

Advisory Committee Recommendations Template

Name of Advisory Committee

Date

Location of Meeting

- I. Call to Order: Time by [name of chair or acting for the meeting]
- II. Roll Call:
 Members Present: [include role here by name ie: officer; designated seat; at large member?]
 Members Absent (Excused):
 Members Absent (Unexcused):
 Number Needed for Quorum on AC:
 List of User Groups Present:
- III. Approval of Agenda:
- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes:
- V. Fish and Game Staff Present:
- VI. Guests Present:
- VII. Old Business
- VIII. New Business:

This space may be used to record minutes.

Mandatory – Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form			
All motions must be made in the affirmative.			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal
1	PROPOSAL LANGUAGE INSERTED HERE		
Support or Oppose			Notes on AC discussion here

Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: _____
 Minutes Approved By: _____
 Date: _____

Advisory Committee Recommendations Example

Following are some good examples of advisory committee proposal recommendations that effectively communicate the advisory committee's positions and discussions to the boards. Note especially the summaries of discussion and inclusion of minority views.

Alaska Board of Fisheries Southeast & Yakutat Finfish & Shellfish Proposals January 11-23, 2018				
Prop.	Position	# Support	# Oppose	AC Comments, Discussion, Amendments, Voting Notes
130				Close subsistence fishing for salmon in Chilkat Inlet through July 15, and Chilkat River from June 15 to August 1.
	O	0	8	This was seen as an inflammatory measure that might be turned upside down to close commercial fishing instead since subsistence may be seen as having priority
133				Base duration of commercial salmon troll and drift gillnet gear spring openings on preseason king salmon abundance projections.
	O	0	8	This proposal is very rigid and could tie the hands of Department managers who ultimately have the same objective of rebuilding these king stocks but must balance many factors in doing so.
137				Increase the regional resident king salmon possession limit when the Southeast Alaska Area preseason king salmon abundance index is greater than 2.0.
	O	0	8	There was some support for the increase during high abundance. However, it was felt that such times are unlikely in the foreseeable future and opening up the Management Plan was not warranted just for this unlikely scenario.
138				Allow the retention of other salmon while fishing for king salmon with two rods.
	O	2	6	After considerable discussion this was viewed as too complicated and better left in its current black-and-white wording. Several members were sympathetic to the spirit of the proposal and thought it addressed an unforeseen problem.

Alaska Board of Game Statewide Regulations Meeting

November 10 – 17, 2017 | Anchorage

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
25	Require harvest tickets for all brown bear hunts statewide		
Opposed	3	6	Those opposed state that the one negative is that this would make it more restricted to hunt brown bears. There are a lot of areas in the State where the killing of brown bears is encouraged to increase ungulate populations, think that this would be a hindrance to those hunters that may incidentally encounter a bear. All bears are required to be sealed anyways and the Department can collect data then. Supporters support for reasons stated. There would be no fees associated with the harvest ticket, this proposal would support the Department's statistical data on brown bears.
26	Animals harvested under auction and raffle permits will not count against the regular bag limit		
Support	9	0	The raffles are fund raisers for conservation, suggest the most people bid against each other to make more money for a special conservation tag. This is for minimal animals and there is no guarantee that an animal would be harvested.
28	Modify the Board of Game nonresident drawing permit allocation policy		
Support	9	0	This does not impact the Governor's tags. Department bases allocation on the historical data of an area. This codified that it would include the use of non-resident hunters because of the historical use. Suggest that it should be changed to favor the residents. This is for current draw hunts, statewide allocation policy.
30	Allow nonresident hunters to harvest brown bear, sheep or goat on behalf of their Alaska resident second degree of kindred		
Opposed	0	9	This would allow a non-resident next of kin harvest a draw tag. Shifts the allocation from residents to non-residents. There would be a lot less people putting in for the nonresident tags. Also takes money away from the State. This would take the tag away from a resident and give it to a non-resident.
49	Require a permit before brown bear skulls and hides with claws attached can be sold		
Support	6	3	State Troopers believe that this would help with enforcement, the AAC supports/seconds the Troopers comments. The AC supports the Department's endeavors to help with use tracking. Opposed because there is no thought to secondary or tertiary sales of said skins, skulls, and trophies.