Public Comment

Submitted 12-2-115

by Dan Dunaway Dillingham, Alaska cell 907-843-3030

Representing only myself in these comments.

1) Proposal 85 I want to call your attention to my comments in RC 67, regarding proposal 85:

I withdraw my opposition to Proposal 85 in RC 67. I misunderstood the proposal's intent. Now that I have a better understanding, I fully **support** Proposal 85. It will restore some important sport fishing opportunity.

Additional Comments:

- 2) <u>Proposal 78</u>, I SUPPORT. I have followed the evolution of the Katmai Park subsistence red fish issue for over 20 years. I believe Prop 78 is a good solution that will provide an important subsistence opportunity with no biological harm. It has taken a long time to get the Park Service to work with local residents to allow this valued opportunity. These locations have been used for this activity for many years and are some of the few locations in the drainage where red fish can be taken. However the Park Service's suggestion for a 3 inch mesh restriction would be very onerous for the likely participants and I don't think it is needed.
- 3) Proposal 83. I SUPPORT in Concept. The anti chumming regulation adopted for the sport fishery in the last Board Cycle has created a confusing situation around the cleaning tables in the Newhalen River. A regulation could be adopted. Or the Board should conduct a thorough public discussion of enforcement policy and interpretation of current regulations to address the concerns of the local residents. Possibly a policy could be developed. But the issue calls for some system to assure that enforcement will be consistent and predictable.

I don't believe the local residents want to allow or promote chumming but they do want to be assured that people fishing downstream of cleaning tables and fish cleaning sites are not subject to charges of using chumming to attract fish.

Thank you for your consideration.