Proposal 109 cost benefit analysis for sockeye and chum Average (2008-2012) June Fishery

į	Soc	ckeye	Chum	
	7-Jun	7,268	4,482	
	8-Jun	18,171	9,055	
	12-Jun	41,298	20,303	
Total Loss to June Fishery		66,737	33,840	
The actual cost in sockove to the lune fishery is	four times highe	r than Vince	snoke of	

The actual cost in sockeye to the June fishery is four times higher than Vince spoke of.

Calculating Benefit to Norton Sound

Chums Passed			33840
Average Chum catch in June			439009
Percent of the June Chum catch saved (Chum Passed divided by Average Chum catch in June)		0.077	
WASSIP CWAK Harvest Rates			
	2006	2.1%	
	2007	3.6%	
	2008	6.9%	
June fishery average CWAK harvest rate		4.2%	

Therefore $(0.077 \times 0.042) = 0.0032$ or 0.32%

June fishery harvest rate for CWAK June 7, 8, 12

Low Estimate High Estimate

Range in the Norton Sound Run Size 250,000 to 500,000

250,000 X 0.32% = 808 Chums 500,000 X 0.32% = 1617 Chums

50% to Shaktoolik Unalakleet 404 808
10 % to each of five Northern Norton Sound systems 81 162
Is this significant?

SUBMITTED BY CAMF SOURCE ADFG