# TERROR LAKE HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT FINAL REPORT ON MOUNTAION GOAT STUDIES

Roger B. Smith and Lawrence J. Van Daele ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME Game Division 211 Mission Road Kodiak, Alaska 99615

> Submitted to the Alaska Power Authority 1987

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Mountain goats on northern Kodiak Island, Alaska were aerially surveyed three times per year from 1982 to 1986 to delineate winter, post-kidding, and summer ranges and to investigate the effects of the construction and operation of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project on the goat population. Relatively low elevations in northern Ugak drainages constituted the most important goat winter range in the area. Many goats remained in Ugak drainages during late spring and early summer, but Kizhuyak and Terror/Uganik drainages became increasingly important in the post-kidding distribution. Goat distribution during summer was similar to that observed during the post-kidding period. The minimum goat population in the study area ranged from 203 to 257 during the study period with densities up to 1 gost/1.2 km<sup>2</sup> in the alpine areas of northwestern Ugak/Kizhuyak drainages. Two subpopulations of goats were identified: northwestern Ugak/Kizhuyak and Terror/Uganik. The northwestern Ugak/Kizhuyak subpopulation appears to have increased from 1974 to 1982, stabilized from 1982 to 1985, and declined from 1985 to 1986. Similar data were not available for the Terror/Uganik subpopulation. Productivity averaged 29 kids: 100 adults (22% kids) with a peak in 1983 of 28% kids and a steady decline to 17% kids in 1986. Annual sport harvest averaged 26.8 goats in the study area. Liberalized regulations resulted in increased harvests in 1984-86. Goats were observed in close proximity to project activities throughout construction and post-construction periods. Parturition occurred near intense project activity in the Falls Creek area throughout the study. Some short term displacement was noted, but no long-term effects on the goat population are suspected. The project had relatively few adverse impacts on the goat population because it was constructed on spring/summer range and it permanently altered only small amounts goat habitat.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

R

ĥ

ſ

																					J	Page
SUMMARY OF FINDI	NGS	•	•					. ,				•	•		•	,						1
TABLE OF CONTENT	S		•		·	,			•	•		•	•						•	•		2
LIST OF TABLES	•		•		•			· •					•	•			•		•		•	3
LIST OF FIGURES			•	•••			•				•	•	•		•	•		•				4
INTRODUCTION	× •		•	• •					٠	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•			5
STUDY AREA				• •			•				•	•	•	•	•				•			5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	•		•				•	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•			•					6
METHODS			•			•	•	•••		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•		•	7
RESULTS Distributio Winter Post-k	n Dis iddi:	tríl	out Dis	ion tri	but		n	• • • • • •		• • •	• • •	• •	• • •	•	•	• • •	• •	•	• • •	• • •	• •	7 7 7 7
Summer Population Popula Produc Mortal Project Imp	Dis tion tivi ity	Si2	ze	ion • • •		• • • •	•	• • • • • •	• • • •	• • •	• • • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• • •		• • •		8 8 9 9
DISCUSSION			•		•	•		•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	10
LITERATURE CITED	•				•				•													13
APPENDICES		•••					•					•					•				. 2	29
Appendix I - Mou	ntai	n go	bat	su	rve	ay	dat	ta	- :	198	6					•				•	. 2	29
Table A.WinterTable B.Post-kTable C.SummerFigure A.WinteFigure B.Post-k	dis iddi dis r di:	trik trik str:	out iis but ibu	ion tri ion tio	, ] but , ] n,	15 :io 19 15	Fel n, and Fo	oru 10 1 2 2 br	ary ar 1 / uar	y 1 nd Aug	98 16 15	6 J t 86	19 19	86	19	86	•	•	• • •	• • •		29 30 32 33
Figure C. Summe	r di:	ing stri	uı ibu	str tio	101 n,	19	an ar	, 1 nd	21	Au	i I Igu	.o Ist	: 1	.98	6	.90		•	•	•	•••	35
Appendix II - In	cide	nta]	lo	bse	EVE	ati	ons	s o	fc	BOU	int	ta:	n	go	at	s						36

-

# LIST OF TABLES

Ì.

		Page
Table 1.	Summary of aerial surveys of mountain goat winter distribution in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982- 1986.	15
Table 2.	Aspect use by mountain goats observed during aerial surveys of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.	16
Table 3.	Summary of aerial surveys of mountain goat post- kidding productivity and distribution in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.	17
Table 4.	Summary of aerial surveys of mountain goat summer distribution in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982- 1986.	18
Table 5.	Mountain goats observed in northwestern Ugak and Kizhuyak Bay drainages, Kodiak Island, Alaska, during summer aerial surveys, 1974-1986	. 19
Table 6.	Mountain goats harvested in each major drainage of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.	20
Table 7.	Mountain goats observed in the primary impact area of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.	. 21

# LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
Figure	1.	Location of Terror Lake hydroelectric project mountain goat study area, Alaska.	22
Figure	2.	Terror Lake hydroelectric project mountain goat study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska.	. 23
Figure	3.	Winter distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.	. 24
Figure	4.	Post-kidding distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986	. 25
Figure	5.	Summer distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.	. 26
Figure	6.	Mountain goat observations in northwestern Ugak and Kizhuyak drainages during summer surveys, 1974-1986.	. 27
Figure	7.	Mountain goat kid production observed in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area during post-kidding surveys, 1982-1986.	. 28

#### INTRODUCTION

The Kodiak Electric Association (KEA) proposed construction of a hydroelectric facility on northern Kodiak Island, Alaska in 1965. The facility was to consist of a dam on Terror Lake in the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, a 10 km tunnel through a mountain ridge to a penstock and powerhouse in the Kizhuyak River drainage. A 27 km powerline was to connect the powerhouse with the city of Kodiak. Serious consideration of the project began in 1977. In 1979 and 1980, the KEA contracted the Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center to provide information on mountain goats (*Oreannos americanus*) in the proposed project area and estimate potential impacts of the project on this population (Hickock and Wilson 1979, Spencer and Hensel 1980). Construction of the project commenced in 1982. An additional 21 km powerline to the village of Port Lions was designed and constructed in 1983.

Studies to monitor the impacts of construction and operation of the hydroelectric project on wildlife were required by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as a condition for licensing. The requirement was intended to partially mitigate for habitat lost due to project construction. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) was contracted by KEA and the Alaska Power Authority (APA) to conduct the study on mountain goats. Spencer and Hensel (1980) predicted that the project would displace goats and deter—expansion of the population, so this study was designed to delineate movement patterns and seasonal ranges and document any changes which may have been caused by project activities. The study began in 1982 and continued through the project's construction phase (1982-1984) and for two years into the operational phase (1985-1986). This report summarizes the results of that study.

#### STUDY AREA

The study area is located on the northern portion of Kodiak Island, Alaska (Figure 1). It includes 1,,461 km<sup>2</sup> of the Kizhuyak, Terror, Uganik, and northwestern Ugak Bay drainages (Figure 2). Steep-walled glaciated valleys are topped by granite peaks to 1,343 m. The maritime climate of the area is influenced by the Japanese current and is characterized by frequent fog, rain, and wind. Temperatures are mild throughout the year and annual precipitation exceeds 180 cm.

Vegetation in the study area is primarily a mosaic of grass, forbs, and brush from sea level to about 450 m. Sedges, (Carex spp.) bluestem grass (Calamagrostis canadensis), willow (Salix spp.), and cottonwood (Populus balsamifera) are the dominant species in valley bottoms and lower elevations. Hillsides support dense stands of alder (Alnus crispa sinuata) and red elderberry (Sambucus racemosa) underlain with lady fern (Athyriaceae) and interspersed with meadows of bluejoint grass, fireweed (Epilobium angustifolium), and salmonberry (Rubus spectabilis). Alpine vegetation above 450 m varies from dwarf birch (Betula spp.) and willow stands in lower areas to mountain slopes covered with sedges (primarily C. macrocheata), crowberry (Empetrum nigrum), low-bush cranberry (Vaccinium vitis-idaea), and various forbs including lupine (Lupinus nootkatensis), geranium (Geranium erianthum), paintbrush (Castilleja unalaschensis), and saxifrages (Saxifraga spp.). Cliff, ridgetops, and actively glaciated sites are sparsely populated with vegetation similar to that found on alpine mountainsides.

Mountain goats, brown bears (Ursus arctos middendorfi), and Sitka black-tail deer (Odocoileus hemionus sitkensis) are the only large mammals in the study area. Goats were originally introduced onto Kodiak Island in 1952 at Hidden Basin, in the southern part of the study area. Additional transplants were made in 1953 (Burris and McKnight 1973) and the population has continued to increase. At present, there are an estimated 400 goats on the island, approximately 300 of which occupy the study area.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to B. Ballenger, V. Barnes, L. Metz, and D. Zwiefelhofer for their assistance with aerial surveys and to H. Hosking for his numerous reports of goat observations near project activities. Helicopter pilots S. Carvalho, W. Edgars, M. Machulsky, T. Miller, T. Walker, D. Wassick, and D. Wilson and fixed-wing pilots D. Henley, J. Miller, B. Patterson, H. Terry, and R. Wright all provided safe and efficient aircraft operations for aerial surveys. Karl Schneider secured approval for the project and contributed his editorial and supervisory skills. Susan Lawler and Susan Malutin typed several drafts of this report.

#### METHODS

Goat distribution data were collected during three aerial surveys per year for a five-year period (1982-1986). During each survey, attempts were made to census all goat habitat in the study area in a single day. When weather prevented this, prominent river valleys were used as break points between days. Data collected included group size and composition, time of day, slope, aspect, elevation, and vegetation on the site. All observations were plotted on U. S. Geological Survey 1:63,360 scale topographic maps.

Winter distribution surveys were flown in February or March with a Bell-206 Jet Ranger helicopter. Post-kidding surveys were flown with a helicopter in June. These surveys were directed at delineating wintering and kidding areas. Post-kidding surveys also provided parturition data.

Summer surveys were flown in August with a Piper Super Cub or Bellanca Scout fixed-winged aircraft. These surveys were comparable to those used since 1970 by ADF&G for goat survey and inventory data collection on Kodiak. Population trend as well as summer distribution data were obtained.

Incidental goat observations were recorded by biologists during weekly brown bear radio-tracking flights (Smith and Van Daele 1987) and by Terror Lake hydroelectric project personnel. These data were not rigorously analyzed, yet they provided insight into goat movements near project features, distribution, and movements between survey flights and some aspects of natural mortality. Harvest data were collected by interviewing hunters and analyzing horns from harvested goats. All hunting in the study area was by permit and successful hunters were required to bring horns into the Kodiak ADF&G office for sex and age determination.

Merged With

20 10n

TAN SUN

ALASKA STR.EIS.

U.S. LEFTNOF ALASKA

Impacts of project activities on goats were investigated by analyzing all goat observations from the 184.7 km<sup>2</sup> "primary impact area" (Spencer and Hensel 1980). Movements data from this area were obtained from annual winter, post-kidding, and summer survey flights. Goat behavior and parturition near project activities were described by incidental observations by biologists and project personnel.

#### RESULTS

#### Distribution

۰.

#### Winter Distribution

Relatively low elevations in northwestern Ugak Bay drainages contained the most important goat winter range in the study area (Figure 3). For the entire study period, 82% of the goats observed during winter surveys were in northwestern Ugak drainages with a mean elevation of 404 m (n = 490; range = 30-762 m) (Table 1). Kizhuyak and Terror/ Uganik drainages each had 9% of the observations with mean elevations of 425 m (n = 54; range = 224-731 m) and 757 m (n = 53; range = 183-1,067 m), respectively. Overall, the mean elevation was 434 m (n = 597; range = 30-1067 m). Southerly and easterly facing slopes were the most common aspects used by goats during the winter surveys (Table 2).

Observability of goats was low during winter surveys due to the habitat occupied and snow conditions. Many goats were seen within and just above the brushline yet others in the brush were undoubtedly missed. Marginal weather conditions and inexperienced survey pilots also added to variability in the data. Coverage of northwestern Ugak and Kizhuyak drainages was comparable on all surveys, but surveys of the Terror/Uganik drainage were not as consistent. Data from individual surveys are contained in the following reports: 1982 data-Smith and Van Daele 1984; 1983 data-Smith et al. 1985; 1984 data-Smith and Van Daele 1986a; 1985 data-Smith and Van Daele 1986b; 1986 data-Appendix I of this report.

#### Post-kidding Distribution

Many goats remained in Ugak drainages during late spring and early summer (66% of the study period total), but Kizhuyak and Terror/Uganik drainages became increasingly important in the post-kidding distribution (20% and 14%, respectively) (Table 3, Figure 4). Goats were also observed at higher elevations than during winter surveys throughout the study area ( $\bar{x} = 692$  m; n = 1,039; range = 305-1,189 m). That pattern was consistent for major drainages as follows: Ugak drainages-638 m (n = 684; range = 305-945 m); Kizhuyak drainages-741 m (n = 205; range = 411-1,097 m); Terror/Uganik drainages-877 m (n = 147; range = 564-1,189 m). Southerly and easterly slopes were the most commonly used aspects by goats during the post-kidding surveys (Table 2).

#### Summer Distribution

Goat distribution during the late August surveys was similar to that observed during the post-kidding surveys, but goats were seen at higher elevations. Northwestern Ugak drainages still provided habitat for most goats in the study area (68%) (Table 4). Most of the goats observed were near ridgelines, in alpine cirques, or in passes at the head of major drainages (Figure 5). Similar patterns of use were noted in both the Kizhuyak and Terror/Uganik drainages which had 18% and 14%, respectively, of the goats observed in the study area. Goats throughout the area were noted at higher elevations than during the winter or post-kidding surveys ( $\bar{x} = 8161$  m; n = 1,146; range = 427-1,220 m). Mean elevations were also higher in every major drainage: Ugak-833 m (n = 785; range = 427-1,128 m); Kizhuyak-868 m (n = 209; range = 610-1,158 m); Terror/Uganik-999 m (n = 152; range = 640-1,220 m).

Goats were observed on all aspects during the summer surveys (Table 2). Use of ridgelines and passes resulted in more even distribution of aspects used and no apparent selectivity for any aspect.

#### Population

#### Population Size

Data from summer aerial surveys indicate that the minimum goat population in the study area ranged from 203 to 257 animals during the study period (Table 4). Minimum goat density in the areas surveyed ranged from 1 goat per  $4.67 \text{ km}^2$  to 1 goat per  $3.69 \text{ km}^2$ . Alpine habitat in the study area (elevations greater than 450 m) had minimum goat densities ranging from 1 goat per  $2.55 \text{ km}^2$  to 1 goat per  $2.02 \text{ km}^2$ . Minimum densities for Northwestern Ugak/Kizhuyak drainages averaged 1 goat per  $3.43 \text{ km}^2$  (1 goat per  $1.79 \text{ km}^2$  of alpine habitat) and for Terror/Uganik drainages 1 goat per  $7.0 \text{ km}^2$  (1 goat per  $4.79 \text{ km}^2$  of alpine habitat).

Highest densities occurred in drainages immediately adjacent to the original transplant site, yet small groups have pioneered suitable habitat islandwide. During the study period, goats were reported as far north as Sharatin Mountain, as far east as Barometer Mountain, as far south as Jap Bay, and as far west as Karluk Lake. An islandwide aerial survey in the summer of 1985 indicated a minimum population of 360 goats.

Goats in the northwestern Ugak and Kizhuyak Bay drainages have established definable annual use patterns which include a great deal of interchange between the two drainages. Summer survey data from 1974-1986 demonstrate the movement between drainages as annual increases in one drainage coincide with decreases in the other drainage (Figure 6, Table 5). The goat population in this area steadily increased from 1974 to 1982. Between 1982 and 1985, the minimum population level stabilized at around 210 goats. In 1986, summer surveys suggested the population experienced a 22% decline from 1985 levels (164 goats in 1986 versus 211 goats in 1985).

This decline may have been an artifact of the method of data collection, however, comparisons of winter and post-kidding surveys in 1985 and 1986 suggest a real decline. Comparisons of post-kidding surveys indicated a 21% decline (139 goats in 1986 versus 178 goats in 1985) and winter surveys indicated a 22% decline (107 goats in 1986 versus 138 goats in 1985).

Approximately 40-50 goats occupied the Terror/Uganik Bay drainage portions of the study area from 1982 to 1986. Goats in these drainages appeared to follow a movement pattern similar to that seen in northwestern Ugak/Kizhuyak drainages, however, much of their range was outside the areas surveyed both during the project and during historic management surveys (1974-1981). Consequently, detailed analysis of population trends in the Terror/Uganik drainages are not possible.

#### Productivity

•

A minimum of .232 kids was produced in the study area from 1982-1986  $(\bar{x} = 46.4/\text{year}; \text{ range} = 27-65)$  (Table 3). The percentage of kids in the population during post-kidding surveys averaged 22% (range = 17-28%) with an average ratio of 29 kids:100 adults (range = 20:100-38:100). In the five-year period, production in the study area peaked in 1983 at 28% kids and declined steadily to 17% in 1986 (Figure 7). Weather data were not available for the study area, but productivity showed no correlation with neither mean temperatures nor total precipitation recorded in Kodiak city during each spring.

Northwestern Ugak drainages had the greatest mean annual production of kids throughout the study ( $\mathbf{x} = 30.2$ ; range = 13-48; n = 151), followed by Kizhuyak ( $\mathbf{x} = 10.0$ ; range = 6-14; n = 50) and Terror/Uganik drainages ( $\mathbf{x} = 6$ ; range 3-11; n = 30). Most of the decline in overall kid production was a reflection of productivity in the northwestern Ugak drainages. Kizhuyak and Terror/Uganik drainages did not experience similar declines (Figure 7).

#### Mortality

Hunters reported harvesting 134 goats from the study area between 1982 and 1986 ( $\mathbf{X} \neq 26.8$ /year; range = 14-43 goats) (Table 6). Over half of the goats harvested were males (55%) and the mean age of all goats harvested was 3.5 years (range = 1-13 years). Most of the goats killed in the study area were taken in northwestern Ugak drainages (63%; n = 85). Twenty-eight percent (n = 37) were reported from Terror/Uganik drainages and 7% (n = 10) from Kizhuyak drainages.

Permits were required to hunt within the area and season dates were between 1 September and 31 October. Liberalization of regulations in 1984 increased the number of hunters afield and expanded legal hunt areas. A threefold increase in harvest (43 goats in 1984 versus 15 goats in 1983 and 14 in 1982) prompted a readjustment in regulations in 1985. In both 1985 and 1986, harvest in the study area was 31 goats. Little information on natural gost mortality was obtained during this investigation. Comparison of the number and composition of gosts observed during winter, post-kidding, and summer surveys in a given year were not analyzed because of the variability noted between these surveys. Two instances of brown bears attempting to prey on gosts were observed in the study area. In one case, a project employee observed and photographed a bear charging a small band of gosts near Falls Creek in the Kizhuyak drainage. One kid fell from the cliff as a result of the charge (Smith and Van Daele 1986a). The other case occurred in the same area on 23 June 1986. Incidental to a brown bear research flight, an ADF&G biologist observed an adult female bear make several charges at a group of gosts. No gosts were killed during the observation.

#### Project Impacts

Mountain goats were observed in close proximity to project activities throughout construction and post-construction periods. Ninety-one incidental goat observations were recorded by biologists and project personnel (Appendix II). Most of these observations (62%) were of goats frequenting the Falls Creek area in the Kizhuyak drainage. Up to 19 goats used that area in spite of road and dam construction and associated high levels of air and road traffic. Project employees reported that at least five kids were born near road and dam construction in 1983. Newborn kids were observed in the Falls Creek vicinity during post-kidding surveys during each year of this study (1982-3 kids, 1983-7 kids, 1984-5 kids, 1985-2 kids, 1986-4 kids). Goats were also observed walking along and across the access road. Goats were seen to use this area during all seasons, but observations were infrequent during winter months.

No goats were observed near the Terror Lake dam or reservoir during construction or post-construction periods. Goats were occasionally seen on ridges west of the lake. One set of goat tracks was noted on the dam in the spring of 1986.

Goats were observed within the primary impact area as delineated by Spencer and Hensel (1980) throughout this study with most use occurring during the spring and summer (Table 7). The greatest number of goats observed in the primary impact area was 73 (48 in Kizhuyak and 25 in Terror) during the 1984 post-kidding survey and the least seen was 0 during the 1982 and 1985 winter surveys. Survey data from the primary impact area did not indicate any changes in goat distribution which could be directly attributed to project activities.

Goat hunters used the project road, Shotgun Creek reservoir and the upper end of the Terror Lake reservoir for access to hunt areas. Although Terror Lake has been used as an access point since goat hunting started in 1968, project features allowed easier penetration into more remote goat habitat north of Mount Glottof and along the western ridge of upper Kizhuyak River.

#### DISCUSSION

During the 30 years since 17 mountain goats (7 males and 10 females) were introduced in the Ugak Bay area, goats have pioneered suitable habitat 'islandwide and have established annual use patterns on some parts of Kodiak Island. Within the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, two subpopulations appear to have become established; one group that ranges in the northwestern Ugak and Kizhuyak Bay drainages and another which uses the Terror and Uganik River drainages. These subpopulations are not discrete, but there appears to be little interchange between them and each exhibited unique annual movement and habitat use patterns.

In the northwestern Ugak and Kizhuyak drainages, goat movements are largely influenced by snow cover. During the winter, south-facing exposures and lower elevations are frequently used. Most goats were observed at the lower limit of the snow cover and on snow-free cliffs. Hjeljord (1973) stated that lady fern rhizomes and petioles were the main winter food source in the lower elevations and bunch grass and sedges were the principal food on the cliffs. Goats move into higher elevation as spring progresses and they do not hesitate to cross extensive snowfields to graze on developing vegetation in other drainages. Northwestern Ugak drainages still contained most goats in the study area during the spring, but Kizhuyak drainages received increased use at that time. Parturition occurred from mid-May to mid-June. Most nannies and newborn kids were seen on cliff faces or large rock outcrops, but no specific natal areas or habitats were identified in this study. Summer range was higher than spring range with more extensive use of alpine cirques, ridgetops, and interdrainage passes. On summer ranges, forbs, especially flowering forbs such as lupine, are the preferred food (Hjeljord 1973).

Goats in the Terror/Uganik drainages appeared to have annual habitat use patterns similar to their counterparts in northwestern Ugak/ Kizhuyak drainages. Although these goats ranged out of the study area for most of the year, it appeared that lower elevations near both Terror and upper Uganik rivers provided winter habitat. Ridges on either side of Goat Creek, west of Terror Lake, were the most frequently used areas during the spring and summer.

Goat densities in the northwestern Ugak/Kizhuyak drainages increased substantially from 1974 to 1982. This trend was probably a continuation of the expansion of the population since introduction. In 1982, gost densities stabilized and remained around 1  $gost/4.5 \text{ km}^2$ until 1986. Although the overall density in these drainages is lower than the 1 goat/0.4 km<sup>2</sup> noted by Smith and Bovee (1984) in southeastern Alaska, goats occupied the 243 km<sup>2</sup> alpine area at densities up to 1 goat/1.2 km<sup>2</sup>. All three aerial surveys in 1986 suggested at least a 21% decline in the number of goats in northwestern Ugak/ Kizhuyak drainages from the previous year. If this decline is real, and not an artifact of data collection methods, it may be due to increased harvest, declining range quality or a combination of both Liberalized hunting seasons resulted in a substantial factors. increase in harvest in 1984-86. During the same period, kid production declined each year.

The stabilization and possible decline in goat density in northwestern Ugak/Kizhuyak drainages corresponds with Terror Lake hydroelectric project construction and operation. However, there are no data to suggest a correlation between these events. On the contrary, observations by project employees and biologists confirmed goat use, including parturition, in areas very close to project activities and features throughout the study period. Analysis of goat movements in the primary impact area described by Spencer and Hensel (1980) did not indicate any obvious changes in goat use patterns during the study period. Research methods were not sensitive enough to detect shortterm movements or behavioral modifications of small numbers of goats that may have been caused by project activities. Chadwick (1973) reported that goats in Idaho appeared to move out of areas which received frequent helicopter use. Survey data suggested movements away from Terror Lake during dam construction in 1983 and some shortterm displacement of goats along major flight paths between the project and Kodiak city may have occurred. However, goats in Falls Creek pass, an area which had very frequent helicopter traffic, became somewhat acclimated to the activity. Such variability in goat responses suggests that no definable "impact area" can be established.

No direct goat mortality attributable to project features or activities were noted during this study. The area inundated by Terror reservoir is not frequented by goats although it may have been infrequently used for movements across the valley. Terror Lake has provided access for goat hunters since the inception of goat hunting in 1968. The project enhanced access into hunting areas by providing road access, from Kizhuyak Bay across Falls Creek pass to Terror Lake, although motorized vehicle traffic is prohibited. Floatplane access was also improved with creation of the Shotgun Creek reservoir and expansion of Terror Lake. Hunters used the access points frequently during the 1984-86 seasons.

Smith (1986) noted that goat populations in southwestern Alaska that are in balance with other mortality factors can be rapidly depleted when human access is facilitated. However, in the Terror Lake project area all goat hunting is currently regulated by a limited number of permits, and any effects of improved access and increasing hunter success can be compensated for by altering permit availability.

Spencer and Hensel (1980) identified five potential adverse impacts on mountain goats associated with construction and operation of this hydroelectric project: 1) westward movement away from Terror Lake during construction; 2) displacement of goats utilizing Kizhuyak summer ranges into northwestern Ugak drainages and possible overpopulation of northwestern Ugak ranges during construction; 3) deterring the northward expansion of the goat population across the Kizhuyak-Terror divide; 4) permanent exclusion of goats from the power plant vicinity; and 5) temporary displacement of goats away from powerline construction. An apparent movement away from Terror Lake was noted during summer surveys in 1983, the year of peak construction activity in that vicinity (Smith et al. 1985). A similar movement out of Kizhuyak drainages was observed in the summer of 1983, however, this movement was suspected to be due to random interdrainage movements and not project related (Smith et al. 1985). No evidence of project related displacements of goats into northwestern Ugak drainages was detected during this study. Goats did not expand into potential range north of the Kizhuyak-Terror divide during the project, but goats were occasionally observed north of the divide and frequent goat crossings of project'reads suggest that the project did not present a barrier to northward expansion of the population. Goats were also observed above the powerhouse during both construction and operation. No goats were observed in the vicinity of the powerline during this study, hence no evaluation of temporary displacement away from powerline construction could be made.

The Terror Lake hydroelectric project had relatively few impacts on the mountain goat population because it was constructed in spring/ summer range and it permanently altered only small amounts of goat habitat. Historically, only small bands frequented the construction areas. Had construction occurred in northwestern Ugak drainages where densities are much higher and year-round goat use is common, adverse impacts, undoubtedly, would have been greater. Winter ranges are especially critical. Construction activities causing permanent alteration or temporary displacement away from these ranges would have been detrimental to the population due to range overutilization, increased winter stress, and increased direct mortality associated with falls from icy cliffs.

#### LITERATURE CITED

۰,

- Burris, O. E. and D. E. McKnight. 1973. Game Transplants in Alaska. Game Technical Bulletin No. 4. Fed. Aid. Wildl. Res. Proj. W-17-R. Alaska Dept. Fish and Game. Juneau. 57pp.
- Chadwick, D. H. 1973. Mountain goat ecology-logging relationships in Bunker Creek drainage of western Montana. Fed. Aid. Wildl. Rest. Rep. Proj. W-120-R-3.4. Montana Fish and Game Dept.
- Hickcock, D. M. and W. J. Wilson. 1979. An assessment of environmental effects of construction of the Terror Lake hydroelectric facility, Kodiak Island, Alaska. Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center, University of Alaska, Anchorage, AK. Report for Kodiak Electric Association. 334pp.
- Hjeljord, O. 1973. Mountain goat forage and habitat preference in Alaska. J. Wildl. Manage. 37(3):353-362.
- Smith, C. A. 1986. Rates and causes of mortality in mountain goats in southeastern Alaska. J. Wildl. Manage. 50(4):743-746.
  - and K. T. Bovee. 1984. A mark-recapture census and density estimate for a coastal mountain goat population <u>IN</u> M. Hoefs (ed.). Biennial Symposium N. Amer. Sheep and Goat Council. 4:482-498.
- Smith, R. B. and L. J. Van Daele. 1984. Terror Lake Hydroelectric Project: Report on Mountain Goat Studies - 1982. Alaska Dept. Fish and Game. 22pp.

and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. 1986a. Terror Lake Hydroelectric Project: Report on Mountain Goat Studies - 1984. Alaska Dept. Fish and Game 10pp.

and \_\_\_\_\_\_. 1986b. Terror Lake Hydroelectric Project: Report on Mountain Goat Studies - 1985. Alaska Dept. Fish and Game. 17pp.

and L. A. Metz. 1985. Terror Lake Hydroelectric Project: Report on Mountain Goat Studies - 1983. Alaska Dept. Fish and Game. 16pp.

Spencer, D. L. and R. J. Hensel. 1980. An assessment of environmental effects of construction and operation of the proposed Terror Lake hydroelectric facility, Kodiak, Alaska. Brown Bear Studies and Mountain Goat Studies. Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center, Univ. AK., Anchorage, AK. 100pp.

Table 1. Summary of aerial surveys of mountain goat winter distribution in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.

•

4

	N	orthungt		87	~/	Kizhu	yak Bay		Te	error/Vg	jantk Be	ıy	•	Total	-
Date	Mults	111		Total	Adults	Klds	Total	Total	Mults	Kids	Total	Total	Adults	Kide	Total
27 and 26 Feb 8	2 59	19	78	991	L	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	60	19	79
10 and 11 Mar 8	3 51	19	70	825	9	4	13	15%	l	L	2	28	61	24	85
23 Mar 84	88	34	122	815	10	5	15	101	11	2	13	98	109	41	150
13 and 16 Mar 8	5 101	28	129	931	9	0	9	76	0	0	0	01	110	28	138
15 <b>Fe</b> b		19	91	631	11	_5	<u>16</u>	110	30		<u>38</u>	261	113	32	145
Total	371	119	490	825	40	14	54	91	42	11	53	91	453	144	597

a/ percentage of goats that were observed in each drainage during a given survey.

Table 1 Aspect use by mountain goats observed during aerial surveys of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986 (percentages in parentheses).

	Moun	tain Goat Observati	ons
Aspect	<u>Winter</u>	Post-kidding	Summer
North	15 (2.5 <b>%)</b>	32 (3.1%)	83 (7.2%)
Northeast	23 (3.9%)	124 (12.0%)	107 (9.3%)
East	179 (30.0%)	284 (27.4 <b>%</b> )	239 (20.7%)
Southeast	129 (21.6%)	153 (14.8 <b>%</b> )	91 (7.9 <b>%</b> )
South	78 (12.1%)	325 (31.4%)	194 (16.8%)
Southwest	148 (24.8%)	87 (8.4%)	271 (23.5%)
West	$21(3.5\mathbf{X})$	30 (2.9%)	73 (6.3 <b>%</b> )
Northwest	4 (0.7%)	1 (0.1%)	94 (8.2%)
Northerly, <sup>a</sup>	42 (4.7 <b>%</b> )	157 (11.2%)	284 (16.6%)
Easterly	331 (36.7%)	561 (40.0 <b>%</b> )	437 (25.5 <b>%</b> )
Southerly, C	355 (39.4%)	565 (40.3 <b>%</b> )	556 (32.4 <b>%</b> )
Westerly	173 (19.22)	118 (8.4%)	438 (25.5 <b>%</b> )

- a northerly exposure is the sum of north, northeast, and northwest aspects.
- b easterly exposure is the sum of east, northeast, and southeast aspects.
- c southerly exposure is the sum of south, southeast, and southwest aspects.

d westerly exposure is the sum of west, northwest, and southwest aspects.

		Nor	th Uges				Kizh	uyak Ba	Y			Terro	r/Ugan	lk Bay		<b></b>	*		
Date	Adults	Kids	Kide '	Total	Total =/	Adults	Kiðs	¥ Kids	Total	Total	Mults	Kiða	Kida	Total	Total	Adults	- K100	Kide	" Total
15 and 1 June 82	6 89	21	19	110	624	37	9	20	46	26 <b>\</b>	16	5	245	21	198	142	-35	204	17:
9 June 83	110	48	298	166	718	27	11	291	38	164	24	6	20	30	134	169	65	28%	23(
11 and 1 June 84	2 115	35	234	150	59%	48	14	235	62	25	30	11	27	41	164	193	60	244	253
12 and 1 June 85	9 119	34	228	153	718	19	6	24%	25	128	32	5	145	, 37	175	170	45	218	215
10 and 1 June 86	6 92	13	125	105	66%	24	10	298	34	218	<b>415</b>	3	175	18	115	133	27	171	160
Total	533	151	228	684	661	155	50	245	205	20	117	30	201	147	145	807	232	225	1,039

Table 3. Summary of aerial surveys of mountain goat post-kidding productivity and distribution in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.

 $\underline{a'}$  percentage of goats that were observed in each drainage during a given survey.

Table 4. Summary of aerial surveys of mountain goat summer distribution in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.

State of the second

	N	orthwee	t Ugak	Bay	-1		Ktzh	uyak Bay		T	error/U	gantk Ba	ly l		Tutal	•
Date	Adults	KIG5	Total	Total	<u>a</u> /	Adults	Kids	Total	Total	Adults	Alds	Total	Total	Adults	KIds	Total
12, 18, and Aug 82	92	39	141	538		57	18	75	304	37	6	43	178	186	6.1	249
25 and 28 Aug 83	142	42	184	79		17	9	26	118	· 10	3	23	108	179	4 5	213
27 Aug 84	116	38	154	75%		39	10	49	24%	-	-	-	-	155	48	203
26, 27 Aug and 6 Sept 85	140	36	176	681		25	10	35	145	38	8	46	181	201	54	257
19 and 21 Aug 86	114	26	140	698		_20	4	24	128	33	_7	40	201	167	37	204
Total	604	181	785	681 '		158	51	209	185	128	24	152	14%	890	156	1,146

a/ percentage of goats that were observed in each drainage during a given survey.

100

- 194

-

Table 5. Hountain goats observed in northwestern Ugak and Kizhuyak Bay drainages, Kodiak Island, Alaska, during summer aerial 'surveys, 1974-1986.

-

l

				Goats O	bserved	
Tear	,	Northwest	lgak .	Ki	zhuyak	Total
974		35		•	2	37
1975 a		36			*5	41
1976	· · · .	57			2 .	59
977	` ~	63			26	89
978		- 83			44	127
979 a		119			7	126
980	•	84			57	141
981	,	148			19	167
982		131			75	206
983		184			26	210
984		154			49	203
985		176			35	211
986		140			- 24	164

a no systematic aerial surveys conducted in 1975 and 1979.

		Northwes	t Ugak			Kizh	iyak		UKI	Terror/Ug	anik				Tutal		Mean
Date	Male	Female	Unk	Total	lale	Female	Uak	Total	lale	lenale	Unk	Total	lale	Female	Unk	Total	alige
1982	5	5	0	10	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	7 <sup>a</sup>	6	1	14	4.6 X
1983	9	3	0	12	1	0	0	4	1	1	0	2	11	4	0	15	4.2.4
1984	15	11	2	28	1	0	0	1	11	3	0	14	27	14	2	4.5	5. 5 Y
1985	6	12	0	18	1	2	0	3	4	- 5	0	9	11	20 <sup>D</sup>	U	51	4.0 y
1986	10	7	0	17	3	1	0	4	5	5	0	10	18	13	0	11	1.5 Y
Total	45	8t	2	85	7	3	0	10	21	15	L	37	74	57	e	1 54	1.5

Table 6. Number of mountain goats harvested in each major drainage of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kudiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.

1 KIN 1

a b includes one male killed in either northwest Ugak or Kizhuyak. includes one female killed in an unknown drainage in the study area.

The second

		Water		Po	st-kidding		. 1	Summer	-
	Kizhuyak	Terror	Total	Kizhuyak	Terror	Total	Kizhuyak	Terror	Total
0 a	-	-	-	29	0	29	51	1	52
1 b	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	16
2	0	0	0	31	3	34	40	24	64
3	13	0	13	34	2	36	• 20	10	30
4	15	0	15	48	25	73	42	ND	42
5	0	0	0	16	0	. 16	31	0	31
6	16	0	16	25	3	28	10	3	13

Table 7. Mountain goats observed in the primary impact area of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area at Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1983-1986.

data from Spencer and Hensel (1980). data from ADF&G files, 1981 summer goat distribution survey.



Figure 1. Location of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project mountain goat study area, Alaska.



Figure 2. Terror Lake hydroelectric project mountain goat study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska (150 m contours)(Scale: 1 cm=2.0 km).



d.

Figure 3. Winter distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986 (Scale: 1 cm= 2.4 km).

-



Figure 4. Post-kidding distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986 (Scale: 1 cm=2.4 km).



Figure 5. Summer distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska 1982-1986 (Scale: 1 cm=2.4 km).



· . .

Figure 6. Mountain goat observations in northwestern Ugak and Kizhuyak drainages during summer surveys, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1974-1986.



1

Figure 7. Mountain goat kid production observed in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area during post kidding surveys, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 1982-1986.

,

**;** `

•

# Appendix I. Mountain goat survey data - 1986

-

1

1

Table A. Winter distribution of mountain goats observed during an aerial survey of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak, Alaska on 15 February 1986.

Obser Num	vation ber	Number of adults	Number of kids	Total Number	Aspect	Elevation (meters)	Drainage
	1	2	2 *	4	SE	518	Kizhuyak
	2 *	8	3 •	11	SE	549	Kizhuyak
	3 *	1	0	l.	SE	732	Kizhuyak
	4	1	-0	1 * `	NE	168	Terror
	5	2	· 0	2	SW	168	Terror
	6	6	2	8	S	914	Terror
	7	4	2	6*	SW	914	Uganik
	8	• • 5	. 2	7	SW	1036	Terror
	9	5	1	6	SW	975	Uganik
1 1	0.	5	0	5	SW	1006	Uganik
1	1	. 1	0 .	* 1	E	1067	Terror
1	2	1	1	2	SW	792	Uganik
1	3	1	0	1	S	335	Hidden Basin
1	4	2	1	3	N	152	Hidden Basin
1	5	3	1	4	NW	305	Hidden Basin
1	6	1	. 0	1 .	SE	244	Hidden Basin
1	7	1	1	2	E	564	Hidden Basin
1	8	2	1	3	E	564	Hidden Basin
ī	9	1	0	1	E	579	Hidden Basin
2	0	5 .	1	6	NE	579	Hidden Basin
2	1	4	0	4	SE	427	Hidden Basin
2	2	5	1	6	W	274	Hidden Basin
2	3	1	0	1	S	396	Wild Creek
2	4	4	0	4	SE	427	Wild Creek
2	5	2	0	2	SE	701	Wild Creek
2	6	7	2	9	E	518	Wild Creek
2	7	2	0	2	E	579	Wild Creek
2	8	3	1	4	E	518	Wild Creek
2	9	1	ō	1	E	427	Wild Creek
3	0	2	2	4	E	328	Wild Creek
3	1	3	0	3	E	213	Wild Creek
3	2	1	1	2	W	259	Wild Creek
3	3	1	ō	1	E	244	Wild Creek
3	4	2	0	2	E	274	Wild Creek
3	5	3	1	4	s	366	Wild Creek
2	6	1	2	3	S	366	Wild Creek
2	7	3	1	4	SU	335	Wild Creek
2	8	2	1	3	U	225	Wild Creek
2	9	4	2	6	c	701	Rough Creek
5	0		6		5	762	Rough Creek

Table 3.	Post-Cilling	of Bouncain	goats thserve	during an aer	ial survey it the
	Sate States	avisoelectri:	project stud	area, Kodiak,	Alaska
	.6				-

Observation	Number	Number	Total		Elevation	
Number	of adults	of kids	Number	Aspect	(meters)	Drainage
1	2	1	3	S	701	Sharatin
2	1	0	1	SW	762	Terror
3	1	1	2	E	762	Terror
4	1	0	1	E	762	Terror
5	3	0	3	E	853	Terror
6	1	0	1	E	914	Terror
7	1	0	1	SE	1189	Terror
8	1	1	2	S	945	Terror
9	6	3	9	NE	610	Kizhuvak
10	1	1	2	E	701	Kizhuyak
11	ī	0	1	NE	732	Kizhuyak
12	3	1	4	SW	427	Kizhuvak
13	1	0	1	SE	579	Kizhuyak
14	4	2	6	S	488	Kizhuvak
15	1	1	2	F	488	Kizhuvak
16	7	2	9	E	671	Kizhuvak
17	4	0	4	NE	914	Uganik
19	1	0	1	SW	884	Terror
10	i	1	2	S	792	Leant's
20	3	Ô	3	SW	701	Hidden Sast
20	5	0	5	S	732	Hidden Bast
21	2	1	3	NE	518	Hidden Bast
22	-	1	2	F	640	Hidden Bast
23	1 Z	1	5	F	610	Hidden Basir
24	-	1	2	L	610	Hidden Basis
25	2	,	2	F	671	Widden Basis
20	2	1	2	E	701	Hidden Basis
21	2	0	5	L	570	Hidden Basis
28	1	0	1	CL	610	Hidden Basin
29	2	4	4	SW	610	Hidden basi:
30	5	0	5	E	010	Wild Creek
31	2	0	2	E	457	Wild Creek
32	3	0	3	E	610	wild Creek
33	i	0	1	NE	610	Wild Creek
34	1	0	1	SE	549	wild creek
35	•	0	4	E	488	Wild Creek
36	-	Ũ	2	E	671	Wild Creek
37	8	1	9	NE	610	Wild Creek
38	1	0	1	E	762	Wild Creek
39	3	0	3	E	610	Wild Creek
40	1	0	1	SE	762	Wild Creek
41	3	0	3	E	762	Wild Creek
4.2	10	2	12	E	701	Wild Creek

Table B. '(cont'd).

<u>s</u> 1

Observation Number	servation Number Number of adults		Number Total of kids Number Aspect		Elevation (meters)	Drainage	
43 **	. 1	1	2	E	701	Wild Creek	
- 44	3	0	. 3	E	762	Wild Creek	
45	. 1	0	1	E	579	Wild Creek	
46	1	0	1	E	549	Wild Creek	
47	1 1	0	1	NE	518	Wild Creek	
48	1	0	1	NE	457	Wild Creek	
49	2	0	2	SE	732	Wild Creek	
50	· 2	1 *	3	SE	640	Wild Creek	
51	3	1	4 .	W	579	Wild Creek	
52	~ . 5	θ	5 *	. SE	610	Wild Creek	
53	1	. 0	1	SW	579	Wild Creek	
54	1	0	1	SE	701	Wild Creek	
55	1	1	2	SE	853	Rough Creek	

Observation Number	Number of adults	Number of kids	Total Number	Aspect	Elevation (meters)	Drainage
1	1	0	1	U	732	Kizhuvak
2	2	ĩ	3	E	975	Kizhuvak
3	2	Ô	2	S	975	Wild Creek
4	3	2	5	u	701	Wild Creek
5	3	ĩ	4	5	762	Wild Creek
6	8	1	9	S	762	Wild Creek
7	s	Ô	ŝ	NF	640	Wild Creek
, ,	3	2	ŝ	N	792	Wild Creek
0	3	1	4	N	823	Wild Creek
3	2	0	1	S	50%	Wild Creek
10	2	0	2	N	014	Wild Creek
11	25	6	29	N	702	Wild Creek
12	25	4	29	N	625	Wild Creek
13	1	0	1	S	732	Wild Creek
14	1	1	ŝ	SU	640	Wild Creek
15	7 .	1	9	NU	427	Wild Creek
10	1	0	1	SW	927	Wild Creek
17	1	0	1	SW	952	Wilden Basin
18	1	0	1	3.	702	Hidden Basir
19	1	0	1	F	922	Hidden Basir
20	17	2	10	5	1067	Widden Basis
21	17	2	19	5	1036	Midden Basin
22	2	0	2	5	1036	Hidden Basic
23	4	0	4	5	975	Hidden Basin
24	0	4	10	E	9/3	Hidden Basin
25	1	1	2	N	004	Kiznuyak
26	1	0	1	NE	9/5	Kiznuyak Wilder Brode
27	1	0	1	SE	141	Hidden Basin
28	9	5	14	W	945	Hidden Basin
29	3	2	5	W	823	Hidden Basin
30	5	1	6	S	701	Sharatin
31	4	0	4	N	610	Kizhuyak
32	1	1	2	E	853	Kizhuyak
33	1	0	1	SE	975	Uganik
34	1	0	1	S	1219	Uganik
35	28	7	35	NW	1067	Terror
36	3	0	3	S	975	Terror
37	5	0	5	NE	823	Kizhuyak

1

Table C. Summer distribution of mountain goats observed during an aerial survey of the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak, Alaska on 19 and 21 August 1986.



Ŀ

1

Figure A. Distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelestric project study area, Rodiak Island, Alaska, 15 February 1986 (Scale: 1 cm=2.4 km).



Figure B. Distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 10 and 16 June 1986 (Scale: 1 cm=2.4 km).



Figure C. Distribution of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak Island, Alaska, 19 and 21 August 1986 (Scale: 1 cm=2.4 km).

Observation		Number	Number	Total		Elevation		
Number	Date	of Adults	of Kids	Goats	Aspect	(meters)	Drainage	Observer
1	5/22/82	1	0	1	SE	549	Falls Creek	ADFEG
2	5/22/82	1	0	1	SE	549	Falls Creek	ADF&G
3	5/22/82	2	1	3	S	823	Terror	ADF&G
4	5/22/82	1	0	1	S	671	Terror	ADF&G
5	7/06/82	2	2	4	S	640	Falls Creek	ADF&G
6	7/12/82	3	3	6	N	671	Falls Creek	ADF&C
7	7/20/82	1	1	2	N	671	Falls Creek	ADF&G
8	9/23/82	2	1	3	SE		Falls Creek	FWS
9	9/29/82	3	0	3	S	671	Sharatin	ADF&G
10	11/15/82	3	1	4	E	579	Kizhuyak	ADF&G
11	3/10/83	-	-	6	W	975	Uganik River	FWS
12	4/13/83	2	0	2	E		Terror	Proj
13	4/24/83	1	0	1	S		Falls Creek	Proj
14	4/25/83	1	. 0	1	S	152	Falls Creek	ADF&G
15	4/25/83	2	0	2	SE	305	Falls Creek	ADF&G
16	4/25/83	5	1	6	S	457	Kizhuyak	AbF&G
17	4/27/83	2	0	2	S		Falls Creek	Proj
18	4/30/83	2	1	3	S	183	Terror	ADF&G
19	5/16/83	5	1	6	S		Falls Creek	Proj
20	5/17/83	2	1	3	S		Falls Creek	Proj .
21	5/17/83	4	1	5	S		Falls Creek	FWS
22	5/18/83	2	2	4	S		Falls Creek	ADF6C
23	5/19/83	3	2	5	S		Falls Creek	Proj
24	5/20/83	3	0	3	SE		Kizhuyak	Proj
25	5/20/83	1	0	1	SE	488	Falls Creek	ADF&G
26	5/20/83	1	0	1	E	488	Falls Creek	ADF&G
27	5/20/83	1	0	1	SE	335	Kizhuyak	ADF&G
28	5/21/83	1	2	3	SE		Falls Creek	Proj
29	5/22/83	2	0	2	E		Kizhuyak	FWS
30	5/22/83	1	2	3	SE		Kizhuyak	FWS
31	5/22/83	2	2	4	S		Falls Creek	FWS
32	6/10/83	4	3	7	SE		Falls Creek	Proj
33	6/10/83	5	5	10	S		Falls Creek	Proj
34	6/11/83	5	3	8	S		Falls Creek	Proj
35	6/14/83	1	2	3	S		Falls Creek	FWS

Appendix II. Incidental observations of mountain goats in the Terror Lake hydroelectric project study area, Kodiak, Alaska, 1982-1986.

0 18

×.

Appendix II. (cont'd)

-

Observation		Number	Number	Total		Elevation		
Number	Date	of Adults	of Kids	Goats	Aspect	(meters)	Drainage	Observer
36	6/16/83	6	7	13	SE		Falls Creek	Proj 🛹
37	6/18/83	3	3	6	S		Falls Creek	- FWS
38	6/22/83	4	3	7	S		Falls Creek	PWS
39	6/24/83	2	1	3	2		Falls, Creek	Proj ·
40	6/28/83	-	-	3	SE		Falls Creek	- Proj
41	6/28/83	3	2	5	SE		Falls Creek	FWS
42	6/29/83	4	3	. 7	\$		Falls Creek	FWS
43	7/11/82	4	3	7	S		Falls Creek	FWS
44	7/11/83	3	0	3	E	884	Watchout Cr	ADF&G
45	7/11/83	5	3	8	SE	884	Watchout Cr	ADF&G
46	7/20/83	2	0	2	NE	777 "	Uganik	ADF&C
47	7/20/83	2	0	2	SE	914 *	Uganik	ADF&G
48	7/21/83	1	0	1	N		Terror	FWS
49	8/03/83	2	2	4	S		Falls Creek	Proj
50	8/12/83	8	2	10	S		Falls Creek	Proj
51	8/12/83	-	-	10	S		Falls Creek	Proj
w 52	8/13/83	-	-	10	. S		Falls Creek	Proj
53	8/14/83	8	2	10	S	**.	Falls Creek	Proj
54	8/15/83	2	0	2	SE	1006	Watchout Cr	ADF&G
55	8/15/83	2	1	3	SE	1006	Watchout Cr	ADF&G
56	8/30/83	7	1	8	SW	792	Terror	ADF&C
57	8/30/83	2	0	2	S		Terror	FWS
58	9/03/83	1	2	3	S		Falls Creek	Proj
59	9/08/83	1	2	3	S		Falls Creek	FWS
60	9/10/83	3	2	5	S		Falls Creek	FWS
61	9/14/83	2	0	2	S		Terror	FWS
62	9/17/83	2	0	2	-		Terror	FWS
63	10/12/83	8	5	13	S		Falls Creek	FWS
64	10/13/83	3	2	5	S		Falls Creek	FWS
65	10/13/83	-	· -	19	S		Falls Creek	FWS
66	10/21/83	10	0	1	E	701	Kizhuyak	ADF&G
67	11/23/83	-	-	5	S		Falls Creek	Proj
68	12/2/83	3	0	3	SE	427	Falls Creek	ADF&G
69	12/2/83	6	0	6	NE	244	Kizhuyak	ADF&G
70	12/2/83	1	0	1	E	259	Kizhuyak	ADF&G
71	12/2/83	3	0	3	E	335	Kizhuyak	ADF&G
72	12/2/83	3	0	3	SE	396	Kizhuyak	ADF&G

8

-4-

.

Observation Number	Date	Number of Adults	Number of Kids	Total Goats	Aspect	Elevation (meters)	Drainage	Observer
70	3/10/84	2	0	2	SE	1006	Terror	ADF&G
	5/06/9/	3	0	3	S		Falls Creek	Proj
74	5/00/04	5	_	4	SE		Falls Creek	Proj
75	5/25/84	-	-	11	SW		Falls Creek	
76	6/01/84	-	2	9	SE		Falls Creek	FWS
77	6/05/84	0	L	6	S		Falls Creek	Proj
78	6/11/84		-	7	S		Falls Creek	Prot
79	7/04/84	6	1		3	045	lleanik	FWS
80	8/13/84	3	L	4	а С	<b>J4</b> J	Falle Creek	Prot
81	9/03/84	2	0	2	3		Falle Creek	ADF&G
82	6/15/85	7	0	1	SE	437	Charatin	ADEAC
83	10/4/85	3	1	4	-			ADELC
84	5/28/86	8	1	9	S	457	Falls Cleek	ADEGO
04	5/28/86	1	1	2	N	1128	Terror	ADEGG
0,	5/28/86	1	0	1	NE	1069	Terror	ADPAG
00	0/1//96	-	1	5	SW	701	Sharatin	ADF&G
87	0/14/00	1	0	1	SE	640	Falls Creek	ADF&G
88	10/24/80	1	Õ	1	NW	792	Terror	ADF6G
പ <u>89</u>	10/24/86	1	1	8	SW	518	Falls Creek	ADF&G
∞ <u>9</u> 0	12/05/86		1	1	SW	945	Terror	ADF6G
91	12/05/86	1	U	•				

4 W

.

,

1.2

Appendix II. (cont'd)

\*

.

- -