RC046

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Linda L Blankenship
Signature

1-29-15
Date

Linda L. Blankenship
Printed Name

2010 HPR
Street Address

907-738-2863
Contact Phone

Sitka AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Roberta Martin
Signature

2/29/15
Date

Roberta Martin
Printed Name

431 Andrews St. # A
Street Address

907-623-7214
Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Everett Johnson
Signature

1-29-15
Date

Everett Johnson
Printed Name

Gen. Del.
Street Address

623-7316
Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Macaria M Wallace
Signature

January 29th 2015
Date

Macaria M. Wallace
Printed Name

[redacted]
Street Address

623-7316
Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Robert Nielsen
Signature

Robert Nielsen
Printed Name

907-738-1634
Contact Phone

01/29/15
Date

805 HPR #5B
Street Address

Sitka, Alaska 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Signature

1/29/15
Date

Geff Diehl
Printed Name

109 B. Andrew Hope
Street Address

(907) 738-7400
Contact Phone

Sitka AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Mike Littlefield
Signature

1-29-15
Date

Mike Littlefield
Printed Name

112 Lance Dr
Street Address

(907) 738 2953
Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Gene M Bartolaba
Signature

1-29-2015
Date

GENE M. BARTOLABA
Printed Name

443 VERSTOVIA
Street Address

907-752-0095
Contact Phone

SITKA, ALASKA
City, State and Zip

Dear Chairman Johnstone and the Alaska Board of Fisheries,

As a stakeholder in the marine ecosystem and the health of the local economy, I firmly support these important proposals regarding herring management in Southeast Alaska:

Proposals 114 and 115—I support this region-wide proposal to require herring stocks to be above their minimum stock biomass for five consecutive years before a sac-roe fishery (seine or gillnet) can occur. Removing fishing pressure for an extended period of time will allow these stocks a greater opportunity to build back to historic levels. The closures of all sac-roe fisheries except Sitka Sound this year shows that depleted stocks need more time to recover.

Proposal 118—I support this proposal to only harvest 50% of the Guideline Harvest Level over 25% of the anticipated nautical miles of spawn before a temporary commercial closure. This proposal would slow down the rate of commercial harvest, allowing greater opportunity for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 121—I support this proposal to increase the size of the subsistence only zone in Sitka Sound. The closure has helped subsistence harvesters to meet some of their needs, but more area is necessary for subsistence harvesters to continuously meet their needs.

Proposal 125—I support this proposal to reduce the harvest rate to 10% and to place a cap on the commercial sac-roe harvest at 10,000 tons. This will increase the economic value of the roe harvested and leave more herring in the water to support the ecosystem and subsistence harvesters.

Despite these excellent proposals to sustain commercial and traditional herring industries, there are several proposals which will remove conservation measures put in place by the Board of Fisheries. These proposals will crash the Sitka Sound herring populations, ruining both commercial and subsistence livelihoods. I do not support the following proposals:

Proposals 116 and 117—I *do not support* this proposal which would reduce the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS). The results of ADF&G Division of Subsistence herring harvester survey shows that the ANS is achievable, and that there is a high frequency of needs not being met.

Proposals 119 and 120—I *do not support* this proposal to close the subsistence only area in Sitka Sound. This proposal would remove existing protections that make it possible for subsistence harvesters to meet their needs.

Proposal 122—I *do not support* this proposal to reduce the biomass threshold for the Sitka stock from 25,000 tons to 20,000 tons. This proposal would remove existing conservation measures enacted by the Board of Fisheries and will be detrimental to the health of the marine ecosystem.

I hope you can take my comments into account for the upcoming Board of Fisheries meeting in Sitka February 23-March 3.

Dale Lindstrom
Signature

1/29/15
Date

Dale Lindstrom
Printed Name

109 Metlakatla St.
Street Address

907-752-7778
Contact Phone

Sitka, AK 99835
City, State and Zip