Kenai Soldotna Advisory Committee 01/29/20 Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association

I. Call to Order: 6:35 by Mike Crawford, Chair

II. Roll Call

Members Present:

First Name	Last Name	Present	Absent	Excused
Al	Belknap	X		
Andrew	Carmichael	6:45pm		
Mike	Crawford - Chair	Х		
Dick	Dykema	Х		
Chris	Hanna		X	
Will	Lee – Secretary	X		
Monte	Roberts	Х		
Paul A.	Shadura II – Vice Chair	x		
Todd	Smith	X		
Jerry	Strieby	Х		
Joe	Thomas		Х	
Dyer	Van Devere	Х	_	
Jon	Essert	X		
John	Ellanbass	Х		
Cody	Rutter	Х		
Scott	Miller	Х		
Eli	House			X

Members Absent (Excused):

Members Absent (Unexcused):

Number Needed for Quorum on AC:

List of User Groups Present:

III. Fish and Game Staff Present: Brian Marston, Colton Liptka

- IV. Guests Present:
- V. Approval of Agenda
- VI. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes

AC NAME Page 1/8

- VII. Reports
 - a. Chair's report
 - b. ADF&G
 - c. Others
- VIII. Public Comment
 - IX. Old Business
 - X. New Business

Discussion on 2020 King Forecast of 22,500 Large Fish Sockeye 4.3 million for entire upper cook inlet Kenai River is 2.2 Million → lowest tier Kasilof is 723,000

Susitna River - 571,000 Fish Creek - 121,000

Break from 7:40 to 7:45pm

- XI. Set next meeting date
- XII. Other
- XIII. Adjourn

Meeting Adjourned @ 10:28pm

Support,		Description	February 7-20, 2020			
Support as	_		Proposal Description			
Amended Nul	mber oport	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes			
Note: Effective Some remaining member example, a vote to	ers at a	ı meeting a 7-6-2 mean	hen abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the t which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For some the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must			
197 Pro	vide wa		ded in the committee record. ations for landmark names and modify waypoint locations in)			
Support 13 198 Am	end wa	0 aypoint des	Unanimous Consent criptions and provide coordinates for landmark names (ADF&G)			
Support 13		0	Unanimous Consent			
199 Am			District King Salmon Management Plan (Mat-Su Borough Fish sion/Mike Wood)			
10		3	Think that the burden of conservation should be shared equally Managers should be able to keep flexibility as there are already lots of restrictions on the commercial fishery. There are other sport resources available with in the watershed. 1 abstention – Not sure this is creating truly paired restrictions or share of the burden.			
	Close the Northern District commercial king salmon fishery when the sport fishery in the Susitna or Knik Arm drainages are restricted (Ben Allen)					
No action			No Action based on 199			
1	Amend paired restrictions in the Deshka River king salmon sport and commercial fisheries (Northern District Set Netters Association of Cook Inlet/Stephen Braund)					
Opposed 3		11	The reduction is not equal as there is no harvest opportunity for sport, but Commercial would still have the opportunity to harvest.			
set	Amend the Northern District King Salmon Management Plan to allow operation of one set gillnet per permit (Northern District Set Netters Association of Cook Inlet/Stephen Braund)					
Support 14	•	0	Average number of dual permit holders has been 4 over the last 10 years. This would allow those permit holders			

AC NAME Page 3/8

V	Alaska E	Board of	Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals February 7-20, 2020			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description					
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes			
203			hing periods in the Northern District king salmon commercial hka River king salmon sport fishery is liberalized (Betty Gilcrist)			
Support	14	0	If there is enough fish to allow fish, they should be able to share in the surplus of the fish in both fisheries.			
204	The second district of the second sec	Amend the Northern District Salmon Management Plan to specify management priority of sockeye, pink, and chum salmon includes inriver users (Kristine Ogonowski)				
Opposed	1	12	This would change the pre-amble without any direction on how to proceed. The thought			
			Proposer is trying to point out that there are may in-river users that should be recognized beyond the commercial fishery.			
			This could affect multiple commercial fisheries			
			1 Abstention – Unclear proposal			
205	Clarify the		of "minimize" in the Northern District Salmon Management Plan			
Opposed	4	9	Before a definition is defined, would like the board to confirm the numbers in the proposal. But would like to see the definition done with quantifiable numbers.			
			The proposal does not offer a definition or his idea of what "minimize" should be.			
			Drift fishery has already been minimized from historically harvest numbers.			
			We currently have a definition of "minimize" by in-river regulation			
			1 Abstention – Not sure the proposal has any validity.			

AC NAME Page 4/8

1	Alaska E	Board of	Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals February 7-20, 2020
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
206	of set gillr times of re	net gear in t educed effo	District Salmon Management Plan to allow for regular amounts the Northern District commercial sockeye salmon fishery during ort in the Central District (Northern District Set Netters nlet/Stephen Braund)
	2	12	Current regulation says "may" and this could already be done by the fisheries manager.
208	Modify de	escription o	f waters open to fishing (David Chessik)
No Action			No action based on 209
209			location for Light Point on Kalgin Island (ADF&G)
Support	13	1	Concerned about traditional fishing areas. This is regulatory issue that need to be cleared up from a discrepancy on old map data.
210	1		gillnetting on the west side of Cook Inlet within one mile of shore ands to Sea Otter Point (Mel Erickson)
Opposed	2	12	This would eliminate the entire Area 3 drift fishery. This is a long-time traditional fishery, fished by only a few local fishermen. Long time harvest has been consistent Hard area to enforce 1 mile is too far, would like to encourage the board to define the boundaries better, so everyone can have a better definition of where to fish. Supporting vote - Defiantly not being enforced within the current written rules
211	Eliminate Committe		t gillnet per person limit (Tyonek Fish and Game Advisory
0	0	13	This would disrupt what is considered an orderly fishery. 1 No Vote – left room

AC NAME Page 5/8

	Alaska E	Board of	Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals February 7-20, 2020	
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
212	190	the require	ement to obtain a commissioner's permit for the Cook Inlet Smelt	
Opposed	0	14	Fishery has a quota, and without the permit the department could not track the fishery. Not limited entry and this permit allows you sell the fish.	
213	Allow ang	lers to use	5 lines while fishing for northern pike through the ice (ADF&G)	
Support	14	0	Unanimous Consent	
214	Prohibit li (ADF&G)	ve release	of northern pike in the Anchorage Bowl and Knik River drainages	
Support	14	0	This just helps keep pike populations down and killing of pike.	
216	Create a [Deshka Rive	er large king salmon optimum escapement goal (Matanuska	
	Valley Fisl	n and Game	e Advisory Committee)	
Opposed	0	14	Large King goal is not recommended for the deshka. The Deshka is counted by weir and the department has the ability to manage this as it currently is being managed. 1 abstention – Don't know about it	
222	Allow fishing for resident species on days closed to king salmon fishing in Unit 2 (ADF&G)			
Support	12	1	This would clarify regulation, there is a possibility that some people may take advantage of the open fishery. Opposed vote is worried about king salmon harassment 1 Abstention – does not know enough about it.	
229	Extend th	e hours of	the Ship Creek youth fishery (Dustin Douglas Slinker)	
Supported as Amended	14	0	Motion to make Amendment to 11pm or when the fishery closes.	
	*		Vote on Amendment Support 14 Opposed 0	

AC NAME Page 6/8

	Alaska E	Board of	Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals February 7-20, 2020	
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
230	1	ention of sn am Housh)	agged sockeye salmon in the Big River Lakes and Wolverine	
Opposed	0	14	Allowing this could set precedence for other fresh water snagging opportunities. There is a good amount of pressure, which could increase if this proposal were to pass.	
231	Establish limits in the Big River Drainage of two salmon 16" or greater in length (Danny Brewer)			
Opposed	7	7	Could create a little more orderly fishery as well as help possible fishing congestion. Conservative bag limit could be useful. The decrease in the bag limit could cause an economic hardship as people may not want to participate in the flyout fishery.	
232	Close a se	ction of the	e south fork of Big River to sport fishing (Danny Brewer)	
Supported	10	4	Department Supports this as spawning protection Not the only spawning bed, and one of the only fishing areas when water clarity is bad.	
234	Create a personal use salmon dipnet fishery on the Susitna River (Matanuska Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee)			
Opposed	3	11	Would love to see the pressure removed from the Kenai and Kasilof to the Valley. The Susitna would not support this fishery and opening this fishery would be	
235	Create a lower Susitna River personal use dip net fishery (Kristine Ogonowski)			
No Action			Based on 234	
236	Create a S	Susitna Rive	r personal use dip net fishery (Frede Stier)	
No Action			Based on 234	
237	Create a S	Susitna Rive	er personal use dip net fishery (Richard Sanderlin)	
No Action			Based on 234	

AC NAME Page 7/8

	Alaska E	Board of	Fisheries: Upper Cook Inlet Proposals February 7-20, 2020		
Proposal Number	Proposal Description				
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes		
238	Create a personal use dip net fishery in Unit 1 of the Susitna River Drainage (South Central Alaska Dipnetters Association)				
No Action			Based on 234		
239	Establish a personal use northern pike gillnet fishery in the Mat-Su valley (Brent Lannen)				
No Action			Based on 240		
240	Create a personal use northern pike gillnet fishery in the Susitna River drainage (Matanuska Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee)				
Opposed	1	12	Anything to help get rid of pike is good and worthwhile. Department could Opposed to any type of gill net in any freshwater. This would cause a lot of incidental catch of multiple species. 1 Abstention – Like the concept but not the methods		
243	Allow the harvest of other salmon in place of king salmon in the Tyonek Subdistrict				
	subsistence fishery (Tyonek Fish and Game Advisory Committee)				
			Motion to table		
			Support to table 8		
			Opposed to table 6		

Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: Will Lee	
Minutes Approved By:	
Date: 01/29/2020	

AC NAME Page 8/8