

Dear Members of the Alaska Board of Game,

I am writing to formally oppose RC009 for the following reasons:

1. **Lack of Independent Scientific Evidence**

Decisions impacting Alaska's wildlife should be based on rigorous, peer-reviewed, and independent scientific research. RC009 lacks sufficient unbiased data to justify its proposals, making it an irresponsible course of action. Any major policy affecting the ecosystem must be supported by credible, objective research.

2. **Waste of Taxpayer Money**

Implementing RC009 would require significant public funds, which could be better allocated to more pressing conservation efforts, habitat restoration, or public education. Without clear, measurable benefits backed by independent analysis, this proposal represents an unjustifiable expenditure.

3. **Moral and Ethical Concerns**

The potential consequences of RC009 raise serious ethical issues. Wildlife management should prioritize humane and sustainable practices. Any policy that risks disrupting Alaska's delicate ecological balance or harming wildlife populations without compelling justification is unacceptable.

4. **Inadequate Public Notice**

The process surrounding RC009 has not allowed for sufficient public awareness or participation. Alaskans deserve transparency and adequate time to review and provide input on decisions that affect the state's natural resources. The lack of meaningful public engagement undermines the legitimacy of this proposal.

For these reasons, I urge the Board of Game to reject RC009, stop the aerial slaughter of Bears and Wolves, and instead pursue evidence-based, fiscally responsible, and ethically sound wildlife management policies.

Sincerely,

Eugenia