



My name is Jerome McArthur, I live in Anchorage and set net the Kvijack district.

I have provided PC44 to the Board and wish to amend those written comments as well as speak to the Permit Stacking Study presented in December and again at this meeting.

I first speak to the Permit Stack Study; In reviewing the report again after presentation at this meeting and doing some comparisons to the "CFEC Salmon Set Gillnet Permits and DNR Leases" as well as RC68 presented in December. I find that serious conflict of written facts present themselves.

Each report and RC68 provide a totally different count of permits and the number of stacked permits just in year 2011 as well as the number of latent permits. Percentages expressed differ from 6 to 15% when discussing the number of permits stacked.

Additionally, The CFEC Salmon Set Gillnet Permit & DNR Leases report clearly states that operators with DNR Leases produce an income of 25% more than operators without a DNR Lease, something the Permit Stack study failed to model. This Board asked the presenter for information after presentation and the answers were at best ambiguous deflective. When asked directly if other factors may have driven the increase in permit value the answer did not contain the stated facts of the CFEC Salmon Set Gillnet Permit & DNR Leases report, even though they were both authored by the presenter.

The Stacked Permit Study was relied on heavily as was RC68 when the decision to revoke the sunset clause was rejected in December. It is obvious from comparison of the two study's that other major factors are contributors to the permit value increase.

It is also noted that in RC68 it was stated that 981 permits were issued with 895 operated with gear. That to me indicates a reduction of gear and helps meet management goals.

Therefore; I respectfully amend my written comments and fully support adoption and passage of proposal 250 without the reservations I originally wrote.