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# ORIGINS OF CHINOOK SALMON IN THE AREA OF THE JAPANESE MOTHERSHIP SALMON FISHERY 

## Annual Report for October 1,1981 to June 30, 1982

## INTRODUCTION

This was the first year of a 3-year study to determine the origins of stocks of chinook salmon caught by the Japanese mothership and research vessels in the Bering Sea and North Pacific Ocean. This. work is conducted concurrently with a study funded by the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council (NPFMC) to determine the stocks of chinook salmon incidentally caught in the foreign trawl fishery in the Alaska Fishery Conservation Zone (FCZ), and some of the information submitted to the NPFMC in Quarterly Reports (Rogers et al. 1982 a, b) will be included in this report.

The objectives of the first segment of the study were to: 1) collect and organize the acetate impressions of chinook scales and associated biological data from Asian and North American known origin chinook from 1975 to the present for the Fisheries Research Institute (FRI) and the Stock Separation Lab of Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G). The standards will be determined from these known origin fish and used to analyze the unknowns from the mothership and foreign trawl fisheries; 2) identify the weak points in chinook scale sampling and recommend improvements in the sampling coverage for future years; 3) obtain and summarize information on North Pacific chinook populations, especially age compositions and abundance data from the different geographical areas; 4) coordinate methods of scale measurement and data collection with the ADF\&G Stock Separation Lab; 5) analyze the biological data collected from chinook caught in the $1972-1980$ research and commercial operations of the Japanese mothership fishery; and 6) review and summarize published and unpublished information on the origins and biology of chinook in the past and present mothership fishery area.

This report summarizes the work completed toward these objectives. Because funding was late and there was an unexpected level of requests from fishery agencies to have our personnel collect the scale impressions, work on these objectives will continue into the first part of the next funding period. Additional information will then be presented in our future reports (1983).

RESULTS

## North American Chinook Scale Collection

We have completed the search for historical chinook scale collections and sent the explanation of the goals and needs of FRI's and

ADF\&G's separation studies, as well as the subsequent request for scales to the various agencies that have scale collections. At the beginning of this project, we assumed most of the scale impressions would be provided by the agencies, but, with the exception of Alaska and a few small samples from Washington, all other agencies requested that we send a person to review their scale collections to obtain the samples we needed and make the impressions for us and Alaska at their offices.

Additional acetate was ordered to make the needed impressions of the chinook scales and our first supplier provided us with an acetate substitute called PETG (although we ordered acetate) which bonded or laminated to the gummed cards in the heated press. Acetate was reordered through a different supplier and no lamination problem has occurred.

The approximate number of scale samples that has been collected is listed in Table l. This table was based on the numbers of fish scales in our files that were aged by the various agencies, but it does not reflect the numbers of regenerated scales that are not usable for scale pattern analysis.

The number of scales from stocks in Western Alaska is quite large; however, the frequency of regenerated scales is very high. Due to the importance of these stocks, and all of Alaskan stocks from known origins, we recommend that in future scale sampling, two scales be taken from each fish sampled. If one scale was taken from the preferred area on each side of the fish, this would increase the chances of obtaining a useful scale. We are also implementing this technique through the observer program to increase the numbers of usable, unknown scale samples and we will make a similar request to TINRO for the Russian samples, if they wish to send us impressions instead of scales.

The other weak point in the present scale collection is the lack of historical chinook samples from southeastern Alaska. At the present time we have not sent requests to the various southeastern $A D F \& G$ offices to locate these samples, but we will coordinate our efforts with the Stock Separation Lab to determine the best way to obtain these samples.

In this report we have included Appendix 1 which contains a listing of the scale samples we have at $F R I$, organized by river, card number, and date of sampling. Missing from Table 1 and Appendix 1 are the recently received scale samples from central Alaskan rivers. Also, the last areas to be sampled for the completion of the North American west coast chinook collection are the Columbia River and the coastal streams of Oregon. These scales are scheduled to be collected after the completion of this report.

## Asian Chinook Scale Collection

Presently our Asian chinook scale samples are from two major rivers, the Kamchatka and Bol'shaya (Appendix 1). We have approximately 200 samples from each river from 1975, 1976, 1978, 1979, and 1980 at FRI.

Table 1. Numbers of North American chinook salmon scale samples collected at FRI.


Table 1. Numbers of North American chinook salmon scale samples collected at FRI - continued.

|  | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southeastern Alaska |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stikine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little Tahltan \& Nakina Rivers | 35 | 160 | 20 | 10 | 130 | 120 | 760 |
| Alsek River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Klukshu River |  | 70 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 60 | 60 |
| British Columbla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yakoun River |  |  |  | 15 | 45 | 60 | 25 |
| Nass River |  |  | 25 | 40 | 70 | 140 | 80 |
| Skeena River | 100 | 160 | 90 | 130 | 180 | 120 | 140 |
| Hella Coola |  | 30 | 120 | 150 | 110 | 120 | 160 |
| Robertson Creek Htchy. | 230 | 120 | 160 | 70 | 80 | 80 | 70 |
| Fraser River | 460 | 370 | 380 | 370 | 390 | 350 | 430 |
| Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quileute River |  |  | 180 | 220 | * | * | * |
| Quinault River | 10 | 40 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Queets River | 70 | 80 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Humptulips River | 15 | 20 | 30 | 70 | 15 | 200 | 150 |
| Chehalis River |  | 20 | 50 | 200 |  | 200 | 160 |
| Gray's Harbor | 130 | 80 |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| Willapa Bay | 170 | 230 |  |  | 70 |  | 160 |
| Nooksack \& Samish Rivers | 150 | 170 | 170 | 150 | 170 | 160 | 140 |
| Skagit River | 140 | 180 | 180 |  |  | 160 | 170 |
| Stillaguamish \& Snohomish Rivers | 110 | 70 | 50 | 170 | 150 | 160 | 160 |
| Lake Washington Stocks | 140 | 40 | 160 |  |  |  |  |
| Duwamish \& Green Rivers | 140 | 150 | 150 | 90 | 80 | 180 | 140 |
| Puyallup River |  |  |  |  |  | . | 100 |
| Hood Canal Stocks | 120 | 170 | 220 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 140 |
| California |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Klamath River |  |  |  |  | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Sacramento River |  |  |  | 70 | 200 | 200 | 200 |

* Denotes collection not complete


#### Abstract

Mr. Bruya attended a meeting at Friday Harbor on May 28 th and 29th, 1982, to discuss our stock separation study with Dr. Burgner (FRI) and Drs. Konovalov and Tumanov from Russia. The Russian scientists were questioned about the fact that we have chinook scale samples from only two river systems in Russia. They said there was one other major chi-nook-producing river in the Oliutorskii area (the Apuka River) which produces $5-7$ metric tons of chinook per year, but besides the Kamchatka area, no other major catch of chinook is reported from their commercial operations. A verbal agreement was given to our request for samples from the Oliutorskil area, as well as continued samples from the Bol'shaya and Kamchatka rivers for 1981, 1982, and 1983. They asked that a formal request be sent, describing what we would like to receive from them, in detail, including what biological information, number of scale samples, run size information, etc. They also requested we send them a supply of acetate with a description of our methodology so that they could do the pressings to our specifications and send us the acetate impressions. We have ordered 5,000 $2.5^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime}$ pieces of acetate to be cut for their use in Russia and are planning to send the formal request, acetate, and methodology to them via one of their vessels which will be leaving Seattle for Russia around July.


## Abundance and Age

The regional and temporal distributions of chinook salmon abundance are important for the construction of scale standards since the probability that a fish from a particular stock (river system) is caught by the mothership fishery is likely to depend on the abundance, location, and migratory behavior of the stock. The annual abundance of a stock is the sum of the catch and escapement. Unfortunately, a high proportion of the world chinook salmon catch is not made near coastal spawning areas but rather in high seas gill-net or offshore troll fisheries, and most of the fish caught by these fisheries are immature (Tables 2 and 3; Fig. 1). Escapements for most chinook salmon stocks are either unknown or imprecisely known (Table 4); therefore, we must rely largely on catch statistics to estimate the relative abundances of the various stocks contributing to the mixed stocks fisheries.

Commercial catches of chinook salmon recently have declined in Oregon, southeastern Alaska, and central Alaska. (In the latter area, the decline is caused largely by severe restrictions on the Cook Inlet fishery since the $1960^{\prime}$ s.) Catches in California and Washington have changed little since 192l; however, catches in British Columbia have increased dramatically (Fig. 2). Based on commercial catches, it appears that British Columbia now produces the largest abundance of chinook salmon around the North Pacific, but this is unlikely because most of the British Columbia catch comes from troll fisheries that catch predominantly immature and maturing fish (Fig. 3).

Chinook salmon from southern regions tend to migrate north in their seaward migration and are distributed as far north and westward as the

Table 2. Commercial catches of chinook salmon in thousands of fish, 1961-1980.

| Year | S.E. Alaska |  | British Columbia |  | Washington |  | Oregon |  | $\frac{\text { California }}{\text { Troll }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Troll | Net | Troll | Net* | Troll | Net |  |
| 1961 | 204 | 26 | 449 | 237 | 109 | 262 | 132 | 152 | 774 |
| 62 | 174 | 32 | 446 | 254 | 90 | 240 | 52 | 196 | 556 |
| 63 | 244 | 14 | 540 | 263 | 129 | 268 | 132 | 196 | 662 |
| 64 | 329 | 28 | 615 | 352 | 105 | 244 | 67 | 296 | 687 |
| 65 | 259 | 28 | 678 | 302 | 69 | 248 | 58 | 242 | 705 |
| 66 | 282 | 26 | 867 | 297 | 115 | 250 | 81 | 150 | 554 |
| 67 | 275 | 26 | 768 | 363 | 113 | 243 | 100 | 170 | 338 |
| 68 | 304 | 28 | 770 | 312 | 147 | 247 | 126 | 123 | 472 |
| 69 | 290 | 24 | 837 | 263 | 170 | 280 | 161 | 178 | 551 |
| 70 | 301 | 21 | 818 | 395 | 214 | 328 | 165 | 240 | 517 |
| 71 | 311 | 23 | 1270 | 323 | 252 | 313 | 103 | 212 | 434 |
| 72 | 243 | 44 | 1223 | 327 | 203 | 283 | 127 | 197 | 492 |
| 73 | 309 | 35 | 1091 | 334 | 317 | 367 | 363 | 295 | 816 |
| 74 | 322 | 25 | 1178 | 289 | 353 | 259 | 224 | 116 | 527 |
| 75 | 287 | 14 | 1103 | 310 | 274 | 407 | 225 | 166 | 579 |
| 76 | 231 | 11 | 1248 | 293 | 361 | 420 | 184 | 118 | 540 |
| 77 | 272 | 38 | 1111 | 386 | 267 | 420 | 340 | 157 | 563 |
| 78 | 375 | 14 | 1033 | 334 | 166 | 344 | 192 | 113 | 519 |
| 79 | 338 | 36 | 988 | 346 | 148 | 283 | 245 | 102 | 659 |
| 80 | 299 | 28 | 1006 | 236 | 133 | 360 | 209 | 82 | 575 |
| 81 | 259 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Includes Puget Sound troll catches, 1961-69.



Fig. 1. Anmual commercial catches of chinook salmon in the northern (top) and southern (bottom) regions of the North Pacific, 1961-80.


#### Abstract

Mr. Bruya attended a meeting at Friday Harbor on May 28th and 29th, 1982, to discuss our stock separation study with Dr. Burgner (FRI) and Drs. Konovalov and Tumanov from Russia. The Russian scientists were questioned about the fact that we have chinook scale samples from only two river systems in Russia. They said there was one other major chi-nook-producing river in the Oliutorskil area (the Apuka River) which produces $5-7$ metric tons of chinook per year, but besides the Kamchatka area, no other major catch of chinook is reported from their commercial operations. A verbal agreement was given to our request for samples from the Oliutorskii area, as well as continued samples from the Bol'shaya and Kamchatka rivers for 1981, 1982, and 1983. They asked that a formal request be sent, describing what we would like to receive from them, in detail, including what biological information, number of scale samples, run size information, etc. They also requested we send them a supply of acetate with a description of our methodology so that they could do the pressings to our specifications and send us the acetate impressions. We have ordered $5,0002.5^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime}$ pieces of acetate to be cut for their use in Russia and are planning to send the formal request, acetate, and methodology to them via one of their vessels which will be leaving Seattle for Russia around July.


## Abundance and Age

The regional and temporal distributions of chinook salmon abundance are important for the construction of scale standards since the probability that a fish from a particular stock (river system) is caught by the mothership fishery is likely to depend on the abundance, location, and migratory behavior of the stock. The annual abundance of a stock is the sum of the catch and escapement. Unfortunately, a high proportion of the world chinook salmon catch is not made near coastal spawning areas but rather in high seas gill-net or offshore troll fisheries, and most of the fish caught by these fisheries are immature (Tables 2 and 3; Fig. 1). Escapements for most chinook salmon stocks are either unknown or 1mprecisely known (Table 4); therefore, we must rely largely on catch statistics to estimate the relative abundances of the various stocks contributing to the mixed stocks fisheries.

Commercial catches of chinook salmon recently have declined in Oregon, southeastern Alaska, and central Alaska. (In the latter area, the decline is caused largely by severe restrictions on the Cook Inlet fishery since the 1960's.) Catches in California and Washington have changed little since 1921; however, catches in British Columbia have increased dramatically (Fig. 2). Based on commercial catches, it appears that British Columbia now produces the largest abundance of chinook salmon around the North Pacific, but this is unlikely because most of the British Columbia catch comes from troll fisheries that catch predominantly immature and maturing fish (Fig. 3).

Chinook salmon from southern regions tend to migrate north in their seaward migration and are distributed as far north and westward as the

Table 2. Commercial catches of chinook salmon in thousands of fish, 1961-1980.

| Year | S.E. Alaska |  | British <br> Columbia |  | Washington |  | Oregon |  | $\frac{\text { California }}{\text { Troll }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Troll | Net | Troll | Net | Troll | Net* | Troll | Net |  |
| 1961 | 204 | 26 | 449 | 237 | 109 | 262 | 132 | 152 | 774 |
| 62 | 174 | 32 | 446 | 254 | 90 | 240 | 52 | 196 | 556 |
| 63 | 244 | 14 | 540 | 263 | 129 | 268 | 132 | 196 | 662 |
| 64 | 329 | 28 | 615 | 352 | 105 | 244 | 67 | 296 | 687 |
| 65 | 259 | 28 | 678 | 302 | 69 | 248 | 58 | 242 | 705 |
| 66 | 282 | 26 | 867 | 297 | 115 | 250 | 81 | 150 | 554 |
| 67 | 275 | 26 | 768 | 363 | 113 | 243 | 100 | 170 | 338 |
| 68 | 304 | 28 | 770 | 312 | 147 | 247 | 126 | 123 | 472 |
| 69 | 290 | 24 | 837 | 263 | 170 | 280 | 161 | 178 | 551 |
| 70 | 301 | 21 | 818 | 395 | 214 | 328 | 165 | 240 | 517 |
| 71 | 311 | 23 | 1270 | 323 | 252 | 313 | 103 | 212 | 434 |
| 72 | 243 | 44 | 1223 | 327 | 203 | 283 | 127 | 197 | 492 |
| 73 | 309 | 35 | 1091 | 334 | 317 | 367 | 363 | 295 | 816 |
| 74 | 322 | 25 | 1178 | 289 | 353 | 259 | 224 | 116 | 527 |
| 75 | 287 | 14 | 1103 | 310 | 274 | 407 | 225 | 166 | 579 |
| 76 | 231 | 11 | 1248 | 293 | 361 | 420 | 184 | 118 | 540 |
| 77 | 272 | 38 | 1111 | 386 | 267 | 420 | 340 | 157 | 563 |
| 78 | 375 | 14 | 1033 | 334 | 166 | 344 | 192 | 113 | 519 |
| 79 | 338 | 36 | 988 | 346 | 148 | 283 | 245 | 102 | 659 |
| 80 | 299 | 28 | 1006 | 236 | 133 | 360 | 209 | 82 | 575 |
| 81 | 259 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Includes Puget Sound troll catches, 1961-69.

Table 4. Estimates ${ }^{1}$ of chinook salmon escapements (wild and hatchery), 1976-1980. (Fish in thousands.)

| Year | California | OregonWashington | British Columbia | Southeast Alaska | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | $258 *$ | 593 | 164 | 18 | 1,033 |
| 1977 | 258* | 660 | 224 | 30 | 1,172 |
| 1978 | 290 | 702 | 196 | 20 | 1,208 |
| 1979 | 269 | 581 | 177 | 25 | 1,052 |
| 1980 | 216 | 643 | 190* | 39 | 1,088 |
| Average 1976-80 | 258 | 636 | 190 | 26 | 1,111 |
| Average catch (all gear) | 671 | 1,361 | 1,719** | 339 | 4,090 |
| *Estimate **1976-1978 <br> ${ }^{1}$ Data sour | Fredin (1980, INPFC (1979), Major et al. (1978), INPFC Statistical Yearbooks, PFMC proposed management plan for 1981, and personal communication with fisheries agencies (1978-1980 data). |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 2. Annual commercial catches of chinook salmon by 5-year periods beginning 1921-1925 and ending 1976-1980.


Fig. 3. Catches of chinook salmon by 5-year periods beginning 1961-1965 and ending 1976-1980. (Russian fishery is seine and trap.)
central Aleutians during their ocean residence. ${ }^{1}$ Then, while maturing, they tend to migrate south along the North American coast and are thus vulnerable to several offshore and some coastal fisheries (Major et al. 1978). The center of chinook salmon production in the southern region is in the Oregon-Washington area (to include the Columbia River) based on estimated escapements and the location of catches (Table 4). For the entire region, the annual abundance in recent years was about 5 million and the rate of exploitation was nearly $80 \%$.

Initially we are assuming that chinook salmon caught in the Bering Sea are from either Asian (USSR) or western Alaskan stocks. The 19761980 average catch of chinook salmon in the northern region (including high seas catches, $38 \%$ ) was about 1.3 million and, assuming a rate of exploitation of $65 \%$, the average annual abundance was about 2 million. Inshore catches of USSR and western Alaskan chinook salmon have both increased in recent years, but the increase was been relatively greater for the USSR stocks. If the inshore catches reflect abundances of the stocks, then there may have been a significant change in the proportions of Asian and Alaskan stocks in the Bering Sea fisheries between the $1960^{\prime}$ s and the late $1970^{\circ}$ s.

The annual fluctuations in the catches of chinook salmon generally have been much less than the fluctuations in the catches of other species of salmon; however, the high seas catch of chinook salmon in 1980 (prlmarily immature fish) coupled with the western Alaska catch in. 1981 (USSR catch in 1981 is presently unknown) provide a major exception. The annual commercial catches since 1960 are shown by area and gear in Fig. 4. The 1981 catches are unavailable except for Alaska. Catches in 1973 were exceptionally high in the southern region but exceptionally low in the northern region, and there is some indication of an inverse relationship between the abundances in the two regions. The 1980 catch on the high seas (including the trawl catch) was nearly 1 million and was thus higher than any recent catch of any inshore fishery with the exception of the British Columbia troll fishery.

One of our objectives is to estimate the annual abundances of western Alaskan chinook salmon stocks. In the Nushagak and Togiak Districts of Bristol Bay, annual aerial surveys have been conducted to estimate the escapements of chinook salmon. The estimates were obtained from the Annual Management Report, 1980, Bristol Bay Area (ADF\&G) and were made by Michael L. Nelson, Senior Area Management Biologist. Estimates of the annual Bristol Bay runs since 1966 were made from these data, and estimates for some earlier years were made by applying the average rates of exploitation to the catches (Table 5).
$1_{\text {Of }}$ the four inshore recoveries of chinook salmon tagged near Adak, one each was recovered from Kamchatka, Bristol Bay, southeastern Alaska, and the Columbia River.


Fig. 4. Annual commercial catches of chinook salmon by area and gear, 1960-1981.

Table 5. Estimates of Bristol Bay chinook salmon runs (numbers of fish in thousands), 1961-81.

| Year | Nushagak District |  |  |  | Togiak District |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { Districts, } \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escape- } \\ \text { ment } \end{gathered}$ | Run ${ }^{1}$ | Catch | Escape- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Comm. | Subsist. |  |  |  | ment | Run ${ }^{1}$ | Catch Run ${ }^{2}$ |  | Catch | Run |
| 1961 | 61 | 4 | - | 120 | 11 | - | 21 | 17 | 39 | 93 | 180 |
| 62 | 61 | 4 | - | 120 | 9 | - | 17 | 14 | 32 | 88 | 169 |
| 63 | 46 | 4 | - | 93 | 6 | - | 12 | 10 | 23 | 66 | 128 |
| 64 | 109 | 3 | - | 207 | 11 | - | 21 | 21 | 48 | 144 | 276 |
| 65 | 86 | 5 | - | 167 | 11 | - | 21 | 16 | 36. | 118 | 224 |
| 66 | 58 | 4 | 40 | 102 | 10 | - | 19 | 10 | 21 | 82 | 142 |
| 67 | 96 | 4 | 65 | 165 | 14 | 10 | 24 | 8 | 16 | 122 | 205 |
| 68 | 78 | 7 | 70 | 155 | 14 | 16 | 30 | 12 | 29 | 111 | 214 |
| 69 | 81 | 7 | 35 | 123 | 21 | 8 | 29 | 24 | 39 | 133 | 191 |
| 70 | 87 | 7 | 50 | 144 | 29 | 15 | 44 | 24 | 44 | 147 | 232 |
| 71 | 83 | 4 | - | 117 | 28 | 20 | 48 | 13 | 23 | 128 | 188 |
| 72 | 46 | 4 | 25 | 75 | 21 | 14 | 35 | 4 | 8 | 75 | 118 |
| 73 | 30 | 7 | 35 | 72 | 11 | 11 | 23 | 3 | 7 | 51 | 102 |
| 74 | 32 | 8 | 70 | 110 | 12 | 15 | 27 | 4 | 13 | 56 | 150 |
| 75 | 22 | 7 | 70 | 99 | 8 | 11 | 19 | 3 | 12 | 40 | 130 |
| 76 | 61 | 7 | 100 | 168 | 30 | 14 | 44 | 6 | 14 | 104 | 226 |
| 77 | 85 | 5 | 65 | 155 | 36 | 20 | 56 | 12 | 24 | 138 | 235 |
| 78 | 119 | 6 | 130 | 255 | 57 | 40 | 97 | 17 | 39 | 199 | 391 |
| 79 | 155 | 9 | 95 | 259 | 31 | 20 | 51 | 17 | 33 | 212 | 343 |
| 80 | 64 | 12 | 141 | 217 | 13 | 12 | 25 | 20 | 59 | 109 | 301 |
| 81. | 195 | 12 | (150) | 357 | 25 | (21) | 46 | 21 | 44 | 253 | 447 |

$1_{\text {Runs }}$ in 1961-65, 71 estimated from catch and average rate of exploitation
(1966-80) of $54 \%$.
${ }^{2}$ Runs estimated from catch and the average rate of exploitation in Nushagak and Togiak minus $10 \%$.

The runs in the Nushagak District (primarily the Nushagak River) have constituted about $71 \%$ of the chinook salmon runs to Bristol Bay since 1961 and about $73 \%$ of the large runs since 1978. Rates of exploitation have ranged from . 29 to .72 and over all years have been independent of the size of the run (only since 1975 is there a positive correlation between exploitation and size of run).

The most extensive data on chinook salmon from western Alaska come from the Nushagak District of Bristol Bay. Scale samples have been collected from the commercial catch (gillnets) each year since 1956. Sample sizes were relatively small in early years (50-400), but since 1967, the annual scale sample sizes have ranged from 500 to 2,500 fish. 2 The Nushagak chinook salmon run usually beings in early June, reaches a peak in mid- to late-June, and continues on through July. Prior to about June 20, the fishery uses large mesh (about $81 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ ) and after that, smaller mesh is used (about $53 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ ) because the more abundant sockeye and chum salmon runs begin then.

The change in mesh size is usually accompanied by a change in the age composition in the catch; particularly evident is an increase in the percentage of the small age . 2 fish (Fig. 5). With the change from large to small mesh, the mean lengths of age .4 and age .3 females tends to increase, whereas the mean lengths of age . 3 males decreases (Fig. 6). Annual mean lengths by sex for the major age groups are given in Table 6. The annual age compositions of the Nushagak catches are affected by the proportions of the catch made with chinook and sockeye gear (ocean age) and the person aging the scales (freshwater age). The age compositions for the 1956-1965 catches were estimated from a composite scale sample for each year (sexes combined) and applied to the year's catch to estimate the annual commercial catches by age (Table 7). For the years after 1966, the age compositions by sex for periods within each year were welghted by the period catches to obtain estimates of the annual catches by sex and age (Table 8). Finally, catch and effort statistics were compiled to estimate CPUE for the chinook and sockeye seasons since 1966 (Table 9).

Only catch statistics are available for the Kuskokwim and Yukon Rivers (Fig. 7). Since the 1960's, when commercial fishing became significant in the Kuskokwim area, the commercial catches of chinook salmon have been about half of the total catch. The Kuskokwim subsistence fishery for chinook salmon is the largest in Alaska. It is unlikely that the annual catches in the Kuskokwim reflect annual variation in the runs, nor the abundance of the runs relative to the Nushagak River, since the commercial fishery has been on almost a quota basis, and typically, only about 24 hours of fishing time has been allowed during the chinook season.

[^0]

Fig. 5. Anmual catches of chinook salmon in the Nushagak District during the chinook season (left) and sockeye season (right) by ocean age and sex (males - open bar, females - solid bar), 1966-80.


Fig. 6. Plot of the mean lengths of chinook salmon (by age and sex) caught during the sockeye season (sockeye gear) on the mean lengths of chinook salmon caught by chinook gear, 1967-81 (excluding 1974-75, 77 and 80).

Table 6. Mean lemgths of chinook salmon in the Nushagak catches (mid-eye to tail fork, mm).

| Year | $\frac{\text { Age } 1.2}{M}$ | Age 1.3 |  |  | Age 1.4 |  |  | Age 1.5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | X | M | F | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | M | F | X |
| 1967 | 547 | 711 | 800 | 721 | 865 | 885 | 877 | 952 | 916 | 926 |
| 68 | 559 | 742 | 799 | 749 | 862 | 880 | 873 | 948 | 927 | 932 |
| 69 | 596 | 753 | 808 | 772 | 870 | 883 | 879 | 948 | 914 | 922 |
| 70 | 590 | 771 | 822 | 788 | 894 | 893 | 893 | 955 | 923 | 930 |
| 71 | 557 | 741 | 802 | 754 | 858 | 898 | 881 | 933 | 906 | 913 |
| 72 | 543 | 715 | 762 | 731 | 861 | 870 | 867 | 904 | 924 | 917 |
| 73 | 521 | 756 | 793 | 767 | 849 | 860 | 855 | 917 | 903 | 909 |
| 74 | 573 | 754 | 789 | 760 | 838 | 891 | 869 | 910 | 928 | 923 |
| 75 | 581 | 769 | 776 | 772 | 872 | 870 | 871 | 936 | 905 | 912 |
| 76 | 558 | 743 | 787 | 762 | 881 | 884 | 883 | 983 | 911 | 954 |
| 77 | 581 | 769 | 812 | 780 | 878 | 867 | 872 | 880 | 921 | 910 |
| 78 | 583 | 745 | 803 | 754 | 881 | 893 | 888 | 962 | 937 | 947 |
| 79 | 588 | 747 | 817 | 782 | 924 | 915 | 919 | 1070 | 1003 | 1019 |
| 80 | 563 | 745 | 768 | 753 | 850 | 867 | 863 | 912 | 919 | 918 |
| Means | 567 | 749 | 796 | 760 | 870 | 883 | 878 | 944 | 923 | 931 |

Table 7. Annual catches (in hundreds) of chinook salmon in the Nushagak District by age as estimated by a composite sample for each year, 1956-1965.

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 1.5 |  |
| 1956 |  | 179 | 26 | 187 | 4 | 10 | 159 | 4 |  | 4 | 573 |
| 57 |  | 93 | 24 | 242 | 4 | 50 | 347 | 2 |  | 30 | 792 |
| 58 |  | 65 | 14 | 225 | 4 | 29 | 488 | 4 | 3 | 41 | 873 |
| 59 |  | 44 | 12 | 185 | 4 | 7 | 256 |  | 4 | 32 | 544 |
| 60 |  | 33 | 30 | 343 | 7 | 26 | 357 |  | 2 | 16 | 814 |
| 61 | 2 | 64 | 6 | 142 | 4 | 29 | 352 | 4 |  | 6 | 609 |
| 62 |  | 42 | 2 | 91 |  | 9 | 417 | 7 |  | 44 | 612 |
| $63^{*}$ |  | 210 |  | 60 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 50 | 460 |
| 64 |  | 546 |  | 196 |  | 10 | 293 |  | 5 | 36 | 1086 |
| 65 |  | 106 | 7 | 313 | 4 |  | 363 |  |  | 67 | 860 |

Table 8. Catches of chinook salmon (in hundreds) in the Nushagak District by sex and age, 1966-1981.

| Year |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 |  |
| 1966 | M |  |  | 131 |  | 2 | 177 |  |  | 94 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 407 |
|  | F |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 148 | 1 |  | 6 |  |  | 175 |
| 67 | M |  |  | 208 |  | 9 | 229 | 2 | 8 | 162 | + | 1 | 13 |  |  | 632 |
|  | F |  |  | + |  | 2 | 30 | 1 | 10 | 250 | 1 | 1 | 34 |  | + | 329 |
| 68 | M | 4 |  | 57 | 1 | 8 | 216 | 1 | 4 | 146 |  | 1 | 17 |  | 1 | 456 |
|  | F |  |  |  |  | + | 29 |  | 11 | 229 | 1 | 2 | 52 |  |  | 324 |
| 69 | M | + | 1 | 190 |  | 1 | 103 | 1 | 2 | 114 | 3 |  | 9 |  |  | 424 |
|  | F |  |  | 13 |  | 4 | 56 |  | 13 | 263 | 3 | 1 | 30 |  | + | 383 |
| 70 | M |  |  | 64 |  | 31 | 351 | 1 | 1 | 61 | + | 1 | 4 |  |  | 514 |
|  | F |  |  | 1 |  | 15 | 173 | 1 | 8 | 145 | + | 1 | 16 |  | 1 | 361 |
| 71 | M |  |  | 45 |  | 1 | 146 |  | 8 | 185 | 1 |  | 1 | + |  | 387 |
|  | F |  |  | , |  |  | 7 |  | 20 | 252 | 1 |  | 5 |  |  | 286 |
| 72 | M |  | 1 | 81 |  | 4 | 66 | + | 4 | 88 |  |  | 7 |  | + | 251 |
|  | F |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 34 | + | 6 | 149 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 206 |
| 73 | M |  |  | 6 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 95 | 1 | 1 | 11 |  | 1 | 167 |
|  | F |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 1 | 1 | 97 | 1 | + | 15 |  |  | 138 |
| 74 | M |  |  | 6 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 80 | 1 |  | 11 | 1 | 1 | 140 |
|  | F |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 116 | + |  | 54 |  | 2 | 181 |
| 75 | M |  |  | 3 |  |  | 66 |  |  | 21 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 96 |
|  | F |  |  | 2 |  |  | 59 | 2 |  | 44 | 1 |  | 11 |  |  | 119 |
| 76 | M |  |  | 118 | 1 |  | 112 | 2 | 1 | 106 | 1 |  | 6 |  |  | 347 |
|  | F |  |  | 8 |  |  | 86 | 2 |  | 158 | + |  | 4 |  |  | 258 |
| 77 | M |  |  | 22 |  | 3 | 213 | 7 |  | 226 | 3 |  | 7 |  |  | 481 |
|  | F |  |  | 3 |  |  | 76 |  |  | 270 | 3 |  | 17 |  |  | 369 |
| 78 | M | 3 |  | 92 |  | 1 | 278 | 11 |  | 209 | 3 |  | 22 |  |  | 619 |
|  | F |  |  | 12 |  |  | 49 |  |  | 320 | 24 |  | 33 | 3 |  | 441 |
| 79 | M | 9 |  | 533 | 10 |  | 205 |  |  | 288 | 11 |  | 10 |  |  | 1066 |
|  | $F$ |  |  | 3 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 376 | 24 |  | 30 |  |  | 483 |
| 80 | M |  |  | 22 |  |  | 284 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 352 |
|  | F |  |  |  |  |  | 142 |  |  | 116 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 291 |
| 81 | M |  |  | 609 | 8 |  | 460 | 12 |  | 277 | 8 |  | 5 |  |  | 1379 |
|  | F |  |  | 7 |  |  | 140 | 8 |  | 405 | 4 |  | 7 |  |  | 571 |

+Les s than 100.

Table 9. Nushagak chinook salmon fishery statistics.

| Year | Chinook season |  |  |  |  | Sockeye season |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dates | Fishing days ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { boats } 2 \end{gathered}$ | Chinook catch | CPUE ${ }^{3}$ | Dates ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fish- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average boats | Chinook catch | CPUE |
| 1966 | 6/ 6-18 | 10 | 93 | 26,600 | 29 | 6/20-7/9 | و | 264 | 30,100 | 13 |
| 67 | 6/ 5-17 | 10 | 177 | 32,200 | 18 | 6/20-7/10 | 5.5 | 443 | 28,000 | 12 |
| 68 | 6/ 3-18 | 11 | 140 | 41,700 | 27 | 6/21-7/10 | 4.5 | 235 | 10,900 | 10 |
| 69 | 6/ 2-19 | 12 | 165 | 53,600 | 27 | 7/1-11 | 3 | 312 | 17,200 | 18 |
| 70 | 6/ 1-19 | 13 | 195 | 50,300 | 20 | 6/22-7/8 | 10.7 | 215 | 33,700 | 15 |
| 71 | 6/ 7-23 | 12 | 181 | 20,700 | 10 | 6/25-7/10 | 6 | 290 | 56,200 | 32 |
| 72 | 6/12-24 | 9 | 180 | 28,200 | 17 | 6/26-7/10 | 3 | 260 | 14,000 | 18 |
| 73 | 6/ 4-20 | 11 | 178 | 24,000 | 12 | 6/22-7/10 | 1.5 | 229 | 3,000 | 9 |
| 74 | 6/ 3-18 | 11 | 103 | 25,700 | 23 | 7/ 4-7 | 3 | 168 | 3,100 | 6 |
| 75 | 6/9-21 | 8 | 162 | 12,600 | 10 | 7/ 8-12 | 4 | 294 | 4,400 | 4 |
| 76 | 6/7-18 | 8 | 155 | 29,600 | 24 | 6/22-7/10 | 2.5 | 299 | 23,100 | 31 |
| 77 | 6/ 6-22 | 10.5 | 252 | 71,300 | 27 | 7/1-10 | 1 | 356 | 3,200 |  |
| 78 | 6/ 5-16 | 9 . | 250 | 74,000 | 33 | 6/20-7/8 | 6.5 | 374 | 22,900 | 9 |
| 79 | 6/ 4-15 | 9 | 365 | 72,000 | 22 | 6/19-7/10 | 16.5 | (367) | 59,000 | 10 |
| 80 | 6/ 2-23 | 9.5 | 371 | 56,900 | 16 | 7/ 2-10 | 8 | 360 | 2,700 | 1 |
| 81 | 6/1-17 | 10 | (370) | 78,300 | 21 | 6/19-7/10 | 18 | (360) | 94,500 | 15 |

$1_{\text {Number }}$ of days ( 24 hour periods) open to fishing during the dates indicated (excludes openings for the Igushik section only and dates open for fishing but no fishing took place because of a strike).
$2_{\text {Average number of drift gill net boats fishing (excludes set net effort) } 1500000}$ fathom gill nets or equivalent.
${ }^{3}$ Catch divided by days $x$ boats.
4 Between the last date of the chinook season and the first date of the sockeye season there was no fishing. Last date for the sockeye season was chosen as 7/7-12 depending on the timing of the chinook run.


[^1]From the magnitude of the catches and its size, the Yukon River probably has the largest stock of chinook salmon in western Alaska, but the annual escapements and rates of exploitation are unknown. The larger catches in recent years probably reflect larger runs since large runs of all species, and in most areas, have been typical for western Alaska since 1978. We have not yet compiled age composition data for the Yukon or Kuskokwim River stocks.

In Russian rivers, mature chinook salmon start their upstream migration as soon as the ice is gone. Though this species is found in streams from the Anadyr River ( $64^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ ) in the north to the Amur River ( $53^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in the south, there are only three major chinook rivers. These rivers, the Kamchatka, Bol'shaya and Apuka, produce the largest commercial catches of chinook in Russia. ${ }^{3}$ In the Kamchatka River, chinook enter between mid-May to September and peak during the second half of June. They enter the Bol'shaya River during the first of May through the end of July, and entrance timing on the Oliutorskii region (Apuka River) is from the second half of June to the second half of July (Atkinson 1981). Because of their entrance timing, most of these mature fish would be out of the present mothership fishing area by early spring.

Presently we have scale samples from two important chinook salmon rivers in the USSR which were received via the Japan Fishery Agency. The samples have been aged by two readers and we plan to make a third reading by a different person to determine the variability in aging. Preliminary age compositions from the first reader were calculated (Tables 10 and 11). Mean weights and lengths by sex and ocean age are presented in Tables 12 and 13. The USSR fisheries are believed to be non-selective (for age and size) seine and trap fisheries. The Kamchatka River samples from 1980 included both mid-eye to tall fork and tip of snout to tail fork measurements. Regressions were calculated from these data, as well as from some 1979 Nushagak catch samples to convert between the two length measurements (Table 14).

## Scale Measurements and Data Management

Project personnel visited the ADF\&G Stock Separation Lab in Anchorage on December 16-18 to receive Alaska scale samples and to consult with lab personnel regarding scale characters to be examined, microcomputer software required, scale measurement procedures, and criteria for interpreting chinook scale growth zones. The main objective of this coordination was to standardize techniques so that exchanged data will be compatible. The following sections describe the results of this coordination effort and the materials and methods that will be used in FY 82-83.

## Scale Characters to be Examined

The ADF\&G lab personnel at Anchorage have decided to measure the following growth zones on the scales of chinook salmon:

[^2]Table 10. Age compositions (\%) by sex of chinook salmon from U.S.S.R. in-river catch samples.

| Year | River | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | n | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| 1975 | Kamchatka | 103 | 25.2 | 52.4 | 20.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 53 | 0 | 50.9 | 45.3 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 |
|  | Bolshaya | 77 | 16.9 | 57.1 | 20.8 | 5.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 42.5 | 52.9 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 0 |
| 1976 | Kamchatka | 92 | 8.7 | 78.3 | 10.9 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 64.7 | 29.4 | 0 | 5.9 | 0 |
|  | Bolshaya | 117 | 40.2 | 32.5 | 26.5 | 0 | . 8 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 1.6 | 25.8 | 62.9 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 1978 | Kamchatka | 106 | 17.9 | 50.0 | 24.5 | 1.0 | 0 | 6.6 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 31.1 | 65.6 | 0 | 0 | 3.3 |
|  | Bolshaya | 77 | 5.2 | 45.5 | 44.2 | 3.9 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 69 | 0 | 8.7 | 84.1 | 4.3 | 0 | 2.9 |
| 1979 | Kamchatka | $77$ | 7.8 | 64.9 | 23.4 | 1.3 | 0 | 2.6 | 0 | 49 | 0 | $36.7$ | 63.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Bolshaya | 92 | 3.3 | 50.0 | 45.7 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 19.0 | 77.2 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 |
| 1980 | Kamchatka | 90 | 28.9 | 47.8 | 21.1 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 29.4 | 64.7 | 0 | 1.5 | 4.4 |
|  | Bolshaya | 65 | 23.1 | 10.8 | 61.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 63 | 0 | 6.3 | 92.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 |
| Means | Kamchatka |  | $17.7$ | $58.7$ | $20.1$ | $0.5$ | . 4 | 2.5 | .2 |  | 0 | 42.6 | $53.7$ | 0 | 1.5 | $2.3$ |
|  | Bolshaya |  | 17.7 | 39.2 | 39.7 | $2.0$ | . 2 | . 3 | . 9 |  | . 3 | 20.5 | 73.8 | 3.6 | . 6 | 1.2 |

Table 11. Age composition (\%) of chinook salmon from U.S.S.R. in-river catch samples.

| Year | R1ver | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aged } \\ \mathrm{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tot al } \\ \mathrm{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1975 | Kamchatka | 15.5 | 52.0 | 30.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 156 | 200 |
|  | Bolshaya | 8.5 | 50.0 | 37.0 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 164 | 200 |
| 1976 | Kamchatka | 4.5 | 71.5 | 20.0 | 0 | 0 | 4.0 | 0 | 177 | 200 |
|  | Bolshaya | 26.6 | 30.3 | 39.4 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 179 | 188 |
| 1978 | Kamchatka | 11.4 | 43.1 | 39.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 167 | 199 |
|  | Bolshaya | 2.7 | 28.1 | 63.0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 146 | 149 |
| 1979 | Kamchatka | 4.7 | 54.0 | 39.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 126 | 150 |
|  | Bolshaya | 1.5 | 36.0 | 60.5 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 200 |
| 1980 | Kamchatka | 16.5 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 0 | 1.3 | . 6 | 1.8 | 158 | 197 |
|  | Bolshaya | 11.0 | 8.5 | 77.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 128 | 200 |
| Means | Kamehatka | 10.5 | 52.1 | 33.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.9 |  |  |
|  | Bolshaya | 10.1 | 30.6 | 55.5 | 2.4 | 0.1 | . 4 | 0.9 |  |  |

Table 12. Mean weights (kg) of chinook salmon from U.S.S.R. in-river catch samples.

| Year | River | Males |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  | Al1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | .2 | .3 | . 4 | .5 | A11* | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 | A11* |  |
| 1975 | Kamchatka | 3.37 | 8.45 | 13.56 | - | 8.35 | - | 9.83 | 12.83 | - | 10.85 | 9.33 |
|  | Bolshaya | 3.62 | 8.06 | 12.81 | 18.30 | 8.78 | $\cdots$ | 10.63 | 12.78 | 16.03 | 12.00 | 10.41 |
| 1976 | Kamchatka | 3.98 | 9.60 | 11.28 | - | 9.33 | - | 9.48 | 12.02 | - | 10.32 | 9.81 |
|  | Bolshaya | 3.90 | 7.24 | 12.13 | - | 7.52 | 6.30 | 10.62 | 12.66 | 14.65 | 12.19 | 9.13 |
| 1978 | Kamchatka | 3.24 | 6.64 | 10.33 | 13.10 | 7.00 | - | 8.59 | 9.71 | - | 9.18 | 7.84 |
|  | Bolshaya | 4.20 | 7.20 | 14.97 | 14.27 | 10.88 | - | 10.77 | 13.02 | 15.67 | 13.00 | 11.89 |
| 1979 | Kamchatka | 4.07 | 7.10 | 10.83 | 15.40 | 8.08 | - | 8.80 | 11.10 | - | 10.54 | 9.06 |
|  | Bolshaya | 3.30 | 7.74 | 11.66 | 12.60 | 9.71 | - | 10.21 | 13.07 | 15.90 | 12.73 | 11.10 |
| 1980 | Kamchatka | 2.87 | 6.25 | 10.74 | - | 6.24 | - | 9.45 | 11.02 | - | 10.46 | 8.10 |
|  | Bolshaya | 3.57 | 6.60 | 13.22 | - | 9.97 | - | 9.68 | 13.08 | - | 13.14 | 11.60 |
| Means | Kamchatka | 3.51 | 7.61 | 11.35 | 14.25 | 7.80 | - | 9.23 | 11.34 | - | 10.27 | 8.83 |
|  | Bolshaya | 3.72 | 7.37 | 12.96 | 15.06 | 9.37 | - | 10.38 | 12.92 | 15.56 | 12.61 | 10.83 |

[^3]Table 13. Mean lengths (tip of snout to tail fork, mm) of chinook salmon from U.S.S.R. in-river catch samples.

| Year | River | Males |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  | A11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 | A11* | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 | A11* |  |
| 1975 | Kamchatka | 679 | 839 | 991 | - | 834 | - | 876 | 963 | - | 907 | 862 |
|  | Bolshaya | 691 | 880 | 1013 | 1150 | 886 |  | 955 | 1022 | 1067 | 998 | 943 |
| 1976 | Kamehatka | 623 | 873 | 922 | - | 858 | - | 867 | 953 | - | 894 | 875 |
|  | Bolshaya | 638 | 798 | 952 | - | 784 | 760 | 903 | 977 | 1035 | 959 | 845 |
| 1978 | Kamchatka | 606 | 782 | 918 | 980 | 786 | - | 847 | 888 | - | 872 | 819 |
|  | Bolshaya | 654 | 804 | 1031 | 1030 | 908 | - | 916 | 982 | 1029 | 980 | 942 |
| 1979 | Kamchatka | 637 | 811 | 928 | 1050 | 835 | - | 859 | 933 | - | 908 | 864 |
|  | Bolshaya | 660 | 858 | 980 | 1080 | 916 | - | 929 | 1017 | 1090 | 1008 | 958 |
| 1980 | Kamchatka | 635 | 812 | 959 | - | 791 | - | 926 | 983 | - | 963 | 867 |
|  | Bolshaya | 658 | 838 | 1040 | - | 941 | - | 920 | 1012 | - | 1013 | 978 |
| Means | Kamchatka | 636 | 823 | 944 | 1015 | 821 | - | 875 | 944 | - | 909 | 857 |
|  | Bolshaya | 660 | 836 | 1003 | 1087 | 887 | - | 925 | 1002 | 1055 | 992 | 933 |

*Including unaged fish.

Table 14. $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{c} q u a t i o n s ~ t o ~ c o n v e r t ~ c h i n o o k ~ s a l m o n ~ l e n g t h ~}$ measurements between mid-eye to tall fork (ME-TF) and tip of snout to tail fork (TS-TF). Lengths in mm .
A. Samples from 1979 Nushagak catch

1. Males $n=152$ Ranges:
ME-TF 412-1094
TS-TF 437-1205
```
ME-TF = 32.3 + .877 (TS-TF)
Sy.x = 7.1
```

$\mathrm{TS}-\mathrm{TF}=34.4+1.137(\mathrm{ME}-\mathrm{TF})$
Sy.x $=8.1$
2. Females $n=76$
$\mathrm{ME}=\mathrm{TF}=13.7+.940(\mathrm{TS}-\mathrm{TF})$
Ranges:
Sy. $x=10.4$
ME-TF 618-1055
TS-TF 678-1131

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T S-T F=35.9+1.039(M E-T F) \\
& S y \cdot x=10.9
\end{aligned}
$$

B. Samples from 1980 Kamchatka River catch

1. $\frac{\text { Males }}{\text { Ranges : }}=110$

ME-TF 520-1065
TS-TF 575-1 185

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{ME}-\mathrm{TF}=21.6+.879(\mathrm{TS}-\mathrm{TF}) \\
& \mathrm{Sy} \cdot \mathrm{x}=4.9 \\
& \mathrm{TS}-\mathrm{TF}=-23.5+1.137(\mathrm{ME}-\mathrm{TF}) \\
& \mathrm{Sy} \cdot \mathrm{x}=5.6
\end{aligned}
$$

2. | Females $\mathrm{n}=87$ | $\mathrm{ME}-\mathrm{TF}=13.0+.899$ (TS-TF) |
| ---: | :--- |
| Ranges $: ~$ | $\mathrm{Sy} \cdot \mathrm{x}=5.0$ |
| $\mathrm{ME}-\mathrm{TF} 710-1025$ |  |
| $\mathrm{TS}-\mathrm{TF} 780-1125$ | $\mathrm{TS}-\mathrm{TF}=-7.2+1.104(\mathrm{ME}-\mathrm{TF})$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Zone $1=\begin{aligned} & \text { center of focus through last circulus in the freshwater } \\ & \text { annulus. }\end{aligned}$
Zone 2 = first circulus in freshwater plus growth zone through last freshwater circulus.

Zone 3 = first ocean circulus through last circulus in first ocean annulus.

Within these zones, measurements are made to the outer edge of every circulus. After Zone 3, they measure the total distance to each successive readable marine year.

Because of the smaller size of the digitizing tablets at FRI (35 x 35 cm of usable area), the second marine year is often the last readable year on chinook scales magnified at 100 x . Therefore, we have decided not to measure past the second marine year on the scale. In a few cases, the second marine year will not fit completely onto our digitizing screen. Therefore, we decided to measure the distance to each readable circulus in this zone (Zone 4). The number of circuli in Zone 4 (if any) that could not be digitized will also be noted. Zones 1-3 will be the same as those defined by ADF\&G.

## Microcomputer Software

During our visit to the Anchorage Lab, we were provided with a copy of their FORTRAN digitizing program (SCALE 3). However, because there are differences between operating systems, this program would not work on our computer. Therefore, a new digitizing program (SALMON) was constructed by Mr. Colin Harris and Mr. Robert Walker.

SALMON is a general purpose scale digitizing program patterned after ADF\&G's flexible format program, SCALE 3. SALMON can be used for any species and at different magnifications. The function of the numbered digitizer keys (1-9) are undefined and can be assigned to nine different growth zones. The program has two different formats, a detailed format that stores distances between each pair of circuli and an alternative format that stores only circulus count and total zone width for nine possible zones. The Chinook Origins Project wlll use the detailed format.

The detailed data format, codes, and explanations for the multipurpose scale digitizing program are shown in Table 15. On the first record for a fish, the first 40 columns contain header information, including sample identifier and biological data. The last 40 columns are for 10 fields of four columns. The first position in the field is the key or zone code, and the second is distance in units of inches (. 001 inches at 100x) from the previous point. The points are located at the intersections of circuli with the measurement axis of the scale. On subsequent (up to 8) records, there are 20 (key, distance) fields. The last record for each fish is blank filled after the last data point.

Table 15. Data format, codes, and explanation for the Fisheries Research Institute's multi-purpose scale digitizing program, SALMON.


Table 15. Data format, codes, and explanation for the Fisheries Research Institute's multi-purpose scale digitizing program, SALMON - continued.

| IDENTIFIER | COLUMN(S) | EXPLANATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length type code | 28 | ADF\&G length codes are used: <br> 1 = Snout to fork of tail <br> 2 = Mid-eye to fork of tail <br> $3=$ Orbit to fork of tail <br> 4 = Mid-eye to hypural plate <br> 5 = Orbit to hypural plate |
| Length | 29-32 | Fish body length in mm |
| Age | 33-34 | Koo system: <br> Col. 33 = number of freshwater annuli <br> Col. $34=$ number of ocean annuli |
| Supplementary Age Code | 35 | Codes used to describe appearance of edge of scale and to clarify interpretation and age designation by indidvidual readers: <br> $P=$ Plus growth is present at the edge of the scale, and one year was added to ocean age of fish. <br> $C=A$ check is present at the edge of the scale, and this check was included in the ocean age of the fish. <br> $G=$ Plus growth is present at the edge of the scale, and one year was not added to the ocean age of the fish. <br> $A=A$ check is present at the edge of the scale, and this check was not included in the ocean age of the fish. <br> Other codes may be established. |
| Scale type | 36 | INPFC codes that designate position on body sampled: <br> $A=$ preferred area <br> $B=$ adjacent to preferred area <br> $C=$ other <br> or <br> Codes that indicate the condition or appearance of a scale sample: <br> $\mathrm{R}=$ scale may be slightly regenerated <br> $X=$ scale slightly damaged <br> Other codes may be established. |
| Reader | 37 | A number identifying the individual who digitized the scale. |
| No. of data pairs (No. of circuli) | 38-40 | Up to 210 data pairs for a total of 11 records of 80 columns each. |
| Key |  | Each zone (up to 9 zones) is designated by a different cursor key (Keys 1-9). <br> Chinook key code: <br> $1=$ Focus to outer edge of last circulus in first freshwater annulus. <br> $2=$ Outer edge of first circulus in freshwater plus growth zone to outer edge of last freshwater circulus. <br> $3=$ Outer edge of first ocean circulus in first ocean year to outer edge of last circulus in first ocean annulus. <br> $4=$ Outer edge of first circulus in second ocean year to outer edge of last circulus in second ocean annulus. |
| Incremental distance | 42-44 | Incremental distance between each successive pair of circuli in units of .001 inches at l00x. |
| Key | 77 | Same as above. |
| Incremental distance | 78-80 | Same as above. |

(Up to 10 subsequent records have 20 fields of 4 for data (key, distance) pairs.)

The header format of FRI's SALMON is not identical to the header format of ADF\&G's SCALE 3, but it contains all of the same information (Fig. 8). Several additional identifiers were included in the header format of SALMON. A sample number (scale card number) and a fish number were included to allow identification of which scale on a card was digitized. One column was reserved for a supplementary age code, and is currently being used to describe the appearance of the edge of the scale and to clarify interpretation and age designation by individual readers. A column for ADF\&G length type codes was included; and a column for a scale type code was included to designate position on the body sampled or to indicate the condition or appearance of a scale sample (Table 15).

Codes for various identifiers will also vary somewhat between the two programs. ADF\&G district, subdistrict, stream, and location codes will be used when digitizing Alaskan samples; however, new codes will be established for standards from non-Alaskan areas. To save space, International North Pacific Salmon Fisheries Commission (INPFC) codes will be used to designate species, sex and maturity (Table 15). Age will be designated by the Koo system (European method, Koo 1962).

## Scale Measurement Procedures

A chinook scale file will consist of samples of one age class from a particular sample location in the same year. The scale files are named with a three-letter abbreviation for the river, a two-number code designating the age class, and a two-number code designating the year that the sample was collected. For example, YUK1375.DAT is the CP/M file name for age 1.3 chinook sampled in the Yukon in 1975.

The scales will be rear-projected onto the digitizing surface at a 100x magnification, and the system will be calibrated periodically to verify precision.

After a scale of the correct age is chosen from the age-weightlength form (AWL), the reader will examine the scale to determine if he agrees with the age designation and to determine if the scale can be digitized. Scales that are regenerated, damaged, resorbed, dirty, or those with bad fmpressions will not be used unless there are not enough scales to complete the number needed for a standard sample. If a scale that is slightly regenerated or damaged is used, this will be coded in column 36 of the header information (Table 15). If the reader does not agree with the age on the AWL form, the scale will not be digitized and the reader will note his age determination on the AWL form. When a sample consists of scales from more than one date, scale samples will be distributed evenly among the AWL's included. An attempt will also be made to distribute the samples evenly among the sexes, although this will not be possible for age classes or samples where one sex predominates.

When a scale has been chosen for digitizing, the image is aligned on the digitizing screen so that the measurement axis (the perpendicular to the posterior edge of the sculptured field) bisects the focus of the scale. Header information is filled out on the form displayed on the

Fig. 8. Comparison of the header format of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's (ADF\&G) flexible format digitizing program, SCALE3, and the Fisheries Research Institute's (FRI) multipurpose digitizing program, SALMON.

ADF\&G SCALE 3:


FRI SALMON:


CRT screen. The growth zones are marked on the scale image with a water soluble overhead projection pen; and the digitizer keys are depressed to establish the focus (key 0 ) and to measure to the outer edge of each circulus in the four growth zones (keys 1-4). The digitized data are displayed on the CRT, and if correct, is saved on the data diskette. After the scale has been measured, a check mark is made on the AWL to show that the scale has been digitized.

Fisheries Research Institute readers using these scale measurement procedures have been able to digitize an average of 10 chinook scales per hour.

Raw scale data will be stored on magnetic media and will be provided to ADF\&G upon request.

## Criteria for Interpreting Chinook Scale Growth Zones

In general, age determinations and interpretation of growth zones on chinook scales will be made by the well-known techniques for salmon scales described by Clutter and Whitesel (1956) and Major et al. (1972). We have also gained a considerable amount of experience and insight into techniques and problems specifically associated with chinook salmon scales by reviewing historical and recent literature on chinook salmon scales (Gilbert 1912; Fraser 1917; Rich 1920, 1925; Snyder 1922; Rich and Holmes 1928; Mottley 1929; Pritchard 1940; Koo and Isarankura 1967; Reimers 1973; Schluchter and Lichatowich 1977; Tutty and Yole 1978).

Fisheries Research Institute scale readers are attempting to use the same criteria for interpreting chinook scale growth zones that are used by the ADF\&G Stock Separation Lab. The only criterion that we are somewhat hesitant to use is identifying the end of the freshwater zone by a change in direction of circuli "tails" (3.c, Table 16). Welander's (1940) study of the development of chinook salmon scales showed that at approximately 80 mm standard length, the epidermis begins to fold in under the scale, cutting off direct contact of the scale with the dermis. The result is that no circuli are formed in the posterior field. If this results in the apperance of a change in the direction of circuli "tails," then this criterion may show only that the fish has reached a particular size, rather than that the fish has left freshwater.

During our December visit to Anchorage, we met with the Lab's chinook scale reader, Ms. Debbie Hicks, and spent one day with her examining scales, observing measurement techniques, and discussing criteria for interpreting chinook scale growth zones. In addition, Ms. Hicks has provided us with photographs of chinook scales with marks placed at her interpretations of the boundaries of growth zones. After examining these photographs, we think that the only major source of variability in interpretation may arise when defining the end of freshwater growth. Many chinook scales have a gradual increase in thickness and spacing of circuli after the freshwater annulus or have one or more bands of circuli of thickness and spacing intermediate between typical freshwater or ocean

# Table 16. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Statewide Stock Separation Project's outline of criteria used to interpret scale growth zones. 

A. Freshwater Zone

1. Focus
a. Center of circle or elipse defined by innermost recognizable circuli.
2. First freshwater winter check.
a. Decrease in circuli spacing
b. Breakage and inter-braiding of circuli
c. Thinner circuli
d. Pinching together of circuli at their ends or "tails"
3. End of freshwater zone
a. Sudden increase in circuli spacing
b. Sudden increase in circuli thickness
c. Change in direction of circuli "tails"
B. Ocean Zone
4. Marine winter checks
a. Closer spacing of circuli
b. Thinner circuli
c. Increase in breakage and braiding of circuli (especially at beginning and end of checks)
d. Pinching together of circuli or sudden change in direction of circuli "tails"
thickness and spacing. Because of these characters, delimiting the end of freshwater growth is often very subjective, and, because there is variability in these characters between fish, it may even be difficult for individual readers to remain consistent in their interpretations. To avoid these inconsistencies in interpretation, measurements coded as zone 2 (freshwater plus growth zone) could be combined with zone 3 measurements (first ocean zone). This zone would represent the entire year in which the fish first emigrated to the ocean, and would include measurements from the outer edge of the first circulus after the freshwater annulus (from the focus in the case of age 0 . chinook) through the last circulus in the first ocean annulus.

## Chinook Scale Samples

We have only recently begun digitizing chinook scales, and so relatively few samples are available. These are listed in Table 17. The number of scales from a particular location, age class, and year needed to create a regional standard will vary, depending on estimates of abundance. However, for the present, we are collecting data on up to 100 scales for each major stream, age class, and year (1975 - present). Because ages 1.3 and 1.4 appear to be the predominant age classes of returning adults in Asian and Alaskan samples, our initial data collection will be limited primarily to fish of those age classes.

Chinook Scale Sampling by U.S. Observers on Japanese Motherships
Because of personnel time and budget restrictions on the FRI High Seas Salmon Project, the Chinook Origins Project provided for chinook scale sampling by U.S. observers on Japanese motherships during the 1982 season. A new computer-coded data form was designed; and observers were provided with data forms printed on waterproof paper, gummed scale cards, forceps, and metal scale card holders. Scale sampling instructions to observers were similar to those given in 1981 except that observers were requested to sample two scales per fish instead of one.

## Japanese Fishery in the Bering Sea

The Japanese high seas fishery has been in operation since 1952 and the changes in the areas fished have previously been described by Rogers (1981b). The catch and effort data we will discuss in this section concerns the fishery and areas fished in the Bering Sea from 1972 to 1980 and has been supplied by the Fishery Agency of Japan (1981a).

## Mothership Fishery

The area fished by the mothership fleet has changed from 1972 to 1980. To account for this change in areas and to provide us with larger sample sizes, we divided the fishing area into zones shown by Fig. 9. We analyzed the chinook fishery from each zone over the years and months that fishing occurred.

Table 17. Region, location, age class, year, sample size, and $C P / M$ file name of digitized chinook salmon scale samples.

| REGION | LOCATION | AGE <br> CLASS | YEAR <br> SAMPLED | SAMPLE <br> SIZE* | CP/M FILE <br> NAME |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asia | Bolshaya R. | 1.3 | 1980 | 22 | BOL1380.DAT |
| Asia | Bolshaya R. | 1.4 | 1980 | 100 | BOL1480.DAT |
| Asia | Kamchatka R. | 1.3 | 1980 | 59 | KAM1380.DAT |
| Asia | Kamchatka R. | 1.4 | 1980 | 69 | KAM1480.DAT |
| Western <br> Alaska | Nushagak | 1.3 | 1980 | 100 | NUS1380.DAT |
| Western <br> Alaska | Nushagak | 1.4 | 1980 | 66 | NUS1480.DAT |
| Western <br> Alaska <br> Western <br> Alaska | Yukon | 1.3 | 1980 | 100 | Yuki380.DAT |

*When sample size is less than 100 , all readable scales for this location, age class, and year were digitized.


Fig. 9. Delineation of the geographical zones in the Bering Sea used to analyze the Japanese mothership chinook catch data, 1972-1980.


Fig. 10. Delineation of the geographical zones in the Bering Sea used to analyze the Japanese research vessel chinook catch data, 1972-1980.

Though the age and maturity data for the mothership fishery from 1972 to 1980 were provided by the Fishery Japan Agency (1981a), the catch and effort data were obtained from statistical yearbooks and other INPFC documents (Gunstrom 1975; Forrester 1975, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1981a and b; Fishery Agency of Japan 1980 and 1981c).

The numbers of fish of each particular age class-maturity category (e.g. 1.1 immature, 1.2 immature) for the $2^{0} \times 5^{0}$ areas and $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ areas reported in the data sources were calculated from the proportion of fish of that particular age class-maturity classification $x$ the total chinook caught in the same area and time period and summed to obtain the numbers of those fish for the eight zones (Fig. 9). The effort (number of tans fished) was similarly summed and the catch per unit effort (CPUE) was calculated by dividing the numbers of fish of each category by the accumulated effort x 1,000 .

The percent of the total CPUE $x 1,000$ for fish from the major agematurity categories is presented in Fable 18. These results show the mothership catch is primarily composed of 1.2 immature chinook for all areas fished during each month and year fishing occurred, with the exception of area 2 N . This zone, which is next to the Kamchatka coast, was fished with a relatively low pressure, 183,000 tans, with a resulting catch of 5,000 fish. This difference may or may not be representative of the zone due to the small numbers of fish (24) that were aged from the catches collected in the four months over the three years that fishing occurred.

Immature 1.3 fish were the next major age component of the mothership catch followed by 1.2 mature fish.

The other category which showed a major difference from the other age compositions in Table 18 was that 1.2 mature fish comprised $30 \%$ of the CPUE during May. The supposition that mature fish are in the Bering Sea at this time of year is again suspect due to the small sample of fish aged (18), small area fished ( 8 N and 8 S ), small catch ( 650 fish from 76,000 tans), and that the May fishery only occurred in one year (1974).

Figures 11 to 13 depict the ranges of CPUE for these different age classes. The dramatic rise in the chinook catch is reflected in the CPUE data from 1979 and 1980. The CPUE differences between the catch of 1.2 immature chinook and the other age categories is clearly shown by these figures.

## Research Vessel Catch

The CPUE data in the research vessel age class-catch as provided by the Fishery Agency of Japan (1981a) was used to analyze the research vessels catches. The CPUE data per age class for the chinook catch were added for each category and divided by the number of times fishing occurred in that category to determine the average CPUE.

Table 18. Percent of CPUE by age class for the Japanese mothership chinook catch.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% CPUE } \\ 1.2 \\ \text { Immature } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { CPUE } \\ 1.3 \\ \text { Immature } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { CPUE } \\ 1.2 \\ \text { Mature } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% CPUE <br> All other age classes mature and immature | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \times \quad 1000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Catch } \\ \text { (\#'s fish) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 62.66 | 33.20 | 2.67 | 1.47 | 83.78 | 181,396 |
| 1973 | 52.83 | 29.88 | 10.19 | 7.10 | 21.67 | 19,944 |
| 1974 | 91.35 | 3.20 | 3.43 | 2.02 | 117.00 | 210,506 |
| 1975 | 89.39 | 2.40 | 7.33 | 0.88 | 81.48 | 101,729 |
| 1976 | 86.10 | 6.06 | 2.90 | 4.94 | 62.10 | 91,272 |
| 1977 | 80.09 | 10.66 | 7.90 | 1.35 | 39.18 | 52,526 |
| 1978 | 91.16 | 6.77 | 1.66 | 5.82 | 42.31 | 8,388 |
| 1979 | 97.64 | 1.92 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 187.44 | 67,950 |
| 1980 | 87.85 | 10.89 | 0.36 | 0.90 | 650.55 | 412,716 |
| Months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May | 70.88 |  | 28.77 | 0.35 | 8.54 | 652 |
| June | 77.45 | 14.47 | 5.92 | 2.16 | 51.30 | 174,613 |
| July | 88.24 | 8.97 | 1.21 | 1.58 | 145.87 | 971,112 |
| Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Bering Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 22.44 | 49.02 | 10.92 | 17.62 | 28.11 | 5,115 |
| 4N | 71.89 | 8.92 | 14.47 | 4.72 | 37.55 | 39,032 |
| 6N | 85.14 | 6.58 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 86.15 | 73,380 |
| 6 S | 86.24 | 5.90 | 5.79 | 2.07 | 71.55 | 32,039 |
| Central Bering Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8N | 84.80 | 13.68 | 0.64 | 0.88 | 170.10 | 394,110 |
| 8S | 84.12 | 10.57 | 3.11 | 2.20 | 18.89 | 27,765 |
| 10N | 91.63 | 6.94 | 0.37 | 1.06 | 157.95 | 484,128 |
| 10S | 84.13 | 11.08 | 3.79 | 1.00 | 119.23 | 89,808 |
| All data | 85.07 | 10.54 | 2.64 | 1.75 | 113.08 | 1,146,377 |



Fig. 11. Histograms of average CPUE for the mothership fishery, 1972-1980, by major age-maturity categories.


Fig. 13. Histograms of average CPUE for the mothership fishery, by area in the central Bering Sea and all data combined (bottom), by major age-maturity categories.



Fig. 12. Histograms of average CPUE for the mothership fishery, by month (top), by area in the western Bering Sea, by major age-maturity categories.

The research vessels did not have the same area limitations as the mothership fishery. To analyze these data, we used similar zones to those used in the mothership fishery analysis and these zones are depicted in Fig. 10.

The research vessels use two different net types. Type " $A$ " net is basically the same as the mothership net, mesh size of 121 mm and 130 mm . Type "C" net is a variable mesh net with 10 mesh sizes of $48,55,63,72$, 82, $93,106,121,138$, and 158 mm (Ito and Takagi 1981), and catches from this net are different than those from "A" net. We will discuss the catch from "A" net first, due to its similarity to the mothership catch, and then discuss the results from the " C " net catches.

Research Vessel "A" Net
The percent of CPUE by age class for the Japanese research vessel "A" net catch is given in Table 19. The shifts in the percent of 1.3 immature fish as shown by the mothership data (yearly range $1.92 \%$ to $33.20 \% \bar{x}=10.54 \%$ ) are shown to a greater degree in the research vessels catch (yearly range $0.22 \%$ to $53.82 \% \mathrm{x}=11.12 \%$ ) with a greater than average catch of 1.3 fish occurring in the central Bering Sea zones of 8 N and 8 S , for both the research vessel " A " net and the mothership catches.

Figs. 14 to 18 depict the CPUE for these different age classes. The increase in chinook catch, as in the mothership fishery, for the years 1979 to 1980 are shown by these histograms.

Research Vessel "C" Net
The percent of CPUE by age class for the Japanese research vessel "C" net is given in Table 20. The difference in age classes caught by the " C " net versus the " A " net is due to the catch of 1.1 fish ( $2.49 \%$ of total CPUE for reserach vessel "A" net versus $49.02 \%$ of total CPUE for research vessel "C" net). The varlation between immature age classes is more pronounced with the " C " net. catches than the " A " net catches. The catch is mainly composed of 1.1 immature chinook ( 5 out of 9 years) and 1.2 immature chinook are the second largest catch (major composition of catch 3 out of 9 years). The catch of mature 1.2 chinook was small for all years, months, and areas except for zone 16 S , which had a $100 \%$ mature catch ( $66.67 \% 1.1$ mature and $33.33 \% 1.2$ mature).

The research vessels fish further east than the mothership boats, and the catches in the eastern Bering Sea appear to be different. They are reporting a catch of primarily 1.3 fish, and both the catches from " A " net and " C " nets concur.

Figures 19 to 23 depict the CPUE for the different major age classes. These histograms show the increase in the 1.2 immature fish for 1979 and 1980, which was the major composition of the " $A$ " net catches in those years. However, the " C " net catches show a higher total CPUE in 1979

Table 19. Percent of CPUE by age class for the Japanese research vessel catch, type A net.

| Category |  | Immature \% |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Mature } \\ (\%) \end{array} \\ \hline 1.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Others$(\%)^{1}$ | Number of days(n) | Average$\text { CPUE }{ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 2.49 | 83.51 | 11.12 | 0.76 | 2.12 | 650 | 36.29 |
|  | 1972 | 0.00 | 58.91 | 28.84 | 2.72 | 9.53 | 111 | 13.90 |
|  | 1973 | 0.00 | 36.05 | 53.82 | 2.82 | 7.31 | 99 | 6.08 |
|  | 1974 | 0.00 | 86.69 | 6.13 | 3.03 | 4.15 | 96 | 15.81 |
|  | 1975 | 0.97 | 87.26 | 8.18 | 0.95 | 2.64 | 108 | 45.86 |
| Year | 1976 | 0.00 | 68.00 | 18.67 | 6.67 | 6.66 | 116 | 0.65 |
|  | 1977 | 0.00 | 71.77 | 27.31 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 44 | 54.09 |
|  | 1978 | 10.19 | 82.05 | 5.47 | 0.00 | 2.29 | 30 | 94.53 |
|  | 1979 | 5.13 | 94.14 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 26 | 172.46 |
|  | 1980 | 0.40 | 89.04 | 10.12 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 20 | 259.95 |
|  | May | 0.00 | 90.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.84 | 7 | 17.43 |
|  | June | 0.00 | 75.55 | 18.65 | 2.23 | 3.58 | 232 | 23.02 |
| Month | July | 3.18 | 85.80 | 9.22 | 0.32 | 1.48 | 298 | 57.01 |
|  | August | 4.22 | 85.94 | 5.27 | 0.44 | 4.13 | 112 | 10.16 |
|  | Sept. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.00 |
|  | 2N | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15 | 0.33 |
|  | 2S | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | -4 |
| Western | 4N | 5.34 | 51.15 | 7.63 | 0.00 | 35.88 | 53 | 2.47 |
| Bering | 4S | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.00 |
|  | 6N | 0.78 | 83.80 | 10.16 | 0.99 | 4.27 | 63 | 30.48 |
|  | 6S | 0.00 | 85.37 | 9.49 | 3.39 | 1.75 | 20 | 36.60 |
| Central <br> Bering <br> Sea | 8N | 0.60 | 83.46 | 12.34 | 1.19 | 2.41 | 114 | 47.99 |
|  | 8 S | 0.00 | 71.99 | 25.26 | 1.18 | 1.57 | 42 | 36.38 |
|  | 10N | 4.82 | 84.43 | 8.93 | 0.35 | 1.47 | 180 | 60.86 |
|  | 10S | 0.00 | 90.41 | . 8.37 | 0.45 | 0.77 | 67 | 33.00 |
|  | 12N | 0.00 | 78.84 | 18.43 | 1.37 | 1.36 | 27 | 10.85 |
|  | 12S | 0.00 | 81.46 | 11.92 | 0.00 | 6.62 | 18 | 16.78 |
| Eastern <br> Bering <br> Sea | 14 N | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.00 |
|  | 14S | 0.00 | 10.81 | 89.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5 | 7.40 |
|  | 16N | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26 | 0.00 |
|  | 16S | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.00 |
| 3 |  | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | - | _4 |

$1_{\text {All }}$ other age classes mature and immature.
$2^{\text {Average CPUE }}=\frac{\sum_{1}^{n} \text { CPDE }}{n} \times 1000$
${ }^{3}$ South of Aleutian Islands.
${ }^{4}$ No fishing.


Fig. 14. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type A.-net) 1972-1980, by major age-maturity categories.


Fig. 15. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type A-net) by month, by major age-maturity categories.


Fig. 16. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type A-net) by area in the western Bering Sea, by major age-maturity categories.


Fig. 17. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vesse1 (type A-net) by area in the central Bering Sea, by major age-maturity categories.


Fig. 18. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type A-net) by area in the eastern Bering Sea, and all data combined (bottom), by major age-maturity categories.

Table 20. Percent of CPUE by age class for the Japanese research vessel catch, type C net.

| Category |  | Immature \% |  |  | Mature (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Others } \\ (\%)^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of days <br> ( n ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { CPUE }^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 49.02 | 38.51 | 6.13 | 2.41 | 3.93 | 652 | 18.68 |
|  | 1972 | 36.15 | 29.65 | 17.22 | 4.80 | 12.18 | 115 | 13.20 |
| Year | 1973 | 73.39 | 14.48 | 2.08 | 3.35 | 6.70 | 98 | 11.28 |
|  | 1974 | 53.20 | 36.66 | 4.35 | 1.44 | 4.35 | 96 | 23.93 |
|  | 1975 | 64.21 | 29.80 | 1.08 | 1.24 | 3.67 | 107 | 28.54 |
|  | 1976 | 33.33 | 66.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 116 | 0.52 |
|  | 1977 | 52.17 | 37.08 | 10.74 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 44 | 35.55 |
|  | 1978 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30 | 0.00 |
|  | 1979 | 27.30 | 68.04 | 0.00 | 4.67 | 0.00 | 26 | 55.23 |
|  | 1980 | 16.37 | 65.87 | 13.88 | 3.89 | 0.00 | 20 | 54.05 |
| Month | May | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.00 |
|  | June | 62.64 | 27.67 | 6.51 | 1.51 | 1.67 | 229 | 21.94 |
|  | July | 37.34 | 48.18 | 5.32 | 3.25 | 5.91 | 297 | 22.49 |
|  | August | 69.10 | 17.33 | 13.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 118 | 4.06 |
|  | Sept. | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.00 |
| Western <br> Bering <br> Sea | 2N | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14 | 2.86 |
|  | 2 S | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
|  | 4N | 86.39 | 0.00 | 13.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 56 | 3.02 |
|  | 4 S | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.00 |
|  | 6 N | 39.66 | 33.38 | 5.51 | 0.00 | 21.45 | 70 | 9.33 |
|  | 6 S | 54.47 | 38.97 | 6.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 22 | 22.86 |
| Central <br> Bering <br> Sea | 8 N | 34.67 | 50.46 | 4.88 | 5.15 | 4.84 | 113 | 23.04 |
|  | 85 | 86.30 | 12.23 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40 | 34.13 |
|  | 10N | 44.68 | 42.05 | 8.99 | 2.14 | 2.14 | 178 | 26.24 |
|  | 10N | 51.85 | 39.86 | 2.20 | 2.20 | 3.89 | 66 | 15.17 |
|  | 12 N | 58.40 | 33.33 | 8.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26 | 15.35 |
|  | 12 S | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17 | 35.29 |
| Eastern <br> Bering <br> Sea | 14N | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5 | 6.60 |
|  | 14 S | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5 | 6.60 |
|  | 16 N | 0.00 | 5.71 | 94.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 24 | 4.63 |
|  | 16 S | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 66.67 | 8 | 0.00 |
| 3 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - ${ }^{4}$ |

${ }^{1}$ All other age classes mature and immature.
${ }^{2}$ Average CPUE $=\frac{\sum_{n}^{n} \text { CPUE }}{n} \times 1000$
${ }^{3}$ South of Aleutian Islands.
${ }^{4}$ No fishing.


Fig. 19. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type C-net) 1972-1980, by major age-maturity categories.


Fig. 20. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type C-net) by month, by major age-maturity categories.


Fig. 21. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type C-net) by area in the western Bering Sea, by major age-maturity categories.


Fig. 22. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type C-net) by area in the central Bering Sea, by major agematurity categories.


Fig. 23. Histograms of average CPUE for the research vessel (type C-net) by area in the eastern Bering Sea and all data combined (bottom), by major age-naturity categories.
than in 1980, which was not shown by the mothership or research " $A$ " net catches.

The greatest CPUE occurs in the months of June and July for chinook in the mothership catch and the research vessel "A" and "C" net catches. The July "C" net average CPUE is higher than June's by 0.55 CPUE, which is lower than the 33.99 CPUE difference between these months for the research vessel " $A$ " nets CPUE and the 94.57 CPUE difference between July and June for the mothership.

The highest CPUE for the research vessel " $C$ " nets occurs in the central Bering Sea zones which concurs with the research vessel "A" nets CPUE and the mothership CPUE.

Differences occurred in all three catches of chinook (mothership and research "A" and "C" nets) in the Bering Sea, but important similarities in the data show areas of highest chinook concentrations (central Bering Sea), increases in CPUE between the various years and months the fishery occurs in the Bering Sea, and that the catch shifts from primarily 1.1 and 1.2 immature chinook in the western and central Bering Sea to 1.3 immature chinook in the eastern Bering Sea.

## SUMMARY

Scales from chinook of known rivers of origin have been collected from Russia to California from 1972 to 1981. Only the Columbia River and coastal Oregon have not been sampled, but sampling is scheduled early in the next funding period. Additional samples from Russia are being requested, also. An appendix which contains the list of scales at FRI is included with this report.

We recommend that two chinook scales be taken in future sampling, one from the preferred area on each side of the fish, because we frequently encountered regenerated scales in our samples. Regenerated scales are unsuitable for scale pattern measurements. The methods of scale measurement and data collection have been coordinated with the Stock Separation Lab, ADF\&G.

The mothership fishery age distribution-catch data have been weighted by effort to examine trends in the Bering Sea fishery. Additional analysis will occur' after the complete data is received from the Fishery Agency of Japan.

A review of the biology and information on the origins of chinook in the past and present mothership fishery area is discussed and this report contains a bibliography which references information collected during the first year of the study.
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| Sample Site |  | cdill | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Alaska |  |  |  |
| Lower Yukon R. |  |  |  |
| Emmonak | 8-1/2 | 1-4 | 1975 |
| Emmonak | in mesh |  |  |
| " | 1 | 6-7 | 1 |
| " | " | 9-15 | " |
| " | " | 17-18 | 11 |
| " | " | 23-29 | " |
| " | " | 34-39 | " |
| " | " | 40 | " |
| " | " | 45-51 | " |
| Emmonak | 8-1/2 | 1 | 1976 |
| " | in mesh | 3-8 | " |
| 1 | " | 11-17 | " |
| " | " | 22-28 | " |
| 11 | " | 34-39 | " |
| " | ' | 44-50 | " |
| Enmonak | 8-1/2 | 1-12 | 1977 |
| " | in mesh | 17-23 | 1 |
| - | " | 28-34 | " |
| " | " | 54 | " |
| 11 | " | 58 | " |
| " | $\begin{gathered} 5-1 / 2 \\ \text { in mesh } \\ \text { ! } \end{gathered}$ |  | " |
|  |  | 40-41 |  |
| * |  | 54-55 | ' |
| " | " | 60 | 11 |
|  | " | 65 | " |
| Emmonak | 5-1/2 | 1 | 1978 |
| Preseason | in mesh | 3 | 1 |
| " | " | 7 | 11 |
| 18 | 8-1/2 | 10 | 11 |
|  | in mesh |  | 11 |
| Enmonak Commercial | 1 | 17-20 | " |
|  |  |  | " |
| * | " | 25-29 | " |
| " | " | $34-40$ $45-46$ | " |
| " | " | 51-57 | " |
| " | " | 62-63 | " |
| " | 5-1/2 | 62 | " |
|  | in mesh |  | " |
| " |  | 68 |  |
| " | " | 70-74 | 4 |


| Sample Site |  | cd | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Western Alaska |  |  |  |
| Lower Yukon R. |  |  |  |
| Emononak | 5-1/2 | 45 | 1976 |
| Commercial | in mesh | $50$ | 1976 |
| Flat Island | 8-1/2 | 1 | 1977 |
| Test Fishing | in mesh |  |  |
|  | " | 6-8 | " |
| 14 | " | 12 | " |
| " | " | 16 | " |
| " | " | 21 | " |
| " | " | 25 | $"$ |
| " | " | 29 | " |
| " | " | 32 | " |
| " | 11 | 36 | " |
| " | " | 39 | " |
| " | 1 | 43 | " |
| " | 11 | 47 | " |
| " | " | 51 | " |
| " | 1 | 55 | 11 |
| \% | " | 59 | " |
| " | 1 | 63 | " |
| " | " | 67 | 1 |
| " | " | 69 | " |
| " | " | 73 | 1 |
| " | " | 75 | 1 |
| " | " | 78 | " |
| " | " | 80 | " |
| " | " | 83 | 1 |
| 11 | 5-1/2 | 10 | " |
|  | in mesh | 10 |  |
| " | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 15 | " |
| " | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 23 | 1 |
| " | 11 | 27 | 11 |
| 11 | + | 32 | " |
| " | " | 37 | " |
| " | " | 41 | " |
| " | " | 45 | " |
| " | 11 | 49 | 11 |
| * | " | 53 | " |
| " | 11 | 62 | 1 |
| " | " 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unrdbl } \\ & \text { \#'s } \end{aligned}$ | " |
| Flat Island Comercial | " | 71 | " |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | " | 77 | " |
| " | " | 84 | " |


Sample Sitecd \# Date
Western Alaska
Middle Yukon $R$.
Salcha R. Escap. from 1-63 ..... 1981
Big Eddy Creek 1-19 ..... $"$

Sample Site
Yukon Territory
Upper Yukon R. Dawson

Dawson Studies

Dawson Fishway
Dawson Fishery

Yukon R. (Dead Recov)
Fresno Cr.

Bk \# Date


| Sample Site | Bk 韭 | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yukon Territory |  |  |
| Upper Yukon R. |  |  |
| Yukon R. Mainstem | one | 4 Aug 1977 |
| Whitehorse fshwy | 1 | 17 Aug |
|  | 2 | Aug |
|  | 3 |  |
| 10-14 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 2 | 29 July |
| 28 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 3 |  |
| Yukon-Dawson | 4 | 5 Aug |
| 28-35 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 5 | 5 " |
| 10 ml downstream Yukon, Dawson | 6 | 5 " |
| 10 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 7 | 6 " |
| 10 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 8 | 6 " |
| 3.5 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 9 | $6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 35 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 10 | 6 " |
| 10 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 11 | 6 " |
| 12-15 mi downstream Yukon, Dawson | 12 |  |
| Chanidu Cr. | 2 | 29 July 1978 |
|  | 3 | 30 " |
|  | 4 | 30 " |
|  | 8 | 30 " |
|  | 9 | 31 " |
| Woodchopper Cr. | W-1 | 8 Aug |
|  | W-2 | 1978 |
|  | W-3 | 5 Aug |
|  | 5 | 30 Jul |
|  | 6 | 30 " |
|  | 7-1 | 28 " |
|  | 7-2 | 30 |
|  | 10 | 31 " |
|  | 13 | 4 Aug |
|  | 14 | 4 Aug |
| Whitehorse fishway | 1 | Aug |
|  | 2 | 7 Aug |
|  | 3 | 8 Aug? |
|  | 4 | Aug |
|  | 5 |  |
|  | 6 | Sept |
|  | 7 | Aug |
| Dawson | 11 | 2-3 Aug |
|  | 12 | 4 Aug |
|  | 15 | 4 " |
|  | 16 | 4 " |
|  | A | 1978 |
| Yukon |  | 1978 |
|  | c | 1978 |
|  | D | 1978 |
|  | E | 1978 |


| Sample Site | Bk \# | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yukon Territory |  |  |
| Upper Yukon R. |  |  |
| Yukon | 1 | 9 July 1980 |
|  | 2 | 10 July |
|  | 5 | 19 July |
|  | 6 | 21 July |
|  | 7 | 21 July |
| Cassiar Cr. | 9 | 28 July |
| Yukon | 10 | 28 July |
|  | 12 | 30 July |
|  | 13 | 30 July |
| Woodchopper Cr. | 1 | 31 July |
|  | 2 |  |
|  | 1 | 11 Aug |
| Cassiar Cr. | III | 31 July |
| Cliff Cr. | 4 | 1 Aug |
|  | 5 | 1 Aug |
| Yukon (Cliff?) | 6 | 1 Aug |
| Christian Camp | 1 | 2 Aug |
| Peterson's, Yukon | 2 | 4 Aug |
|  | 4 | 1 Aug |
| Fresno Cr. | 1 | 25 Aug |
| Cassiar Cr. | 2 | 7 Aug |
|  | 3 | 8 Aug |
| Yukon | 1 | 3 July 1981 |
|  | 2 | 3 July |
|  | 4 | 4 July |
|  | 6 | 4-5 July |
|  | 10 | 8 July |
|  | 13 | 9-11 July |
|  | 14 | 11 July |
|  | 15 | 11 July |
|  | 16 | 13 July |
|  | 18 | 13 July |
|  | 19 | 13 July |
|  | 22 | 14 July |
|  | 23 | 15 July |
|  | 27 | 16 July |
|  | 42 | 25 July |
|  | 43 | 27 July |
|  | 45 | 28 July |
|  | 58 | 10 Ang |
|  | 59 | 12 Aug |
|  | 60 | 14 Aug |
| Dawson Comin | 1-8 | 1981 |
|  | 2 \#9 ${ }^{\text {5* }}$ | " |
|  | 10-30 | " |
|  | 42-43 | 1 |
| Whitehorse Fishway | 1-34 | 1 |

*Two cards, same number, with different scales


[^5]| Sample Site | cd \# | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Alaska |  |  |
| Crystal Cr. | 1-27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 27- } \\ & \text { Aug 18, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Steep Cr. | 1-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug 10, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Little Tahltan R. | 1-35 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug 4-11, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| " | 37-90 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug 11-12, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sashin Cr. | 1-44 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug 6- } \\ & \text { Sept } 5 \text {, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Andrew Cr. | 1F-6F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 16- } \\ & \text { Aug } 21 \text {, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| " | IM-5M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 16- } \\ & \text { Aug 17, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| " | 1-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug 6-20 } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nahlin R. | 1-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } 3 \text {, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Carroll R. | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 21- } \\ & \text { Aug 8, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cripple Cr. | 1-13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug 7-15, } \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |

Taku R.

| Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taku R Pier | 1 | 25 June 1980 |
| Taku R Barge | 2 |  |
| " | 3 | " |
| " | 4 | 29 June |
| Taku R Canyon | 1 | 12 July 1981 |
| Taku R Barge | 1 | 29 June |
| " | 2 | " |
| " | 3 | 7-12 July |
| " | 4 | 13 July |
| " | 5 | 3 Aug |


| Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stikine R | one | 7-131975 |
|  | 1-A | 9 July |
|  | 2 | 13 June |
|  | 3 | 8 July |
|  | 4 | 12 July |
| Blanchard R | Al | July, Aug 1976 |
| Bucks Bar | SR-2 | 2 8-28 July |
| Stikine R | 1 | 27 May 1977 |
|  | 19 | 30 June |
|  | 25 | 4 July |
|  | 10011 | 11-21 July |
|  | 101 | 21 July |
| Stikine R | 1 | 9 July 1979 |
|  | 2 |  |
|  | 1 | 23 July |
|  | 1 | 30 July |
| Lower Stikine | I | 23 June 1980 |
|  | IV | 24 June |
|  | V | " |
|  | VI | " |
|  | 11 | 30 June |
|  | 12 | 1 |
|  | 13 | 1 |
|  | 16 | 2 July |
|  | 17 | " |
|  | 18 | 7 July |
|  | 19 | 8 July |
|  | 20 | 9 July |
|  | 22 | 15 July |
|  | 23 | 15 July |
|  | 24 | 16 July |
|  | 26 | 12 Aug |
|  | 27 | 2 Sep |
| Stikine |  |  |
| Upper Fish. | 2 | 2 July |
|  | 3 | 3 July |
| Lower Stikine | 1 | 1 July 1981 |
|  | 2 | 2-7 July |
|  | 3 | 7-8 July |
|  | 4 | 9-21 July |
|  | 5A | 22 July - 1-17 Aug |
|  | 5B | 1-17 Aug |
| Upper Stikine | 1 | 25 June |
|  | 2 | 29 June |
|  | 3 | 2 July |

## Klukshu River



Yakoun River

| Sample Site | Bk |  | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yakoun | 1 | 5 | 0ct 78 |
|  | 4 |  | Oct |
|  | 5 |  | " |
|  | 6 |  | " |
|  | 7 |  | " |
|  | 8 |  | 11 |
| Yakoun R |  |  |  |
| Branch 47 | two | 24 | Sept 79 |
|  | three | 25 | Sept |
| Yakoun R |  |  |  |
| (holding pen) | ) four | 29 | Sept |
| Yakoun R | 5 | 4 | Oct |
|  | six | 5 | Oct |
| Yakoun R (holding pen) | ) seven |  | Oct |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yakoun } R \\ & \text { (mile } 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Fridge) | elght | 9 | Oct |
| Yakoun R. |  |  |  |
| (Beach seine) | ) 1 |  | Aug 80 |
|  | 2 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 18 | Sept |
| Yakoun (area 1) | ) 2 | 23 | Sept |
|  | 3 | 24 | Sept |
|  | 4 | 25 | Sept |
|  | 5 | 26 | Sept |
|  | 6 | 30 | Sept |
|  | 7 | 30 | Sept |
|  | 8 |  |  |
| Yakoun 2 W | 9 | 1 | Oct |
| Yakoun R | 10 | " |  |
|  | 11 | " |  |
|  | 12 | " |  |
|  | 13 | 3 | Oct |

## Nass River

| Sample Site | Bk | Date | Sample Site | Bk |  | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 2-Nass | 1 | 1 July,1977 | Greenville | 10 |  | Jul |
|  | 2 | 5 July |  | 11 | 3 | July |
|  | 3 | 7 July |  | 12 | " |  |
|  | 4 | 13 July |  | 13 |  | July |
|  | 5 | 14 July |  |  |  |  |
| $3 \text { Z-Nass }$ | 1A | 19 June, 1978 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 28 June |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 3 & 2 \\ 3 & Y \end{array}$ | 13 | $12 \mathrm{Ju} \mathrm{l}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 3 July |  |  |  |  |
| Meziadin R | 1 | 23 Sept. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | " |  |  |  |  |
| Meziadin R | 1 | Sum/Fall 1979 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 20 Aug |  |  |  |  |
| Cranberry R Tseax R | 1 | Fall |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Cranberry R | 02 | 11,12 July 1980 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | 21 July |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18 | 25 July |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23 | 27,28 July |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 | 29,30 July |  |  |  |  |
| Greenville R | 2 | 19 July |  | - |  |  |
|  | 3 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | B | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Meziadin R | 1 | 2-17 July |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 18-24 July. |  |  |  |  |
| Tseax R | 1 | 25 July |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 16-17 Aug |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 17 Aug |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 30 Aug |  |  |  |  |
| Greenville R | 1 | 19 June 1981 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Nass R @ Grnvl | 4 | 20 June |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 20 June |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 20 June |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 27 June |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | " |  |  |  |  |
| Greenville | 9 | 1 July |  |  |  |  |

## Skeena R.

| Sample Site | Bk | Date | Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tyee-Skeena | $3 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 19 June 1975 | Tyee (Skeena) | 14 | 5,7,8 July 1977 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-9$ | 7-10 July |  | 15 | 7-10 July |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-10$ | 11-13 " |  | 16 | 10-11 July |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-11$ | 13-14 " |  | 17 | 11-12 July |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-12$ | 15 " |  | 18 | 12-16 July |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-13$ | 16-17 " |  | 19 | 16-17 July |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-14$ | 17 " |  | 20 | 16,18 July |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-15$ | 18 " |  | 21 | 18,19, 21 July |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-15$ | July |  | 22 | 20-21 July |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-16$ | 18-19 July | Skeena-Tyee | 1 | 14 June 1978 |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-17$ | 19 July | Skeena Test | 2 | 19 June |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-18$ | 20-27 July |  | 3 | 24 June |
|  | $3 \mathrm{C}-19$ | 27-29 July |  | 4 | 26 June |
| Skeena Test | 1 | 16 June 1976 |  | 5 | 28 June |
|  | 2 | 22-27 June |  | 6 | 29 June |
|  | 3 | 26-30 " |  | 7 | 30 June |
|  | 4 | 2-5 July |  | 8 | 2 July |
|  | 5 | 6-7 July | Skeena | 9 | 4 July |
|  | 6 | 7-9 July | Skeena Test | 10 | 10 July |
|  | 7 | 9-11 July |  | 11 | 10-14 July |
|  | 8 | 11-12 July |  | 12 | 15-18 July |
|  | 9 |  |  | 13 | 19-23 July |
|  | 10 | 14-16 July |  | 14 | 23-24 July |
|  | 11 | 16-17 Júly |  | 15 | 29 July-1 Aug |
|  | 12 | 17-18 July | Skeena Test |  |  |
|  | 13 | 19 July | Jack | 1 | 4-21 July |
|  | 14 | 20 July | Skeena Test | 2 | 11-14 June 1979 |
|  | 15 | 20-22 July |  | 3 | 17-19 June |
|  | 16 | 22-24 July |  | 4 | 19-20 June |
|  | 17 | 24-26 July |  | 5 | 20-22 June |
|  | 18 | 27 July-1 Aug |  | 7 | 24 June |
|  | 19 | 1-4 Aug |  | 8 | 25 June |
| Tyee (Skeena) | Chin 1 | 15-19 June 1977 |  | 9 | 26 June |
|  | Chin 2 | 19-22 June |  | 10 | 27-29 June |
|  | Chin 3 | 22-24 June . |  | 11 | 29 June-1 July |
|  | Chin 4 | 25-26 June | , | 13 | 2-3 July |
|  | Chin 5 | 26-29 June |  | 15 | 5-6 July |
|  | Chin 6 | 27-28 June |  | 16 | 6-7 July |
|  | Chin 7 | 28-29 June |  | 17 | 6-7 July |
|  | 8 | 29-30 June |  | 20 | 9-10 July |
|  | 9 | 30 June-1 July |  | 21 | 10-12 July |
|  | 10 | 30 June-2 July |  | 22 | 13 July |
|  | 11 | 2 July |  | 24 | 15 July |
|  | 12 | 2-4 July |  | 25 | 16-18 July |
|  | 13 | 4-5 July |  | 27 | 19-21 July |
|  |  |  |  | 29 | 23-28 July |
|  |  |  |  | 30 | 30 July-3 Aug |

Skeena R.

| Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skeena Test | 1 | 12-15 June 1980 |
|  | 2 | 15-17 June |
|  | 3 | 18-20 June |
|  | 4 | 21-22 June |
|  | 5 | 23-25 June |
|  | 6 | 26-28 June |
|  | 7 | 1-4 July |
|  | 8 | 5-6 July |
|  | 9 | 7-8 July |
|  | 10 | 10-11 July |
|  | 11 | 12-13 July |
|  | 12 | 14-18 July |
|  | 13 | 18-20 July |
|  | . 14 | 20 July |
|  | 15 | 23-27 July |
|  | 16 | 28 July-2 Aug |
|  | 17 | 3-5 Aug |
|  | 18 | 6-8 Aug |
| Skeena Test | 4 | 21-23 June 1981 |
|  | $\nabla$ (5) | 23-26 June |
|  | VI(6) | 26-27 " |
|  | 7 | 27-28 " |
|  | 8 | 28 " |
|  | 9 | $29^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 10 | " " |
|  | 11 | 1 July |
|  | 12 | 1-3" |
|  | 13 | 4-5 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 14 | $6^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 15 | $7^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 16 | 7-8 |
|  | 17 | 8-9 " |
|  | 18 | 10-11 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 19 | 11-12 |
|  | 20 | 13-19 " |
|  | 21 | 20-26 ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |
|  | 22 | 4-6 Aug |
|  | 23 | 15 Aug |

Appendix 1 (cont.'d)

Bella Coola

| Sample Site | Bk | Date | Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bella Coola | 1 | Dec 1976, | Bella Coola | 20 | 3 Jun 1979 |
|  |  | Jan \& Feb 77 |  | 21 | 4 Jun |
|  | 1 | 19 Jul 76 |  | 46 | 13 Jum |
|  | 2 | 19 Jul 76 |  | 47 | 9-11 Jun |
|  | 3 | 19 Jul 76 |  | 56 | 22-28 Jun |
|  |  |  |  | 103 | 19 Ju |
| Bella Coola | 1 | 23 May 1977 |  | 122 | 10 Jul |
|  | 3 | 24 May |  | 9 | 12-20 May (80?) |
|  | 4 | 24 May |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 24 May | Bella Coola | 1 | 7 May 1980 |
|  | 1 | 14 Jun |  | 2 | 14 May |
|  | 4 | 27 Jun |  | 1 | 20 May |
|  | 6 | 21 Jun | Bella Coola- |  |  |
|  | 7 | 19 Jun | Atnarko | 2 | 24 May |
|  | 1 | 3 Jul | Bella Coola | 3 | 28 May |
|  | 2 | 4 Jul |  | 4 | 28 May |
|  | 3 | 4 Jul |  | 1-5 | 3-24 Jum |
|  | 4 | 6 Jul |  | 1 | 18 May, 2 Jun |
|  | A | 25 Jun |  | 2 | 11 Jun |
|  | 1 | 7 Jul |  | 3 | 11 Jun |
|  | 1 | Jun |  | 4 | 11 Jun |
|  | 2 | 3 Jul |  | 2 | 29 Jun-11 Jul |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 26 Jun-16 Jul |
| Bella Coola | 1 | 5? Jun 1978 |  | 1 | 1 Jul |
|  | 2 | 5 Jun |  | 2 | 1 Jul |
|  | 3 | 6 Jup |  | 3 | 1 Jul |
|  | 1-A | 4-5 Jun |  | 9 | 12-20 May (79?) |
|  | 2 | 3-6 Jun |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 7 Jun | Bella Coola | 1 | 18 May 1981 |
|  | 4 | 7-8 Jun |  | 2 | 18 May |
|  | 5 | 8-11 Jun |  | 4 | 18 May |
|  | 6 | 11-13 Jum |  | 1 | 25 May |
|  | 7 | 14,15,18 Jun? |  | 2 | 25 May |
|  | 8 | 18 Jum |  | 3 | 25 May |
|  | 9 | 20,21, 22, 25 Jun |  | 1 | 1 Jun |
|  | 10 | 25-28 Jun |  | 2 | 1 Jun |
|  | 11 | 29 Jun. |  | 3 | 1 Jun |
|  |  | 2-4 Jul |  | 4 | 1 Jun |
|  | 12 | 4-6 Jul |  | 4 | 8 Jun |
|  | 13 | 9,10,11 Jul |  | 5 | 8 Jun |
|  | 14 | 11-13,16 Jul |  | 6 | 8 Jun |
|  | 15 | 16-17,23 Jul |  | 7 | 8 Jun |
|  | 16 | 23 Jul - 10 Aug |  | 1 | 15 Jun |
|  | 1 | 25 Jun |  | 2 | 15 Jun |
| Bella Coola |  |  |  | 1 | $25 \text { Jun }$ |
|  | 2 12 | 6 May 1979 $\text { 20, } 27 \text { May }$ |  | 2 | 29 Jun |
|  | 16 | 30 May |  | 3 | $29 \text { Jun }$ $7 \mathrm{Jul}$ |
|  | 18 | 6 Jun |  | 1 | 13 Jul |

Appendix 1 (cont.'d)

Robertson Htchy (Area 23)


Fraser R.

| Sample Site | Bk |  | Date | Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fraser R | 1 | 15 | Apr 1975 | Glenrose | 1 | 4 May 1976 |
|  | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 2 | " " |
|  | 3 | " | " |  | 3 | " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 4 |  | " |  | 4 | " " |
|  | 6 | " | " |  | 5 | " " |
|  | 6-2 |  | " |  | 1 | 11 May |
|  | 7 | " | " |  | 2 | " " |
|  | 8 | " | " |  | 3 | " " |
|  | 9 | " | " |  | 4 | 118 |
|  | 10 | " | " |  | 5 | " " |
| Glenrose | 1 |  | May |  | 1 | 25 May |
|  | 2 |  | " |  | 2 | " " |
|  | 3 | " | " |  | 3 | " " |
|  | 4 | " | " |  | 4 | " |
|  | 5 | " | 11 |  | 5 | " " |
|  | 6 | " | " |  | 1 | 1 June 1976 |
|  | 7 | 11 | " |  | 2 | " " |
|  | 1 | 27 |  |  | 3 | " " |
|  | 2 | " | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | 4 | " " |
|  | 3 | " | " |  | 5 | " " |
|  | 4 | 11 | 1 |  | 1 | 8 June |
|  | 5 |  | " |  | 2 |  |
|  | 6 | 11 | 11 |  | 3 | " " |
|  | 7 | " | $"$ |  | 4 | " " |
|  | 1 | 10 | June |  | 5 | " " |
|  | 2 | " | " | , | 1 | 15 June |
|  | 3 | " | 11 |  | 2 | " $\quad$ " |
|  | 4 | " | 0 |  | 3 | $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 5 | " | 1 |  | 4 | " " |
|  | 6 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | " |  | 5 | " " |
|  | 7 | " | " |  | 1 | 29 June |
|  | 1 | 23 | July |  | 2 | " " |
|  | 2 | " | " |  | 3 |  |
|  | 3 | " | 11 |  | 4 | " " |
|  | 4 | " | " |  | 5 | " " |
|  | 5 | " | 11 |  | 1 | 6 July |
|  | 1 | 26 | Aug |  | 2 |  |
|  | 2 | " | " | - | 3 |  |
|  | 3 | " | " |  | 4 | " " |
|  | 4 | " | 1 |  | 5 | " " |
|  | 5 | " | " |  | 6 |  |
|  | 1 | 3 | Sept |  | 1 | 21 July |
|  | 2 | 1 | " |  | 2 | " " |
|  | 3 | 1 | " |  | 3 |  |
|  | 4 | " | 1 |  | 4 | " |
|  | 5 | " | " |  | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 28 July |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 | " " |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 | " " |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 | " " |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |

Appendix 1 (cont.'d)

Fraser R.


Appendix 1 (cont.'d)

Fraser R.

| Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glenrose | 216 | 11 Sept 1978 |
|  | 217 | " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | 218 | " " |
|  | 219 | " " |
| Albion | 1 | 7 Oct 1978 |
|  | 2 | " " |
| Whonnock | 4 | 12,13 Oct 1978 |
|  | 5 | 13,14 Oct |
|  | 6 | 14 Oct |
|  | 7 | 14,15 Oct |
|  | 8 | 15,16 Oct |
|  | 9 | 17 Oct |
| Albion | 10 | 18 Oct 1978 |
|  | 11 | 20 Oct |
|  | 12 | 22,23,30,31 Oct |
|  | 13 | 31 Oct, 1,3,4,5,9 Nov |
|  | 14 | 9,10,11,18,19,21 Nov |
|  | 15 | 5,9,16 Dec |

Appendix 1 (cont. 'd)

Fraser River, 1979 and 1980


Appendix 1 (cont.'d)

Fraser River, 1980 and 1981

| Sample Site | Bk | Date | Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F.R.T.F. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albion | 13 | 19 Jun 1980 | Albion | 20 | 9 Jun 1981 |
|  | 16 | 21 Jun |  | 22 |  |
|  | 17 | 24 Jun |  | 24 | 11 |
|  | 19 | 26 Jun |  | 26 | 11 Jun |
|  | 21 | 28 Jun |  | 28 | 13 Jun 81? |
|  | 22 | 2 Jul |  | 30 | 18 Jun |
|  | 24 | 3 Jul |  | 32 | 20 Jun |
|  | 26 | 5 Jul |  | 34 | 23 Jun |
|  | 27 | 8 Jul |  | 36 | 25 Jun |
|  | 29 | 10 Jul |  | 38 | 25,27 Jun |
|  | 32 | 12 Jul |  | 40 | 27,30 Jun |
|  | 33 | 17 Jul |  | 42 | 3 Jul |
|  | 34 | 15 Ju1 |  | 44 |  |
|  | 37 | 19 Jul |  | 46 | Jul |
|  | 39 | 24 Jul |  | 48 | 14 Jul |
|  | 43 | 26 Jul |  | 50 | 18 Jul |
|  | 44 | 29 Jul |  | 52 | 23 Jul |
|  | 45 | 1 Aug |  | 54 | 25,28 Jul |
|  | 46 | 5 Aug |  | 56 | 30 Jul |
|  | 47 | 7 Aug |  | 58 | 1,3 Aug |
|  | 48 | B Aug |  | 60 |  |
| 5-7/8" mesh | 1 | 4 Aug |  | 62 | 8 Aug |
|  | 49 | 12 Aug |  | 64 |  |
|  | 50 | 14 Aug |  | 66 | 1981 |
|  | 51 | 16 Aug |  | 68 | 18 Aug |
|  | 53 | 18 Aug |  | 70 | 20 Aug |
|  | 54 | 20 Aug |  | 72 | 27,29 Aug |
|  | 55 | 28 Aug |  | 74 | 3 Sep |
|  | 57 | 30 Aug |  | 76 | $8,10,12$ |
|  | 58 | 6 Sep |  |  | 15 Sep |
|  | 59 | 6 Sep |  | 78 |  |
|  | 63 | 13 Sep |  | 80 | 17,19 Sep |
|  | 66 | 20 sep |  | 82 | 24 Sep |
|  | 68 | 23 Sep |  | 84 | 26 Sep |
| F.R. Albion | 72 | 25 Sep |  | 86 | 29 Sep |
| 10 | 04 | 8,9 Oct | - | 88 |  |
| 10 | 06 | 17,23 Oct |  | 1 | 30 Sep |
| Albion | 2 | 11,14 Apr 81 |  | 3 | 2 Oct |
|  | 4 | 23,25,28, | . | 05 |  |
|  |  | 30 Apr , |  | 07 | $7,8 \text { oct }$ |
|  |  | 2,4 May |  | 09 | 14 Oct |
|  | 6 | 2,5 May |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 5 May |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 7,9 May |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 12,16,19 May |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 21 May |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | 26 May |  |  |  |
|  | 18 | 1 Jun |  |  |  |

Quileute. R.

| Sample Site | Bk | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quileute | 2000-2009 | 1977 |
| " | 2010-2019 | " |
| " | 2030-2039 | " |
| " | 2040-2049 | 1 |
| " | 2100-2109 | " |
| 11 | 2110-2119 | " |
| " | 2140-2149 | " |
| " | 2200-2209 | " |
| " | 2210-2219 | " |
| " | 2240-2249 | 1 |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 2270-2279 | " |
| " | 2300-2309 | 11 |
| " | 2310-2319 | " |
| " | 2380-2389 | " |
| " | 2400-2409 | 11 |
| " | 2410-2419 | " |
| " | 2490-2499 | 11 |
| " | 2480-2489 | ' |
| " | 2500-2509 | " |
| " | 2510-2519 | " |
| Quileute | 3000-3009 | 1978 |
| " | 3010-3019 |  |
| " | 3020-3029 | " |
| 1 | 3030-3039 | " |
| 4 | 3040-3049 | " |
| 11 | 3050-3059 | " |
| " | 3060-3069 | " |
| " | 3070-3079 | " |
| " | 3080-3089 | " |
| " | 3090-3099 | " |
| " | 3100-3109 | " |
| 11 | 3110-3119 | " |
| 1 | 3120-3129 | " |
| " | 3130-3139 | " |
| " | 3140-3149 | " |
| " | 3150-3159 | " |
| " | 3160-3169 | " |
| 11 | 3170-3179 | " |
| ${ }^{1+}$ | 3180-3189 | " |
| " | 3190-3199 | 11 |
| " | 3200-3209 | " |
| " | 3210-3219 | " |

Quinault R.

| Sample Site | Card \# | Date | Samole Site | Card \# | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quinault R. | 1 | Oct 9, 1975 | Quinault R. | 1/1 | Oct 24, 1979 |
| " | 3 | Oct $30{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | " | 1/1 | Nov 6 " |
| " |  | Nov 14 " |  | 1/2 | Dec 6 " |
| " |  | Nov 17 " | Quinault R. | 1/1 | April 15, 1980 |
| Quinault R. |  | May 1, 1976 | " | 1/1 | June 17 " |
|  | 1/1 | May 24 " | \% | 1/1 | July 9 |
| " - |  | May 26 " | " | 1/1 | July 11 |
| " |  | June 2 " | " | $1 / 1$ | Aug 11 |
| 4 | 1 | June 9 " | 1 | 1/1 | Aug 25 |
| 11 | 1/2 | Sept 3" | " | 1/1 | Sept 2 |
| " | 2/2 | " " " | " | 1/1 | Sept 15 |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 2 " | " | 1/1 | Sept 24 |
| Quinault R. | 1 | June 6, 1977 | " | 1/2 | Sept 30 |
| " | 1 | June 17 " | " | 1/1 | Oct 10 |
| 1 | 1 | June 23 " | 11 | 1/1 | Oct 15 |
| * | 1/3 | June 29 " | 1 | 1/1 | Oct 23 |
| " | 1/1 | Sept 14 " | 1 | 1/1 | Oct 28 |
| 1 | 1/2 | Sept 20 " | $1{ }^{11}$ | 1/1 | Nov 4 |
| ! | 2/2 | " " " | 1 | 1/1 | Nov 12 |
| Ir | 1 | Sept 27 " | Quinault R. | 1/1 | May 4, 1981 |
| " | 1 | Sept 30 " | 1 | 2/2 | June 3 " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 6 " | " | 1/1 | June 18 " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 11 " | " | 1/1 | July 8 " |
| 4 | 1/1 | Oct 12 " | ' | 1/1 | July 24 " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 27 " | 14 | 1/1 | Aug 11 " |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 4 " | W | 1/2 | Aug 17 " |
| " | 1/1 | " "1 | " | 1/2 | Sept 1 " |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 16 " | " | 1/4 | Sept 8 " |
| Quinault R. | 1/1 | Sept 19, 1978 | " | 2/4 | Sept 15 " |
|  | 1/2 | Sept 20 " | H | 1/2 | Sept $25^{\prime \prime}$ |
| " | 2/2 | " " " | 1 | 1/3 | Oct 2 " |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1/2 | Sept 29 | ${ }^{*}$ | 1/2 | Oct 8 " |
| "11 | 2/2 | " " " | 11 | 1/2 | Oct 20 / |
| 1 | 1/2 | Oct 16 | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | Oct 23 " |
| 11 | 1/1 | Oct 24 " | " | 1/3 | Oct 28 " |
| 1 | 1/1 | Oct 25 | 1 | 1/1 | Nov 19 " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 26 " | Queets R. | 1/2 | 1975 |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 30 | " | 2/2 | " |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 2/2 | " 11 | " | 1/1 | Oct 101975 |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 31 | " | 1/2 | Oct 20 " |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 1 | " | 2/2 | " " " |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 3 | " |  | Oct 29 |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 7 " | Queets R. | 1/6 | 1976 |
| Quinault R. | 1/1 | April 20, 1979 | " | 2/6 | " |
| " | 1/1 | May 21 " | " | 3/6 | 11 |
| " | 1/1 | June 5 " | " | 4/6 | \% |
| H | 1/1 | June 21 " | " | 5/6 | * |
| " | 1/1 | July 9 | " | 6/6 | * |
| " | 1/1 | July 18 | Queets R. | 1/2 | June, 1977 |
| 1 | 1/1 | July 31 | 1 | 2/2 | " 1 |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1/1 | Aug 20 | ${ }^{4}$ | 1 | June 23" |
| " | 1/2 | Aug 27 " | 1 | 1/2 | July " |
| " | 1/2 | Sept 1 | 11 | 2/2 | " |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 8 | " | 1/2 | July 12" |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 15 | 1 | 2/2 | $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1/1 | Oct 19 " | * | 1/2 | Aug 1 " |


| Sample Site | Card \# | Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Queets R. | 1/1 | Sept 30, | 1980 |
| " | 1/1 | Oct | " |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 27 | " |
| " | 1/3 | Nov 3 | " |
| 11 | 2/8 | " ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1 |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1/2 | Nov 6 | " |
| Queets R. | 1/1 | June 3, | 1981 |
| " | 1/1 | June 22 | " |
| " | 1/1 | July 8 | " |
| " | 1/2 | Sept 3 | " |
| " | 2/3 | Sept 4 | " |
| " | 1/2 | Sept 15 | " |
| " | 1/2 | Sept 23 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 1 | " |
| " | 2/4 | Oct 7 | " |
| 11 | 1/3 | Oct 22 | " |
| " | 1/8 | Oct 29 | " |
| " | 2/8 | " " | " |
| " | 3/8 | " 1 | " |
| " | 6/8 | " " | " |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 18 | 1 |
| Humptulips R. " |  | Oct 29, Nov 7 | $1975$ |
| Humptulips R. 11 | 1 | $\text { Oct } 5,1$ | $\begin{gathered} 1976 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| Humptulips R. | 1 | Sept 27, | 1977 |
| 1 | 1 | Sept 29 |  |
| " | 1 | Sept 30 | 1 |
| " | 1 | Oct 18 | 1 |
| Humptulips R. | 1 | Oct 11, | 1978 |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 16 | ! |
| " | 2/2 | " ${ }^{\prime}$ | 11 |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 2 | " |
| " | 1/2 | Nov 8 | " |
| " | 2/2 | " " | " |
| 1 | 1 | Nov 15 | 1 |
| Humptulips R. " | 1/1 | Nov 16, <br> Nov 21 | $\begin{gathered} 1979 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1/1 | Nov 29 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Dec 5 | " |
| Humptulips R. | 1/1 | Sept 22, | 1980 |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 14 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 21 | 1 |
| 18 | 1/1 | Oct 23 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 10 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Nov 12 | 1 |
| 1 | 1/1 | Nov 21 | " |
| Humptulips R. | 1/1 | Sept 21, | 1981 |
| 1 | 1/1 | Sept 22 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 6 | " |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 8 | " |
| " | 2/2 | " " | " |
| 11 | 1/2 | Oct 9 | " |
| " | 2/2 | " | 1 |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 14 | " |


| Sample Site | Card \# | Date |  | Sample Site | Card \# | Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Humptulips R. | 2/2 | Oct 14, 1981 |  | 2 D | 1 | Oct 7, 1 | 1976 |
| 11 | 1/2 | Oct 26 " |  | " | 1 | Oct 11 | " |
| 1 | 2/2 | " " 1 |  | 4 | 1 | Oct 12 | " |
| Chehalis R. |  | Oct 18, 1976 |  | " | 2 | " " | 1 |
| Chehalis R. | 1/1 | Sept 30, 1977 |  | " | 1 | Oct 14 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 5 " |  | " | I | " " | 1 |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 18 " |  | " | 1 | " | " |
| Chehalis R. | 1/2 | Oct 3, 1978 |  | " | 1 | Oct 25 | 11 |
| " | 2/2 | " " " |  | " | 1 | Oct 27 | " |
| 11 | 1/1 | Oct 5 |  | " | 2 | " 1 | 4 |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 11 " |  | " |  | Oct 28 | " |
| " | 1 | Oct 12 " |  | " | II | Nov 1 | ' |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 15 |  | 1 | 39 | Dec 12 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 16 " |  | 2 B | 3 | Sept 25, | , 1980 |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 17 " |  | 11 | 1 | Oct 3 |  |
| Chehalis R. | 1/1 | Dec 6, 1979 |  | 2 D | 1 | Sept 25 | " |
| Chehalis R. | 1/2 | Sept 22, 1980 |  | " | 2 | 17 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Sept 24 " |  | " | 1 | Sept 26 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Sept 29 |  | " | 1 | Oct 1 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 7 " |  | 1 | 1 | Oct 2 | " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 10 " |  | " | 1 | Oct 3 | " |
| "' | 1/1 | Oct 15 |  | " | 2 | " " | " |
| " | 1/1 | Oct 21 " |  | Willapa Bay | 1 | (wk 36), | , 1975 |
| Chehalis R. | 1/2 | Sept 22, 1981 |  | $\square$ | 2 | " | " |
| 11 | 1/2 | Oct 6 " |  | " | 3 | 11 | " |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 9 |  | 1 | 1 | (wk 37) | " |
| " | 1/2 | Oct 13 |  | " | 2 | " | " |
| " | 2/2 | " 1 " |  | " | 3 | " | " |
| " | 1 | Oct 26 |  | " | 1 | (wk 38) | " |
| Gray 's Harbor | 1 | (week 39), 1975 |  | " | 2 |  | " |
|  | 2 |  |  | " | 1 | (wk 39) | " |
| " | 1 | Sept 29 (Wk 40) |  | " | 2 | " | 18 |
| " | 2 | Oct 2 " |  | " | 1 | (wk 40) | " |
| " | 3 | Oct 3 |  | " | 1 | (wk 41) | ${ }^{1}$ |
| " | 4 | " |  | " | 2 | " | " |
| " | 7 | " |  | " | 3 | " | " |
| " | 1 | (wk 41) |  | 2 | 29 | Oct 6\&7, | , 1976 |
| " | 3 | " |  | 2G | 1 | Sept 2 | 1 |
| " | 4 | " |  | " | 1 | " " | " |
| " | 5 | Oct 6 |  | " | 2 | " 1 | " |
| " | 6 | Oct 8 " |  | " | 2 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ | " |
| " | 8 | (wk 42) |  | " | 1 | Sept 6 | " |
| " | 1 | (wk 45) |  | " | 1 | " | 1 |
| West Port | 1 | Oct 27 (wk 44), | 1976 | " | 2 | " | " |
| (Gray's Harbor) |  |  |  | 2 G \& 2 J | 1 | Sept 9 | " |
| $2 \mathrm{~B} \& \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | Oct 18 | " | 2 G | 1 | Sept 13 | 11 |
| 2 C | 1 | Oct 25 | " | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | Oct 18 | " |
| " | . 2 | " ${ }^{\prime}$ | " | " | 1 | Oct 20 | " |
| " | I | Nov 1 | " | 2 H | 30 | Oct 7 | " |
| 2 D | 1 | Sept 16 | " | 2 J | 3 | Sept 2 | " |
| " | 1 | Sept 20 | " | 11 | 2 | Sept 6 | " |
| " | 1 | Sept 23 | ${ }^{11}$ | 2 G | 3 | Sept 6, | 1979 |
| " | 1 | " " | " | " | 1 | Sept 10 | " |
| " | 1 | Sept 27 | " | " | 1 | Sept 17 | " |
| " | 1 | Oct 4 | " | 11 | 2 | " " | 1 |

## Appendix (cont.'d)





| Sample Site Card \# |  | Date |  |  | Sample Site Card ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  | Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hood Canal | cks |  |  |  | 12 C | 5 | Aug 13, | 1980 |
| " | 2 | Aug 16, | 1975 |  | " | 1 | Aug 18 |  |
| Hood Canal |  |  |  |  | " | 2 | , | " |
| (12 D) | 1 | Aug 20 | 11 |  | " | 1 | Aug 19 | " |
| Hood Canal | 4 | Aug 21 ( | (wk 34) | 1975 | " | 3 | Aug 20 | " |
| " | 1 | Aug 27 |  | 11 | " | 1 | Aug 27 | " |
| Hood Canal |  |  |  |  | " | 1 | Sept 2 | 4 |
| (12 D) | 1 | Sept 3 (wk 36) |  | " | " | 2 | " | 1 |
| Hood Canal | 3 | Sept 4 | 1 | " | " | 1 | $\text { Sept }_{\text {II }} 16$ | " |
|  | 3 | Sept 8 | (wk 37) | " | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 12 D | 7 | July 30, | , 1976 |  | $12 \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{\& C}$ | 1 | Aug 17, | 1981 |
| ' | 1 | Aug 2 | " |  | " | 2 |  |  |
| 1 | 8 | Aug 5 | " |  | " | 3 | " | 1 |
| " | 5 | Aug 6 | " |  | " | 4 | " | " |
| 1 | 9 | Aug 12 | " |  | " | 5 | " | " |
| " | 5 | Aug 18 | " |  | 12,12 B\&C | 1 | Aug 24 | 1 |
| " | 7 | Aug 20 | " |  | 11 | 4 | " | " |
| 1 | 2 | Aug 23 | 11 |  | " | 6 | " | " |
| " | 2 | Sept 7 | " |  | Mx: 12 C | 1 | Aug 25 |  |
| 11 | 4 | Sept 9 | " |  | " | 2 |  | " |
| " | 1 | Sept 27 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 2 | Sept 28 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 D | 1 | July 25, | , 1977 |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | July 26 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 2 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1 | Aug 3 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 4 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 8 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 9 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 10 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 16 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 17 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 24 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| * | 2 | * | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 3 | 4 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | " | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 D | 168 | July 19, | , 1978 |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 256 | Aug 2 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1(254) | Aug 8 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 43 | Aug 15 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 58 | Aug 18 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1(76) | Aug 22 | " | . |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1(39) | Aug 23 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 (164) | " | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 2(165) | " | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 (38) | Aug 24 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1(186) | Aug 27 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 C | 2 | July 30, | , 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | July 31 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1 | Aug 7 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 2 | Aug 10 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 3 | " | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 2 | Aug 13 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 2 | Aug 17 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 3 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 1 | Aug 22 | " |  |  |  |  |  |
| " | 2 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  |




[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Data provided by $\operatorname{ADF\& G}$ (D. McBride) and collected by Mr. M. Nelson.

[^1]:    Fig. 7. Annual catches (commercial and subsistance) of chinook salmon from the Yukon and Kuskokwim rivers and estimates of the runs (catch and escapement) to the Nushagak River 1952-81.

[^2]:    3 Personal communication with Dr. Stanislav Konovalov and Dr. Victor Tumanov, TINRO.

[^3]:    *Including unaged fish.

[^4]:    ＊Reference number of the article in our publications file．

[^5]:    *Two cards, same number, with different scales.

