

STEVE REIFENSTUILL

Proposal or Scheme	Closures compared to Current Plan	Total Fishing Time Anticipated	Economic Impact to Aleutians	Volunteer Program	Short-Term Benefit to AYK	Long-term Benefit to AYK and Board of Fisheries Process
<p>Proposal 140 As written (Proposals 134 & 139 would have similar effects)</p>	<p>252 hr reduction compared to current plan</p>	<p>Max 100 hrs/ all June</p>	<p>Severe, probable plant closure(s), loss of tax revenue and public services, fishing and support service jobs</p>	<p>Not feasible</p>	<p>Unknown because closures do not coincide with interactions on the grounds; some savings but 140 incentivises more indiscriminate fishing</p>	<p>Shorter periods of fishing time means a less robust genetic sampling set and less data to develop long-term trends regarding Area M and CWAK chum interactions.</p> <p>Loss of opportunity for the fishing fleet to develop cooperative management tools, which could help avoid chum at all levels of abundance.</p> <p>Repeats the 40-year cycle of 'enact and repeal' management on Area M June harvest. In 3-years, BOF will be trying to determine whether measures worked solely by evaluating escapement goals on CWAK rivers, not by evaluating behavioral changes and data from the Area M fishery.</p>
<p>Proposal 140 with RC 162 Triggers June 15 & June 20</p>	<p>252 hr reduction compared to current plan</p>	<p>Max 100 hrs/ all June</p>	<p>Severe, probable plant closure(s), loss of tax revenue and public services, fishing</p>	<p>Not feasible</p>	<p>Unknown because closures do not coincide with interactions on the grounds; could be some savings but 140 incentivises indiscriminate fishing</p>	<p>Shorter periods of fishing time means a less robust genetic sampling set and less data to develop long-term trends regarding Area</p>

Proposal or Scheme	Closures compared to Current Plan	Total Fishing Time Anticipated	Economic Impact to Aleutians	Volunteer Program	Short-Term Benefit to AYK	Long-term Benefit to AYK and Board of Fisheries Process
<p>140 Modification continued</p>			<p>and support service jobs</p>			<p>M and CWAK chum interactions.</p> <p>Loss of opportunity for fleet to develop cooperative management tools, which could help avoid chum at all levels of abundance.</p> <p>Repeats 40-year cycle of enact and repeal management on Area M June harvest. In 3-years, BOF will be trying to determine whether measures worked solely by evaluating escapement goals on CWAK rivers, not by evaluating behavioral changes and data from the Area M fishery.</p>
<p>**Current Mgt Plan w/ triggers June 18 300,000 June 24 450,000</p>	<p>Adaptive windows relative to chum abundance, with minimum 32 hour windows</p>	<p>Highly variable with volunteer program, but maximum of 352 hrs</p>	<p>~30% loss of opportunity, but targeting of sockeye, avoidance of chum</p>	<p>Feasible & practical, similar to 2022</p>	<p>Rolling closures based on chum interactions, meaning windows are more effective and allow for better chum passage</p>	<p>Regulatory safeguards with conservation minded time and harvest triggers. Innovative incentives for self management.</p> <p>Ability for ADFG and fleet to better understand chum interactions.</p>