

FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:18PM P5

RC 0.07

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES

AC NAME: Central Peninsula

LOCATION (town): Winickik

DATE (of meeting): 11-17-16 CONTINUATION of 11-15-16

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rion VANOK, Greg ENCELEWSKI,  
David Martin, Steve Vanek, David Blossom,  
Dan Presley, Joe Simpson

MEMBERS ABSENT EXCUSED:  
Jett Berger, Madeline Thompson, ~~David~~  
Robert Cluser, Gary Berman, John McCombs, Mike  
Schwartz

MEMBERS ABSENT UNEXCUSED:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

QUORUM PRESENT: YES  NO

AGENCY STAFF PRESENT: None

Time Meeting Called to Order: 7:10 PM

Old Business and New Business (See following pages)

Time Meeting <sup>Recessed</sup> Adjourned: 9:45

Steve Vanek  
Signature, Committee Secretary



FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:19PM P7

## VOTER RECORD/COMMENT

ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

Central Peninsula

DATE:

11/15/16PAGE 6 OF 13

Board of

B & F

Comments for

Lower Cook Inlet

Please use this format to record the votes and comments of members regarding proposals. The boards are particularly interested in hearing the reasons why proposals are supported/opposed. If committee members believe a proposal does not pertain to their jurisdiction, it is not necessary to spend time on that proposal.

Proposal

Summary of Discussion

#	Support	Oppose	Abstain	(include minority view)
1	7	0	0	House keeping more orderly
2	7	0	0	Housekeeping more accurate
3	7	0	0	Housekeeping
4	7	0	0	This is logical and responsible and gives timely catch records for management measures.
5	7	0	0	See #4 comments
6	1	6	0	Failed! Steadhead are spawning. Still plenty of fishing opportunity. Participation increasing. Be precautionary. Catch & release mentality will be more. One in favor supported the other month.
7				NO Action See #6
8	0	7	0	Would increase the harvest & mortality of wild kings. Dept. Still has Ed authority to increase time.
9	0	7	0	This river is in our back & front yard so to speak. We prefer a one king bag limit and the first king caught is kept wild or hatchery. This does away with waste from catch & release mortality.

FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:20PM PB

### VOTER RECORD/COMMENT

ADVISORY COMMITTEE: Central Peninsula

DATE: 11-15-16 PAGE 7 OF 13

Board of Fish Comments for Lower Cook Inlet

Please use this format to record the votes and comments of members regarding proposals. The boards are particularly interested in hearing the reasons why proposals are supported/opposed. If committee members believe a proposal does not pertain to their jurisdiction, it is not necessary to spend time on that proposal.

Proposal #			Summary of Discussion
Support	Oppose	Abstain	(include minority view)
7	0	0	This was amended to take out the reference to Bait, any method of catching any king, the king must be retained whether hatchery or wild. This would stop the mortality on released kings passed 7-0
0	7	0	We are opposed to more time allowed on these streams don't need a youth only fishery on these streams.
0	7	0	see # 11
0	7	0	We oppose this because it may not be used some of the time and would be closed to others even if no handicaps were using it.
0	7	0	when salmon reach their lake they are there to spawn. To snag a salmon in their spawning area is wrong.

FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:20PM P9

## VOTER RECORD/COMMENT

ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

Central Peninsula

DATE:

11/15/16

PAGE 8 OF 13

Board of

Fish

Comments for

Lower Cook Inlet

Please use this format to record the votes and comments of members regarding proposals. The boards are particularly interested in hearing the reasons why proposals are supported/opposed. If committee members believe a proposal does not pertain to their jurisdiction, it is not necessary to spend time on that proposal.

### Proposal

### Summary of Discussion

#	Support	Oppose	Abstain	(include minority view)
15	4	5	1	Noed more recording & logging of winter harvest. We feel the harvest is way unreported. The fishery is growing. The GHL should remain low until get a more accurate harvest reporting. These in favor support the extra months.
16	1	9	0	The committee wants to keep the one mile from shore regulation. The three sport guide said it makes their fishery more orderly with clients knowing the one mile restriction. There will be fishing for king salmon for catch & release saying they are fishing for other salmon or even halibut. This proposal has three issue & should have been three proposals.
17	2	8	0	The beach fishing North of the Minichik River will conflict with the other activities already in use in this area. Still ample opportunity South of Minichik River. Conflict with educational net fishing.
18	10	0	0	Will provide additional protection for kings.

FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:21PM P10

### VOTER RECORD/COMMENTS

Advisory Committee: Central Peninsula

Date: 11/15/16 Page 9 of 13 Proposal Packet LCI BOF

Use this form to record the votes and comments of members regarding Board of Fisheries and Board of Game proposals. The boards are particularly interested in hearing the reasons why proposals are supported/opposed. If committee members believe a particular proposal does not pertain to their jurisdiction, it is not necessary for the committee to spend time on that proposal, just write "no action" in the Abstain column.

\*\*\*\*\*

Proposal Number	Yes	No	Abstain	Summary of Discussion about this Proposal
19	0	7	0	We oppose this because it would substantially increase the catch of kings which is already way over the GHL.
20	0	7	0	This will substantially increase the catch of winter kings which is already way over the GHL.
21	0	7	0	Feeder kings come from somewhere. We should be aware that we are hurting someone else's king salmon fishery.
22	0	7	0	same as above
23	0	7	0	same as proposal 21
24	0	7	0	same as proposal 21
25	0	7	0	The BOF was responsible in limiting the number of winter kings caught, as it turns out; whether they are Cook Inlet kings or not does not make a difference.
26	0	7	0	see above number 25

FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:21PM P11

## VOTER RECORD/COMMENT

ADVISORY COMMITTEE:

Central Peninsula

DATE:

11/15-16

PAGE 10 OF 13

Board of

FISH

Comments for

Lower Cook Inlet

Please use this format to record the votes and comments of members regarding proposals. The boards are particularly interested in hearing the reasons why proposals are supported/opposed. If committee members believe a proposal does not pertain to their jurisdiction, it is not necessary to spend time on that proposal.

Proposal #	Support	Oppose	Abstain	Summary of Discussion (include minority view)
27	0	7	0	Leave the GHL as it is. Escalating the GHL has only happened once & has never resulted in any closures or restrictions. The fishery is growing rapidly. Should be precautionary until get better catch reporting.
28	0	7	0	The data shows that 5 king salmon per year is not necessary. We were in favor of one king salmon per day.
29	0	7	0	We don't favor having special elite rules. Plenty of opportunity under current rules.
30	0	7	0	Failed: This is a highly used fishery. There is a finite number of salmon and the current regulation distributed these salmon to the most people. This proposal could allow one person the harvest of salmon that otherwise would be harvested by five people.
31	0	7	0	This proposal shows up every 3 yrs & is not implemented. Archery fishing is allowed for invasive Northern pike. Safety issue. Ample opportunity under current methods.

FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:22PM P12

### VOTER RECORD/COMMENTS

Advisory Committee: Central Peninsula

Date: 11/15/16 Page 11 of 13 Proposal Packet LCI BOF

Use this form to record the votes and comments of members regarding Board of Fisheries and Board of Game proposals. The boards are particularly interested in hearing the reasons why proposals are supported/opposed. If committee members believe a particular proposal does not pertain to their jurisdiction, it is not necessary for the committee to spend time on that proposal, just write "no action" in the Abstain column.

\*\*\*\*\*

Proposal Number	Yes	No	Abstain	Summary of Discussion about this Proposal
32	0	7	0	The Dept. says ling cod are guarding nests at this time and are vulnerable to mortality.
33	0	7	0	Do not need this in Res. Bay
34	0	7	0	Shouldn't be in Fresh water. This is too broad.
35	7	0	0	House keeping
36	7	0	0	This should have been grandfathered in. We support the continuation of this boundary.
37	7	0	0	We support this because it is often too late for fishing when the Dept. can fly over this area. It can be closed by EO when necessary.
38	7	0	0	Same as # 37.



FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:22PM P13

### VOTER RECORD/COMMENTS

Advisory Committee: Central Peninsula

Date: 11/15/16 Page 12 of 13 Proposal Packet LCI BOF

Use this form to record the votes and comments of members regarding Board of Fisheries and Board of Game proposals. The boards are particularly interested in hearing the reasons why proposals are supported/opposed. If committee members believe a particular proposal does not pertain to their jurisdiction, it is not necessary for the committee to spend time on that proposal, just write "no action" in the Abstain column.

\*\*\*\*\*

Proposal Number	Yes	No	Abstain	Summary of Discussion about this Proposal
39	0	10	0	A 50/50 split should not be in regulation. Data shows that too many variables per year affect the split and it hasn't worked in the past. The goal is a 50/50, but mother nature makes for yearly deviations. Data also shows that without the hatchery program there would be little to no salmon harvest. If CIAA doesn't have the flexibility and efficiency to prosecute the cost recovery harvest to meet the CIAA financial cost recovery goal then the projects and hatcheries will terminate. This <del>doesn't</del> scenario won't benefit anyone, especially the Resurrection Bay, Cbho and sockeye sport & Commercial fisheries.
40	0	10	0	See Comments in #39
41	0	10	0	See Comments in #39.

FROM : DAVID R MARTIN

PHONE NO. : 907 567 3306

NOV. 18 2016 05:23PM P14

### VOTER RECORD/COMMENTS

Advisory Committee: Central Peninsula

Date: 11/15/16 Page 13 of 13 Proposal Packet LCF BoF

Use this form to record the votes and comments of members regarding Board of Fisheries and Board of Game proposals. The boards are particularly interested in hearing the reasons why proposals are supported/opposed. If committee members believe a particular proposal does not pertain to their jurisdiction, it is not necessary for the committee to spend time on that proposal, just write "no action" in the Abstain column.

\*\*\*\*\*

Proposal Number	Yes	No	Abstain	Summary of Discussion about this Proposal
42	7	0	0	W2 felt that this was OK in Cook Inlet
43	0	0	0	we took no action on this one since we didn't have enough knowledge on the issue
44	0	7	0	we felt this was excessive and restricted fishermen too much. The Feds require only 3 hours. samples could be taken by processor workers.
45	0	7	0	same as # 44
46	5	1	1	no vote felt that it was not necessary since no one even reached the 4000 lb. limit in the past. abstention did not have an <del>opinion</del> opinion on it.