Comments Cited in Committee but <u>not</u> listed in <u>Committee A Report</u>---South AK Peninsula Salmon

Proposal 177

Public Comments

1. Chignik cited that the proposal would, by default, mimic the failed Federal salmon management policy of the 1950's which ultimately caused the collapse and low production of too many runs statewide.

Proposal 178

Public Comments

- 1. While the proposal differs from 177 to wherein Proposal 178 only applies to September and October and allows for subsistence, the comments in opposition to Proposal 177 apply to Proposal 178. At the onset of the discussion of Proposal 178 this was noted by the Committee Chair that they are applicable to Proposal 178. Therefore those should be listed. Further, the opposition reiterated in the proposal 178 discussion that:
 - a. The proposal not only lacks any stock accountability but if adopted would mimic the failed salmon management policy under effect by the Federal government prior to Statehood which effectively caused major stock declines Statewide.
 - b. Likewise as cited under Proposal 177, the Chignik representatives distinctly reiterated Perryville subsistence issues, and the need for the fall Area M fishery to be managed on local stock abundance, not on an open fishery schedule.

Submitted by: Chignik Regional Aquaculture and Concerned Chignik Salmon Fishers (Chuck McCallum, Bruce Barrett, Don Bumpus)