ALASKA BOARD OF FISHERIES
Charge Statement to LAMP Task Force Groups
#2000 – 201 -- FB

The Alaska Board of Fisheries establishes the following task force groups to identify and discuss issues related to local area management planning.

**Cook Inlet**
- **Geography:** Bordered on the south by the ADF&G Regulatory Area K north line and the east by Nuka Point
- **Membership:** Tim Evers—Deep Creek Charter Assoc.  
  Steve Vanek—Commercial User, Ninilchik  
  John Russo—Unguided fisherman, Ninilchik  
  Don Lane—North Pacific Fisherman’s Assoc., Homer  
  Gary Ault—Homer Charter Assoc.

**Kodiak**
- **Geography:** ADF&G Regulatory Area K less all waters south of the IPHC Regulatory 3B line.
- **Membership:** Already chosen and working.

**Prince William Sound**
- **Geography:** Bordered on the east by Nuka Point and the west by Cape Suckling.
- **Membership:** Not yet determined. About 20 users attended a meeting on March 11, 2000 discussing boundaries and other issues.

**Yakutat**
- **Geography:** Bordered on the east by Cape Suckling and on the west by Cape Fairweather.
- **Membership:** Rudy Pavlik—Subsistence user  
  Greg Dierick—Commercial user  
  Sam Johnson—Commercial user  
  Mark Sappington—Charter user  
  Bert Adams, Sr.—at large  
  David Ramos, Jr.—at large  
  Glen Israelson—Unguided user

It is the Board’s intent that these boundaries are for the purpose of facilitating discussion of issues within each area. They are not meant to be regulatory lines in the sand at this point in time. Depending on the issues identified, the boundaries may need to change. In the case of Prince William Sound-Resurrection Bay, final agreement has not been reached on boundaries for discussion. Participants in this area should contact the Board through the Board LAMP committee (Ed Dersham and Grant Miller) when agreement has been reach. This group can then proceed as charged by this document.
It is the Board's intent to not limit participation in the task force meetings to the names listed above. The Board's intent is that task force meetings be as inclusive of public input as possible. The Board also recognizes that advisory committees are de facto members of the task forces within their area and asks that the task forces work with interested advisory committees in their consideration of issues. Stakeholder participants will be responsible for their own travel expenses to attend task force meetings. Also, the Board chairman when requested to facilitate comprehensive user group participation, may add additional task force members.

The charges require these committees to identify issues related to local area management planning within their respective geographies. The Board asks each group to identify issues and create problem statements. As part of the proposed solution the Board asks that groups consider the issue of local depletion within each area. The Board further requests that the committees discuss preferred solutions to the problems and consider impacts such solutions may have on other fisheries. Finally, the Board asks that groups consider any proposals currently tabled by the Board for its Fall 2000 work session. Committees should not feel constrained by these proposals and have latitude in examining other possible options. Groups should have the NPFMC/BOF Joint Protocol LAMP document available at meetings for guidance on procedure. Last, the Board asks that the task force groups report the status of their efforts to the Board during the Fall 2000 work session.

Dated: March 27, 2000
Anchorage, Alaska

VOTE: 7 - 0

Dan K. Coffey, Chairman