

PJ Called meeting to order at 8:13

Roll Call

Emil

Kiley

George

PJ

John

Absent: Benjamin and Duke

Others in attendance: Arlene Gundersen, AJ Newman, Tony Foster, Mike Kurtz, Alvin Osterback, Melvin Larsen, John Mitchell, Dwain Foster, Kyle Foster, Taylor Lundgren, Pat Martin, Eric Volk, Brad Barr, Jack Foster, Jr., Douglas Holmberg, Glen Gardner, Jr.

Emil made a motion to bring up Proposal 133.

If we go the direction of helping Chignik get time, we should be able to get fishing time at SEDM. Keep other proposals for bargaining chips. PJ wants to know if we are going to support all of them? Kiley: do we take no action instead of opposing, John says yes. Kiley: the only argument about Emil's proposal: The board may not see this as us giving them anything, if SEDM is able to fish. John is concerned about July and August. Kiley: We support 130, 131. Pat Martin a package to back away from the percentages and western Perryville. I'm not a decision maker. Tabled 132, if he thought we had the votes, he would be super happy. Should we still be backing away from 129, 130, 131? This would be a tax on Chignik and they would have to come up with an explanation. If we are putting all our support on 132, then let's have the minutes reflect that and it is our preferred explanation. Kiley we should choose between 129, 130, PJ says that's been done already. Still supporting 131. Kiley: Still encourage pulling proposal asking for concurrent fishing times with Chignik and Perryville. Denby says you have a shot with 132. You should avoid tying yourself to another management area. Jack Foster: Part of problems of set net area is that seiners can go and get that season closed in 1 day and the mainland would now be shut. This amendment will not change the dates for the seiners. Look at catch records, we're not losing any more now than in the past. Seiners have bent over backwards in what we are doing now and need to all get along to get things done. We should probably still support 132. Charlotte: Lots of info out there and lots of language. Researched a lot of management plans to look at options. Maybe not a good idea to have concurrent openings. This is risky.

Kiley made motion to take no action on Proposal 133, Second by George. Passes unanimously.

Proposal 142: Written by Emil. Emil withdrew this yesterday. Kiley moves to put 142 on floor, second by Emil. Emil: it's asking for more time and we aren't trying to go that route, more status quo. Kiley moves to oppose Proposal 142, second by Emil, Opposed, unanimously.

Come confusion: Do we need to oppose 133? Kiley moves to bring prop 133 back to floor for reconsideration. Second by Emil. Kiley moves to oppose 133, Emil second. Passes unanimously.

Emil moves to allow Kiley Thompson to approve and submit minutes for the meetings this week. Passes unanimously.

Emil made motion to adjourn at 8:48 am. Second by Emil. Passes unanimously

Respectfully submitted,
Kiley Thompson