MEMORANDUM

TO: Jeff Regnart, Director
Division of Commercial Fisheries
Tom Brookover, Director
Division of Sport Fish

THRU: John Linderman, Regional Supervisor
Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region III
Don Roach, Regional Supervisor
Division of Sport Fish, Region III

FROM: Jan Conitz, Regional Research Coordinator
Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region III
Katie Howard, Regional Research Coordinator
Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region III
Matt Evenson, Regional Research Coordinator
Division of Sport Fish, Region III

DATE: September 23, 2015

SUBJECT: Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim Area Escapement Goal Recommendations

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you of our progress in reviewing and recommending escapement goals for the Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim (AYK) Region. The Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5 AAC 39.223) recognizes the establishment of salmon escapement goals as a joint responsibility of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (department) and the Alaska Board of Fisheries (board) and describes the concepts, criteria, and procedures for establishing and modifying salmon escapement goals. Under the policy, the board recognizes and describes the department’s responsibility for establishing and modifying biological escapement goals (BEG), sustainable escapement goals (SEG), and sustained escapement thresholds (SET).

An interdivisional escapement goal review team (review team) was convened to review available escapement and other data and make escapement goal recommendations where appropriate.
AYK Escapement Goal Recommendations

Escapement goals recommended in this memorandum are the products of collaborative work among division staff, including several meetings of the review team, other department staff, and stakeholders from federal agencies and various non-governmental organizations. The review team coordinated and directed the work of other staff and reviewed that work in the process of making escapement goal recommendations.

Pertinent escapement goal definitions are:

- 5 AAC 39.222 (f)(3) “Biological Escapement Goal (BEG): the escapement that provides the greatest potential for maximum sustained yield (MSY);”
- 5 AAC 39.222 (f)(36) “Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG): a level of escapement, indicated by an index or an escapement estimate, that is known to provide for sustained yield over a 5 to 10 year period, used in situations where a BEG cannot be estimated or managed for;” and
- 5 AAC 39.222 (f)(25) “Optimal Escapement Goal (OEG): a specific management objective for salmon escapement that considers biological and allocative factors and may differ from the SEG or BEG.”

Since inception of the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals in 2000 and 2001, comprehensive escapement goal reviews have been conducted every three years for the AYK Region (ADFG 2004; Brannian et al. 2006; Molyneaux and Brannian 2006; Volk et al. 2009; Conitz et al. 2012). This review focused on stocks in which a new goal is needed, in which recent data or other evidence suggested an updated analysis might result in a substantially revised escapement goal, or which should be discontinued.

The review team compiled the most current information for each salmon stock having an existing goal, and other monitored, exploited stocks without an existing goal. For stocks with existing goals, the team decided upon a recommendation to: 1) retain the existing goal, 2) revise the goal, or 3) discontinue the goal. For stocks without an existing goal, the team decided whether or not to recommend establishing a new goal. The team decided which analytical methods were appropriate for revising a goal or establishing a new goal.

Oral and written reports concerning escapement goals and specific recommendations for numerous stocks in all areas of the AYK Region will be presented to the board in January 2016. These reports will list all existing escapement goals along with the new recommendations for all management areas of the AYK Region. The recommendations for each management area are summarized below.

**Norton Sound-Port Clarence and Kotzebue Management Areas**

A total of 33 escapement goals for 27 stocks exist in the Norton Sound-Port Clarence and Kotzebue management areas (Table 1). Biological escapement goals exist for 4 stocks, including Norton Sound Subdistrict 1 (Nome) chum salmon, Tubutulik River chum salmon, Kwinik River chum salmon, and Kotzebue (all areas) chum salmon. A total of 24 sustainable escapement goals exist for 23 stocks (one pink salmon stock has separate even and odd year
goals). Additionally, optimal escapement goals (OEG) were established by the board in 2001 for 5 chum salmon stocks that also have associated BEG or SEGs.

The review team is recommending discontinuation of the aerial survey SEG for king salmon and chum salmon on the Old Woman River, a tributary of the Unalakleet River. Due to poor weather conditions, uncertainty of the relationship of the survey to peak spawning time, and availability of aircraft, these counts are unreliable for evaluating a goal on this system. Within the Unalakleet River drainage there is an existing tower-based goal for the North River tributary, which provides more robust data than aerial surveys can provide from the Old Woman River. Additionally, a weir project has been operational on the mainstem Unalakleet River since 2010 and has shown to provide accurate escapement information. It is the review team’s long-term plan that when this weir project has sufficient years of data upon which to base escapement goals, steps will be taken to establish escapement goals for the mainstem Unalakleet River weir.

The review team is recommending discontinuation of the aerial survey SEG for king salmon for the upper Fish River/Boston Creek index area. Due to poor weather conditions, uncertainty of the relationship of the survey to peak spawning time, and availability of aircraft, these counts are unreliable for evaluating a goal on this system. Aerial survey estimates on both Fish River and Boston Creek have not been conducted since 2004, and it has not been possible to evaluate escapements using this aerial survey estimate since then. Feasibility studies to provide better escapement enumeration estimates on the Fish River are being developed: 2014 was the first year of a tower project on the Fish River.

The review team is recommending revision of the Kwiniuk River tower goal to a lower bound SEG of >250 king salmon. The Kwiniuk River tower is the longest-standing escapement dataset available in Norton Sound. The Kwiniuk River king stock is and has historically been relatively small. Anecdotal information provided by stakeholders indicates there is little overwintering habitat in this system and that king salmon have established themselves in this system in relatively recent human history, all of which could account for greater volatility in run size. Given this information, the review team recognizes that this stock is highly unlikely to support a directed commercial fishery and, consequently, an upper bound for a goal is not useful for management. The review team reviewed two methodologies that can be used for setting a lower bound goal as an alternative to the SEG escapement goal range: the percentile method and risk assessment method. After updating the data with most recent escapement information, both lower bound SEG methods indicated that the goal should be set at a minimum escapement of 250 king salmon.

The review team is recommending chum, pink and coho salmon Niukluk River tower goals be discontinued. The Niukluk River tower project is no longer operational and it is no longer possible to assess tower-based goals on this system. Since this system supports important fisheries, the review team assessed all other available escapement data, which included aerial surveys, to determine if alternative escapement goals could be established at this time. Upon review, the historical aerial survey data for chum and pink salmon were not of a quality that would enable a reliable escapement goal to be established. Pink salmon have not routinely been a focus of aerial surveys in this system and historically they have not been well counted. Also, when pink salmon are abundant, it dramatically reduces the ability for chum salmon to be accurately assessed with aerial survey techniques in this system. Aerial survey data for coho salmon, however, have been of relatively good quality, consistently taken, and could be used to
establish an escapement goal. An historical aerial survey goal for coho salmon was based on the combined aerial surveys of Niukluk River and Ophir Creek, and the review team recommends a similar goal be established.

**Based upon the data available, the review team recommends establishing a new Niukluk River/Ophir Creek coho salmon aerial survey goal: a SEG range of 750–1,600 fish.**

All other existing escapement goals for salmon stocks in the Norton Sound-Port Clarence and Kotzebue management areas are recommended to continue without revision.

**Yukon Management Area**

In the Yukon Management Area, which includes the entire Yukon River drainage within Alaska, there are currently 15 established escapement goals for 6 king salmon, 2 summer chum salmon, 6 fall chum salmon, and 1 coho salmon stocks (Table 2). Eight of these goals are BEGs and 7 are SEGs. Not included in this listing are 3 goals for Canadian stocks that were established as part of the *Yukon River Salmon Agreement*. Escapement targets for these Canadian stocks (mainstem Yukon River king salmon, mainstem Yukon River fall chum salmon, and Fishing Branch River fall chum salmon) are set annually by the Yukon River Panel (JTC 2015).

**For summer chum salmon, the review team recommends establishment of a new model-based, drainagewide BEG range of 500,000 to 1,200,000 fish.** Development of this BEG recommendation began with estimating drainagewide escapement and spawner-recruitment parameters using a model that combined a run reconstruction and spawner-recruit analysis. Based on this analysis, the review team then examined optimal yield profiles, and selected a goal range based on probability of achieving expected yield at the selected level. The analysis showed this stock has moderate productivity, strong negative density dependence, and moderate to low harvest rate. Optimal yield profiles indicated the Yukon River summer chum salmon data contain good information about yield potential for this stock. Management considerations discussed by the review team included the need to maintain subsistence harvest opportunity during small runs, and the capacity of the commercial fishery to increase harvest during larger runs. Given these considerations, the review team selected a BEG range expected to have a moderate probability (about 70%) of producing approximately 80% or greater of maximum sustained yield (MSY) at the low end of the range and 90% of MSY at the upper end of the range.

**For fall chum salmon, the review team recommends discontinuing the Sheenjek River goal and the aggregate goal for Upper Yukon River tributaries.** Key assessment projects on the Sheenjek and Fishing Branch rivers have been discontinued. The Upper Yukon River tributaries goal is an aggregate goal comprising stocks in the Chandalar, Sheenjek, and Fishing Branch rivers; this goal is redundant with individual goals for each of the tributary stocks. Given the individual tributary goals, the aggregate goal has little practical application. However, the primary basis of the recommendation to discontinue is the fact that two of the three assessments have been discontinued. For the same reason, the Sheenjek River fall chum salmon goal is recommended to be discontinued, since it can no longer be assessed.

All other existing escapement goals for salmon stocks in the Yukon Management Area are recommended to continue without revision.
Kuskokwim Management Area

The Kuskokwim Management Area, which includes the Kuskokwim River and Kuskokwim Bay drainages, currently has 24 established escapement goals for 14 king salmon, 3 chum salmon, 3 coho salmon, and 4 sockeye salmon stocks (Table 3).

For Kuskokwim River chum salmon, the review team recommends that the Aniak River goal be discontinued. The Aniak River sonar project is no longer operated and is not expected to operate again in the foreseeable future. Without the sonar counts, the goal can no longer be assessed. A weir project on the Salmon River tributary of the Aniak River replaced the Aniak River sonar in 2012, but this data series is still too short to use in developing a revised or new escapement goal.

For Kuskokwim Bay king and sockeye salmon, the review team recommends revisions to three goals. These are all aerial survey based SEGs. An extensive review of aerial survey data was conducted to standardize for time and areas surveyed and for data quality. In the three cases recommended for change, the percentile goal ranges were revised substantially upwards after standardization of these data sets.

- For Kanektok River king salmon, the review team recommends a revised SEG range of 3,900—12,000 fish, upwards from the existing SEG range of 3,500—8,000 fish.
- For Kanektok River sockeye salmon, the review team recommends a revised SEG range of 15,300—41,000 fish, upwards from the existing SEG range of 14,000—34,000 fish.
- For North Fork Goodnews River sockeye salmon, the review team recommends a revised SEG range of 9,600—18,000 fish, upwards from 5,500—19,500 fish.

All other existing escapement goals for salmon stocks in the Kuskokwim Management Area are recommended to continue without revision.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock unit</th>
<th>Assessment method</th>
<th>Current goal</th>
<th>Escapement goal recommendation for 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norton Sound/Port Clarence Management Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Salmon (4 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish R./Boston Cr.</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>&gt;100 SEG</td>
<td>Discontinue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwiniuk River</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>300–550 SEG</td>
<td>Revise Goal  &gt;250 Lower Bound SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North River (Unalakleet R.)</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>1,200–2,600 SEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Woman R. (Unalakleet R.)</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>550–1,100 SEG</td>
<td>Discontinue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chum Salmon (10 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome Subdistrict 1 Aggregate</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>23,000–35,000 BEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldorado River</td>
<td>Expanded aerial survey</td>
<td>6,000–9,200 SEG/OEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>2,900–4,300 SEG/OEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake River</td>
<td>Tower/weir</td>
<td>1,600–2,500 SEG/OEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwiniuk River</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>11,500–23,000 OEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwiniuk River</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>10,000–20,000 BEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niukluk River (Fish R.)</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>&gt;23,000 SEG</td>
<td>Discontinue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Woman R. (Unalakleet R.)</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>2,400–4,800 SEG</td>
<td>Discontinue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubutulik River</td>
<td>Expanded aerial</td>
<td>8,000–16,000 BEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tubutulik River</td>
<td>Expanded aerial</td>
<td>9,200–18,400 OEG</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock unit</td>
<td>Assessment method</td>
<td>Current goal</td>
<td>Escapement goal recommendation for 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coho Salmon (3 existing, 1 proposed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwiniuk River</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>650–1,300</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niukluk River</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>2,400–7,200</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niukluk River/Ophir Creek</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North River (Unalakleet R.)</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>550–1,100</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink Salmon (5 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwiniuk River (all years)</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>&gt;8,400</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niukluk River (all years)</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>&gt;10,500</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome River (even year)</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>&gt;13,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome River (odd year)</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>&gt;3,200</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North River (Unalakleet. R. all years)</td>
<td>Tower</td>
<td>&gt;25,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sockeye Salmon (2 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon Lake</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>4,000–8,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glacial Lake</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>800–1,600</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock unit</th>
<th>Assessment method</th>
<th>Current goal</th>
<th>Escapement goal recommendation for 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal Type</td>
<td>Year established or last revised Action New or revised goal Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotzebue Management Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chum Salmon (6 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotzebue (all areas)</td>
<td>Expanded aerial survey</td>
<td>196,000–421,000</td>
<td>BEG 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noatak/Eli Rivers</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>42,000–91,000</td>
<td>SEG 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon River (Kobuk R. drainage)</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>3,300–7,200</td>
<td>SEG 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squirrel River (Kobuk R. drainage)</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>4,900–10,500</td>
<td>SEG 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutuksuk River (Kobuk R. drainage)</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>1,400–3,000</td>
<td>SEG 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Kobuk and Selby Rivers</td>
<td>Aerial survey</td>
<td>9,700–21,000</td>
<td>SEG 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.—Summary of escapement goal recommendations for Yukon Management Areas for 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock unit</th>
<th>Assessment method</th>
<th>Current goal</th>
<th>Escapement goal recommendation for 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>King salmon¹ (6 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreafsky River (East Fork)</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>2,100–4,900</td>
<td>SEG 2010 No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreafsky River (West Fork)</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>640–1,600</td>
<td>SEG 2005 No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulato River (forks combined)</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>940–1,900</td>
<td>SEG 2005 No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anvik River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>1,100–1,700</td>
<td>SEG 2005 No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chena River</td>
<td>Tower/Mark-Recapture</td>
<td>2,800–5,700</td>
<td>BEG 2001 No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salcha River</td>
<td>Tower/Mark-Recapture</td>
<td>3,300–6,500</td>
<td>BEG 2001 No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chum Salmon, Summer (2 existing, 1 proposed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon R. Drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td>No existing goal</td>
<td>Establish goal 500,000—1,200,000 BEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Fork Andreafsky River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>&gt;40,000</td>
<td>SEG 2010 No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anvik River</td>
<td>Sonar</td>
<td>350,000–700,000</td>
<td>BEG 2005 No change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The Canadian border king salmon escapement goal was established under the Yukon River Salmon Agreement and is reviewed annually by the Yukon River Panel. It is not included as part of this summary.
## Current goal  Escapement goal recommendation for 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock unit</th>
<th>Assessment method</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Year established</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New or revised goal</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chum Salmon, Fall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon R. Drainage</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>300,000–600,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanana River</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>61,000–136,000</td>
<td>BEG</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta River</td>
<td>Foot Survey</td>
<td>6,000–13,000</td>
<td>BEG</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Yukon R. Tributaries</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>152,000–312,000</td>
<td>BEG</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Discontinue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandalar River</td>
<td>Sonar</td>
<td>74,000–152,000</td>
<td>BEG</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheenjek River</td>
<td>Sonar</td>
<td>50,000–104,000</td>
<td>BEG</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Discontinue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coho Salmon (1 existing goal)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Clearwater River</td>
<td>Boat survey</td>
<td>5,200–17,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2 The Canadian fall chum salmon mainstem border and Fishing Branch River escapement goals, established under the *Yukon River Salmon Agreement* and reviewed annually by the Yukon River Panel, are not included in this summary.

3 This goal includes all Alaskan and Canadian stocks.

4 Includes combination of any of the following methods: foot survey, aerial survey, weir, and sonar.

5 Includes Chandalar, Sheenjek, and Fishing Branch rivers. Per footnote 2 above, Fishing Branch River is not listed as an individual goal.
Table 3.–Summary of escapement goal recommendations for Kuskokwim Management Area salmon stocks for 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock Unit (14 existing)</th>
<th>Assessment method</th>
<th>Current goal</th>
<th>Recommendation for 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Salmon (14 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim R Drainage</td>
<td>Run reconstruction</td>
<td>65,00–120,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aniak River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>1,200–2,300</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheeneetnuk River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>340–1,300</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gagarayah River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>300–830</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>1,800–3,300</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holitna River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>970–2,100</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kisaralik River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>400–1,200</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogrukluk River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>4,800–8,800</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwethluk River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>4,100–7,500</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon River (Pitka Fork)</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>470–1,600</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon River (Aniak Drainage)</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>330–1,200</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim Bay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanektok River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>3,500–8,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Fork Goodnews River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>1,500–2,900</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North (Main) Fork Goodnews River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>640–3,300</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-continued-

6 Run reconstruction is conducted postseason, and uses a model to estimate total return from harvest and escapement monitoring projects.
Table 3.—Page 2 of 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock Unit</th>
<th>Assessment method</th>
<th>Current goal</th>
<th>Recommendation for 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chum Salmon (3 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aniak River</td>
<td>Sonar</td>
<td>220,000–480,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogruklu River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>15,000–49,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim Bay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Fork Goodnews River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>&gt;12,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coho Salmon (3 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogruklu River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>13,000–28,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwethluk</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>&gt;19,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim Bay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Fork Goodnews River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>&gt;12,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sockeye Salmon (4 existing)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogruklu River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>4,400–17,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuskokwim Bay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanektok River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>14,000–34,000</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North (Main) Fork Goodnews River</td>
<td>Aerial Survey</td>
<td>5,500–19,500</td>
<td>SEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Fork Goodnews River</td>
<td>Weir</td>
<td>18,000–40,000</td>
<td>BEG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>