

Partial Coverage Fisheries Monitoring in the Federal Fisheries of Alaska

Phil Ganz NOAA Fisheries Alaska Regional Office Sustainable Fisheries Division Juneau, AK

Coverage type definitions

Full coverage: 100% of trips are monitored

- All motherships
- Most catcher-processors
- Catcher vessels while participating in the following rationalized fisheries:
 - Fishing pollock in the Bering Sea (trawl)
 - Fishing CDQ using trawl or hook-and-line gear with a vessel greater than 46 ft. length overall
 - Participating in the Rockfish Program (trawl in GOA)
- Vessels fishing in the BSAI that volunteer for full coverage (trawl vessels targeting cod, soon to be a rationalized fishery)

Partial coverage: less than 100% of trips are monitored

• Vessels not in full coverage



A Brief History of the Observer Program

- 1973: Observers first deployed on foreign vessels operating in the Bering Sea
- 1990: Fisheries become fully domestic and the North Pacific Observer Program is established
- 1992 2012: Many attempts to restructure the Observer Program in order to correct know biases in partial coverage data collection
- 2013 Present: Data collected under the restructured Observer Program



Source: <u>NMFS 2012</u>, p. 55-58



Effort in full and partial coverage



Source: 2021 data



- Trips are randomly selected for monitoring
- Vessels declare in advance what trips they intend to take using the Observer Declare and Deploy System (ODDS)

| NO NATIONAL C | AAFISHERIES ALA | ASKA FISHERIES SCIENCE | CENTER |
|---------------|--|---|--------|
| 3 | Observer Declare and Dep For the North Pacific Groundfish and H | ploy System Ialibut Observer Program | 2 - 25 |
| | User ID Password | Login | |
| 50 | ODDS Call Center 1-855-747-6377 | | 23 8 |



Source: ODDS Website

| | | | | | Select Vessel FISH W | HISPERER | ADFG: 7778 | ~ | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | Status f | or for FISH WHISPERER | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Press link below to log trip | | | Status | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Guide me through trip selectio | ٥ | | ok | | | | | | |
| | | | | Electron | ic Monitoring - Gear Type- Sele | ected Trips | VMP is requ | uired for vesselTr | ip limit reached | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ip Plan Log f | for FISH WHISP | ERER | | | | | | | | | | | | \frown |
| Close/ Change Trip | Cancel Trip | Start/ Leave Date | Return Date | Trip #↓₹ | Print | Le Date 48 | eave e Plus hrs | Start / Leave Port | Landing Date | Gear Type | Tender | CDQ Flag | Trip Status | Observer Status |
| Close | Cancel | 03/10/2021 08:00 PM | 03/12/2021 | 109055 | Print Trip Receipt | 03/12/202 | 1 06:00 PM | Elfin Cove | • | Longline | N | N | Pending | EM Selected Trip - assigne |
| | | 03/07/2021 10:00 AM | 03/09/2021 | 109054 | Print Trip Receipt | 03/09/202 | 1 10:00 AM | Elfin Cove | - | Longline | Y | Ν | Cancelled | EM Not Selected Trip |
| | Cancel | 03/04/2021 06:00 PM | 03/06/2021 | 109053 | Print Trip Receipt | 03/06/202 | 1 06:00 PM | Elfin Cove | - | Longline | Y | Ν | Pending | EM Not Selected Trip |
| Close | | | | | | | | | 2012236-026 | | | 1.1 | 12. 12. 16 | 10000000 00 00000 00 00 00 |



Source: Faunce et al. 2021

Observers

- If a logged trip is selected for observer coverage, the observer provider works to get an observer to that vessel
- Observers are instructed to submit their data to the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) within 24 hours of completing 1 trip



Fixed-gear electronic monitoring (EM)

- If a logged trip is selected for fixed-gear EM coverage, the vessel is notified to turn on their camera system for that trip
- Vessels are instructed to mail their hard drives to the video review provider after completing 1 monitored fixed-gear EM trip



Trawl EM

- If a vessel is taking a trawl EM trip, their camera system must be on
- Vessels are instructed to mail their hard drives to the video review provider after completing 3 trawl EM trips



Bycatch Terms

Prohibited Species Catch (PSC; when caught as bycatch)

- Halibut
- Salmon
- King crab
- Tanner crab
- Herring

Discards

• Non-PSC discards



Estimation

- Data from monitored trips are used to make estimates of PSC and discards that occur on unmonitored trips
- The way that monitored trips are selected impacts the quality (accuracy and precision) of those estimates



Accuracy and precision

More samples





Random sampling promotes the **accuracy** of estimates

• If these boats signified all the trips that occurred in the GOA this year...





Page 14 U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | National Marine Fisheries Service

Random sampling promotes the **accuracy** of estimates

• ...estimates of species-specific bycatch for the entire GOA from these sampled trips would likely be inaccurate (biased)



Page 15 U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | National Marine Fisheries Service

Random sampling promotes the **accuracy** of estimates

• ...compared to estimates made from a more random selection of trips. This is because different bycatch occurs in different areas.



Page 16 U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | National Marine Fisheries Service

More samples increase the **precision** of estimates

- Once a baseline level of coverage is afforded, the Observer Program uses equations that allocate more sampling toward gear types that:
 - a) have more variable Chinook PSC, halibut PSC, and discards, and
 - b) are less expensive to observe





How selection rates are set for trips monitored by EM

- Selection rates for fixed-gear EM are set at 30%
- Selection rates for trawl EM are set at 100% for at-sea video monitoring for maximized retention compliance and 33% shoreside monitoring by observers



Planned partial coverage rates in recent years

| Monitoring Method | Gear Type | Data Collected | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Electronic Monitoring | Hook and Line | Country have locations | 30% | 30% | 30% |
| | Pot | Counts, naur locations | 30% | 30% | 30% |
| | Trawl (at-sea video) | Monitoring for compliance with maximized retention | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| | Trawl (shoreside observers) | Salmon genetics, species composition, lengths, weights, and otoliths | 33% | 33% | 33% |
| Observed | Hook and Line | Counts, haul locations, | 15.40% | 15.13% | 19.02% |
| | Pot | composition, lengths, weights, | 15.23% | 15.04% | 17.48% |
| | Trawl | otoliths, marine mammal and bird interactions | 19.59% | 16.12% | 29.65% |

NOAF

Sources: <u>NMFS 2019</u>, <u>NMFS 2020</u>, <u>NMFS 2021</u>



Effort in full and partial coverage

Coverage Type 📕 Full 📒 Partial



Sources: <u>NMFS 2019</u>, <u>NMFS 2020</u>, <u>NMFS 2021</u>, 2021 data



Page 20 U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | National Marine Fisheries Service

Evaluating program performance

- The Alaska Regional Office (AKRO) and Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) produce an annual report that evaluates the performance of the Observer Program relative to its objectives
- This report contains information on program costs, coverage rates within different sectors, and distribution of sampling over time and space.

Source: Observer Program Annual Reports



Did we meet expected coverage rates?

| Coverage | Strata | V | v | N | n | Expected coverage | Realized coverage | 95% confidence interval lower limit | 95% confidence interval upper limit | Realized meets expected? |
|----------|------------------|------|-----|--------|-------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Full | Full | 161 | 161 | 3,343 | 3,338 | 100.0 | 99.9 | | | No |
| Partial | HAL | 318 | 172 | 1,744 | 307 | 17.7 | 17.6 | 15.8 | 19.5 | Yes |
| Partial | EM HAL | 138 | 103 | 916 | 291 | 30.0 | 31.8 | 28.8 | 34.9 | Yes |
| Partial | POT - No Tender | 73 | 45 | 528 | 74 | 15.4 | 14.0 | 11.2 | 17.3 | Yes |
| Partial | POT - Tender | 30 | 12 | 44 | 13 | 16.1 | 29.5 | 16.8 | 45.2 | No |
| Partial | EM POT | 21 | 20 | 165 | 60 | 30.0 | 36.4 | 29.0 | 44.2 | Yes |
| Partial | TRW - No Tender | 78 | 70 | 1,568 | 395 | 23.7 | 25.2 | 23.1 | 27.4 | Yes |
| Partial | TRW - Tender | 26 | 12 | 56 | 20 | 27.1 | 35.7 | 23.4 | 49.6 | Yes |
| | Gear-based Total | 584 | 397 | 5,016 | 1,159 | | 23.1 | | | |
| Partial | Zero Coverage | 393 | 0 | 2,005 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | Yes |
| Partial | Zero EM Research | 4 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | Yes |
| | Total | 1085 | 510 | 10,393 | 4,497 | | 43.3% Trips; 47.0% Vessels | | | |



Source: 2019 Annual Report

Page 22 U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | National Marine Fisheries Service

Was there evidence of spatial bias?







Source: 2019 Annual Report

Was there evidence of temporal bias?





Were monitored trips similar to unmonitored trips in other ways?

| Strata | Metric | NMFS areas | Days fished | length (ft) | landed | species | (t) |
|--------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------|
| HAL | Observed difference | 0.011 | -0.662 | 0.849 | -0.019 | 0.000 | -0.905 |
| | OD (%) | 0.996 | -12.334 | 1.530 | -0.520 | -0.056 | -13.636 |
| | <i>p</i> -value | 1.000 | < 0.001 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.030 |
| | | | Î | Davs fi | shed | | |
| | | | | Dayon | | _ | |
| | | | | HA | L | | |
| | | | 0.10 - p = 0.05 - 0.00 - 10 | 0 | 5 10 | | |



Source: 2019 Annual Report

How precise were estimates?



Source: 2019 Annual Report, Appendix C

Page 26 U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | National Marine Fisheries Service

NOA FISHERI

How does NMFS use partial coverage monitoring data?

- Inseason management
 - Estimates of discards are used to close fisheries under their Total Allowable Catch (TAC)
 - Estimates of PSC are used to close fisheries under their PSC limit
- Stock assessment
 - Catch estimates are used to estimate fishing mortality
 - Lengths, weights, and otoliths are used to describe the age structure of fish being removed by fishing
 - Gear measurements and fishing time are used to estimate fishing effort
 - Fishery catch per unit effort can be used to track fish abundance



Acknowledgements

- Thank you to the observers, observer providers, captains, crew members, processing staff, EM providers, video reviewers, and agency staff who make this data collection possible
- Thank you to the following people for providing input on this presentation
 - Jennifer Cahalan, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC), AFSC
 - Obren Davis, NMFS, AKRO
 - Jennifer Ferdinand NMFS, AFSC
 - Geoff Mayhew PSMFC, AFSC
 - Jennifer Mondragon NMFS, AKRO
 - Jane Sullivan NMFS, AFSC



Questions?

phil.ganz@noaa.gov

