
*Via teleconference

- VI. Guests Present: Brett Gibbons DPS; Kenton Moos, FWS; Elsie Ivey, Barry Solie, Francis Mitchell, Jim Stam, other members of McGrath community

- VII. Old Business:
Chairman Seavoy read letter received from USF&WS re; staffing of positions in McGrath at Innoko Refuge office. Kenton Moos, USF&WS manager addressed the committee and



expressed his hope that they would be able to provide more resources in the future and that they had hired Kellie Peirce for the ranger position here.

VIII. New Business:

Dan Esai requested a checkpoint at Blackwater on Big River to make sure of antler restrictions in RM650 hunt. Consensus was that it would be more efficient to use a satellite phone to call Brett Gibbens if it appeared there was a violation. Gibbens could then check at the AC slip on arrival of hunters in MCG.

Additionally, Roger shared comments on the duties of the AC boards and asked for comments from the public. Barry Solie suggested the board include sunset provisions in some of their proposals where restrictions may apply.

ADF&G Staff updates;

Josh made the point that the board needs to prepare proposals for next year, by May 1, 2018. He suggested some ideas of what those proposals might look like. He said we need to submit a new proposal to continue predator control. Seavoy suggested we reduce the mandatory minimum remaining wolves from 40 to 25 and increase the area of the wolf focus area. He encouraged area pilots to assist Josh in drawing the updated 19D east map for the proposal.

Josh also suggested we look into a winter moose hunt, and a Farewell Registration hunt in order to gather more data on the actual harvest in the Farewell area.

BOG INT proposals to submit during the next cycle

1. A motion was made to approve creation of proposals for , continued predator control, a winter moose hunt in 19D and 21A, and a registration hunt in the Farewell area. Moved by Egrass, 2nd by Strick. Approved 8/0 (note; Collins voting now)
2. A motion was also made that the moose hunt in 21A should be a registration hunt in order to gather more data from that area. Moved by Strick, 2nd by Egrass. Approved 8/0
3. A motion was made to require transport of all meat of moose, caribou, bison, while still on the bones, in all areas of GMU 19 & 21. As pertains to GMU 21, Josh wanted to talk with the GASH committee to get their input. Moved by Dan Esai, 2nd by Egrass; Approved 8/0
4. A proposal to allow the taking of bears by foot snaring was submitted by Seavoy. Discussion ensued, and it was decided to table this issue for the time being.

5. It was once again proposed to keep the lynx season open to March 31 to harmonize it with the open season on wolverine in the same area. Brett Gibbens said it would make enforcement much easier and, as pointed out several times before, there is no biological reason to not do this. Moved by Strick, 2nd by Egrass; Approved 8/0

6. A proposed winter caribou hunt in all of 19D and 21A. Antlerless caribou only. Limited registration permit. ADF&G will set quota of about 40 animals/year. No aircraft use. Season to run January 1 through February 28

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim January 15-19, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
105	Allow use of set gillnets with 7 ½" mesh to harvest salmon other than king salmon and other non-salmon fish species on the Kuskokwim River for subsistence purposes during times of king salmon conservation		
Oppose	0	8	It was felt that this proposal was an obvious attempt to actually increase king salmon take rather than protect king salmon
106	Allow set gillnets to be operated for subsistence purposes within 50 feet of each other in that portion of the Kuskokwim River drainage from the north end of Eek Island upstream to the mouth of the Kolmakoff River		
No Action (NA)			
107	Allow the use of dipnets in the Kuskokwim River drainage subsistence salmon fishery		
Support	8	0	
108	Add dip nets as legal gear for subsistence fishing in the Kuskokwim Area		
NA			
109	Close waters marine waters immediately adjacent to the mouth of the Kuskokwim River to subsistence fishing during times of king salmon conservation		
Support	8	0	Conserve king salmon
110	Close all fishing in non-salmon spawning rivers of the Kuskokwim River within five miles of the confluence during times of king salmon conservation		
NA			
111	Increase maximum gillnet mesh size to 8 inches in both subsistence and commercial salmon fisheries		
Oppose	0	8	Obvious attempt to increase king salmon take
112	Allow subsistence fishing for with dipnet gear during times of king or coho salmon conservation		
NA			



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim January 15-19, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
113	Close king salmon fisheries on the Kuskokwim River by emergency order on June 1		
Oppose	0	8	Thinly disguised effort to increase the harvest of sheefish and likely transient king salmon during the current closed period

Alaska Board of Game Southcentral Region Meeting Proposals March 15-19, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
127	Open a registration hunt for moose in Unit 19A		
Oppose	0	8	<p>This proposal was before the committee last year to be considered by the BOG out of sequence. At that time the SHAC committee did not support the proposal to hear it out of sequence and insisted on restrictions should this issue be resubmitted. This committee supported SHAC in their position.</p> <p>Once again we support SHAC.</p> <p>The McGrath Committee can support proposal 127 if, and only if, it contains the restrictions and amendments stipulated by the SHAC committee.</p>



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
4	Move the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee from the Western Region to the Interior Region		
Support	8	0	Committee felt that it is only common sense to be listed in the region where the committee is located.
5	Designate two seats on the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee for the community of Georgetown		
Oppose	0	8	

Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: Ken Deardorff

Minutes Approved By: Roger Seavoy

Date: 11/8/2018

**Mt Yenlo Fish & Game Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes
3/5/2019
Meeting held at Northwoods Lodge**

- I. Call to Order: [3:01] by Eric Johnson Chairman
- II. Roll Call:
Members Present: Tom Brion, Eric Johnson, David McHoes, Bonnie Dee Childs, John Little, James Ivey, Steve Childs, Shon Parker
Members Absent (Excused): Rodger Phillips, Al Gaszak, Tom Payton
Members Absent (Unexcused):0
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 5
List of User Groups Present:1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,12
- III. Approval of Agenda: Eric Johnson
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: n/a
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: 0
- VI. Guests Present: Ann Parker, Shan Johnson
- VII. Old Business: none
- VIII. New Business: Elections and board discussions on game board proposals, and Joint board proposals.

Elections were held with the following results: Steve Childs, Shon Parker, John Little
Officer elections were held with the following results: Chair – Eric Johnson, Vice Chair – David McHoes, Secretary – Bonnie Dee Childs



Alaska Board of Game Southcentral Region Meeting Proposals

March 15-19, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
126	Allow additional moose harvest opportunity in Unit 16A by providing an antlerless hunt and/or an "any bull" hunt.		
	6	1	We supported this hunt as they have reached their harvest objective.
146	Change the bag limit for Tier II moose hunts to any moose and establish a resident antlerless moose drawing hunt in Unit 16B		
	0	7	We are opposed to this hunt as we have not yet reached our harvest objective and all are opposed to the taking of Cows.

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
	0	7	All opposed this proposal, as we feel that these fish come thru Cook Inlet to our waters, We are the nursery for Cook Inlet.

Meeting adjourned at 4:01 pm

Minutes Recorded By: Bonnie Childs
Minutes Approved By: Eric Johnson, Chair
Date Approved: 3/6/2019

**Nushagak Advisory Committee
Thursday January 31, 2019
Dillingham City Council Chambers**

Minutes

Joint Board, Area M, and Statewide Finfish proposals Spring 2019

I. **Call to Order:** 9:40 by Chairman Frank Woods

II. **Roll Call:**

Members Present:

Frank Woods III chair Dan Dunaway Secretary
Curt Armstrong Susie Jenkins-Brito
Tom O'Connor Travis Ball Aleknagik
Richard King by phone Ekwok
Peter Christopher by phone New Stuyahok
Joe Wassily by phone Alt for Clarks Pt
Moses Kritz by phone Togiak
Chris Carr, by phone Portage Creek
Gayla Hoseth Dillingham joined meeting part of the time by phone.

Members Absent (Excused):

Joe Chythlook Vice Chair
Todd Fritze Alt Dillingham
Mariano Floresta Clarks Pt.
Glen Wysocki Koliganek
Barbara Moore Manokotak

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: total seats 15, quorum 8
quorum met. 11 presents at start of the meeting.

List of User Groups Present:

Subsistence fishing and hunting
Commercial Salmon & Herring drift net
Sport fishing and guiding.
Commercial Salmon set net
Trapping
Hunting guide

III. **Approval of Agenda:**

Sue Move to adopt open agenda due to various schedules; Dan second
Discussion: some people available for a limited time,
Do Statewide proposals first until noon when Kodiak ADFG will be available.

Approved unanimous 11-0

IV. **Approval of November 26 Meeting Minutes:**

Sue move Tom 2nd approved by unanimous consent.

V. **Fish and Game / Enforcement Staff Present:**

Taryn Brito Boards
Jason Dye Sport Fish
Tim Sands Com Fish
Lee Borden Sport Fish
Jordan Head Com fish by phone
Bob Murphy Com Fish Kodiak by phone Bob Murphy
Neil Barten ADFG Wildlife
Trooper Joe Wittkop

VI. **Guests Present:**

Suzanna Henry USFWS Andy Aderman USFWS
Avery Lil, KDLG
Chris Maines BBNA
Gene Sandone for BBEDC
Other guests may have joined the meeting but were not noted. A sign-in sheet was posted.

VII. **ADFG and USFWS Staff Updates**

1. Commercial Fish Tim Sands:

Togiak Herring Forecast is available. New analysis of long time assessment methods was done. Resulted in much larger biomass estimates for 2019.

2019 Sac roe forecast to be over 26,000 tons.

Noted that the BOF changed the gear allocations at the fall meeting.

Sockeye salmon Forecast: approximately 10 million total run, expect about 8 million harvest. If Nushagak kings look good the commercial red fishery may start when there are 30,000 reds in the Wood River.

2. Sport Fish Lee Borden

State wide harvest and effort data is beginning to be processed and 2018 estimates should be available mid summer.

2019 Field season: Creel survey scheduled for a month on the Nushagak River, count and interview anglers, sample harvested fish.

He noted the King Management Plan has changed and most triggers in the old plan have been removed for the sport fishery. The Plan is scheduled to be re-evaluated by a working group and recommendations will be presented at the 2020 BOF meeting. ADFG is soliciting members for the working group now.

Comment: It seems the man-use days on the Nushagak have really increased as there have been sport king closures across the state.

Concern: yes, with Cook Inlet sport closures already announced what kind of effort will come to the Nushagak in 2019? Fortunate that a creel survey is planned for 2019.

Sport Fish noted that recent annual effort on the Nushagak has been increasing, it's still less than peak use levels seen in the 90's or early 2000's.

3. Wildlife Conservation, Biologist Neil Barten

Aerial wolf permittees waiting for suitable weather and snow conditions. Last year 30 wolves taken by air and about 50 by trappers and on the ground hunting. It appears to have helped as calf survival last summer was the best seen in 20 years.

Mulchatna Caribou harvest to date there are about 130 animals reported harvested but there are rumors that a number of animals have not been reported in the Kuskokwim area. Discussing reporting problems Barten says ADFG is brainstorming how to improve reporting and public education. The Department should consider using social media to help the problem.

17A Winter moose season was extended until the end of February as harvest so far is below desired levels.

-- 10:10 -- member Chris Carr dropped out of meeting due to very poor phone conditions and hoped to fly to Dillingham about 1:00 PM.

4. ADFG Board Support, Taryn Brito:

Alaska Peninsula / Aleutians Board Feb 21-26 in Anchorage was shortened by one day. * Statewide Finfish and Supplemental Anchorage March 9-12. South Central meeting March 15-19 in Anchorage. * Joint Boards to address AC issues and Process for adopting regulations March 21-25 in Anchorage. All meetings shortened.

No updates on work groups that were set up by the Board of Fish. News release on Nushagak King Salmon Plan group seeking nominations just went out.

AWT, Joe Wittkop:

Fall training prevented him from attending fall meeting and gave a summary of 2018 activities. Trooper Sgt Bump is the new area supervisor and based in King Salmon - formerly AST in Dillingham. Trooper Quist moved to another assignment.

Herring: used 32 foot patrol boat, very few violations and fishery was orderly. Joe participated in a joint patrol with Feds on the spring yellow fin sole fishery near Nushagak Peninsula - found no significant violations. Bear season was hampered by poor conditions, little participation and no violations found.

Sport fishing: for the first time in a while there were funds and staff to make sport fishing patrols. It was a team effort including use of the helicopter. No high rates of violations - typical violations were snagging, no licenses, expired licenses, incomplete log books and failure to record king harvest. Troopers were watching specifically for roe stripping but found none.

Commercial Salmon: Big fleet in the Nushagak and the Stimson was used. Violations proportional to the fleet size. Typical closed waters etc. What seemed an increase possibly due to poor weather, huge run, and big fleet was failure to report lost nets. Also fishing while grounded which may have been due to weather as well as fishermen less familiar with the sand bars and tides, and more jet boats in Nushagak. Wittkop emphasized that its not illegal to lose nets but failure to report is a violation.

Fall Hunt: Very few violations, 1 accidental cow shot, 1 undersized moose by nonresident, 1 moose without a permit. There is still confusion regarding the terms for a registration vs General Hunt. Some concern for shooting grouse on the roads.

Winter Moose; Trooper was out for training much of the season but hunting conditions were poor and effort seems to have been low.

There was a discussion wishing for better dispatch process for reporting fish and wildlife problems, need a system like the search and rescue dispatch that is excellent.

There was a discussion how illegal king salmon subsistence drifting /"seining" was worse in the middle reaches of the Nushagak River summer 2018. "Really bad" last summer with folks taking 50-80 per drift. Discussion moved to complaints of subsistence competing with sport anglers. Concerns also raised about the impacts of the extra large commercial fleet.

Troopers may try to work with upriver villages on a solution.

-- 9:58 to 10:02 Teleconference dropped and reconnected. Meeting paused.

-- 10:27 AM Travis Ball, Aleknagik AC rep arrived --

6. USFWS Togiak Refuge Superintendent Henry, Biologist Andy Aderman:

Back to work recently and relieved to be able to attend the meeting.

Aderman reported 2 items. 1) 10 caribou killed in the fall on the Nushagak Peninsula herd. 2) Federal enforcement does not shut down even if the rest of the Refuge staff furloughed. Also explained how Federal and State moose and caribou total bag limits are tied together not additive. Needs more public education.

Short discussion on AYK proposal RC 69 ceremonial king salmon. No action taken.

AC members agreed to address Joint Boards Proposals first and until noon when North Alaska Peninsula Area Commercial salmon Biologist Bob Murphy will be available to help with Area M proposals.

-- 10:55 -Break

Richard King Ekwok, excused himself online until 1 PM

Joe Wassily, Clarks Point, had to leave for an emergency.

-- 11:10 - Resume meeting

Quorum maintained at 8

VIII. **New Business:**

1) Review and comment on Joint Boards, Area M and Statewide proposals.

2) **Subcommittee:** The AC was concerned that schedules of several members might jeopardize maintaining a quorum for the duration of the meeting. Chair did not want the to lose the ability to act on all proposals.

Sue moved and asked for unanimous consent, Tom 2nd to: Form a subcommittee of 7 AC members with a minimum of five members for quorum and authorize them to act for the whole AC should we drop below quorum. All AC members present would be authorized to be on the committee and to vote. Five AC members indicated they were able to be present for the duration of the meeting.

Motion carried with unanimous consent.

3) Secretary requested that for motions on proposals, the AC would use roll call votes where there may not be unanimous support or opposition. He wanted to assure accurate record of votes. AC members agreed.

4) Board Support agreed to introduce the Joint Boards proposals.

SEE Joint Board TEMPLATE FOLLOWING.

--12:00 Noon, AC switched from Joint Board Proposals (on 22 at the time) to take up Area M proposals with help from ADFG Biologist Bob Murphy.

-- 12:09 -- Gayla Leaves meeting by phone.

SEE Area M TEMPLATE FOLLOWING.

NOTE: proposals were not always taken in order, but may have been addressed when ADFG staff were available to discuss, or in some cases while certain AC members were able to be present and participate.

-- 12:45 Susie Brito departs meeting.

-- 12:45 Richard King rejoins meeting by phone.

-- 1:00 PM LUNCH Break .

-- 1:01 - 1:15 ?? PM Power outage

Teleconference phone disconnected.

-- 1:35 PM Meeting resumed power on, back on record after lunch.

Roll call after lunch Present:

Curt Armstrong

Travis Ball

Tom O'Connor

Moses Kritz Togiak by phone

Frank Woods

Richard King Ekwok by phone

Dan Dunaway

No Quorum - however Proposal Subcommittee is in effect to complete work.

-- 2:12 PM -- Susie Brito rejoins meeting in person Full quorum

-- 2:30 PM -- Peter Christopher, rejoin meeting by phone. Full Quorum

5) Nushagak Coho Management Plan Tim Sands ADFG presented issues:

See ACR 182 at end of Area M proposal grid below.

-- 3:00 PM Curt Armstrong departs meeting. subcommittee back in effect.

-- 3:10 PM Break from Area M proposals to address ACR 182 Nushagak Coho

--3:30 PM -- Gayla Hoseth, rejoin meeting by phone. Full quorum

-- 3:57 resume Area M proposal review.

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS WERE TAKEN UP AFTER THE AC WORKED THROUGH THE PROPOSALS

-- 4:16 PM Curt Armstrong rejoins meeting in person.

6) Boards asked AC to consider what might be a good interval for the Joint Boards to meet. General consensus was that every 5 years, beginning on a census year was good.

IX. Review and Approval of the minutes for this meeting.

We need to have final minutes by the Board Meetings. Minutes due February 7.

Sue Move and Trav 2nd to have AC direct Secretary to complete and submit minutes to Chair for review and approval.

Motion carried 7-0

X. Date and Location of next meeting: Call of the chair; might be as late as December 2019.

Adjourn 4:38 PM



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
1	Designate seats for the community of Craig and the Port St. Nicholas area on the Craig Advisory Committee		
NA			Sue move, Dan 2nd, take No Action 1-6 AC Supported unanimously
2	Move the seat designation for the community of Chevak from the Central Bering Sea Advisory Committee to the Coastal Lower Yukon Advisory Committee		
NA			
3	Add two undesignated seats to the Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee		
NA			
4	Move the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee from the Western Region to the Interior Region		
NA			
5	Designate two seats on the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee for the community of Georgetown		
NA			
6	Dissolve the Lake Minchumina Advisory Committee		
NA			
7	Reduce the membership for the Lake Iliamna Advisory Committee to ten and add designations for the communities of Pope Vannoy Landing and Port Alsworth		
Support	8	0	Boards: Iliamna AC supports with amendment: To have no designated seat for Pope Vannoy and keep 3 undesignated seats.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			Sue Move Tom 2nd to adopt as amended by Iliamna AC: "It would be good for a designated seat for Port Alsworth - that community is growing." With amendment everybody will fit in one plane, more cost & time efficient. We should support our neighbor AC they know what they need.
8	Establish seat designations for the Naknek/Kvichak Advisory Committee		
Support	8	0	Sue Move Trav 2nd to adopt. Traditionally they assigned 1 seat to S. Naknek and 1 to Levelock. Seems reasonable and they know what they need.
9	Change the name of the Kotzebue Advisory Committee to Kotzebue Sound Advisory Committee		
NA			
10	Reduce the number of undesignated seats for advisory committees in the Arctic region		
NA			
11	Consolidate regulations addressing the creation of advisory committees		
Support	8	0	Sue Move, Dan 2nd to adopt: Consolidates and provides more opportunity for participation. Its better to have it in one place in the book.
12	Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area		
Oppose	0	8	Sue move Tom 2nd to adopt: I oppose; what is meant by geographical area? We have areas of jurisdiction, some are small some huge. There can be seasonal residence issues and users may not live here all the time but be very active and knowledgeable of the resources. Isn't that the reason for undesignated seats in some AC's? Several spoke in opposition. The Nushagak AC had a nonlocal



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			(but formerly local) chairman for years who was extremely active in the fisheries and very knowledgeable. Sometimes it was an advantage, he could get to Anchorage meetings easily for us.
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
NA			
14	Update the area of jurisdiction for westward area king crab to include the Kotzebue Fish and Game Advisory Committee		
NA			
15	Amend the emergency closure process to exclude advisory committees that have not meet within the last three years		
Support	8	0	Sue Move, Tom 2nd to adopt: This is an ADFG proposal. Maybe it would be a big help in South East AK - they have several inactive ACs? " I can see how current regs might hamper the active ACs if their wishes are diluted by inactive ones. If the inactive ones don't like it they can get active.
16	Expand the functions of the advisory committees to encourage the involvement of youth and elders		
Support	8	0	Sue move Tom 2nd to adopt: I think Nush AC tends to do this already. Kids have come here and participated. Is this just window dressing? Would it empower those folks somehow? Its a good move to encourage participation and encourage new AC members. We need young folks to get involved.
17	Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees		
Support	8	0	Sue Move, Tom 2nd to adopt: These are good guidelines. It fixes regulation language for consistency. Clarifies. Meaning of Domicile discussed vs "Abode". Clarification is always helpful. If this is consistent with statutory language the regulation will be stronger.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			What about AC members who live here seasonally? If they are elected to AC they have full powers. Some discussion of village elections administered by a Tribal entity - all community members still eligible to vote.
18	Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not refuse membership to a nominee		
Support	8	0	Sue move Tom 2nd to adopt: Committees may not exclude membership to any undesignated seats. So a committee can't decide to leave a seat unfilled if someone is eligible and wants it.
19	Simplify the process for removing advisory committee members for having unjustifiable absences from meetings		
NA			Nushagak AC defers to proposal 20 on this issue
20	Amend the removal for cause provisions		
Support	8	0	Sue move Tom 2nd to adopt: Removes requirement for Joint Board to act. Provides authority back to AC. Seems better and less burdensome.
21	Amend the advisory committee vacancy rules		
Support	8	0	Sue move Tom 2nd to adopt: In some ACs a member may be holding a seat for a long time after term expired. Changing to May from Shall would be simpler. Some Nush AC members have seen the situation at other ACs . Good idea.
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
Oppose	0	8	Sue move Dan 2nd to adopt: I'm opposed, doesn't allow for adequate discussion electronically, we lose so much, even trying to do this stuff by phone is really hard. Not ready for this yet.
23	Provide guidance for advisory committee actions having abstentions		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Support	7	0	Dan Move Trav 2nd to adopt: We should support this. I know people who don't want to vote for lack of knowledge on an issue and they don't want their abstention to be converted to a No vote. This secretary has had trouble knowing how to characterize abstentions in the past - adopting this would be helpful. There is a lot of confusion about how and when to abstain - we aren't a school board or spending peoples' money different rules could apply here. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
24	Add language to clarify advisory committee meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act and modify noncompliant provisions		
Support	7	0	Sue move, Trav 2nd to adopt: Boards staff has warned AC members about open meeting concerns. This would clarify and be helpful. Sounds like this would put current practice into "law" and be more clear. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
25	Remove redundant provisions for advisory committee officer regulations and clarify duties of the secretary		
Support	7	0	Sue move, Trav 2nd to Adopt: As secretary, I'm all for clarification. Anything to help guide us. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
26	Schedule certain requests for advisory committees to be acted upon by each board during regularly scheduled meetings		
Opposed	1	5	Sue move, Dan 2nd to adopt: Do we want to grant board support that much authority to resolve potential different outcomes among the boards? Not needed. Discussions are always different if there are different people present. Not a good idea. No record of statement from supporting vote. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
27	Remove the concept of "active" and "inactive" committees		
Support	6	0	Sue move Tom 2nd to adopt. Explanation provided by board support makes sense. Former Boards staff now an AC member

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			agrees and supports. It doesn't take a lot if an AC wants to get itself revived. This might make it easier to activate an AC. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
Oppose	0	6	Sue move Tom 2nd to adopt: Would this end up a big can of worms? On some issues a would there be a whole bunch of AC reps sitting at the table? Could get messy. Interesting concept at first but see problems. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
Oppose	0	6	Sue Move Tom 2nd to adopt. This is the same as for 28. Can of worms. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
30	Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions		
Oppose	0	6	Sue move Trav 2nd to adopt: This proposal actually has 2 parts: 1) Testimony part: We've had ACRs that the Board totally misunderstood and explanation might be nice. BUT to allow testimony might end up lopsided. If its open to one testimony must allow all to testify pro and con. "Could become a "" shit show"". "I hope no more after deadline, out-of-ACR-format "letter" gets turned into an ACR like last fall." Biggest opposition to this proposal is the testimony aspects. 2) definition part: how to address meaning of work session? was not discussed much. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
31	Provide a definition for a board hearing of at least three board members with a public comment requirement		
NA			Sue move, Trav 2nd to adopt: This isn't needed. petty much like 30 and redundant. See 30 no action.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			Subcommittee in effect
32	Repeal the provision for Board of Fisheries members attending advisory committee meetings to be in compliance with the statutory requirement for holding board meetings in specific areas of the state		
NA			Subcommittee in effect.
33	Amend the Joint Board's procedure for establishing fish and game regulations		
NA with comments			<p>Sue move, Trav 2nd Subcommittee recommends no action but offers following comments:</p> <p>We have concerns if public process is removed.</p> <p>Some AC members like the intent.</p> <p>The Nushagak AC has experienced problems much like this seeks to address.</p> <p>This might limit Board actions but it might make it harder to find compromises.</p> <p>This might cause more petitions and hamper board actions.</p> <p>It does seem to address concerns that have been raised in the past.</p> <p>There have been times when the Board seemed to circumvent public process and a Bristol Bay proposal was turned 180 degrees by the board.</p> <p>Subcommittee in effect for this item.</p>
34	Amend the Joint Board Petition Policy to correct a contradiction with the subsistence proposal policy		
NA			
35	Repeal and rewrite the Joint Board Petition Policy		
NA			
36	Allow a petitioner the opportunity to present information about the petition to the boards and establish definitions for unforeseen and unexpected events and situations		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
NA			
37	Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy		
NA			
38	Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months		
NA			
39	Update the regulations to correct errors and omissions		
NA			
40	Amend regulations to align and clarify current practices for advisory committees and the process for adopting fish and game regulations		
Support	7	0	Sue move, Trav 2nd to adopt: We support clarification and to have regulations consistent with current practices. We do not seek authority outside that delegated to us. We support repeal of the "one board member at an AC meeting constitutes a hearing." Seems cumbersome. Maybe a Board Member should be able to wander into an AC meeting without it becoming a hearing. Seems mostly "house keeping" appears a lot of work went into this. Subcommittee in effect for this vote.
<i>In addition to the above proposals, the Joint Board will discuss setting a schedule for future Joint Board meetings. Currently, Joint Board meetings are scheduled on an as-needed basis. Advisory committees are welcome to provide recommendations on this topic.</i>			
RC 69 ??	Ceremonial first King Salmon		
NA			One AC member brought up RC 69 and the ceremonial first king salmon. I would like folks to be aware of this issue. Its very important to some groups especially along the Yukon (? and Kuskokwim?) River. There

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			appears to be quite a bit of opposition within the area. Some have enforcement concerns and whether it could get out of hand Nushagak AC consensus to take NO ACTION



**Alaska Board of Fisheries: Alaska Peninsula/Chignik/Aleutian Islands-
Bering Sea Proposals**
February 21-27, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
128	Increase Chignik Management Area sockeye salmon harvest and escapement thresholds in the Southeastern District Mainland Salmon Management Plan		
NA			
129	Decrease the Chignik River-origin sockeye salmon harvest composition from 80 percent to 66 percent of harvest in the Southeast District Mainland Salmon Management Plan		
NA			
130	Decrease the Chignik River-origin sockeye salmon harvest composition from 80 percent to 60 percent of harvest in the Southeast District Mainland Salmon Management Plan		
NA			
131	Increase the Southeast District Mainland allocation of Chignik River-origin sockeye salmon from 7.6% to 10%		
NA			
132	Revise the Southeastern District Mainland Salmon Management Plan to allow commercial salmon fishing with set gillnet gear concurrent to open commercial fishing periods for salmon in the Chignik Management Area		
NA			
133	Open the Southeastern District to commercial fishing for salmon in concurrence with Western and Perryville district open commercial salmon fishing periods from June 1 through July 13		
NA			
134	Repeal the current South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan and readopt the management plan in place prior to 2001		
NA with recommendations			SEE discussion 135. below.
135	Repeal the current South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan and readopt an amended version of the management plan in place prior to 2001		



**Alaska Board of Fisheries: Alaska Peninsula/Chignik/Aleutian Islands-
Bering Sea Proposals**
February 21-27, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
NA with recommendations.	6	1	<p>Trav move Tom 2nd to adopt: Long discussion what proposal would do. WASSIP shops they intercept BBay and Chignik fish. I support in concept but hesitate to vote, may abstain. WASSIP showed most of the catch is not local or North Pen fish.</p> <p>Motion withdrawn</p> <p><u>NEW MOTION</u>: Sue move, Tom 2nd to: take no action on 134, 135, 136 but recommend or support any Board Actions that would reduce mixed stock and intercept harvests in this location.</p> <p>Subcommittee in effect.</p>
136	Amend the South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan so that fishing periods are structured with 24-hour windows where commercial salmon fishing gear is in the water		
NA with recommendations.			SEE discussion 135.
137	Expand geographic scope of the Dolgoi Island Area as defined in the South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management and Post-June Salmon Management Plan for the South Alaska Peninsula		
NA			
138	Amend the South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan and the Post-June Salmon Management Plan for the South Alaska Peninsula to reduce commercial salmon fishing opportunity in the Dolgoi Island Area		
NA			
139	Repeal Dolgoi Island Area-related regulations from the South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan and the Post-June Salmon Management Plan for the South Alaska Peninsula		
NA			
140	Include the area from Cape Tolstoi to McGinty Point in the area open to commercial fishing for salmon under the South Unimak and Shumagin Islands June Salmon Management Plan		
NA			



**Alaska Board of Fisheries: Alaska Peninsula/Chignik/Aleutian Islands-
Bering Sea Proposals**
February 21-27, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
141	Repeal closed waters in the South Alaska Peninsula Area		
NA			
142	Establish commercial salmon fishing periods by emergency order from July 14 through July 31 in the South Alaska Peninsula		
NA			
143	Repeal the immature salmon test fishery in the Shumagin Islands Section		
Oppose	0	7	<p>Tom move Trav 2nd to adopt: discussion on what goes on with this test fishery. One AC member has been an observer in the 80's. Apparently little work has been done on these undersize fish. Its not known what stocks they come from, but they are still rearing.</p> <p>Seems biologically responsible to protect them. Maybe other areas should be doing this too. These fish are a big concern when Bristol Bay runs are poor.</p> <p>Subcommittee in effect for this item.</p>
144	Restrict commercial fishing for salmon in areas both along the coast and in open seaward waters of the Outer Port Heiden Section and the open seaward waters of the Ilnik Section when the preseason Bristol Bay sockeye salmon forecast is 30 million fish or less		
Support in concept	8	0	<p>Sue move, Tom 2nd to adopt: SEE ALTERNATE MOTION BELOW: ADFG notes there may be a typo or mistake / misprint where the first distance reads .5 miles - probably should be 1.5 miles. AC agrees and will treat it as 1.5. Discussion, move fleet closer to shore depending on forecast. Comments that the Lower Bristol Bay AC may be recommending an amendment to add restrictions to fishing time also but no details available. Several AC members noted that a number of Dillingham area fishermen, set and drift Ugashik District and they are likely impacted by the OPH and Ilnik harvests as currently managed.</p> <p>AC member: "Board broke its own policy "no new intercepts" when it opened the OPH in 2007 - that had never been open since Statehood except very briefly a couple years. I support total closure or any reduction to OPH as we know its mainly an intercept of Ugashik salmon." Nushagak AC should support this to help our fishers who use lower Bristol Bay.</p> <p>" WASSIP shows 80% of OPH harvest is Ugashik salmon. " Discussion of recent runs and harvests in Ilnik and OPH; overlap provisions; Peter Pan</p>



**Alaska Board of Fisheries: Alaska Peninsula/Chignik/Aleutian Islands-
Bering Sea Proposals**
February 21-27, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			<p>fire in 2017 eliminated market that year. Aerial survey frequencies of Port Heiden and Ilnik escapements are 4-6 times per year. Murphy noted declines in king fishery on North Peninsula.</p> <p>Comment: Ilnik and OPH harvest management supposed to be based on escapement into local spawning streams; WASSIP found the harvest only took 3% - 6% of Ilnik and up to 10% Meshik bound fish. The very large harvest (annual average 500,000 fish) is mostly Ugashik and Bristol Bay salmon; this big harvest is disproportionate to production likely from local spawning streams.</p> <p>Comment AC member: I prefer to have that fleet fish down near Reindeer Creek and farther west where they are more likely actually harvest Inner Port Heiden bound fish, not intercept Bristol Bay fish.</p> <p><u>New Motion by Travis, 2nd by Sue: to support 144 and 145 in concept. North Peninsula fleet needs to share and bear conservation on Ugashik stocks but I don't want to bind Lower Bristol Bay AC on the details of their pending amendments. Unanimous support by Nushagak AC</u></p>
145	Restrict commercial fishing for salmon along the coast and in offshore waters of the Outer Port Heiden Section and restrict fishing in offshore waters of the northeast portion of the Ilnik Section		
Support concept	8	0	See 144 support in concept
146	Close portions of the Northern District to commercial fishing for salmon when escapement goals are not met for two consecutive years		
NA			<p>Trav move Sue 2nd: Seems to re-instate "rolling closures". Caused a lot of confusion. ADFG needs to do more education if this passes.</p> <p>I'm not clear enough on this to feel confident to take a position. I prefer to support in Concept but take No Action</p> <p><u>Vote to take no action: 6 - No Action, 2 Yes Take action.</u></p>
147	Reduce the inriver goal for sockeye salmon above the Chignik River weir for August and September		



**Alaska Board of Fisheries: Alaska Peninsula/Chignik/Aleutian Islands-
Bering Sea Proposals**
February 21-27, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
NA			
148	Amend the Chignik Area Salmon Management Plan so that pink, chum, and coho salmon stocks in the Western and Perryville sections of Area L will be managed based on the strength of the pink, chum, and coho salmon stocks in the Stepovak and Shumagin Islands sections of Area M		
NA			
149	Increase commercial salmon fishing opportunity in the Western and Perryville districts		
NA			
150	Open the Western District to commercial fishing for salmon for up to 48 hours each week from June 1 to July 5		
NA			
151	Increase commercial salmon fishing opportunity in the Western and Perryville districts from June 1 through July 5		
NA			
152	Prohibit commercial fishing for salmon in the Perryville District and Mitrofanina Section until the Orzinski Lake sockeye escapement goal is met		
NA			
153	Prohibit commercial fishing for salmon in the Perryville District and Mitrofanina Section when the Southeastern District is closed to commercial fishing for salmon		
NA			
154	Adopt a large fish escapement goal for king salmon		
NA			
155	Allow the shoreward end of a set gillnet to be anchored other than on the beach above low tide		
NA			
156	Repeal minimum mesh size requirement for set gillnets in the South Alaska Peninsula Area		
NA			



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Alaska Peninsula/Chignik/Aleutian Islands- Bering Sea Proposals

February 21-27, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
157	Allow two legal limits of set gillnet gear to be transported by a single vessel as long as both limited entry permit holders owning the gear are onboard the vessel		
NA			
158	Increase the maximum length of purse seines from 225 to 250 fathoms in the Eastern, Central, Western, and Perryville Districts		
NA			
159	Change season start dates and allocation between drift gillnet and purse seine gear groups in the Dutch Harbor food and bait herring fishery		
NA			
160	Allow pot gear to be longlined during the South Alaska Peninsula Area state-waters sablefish fishery		
NA			



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Statewide Finfish Proposals

March 8-11, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
161	Require weekly reporting of salmon harvest by all permit or license holders		
Oppose	0	7	Sue move Trav 2nd to consider and adopt 161 and 162 together All users would have to report harvest regularly. Unworkable for many subsistence fish camps and many sport anglers. While we agree it would be nice to have more timely data on some runs it is inappropriate state wide. This would make every little kid who keeps a fish have to report it. Sport guides already have to report though ADFG has no system for in-season reduction and analysis This could be unduly expensive for ADFG to implement and might be outside BOF authority to adopt. Subcommittee in effect.
162	Require biweekly reporting of all sport, personal use, and subsistence king salmon catch		
Oppose	0	7	See 161
163	Prohibit the intentional waste or destruction of subsistence-caught fish		
NA			AWT says its already illegal
164	Prohibit the intentional waste or destruction of sheefish		
NA			AWT says its already illegal
165	Allow a fishing guide vessel to de-register after registration in a calendar year		
NA			
166	Require rockfish to be released at depth		
NA			
167	Allow the use of two artificial flies		
NA			
168	Specify that any line used to make the attachment between a skiff and a purse seine used in a commercial salmon fishery may not exceed 10 fathoms in length		
NA			



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Statewide Finfish Proposals			
March 8-11, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
169	Bristol Bay		
NA			Comment might have implications in Bristol Bay
170	Amend the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries to include management targets		
NA			Remove SEG & OEZG?? Bristol Bay has several runs with varying types of Escapement Goals.
171	Modify criteria for the allocation of fishery resources among personal use, sport, and commercial fisheries		
NA			
172	Define "bow and arrow"		
NA			
173	Define "ecotourism"		
NA			
			AGENDA CHANGE REQUEST
ACR 182	5AAC 06.368. Nushagak Coho Management Plan		
Support as amended	8	1	ADFG: Coho not likely to be a priority for sonar counter in future. Plan needs to be adjusted to new sonar, escapement goals, and changing analysis of data. Intent of proposal is to assure public input in how to deal with the escapement goal ranges. <u>Comment:</u> We have a lot of "Plans" in the Nushagak and around the Bay. They need periodic review. What if we scheduled to have a comprehensive review of a couple Plans every board cycle? Effects of the pink run/fishery/ market discussed;

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Statewide Finfish Proposals

March 8-11, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			<p>Concern: this ACR could blow open the whole Plan when the intent was to just fix the triggers with the changes to escapement goal ranges.</p> <p>ADFG - generally the management should seek to hit the middle of the escapement range. The main point of this Plan is to protect the coho escapement in unusual run and/ or effort situations. As this Plan is today and if its not modified, it forces ADFG to close the commercial fishery even if we are at the top end of the escapement goal range. That's not needed or the original intent of the Plan.</p> <p>Tom Move Trav 2nd to adopt Discussion on options and catch and harvest data on sport and subsistence takes. Long discussion on why / how to adjust plan, effects of different trigger levels, history of plan actions. Noted that in this version Coho commercial fishery would be managed beginning August 1 vs the old July date that many didn't like as too restrictive. Much discussion on what numbers and date to use. in (c).</p> <p><u>Sue move, Trav 2nd:</u> to have section (c) read:</p> <p>(c) If the total inriver coho salmon return in the Nushagak River is projected by the department to be less than 80,000 fish by August 25, the commissioner shall close, by EO, the directed coho salmon commercial fishery in the Nushagak District by August 1; and</p> <p>Leave rest of language as proposed in 182 and identified in [brackets]. The escapement goat range in (b) would be 70,000 - 130,000. The Sport fishery trigger in (d) would be 70,000; and the subsistence fishery trigger (d) would remain at 60,000. (d) (1)n would be August 1. (d) (2) and (d) (3) would remain as written in proposal (& current Plan).</p>



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Statewide Finfish Proposals

March 8-11, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			<p>All other Plan language to remain the same.</p> <p>Full Quorum present for this vote. 8 yes - 1 no for the amendment</p> <p>Final vote 182 with amendment: 8 Y, 1 N - adopted as amended.</p> <p>No vote seemed to be concerned that plan should be more restrictive to sport and commercial harvests.</p>

Minutes Recorded By: D. Dunaway

Minutes Approved By: *[Signature]*

Date: 9-7-19

Paxson Fish & Game Advisory Committee
10/23/2018
Mi 180 Richardson Hwy

- I. Call to Order: at 7:50 pm by Chair John Schandelmeier
- II. Members Present: John Schandelmeier, Steve Chmielowski, Gary Alcott
Members Absent (Excused): Mark Schlenker, Greg Swope, Alan Echols
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 4
- III. Approval of Agenda: Approved
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: Approved
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: 1 ADFG (who was it?) , Jesse Hankins, BLM
- VI. Guests Present: Rich Holmsted,
- VII. Old Business: None
- VIII. New Business:
Elections were held (and then a quorum was achieved to conduct further committee business) with the following results:
Gary Alcott and Rich Holstrom were elected for 3 year seats, terms expire 6/2021
Mark Schlenker and John Schandelmeier were elected for 2 year seats, term expires 6/2020.
Alan Echols was elected for 1 year alternate, term expires 6/19.
These were done by unanimous consent.
Officers were elected as follows: John Schandelmeier, Chair, Mark Schlenker, Vice-Chair
and Steve Chmielowski, Secretary. These are 2 year terms.

The committee then went into discussion on proposals.

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Support	2	0	1 abstain Fairbanks AC wants to use email among members about proposals and local issues. Not all of our members have e-mail, but the use of electronic mail to reach some of our members who are away or busy with their businesses would benefit by doing some of the preliminary discussion by email.
24	Add language to clarify advisory committee meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act and modify noncompliant provisions		
Support	4	0	Allow 2 or more to call a meeting. Good. It is not just the job of the Chair to determine when a meeting is necessary.
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored.		
Support	4	0	Allow AC to sit at the table while proposals are being deliberated.
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region. This is important. The Board has a hard time remembering what specific testimony has taken place. Having advisory members at the table would allow for the position of the advisory committee to be clarified.		
Support	4	0	Allow AC to sit at table when it is affecting specific area. Members are surprised this is not already in effect. This should have been a given. The idea behind Advisory committees was always that they knew their home area better than anyone on the BOG
33	Amend the Joint Board's procedure for establishing fish and game regulations		
Support	4	0	Proposals submitted by board must be submitted by the Board before the proposal deadline, the same as any advisory or individual proposals.
34	Amend the Joint Board Petition Policy to correct a contradiction with the subsistence proposal policy		
			1 abstain – no special interest in allowing subsistence
37	Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy		
Support	2	0	No special interest in allowing subsistence. We believe as a committee that present regulations generally cover this.

Alaska Board of Game Southcentral Region Proposals Discussion

128	Modify the Clearwater Creek Controlled use area description
-----	---

	0	4	We support 123 proposal only. This new proposal will cause endless confusion. What is in place now is very clear.
131	Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Unit 13		
Oppose	2	2	Enough hunting pressure already. This proposal ties to predator control in our view
140	Reauthorize the resident brown bear tag fee exemptions for the Central/Southwest Region		
Support	4	0	yes

We had considerable discussion on a proposal dealing with hunt pressure in Unit 13B, including the lack of quality opportunity for local hunters. The proposal we put together does away with the Community Hunt entirely and replaces it with a much simpler set of regs which allow for local opportunity while still meeting the test for “All Alaska residents being subsistence users.”

Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: Steve Chmielowski
 Minutes Approved By: John Schandelmeier
 Date Approved: 2/20/2019

Petersburg of Advisory Committee
2.12.2019
Dorothy Engel Conference Room

- I. Call to Order: 6:34 by Max Worhatch
 - II. Roll Call:
Members Present: Ted Sandhofer, Clyde Curry, Stan Malcolm, Ben Case, Dave Benitz, Megan O'Neil, and Joel Randrup (via teleconference)
Members Absent (Excused): Bob Martin, Don Spigelmyre, Arnold Enge, Frank Neidiffer, Jerry Dahl, Kirt Marsh
Members Absent (Unexcused):
Number Needed for Quorum on AC:
List of User Groups Present: subsistence fish, sport fish, commercial fish, game
 - III. Approval of Agenda: not done
 - IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: not done
 - V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Kevin Clark
 - VI. Guests Present: none
 - VII. Old Business: statewide proposals 169 and 170
 - VIII. New Business: joint board proposals, resolution for BOF Hatchery Committee March 8th
- [record minutes]



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
1			Designate seats for the community of Craig and the Port St. Nicholas area on the Craig Advisory Committee
2			Move the seat designation for the community of Chevak from the Central Bering Sea Advisory Committee to the Coastal Lower Yukon Advisory Committee
3			Add two undesignated seats to the Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee
4			Move the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee from the Western Region to the Interior Region
5			Designate two seats on the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee for the community of Georgetown
6			Dissolve the Lake Minchumina Advisory Committee
7			Reduce the membership for the Lake Iliamna Advisory Committee to ten and add designations for the communities of Pope Vannoy Landing and Port Alsworth
8			Establish seat designations for the Naknek/Kvichak Advisory Committee
9			Change the name of the Kotzebue Advisory Committee to Kotzebue Sound Advisory Committee
10			Reduce the number of undesignated seats for advisory committees in the Arctic region
11			Consolidate regulations addressing the creation of advisory committees
12			Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area
13			Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
14			Update the area of jurisdiction for westward area king crab to include the Kotzebue Fish and Game Advisory Committee
15			Amend the emergency closure process to exclude advisory committees that have not meet within the last three years
16			Expand the functions of the advisory committees to encourage the involvement of youth and elders
17			Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees
Motion for support passed	8	0	Members noted this didn't change any regulations, but clarified elections procedures and the need for an AC member to have a domicile in the geographic area of the AC
18			Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not refuse membership to a nominee
Motion for support passed	5	2	1 abstention. This proposal was well debated. One member noted they would love to see better participation at meetings and felt this may be advantageous. Other members worried this could lead to stacking a committee with one user group If the AC had several undesignated seats.
19			Simplify the process for removing advisory committee members for having unjustifiable absences from meetings
Motion for support failed		7	1 abstention the majority of members felt that
20			Amend the removal for cause provisions
Motion for support passed	7	1	One member felt the current regulation, 5 AAC 96.910 (g), that is not changed by the proposal gave too much power to the Chair to remove an AC member. The Remaining AC Members felt this simplified the process for removing a member that has been absent from too many meetings.
21			Amend the advisory committee vacancy rules
Motion to	8	0	Members noted that our AC is already declaring a vacancy prior to



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
support passed			a member's term expiring and felt this proposal facilitates continuity on a committee.
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
23	Provide guidance for advisory committee actions having abstentions		
Motion to support passed	6	2	Most members felt abstentions should not be counted in a vote and allowed members who feel they are uninformed on a topic to avoid influencing the outcome of a vote. Two members felt abstentions should be counted and noted this helps prevent votes from narrowly passing with very few members voting in the affirmative or negative. Members felt the proposal dictated that abstentions could not be counted on a vote on a case by case basis and would need to be clearly noted during the meeting which votes this would apply to. There was an interest to note at the beginning of each AC meeting how abstentions would be counted for the meeting. Not counting abstentions on a case by case basis may be problematic for recording the meeting.
24	Add language to clarify advisory committee meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act and modify noncompliant provisions		
Motion to support failed	0	8	Members of the Committee unanimously opposed allowing a member to provide preliminary approval of recommendations and questioned the functionality of the this. There was concern that a preliminary recommendation could be found to be unfavorable by the whole AC at a later meeting. The make of AC's are diverse, often with split votes, and members felt no on person should ever make decisions for the full Comminttee.
25	Remove redundant provisions for advisory committee officer regulations and clarify duties of the secretary		
Motion to support passed	8	0	Members noted this may be a way to engage High School aged residents in the process and provide volunteer work for scholarships and resumes. Minutes provided outside of an AC member would also provide true, unbiased representation of the



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			meeting.
26			Schedule certain requests for advisory committees to be acted upon by each board during regularly scheduled meetings
27			Remove the concept of “active” and “inactive” committees
28			Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored
Motion to support failed	1	7	Proposals 28 and 29 were adopted and voted on in tandem. Some members felt an AC member at the deliberation table for AC proposals could help clarify intent. Members felt this would be more useful at BOG meetings where all public testimony is held at the beginning of the meeting, than at BOF meetings where the public is allowed additional opportunity to testify during the Committee of a Whole process. There was also concern this would increase the amount of AC proposals and length BOF meetings.
29			Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region
30			Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions
31			Provide a definition for a board hearing of at least three board members with a public comment requirement
32			Repeal the provision for Board of Fisheries members attending advisory committee meetings to be in compliance with the statutory requirement for holding board meetings in specific areas of the state
33			Amend the Joint Board’s procedure for establishing fish and game regulations
Motion to support failed	4	4	Many Committee members noted their dislike of Board Generated Proposals and the lack of time they provide for the public to become aware of and active on a proposal.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
34	Amend the Joint Board Petition Policy to correct a contradiction with the subsistence proposal policy		
35	Repeal and rewrite the Joint Board Petition Policy		
36	Allow a petitioner the opportunity to present information about the petition to the boards and establish definitions for unforeseen and unexpected events and situations		
37	Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy		
38	Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months		
39	Update the regulations to correct errors and omissions		
40	Amend regulations to align and clarify current practices for advisory committees and the process for adopting fish and game regulations		
Motion to support failed	0	8	Members were unsupportive of this proposal due to the allowance it would give Board Support to cull and remove non-regulatory proposals from being sent to the Board and public. While a board cant take action on a non-regulatory proposal, they felt proposals submitted for a regions call by the deadline should be distributed to the public. Even if the Board doesn't take action, it helps facilitate awareness of an issue in an area.
<p><i>In addition to the above proposals, the Joint Board will discuss setting a schedule for future Joint Board meetings. Currently, Joint Board meetings are scheduled on an as-needed basis. Advisory committees are welcome to provide recommendations on this topic.</i></p>			

Adjournment: 9:20

Minutes Recorded By: _____ Megan O'Neil _____
 Minutes Approved By: _____ Max Worhatch _____

Date: _____ 2.17.2019 _____

**Seldovia Fish & Game Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes of October 19, 2018
Seldovia Multi-Purpose Room**

- I. Call to Order: 1800 by Michael Opheim, Chairperson
- II. Members Present: Keith Swick, Alvin Swick, Walt Sonen, Jordan Cameron, Stephen Payton, Allison Miller
Members Absent: Keith Gain, Bryan Chartier

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 4

List of User Groups Present: none
- III. Approval of Agenda:
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: none
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: none
- VI. Guests Present: none
- VII. Old Business: none
- VIII. New Business: Discussion of Proposals

Comments on Joint Board, BOF and BOG proposals			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG, BOF or JB	Proposa I Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
Joint Board	20	Amend the removal for cause provisions	
Support	7	0	Seems like it might make things more efficient rather than leaving a hole in our board.
Joint Board	22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote via email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorization.	



Comments on Joint Board, BOF and BOG proposals			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG, BOF or JB	Proposal Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
Support	7	0	Opheim says this would be nice for times when fishing boats are out fishing and we need to vote on something without calling a meeting to order. Miller expresses concern about the precedent this sets, but A. Swick says it has been helpful in the past.
BOF	165	Allow a fishing guide vessel to de-register after registration in a calendar year	
Oppose	0	7	Concern over misuse of the proposed provision.
BOF	166	Require rockfish to be released at depth	
Support	7	0	Seems like an easy affordable fix to control wanton waste.
BOF	171	Criteria for the allocation of fishery resources among personal use, sport, and commercial fisheries	
Oppose	0	7	The priority level commercial fishing is placed at in this proposal is not aligned with our community - not at a high enough priority.
BOG	62	Separate the early and late season registration goat hunts for the Seldovia and Port Graham	
Oppose	1	6	Let the population grow a bit. There is no harm in letting the herd get up to numbers so that they are able to repopulate areas they have been known to habitat in the past. Supporting comment: Felt that ADF&G had put this forward as a management decision and it seemed to provide a caveat for continued subsistence usage. Person is not a goat hunter and am not familiar with the hunt/seasons, but the proposal seems reasonable with registration for the early hunt still restricted to Seldovia in person.
BOG	61	Establish a new drawing hunt for goats near Seldovia in Unit 15C	
Oppose	0	7	The regulations for the Seldovia area goat hunt are well designed as is. Users don't feel that it should change.



Comments on Joint Board, BOF and BOG proposals			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG, BOF or JB	Proposa l Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
BOG	65	Change the bag limit for moose in Units 7 15 from four to three brow tines.	
Support	7	0	The committee reviewed Proposals 63 – 78 and chose 65 to discuss. Of all the many proposals that deal with the moose bag limit for unit 15C, this one is most aligned with the simplicity and succinct nature of the advisory committee’s thoughts.
BOG	83	Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Unit 15C.	
Support	7	0	The board has historically supported the department in this proposal, and chooses to do so again this year.
BOG	87	Close the ptarmigan season in Unit 15C	
Oppose	0	7	The committee wonders what the person who submitted this proposal is seeing elsewhere, because we don’t believe the ptarmigan populations are low. Where is this lady’s yard? Not enough information in this proposal.
BOG	86	Reduce the bag limit for spruce grouse in Units 7 and 15	
Support	7	0	The department must have good reason to propose it, we are in support of preservation.
BOG	91	Prohibit the discharge of certain weapons used for hunting and trapping within 1/2 mile of any residence in Unit 15C	
Oppose	0	7	A lot of discussion on the different contexts between rural and more populated areas. The board does not find this proposal suitable in the diverse regions located within unit 15C.
BOG	77	Establish a drawing hunt for antlerless moose in unit 15C north of the south fork of the Anchor River	
Support as Amended	7	0	AMENDED: 50 inch, 3 brow tine



Comments on Joint Board, BOF and BOG proposals			
Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
BOG, BOF or JB	Proposa l Number	Proposal Description	
Supports or Opposes?	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
			Cameron amends to be sure that the new regulation follows the amended bag limit regulations we have previously supported, 50" 3 brow tine.
BOG	93	Increase the bag limit for deer in unit 8	
Support	7	0	This is a good proposal because it allows for emergency response to the fluctuating numbers of deer populations.

Next meeting December 14.

Adjournment: 1930

Minutes Recorded By: Allison Miller
 Minutes Approved By: Michael Opheim
 Date Approved:10/24/2018

Seward Fish and Game Advisory Committee
November 8, 2018
Seward City Council Chambers

- I. Call to Order: [7:03] by Chair McCracken

- II. Roll Call:
Members Present: Andrew Bacon, Kenn Carpenter, W.C. Casey, Mark Clemens, Dianne Dubuc, Jim Hubbard, Nathan Smith, Bob White, Jim McCracken
Members Absent (Excused): Ezra Campbell, Trent Foldager, Arne Hatch
Members Absent (Unexcused):
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 6
List of User Groups Present: 1-10, 12

- III. Approval of Agenda: Agenda was approved by consensus of the committee

- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: The approval of the minutes for the April 4, 2018 meeting was postponed until the next meeting

- V. Fish and Game Staff Present:
Jeff Selinger, ADFG
Trooper Ben Endres, AWT

- VI. Guests Present:
Kristen Bates, Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association (CIAA)
Jess Swett, CIAA Board representative for the City of Seward

- VII. A. Jeff Selinger began his presentation by discussing moose harvest data in Unit 7. Selinger reported 4 moose harvested in unit 7, down from 6 last year. There were also 3 sublegal moose harvested for which citations were issued. Discussion followed regarding the causes of low moose populations in Unit 7. **Selinger** stated that the low populations were due to poor quality moose habitat on the Eastern Kenai Peninsula. Members of the AC pointed out that historically moose harvests had been quite high in unit 7. Chair **McCracken** asked about appropriation of intensive management funds. Selinger stated that unit 7 was not an intensive management area due to the low harvest numbers.
Casey asked what the plan was for increasing moose populations in unit 7. Selinger stated that there was currently no plan, and cited the poor quality habitat as being the limiting factor. **McCracken** asked how low the moose population has to go before we hit

crisis levels. The discussion turned toward what the Advisory Committee could do to bring this issue forward and begin addressing solutions with ADF&G.

Selinger stated that we could draft a letter of concern, and send it through his office or straight to the Board of Game. Selinger suggested that we discuss the need to promote and increase regeneration of willow, aspen and birch in riparian areas. Since ownership of these riparian areas is mainly US Forest service, Selinger suggested that we work with that agency on effecting a habitat management plan to promote moose population.

B. Trooper Ben Endres reported no trends in illegal F&G activity. Endres reported writing a couple tickets for overharvest of salmon and a couple for over age lagoon fishing during the youth fishery. **Carpenter** thanked Trooper Endres for his presence at Kids Fishing Day at First Lake in May.

VIII. Old Business:

Kids Fishing 2018 report and 2019 outlook: **Carpenter** reported 80+ kids attended the 2018 event. Families from Yakutat, Petersburg, and Eagle River attended. There were lots of prizes distributed to participants, including: 54 rods & reels, 10 tackle boxes and 60 grab bags; with contributions from the Fish House, Kenai Cache, Trustworthy Hardware. This year prizes were given to kids who caught first fish in different age brackets.

2019 Kids Fishing will be the third Saturday in May. Carpenter agreed to chair the event.

IX. New Business:

1. Game Proposals – See vote sheet below
2. Fish Proposals – See vote sheet below
3. Joint Fish & Game Proposals – See vote sheet below
4. Authorization for committee member to travel to BoF or BoG meetings

Motion: **(Hubbard / Casey)** Authorize Diane Dubuc to travel to Board of Fish and Board of Game meetings. Passed unanimous.

X. Citizens comments- none

XI. Schedule for next meeting / future agenda items:

Dubuc proposes to discuss the Fall LCI BOF meeting—to occur in November 2018

AC Consensus is to schedule next meeting in the spring after the BoF and BoG meetings. Next meeting should also coincide with deadline for LCI proposals as well. Chair McCracken will contact board support re: proposal book publication/comment deadline



Seward AC would like to host a reception on first night of the 2019 LCI Board of Fish meeting. **Casey** proposes a subcommittee to organize the reception. Volunteers for the committee were: Hubbard, Carpenter, Smith, McCracken, Dubuc (**chair**)

XII. Adjournment at 10:07 PM (Clemens)

Item IX 1.

Alaska Board of Game Proposals			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
85	Reduce the resident bag limit for black bear in Units 7 and 15		
Oppose	0	9	
86	Reduce the bag limit for spruce grouse in Unit 7 and 15		
Oppose	0	9	
87	Close the ptarmigan season in Unit 15C		
Oppose	0	9	
92	Open a hunting season for tundra swan in Units 7 and 15		
Oppose	1	5	Smith supported this proposal because hunting opportunity existed in other states, while Alaska served as a breeding ground for tundra swans. Seward AC opposed this proposal because the wording was vague, there is concern about the misidentification of tundra vs. trumpeter swans given the vast majority of swans in units 7 and 15 are trumpeter swans.
78	Establish resident drawing hunts for “any bull” moose in Units 15 and 7		
Oppose	0	8	Seward AC was concerned that Unit 7 could not support the increased hunting pressure this would bring about
89	Close the trapping season for beaver in an area of Units 7 and 15C		
Oppose	0	8	
113	Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in the Twentymile/Portage/Placer hunt area in Units 7 and 14C		
Support	5	2	Chair McCracken voted No in commemoration and recognition of former Seward AC member Billy Miller
74	Open a resident drawing hunt for moose in Unit 15C		
Support	8	1	



Item IX 2.

Alaska Board of Fish Proposals			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
161	Require weekly reporting of salmon harvest by all permit or license holders as follows		
Oppose	0	9	Seward AC considered proposals 161 & 162 together and oppose both proposals moving forward statewide; and would prefer instead to consider these proposals on an area-specific basis during the appropriate board cycle
162	Require biweekly reporting of all sport, personal use, and subsistence king salmon catch as follows		
Oppose	0	9	Seward AC considered proposals 161 & 162 together and oppose both proposals moving forward statewide; and would prefer instead to consider these proposals on an area-specific basis during the appropriate board cycle
166	Require rockfish to be released at depth		
Support	9	0	Seward AC brought forth this proposal to promote rockfish conservation and awareness that these fish can be released safely if done properly. It was noted in discussion that charter sport fishing vessels will be required to use deepwater release mechanisms in the near future and that this proposal would require the same of private anglers
171	Criteria for the allocation of fishery resources among personal use, sport, and commercial fisheries		
Oppose	2	4	
172	Define “bow and arrow” as follows:		
Support	9	0	



Item IX 3.

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
Support	9	0	Seward AC comments that the specific area of concern is removing jurisdiction of the above mentioned ACs from waters of the North Gulf Coast from Gore Point to Cape Fairfield, or also referred to as Eastern District, Lower Cook Inlet. The Seward AC was advised, on drafting the proposal, that Cook Inlet/Resurrection Bay was a defined 'area of jurisdiction' and it would be appropriate to word our proposal to cite that specific area.
20	Amend the removal for cause provisions		
Support	9	0	
21	Amend the advisory committee vacancy rules		
Support	9	0	

Minutes Recorded By: Andrew Bacon
 Minutes Approved By: Jim McCracken, Chair
 Date: January 8, 2019

Minutes
Stony Holitna Fish & Game Advisory Committee
Meeting /Teleconference
Friday, Dec.7, 2018

Call to Order - The meeting was called to order by Chairman Doug Carney at 2pm

- 2 new members were elected to the committee – Ruby Egrass and Gail Vanderpool
- Bad weather may cut some of us off – if so, please call back.
- We have a lot to accomplish - 4 meetings to make comments on /1 BOG, 2 BOF, & 1 Joint Boards, so members of the public, when recognized are limited to 3 minutes to speak.

The Roll Call & Quorum

Due to bad weather for air access to meeting, only Doug Carney and Frank Egnaty were present, along with some community members. All others participated telephonically.

- Lime Village – Rick Breckheimer & Fred Bobby attended /also Faron Bobby - alternate
- Stony River – David Bobby & Charlie Gusty were absent
- Sleetmute – Doug Carney & Frank Egnaty were present
- Red Devil – Barb Carlson & Ruby Egrass were present / also Gail Vanderpool – alternate

Quorum was met, with 6 out of 8 members attending . 2 alternates also attended.

Others Attending - (all telephonically)

- Josh Peirce, Area Biologist / McGrath
- Nissa Pilcher ADF&G Boards Support / Fairbanks
- Georgetown Traditional Council members – Rebecca Wilmarth / Red Devil, Katy Wilmarth, Meredith Witte(?), & Will Hartman / Anchorage
- 5 Sleetmute community members attended in person. Sandra Greger, Margaret Murphy, Madison Mellick, Susan Hubbard, Taylor Hayden.

Members' Concerns - Chair

- Any agenda additions to Other Business for this meeting?
 - 1 - BOF Statewide proposals 161,162, 163, & 164 have been added toward the end of the agenda.
 - 2) - Fred Bobby wants to talk to SHAC about a bear pred. control proposal for the Lime Village area. (This was on the agenda at the last meeting, but Fred was unable to attend.)
- Any proposals to comment on besides the ones listed ?- None
- Anything for future meetings? Nothing brought up.

Approval of Agenda –*Approved*

Approval of Minutes – Reading of the minutes from the SHAC meeting of Sept.19 &20, 2017 were deferred until the next committee meeting.

Fred Bobby - Bear control program needed in Lime Village area



Discussion -

- **Fred** - *Folks up there are having bear trouble. Fred's smokehouse had the whole back torn off by a bear, and fish eaten. Fred's wife had run into a brown bear as she was entering the washeteria in Lime. Folks are armed whenever they are walking or riding around within the village. But the bears are savvy and run in the brush when they detect humans nearby, so people seldom get a shot at them. Predator control along the Stony River, from Stink Creek to Can Creek would do the job.*
- *Gail V. – Fred lives there and knows what's going on in that part of GMU 19, we need to support him. Members & other attendees voiced agreement.*
- *Fred the bears are looking for calves*
- *Rick – agreed with Fred*
- *Josh – are they brown or black bears?*
- *Fred – mostly blacks*
- *Barb asked Fred, what time of year would be best?*
- *Fred - In Spring, as soon as they leave dens & before fishing season.*
- *Josh – Springtime is the best, when there are no leaves and calving cows draw bears in.*
- *Doug –*

The committee voted unanimously to have the Chair & Fred write a proposal and get it to BOG before the May, 2019 deadline for 2020 Region 3 proposals.

Josh Peirce

- Had emailed a printed version of his power point presentation to SHAC members & there were some hard copies at the meeting.
- Went over the spring twinning survey and the Nov. 2018 composition count, as well as comparing calf:cow & bull:cow ratios to past years

BOG proposals discussion & votes made included the following –

- The 19A predator control program will be up for renewal in 2020. ADF&G will have a proposal to renew - support was asked for and given unanimously .
- ADF&G will make a proposal for the same “meat on bone” requirement throughout GMU 19 & 21A & 21E, which got unanimous support from SHAC.

Doug Carney

BOG Meeting / March 15-19 Southcentral / Anch. / comment deadline - March 1

19A moose hunt proposal 127 (was deferred proposal 165 from the March, 2017 BOG meeting), with the list of SHAC amendments, which was voted on unanimously. SHAC amendments were simplified but retain the hunt conditions recommended from last years' BOG meeting. (see comments)

Joint Boards Meeting / March 21-25 / Anch./ comment deadline - March 7 / Proposals to consider – 3, 4, 5, 12

For Proposals 3,4 & 12 -proposal discussion and votes are included in comments.

Proposal 5 discussion was lengthy, and has been saved in a document - which can become an RC, if BOG wants that information.Vote & reasons are in comments

Barb Carlson

BOF Meeting / Jan. 15-19, Arctic, Yukon, & Kusko Finfish / Anch. / comment deadline – Jan. 2

- Fisheries update / lead discussion and explained proposals to committee

- Proposals to consider – **107-** dipnets (**108 & 112**) / **105 & 111** – mesh size / **113 & 114** –relax early gillnet closure / **109, 110, 115, 116, 117** – opening or closing areas to gillnets / **118, 119, 120, 121, 122** sportfishing regs.

BOF Statewide Meeting/ March 8-11 / Anchorage / comment deadline – Feb.20

- Proposals 161 & 162 - reporting salmon catch in a more timely manner, including subsistence caught fish.
- 163– dealing with wanton waste of non-salmon species
- 164 – dealing with wanton waste of Sheefish

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
3	Add two undesignated seats to the Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee		
No Action			<p>This proposal concerns CKAC – and was discussed by SHAC, since proposal 5 is similar, but is in regard to SHAC. SHAC made no comment, since this is a decision for CKAC members.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Undesignated seats – anyone living within GMU 19, or actually from anywhere in state & possibly the U.S. - if they maintain a dwelling in the area - can serve on the committee. This <u>could</u> compromise what locals want. • With 12 rather than 10 members, a quorum of 7 rather than 6 is needed – making a harder to meet. (People can be appointed by the committee - to serve at a meeting if a quorum is not met at a



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
4	Move the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee from the Western Region to the Interior Region		
Support	6	0	<p>This is SHAC’s proposal / When SHAC was formed, it was intended for the committee to be served by Interior Boards support. This has been discussed for years.</p> <p>GMU19 is in the Interior, but Boards support person is from western region. There is no good reason for this. <u>ADF&G Boards Support has no problem with this change.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Western Region Boards Support person has always been in Bethel • In past years, upriver villages weren’t informed of many meetings, and seldom saw agendas. (Once we showed up down there, and they were all set to have a vote for a new undesignated seat – this was being pushed by Aniak for a guide living in Aniak. I led the refusal to take part in the Election. The main guy from State Boards support was there, saying he had sent Faxes out to all the villages. I asked if he asked for confirmation of receipt of the message –to which he answered No. (This is when the SLQ Multi had burned down.) <u>There was no election.</u> • Most all meetings were held in Aniak – It was fairly easy to get a quorum from nearby villages, but the upper river villages were left out a lot of the time. Whether this was done on purpose or not – doesn’t matter. (This was one of the reasons for formation of SHAC) • The Boards Support person for the Interior Region is out of Fairbanks and over time - this has proven to be more reliable.
5	Designate two seats on the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee for the community of Georgetown		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Oppose	0	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Georgetown is a village site – <u>not</u> a village. • Gail Vanderpool , one of the 3 people who live at Georgetown, is a member of SHAC. • Georgetown residents have been part of the Red Devil community for many years. • <u>Proposal 5 discussion was lengthy, and has been saved in a document - which can become an RC, if BOG wants more detailed info on the discussion.</u>
12	Require members to reside within the committee’s geographic area		
Support	6	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This has been considered by the JB and ACs before. • The main problem with adoption seems to be especially in Southeast and the coast – where people who live elsewhere, fish in a given area. Those people from outside the area have homes in the fishing area, while others live on their boats seasonally. • In the Interior region, there are some guides on the Yukon who live in another part of the state, but also have domiciles within the area, where they stay seasonally.. • <u>This proposal could be amended to cover those issues, or apply it only to specific regions.</u>

Adjournment: 5 pm, Dec. 7, 2018

Minutes Recorded By: Nissa Pilcher & Barb Carlson

Minutes Approved By: Doug Carney

Date 12/15/2018

**Tok Cutoff / Nabesna Road Fish and Game Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes of 2/27/2019
Meeting held at Slana School**

- I. Call to Order: 5:07 by Ernie Charley
- II. Roll Call: Amanda Abraham
Members Present: Ernie Charley, Cole Ellis, Robert Herrington, Bill Horner, Eddie Green, Amanda Abraham
Members Absent (Excused): Christy Ellis, Wayne Shafer, Keith Webster
Members Absent (Unexcused):
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 5
List of User Groups Present:
- III. Approval of Agenda: Ernie Charley
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: n/a
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Frank Robbins from Glennallen ADF&G, Jeff Wells and Jeff Gross from Tok Fish and Game
- VI. Guests Present: James Abraham, Victoria Rego
- VII. Old Business: n/a
- VIII. New Business:



Alaska Board of Game Southcentral Region Meeting Proposals

March 15-19, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
128	Modify the Clearwater Creek Controlled Use Area description		
Oppose	0	6	Oppose the admitted proposal as is. Support the original Clearwater Creek proposal 123 as it was written.
131	Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Unit 13		
Oppose	2	4	Oppose hunting cow moose even though current proposal would not disrupt the current bull moose cow population.
141	Reauthorize the resident grizzly/brown bear tag fee exemptions for the Interior and Eastern Arctic Region		
Support	6	0	Support proposal as is.

Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Proposal discussion

28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
Support	6 for	0 against	We agree that the advisory committee should be at the table and apart conversation and the deliberation on their proposal. It would give the advisory committee true voice in what is happening to their proposal.
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
Support	6 for	0 against	We agree that the advisory committee should be represented at the table when there are proposals presented that affect their region. They need to be able to represent their community and know and voice how their community will be affected.

Adjournment: 6:54 pm

Minutes Recorded By: Amanda Abraham

Minutes Approved By: Ernie Charley, Chair

Date: 2/28/2019

**Upper Lynn Canal ADF&G Advisory Committee
4 February 2019
Haines, Alaska**

Call to Order at 5:00 pm by Tim McDonough

Roll Call:

Members	Present/ Absent/ Teleconference
Tim McDonough (TM) - Chair	P
Ryan Cook (RC) - Vice Chair	P
Derek Poinsette (DP) - Secretary	P
Darren Belisle (DB) - Skagway	T
Stuart DeWitt (SdW) - Haines	P
Shannon Donahue (SD) - Haines	T
Daniel Hotch (DH) - Klukwan	A
Kip Kermoian (KK) - Haines	P
Will Prisciandaro (WP) - Haines	P
Luke Rauscher (LR) - Skagway	T
Marie Rose (MR) - Haines	P

Members Present: 10

Members Absent: 1

Number Needed for Quorum: 6

Quorum Present: Yes

Fish and Game Staff Present

Commercial Fish: Nicole Zeiser (Telephone)
Sport Fish: Rich Chapell (RCh)
Wildlife: Carl Koch (Telephone) (CK)

Others Present

KHNS and CVN

JB Proposals

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals			
March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
1	Designate seats for the community of Craig and the Port St. Nicholas area on the Craig Advisory Committee		
NA			
2	Move the seat designation for the community of Chevak from the Central Bering Sea Advisory Committee to the Coastal Lower Yukon Advisory Committee		
NA			
3	Add two undesignated seats to the Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee		
NA			
4	Move the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee from the Western Region to the Interior Region		
NA			
5	Designate two seats on the Stony/Holitna Advisory Committee for the community of Georgetown		
NA			
6	Dissolve the Lake Minchumina Advisory Committee		
NA			



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
7	Reduce the membership for the Lake Iliamna Advisory Committee to ten and add designations for the communities of Pope Vannoy Landing and Port Alsworth		
NA			
8	Establish seat designations for the Naknek/Kvichak Advisory Committee		
NA			
9	Change the name of the Kotzebue Advisory Committee to Kotzebue Sound Advisory Committee		
NA			
10	Reduce the number of undesignated seats for advisory committees in the Arctic region		
NA			
11	Consolidate regulations addressing the creation of advisory committees		
NA			
12	Require members to reside within the committee's geographic area		
NA			
13	Change the advisory committees having jurisdiction for the Cook Inlet-Resurrection Bay area by removing Mt. Yenlo and Denali Advisory Committees		
NA			
14	Update the area of jurisdiction for westward area king crab to include the Kotzebue Fish and Game Advisory Committee		
NA			
15	Amend the emergency closure process to exclude advisory committees that have not meet within the last three years		
NA			
16	Expand the functions of the advisory committees to encourage the involvement of youth and elders		
SUPPORT	10	0	More involvement is better.
17	Reorder and clarify the election procedures under the uniform rules of operation for advisory committees		
SUPPORT	10	0	Clarification is good.
18	Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not refuse membership to a nominee		
NA			
19	Simplify the process for removing advisory committee members for having unjustifiable absences from meetings		



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
NA			
20	Amend the removal for cause provisions		
SUPPORT	10	0	Gives more power to the ACs.
21	Amend the advisory committee vacancy rules		
NA			
22	Allow advisory committee members to discuss and vote by email, excluding actions for antlerless moose reauthorizations or emergency order closures		
SUPPORT	10	0	Good so long as all parties and the public are properly informed.
23	Provide guidance for advisory committee actions having abstentions		
SUPPORT	10	0	Good clarification.
24	Add language to clarify advisory committee meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act and modify noncompliant provisions		
SUPPORT	8	2	Majority: good clarification. Minority: language is unclear.
25	Remove redundant provisions for advisory committee officer regulations and clarify duties of the secretary		
SUPPORT	10	0	Clarity is good.
26	Schedule certain requests for advisory committees to be acted upon by each board during regularly scheduled meetings		
SUPPORT	10	0	Saves money and time.
27	Remove the concept of "active" and "inactive" committees		
SUPPORT	10	0	Makes sense.
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
SUPPORT	10	0	Improves AC access to Boards.
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
OPPOSE	0	10	Would make the process cumbersome.
30	Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions		
OPPOSE	0	10	Gives ACR submitter unfair access. Proposal language is unclear.
31	Provide a definition for a board hearing of at least three board members with a public comment requirement		
NA			



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
32	Repeal the provision for Board of Fisheries members attending advisory committee meetings to be in compliance with the statutory requirement for holding board meetings in specific areas of the state		
NA			
33	Amend the Joint Board's procedure for establishing fish and game regulations		
NA			
34	Amend the Joint Board Petition Policy to correct a contradiction with the subsistence proposal policy		
NA			
35	Repeal and rewrite the Joint Board Petition Policy		
NA			
36	Allow a petitioner the opportunity to present information about the petition to the boards and establish definitions for unforeseen and unexpected events and situations		
NA			
37	Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy		
NA			
38	Require the Board of Fisheries to schedule shellfish regulations for any that have been closed by emergency order for 24 consecutive months		
OPPOSE	0	10	Managers need flexibility. This would make it difficult and cumbersome to temporarily change regulations.
39	Update the regulations to correct errors and omissions		
NA			
40	Amend regulations to align and clarify current practices for advisory committees and the process for adopting fish and game regulations		
NA			
<p><i>In addition to the above proposals, the Joint Board will discuss setting a schedule for future Joint Board meetings. Currently, Joint Board meetings are scheduled on an as-needed basis. Advisory committees are welcome to provide recommendations on this topic.</i></p>			

Whittier Fish and Game Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

January 5, 2019 at City of Whittier Council Chambers

Meeting was called to order by the Chair Jon Van Hyning at 1:08 pm.

(Advise Guests of Public Testimony signup sheet)

Members Present: Jon Van Hyning, Ric Vrsalovic, James Norris, Gordon Scott, Huey Mason, II

Members Absent Excused: Steve Aberle, David Goldstein, Milton Stevens, David Pinquoch,

Members Absent Unexcused: Brad Von Wichman, Mike Durtschi

Introduce Fish and Game staff present. Charlotte Westing via teleconference, Sherry Wright

Public present: Dan Wright

Minutes of February 10, 2018 meeting were approved by the Chair.

Public testimony - none

Old Business – There was some discussion about BOF meetings.

New Business

The committee started with comments on Southcentral Game Proposals while our biologist was on the teleconference.

Charlotte Westing started with an update on deer population.

Board of Game Southcentral Region Proposal Discussion

Prop 57

Charlotte gave some background on this proposal. There's not enough information in the proposal to explain what the proponent wants this to do. No action.

Prop 58

There is an antlerless moose hunt under Federal regulations only. No action.

Charlotte updated the committee on the black bear population in the Sound. They collared 20 bears and have subsequently collared more bears and moving into the analysis portion of the study.

She has been able to fly goat surveys and seeing good numbers for the most part. This year there were fewer kids count from previous years in the area near Whittier.

Select an AC rep for the BOG meeting March 15-19. -- No rep

Prepare comments on Statewide finfish proposals, if any

Jody Mason brought up a discussion of Modern Recreational Fishery Act that became law on January 2nd which gives the states more control over federally managed fisheries in their area. It will take several years to unfold and develop. In sport fishing, this will primarily affect halibut fishing.

Board of Fisheries Statewide Finfish Proposal Discussion

Prop 161

This basically adds subsistence and personal use for more timely reporting. If it would help in management, committee would support. If added sport fishing others would support, so everyone had the same reporting requirement.

Amended to include all fisheries 3-2 Support

Final vote 5 - 0 Support as amended

Prop 162

Whittier fish are mostly hatchery fish, but there is interest in getting good timely information for all fish harvested. Similar to Prop 161, timely harvest information is good for management.

Final vote 5 – 0 Support

Prop 165

This proposal makes no sense.

Final vote 0-3-2 abstentions don't know enough about it for vote. Opposed

Prop 166

This has already been approved as going into effect January 1, 2020. We approved the original proposal. No action

Jon Van Hying made a comment that thornyhead rockfish don't have a swim bladder so they are not affected by the pressure when released; however, they are still considered a rockfish that must be retained for commercial bottom fishing. This would have been a good proposal for statewide finfish and he would like to see this issue resolved.

Select an AC rep for BOF meeting March 9 – 12 Jody Mason (parking only)

Joint Board Proposal Discussion

Prop 12

There is no definition of demarcation by using the term geographic area. Not sure what she is trying to accomplish. Many people have local knowledge because they harvest from another portion of the state than they live. Local members of the public have the ability to determine who serves on their local advisory committee. Processors also should have a say in how the fishery is managed.

Final vote 0-5 Opposed

Prop 15

If an AC is inactive, why should Fish & Game be forced to activate them just to get an opinion for emergency closures?

Final vote 5 – 0 Support

Prop 17

This cleans up the regulations.

Final vote 5 – 0 Support

Prop 19

This would simplify the process for committees who are experiencing trouble getting a quorum.

Final vote 5-0 Support

Prop 20

This proposal cleans up the regulation and goes hand in hand with what Proposal 19 asks for.

Final vote 5 – 0 Support

Prop 25

This removes redundancy and is housekeeping.

Final vote 5-0 Support

Prop 26

Some believed this would save time and money. Others felt putting a Joint Board meeting at the end of either a Fisheries or Game meeting would over tax board members. They are highly focused during either of those meetings. It would also depend on how many additional proposals there were. There are too many if's in considering this proposal.

Final vote 0 – 4 – 1 abstention because doesn't understand how it would work. Opposed

Prop 28

Like the concept, but think the Board will not like it. This would have been beneficial at some recent meetings and often the Board is unaware of varied aspects of what a proposal will do on the ground. The Board currently has the ability to call on Advisory representatives, but having a presence at the table would make it easier for Board to call on them.

Final vote 5 – 0 Support

Prop 29

Sometimes there are proposals from others that do affect our area, and having the ability to provide information would be helpful. Regardless of either Prop 28 or 29, the AC must have the ability to have a member present when Board and staff are in closed consultation. AC representatives should not be excluded from the sanctuary area, as often staff and board members are meeting during deliberations there as well and should be included in those conversations.

Final vote 5 – 0 Support

Prop 30

It would be good for the sponsor to have an opportunity to testify. There is a lack of balance and transparency with staff and public at the worksession.

Final vote 5 – 0 Support

Prop 33



Some of this issue also occurs with last minute department comments on proposals. Board generated proposals seem to occur with department consultations during the board meeting. These should have been submitted by the deadline to allow for full public and advisory committee review.

Final vote 5 – 0 Support

Prop 38

The problem with PWS Shrimp was it was taken off the books and it took 10 years of fighting after the shrimp rebounded because a commercial fishery regulation had to be created. Where this might work for razor clams, it also could work against it and be difficult to re-open. Support believes that emergency orders shouldn't go on forever – it should be revisited.

Final vote 1 – 4 Opposed

Select an AC rep for JB meeting March 21 – 25 – Jon Van Hying

Statewide Stocking plan comments, if any – no comments

ELECTIONS were held with the following results

Huey “Jody” Mason and Gordon Scott were re-elected for three-year terms to expire 6/2021. Milton Stevens and Brad Von Wichmans re-elected as alternates for one-year terms to expire 6/2019.

Meeting adjourned at 4:45 pm.

The next regular Whittier Fish & Game Advisory Committee meeting will be an election meeting with date to be determine.

Minutes taken by: Jim Norris

Approved by Chair Jon Van Hying

Date Approved: 1/9/2019

Wrangell Fish & Game Advisory Committee
Excerpted Notes for Meeting for Joint Board March 2019
January 7, 2019
Wrangell, Alaska

- I. Call to Order: 6:30 PM by Chris Guggenbickler, Chair

- I. Roll Call:
Members Present: (12) Chris Guggenbickler, Brennon Eagle, David Rak, Tom Sims (late arrival), Jason Rooney, John Yeager, Dave Brown, Otto Florschutz, Alan Reeves, Robert Rooney, Winston Davies, Janis Churchill.
Members Absent: (5) Marlin Benedict, Mike Bauer, Brian Merritt, Bill Knecht, Brett Stillwaugh.
Number Needed for Quorum on AC: eight (8)
List of User Groups Present: NA

- II. Approval of Agenda: Board of Game SE Proposals 42 – 44; Board of Fish State Wide Proposals; Joint Boards of Fish and Game; Resolution in support of Alaska’s salmon hatchery programs; Distribution of confiscated moose meat in Wrangell; Private airplane use in Stikine River valley during moose hunting season; Moose antler hunter education in Wrangell.

- III. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: NA

- IV. Fish and Game Staff Present: Tom Kowalske (Wrangell), AST Cody Lister (Petersburg) AST Sgt. Robert Welch (Juneau)

Guests Present: 31 people including Jim Nelson (FS-LEO), Bruce Smith (WRG PD), David Powell (WRG Mayor), Einar Haaseth, June Leffler (KSTK Radio).

- V. Old Business: Board of Game SE Proposals 42 – 44 for wolves on POW Island; Private airplane use in Stikine River valley during moose hunting season.

- VI. New Business: Board of Fish State Wide Proposals; Joint Boards of Fish and Game; Resolution in support of Alaska’s salmon hatchery programs; Distribution of confiscated moose meat in Wrangell; Moose antler hunter education in Wrangell.



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
26	Schedule certain requests for advisory committees to be acted upon by each board during regularly scheduled meetings		
No Action			
27	Remove the concept of “active” and “inactive” committees		
No Action			
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
Support	12	0	Allowing a representative from the Advisory Committee who have authored and submitted a proposal to be at the board table during deliberation of their proposal would greatly improve the deliberation process and the quality of their decisions. The AC’s representative could answer questions that arise during deliberation. The representative could also further explain information and underlying issues not included during the limited time for testimony from the AC.
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
No Action			
30	Provide a definition for board work sessions and allow submitters of Agenda Changes Requests (ACRs) to provide testimony at work sessions		
No Action			

Adjournment: 9:21 PM

Minutes Recorded By: David Rak

Minutes Approved By: Chris Guggenbickler

Date: 02/13/2019

**Yukon Flats Advisory Committee
December 4, 2018
Hampton Inn, Fairbanks
Joint Board & Statewide BOF Excerpt**

- I. Call to Order: 2:25 pm by Vice Chairman Andrew Firmin
- II. Roll Call: Randy Mayo, Stevens Village
Charles John, Circle
Richard James Sr. Birch Creek
Edward Wiehl, Beaver
Darcy Peters called in via teleconference but was unable to hear to participate
Andrew Firmin, Walter Peters, David Frances, Fort Yukon
Members Absent (Excused): 1 vacant Stevens Village seat
1 vacant Circle seat
2 vacant Arctic Village Seat
2 vacant Chalkyitsik Seats
Larry Williams & Bobby Tritt Jr (Venetie) were unable to attend due to travel issues with the earlier earthquake in Anchorage and the BIA Providers conference

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 6

- III. Approval of Agenda: as provided
IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: As read
V. Fish and Game Staff Present: Beth Lenart Jason Caikoski Doren Parker McNeill Jeff Gross DWC; Deena Jallen & Christy Gleason DCF; Brooke McDavid SUBS; Nissa Pilcher BDS
VI. Guests Present: Darrell Hilderbrant DPS Vince Mathews FWS Myra Thumma (Venetie)*, Lyle Becker*
*Via teleconference
VII. Old Business:
VIII. New Business:

Update on the Fortymile Caribou Herd was given by Jeff Gross as there are typically some questions about that herd from Circle and Fort Yukon.

- Concern with rumors of wanton waste and flock shooting and how there are those in the highway and driving around town with antlers displayed but no meat to be seen and stories about meat winding up at transfer station.
- Vince Mathews noted that the EIRAC is working on and Ethics Forum to work with this subject

- DPS noted that there are regulation that is directed at education and at discretionary permit requirements that could be addressed through the regulatory process. Noted that the troopers do their best but the number of hunters far outnumber the enforcement officers and that if no one lets the troopers know there is good chance that the troopers are not aware of specific cases so please let DPS know when you see things occurring that shouldn't be.

Forty Yukon; Andrew noted that it was a good year for fishing and that there seemed to be a fair number of moose harvested close to Fort Yukon which is odd. Odd behaviour of cows noted where they were bunched up by the river in large numbers with no bulls. Seemed like a lot of people were successful this winter so maybe there will be less participation in the winter hunts and less sharing this winter of meat.

Beaver; Ed noted that they had good success this year too but also saw a good number of wolves

Circle; Charles stated that there was a lot of harvest this year even on the road

No one is trapping yet even though it is December it is still too warm to be on the river

Election Update; Fort Yukon Tribal Council endorsed Walter and Andrew again but didn't act on it further so those seats are still expired. Nissa will continue to work with Walter and Andrew to get Fort Yukon elections up to date.

Board of Game/Game Update

Jason Caikoski gave an biological update and preliminary moose survey results for a portion of the flats near Beaver; which indicated what the members were observing of more moose.

Board of Fisheries/Fish Update

Deena Jallen gave an update on the summer season; Canadian escapement was met but not by any great numbers

Christy Gleason gave an update on the fall season; above average run for an even year for chums as well as for other salmon. There was a weak fall chum run in the Porcupine where there is an boarder goal and fishing was closed there on October 3rd.

Randy noted that there seemed to be better communication this year on windows and net restrictions that helped people get out and fish compliantly easier. It is expensive to have two different sized nets that need to be kept in working order for the season.

Ed expressed concern that there were restrictions and didn't like it.



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Statewide Finfish Proposals			
March 8-11, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
161	Require weekly reporting of salmon harvest by all permit or license holders		
Oppose	0	6	Understand the merit but not practical
162	Require biweekly reporting of all sport, personal use, and subsistence king salmon catch		
Oppose	0	6	Understand the merit but not practical
163	Prohibit the intentional waste or destruction of subsistence-caught fish		
Support	6	0	Don't waste your FISH! If they waste fish they shouldn't be fishing for them!
164	Prohibit the intentional waste or destruction of sheefish		
Support	6	0	Don't waste your FISH! If they waste fish they shouldn't be fishing for them!

Federal Fisheries Proposals

FP19-05; Oppose

If kings are still being sold in the lower river at all then this regulation should be left on the books; even one bad apple is a big deal

FP19-06;

Would like to support this for the entire river but we understand it would only be for the federal portions.

Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals			
March 21-25, 2019 Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
28	Allow advisory committee representatives to be at the board table during deliberations for those proposals the advisory committee authored		
TNA			We like this idea but it sounds like a bit much; the representative from the AC should already be in the room. Members of this



Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries & Game Proposals

March 21-25, 2019 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			committee have gone up to the table at the request of the board to answer questions and there is nothing to say this practice can't continue
29	Allow advisory committee representatives a seat at the board table during deliberations on proposals affecting their region		
TNA			We like this idea but it sounds like a bit much; the representative from the AC should already be in the room. Members of this committee have gone up to the table at the request of the board to answer questions and there is nothing to say this practice can't continue
37	Repeal the boards' Subsistence Proposal Policy		
Oppose	0	6	This doesn't sound like a good idea. It is our understanding that this policy was recently used when no other policy would work. It should stay.

Adjournment: 5:00 pm

Minutes Recorded By: Walter Peter with department assistance

Minutes Approved By: Larry Williams