

#### Anchorage Advisory Committee 2/1/2022 William Jack Hernandez Fish Hatchery

- I. Call to Order: 6:06PM by Kevin Taylor
- II. Roll Call

Members Present: Ernie Weiss, Harold (Ed) Horton, Willow Hetrick, Matt Moore, Kevin Taylor, Martin Weiser, Neil DeWitt, JR Gates, Lynette Hinz, Jim Nabulsi, Rick Rogers, Bryce Ekroth, Georgina Heaverly, Scott Crowther, Phillip Calhoun, Joel Doner.

Members Absent (Excused): Tyler Loken Members Absent (Unexcused): None Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8 List of User Groups Present: None

- III. Fish and Game Staff Present: Fari Sylvester
- IV. Guests Present: Richard Person
- V. Approval of Agenda: Neil DeWitt motioned; Matt Moore seconded; carried unanimously.
- VI. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes:
- VII. Reports
  - a. Chair's report: None
    - i. Game Subcommittee Chair Central/Southwest meeting went well. There was a lot of discussion about the Emperor goose proposals. Proposals 32 and 33 were voted down and not other EMGO proposals were taken up.
  - b. ADF&G: None
  - c. Others: None
- VIII. Public Comment: None
  - IX. Old Business: None
  - X. New Business: Board of Game proposal deadline for Southcentral (Southcentral Region Game Management Units: 6, 7, 8, 14C, 15) is upcoming for the meeting cycle:



2022/2023 and the Game Subcommittee may be developing proposals. The Board of Fish will be in a Bristol Bay year.

- XI. Set next meeting date: March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2022 at the William Jack Hernandez Hatchery.
- XII. Other

Adjourn

Alas	ska Boar		ne Statewide Regulations Meeting Proposals March 4-12, 2022   Fairbanks, AK	
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
members at a 2 means the n committee rea	meeting at notion carrie cord.	which a quo es. Members	n abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining rum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6- abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the	
101	•		or bows to include crossbows	
Oppose	1	13	Oppose b/c think that a crossbow should be not in the primitive weapons category. Support because would allow for more opportunity.	
102	Establish a definition for "primitive weapons" to include crossbow, longbow, shotgun, and muzzleloader			
Support as Amended	15	0	Like the idea of having a primitive weapons category. Would suggest removing shotgun from the category or change to smooth-boar shotgun. Additionally, muzzleloader would have to be a breach muzzleloader. Establish a common definition to include crossbow with muzzleloader in all definitions.	
103	Clarify whe	ether hay an	d grain are considered as "hunting gear"	
No Action			Support that the Board move the discussion forward about the definition of hunting gear in general.	
104	Modify the	definition o	f "deleterious exotic wildlife" with several housekeeping changes	
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated.	
105	Add roof rat ( <i>Rattus rattus</i> ) and house mouse ( <i>Mus musculus</i> ) to the list of "deleterious exotic wildlife"			
Support as Amended	15	0	Support and suggest adding mountain lion to the list. Support penalty for people releasing wild animals.	
106	Provide a c	Provide a definition for "feral"		
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated.	
107	Add unconfined and unrestrained domestic cats to the definition of "deleterious exotic wildlife"			



Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Oppose	0	15	See Proposal 106, this one is too restrictive.
108	Increase of	portunity fo	or nonresident take for certain eyas raptors for falconry
Support	12	0	3 abstain due to lack of knowledge. Support for reasons stated.
109	Modify the	microchip r	equirements for live raptors exported from Alaska by nonresidents
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated.
110	Extend the	nonresiden	t season for acquiring passage raptors
Support	13	0	2 abstain due to lack of knowledge. Support for reasons stated & see Proposal 114; Department is in support.
111		esident take ing the follo	
No Action			N/A. Need more background information.
112	Increase no	onresident o	pportunity for acquiring raptors
No Action			N/A. See Proposal 108.
113	Modify the regulations for nonresident take of raptors for falconry including increased number of nonresidents permits and expansion of the season to year-around		
No Action			N/A. Need more background information.
114	Change the	e nonresider	t season for acquiring a passage raptor
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated.
115	Remove th	e hunter ed	ucation requirement for beneficiaries using proxy hunters
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated.
116	Remove th	e crossbow	certification requirement for people born before January 1, 1986
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated.
117	Require hu	nters to pos	sess proof of completion of required educational certifications in the field
Oppose	0	15	Appreciate that there is an administrative burden to the Troopers and believe that enforcement is needed but suggest that this becomes a line item to a hunting license. Also suggest that electronic copies would suffice for all hunting licenses, education, and tags because hunters traveling from unit to unit without a stop at home could be impacted.
118	Add a new paragraph requiring completion of crossbow hunter certification course at time of permit application		
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated.
119	Include mu	iskox on the	list of species that can be taken under a proxy permit
Support	15	0	As long as the population is controlled by the Department based on previous years' surveys and there. The expectation is that the harvest rate



Proposal Number	Proposal Description			
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
			will improve, and the Department must manage with permit # restrictions as needed.	
120	Allow prox	y hunting fo	r moose	
Support	15	0	The expectation is that the harvest rate will improve, and the Department must manage with permit # restrictions as needed. This is a technicality between hunts that needs to be worked out.	
121	Allow the u	use of dogs t	o hunt big game	
Support as Amended	15	0	Support if track only.	
122	Lower the	minimum dr	raw weight for bows for hunting big game	
Oppose	0	15	The minimum draw weights are set to ensure that big game are ethically killed. Conditions in Alaska are very different that in the states and must be taken into consideration.	
123	Allow elect	tronic range	finders mounted on bows be used for hunting big game	
Oppose	2	11	Oppose because this will make people more accurate and proficient but also enables people to take longer shots which increases the risk of injuring the animal without killing it. Electronics are not allowed to be used in other hunts. Support because of safety reasons and believe it would be wise to use the sights in populated area hunts where the reason for bow hunting was to better protect local residence and not to give special privilege over other hunters. 2 abstain due to lack of knowledge of bow hunting and the ethical reasons for or against this proposal.	
124	Allow use	of integrated	bow sights\laser range finders for hunting big game with bows	
Oppose	2	11	See comments on Proposal 123. 2 abstain due to lack of knowledge.	
125	Allow the u	use of crossb	bows for hunting big game in weapons restricted hunts	
Support as Amended	15	0	Amended to allow the use of crossbows in muzzleloader hunts. Exclude crossbows from archery only hunts. See comments on Proposal 102 re: weapons.	
126	Allow the u	use of muzzl	eloaders equipped with scopes in the taking of big game	
Oppose	0	15	Use a rifle at this point as this addition to a muzzleloader too efficient.	
127	Allow air ri	ifles for hunt	ting big game	
Oppose	0	15	The rifle restrictions are set to ensure that big game are ethically killed. Conditions in Alaska are very different and must be taken into consideration. There is more discussion to be had around the use of air riffles.	



Alaska Board of Game Statewide Regulations Meeting Proposals
March 4-12, 2022   Fairbanks, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	<b>I</b>
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
128	Prohibit th	e use of med	chanical powered body suit or devices
No Action			
129	Require the wolverine	e use of expa	anding (soft point) bullets for big game hunting, excluding wolf and
Oppose	0	15	Difficult enforcement with multiple round types carried in the field
130	Prohibit us	e of urine fr	om any species of the deer family as bait or scent lures
Support	15	0	Support department efforts to prevent CWD in AK
131	Allow the u	use of game	bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait
Support	15	0	Support ethical use of not typlically consumed bird parts for trapping
132	Allow bird	wings and p	arts to be used for trapping
Support	15	0	See comments on 131
133	Add bow a	nd arrow as	a legal method for taking beaver
Support	15	0	See comments on 97
134	Allow the u	use of camer	as or sensory devices to monitor trap locations for trapping
Oppose	0	15	There is too much potential for to mis-apply as a trapline checking device
135	Repeal the	restriction of	on the use of aircraft for locating Dall sheep for hunting
Support	15	0	Prop 207 was a failed attempt to limit participation in the sheep hunt. the board of game needs to limit entry through drawing or other established methods is the way forward.
136	Rescind the	e restriction	on use of aircraft for locating Dall sheep for hunting
Support	15	0	See comments on proposal 135
137	Repeal the	restriction of	on the use of aircraft for locating Dall sheep for hunting
Support	15	0	See comments on proposal 135
138	Restrict air	craft use for	locating Dall sheep for hunting, for all open seasons
Oppose	0	15	This follows the unenforceable prop 207
139	Restrict the use of aircraft for making multiple, consecutive approaches near Dall sheep for hunting		
Oppose	0	15	See comments on proposal 138
140	Increase th	ne number o	f bait station sites temporarily from 10 to 20 per guide use area
Oppose	0	15	Excessive ask.
141	Require be	ear baiting sit	tes to be at least one mile apart



Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Oppose	0	15	Enforcement issues and can be mis-used to block reasonable access by others
142	Add emu t	o the to the	list of animals allowed to be possessed without a permit
No Action			
143	Add emu t	o the to the	list of animals allowed to be possessed without a permit
No Action			 
144	Exempt "st the wild	erilized com	munity cats" from the list of species prohibited form being released into
Oppose	0	15	No need for cats to be released into the wild
145	Classify <i>F. catus</i> as deleterious exotic wildlife and prohibit their release into the wild, feeding, and maintaining unconfined populations		
No Action			
146	Limit big ga	ame registra	tion permits to one per species, per year
Oppose	0	15	Our understanding is ADFG already accounts for certain amount of tags to go un utilized
147	Allow the s	ale of prepa	red game trophies under a permit
Oppose	0	15	Concerns about commercial participation in hunting and monetizing trophy value along with poor description of permit requirements by proposer.
148	Allow pers	ons over the	age of 65 to sell trophies and rugs
Oppose	0	15	See comments on proposal 147
149		arate Dall sh nonresident	eep permit draw for second-degree-kindred hunters in areas that limit the hunters
Support	15	0	Provides reliability for guides forecasting and we support only if taking the 2dk tags out of the NR allocation
150	Increase th	ne number o	f times a hunter may apply for drawing permit hunts for each species
Oppose	0	15	Allows too much over requesting for an area where less financially able hunters are unable to compete
151	Require all hunters to apply for permit hunts and pay the application fee during the application period		
Support	15	0	no loopholes to the draw process that all residents have to be constrained to
152	Require all	drawing per	mit hunts available to residents be available for application online
No Action			



Proposal Number	Proposal	Description		
Support, Support as Amended,	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
Oppose,	Support	Oppose	Proposal, voting Notes	
No Action				
153			system for bison and muskox drawing hunts	
Support	15	0	Creative and fair way to give a resident preference	
154	Direct ADF		an additional permit when a party application is drawn as last permit	
Oppose	0	15	Allotment is not perfect. This is also not perfect.	
155	Establish p	rotocol for A	DF&G to issue "any bull" resident moose permit in selective harvest hunts	
Oppose	0	15	3 brow tine system was promoted as best practice	
156		ified crossbo only hunts	w hunters the ability to apply for Methods and Means Exemption permits	
Oppose	0	15	See notes on crossbow equivalent to muzzle loader	
157		Amend the language in the existing regulation for authorizing methods and means disability exemptions to be more consistent with the statute		
Support	15	0	Tighten up medical exemption permit process a good idea	
158	Allow dog	mushers to l	be eligible to receive game from the state by permit for use as dog food	
Support	15	0	Good use of Alaskan game that may otherwise go to waste	
159	Change the	e sealing and	reporting requirements to business days instead of calendar days	
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated	
160			te regulation to specify that game animals taken by domestic pets must be for human consumption	
Oppose	0	15	Waste of limited resources and cumbersome for households	
161	Change the	e salvage req	uirement for sheep, goat and deer to all meat on the outside of the ribs	
Oppose	0	15	Too much waste for the implied risk	
162	Require the	e salvage of	the meat or hide of snowshoe hare	
Support	15	0	Good requirement for the resource	
163		00	me animals towards the hunter's bag limit for all units and require	
Support	15		e field from hunters that attempt to take game	
Support	15	0	Believe this is ethical and prudent, but concerns about enforceability. our hope is all hunters would self police	
164	Count wounded big game animals towards nonresident hunter's bag limit for all units, excluding the one sheep and one bear every four years			
Support	15	0	See comments on proposal 163	
165	Apply auct	ion permit h	older's bag limit to the year the animal is taken	
Oppose	0	15	Tt could lead to a money grab where ultra rich could lock out any other opportunity	
166	Amend the	requiremer	nt for licenses and tags to include game legally taken with dogs and cats	



Alaska Board of Game Statewide Regulations Meeting Proposals
March 4-12, 2022   Fairbanks, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal	Description			
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes		
No Action					
167			l wild birds from April 1 to September 30) to the list of species that may not ligently fed outdoors without a permit		
No Action					
168		-	n that specifies the Board of Game will not require guides for nonresidents u or black bear		
No Action					
169	Prohibit th	e harvest of	white animals		
Oppose	0	15	These animals should continue to be legally harvested		
170	Modify the	e Unit 1C and	l Unit 4 boundaries		
No Action					
171	Divide Unit	t 19A into tw	vo subunits		
No Action					
172	Clarify the legal use of highway vehicles, snow machines and off-road vehicles in the Dalton Highway Corridor Management Area (DHCMA) for hunting and trapping. Clarify the use of firearms, and transport of furbearers and trapping bait when trapping in the DHCMA				
Oppose	0	15	We feel there is enough access to the DHCMA		
173	Repeal the	Dalton High	way Corridor Management Area		
Oppose	0	15	DCMA is a good way to hunt a potentially concentrated area		
<del>174</del>	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose seasons in Unit 1C		
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>175</del>	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose hunt in Unit 5A, Nunatak Bench		
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>176</del>	Reauthoriz	e the antler	l <del>ess moose season in Unit 6C</del>		
		Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.			
<del>177</del>	Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in the Twentymile/Portage/Placer hunt area in Units 7 and 14C				
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>178</del>	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose seasons in Unit 14C		
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>179</del>	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose season on Kalgin Island in Unit 15B		
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>180</del>	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose seasons in Unit 15C		
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		



	-				
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	1		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes		
10 Action 181	Reauthoriz	e the reside	nt antlerless moose season in Unit 18		
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>182</del>	Reauthoriz	<del>e a winter a</del>	ntlerless moose season during February in a portion of Unit 19D Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>183</del>	Peauthoriz	o the antler	less moose seasons in Unit 20A		
105	Academoniz	e <del>me antiën</del>	Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>184</del>	Reauthoriz	<u>e the antler</u>	less moose seasons in Unit 20B		
104	Reductionz		Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>185</del>	Reauthoriz	o the antler	less moose hunting seasons in Unit 20D		
105	Reductionz	Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.			
186	Reauthoriz	<u>e a winter a</u>	ny-moose season during March in a portion of Unit 21D		
100	Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.				
<del>187</del>	Reauthorize a winter any moose season during part of February and March in Unit 21E				
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>188</del>	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose season in the western portion of Unit 26A		
			Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
<del>189</del>	Reauthorize resident grizzly/brown bear tag fee exemptions throughout Interior and Northeast Alaska				
	Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.				
<del>190</del>	Reauthoriz	e the currer	nt resident tag fee exemptions for brown bear in Units 18, 22, 23 and 26A		
		K	Passed at March 18, 2021 Special Meeting.		
193	Establish a	hunt for mu	iskox within a portion of Unit 26A		
Support	15	0	Support for additional hunting opportunity.		
196	Allow ADF&G to utilize a targeted hunt for registration caribou hunts (RC830 & RC 867) in Units 20 and 25				
Oppose	0	15	Oppose ADF&G may issue emergency order closures as necessary.		
230	Change the definition for "full-curl horn"				
Oppose	0	15	We oppose the taking of rams younger than 8 years of age.		
231	Change th	e definition	n of edible meat for cranes, geese, and swans .		
No action			See proposal 131		
232	Allow the u	use of dogs t	o recover wounded furbearers		
Support	15	0	Support as it would conserve the resource by increasing recovery of furbearers		



Proposal Number	Proposal Description			
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
233	Eliminate t species	he requirem	hent for peak draw weight of bows or establish peak draw weight for taking	
No action			See proposal 122	
234	Allow use	of stationary	game cameras that transmit photos wirelessly.	
Support	11	2	Bear baiting is designed to provide more opportunity, more time to judge animal before taking animal. Using technology provides more opportunity. Opposed took the position that too much technology is being utilized in hunting and more is not needed. Should add electronics not authorized in any other hunts. 2 abstain due to lack of knowledge.	
235	Allow the use of artificial light while hunting small game animals that have no closed seasons and no bag limit			
Oppose	0	15	Opposed as spotlighting is perceived as unethical.	
236	Require ADF&G to notify bear bait station registrants of other bait stations within a one-mile radius of desired bait station location			
No action			See proposal 141	
237	Clarify that defined in		not issue permits to use bait or scent lures near prohibited areas already	
Support	15	0	Support sharing the liability with ADF&G to be sure the bait station location complies with regulations.	
238	Modify the regulations to recognize Czechoslovakian Vlcak as a standard dog breed to be possessed in Alaska without a permit			
Oppose	0	15	Oppose as the Vlcak is a wolf hybrid.	
239	Require all	resident reg	gistration permit hunts be available for application online	
No action			See proposal 152	
240	Allow the s	sale of a gam	ne skin or trophy from a revokable trust	
Oppose	0	15	Anyone can have a revokable trust. Prohibiting the sale of trophies limits black market activities.	
241	Remove al	locations be	tween guided and non-guided nonresident hunters	
Support	15	0	The allocation of guided hunt permits versus 2nd degree of kindred permits should come from the same pool.	
242	Allow hunting permits to be reissued for military personnel under "any official military deployment"			
Support	15	0	The concept of fairness was considered and we support allowing military members the opportunity to hunt after returning from deployment.	



Proposal Number	Proposal Description			
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
243	Update 5 A	AC 92.050 t	o recognize changes made by the Alaska Legislature regarding the transfer	
		permit hun		
Support	15	0	This will happen in very limited cases and is fair to the surviving family.	
244	Eliminate a	all communit	y subsistence harvest hunts	
Support	15	0	Unanimously support for reasons stated	
245	Eliminate t	he requirem	ient to salvage rib meat on the bone for moose, caribou and bison	
No action			See proposal 161	
246	Change the	e sealing me	thod for Dall sheep to avoid damage to horns	
Support	15	0	Many hunters do not like horns drilled and plugged. There is enough data in databases to track the horns. Also, electronic chips could be utilized by drilling in the bone base under the horn and placing an id chip permanently.	
247	Discontinu	e lethal taki	ng of wolves under predation control implementation plans	
Oppose	0	15	This is a tool for predator management.	
248	Allow nonr	resident vou	th to harvest big game on behalf of an adult permit holder	
Support	15	0	This allows a youth to hunt with an adult family member and take an animal on their tag. We support getting youth in the field and participating in hunting. We see no negative impact from supporting this proposal.	
249	Reauthoriz and 14C	e the antler	less moose season in the Twentymile/Portage/Placer hunt area in Units 7	
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.	
250	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose seasons in Unit 14C	
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.	
251	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose season on Kalgin Island in Unit 15B	
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.	
252	Reauthoriz	e the antler	less moose seasons in Unit 15C	
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.	
253	Reauthoriz	e the reside	nt antlerless moose season in Unit 18	
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.	



Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
254	Reauthoriz	e a winter a	ntlerless moose season during February in a portion of Unit 19D
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
255	Reauthoriz	e the antler	ess moose seasons in Unit 20A
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
256	Reauthoriz	e the antler	ess moose seasons in Unit 20B
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
257	Reauthoriz	e the antler	ess moose hunting seasons in Unit 20D
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
258	Reauthoriz	e a winter a	ny-moose season during March in a portion of Unit 21D
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
259	Reauthoriz	e a winter a	ny-moose season during part of February and March in Unit 21E
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
260	Reauthoriz	e the antler	ess moose season in the western portion of Unit 26A
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
261	Reauthoriz Alaska	e resident g	rizzly/brown bear tag fee exemptions throughout Interior and Northeast
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
262	Reauthoriz	e the curren	t resident tag fee exemptions for brown bear in Units 18, 22, 23 and 26A.
Support	15	0	Support ADF&G as it is a management tool for population control, habitat preservation.
263	Allow the harvest of sea otter.		
No Action			Not considered as it is outside of Board of Game authority.
264	Allow hunters to dispatch wounded waterfowl from motorized boats under power		
No Action			Not considered as it is outside of Board of Game authority.
265	Change the Unit 22E registration moose hunt to a drawing hunt with specific application conditions		
No Action			Allows for better planning for hunters out of the unit which could put more pressure on the resource. Also benefits those that are good on the



Proposal Number	Proposal	Descriptior	1	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
			computer and have time to wait for the registration opening online. Defer to the Fairbanks AC and support them on their decision.	
266	-	-	for Game Management Units 21C and 21D to match the okonomic of the okonomic okonomic of the okonomic oko	
Support	15	0	Standardizing unit boundaries leads to less confusion in the field for hunters.	
267	Hunting se	asons and b	ag limits for Dall Sheep	
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated. Increases resident hunting opportunity.	
268	Prohibit th	e possession	n of swine other than Sus scrofa domesticus	
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated. These animals have the potential to do a lot of damage to habitat but most importantly the wild sheep population.	
269	Create a ti	ered hunt st	ructure and open a hunt for the Unimak Island caribou herd	
Support	15	0	More opportunity for residents to hunt. The herd has been growing and it's a remote area with hardly any natural pressures.	
270	Open an antlerless moose hunt in a portion of Unit 20E			
Support	15	0	Support for reasons stated.	

Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: Willow Hetrick/Matt Moore Minutes Approved By: Kevin Taylor Date: 2/1/2022

**Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting** 



December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska Meeting Minutes

# CALL TO ORDER: 6:07PM

#### **ROLL CALL/ QUORUM ESTABLISHED:**

Present: Jaimie Kassman, Alissa Nadine Rogers, Mike Riley, Robert Lekandar, Jerry White, Lucinda Alexie, Jon LaValle; Excused Absence: Rafe Johnson, Robert Hoffman; Vacant: 4 seats

#### MOMENT OF SILENCE

#### **INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS:**

Doug Carney, SHAC Chairman; Nick Smith, ADFG Commercial Fisheries Manager; Aaron Moses, USFWS Subsistence Coordinator; Danielle Lowery, ONC Fisheries Biologist

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA:** Motion- John LaValle, 2<sup>nd</sup>- Jerry White, Unanimous Approval **APPROVAL OF MINUTES** February 25, 2021: Motion- Mike Riley, 2<sup>nd</sup>- Jon LaValle, Unanimous Approval **COMMENTS:** 

- CONCERNS/COMMENTS OF PUBLIC:
  - Doug Carney- Introduction of two (2) proposals and request for support (171- Boundary Line) and request to oppose (239- Online Registration Hunts).
- CONCERNS/COMMENTS OF AC MEMBERS: (*Note: If concern/comment needs more than 5 minutes, item should be placed on the agenda to be presented later in the meeting*)
  - <u>Robert Lekander</u>- Concern for the Chum & Chinook Salmon. Gave history of how fishing was back in the good old days.
  - <u>Mike Riley</u>- Wondering if Moose are moving down from Paimiut. The amount of moose we seen in Paimiut was 1 moose in three days, prior years was 12 moose per day. *ADFG Pat Jones- Moose wander a distance during spring- fall, but as soon as winter hits they are really loyal to their winter ground.*
  - <u>Bethel AC Discussion</u>: Online Drawing hunt for Bison and Musk Ox, is to incorporate the following language instead of using a point system: "A successful draw permit is not permitted to reapply for the same drawing permit for (years are optional, suggested 3-5) years."
  - <u>Bethel AC Discussion Mulchatna Caribou Herd</u>: Specifically, Unit 18, Yukon Kuskokwim Bethel Area:
    - In the history of Caribou through the eyes of Robert Lekander, an elder of the BAC, he tells us the witnessed accounts of want -n- waste happened more and more each year as snow machines got faster and higher caliber semi-automatic to automatic guns became more popular to utilize for hunting. Hunters would run the herds down until they got tired or to where they can single out a few caribou. Some hunters would find one big herd and then just shoot right into the herd, not thinking about the bullets going through the caribou. This type of sloppy hunting resulting in killing more Caribou or wounding non-targeted Caribou. When Caribou are wounded, they get sick, then pass that sickness to healthy caribou or just die. When you are driving to the wintering grounds of Caribou, you can see Ravens flying and you will find dead gut shot Caribou. Another recommendation for future Caribou hunting, would be to shorten the hunting dates, it will only take hunters 1-2 days to get their quota.
    - For future Caribou hunting opportunity, I, Chairman Jaimie Kassman, would like to make sure that people in the region to have opportunity to that resource; as apart of their lifestyle and a way to gather food. Right now, we are lucky to have the Moose as another good source of protein.
    - All the members of the Bethel AC were in support to bring back the Caribou Working Group.

Alaska Department of Fish & Game Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska Meeting Minutes



- I really like the idea of a Caribou Permit.
- A Tier II system for Caribou will be determined by the population and who will be able to hunt based on the category they are in. If the Mulchatna Caribou Herd was to go into a Tier II system, our Unit will never be able to get out of that Tier II system. As our past commentator Greg Roczicka at ONC said, "One you get into a Tier II, no matter what you do, you almost never get out of it." The discussion is once you go into a Tier II permit, it will never go away in our lifetime anyways. There are pro's and con's to a Tier II system, but you have to be ready to take the cost of going into a Tier II.
- Currently, there is a lot of Moose on the Yukon River that needs to be killed. There aren't very many people there compared to how many people are on the Kuskokwim. Moose can be harvested so the Caribou population can rise.
- As the Bethel AC, we would like to see about raising healthier Caribou, brainstorming ways of decreasing calf mortality, research ways to prevent diseases by methods or means, advocate against poaching, increase predator harvesting for three or more consecutive years. We would like to have the Department get with FWS to keep the Caribou Harvesting closed or go into a moratorium for 5-10 consecutive years. In the future of Caribou hunting and opportunities will need to strategize adequate population means to harvest and continue to keep the population stable.

#### Alaska Department of Fish & Game Update:

- a. Wildlife, Patrick Jones:
  - a. Moose Spring 2021 Goodnews Bay Survey: Count 450 Record High
  - b. Moose Spring 2021 Kanektok Survey (Assisted by Togiak FWS): Count 234 Record High
  - c. Moose Kuskokwim River 2021 Survey: Count Zone 1- 3200 & Count Zone 2- 568 (Best Ever)
  - d. Musk Ox Nunivak 2021 Survey: Count 701, 130 calves (Upper End- Higher Harvest)
  - e. Musk Ox Nelson Island 2021: Count 213, 100 calves (Upper End- Higher Harvest)
  - f. Moose Yukon (Paimiut- Emmonak & Kotlik) 2021 Survey: Count 20,000 (Concern- Too High) Yukon Moose Population is too high that it is alarming and off the chart #'s. <u>Bottom line we</u> need to figure out how to kill more moose before they crash.
  - g. 2021 Results Yukon River Moose Studies: 60 calves, June 25 died, 24 Starvation. Predators not making a difference on the population.
  - h. 2022 April Yukon River Moose Studies: Goal 50 more calves
- b. Fisheries, Nick Smith:
  - a. Chinook Salmon Escapement Goals: All goals were met. Run-reconstruction model preliminary Estimate: 129,000 Estimate with a total Escapement of 101,000. Drainage wide: 65,000-120,000
  - b. Sockeye & Coho Escapement Goals:
    - i. Kogrukluk (Met)- Coho was a mixed bag
    - ii. George (Met)- Below Average, but within the goal
  - c. Chum Escapement Goals (Not Met): Worst on Record that he could find all over Alaska.
  - d. Aerial surveys: Due to bad weather we were not able to fly as planned. We were able to get Goodnews and Kanaktok Rivers. Goals met for Kuskokwim Bay.

AC02 3 of 10

### Alaska Department of Fish & Game Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting

December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska Meeting Minutes

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

#### I. Alaska Board of Game Proposals: Central/ Southwest Region Meeting

	Alaska Board of Game Central/Southwest Region Meeting January 21-29, 2022   Wasilla			
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal	
19	Establish n follows.	ew populati	on and harvest objectives for the Mulchatna Caribou Herd in Units 9, 17, 18, and 19 as	
			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Assisted Introduction by Patrick Jones Discussion/ Question: History of the Mulchatna Caribou in Unit 18, long ago, when the herd was called the Kilbuck Mountain Herd. The Kilbuck herd was a small mixture of wild Caribou and tamed Reindeer that interbred. When the Mulchatna Caribou moved into the area, it was huge, approximately 300,000 that covered the whole side of three step mountain. There was so many that their antlers looked like small moving alder trees. Hunting Caribou was also conducted differently in the old days; traveling was done by dog teams and the use of single shot or bolt action rifles were used as weapons. The Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS) was set when the Caribou population was over 100,000. Since, the population has drastically declined the ANS may not be a realistic figure for the near or long-term future of this herd. The Bethel AC did not feel strongly to either support or not support this proposal. Due to the	
Table- No			lack of representation for this proposal at the meeting.	
Action	7	0	Proposal 19; <u>Tabled</u> ; Unanimous Vote to Table	
20 Table- No	Estadiisii I		<ul> <li>tence hunting season and bag limit for the Mulchatna Caribou Herd (MCH)</li> <li>Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2<sup>nd</sup>- Jon LaValle</li> <li>Introduction by Patrick Jones. Recommendation from the department is neutral.</li> <li>Discussion/ Question: The saying goes, "Once you get into a Tier II system, there is no way getting out of it." Even the recommendation from the department is neutral, there is too many foreseen complications that are not ideal when there are so many different managing agencies.</li> <li>We already know that we are not going to have any allowable hunting in the near future. This gives the agencies time to create, develop, and propose a management plan that has been thoroughly thought out. In addition, there is support to bring back a Caribou Working Group for this herd that has all the parties involved and proposes regulations in the best interest for this herd and all the units involved.</li> <li>The Bethel AC did not feel strongly to either support or not support this proposal. Due to the lack of representation for this proposal at the meeting.</li> </ul>	
Action	7	0	Proposal 20; <u>Tabled</u> ; Unanimous Approval	
21	Establish a 17 & 18	second prec	lation control area for Mulchatna Caribou on Federal Lands in Game Management Unit	
Support	7	0	Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones.	

# **Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting**



December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska

Number Support         Proposal Description           Support         Number Oppose         Number Oppose         Number Oppose         Number Oppose           No Action         Number Oppose         Number Oppose         Number Oppose         Number Oppose           No Action         Discussion/Question: Unit 18 has no predation control, it is all happening in Unit 17 on the Dillingham side, focusing on the calving grounds in the spring. In Unit 18, most of our lands are lake: The most interesting fact is that the Caribou migrate through that paess to the calving grounds during Spring and Fall. There are volves in this area, at least one pack had 22 volves. For this to pass, it will require the corporation so open their lands to areital hunts every flyable day. To be effective with predator control 80% of the wolves will have to be killed consecutively for 2-3 years in a row firmly. Public wolf harvesting will be open unil March, then the department will do a firm 80%. There is a historical precedence of Corporation being on board. Depends on the hunt and the corporation and allowance. Recommendation: Writing a letter to the tribes to support or jump on board with providing wolf bounties and incentives for wolf harvests. Proposal 21: Supported; Unatinuous Approval           101         Change the definition for brows to include crossbows           Motion - Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>m</sup> - Jerry White Introduction by Patrick Jones, No recommendation by the department. Discussion/Question: We are one of the only stats that separates crossbow and archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported	Alaska Board of Game Central/Southwest Region Meeting January 21-29, 2022   Wasilla			
Support         Number Oppose.         Number Oppose         Number Statistic         Numbe		Proposal Description		
Dillingham side, focusing on the calving grounds in the spring. In Unit 18, most of our lands are either corporation lands or federal lands. The State-owned land is up by Kisaralik Lake & Aniak Lake. The most interesting fact is that the Caribou migrate through that pass to the calving grounds during Spring and Fall. There are wolves in this area, at least one pack had 22 wolves. For this to pass, it will require the corporations to open their lands to arearial hunts every flyable day. To be effective with predator control 80% of the wolves will have to be killed consecutively for 2-3 years in a row firmly. Public wolf harvesting will be open until March, then the department will do a firm 80%. There is a historical precedence of Corporations being on board. Depends on the hunt and the corporation and allowing predator control. Writing a letter to the corporations to open up their lands of support allowing predator control. Writing a letter to the corporations to open up their lands of support allowing predator control. Writing a letter to the corporations to open up their lands of support allowing predator control. Writing a letter to the corporations to open up their lands of support allowing predator control. Writing a letter to the corporations to open south providing wolf bounties and incentives for wolf harvests.         101       Change the definition for bows to include crossbows       Proposal 21: Supported; Unanimous Approval         101       Change the definition for bows to include crossbows and protect our pipeline. In Unit 18 during the Moose hunting secons, 80% of the moose that get away are caused by Archery hunters. It is not a biological issue it is a preference for hunters.         102       Establish a definition for "primitive weapons," to include crossbow, Jongbow, shotgun, and muzzleloader.         102       Fa	Support as Amended, Oppose,			Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
101       Change the definition for bows to include crossbows         101       Change the definition for bows to include crossbows         102       Addition - Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jerry White Introduction by Patrick Jones, No recommendation by the department. Discussion/ Question: We are one of the only states that separates crossbow and archery. The accuracy rate of a cross bow is a higher to ensure clean kill. Archery only areas are for close range hunts and to limit the amount of harvest and protect our pipeline. In Unit 18 during the Moose hunting season, 80% of the moose that get away are caused by Archery hunters. It is not a biological issue it is a preference for hunters.         0ppose       2       4         Proposal 101; Opposed; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.         102       Establish a definition for "primitive weapons" to include crossbow, longbow, shotgun, and muzzleloader.         0       0       7         Proposal 102; Opposed; Upased; Unanimous Denied         119       Include Musk Ox on the Itis of species that can be taken under a proxy permit         119       Include Musk Ox on the Itis of species that can be taken under a proxy permit         Support       Wotion - Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - IntaValle Amendment: "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation - Neutral Discussion/Question: There are no downsides to this proposal.				Dillingham side, focusing on the calving grounds in the spring. In Unit 18, most of our lands are either corporation lands or federal lands. The State-owned land is up by Kisaralik Lake & Aniak Lake. The most interesting fact is that the Caribou migrate through that pass to the calving grounds during Spring and Fall. There are wolves in this area, at least one pack had 22 wolves. For this to pass, it will require the corporations to open their lands to aerial hunts every flyable day. To be effective with predator control 80% of the wolves will have to be killed consecutively for 2-3 years in a row firmly. Public wolf harvesting will be open until March, then the department will do a firm 80%. There is a historical precedence of Corporation being on board. Depends on the hunt and the corporation and allowance. Recommendation: Writing a letter to the corporations to open up their lands or support allowing predator control. Writing a letter to the
101       Change the definition for bows to include crossbows         101       Change the definition for bows to include crossbows         102       Addition - Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jerry White Introduction by Patrick Jones, No recommendation by the department. Discussion/ Question: We are one of the only states that separates crossbow and archery. The accuracy rate of a cross bow is a higher to ensure clean kill. Archery only areas are for close range hunts and to limit the amount of harvest and protect our pipeline. In Unit 18 during the Moose hunting season, 80% of the moose that get away are caused by Archery hunters. It is not a biological issue it is a preference for hunters.         0ppose       2       4         Proposal 101; Opposed; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.         102       Establish a definition for "primitive weapons" to include crossbow, longbow, shotgun, and muzzleloader.         0       0       7         Proposal 102; Opposed; Upased; Unanimous Denied         119       Include Musk Ox on the Itis of species that can be taken under a proxy permit         119       Include Musk Ox on the Itis of species that can be taken under a proxy permit         Support       Wotion - Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - IntaValle Amendment: "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation - Neutral Discussion/Question: There are no downsides to this proposal.				<b>Proposal 21; Supported;</b> Unanimous Approval
Oppose24Introduction by Patrick Jones, No recommendation by the department. Discussion/Question: We are one of the only states that separates crossbow and archery. The accuracy rate of a cross bow is a higher to ensure clean kill. Archery only areas are for close range hunts and to limit the amount of harvest and protect our pipeline. In Unit 18 during the Moose hunting season, 80% of the moose that get away are caused by Archery hunters. It is not a biological issue it is a preference for hunters.Oppose24Proposal 101; Opposed; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.102Establish a definition for "primitive weapons" to include crossbow, longbow, shotgun, and muzzleloader. Motion- Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patrick Jones. Discussion/Question: None.Oppose07Proposal 102; Opposed; Unanimous Denied119Include Musk Ox on the list of species that can be taken under a proxy permit Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Amendment- "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation- Neutral Discussion/Question: There are no downsides to this proposal. As long as the population is stable, it doesn't matter who kills a Musk Ox. Yukon & Kuskokwim Musk Ox hunters already know why this is not a subsistence animal.	101	Change the	e definition f	
Oppose       2       4       and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.         102       Establish a definition for "primitive weapons" to include crossbow, longbow, shotgun, and muzzleloader.         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patrick Jones Discussion/ Question: None.       Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers         0ppose       0       7       Proposal 102; Opposed; Unanimous Denied         119       Include Musk Ox on the list of species that can be taken under a proxy permit         Notion - Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle         Amendment- "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation- Neutral Discussion/ Question: There are no downsides to this proposal. As long as the population is stable, it doesn't matter who kills a Musk Ox. Yukon & Kuskokwim Musk Ox hunters already know why this is not a subsistence animal.         Mendment       7       0       Proposal 119; Support as Amended; Unanimously Supported				Introduction by Patrick Jones, No recommendation by the department. Discussion/ Question: We are one of the only states that separates crossbow and archery. The accuracy rate of a cross bow is a higher to ensure clean kill. Archery only areas are for close range hunts and to limit the amount of harvest and protect our pipeline. In Unit 18 during the Moose hunting season, 80% of the moose that get away are caused by Archery hunters. It is not a
102       Establish a definition for "primitive weapons" to include crossbow, longbow, shotgun, and muzzleloader.         102       Introduction for "primitive weapons" to include crossbow, longbow, shotgun, and muzzleloader.         103       Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patrick Jones Discussion/Question: None.         0       7       Proposal 102; Opposed; Unanimous Denied         119       Include Musk Ox on the list of species that can be taken under a proxy permit         119       Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Amendment- "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation- Neutral Discussion/Question: There are no downsides to this proposal. As long as the population is stable, it doesn't matter who kills a Musk Ox. Yukon & Kuskokwim Musk Ox hunters already know why this is not a subsistence animal.         Y       0       Proposal 119; Support as Amended; Unanimously Supported	Oppose	2	4	and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use
Oppose07Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patrick Jones Discussion/ Question: None.Oppose07Because it is already established regulation. <b>Proposal 102; Opposed;</b> Unanimous Denied119Include Musk Ox on the list of species that can be taken under a proxy permitMotion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle AmendmentMotion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Amendment- "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation- Neutral Discussion/ Question: There are no downsides to this proposal. As long as the population is stable, it doesn't matter who kills a Musk Ox. Yukon & Kuskokwim Musk Ox hunters already know why this is not a subsistence animal.Y0 <b>Proposal 119; Support as Amended;</b> Unanimously Supported	**		definition for	
Oppose         0         7         Proposal 102; Opposed; Unanimous Denied           119         Include Musk Ox on the list of species that can be taken under a proxy permit           Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle           Amendment- "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley           Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation- Neutral           Discussion/ Question: There are no downsides to this proposal. As long as the population is           stable, it doesn't matter who kills a Musk Ox. Yukon & Kuskokwim Musk Ox hunters already           w/           Amendm           ent         7           0         Proposal 119; Support as Amended; Unanimously Supported				Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patrick Jones
Support       W/         W/       Amendment         w/       Amendment         ent       7         0       Proposal 119; Support as Amended; Unanimously Supported	Oppose	-	/	Proposal 102; Opposed; Unanimous Denied
Support w/ AmendmAmendment- "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation- Neutral Discussion/ Question: There are no downsides to this proposal. As long as the population is stable, it doesn't matter who kills a Musk Ox. Yukon & Kuskokwim Musk Ox hunters already know why this is not a subsistence animal.Amendm ent70Proposal 119; Support as Amended; Unanimously Supported	119	Include Mu	isk Ox on th	
ent 7 0 <b>Proposal 119;</b> <u>Support as Amended;</u> Unanimously Supported	Support w/			Amendment- "Strike Tier II from the Musk Ox section. Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Mike Riley Introduction by Patrick Jones, ADFG Recommendation- Neutral Discussion/ Question: There are no downsides to this proposal. As long as the population is stable, it doesn't matter who kills a Musk Ox. Yukon & Kuskokwim Musk Ox hunters already
		7	0	Proposal 119: Support as Amended: Unanimously Supported
	120	Allow prov	*	

# **Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting**



#### December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska

Support       6       1       experience. This proposal is more geared toward Urban and Rural (road system) hunting.         124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.       Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle         124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.       Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle         124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.       Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle         126       Allow the use of cross-on-Question is more geared toward user the set state and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes away equal opportunity from hunters and gives leverage to the rich.         125       Allow the use of cross-on-on thunting big game in weapons restricted hunts         126       Motion-Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers         Discussion/Question: None.       Proposal 125; Opposed; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.         Opposed       2       4       crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.         129       Require the use of expanding (soft point) bullets for big game hunting, excluding wolf and wolverine </th <th></th> <th colspan="4"></th>						
Number         Froposal Description           Support         Number           Support         Number           Support         Number           Oppose, No Action         Number           Support         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Alissa Nadine Rogers           Introduction by Patrick Jones         Discussion/Question: To allow elders and people incapable of hunting, to have the family members do it for them. If there is anywhere in the state that really need a proxy hunt, it's here.           121         Allow the use of dogs to hunt big game         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Alissa Nadine Rogers           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Perropsal 121; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Perry White           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         Motion- Join LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Perry White           124         Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game. When shaw GPS tracking and they adjust your site accordingly. If you know where it is at, you need to know your range for a more accurate kill.           Support         6         1         Perposal 123; Supported. Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Ju						
Support         Number Support         Number Oppose         Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal Motion- Ion LaValle, 2 <sup>md</sup> , Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patientick Jones Discussion (Question: To allow elders and people incapable of hunting, to have the family members do it for them. If there is anywhere in the state that really need a proxy hunt, it's here. Proposal 120: Supported; (Jinaimously Supported)           121         Allow the use of dogs to hunt big game         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>md</sup> , Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/Question: Conflict between users. When someone is out hunting and then get chased off.           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           124         Allow use of integrated         Proposal 123: Supported; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting or have much have GPS tracking and they adjust your site accordingly. If you know where it is at, you need to know your range for a more accurate kill.           Support         6         1         Proposal 133: Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting or have much hunting. The spond 132: Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alak		Proposal I	Proposal Description			
Support         7         0         Introduction by Patrick Jones           Support         7         0         Proposal 120; Supported; Unanimously Supported           121         Allow the use of dogs to hunt big game         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>ml</sup> , Alissa Nadine Rogers           0         0         7         Proposal 121; Opposed; Unanimously Supported           123         Allow electronic range finders: mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           123         Allow electronic range finders: mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           123         Allow clectronic range finders: mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           124         Allow clectronic range finders: mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           125         Allow vour ange for a more accurate kill.           Proposal 123; Supported: Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting or have much how your range for a more accurate kill.           Support         6         1         experimee. This proposal is more geared lowed Urban and Runting (rod system) hunting:           124         Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>ml</sup> . Jon LaValle           Discussion Question: None.         Proposal 133; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justifification:	Support as Amended, Oppose,			Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal		
Oppose         0         7         Proposal 121; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           123         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           124         Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game           125         Allow use corner guided or transporter hunters that use these devices. Some of these range finders also have GPS tracking and they adjust your site accordingly. If you know where it is at, you need to know your range for a more accurate kill.           Support         6         1         Proposal 123; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn' i ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This proposal is more geared toward Urban and Rural (road system) hunting.           124         Allow use of integrated bow sights' Isoported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn' i ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes away equal opportunity from hunters and gives leverage to the inch.           Support         5         3         Copposed Justification:: Coss bows should not be used in archery only and		7		Introduction by Patrick Jones Discussion/ Question: To allow elders and people incapable of hunting, to have the family members do it for them. If there is anywhere in the state that really need a proxy hunt, it's here. <b>Proposal 120</b> ; <u>Supported</u> ; <u>Unanimously Supported</u>		
Oppose       0       7       Proposal 121: Opposed; Unanimously Opposed         123       Allow electronic range       Index mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         123       Allow electronic range       Index mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         124       Allow electronic range       Index mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         125       Allow electronic range       Index mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         126       Index mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         127       Motion-Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>ml</sup> , Jerry White         128       Index mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         129       Require the use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes any of autoring technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes any of autoring iteration of the proposal 123; Supported; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes any equal opportinity from hunters and gives leverage to the rich.         124 <td>121</td> <td>Allow the u</td> <td>use of dogs t</td> <td>to hunt big game</td>	121	Allow the u	use of dogs t	to hunt big game		
123       Allow electronic range finders mounted on bows to be used for hunting big game         123       Motion-Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> -Jerry White Discussion/Question: Hunters should know where they are at. Over the last couple years there are more guided or transporter hunters that use these devices. Some of these range finders also have GPS tracking and they adjust your site accordingly. If you know where it is at, you need to know your range for a more accurate kill.         Proposal 123; Supported; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This proposal is more geared toward Urban and Rural (road system) hunting.         124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.         Notion- Jon LaValle; 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Jon LaValle       Discussion/Question: None.         Proposal 123; Supported; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes away equal opportunity from hunters and gives leverage to the rich.         125       Allow the use of crossbows for hunting big game in weapons restricted hunts         Motion-Jon LaValle; 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes away equal opportunity from hunters and gives leverage to the rich.         125       Allow t	0	0	7	Discussion/ Question: Conflict between users. When someone is out hunting and then get chased off.		
Support       6       Proposal 123; Supported: Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn' i ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technology for hunting or have much experience. This proposal is more gared to ward Urban and Rural (road system) hunting.         124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.         Support       6         124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Jon LaValle Discussion/Question: None.         Proposal 123; Supported: Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn' i ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This proposal is more geared toward Urban and Rural (road system) hunting.         124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.         Motion- 5       3         Support       5         2       4         Proposal 123; Supported: Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. J Abstain: No stance on this proposal.         125       Allow the use of expanding (soft point) bullets for big game hunting, excluding wolf and wolverine		-				
Support53Discussion/ Question: Hunters should know where they are at. Over the last couple years there are more guided or transporter hunters that use these devices. Some of these range finders also have GPS tracking and they adjust your site accordingly. If you know where it is at, you need to know your range for a more accurate kill.Support61Proposal 123; Supported; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This proposal is more geared toward Urban and Rural (road system) hunting.124Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> , Jon LaValle Discussion/Question: None.Proposal 123; Supported; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes away equal opportunity from hunters and gives leverage to the rich.125Allow the use of crossbows for hunting big game in weapons restricted hunts126Allow the use of expanding (Question: None.Proposal 123; Opposed; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.Oppose07Proposal 129; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed0 <td>123</td> <td>Allow elec</td> <td>fronic range</td> <td></td>	123	Allow elec	fronic range			
124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.         124       Allow use of integrated bow sights/ laser range finders for hunting big game with bows.         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle       Discussion/Question: None.         Proposal 123; Supported: Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes away equal opportunity from hunters and gives leverage to the rich.         125       Allow the use of crossbows for hunting big game in weapons restricted hunts         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers       Discussion/Question: None.         Proposal 125; Opposed; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.         129       Require the use of expanding (soft point) bullets for big game hunting, excluding wolf and wolverine         Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle       Discussion/Question: It is getting hard enough to find ammunition. If you use a soft point, it will blow a big hole in the fur. It is hard enough to tell the difference between the bullets. This would be very hard to enforce.         Oppose       0       7         Proposal 129; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed         131       Allow the use of game bird wings and b	Support	6	1	<ul> <li>are more guided or transporter hunters that use these devices. Some of these range finders also have GPS tracking and they adjust your site accordingly. If you know where it is at, you need to know your range for a more accurate kill.</li> <li>Proposal 123; <u>Supported</u>; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much</li> </ul>		
Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Discussion/Question: None.Proposal 123; Supported, Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much experience. This takes away equal opportunity from hunters and gives leverage to the rich.125Allow the use of crossbows for hunting big game in weapons restricted hunts125Allow the use of crossbows for hunting big game in weapons restricted hunts0pposed24129Require the use of expanding (soft point) bullets for big game hunting, excluding wolf and wolverine129Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 1 Abstain: No stance on this proposal.129Discussion/ Question: It is getting hard enough to find ammunition. If you use a soft point, it will blow a big hole in the fur. It is hard enough to find ammunition. If you use a soft point, it will blow a big hole in the fur. It is hard enough to tell the difference between the bullets. This would be very hard to enforce.0ppose070pposed; Unanimously Opposed131Allow the use of game bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait	<u> </u>	Allow use	of integrated			
125       Allow the use of crossbows for hunting big game in weapons restricted hunts         Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/ Question: None.         Proposal 125; Opposed; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. I Abstain: No stance on this proposal.         129       Require the use of expanding (soft point) bullets for big game hunting, excluding wolf and wolverine Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Discussion/ Question: It is getting hard enough to find ammunition. If you use a soft point, it will blow a big hole in the fur. It is hard enough to tell the difference between the bullets. This would be very hard to enforce.         Oppose       0       7         Proposal 129; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed         131       Allow the use of game bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait				Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Discussion/Question: None. <b>Proposal 123</b> ; <u>Supported</u> ; Supported Justification: More efficient and accurate way of killing game faster. Oppose Justification: Advancing technology for hunting at this point isn't ideal. Hunters in Bush Alaska are not advanced with these types of technological hunting or have much		
Opposed24Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/ Question: None. Proposal 125; Opposed; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use crossbows. 1 Abstain: No stance on this proposal.129Require the use of expanding (soft point) bullets for big game hunting, excluding wolf and wolverineMotion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Discussion/ Question: It is getting hard enough to find ammunition. If you use a soft point, it will blow a big hole in the fur. It is hard enough to tell the difference between the bullets. This would be very hard to enforce.Oppose07Proposal 129; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed131Allow the use of game bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait	11					
129       Require the use of expanding (soft point) bullets for big game hunting, excluding wolf and wolverine         129       Metion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle         Discussion/ Question: It is getting hard enough to find ammunition. If you use a soft point, it will blow a big hole in the fur. It is hard enough to tell the difference between the bullets. This would be very hard to enforce.         Oppose       0       7         Proposal 129; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed         131       Allow the use of game bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait				Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/ Question: None. <b>Proposal 125</b> ; <u>Opposed</u> ; Opposed Justification: Cross bows should not be used in archery only and populated areas. Supported Justification: No disagreements with hunters who want to use		
Oppose       0       7       Proposal 129; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed         131       Allow the use of game bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait	* *		4 a use of aver			
131         Allow the use of game bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait	129	Require the	use of expa	Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Discussion/ Question: It is getting hard enough to find ammunition. If you use a soft point, it will blow a big hole in the fur. It is hard enough to tell the difference between the bullets. This would		
131         Allow the use of game bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait	Oppose	0	7	Proposal 129; Opposed; Unanimously Opposed		
		-	use of game			
	Support	5	1	Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jerry White		

### **Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting**



#### December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska

		Ala	aska Board of Game Central/Southwest Region Meeting January 21-29, 2022   Wasilla
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
			Discussion/ Question: The definition of edible meat is defined between state and federal regulations. You still have to use it. You can now use other uses for it.
			<b>Proposal 131;</b> <u>Support</u> ed; Support Justification: Oppose Justification: Native Values are different. 1 Abstain
132	Allow bird	l wings and p	parts to be used for trapping
No Action based on			Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon LaValle Discussion/ Question: None.
131	0	0	Proposal 132; No Action; Unanimously Voted No Action
133	Add bow a	and arrow as	legal method for taking beaver
			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers
Support	7	0	Discussion/ Question: No reason to oppose this proposal. <b>Proposal 133</b> ; <u>Support</u> ed; <i>Unanimously Supported</i>
134	Allow the		ras or sensory devices to monitor trap locations for trapping
1.57	Anow the		Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers
			Introduction by Patrick Jones
			Discussion/Question: More humane way to trap animals. This will not have an impact on your
			taking of game. Here in bush Alaska, these wouldn't work out here anyway.
Support	7	0	Proposal 134; <u>Supported</u> ; Unanimously Supported
146	Limit big g	game registra	ation permit to one per species, per year
			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Amendment: "A person should be limited to one Big Game limited registration permit, per species, per year." Motion- Lucinda Alexie, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patrick Jones
Support w/			Discussion/ Question: Hunters have the capability to get as many tags as they want for any registration, regardless of the area. Here in the Yukon Kuskokwim, people want to be covered for any big game that is harvested. They need to clarify which
amendme nt	7	0	Proposal 146; <u>Supported</u> ; Unanimously Supported
147	Allow the	sale of prepa	ared game trophies under a permit
			Motion- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon La Valle
			Discussion/ Question: Clarification on the change in the proposal in understanding that this proposal is trying to allow hunters to sell their own trophies for profit.
Support	7	0	Proposal 147; <u>Supported</u> ; Unanimously Supported
148	Allow pers	sons over the	age of 65 to sell trophies and rugs
	<b>A</b>		Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers
			Discussion/Question: Support those that are over the age of 65 to sell trophies and rugs.
Support	7	0	Proposal 148; <u>Supported</u> ; Unanimously Supported

# **Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting**



December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska

Number Support	Description Number Oppose	aska Board of Game Central/Southwest Region Meeting January 21-29, 2022   Wasilla
Number Support	Number	
Support		
		Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
Require all	hunters to a	pply for permit hunts and pay the application fee during the during the application period
		Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/Question: This proposal doesn't affect us.
0	0	Proposal 151; No Action; Unanimously Voted to take No Action
Require all	drawing per	rmit hunts available to residents be available for application online.
		Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/ Question: Go to Proposal 239
0	0	Proposal 152; No Action; Unanimously Voted to take No Action
-	l registratior	permit hunts available to residents be available for application online
		Motion-Jon La Valle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/ Question: Currently, registration permits are allowed in the communities that gives the residents of that are a priority to get registration permits. This also limits other hunters from outside the area to obtain a registration permit.
1	6	<b>Proposal 239;</b> <u>No Action</u> ; Support Justification: Allows preference for bush residents to hunt in their own area. Opposition Justification: Every hunter who wants an opportunity to hunt a specific species in any area, should be able to without taking the financial risks.
Establish a	bonus point	system for bison and musk ox drawing hunt
		Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/Question: Bison and Musk Ox are the most sought out hunts in Alaska. When you buy into drawing permits, you will earn a points and the more points you earn the greater chance you get at winning a drawing. For Bison, when you win a permit, regardless if your successfully take one or not. You can't enter the same draw for the same species for 10 years. If this proposal passes, 10 years from now, anyone who enters the drawing system will never be able to get a permit, unless everyone died off. Concern for the State's capability to handle this online. Unit 18: Musk Ox is an equal chance to win year-to-year.
0	7	Proposal 153; Oppose; Unanimously Opposed
<u>Allow dog</u>	mushers to l	be eligible to receive big game meat from the State by permit Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patrick Jones. No recommendation at this time. Discussion/ Question: For road kill moose, if is not suitable for human consumption. The department can issue a permit a permit for trappers to use that meat. This would be hard to enforce, given the difficulty to determine where the meat came from.
0	7	Proposal 158; Oppose; Unanimously Opposed
Require the		the meat or hide of snowshoe hare Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Introduction by Patrick Jones Discussion: There is no reason to leave dead rabbits and not be able to use them for something. You can use rabbits for dog food, trapping, or human consumption.
	Require all Require all 0 Require all 0 Require all 1 Establish a 0 Allow dog	Require all hunters to a         0       0         Require all drawing per         0       0         Require all drawing per         0       0         Require all registration         1       6         Establish a bonus point         0       7         Allow dog mushers to         0       7

### **Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting**



December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska

Alaska Board of Game Central/Southwest Region Meeting January 21-29, 2022   Wasilla			
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
			Proposal 162; Support; Unanimously Supported
	Count wou	unded big gau	ne animals towards the hunter's bag limit for all units and require additional action in the
163/164	field form	hunter that a	ttempt to take game
		T	Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers
			Introduction by Patrick Jones & Walter Blajeski
			Discussion: Regardless if the hunt was successful or not locating any wounded big game. The permit would be punched and the hunter would be tagged out for the remainder of the season. For the Yukon area, this would work against GMU areas, where we want the population to go down. One example is when you know you have killed a big game and it truly is irretrievable. What it truly comes down to is the ethics and values of the individual and hunting party.
No			<b>Proposal 163/164;</b> <u>No Action</u> ; Unanimously Voted to take No Action. Justification: This proposal would affect too many different areas in different parts of the state depending on the
Action	0	0	species and types of hunting regulations.
Action	0	•	that specifics the Board of Game will not require guides for nonresidents hunting moose,
169			i that specifics the Board of Game will not require guides for nonresidents hunting moose,
168	caribou or	black bear	NA .' I I X II And AI' N I' D
No			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers
Action	0	0	Discussion: This is already in regulation. There is no point in tying managements hands. <b>Proposal 168</b> ; <b>No Action</b> ; Unanimously Voted to take No Action
169		v	white animals
109			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers
			Discussion/Question: This proposal is poorly written and not stated clearly.
Oppose	0	7	Proposal 169; <u>Opposed</u> ; Unanimously Supported
253		'	It antlerless moose hunt in Unit 18
233			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers
			Discussion/Question: No brainer.
Support	7	0	Proposal 253; <u>Supported</u> ; Unanimously Supported
262		v	take a Brown Bear without paying the tag fee.
	reduction		Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers
			Introduction by Patrick Jones
			Discussion/ Question: None.
Suppose	7	0	Proposal 253; <u>Supported</u> ; Unanimously Supported

# **Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting**



December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska

			United States Federal Wildlife Service Proposals April 12-15, 2022   Anchorage
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal
WP 22- 41	Caribou: I 17, 18, &,	-	chority to announce harvest limits, set sex restrictions, and open/ close seasons in Units 9,
			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Assisted Introduction Alissa Nadine Rogers & Aaron Moses Discussion/ Question: History of the Mulchatna Caribou in Unit 18, long ago, when the herd was called the Kilbuck Mountain Herd. The Kilbuck herd was a small mixture of wild Caribou and tamed Reindeer that interbred. When the Mulchatna Caribou moved into the area, it was huge, approximately 300,000 that covered the whole side of three step mountain. There was so many that their antlers looked like small moving alder trees. Hunting Caribou was also conducted differently in the old days; traveling was done by dog teams and the use of single shot or bolt action rifles were used as weapons. The Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS) was set when the Caribou population was over 100,000. Since, the population has drastically declined the ANS may not be a realistic figure for the near or long-term future of this herd. The Bethel AC did not feel strongly to either support or not support this proposal. Due to the lack of representation for this proposal at the meeting.
Table	0	0	Proposal 22-41; <u>Tabled</u> ; Unanimous Vote to Table
WP 22-42	Moose: In	crease harve	est limit in Unit 18 remainder.
Support	7	0	Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Discussion/ Question: To increase harvest due to over population and avoid a crash from over harvesting. The third moose should be a cow or antler bull. <b>Proposal 22-42</b> ; <u>Supported</u> ; <i>Unanimously Supported</i>
WP 22-43/44	Moose: D	elegated autl	nority to the Refuge manager to adjust harvest quotas based on water levels in Unit 18
			<ul> <li>Discussion/ Introduction: Both are different proposals and should not be combined.</li> <li>Motion to split 43 and 44-Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2<sup>nd</sup>- Jon La Valle, Unanimously Supported.</li> <li>WP 22-43: Motion- Jon LaValle, 2<sup>nd</sup>- Alissa Nadine Rogers</li> <li>Introduction Aaron Moses</li> <li>Discussion/ Question: This proposal was in attempt to increase harvest in Zone 1, as a request from Kuskokwim hunters who do not have jet motors to reach areas in Zone 2. Unfortunately, Moose do not migrate between the two zones and are biologically two different populations.</li> <li>ADFG Recommendation is to Not Support (Oppose).</li> <li>Proposal 22-43; Opposed: Unanimously Opposed. ()- Support, 7- Opposed.</li> </ul>
Oppose 43; Table 44			WP 22-44: Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers Amendment to add language, "Specify Zone 2 as the winter hunt and encourage a joint permit with State." M- Alissa Nadine Rogers, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Jon La Valle Introduction Aaron Moses Discussion/Question: There are two components to this proposal. The first component is the extension to Oct. 15, which became permanent this previous Moose Hunt to meet the quota of 120. The second component is to allow an antler moose hunt when the quota is not met in the fall hunting season for only Zone 2. There is confusion in the proposal of the language of the

### **Bethel Advisory Committee Meeting**



### December 28, 2021, 6:00PM Long House, Bethel, Alaska

**Meeting Minutes** 

	United States Federal Wildlife Service Proposals April 12-15, 2022   Anchorage			
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal	
			boundary or definition of Zone 1 or Zone 2. Both State and Federal will have to figure out how	
			to determine/allocate how the remainder quota will be harvested and managed. <b>Proposal 22-43</b> ; <u>Tabled</u> ; <i>Unanimously Voted to Table</i>	
WP 22-45	Hare: Est	ablish seasoi	harvest limit for Alaska hare in Unit 18, 22, and 23.	
		[	Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers	
			Discussion/ Question: Housekeeping regulation to match State and Fed.	
Support	7	0	Proposal 22-45; Support; Unanimously Supported	
WP 22-47	Caribou: A	Allow calf ha	arvest in Unit 22	
			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers	
No			Discussion/ Question: This may not no longer affect Unit 18, specifically the village of Kotlik.	
Action	0	0	Proposal 22-47; <u>No Action</u> ; Unanimously Voted to take No Action.	
WP 22-			articipant in a community harvest program and effects on harvest limits/ (2) Rescind	
01/02	restrictions for designated hunters in areas with community harvest systems in place.			
			Motion- Jon LaValle, 2 <sup>nd</sup> - Alissa Nadine Rogers	
			Introduction Aaron Moses	
			Discussion/ Question: These two proposals are really confusing and possibly are housekeeping	
			issues. These are submitted by the Office of Subsistence Management proposals with AHTNA	
			Commission to figure out community harvest quotas and designated hunters. There is no	
<b>T</b> 11			definition for community, there needs to be a definition of community.	
Table	0	0	Proposal 22-01/02; Table: Unanimously Voted to Table	

- Call for Board of Fisheries Proposals: Contact Savannah or Alissa, due February 28<sup>th</sup>, 2022.
- BOG:

#### • Central & Southwest/ Statewide- Mulchatna Proposals (Wasilla)- January 21st, 2022

- Alissa Nadine Rogers, In person or Teleconference
- Jaimie Kassman/ Jerry White

#### NEXT MEETING DATE: Call of the Chair, March 2022

#### **ADJOURN:** 9:34:44PM

Minutes Recorded By: Alissa Nadine Rogers

Minutes Approved By: Jaimie Kassman

Date:01/15/2021



#### Central Kuskokwim Advisory Committee December 15, 2021 Aniak Community Hall

- I. Call to Order: 11:01 by Savannah Hollingworth, Regional Coordinator opened the meeting in the absence of officers and to announce election results
- II. Roll Call

Members Present:					
Joe Kameroff					
Nick Kameroff					
Teresa Simeon-Hunter					
Patricia Yaska – teleconference					
Denny Thomas Jr.					

Walter Morgan Darlene Heckman William Alexie Mike Robinson Ricky Ciletti

Members Absent (Excused): Tim Zuakar – sick, Rebecca Wilmarth – at a funeral Members Absent (Unexcused): Theresa Morgan - absent Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 6; Members present: 10

III. Officer Elections:

Seats up for Election: Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary Chair: Mike nominates Nick Kameroff; Denny 2nds Nick nominates Denny; Mike seconds Walter nominates Teresa Simeon-Hunter – Teresa declines Teresa Simeon-Hunter nominates Patricia Yaska; Patricia declines. Nick – 6 Denny – 4 Nick is voted chair by majority vote

Vice Chair: Mike nominates Denny Nick nominates Joe Denny - 6 Joe – 6 Denny is voted vice chair by majority vote

Secretary: Nick nominates Darlene; Denny 2nds. Darlene declines Walter nominates Teresa Simeon-Hunter. Teresa declines Walter nominates Joe, Denny 2nds Joe is voted secretary by unanimous vote



Alternate: Denny moves to nominate Ricky Ciletti; 2<sup>nd</sup> by Mike No other nominations. Mike moves to close; Walter 2<sup>nd</sup> Ricky is voted in as alternate by unanimous vote

Savannah makes note that Doug Carney is on the line to speak to AC about Proposal 171 is on a time restraint.

Savannah passes off meeting to the new chair. AC decides to address Doug Carney before all other business Doug speaks about proposal 171 and why the AC should support it

IV. Fish and Game Staff Present: Savannah Hollingworth, Boards Support By Teleconference: Josh Pierce, Unit 19 Wildlife Biologist; Nick Smith, Fishery Biologist; John Chythlook, Sport Fish division; Sean Larson, Fishery biologist; Chuck Brazil, Area manager

Boyd Blihovde – US Fish and Wildlife

V. Guests Present:

Doug Carney; chair of the Stony Holitna Advisory Committee Doug presents case for Proposal 171 – 2 hunt boundary and 239 online registration AC decides to take up these issues immediately

Discussion:

Proposal 171

Josh – keep the two moose hunts separate

Doug also brings attention to Proposal 152 and 239. Local preference is preferred. Proposal 239 would remove local preference.

Ricky – In between, doesn't see the harm in splitting the unit. Protect their stock. Camp after camp can create pressure. Ricky will support.

171	Divide Ur	Divide Unit 19A into two subunits			
S	9	0 Unanimous			
			Ricky calls question; Mike 2nds		

VI. Approval of Agenda:

Walter moves to amend agenda to include a discussion about a vendor for tags in Kalskag – No vendor in 5 years.

Ricky makes motion to approve agenda with amendment; Denny 2nds; AC approves

VII. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: September 14, 2020 Ricky makes motion to approve meeting minutes; 2 by Walter; AC approves



#### VIII. Reports

- a. Chair's report: Finally meeting!
- b. ADF&G:

Sean Larson Fisheries update: escapement met all goals; record low chum escapement; strong coho. George weir sockeye met goal. 129000 Kings goal; 101,000 final goals. Not strong king run. No aerial surveys taken due to bad weather.

Nick Smith: Brings attention to Pg. 32 meeting proposal; January 2023 meeting. proposal; January 2022 Board of Fisheries meeting

Josh Pierce: Moose: survey 2017-2020, moose number increased to almost 1 moose per square mile in TM680 hunt area, 2010: 0.3 moose per square mile. Harvest surplus increase. Potential changes for hunt structure.

2024 – BOG Western Arctic/Western Region. May 2023 Proposal deadline

Composition survey not complete.

200 Tier II Permits. 100 FM 19 permit harvest. Harvestable surplus = 100 bulls per year. 45 bulls in federal permits. 250 applied for Tier II last year. Mortuary moose about 10 moose per year. 150-160 moose per year harvest

Musk Ox: Portage mountains. Time of year to count. 89 count 2018. June -39 muskox last hunt. Hard to see. Hopefully 2 surveys next year.

Mulchatna Caribou: Dillingham office managed. 13,000 animals; well below 30-80K. More state permit per hunt. Poor reporting from federal permit. Closed in Unit 18

175-225 harvestable moose -> Tier II goes away. 2017 data continued Tier II registration area. Submit a proposal for harvest. Lots of options.

2020 Wolf control proposal approved – asked for language and how to address 2024. Discuss proposals at next meeting.

Alas	ska Boar		ne Statewide Regulations Meeting Proposals <sup>March</sup> 12-19, 2021   Fairbanks, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
	•		n abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining rum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2

means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.



Alas	ska Boar		ne Statewide Regulations Meeting Proposals March 12-19, 2021   Fairbanks, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description					
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number SupportNumber OpposeComments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes					
119	Include Muskox on the list of species that can be taken under a proxy permit (The Board of Game deferred this proposal from the Western Arctic / Western Region meeting in January 2020, as amended to apply to muskox hunts in all units. It was previously numbered as Proposal 30.)					
S w/A	10	0	Motion: Ricky 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Denny Joe makes a motion to amend; 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Denny Amend to all muskox hunt by proxy, not just Tier II			
120	Allow proxy hunting for moose (The Board of Game deferred this proposal from the Interior and Eastern Arctic Region meeting in March 2020, as amended to apply statewide. The original proposal was Proposal 110, and it applied only to Unit 19D)					
S	10	0	Motion: Ricky 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Denny Common practice to proxy hunt			
129						
0	0	10				
130	Prohibit us	e of urine fr	om any species of the deer family as bait or scent lures			
S	10	0	Motion: Ricky 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Joe Do not want to bring Chronic Wasting disease here			
131	Allow the u	use of game	bird wings and backs to be used for trapping bait			
S	10	0	Ricky called Question Not wasteful			



Proposal Number	Proposal	Description				
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes			
146	Limit big ga	ame registra	tion permits to one per species, per year			
0	0	10	Motion: Ricky $2^{nd}$ : WalterConcern over borders and how it would limit subsistence hunting, especially for moose. Many in this area hunt many units, 18, 19, and 21 and get multiple permits.			
152	Mended, pose, ActionSupportOpposeProposal, Voting Notes146Limit big game registration permits to one per species, per year00100010152Require all drawing permit hunts available to residents be available for application online0010152Require all drawing permit hunts available to residents be available for application online00100010					
0	0	10	cky called question: ecause was replaced by Proposal #239 – awing permits already available online ation permit hunts be available for application <i>online (updated</i>			
239 (152)						
	submission	for Proposa				
0	0	10	Denny: Would like to move away from Tier II and move to a registration permit to help limit hunt and provide priority to local people. If this passed it would take away the local advantage. Mike: Concerned about trophy hunters, or unused permits when local people need them. Would create an unfair advantage to people in the city. First people			
158	Allow dog	mushers to k	be eligible to receive game from the state by permit for use as dog food			
S	10	0	Motion: Ricky $2^{nd}$ : MikeRicky mentioned potential to be abusedImportant to make sure that meat must be reported to state andreceive permission.Supported as long as state has a role to keep abuse fromhappening.			
162	Require th	ne salvage o	of the meat or hide of snowshoe hare			
S	10	0	Motion: 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Ricky called question Wasteful			



		1	
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
245	Elimin	ate the requ	irement to salvage rib meat on the bone for moose, caribou, and bison
Ο	0	10	Motion: Ricky $2^{nd}$ : DennyMany members felt it was wasteful and ethical to leave the ribs.Also discussed how people cut in between ribs but do not salvage meat to make it look like they took the meat. By requiring that rib meat be taken it closes loop holes that can be abused to leave meat that should not be left.Joe: Leave up to individual units. Packers in some areas that hike for miles can understand. End up with spoiled meat.Mike: Brings up problem of people flying in leaving trash to reduce weight to fly back with and that this proposal is statewide. Lots of people fly and hike to hunt.Denny and others: Opposed to any potential for waste.Comment – Leave up to individual units and areas
163			me animals towards the hunter's bag limit for all units and require field from hunters that attempt to take game
NA	-	-	Move to take no action: Ricky 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Joe Ethical issue, not a legal issue. Hard to vote for or against Split on the issue. Worried that people would be given ticket or loose ability to get the animal they need for food after best efforts to track animal and still could not be found. Possibly the animal lives. How would you enforce? Hunter education and traditional values should be taught. (Ricky needed to leave to take care of airport runway. Still have quorum with 9)
168		-	n that specifies the Board of Game will not require guides for nonresidents u or black bear
NA	-	-	Move to take no action: Mike 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Joe



Proposal Number Proposal Description						
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes			
169						
0	0	9	Motion: Joe 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Denny Cannot support as written – ptarmigan, hare, etc Ricky had to leave due to snow to maintain airport runway			
247	Discontinue lethal taking of wolves under predation control implementation programs					
0	0	9	Wolf control is needed.			
253	Wolf control is needed.					
S						
259	Reauthorize a winter any-moose season during part of February and March in Unit 21E					
S	9	0				
261	Reauthorize resident grizzly/brown bear tag fee exemptions in Units 12, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26B, and 26C					
S	9	0				
262	Reauthoriz	e the currer	it resident tag fee exemptions for brown bear in Units 18, 22, 23 and 26A			
S	9	0				

I. Public Comment

Dan Gillikan. (member of the public who joined meeting late): Will write Fisheries Proposals to address at next meeting before April 11<sup>th</sup> deadline

- 1. Asking for AC support on proposals agreed to review
- #271 Reauthorize salmon permit sunset clause
  - o 10 Chinook salmon harvest permit
    - Motion to discuss, 2<sup>nd</sup>: Joe
      - Byself motion passed
- Box closed to keeping fish, size limit to keep 18" and below
- Jack salmon retention



- Improve genetics
  - a. Draft proposal
- 2. Fishing closed July 1<sup>st</sup>-September 30<sup>th</sup> Buckstock River closure to all fishing to Aniak river
  - a. Proposal draft
  - b. Protect Chum Spawning
- 3. Institute basin wide Chinook harvest system entire drainage
  - a. Tier permit system
  - b. Would give limit
    - i. Would address combat fishing
  - c. To discuss at next meeting
- II. Old Business
- III. New Business:

Permits for Lower Kalskag. Savannah agreed to get proper people in touch

IV. Select representative(s) for board meeting

Nick; Vote: Unanimous

- V. Set next meeting date: 3/22/23
- VI. Other
- Adjourn

Adjournment: Motion: Walter 2<sup>nd</sup>:

Minutes Recorded By: Joe Kar	meroff Jr //
Minutes Approved By: nich	
Date: 2-1	1-22 10

AC04 1 of 9

#### ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

ACNAME: Central Pensala NiNitchi LOCATION (town): 1-18-22 DATE (of meeting): avid Mant MEMBERS PRESENT: Steve Vanek Madelinet hompeon, NCElewst ssom, Dous Grede Y DRein DLN DaN PRESI ·Keith MEMBERS ABSENT EXCUSED: Jetf Berger JOE Simpson MEMBERS ABSENT UNEXCUSED: QUORUM PRESENT: YES NO AGENCY STAFF PRESENT: MILTE BOOZ Jacon Herren Time Meeting Called to Order. 7:10 PM Old Business and New Business (See following pages) Time Meeting Adjourned: \_\_\_\_\_\_ PN Signature, Committee Secretary

Central Peninsula AC

AC04 2 of 9

Central Peninsula AC meeting

January 18, 2022

Call meeting to order

Roll call

Quorum: need 7

Fish and Game staff present Mike Booz, Jason Herring man

Approval of Agenda

Approval of previous meeting minutes

Reports: Statemille Stocking Plan

2021 moose hunt and population update 2021 clam population update

Public comments:

**Old Business** 

New Business: **Advisory Committee Elections** Board of Fish clam proposals. Board of Game Statewide proposals

Select representative for board meetings.

Next meeting date

Other

Adjourn

**Central Peninsula AC** 

AC04 3 of 9

### January 1**9**, 2022

Central Peninsula Advisory Minutes

- 1. Announcements: The sport fishing stocking program is out
- 2. Reports from the Department of Fish and Game.

Moose survey and hunting results: Jason Herreman

Clam survey results: Mike Booz

- 3. A motion was made and seconded that our representative to the BoG and BoF would be the President or his designee for all of 2022.
- 4. We then took up game proposal followed by fish proposals See attachments:

AC04 Jan 18,2022 Central Peninsula Advisory Committee 4 of 9 # 255 close claming in the Bog Y NO 16 Locals who have duy there said there were not many and it needed to be closed. western CockINlet # 256 No asomended. yes 9 2 Dave Blossom moved that the limit for Rayor clame on the western cook Inlet be 5 galleons intertiad of 10 gal, John McComles second it, Passed 9-2 Those opposed felt if shed was a connercial fillery on the clams there should be at least 10 gals abo, people would not pay to be transported over there for one bucket, # 257 Cast side of book Julit yes No passed unamously as 11 0 amended amended. madeline Thomas moved that the bag kinit be change from 30 to 15. Since this is an arbitary number we felt it was still too high amendment passed unanamously, Central Peninsula AC

Jan, 15-2022 5 of 9 # 258 close daming for small clome. This is a proposal lite 255, it should be closed until they come back. yes No passed unamously # 259 West work bulet; Rea. Bay. Yea NO passed as amended It was moved and seconded that the bag limit be reduced from 10 gal, to 5 gal. See proposal 256. Same asquements. # 262 Subsistence Clams yes Now as amended 10 It was moved and seconded that subsistence be closed if sport and personal use is closed. If there wint inacegh for sport there wint enough for subsistence. Everyone in the state is a subsistence person no it does not good to rease it apin for subsistence, passel 10-1 Central Peninsula AC

6 of 9 Central Peninsula Fish & Game Advisory L Jan, 18-2022 Prop. 249 Tyes 4 ho : Those in favor support the Department's position For the cow hunt. One opposed is apposed to all con hant. The others opposed though there was enough habitate to carry a larger moose popalation in the avea Prop. 251 loyes Ino: These in favor support the Department. The one epposed, opposed all con hunts because not matranted. Pry 252 byes Sho: Those in favor support the Department. These opposed felt there was enough habitat to carry more moose and a cow hunt was not warranted Central Peninsula AC

Jan, (AF Game proporale 7 of 9 Central Peninsala Fish & Game Advisory Committee DATE # 141 Bear East stations at least I mile apart yes NO unamously NO. No one thought this was an issue, # 150 Change number of times a hunter can apply for a permit from 6-10. yes No unamornous apposed. Moneyed people would have an unfair advastage **Central Peninsula AC** 



	January 18, 2022							
No.	Last Name	First Name	Residence Community	Email (optional) To be notified for future meetings				
1	Martin	Parid	Winilchik					
2	Thomason	Madeline	Ninichik					
3	MASON	ARNOLD E.	NINILCHIK					
4	MASON	VOAN	Ninilchik					
5	Dale	rend	hisikhix					
6	Davle	Edward	NinilcHik					
7	DIEVE	Cory	Anchor Point					
8	Hansen	Torvald	AnchorPoint					
9	Vanek	Teague	Nmilchik					
10	Encelewski	Greg	Niwibhik					
11	MEGINS	John	Ninilchite					
12	3 Tene	Vanek	Nikihik					
13	Herran	Norm	Home ADFG					



No.	Last Name	First Name	January 18, 2022 Residence Community	<b>Email (</b> optional) To be notified for future meetings	
14	BOOZ	nile	Homer - ADE6		
15	Blosson	DAVE	KASIlot		
16	1-105501	1009	Ninilchik		
17	Desten	James	HOMES		
18	Deiman	Gary	Ninikhik		
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					



#### Cooper Landing Advisory Committee Minutes

#### Meeting - December 20, 2021

Meeting called to order by Josh Hayes at 10:00 a.m.

Roll Call - Members Present: Josh Hayes John Pearson Billy Coullette Mike Adams Tom Lessard Jason Lesmeister

Members Absent: Todd Donahue Alex Kime Robert Gibson

Alternate Members Present: Lorraine Temple

Fish & Game Representative Present: Jacob Spelham Fari Sylvester

Guest Speaker: Ed Holsten

Minutes from last meeting were unanimously approved.

Guest speaker Ed Holsten discussed trap setbacks on federal land being rejected. Holsten also stated the Federal Regional Advisory Committee (RAC) would like to see more written proposals from local residents and local Advisory Committees (AC). Holsten discussed the last round of proposals were accepted with addendums added to all of them.

Elections were held for three AC positions. Josh Hayes was elected as Chairman John Pearson was elected as Vice Chairman Billy Coullete was elected onto the board.

First topic of discussion was Proposal 141. Black Bear stations being one-mile apart. The AC voted unanimously to oppose this proposal. The regulation did not seem applicable for Unit Seven and Unit 15 due to current laws and terrain, as well as current land ownership.

Next topic of discussion was Proposal Eight. A shortened trapping season on coyotes in central and south-central Alaska. The AC voted unanimously in favor of this proposal. It will align the trapping seasons and our main concerns were the road-accessible areas. There is less confusion when seasons are aligned.

The next agenda item was Proposal 198. No bear-bait stations within 50 miles of a cabin. The AC unanimously opposed this regulation because there is no science-based justification for the law. It seems this proposal may have been generated by individuals who are anti-bear baiting.

Board of Fish Proposal 238 was discussed. It would set netters to put their nets within six hundred feet from the mouth of Kenai River if an escapement of 13,500 king salmon was met. The AC unanimously opposed this proposal because this law would affect not only the Kenai River but all of the tributary that drain into the Kenai River. There are indirect implications to the Coho fishery. This proposal was brought to the table on an off year out of the normal cycle which decreases public input and conflicts with paired restrictions. It directly conflicts with the late-season king salmon management plan. Set nets have a substantial bycatch of king salmon and coho salmon. This law would be passed on the lowest of escapement goals which leads to poor biological management and even worse conservation practices. We believe this proposal may be due to last year's larger sockeye escapement. We also believe this is not a good reason to make changes based on just one year of sockeye escapement data. The Cooper Landing community believes there is a lack of knowledge on the Kenai River's carrying capacity.

Next meeting will be held Monday, February 28 at 10:00 a.m. via Zoom.

Respectfully submitted by Jason Lesmeister - Secretary



### **Board of Game Central and Southwest GMU Proposals**

### **Cooper Landing Advisory Committee Comments**

Comments Due: January 7, 2022

# **Proposal 8 A shortened trapping season on coyotes in central and south-central Alaska:** Vote 7-0

The AC voted unanimously in favor of this proposal because it will align the trapping seasons and our main concerns were the road-accessible areas. There is less confusion when seasons are aligned.

# Proposal 198 No bear-bait stations within 50 miles of a cabin.:

Vote 0-7

The AC unanimously opposed this regulation because there is no science-based justification for the law. It seems this proposal may have been generated by individuals who are anti-bear baiting.



### **Board of Game Statewide Regulations Proposals**

### **Cooper Landing Advisory Committee Comments**

Comments Due: February 18, 2022

#### Proposal 141 Black Bear stations being one mile apart.:

Vote-0-7

The AC voted unanimously to oppose this proposal. The regulation did not seem applicable for Unit Seven and Unit 15 due to current laws and terrain, as well as current land ownership.



### **Board of Fisheries Cook Inlet, Kodiak, Westward Arctic Shellfish, and**

#### Shellfish General Provisions and Prince William Sound Shrimp

### **Cooper Landing Advisory Committee**

Comments Due: February 24, 2022

C. IN THE COOK INLET AREA COMMERCIAL FISHERY: Amend the *Kenai River Late-Run King Salmon Management Plan* to allow fishing with set gillnet gear within 600 feet of the mean high water mark in the Upper Subdistrict when sonar passage of large late-run Kenai River king salmon exceeds 13,500 fish, and Kenai and Kasilof river sockeye salmon escapement objectives are being met. (*newly assigned Proposal 283, formerly BGP #1*)

Board of Fish Proposal 238 was discussed. The AC unanimously opposed this proposal because this law would affect not only the Kenai River but all of the tributary that drain into the Kenai River. There are indirect implications to the Coho fishery. This proposal was brought to the table on an off year out of the normal cycle which decreases public input and conflicts with paired restrictions. It directly conflicts with the late-season king salmon management plan. Set nets have a substantial bycatch of king salmon and coho salmon. This law would be passed on the lowest of escapement goals which leads to poor biological management and even worse conservation practices. We believe this proposal may be due to last year's larger sockeye escapement. We also believe this is not a good reason to make changes based on just one year of sockeye escapement data. The Cooper Landing community believes there is a lack of knowledge on the Kenai River's carrying capacity.