RC047

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Signature

Date 1/30/15

Lawrence Spotted Bird
Printed Name

456 Katlian Street
Street Address

907-747-7380
Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Andrea R. Craig
Signature

1-29-15
Date

ANDREA R. Craig
Printed Name

420 Katlian St.
Street Address

747-6658
Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

George J. Bennett Sr. — Signature

Jan 29, 2015 — Date

George J. Bennett Sr. — Printed Name

216 Vitskavi #9 — Street Address

907-747-6518 — Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835 — City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Albert F Duncan SR
Signature

1-29-15
Date

AL DUNCAN SR
Printed Name

721 Lake St
Street Address

738-6982
Contact Phone

Sitka AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Signature

1/29/15
Date

Johnny Duncan Jr.
Printed Name

137 Wolff Dr.
Street Address

907-738-1595
Contact Phone

Sitka AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Georgina Kitka
Signature

1-28-15
Date

Georgina Kitka
Printed Name

110 Ocean View St.
Street Address

907-747-8930
Contact Phone

Sitka, Ak. 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Harvey Kitka
Signature

1/28/2015
Date

Harvey Kitka
Printed Name

110 Oceanview St.
Street Address

907-747-8930
Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Johnny Duncan
Signature

1-27-15
Date

Johnny Duncan
Printed Name

104 Shuler dr
Street Address

907-738-5540
Contact Phone

Sitka AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Signature

Gregory A. Owens
Printed Name

303-725-8531
Contact Phone

Jan 29th 2015
Date

1111 Halibut Point Rd
Street Address

Sitka AK. 99835
City, State and Zip