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#### Abstract

The Pilot Station sonar project has provided daily passage estimates of Chinook (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), chum ( $O$. keta) , and coho ( $O$. kisutch) salmon for most years since 1986. Fish passage estimates for each species were generated in 2021 using a 2-component process: (1) estimation of total fish passage with 120 kHz split-beam sonar and an adaptive resolution imaging sonar, and (2) apportionment to species by sampling using a suite of gillnets of various mesh sizes. An estimated 1,040,660 fish passed through the sonar sampling area between May 31 and September 7. Of those fish, 218,819 passed along the right bank, and 821,841 passed along the left bank. Included, with $90 \%$ confidence intervals, were 104,267 $\pm 10,339$ large Chinook salmon ( $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$ from middle of the eye to tail fork [METF]), 20,578 $\pm 3,232$ small Chinook salmon ( $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF), $153,718 \pm 16,149$ summer chum salmon, $146,197 \pm 11,686$ fall chum salmon, $37,255 \pm 3,879$ coho salmon, $22,181 \pm 5,832$ pink salmon, $195,566 \pm 25,264$ cisco, $264,160 \pm 21,191$ humpback whitefish, $23,859 \pm 3,971$ broad whitefish, $34,820 \pm 5,374$ sheefish, and $38,059 \pm$ 4,846 other species.
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## INTRODUCTION

## BACKGROUND

Chinook (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), chum (O. keta), and coho (O. kisutch) salmon are managed during the season for harvest by commercial, subsistence, and sport fisheries within the Alaska portion of the Yukon River drainage (Figure 1), as well as to meet treaty obligations made under the U.S./Canada Yukon River Salmon Agreement. The diversity and number of fish stocks, combined with the geographic range of user groups, add complexity to management decisions. Escapement estimates and run strength indices are generated by various projects within the drainage, providing stock-specific abundance and timing information; however, much of this information is obtained after the fish have become unavailable to the fisheries. Timely indices of run strength are provided by gillnet test fisheries conducted in the Lower Yukon River, but the functional relationship between catch per unit effort (CPUE) and actual abundance is confounded by varying migration patterns through the multichannel environment, gear selectivity, environmental conditions, and changes in net site characteristics.
The Pilot Station sonar project has provided daily salmon passage estimates, run timing, and biological information to fishery managers for most years since 1986. The project is located at river km 197 in a single-channel environment near the village of Pilot Station. This location is upriver enough to avoid the multiple-channel environment of the Yukon River delta. The project can provide timely abundance information to managers because travel time for salmon from the mouth of the river to the sonar site is 2 to 3 days. The Andreafsky River is the only major salmon spawning tributary downstream of the sonar site (Figure 1); therefore, most migrating salmon in the Yukon River pass the sonar project on their way to the spawning grounds.

The primary role of Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) is to manage for sustained yield under Article VIII of the Alaska Constitution, but Alaska is also obligated to manage Yukon River salmon stocks according to precautionary, abundance-based harvest-sharing principals set forth in the Yukon River Salmon Agreement. The goal of bi-national, coordinated management of Chinook and chum salmon stocks is to meet escapement requirements that will ensure sufficient fish availability for sustained harvests in both the United States and Canada in the future. Furthermore, managers follow guidelines specified by Alaska regulations through management plans for Yukon River Chinook, summer chum, fall chum, and coho salmon. Accurate daily
salmon abundance estimates help managers regulate fishing during the season to meet harvest and escapement objectives and are used postseason to determine whether treaty obligations were met and to judge the effects of management actions.
Prior to 1993, ADF\&G used dual-beam sonar equipment that operated at 420 kHz . In 1993, ADF\&G changed the existing sonar equipment to operate at a frequency of 120 kHz to allow a greater ensonification range by reducing signal loss, which helped to increase fish detection at longer ranges (Fleischman et al. 1995). The newly configured performance of the equipment was verified using standard acoustic targets in the field.
Until 1995, ADF\&G attempted to identify the direction of travel of detected targets by aiming transducers at an upstream or downstream oblique angle relative to fish travel. This technique was discontinued in 1995 in favor of aiming transducers perpendicular to fish travel to maximize fish detection (Maxwell et al. 1997). Due to this change and subsequent changes to counting procedures, data collected from 1995 to 2019 are not directly comparable to previous years. In 2001, the equipment was changed from dual-beam to the current split-beam sonar system configured to operate at 120 kHz (Pfisterer et al. 2002). Reference to the use of dual-beam sonar at the Pilot Station sonar project can be found in (Rich 2001). The split-beam technology can estimate the 3-dimensional position of a target in space, which allows the testing of assumptions about the direction of travel and vertical distribution of fish moving through the acoustic beam (Burwen et al. 1995).
A series of gillnets using different mesh sizes drifted through the acoustic sampling areas to apportion the passage estimates to species. In 2004, the selectivity model used in species apportionment was refined through biometric review and analysis of historical catch data from the project's test fishery. The model that provided the best overall fit to the data was a Pearson model with a tangle parameter (Bromaghin 2004). In 2016, minimum selectivity thresholds were implemented into the model for species apportionment to prevent individual fish from skewing estimates dramatically (Pfisterer et al. 2017). The selectivity parameters used in the species apportionment model were updated using the most current catch data prior to the 2021 field season. Species proportions and passage estimates reported in this document were generated using this apportionment model and are comparable to 1995-2020 estimates because estimates from those years have been regenerated using the most current model.
Early in the 2005 season, the Yukon River experienced high water levels and erosion, which caused the formation of a cut bank and steepened the bottom profile on the left bank. The altered bottom profile allowed fish close to shore to swim under the beam, which compromised detection. On June 9, 2005, a multibeam dual-frequency identification sonar (DIDSON; Belcher et al. 2002) was deployed in this area to verify nearshore fish detection. The wider beam angle, video-like images, and software algorithms that can remove a bottom structure from the image allowed the DIDSON system to detect fish passage within 20 m despite high water levels and problematic erosion and was operated for the remainder of the season, supplanting split-beam counts in this section of the nearshore region. From 2005 until 2014, the DIDSON was integrated into the sampling routine on the left bank and operated side-by-side with the split-beam sonar. The DIDSON sampled the first 20 m of the left bank nearshore strata, and the remainder of the range was sampled by the split-beam sonar. Beginning in 2015, the DIDSON was replaced with an adaptive resolution imaging sonar (ARIS). The ARIS, when equipped with the telephoto lens, is capable of ensonifying the first 50 m of the left bank.

In 2008, electronic charts were tested prior to the switch from paper charts used to count fish traces. Electronic charts were found to provide a number of advantages that include increased threshold levels, better consistency (no ribbons that fade), less downtime related to paper jams, and the ability to easily determine the direction of travel. In 2009, electronic echograms replaced paper charts to count fish traces (Lozori and McIntosh 2013).

For consistency with prior years when paper charts were used, all targets, both up and downstream, were counted from 2010 to 2019 by right clicking the computer mouse on downstream targets and left-clicking on upstream targets. In 2020, a review of 2010-2019 data determined that the overall percentage of downstream targets observed was insignificant compared to the total passage estimates, and counting downstream targets was discontinued (Morrill et al. 2021).

This report presents results from the Lower Yukon River sonar project for the 2021 field season. Included are data from an extension in project operations 1 week prior and past the normal start and end dates through a grant from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Yukon River Salmon Research and Management Assistance fund; with these extensions, the sonar operated from May 31 until September 07, 2021.

## OBJECTIVES

The primary goal of this project was to estimate daily fish passage by species during upstream migration past the sonar site.
The primary project objective was as follows:

1. Provide fishery managers with daily and cumulative passage estimates and associated confidence intervals of adult Chinook, chum, and coho salmon.
The secondary project objectives were as follows:
2. Collect biological data from all fish captured in the test fishery, including species, sex, length, and scales, as appropriate.
3. Collect Chinook and chum salmon tissue samples for separate genetic stock identification projects.
4. Collect water temperature data representative of the ensonified areas of the river.

## STUDY SITE

Locations in this report are referenced by the proximate bank of the Yukon River relative to a downstream perspective. At the sonar site, the left bank is south of the right bank. Both the village of Pilot Station and the ADF\&G sonar camp are located on the right bank.
The Yukon River, at the sonar site, is approximately $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ wide between the left and right bank transducers (Figure 2). The left bank substrate, composed of silt and fine sand, drops off gradually at a vertical angle of approximately $2^{\circ}$ to $3^{\circ}$. The right bank has a stable, rocky bottom that drops off uniformly to the thalweg at a vertical angle of approximately $6^{\circ}$ (Figure 3). The thalweg is approximately 25 m deep and is located approximately 200 m offshore of the right bank (Figure 4). River discharge, as observed from 2010 to 2020 at the United States Geological Survey (USGS) gauging station located downstream of the project, has ranged from a maximum of $2,643 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ to a minimum of $7,787 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from June 1 through September 7 (Figure 5).

## METHODS

Daily upstream migration of targeted fish species is estimated by multiplying the daily sonar passage of all species by the daily proportions of each targeted fish species that are estimated from the drift gillnet test fishery conducted in the same area as the sonar (Figure 6). Test fishery and sonar sampling were both stratified temporally and physically. Temporal stratification occurs through multiple test fishing and sonar periods per day (Table 1). The physical stratification for test fishery sampling was accomplished using different fishing zones and for sonar sampling by dividing the right bank into 2 range strata (S1 and S2) and dividing the left bank into 3 strata: S3, S4, and S5 (Figure 7).

## Hydroacoustic Data Acquisition

## Equipment

Left bank sonar equipment included the following:

1. A Hydroacoustic Technology Inc. (HTI) Model 244 echosounder configured to transmit and receive at 120 kHz , controlled via Digital Echo Processing (DEP) software installed on a laptop PC.
2. An HTI 120 kHz split-beam transducer with a $2.8^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$ nominal beam width.
3. A $250 \mathrm{ft}(76.2 \mathrm{~m})$ HTI split-beam transducer cable connecting the sounder to the transducer.
4. An ARIS Explorer 1200 unit equipped with a telephoto lens, configured to transmit and receive at 0.7 MHz , and controlled via software installed on a laptop PC.
5. A 150 m ARIS underwater cable to connect the ARIS to the command module and laptop PC.
Right bank sonar equipment included the following:
6. An HTI Model 244 echosounder configured to operate at 120 kHz , controlled via DEP software installed on a laptop PC.
7. An HTI split-beam 120 kHz transducer with a $6^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$ nominal beam width.
8. Three 250 ft ( 228.6 m combined length) HTI split-beam cables to connect the sounder to the transducer.

The HTI Model 244 echosounders were ideal for the project due to configurability and power. The echosounders were set to transmit and receive at 120 kHz , which was necessary to achieve the sampling ranges. The beam heights for each split-beam transducer were chosen to fit the water column between the bottom and surface with minimal interference, and the $10^{\circ}$ width provided an adequate field of view. The lengths of cable were necessary for flexibility in the placement of the transducers. Transducers were mounted on metal tripods and remotely aimed with Remote Ocean Systems (ROS) Model PT-25 rotators (Figure 8), which allows precision in aiming, especially at range with the split-beam sonar. Rotator movements were controlled with HTI Model 660-2 rotator controllers with position feedback to the nearest $0.1^{\circ}$. The ARIS was ideal in the left bank nearshore stratum because it was much more robust to bottom and surface interference, and the telephoto lens was used to achieve the sampling range.
After echogram files were recorded, Echotastic software developed by ADF\&G staff was used to mark fish traces (Carl Pfisterer, Commercial Fisheries Biologist, ADF\&G, Fairbanks; personal
communication). Echograms and associated data were stored on a portable hard drive and transferred onto two 2-terabyte external hard drives.

## Equipment settings and thresholds

The split-beam echosounders used a $40 \log R$ time-varied gain (TVG) and 0.4 milliseconds (ms) transmit pulse duration during all sampling activities. The receiver bandwidth was automatically determined by the equipment based on the transmit pulse duration. On the left bank, the pulse repetition rate (ping rate) for S 4 was set at 3 pings per second ( pps ), and S 5 was set at 1.2 pps . On the right bank, the ping rate for S 1 was set at 5 pps , and S 2 was set at 3.5 pps (Table 2). On the left bank, S3 was sampled by the ARIS, which operated at an average rate of 4 frames per second with a start range of 0.7 m and an end range of 50 m in low-frequency mode $(0.7 \mathrm{MHz})$. Due to river conditions, the end range in S 3 was reduced from 50 m to 40 m (Table 3), and the range in S4 was increased to cover $40-150 \mathrm{~m}$. The digital sampling used by both the split-beam sonar and ARIS eliminated the use of thresholds during raw data collection; however, thresholds were applied to the electronic echogram files when viewed in Echotastic to reduce background noise and improve fish trace detection (Table 4). Thresholds were adjusted throughout the season depending on silt loads and other river conditions.

## Aiming

Transducers were deployed on both the left and right banks in an area where the river is approximately $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ wide. The transducers were positioned and aimed to maximize fish detection. Transducers were deployed in an area with the best bottom profile, and the beam was oriented approximately perpendicular to the current so that migrating fish would present the largest possible reflective surface. Because many fish travel close to the substrate, the maximum response angle of the beam was oriented slightly above the river bottom through as much of the range as possible. The right bank transducer was positioned as close to shore as possible depending on the water level, adjusting the aim between S1 ( $0-40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and S2 ( $40-150 \mathrm{~m}$ ). The left bank split-beam transducer was positioned as close to shore as possible (depending on the water level) and initially utilized 2 distinct aims to sample S4 $(40-150 \mathrm{~m})$ and S5 ( $150-300 \mathrm{~m}$ ). The ARIS unit was normally deployed within 2 m of the split-beam transducer and initially ensonified S3 ( $0.7-40 \mathrm{~m}$; Figure 7). The ARIS's wider beam angle is ideal for the less linear nature of the eroded left bank nearshore stratum, enabling it to detect fish targets throughout more of the water column than the narrower split-beam sonar.
Fluctuating water levels required repositioning of the transducers and subsequent re-aiming of the beams. The transducer was panned horizontally upstream and downstream approximately $15^{\circ}$ off perpendicular in $2^{\circ}$ increments to establish optimal aim. At each increment, the vertical tilt was adjusted to obtain the best possible bottom picture using an electronic echogram to confirm that the sonar beam was oriented slightly above the river bottom. The left bank transducers were reaimed more often to compensate for the dynamic bottom conditions and continual changes associated with that bank. Once an optimal aim was obtained, the rotator settings were documented, and the autorotator settings were changed to the new optimal aim. Faulkner and Maxwell (2009) further discuss aiming and sonar site selection protocols to count fish using sidelooking sonar systems.

## Sampling procedures

Acoustic sampling was conducted simultaneously on both banks during three 3-hour periods each day (Table 1). Sample periods were $0530-0830$, 1330-1630, and 2130-0030 hours, alternating sequentially between strata every 30 minutes.
Operators marked fish traces for both the split-beam sonar and the ARIS on electronic echograms using Echotastic software developed by ADF\&G (Figure 9). All personnel were trained to distinguish between fish traces and non-target echoes. Echo traces were counted as a single fish if at least 2 pings in the cluster passed the threshold level and the targets did not resemble inert downstream objects. Valid downstream and upstream split-beam fish targets were marked when computing the total estimate of fish passage for consistency with historical methods. Individuals within groups of fish were distinguishable when the apparent direction of movement of 1 fish trace differed from that of an adjacent trace.
Echograms were reviewed daily by either the project leader or crew leader to monitor the accuracy of the marked fish tracings and reduce individual biases. Each echogram was checked for indications of signal loss and changes to bottom reverberation markings, which could indicate either movement of the transducer or a change in the bottom profile. Data was checked daily for data entry or marking errors and then processed in the statistical software package $R$.

## System Analyses

The performance of the split-beam hydroacoustic system was monitored following many of the procedures first established in 1995 (Maxwell et al. 1997). Monitoring of the ARIS included daily checks of sonar settings prior to each sampling period, routine checks of water level near the transducers, checking aim settings, and periodic cleaning of the transducer lens. System analyses included equipment performance checks, bottom profiles using down-looking sonar, and hydrologic measurements.

## Bottom profiles

Bottom profiles were recorded along both banks using a Lowrance LCX15MT recording fathometer with GPS capabilities to locate deployment sites with suitable linear bottom profiles. All bottom profiles were recorded and stored electronically. During the season, the fathometer was used regularly to monitor changing bottom conditions and to watch for the formation of sandbars capable of re-routing fish to unensonified areas.

## Hydrological measurements

Water discharge data were sourced from the real-time USGS gauging station located approximately 500 m downstream of Pilot Station and used during the season (Figure 5). HOBO Water Temperature Loggers were deployed to record water temperature on both banks on May 31 and remained submerged until September 8. The data loggers were programmed to record the water temperature once every hour. The daily temperature was calculated as the mean of all recorded temperatures for the day.

## SPECIES APPORTIONMENT

A total of 8 different mesh sizes were fished throughout the season to effectively capture all size classes of fish present and detectable by the hydroacoustic equipment (Table 5). All nets were

25 fathoms ( 45.7 m ) long and approximately 8 m deep. All nets were constructed of shade 11 or equivalent, double knot multifilament nylon twine and hung even at a $2: 1$ ratio of web to cork line.

Test fishing began as soon as practical and continued through the last day of sonar operation. Test fishing was conducted twice daily between sonar periods from 0900 to 1200 hours and 1700 to 2000 hours, except on days when commercial gillnet fishing was scheduled (Table 1). On days of commercial gillnet fishing, only 1 test fishing period was conducted to prevent interference or overlap with the scheduled commercial period or a sonar operation period. During each normal sampling period, 4 different mesh sizes drifted within each of 3 zones for a total of 24 drifts per day, except when only 1 test fishing period was conducted in which all 6 mesh sizes were fished (Table 6). The order of drifts was (1) left bank nearshore zone, (2) right bank zone, and (3) left bank offshore zone, with a minimum of 20 minutes between drifts in the same zone. Each mesh size was fished in all 3 zones before switching to the next mesh size. The shoreward end of the left bank nearshore drift was approximately 5 m to 10 m offshore of the sonar transducers. The left bank offshore drift was approximately 65 m offshore of the transducers to avoid overlap with the nearshore drift. Drifts were approximately 8 minutes in duration but were shortened as necessary to avoid snags or to limit catches during times of high fish passage.
Captured fish were identified to species and length measured to the nearest 1 mm . Salmon species were measured from the middle of the eye to tail fork (METF); nonsalmon species were measured from the tip of the snout to fork of the tail (FL). Nonsalmon species captured and identified included cisco (Coregonus spp.), humpback whitefish (C. pidschian), broad whitefish (C. nasus), sheefish/inconnu (Stenodus leucichthys), burbot (Lota lota), longnose sucker (Catostomus catostomus), Dolly Varden (Salvelinus malma), and northern pike (Esox lucius). Sex was recorded only for salmon species and was determined by examination of external features. Fish species, length, and sex were recorded on field data sheets. Each drift record included the date, sampling period, zone, drift start and end times, mesh size, length of net, and captain's initials. Handling mortalities among the captured fish were distributed to the local community, and fish dispersal was documented daily.
Four scale samples were collected from each Chinook salmon and mounted on scale cards. Fish and card numbers were recorded on the test fishery data sheets and then entered into a Microsoft Access database. Age, sex, and length (ASL) data are processed, analyzed, and reported annually by ADF\&G staff based in Anchorage.

Individual genetic tissue samples from Chinook and chum salmon were also collected in the form of an axillary process clip placed in vials for several stock identification projects in conjunction with the test fishery portion of the project. ASL data were cross referenced with each tissue sample. The ADF\&G Gene Conservation Laboratory (e.g., DeCovich and Howard 2011) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Conservation Genetics Laboratory (Flannery 2020) independently processed and analyzed these tissue samples.
Chinook salmon were classified as either large ( $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF) or small ( $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF), and small Chinook salmon served as a proxy for jacks. The 655 mm length cutoff was derived from analysis of ASL data when it was determined this was the average length separating 4-year-old and 5-year-old Chinook salmon (Pfisterer and Maxwell 2000). Although there was some temporal overlap between the summer and fall runs of chum salmon, for the purposes of estimating passage, all chum salmon encountered through July 18 were designated as summer chum salmon, and after July 18 were designated as fall chum salmon.

## Analytical Methods

Daily estimates were produced from a multicomponent process that involved the following:

1. Hydroacoustic estimates of all fish targets passing the site and species composition derived from test fishery results were applied to the undifferentiated hydroacoustic estimates.
2. CPUE estimates were used as a separate index by the managers and calculated on a subset of the test fishery data.

## Catch Per Unit Effort

CPUE estimates used as separate indexes by the managers, and not for species apportionment, were calculated for each day $(d)$ and bank $(b)$ using 2 gillnet suites $(g)$ of specific size mesh sizes $(m)$. Chinook salmon CPUE was calculated on the pooled catch $(c)$ and effort $(f)$ of the large mesh gillnets ( 7.5 inch and 8.5 inch); chum and coho salmon CPUE was calculated on the pooled catch and effort of the small mesh gillnets ( 5.25 inch, 5.75 inch, and 6.5 inch).
The duration of the test fishery $\operatorname{drift}(j)$ in minutes $(t)$ was calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j}=S I_{j}-F O_{j}+\frac{\left(F O_{j}-S O_{j}\right)}{2}+\frac{\left(F I_{j}-S I_{j}\right)}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$S O=$ the time the net is initially set out,
$F O=$ the time the net is fully set out,
$S I=$ the time the net starts back in, and
$F I=$ the time the net is fully retrieved in.
The total fishing effort (in fathom-hours) for each day, bank, and gillnet suite was calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{d b g}=\sum_{m} \frac{25 \cdot t_{d b g m}}{60} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

because all nets were 25 fathoms ( 45.7 m ) in length. CPUE estimates (in catch per fathom-hour) for each species $(i)$ were made daily for the right and left banks as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C P U E_{d b i g}=\frac{\sum_{m} c_{d b i g m}}{e_{d b g}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Species composition

Test fishery sampling was conducted on both banks to estimate species proportions. The right bank has 1 zone ( Z 1 ), and the left bank has 2 zones ( $\mathrm{Z} 2[0-40 \mathrm{~m}]$ and Z 3 [ $40-300 \mathrm{~m}]$ ). In relation to acoustic sampling, Z1 corresponds to sonar strata S1 and S2, Z2 corresponds to S3, and Z3 corresponds to S4 and S5 (Figure 7). Test fishing was conducted twice daily between sonar periods; P1 was $0900-1200$, and P2 was 1700-2000 hours. This was considered 2 -stage systematic sampling, in which CPUE of species $(i)$ passing at zone $(z)$, during period $(p)$, of day $(d)\left(C_{d z p}\right)$, was considered the primary sampling unit of measurement.

CPUE of species $(i)$ passing zone $(z)$ during period $(p)$ of day $(d)\left(C_{d z p i}\right)$ was calculated by dividing the sum of the number of species $(i)$ of length $(l)$ caught by meshes $(m)\left(c_{d z p i l m}\right)$ by the sum of length selectivity adjusted efforts by meshes $(m)\left(f_{\text {dzpilm }}\right)$ and then summed across all lengths:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{d z p i}=\sum_{l}\left(\frac{\sum_{m} c_{d z p i m}}{\sum_{m} f_{d z p i l m}}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where length selectivity adjusted effort $f_{d z p i l m}$ is calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d z p i l m}=S_{i l m} \cdot e_{d z p m} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S_{i l m}$ is the net selectivity of the species $(i)$ of length $(l)$ caught by mesh $(m)$, and $e_{d z p m}$ is the effort (in fathom-hours) calculated by multiplying the drift time ( $t$ ) (in minutes) by 25 fathoms and dividing by 60 minutes per hour (Appendix A1; Bromaghin 2004):

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{d z p m}=\frac{25 \cdot t_{d z p m}}{60} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A threshold to prevent individual fish with extremely low selectivity from unreasonably inflating the CPUE was applied such that:

$$
S_{i l m}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
S_{i l m} & S_{i l m} \geq 0.1  \tag{7}\\
0.1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The proportion of species $(i)$ passing zone $(z)$ during period $(p)$ of day $(d)\left(\hat{p}_{d z p i}\right)$ and the proportion for day $\left(\hat{p}_{d z i}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{d z p i}=\frac{C_{d z p i}}{\sum_{i} C_{d z p i}} \text { and } \hat{p}_{d z i}=\frac{\sum_{p} C_{d z p i}}{\sum_{p} \sum_{i} C_{d z p i}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variance of $\hat{p}_{d z i}$ was estimated from the squared differences between the proportion for each test fishery period within the day $\left(\hat{p}_{d z p i}\right)$ and the proportion for the day as a whole $\left(\hat{p}_{d z i}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{p}_{d z i}\right)=\frac{\sum_{p}\left(\hat{p}_{d z i}-\hat{p}_{d z i p}\right)^{2}}{n_{p}\left(n_{p}-1\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{p}$ is the number of test fishery sampling periods within the day, equation 9 requires $n_{p}$ to be greater than 1 , so days with less than 1 test fishing period were pooled with adjacent days such that there were at least 2 complete test fishery periods.

## Sonar passage estimates

Fish passage was estimated separately for each sonar stratum. Let $y_{d p s k}$ be defined as 30 -minute subsampling acoustic counts $(k)$ at stratum $(s)$, during periods $(p)$ of day $(d)$. The hourly passage rate per stratum and period was calculated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{d p s}=\frac{\sum_{k} y_{d p s k}}{\sum_{k} h_{d p s k}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{d p s k}$ is the fraction of the hour sampled for sample $(k)$. The daily passage was then estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{d s}=24 \frac{\sum_{p} r_{d s p}}{n_{p}}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{p}$ was the number of periods in the day. The variance of $\hat{y}_{d s}$ was estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{V}\left(\hat{y}_{d s}\right)=24^{2}\left(\frac{s^{2}}{n_{p}}\right)\left(1-\frac{h_{d s}}{24}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s^{2}$ is the variance of the passage rate for the day:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=\left(\frac{\sum_{p}\left(r_{d s p}-\bar{r}_{d s}\right)^{2}}{n_{p}-1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fish passage by species

The final step in the estimation process was combining the sonar estimates with the estimates of species proportions to compute passage by species. To estimate passage by species within each sonar stratum, the passage for each stratum was multiplied by the species proportions for the test fishery zones as follows: test fishery Z 1 was applied to the entire counting range of the right bank (sonar strata S1 and S2 approximately $0-150 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Test fishery Z 2 was applied to the counting range corresponding to S 3 (approximately $0-50 \mathrm{~m}$ on the left bank). Test fishery Z 3 was applied to the counting range corresponding to S4 and S5 (approximately $50-150 \mathrm{~m}$ and $150-300 \mathrm{~m}$ on the left bank, respectively; Figure 7). The passage of species $(i)$ at stratum ( $s$ ) for each day was estimated by multiplying total passage ( $\hat{y}_{d s}$ ) and proportion ( $\hat{p}_{d z i}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{d i s}=\hat{y}_{d s} \cdot \hat{p}_{d z i} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Except for the timing of sonar and gillnet sampling periods, sonar-derived estimates of total fish passage were independent of gillnet-derived estimates of species proportions. Therefore, the variance of their product was estimated as the variance of the product of 2 independent random variables (Goodman 1960):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(y_{d i s}\right)=\hat{y}_{d s}^{2} \cdot \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{p}_{d z i}\right)+\hat{p}_{d z i}^{2} \cdot \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{y}_{d s}\right)-\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{y}_{d s}\right) \cdot \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{p}_{d z i}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Daily passage and variance of each species are the sum over all sonar strata:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{d i}=\sum_{s} \hat{y}_{d i s} \text { and } \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{y}_{d i}\right)=\sum_{s} \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{y}_{d i s}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, total passage and variance for the season of each species are the sum of the daily passage:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{i}=\sum_{d} \hat{y}_{d i} \text { and } \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{y}_{i}\right)=\sum_{d} \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{y}_{d i}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming normally distributed errors, $90 \%$ confidence intervals are calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{i} \pm 1.645 \sqrt{\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{y}_{i}\right)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ program code (Carl Pfisterer, Commercial Fisheries Biologist, ADF\&G, Fairbanks; personal communication) was used to calculate CPUE, passage estimates, and estimates of variance.

## RESULTS

The Pilot Station sonar project crew arrived at the sonar site on May 29 and began camp setup. Test fishery drift areas were dragged for snags on May 30, and test fishing began during P2 on May 31. The project was fully operational, beginning with P2 sonar on May 31 and continued operations through September 7. Passage estimates were transmitted to fishery managers daily.

## Environmental and Hydrological Conditions

Ice break-up on the Yukon River at Pilot Station occurred on May 13, which was later than the 10-year average of May 11 (Table 7). The water discharge near Pilot Station during the 2021 season was slightly below the 2011-2020 mean from June 1 through June 20, then rose above the mean through July 21. Water discharge decreased below the previous 10 -year high from July 22 to August 25 and rose slightly above average through September 7. (Figure 5). Mean daily water temperatures on the left bank ranged from $10.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $18.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and from $10.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the right bank (Figure 10). Water temperatures also fell below the 10 -year averages on both banks, rising above the average shortly in late June and late July.

## Test Fishery

Drift gillnetting resulted in the capture of 4,681 fish: 761 Chinook salmon (644 large and 117 small), 453 summer chum salmon, 739 fall chum salmon, 411 coho salmon, and 2,317 fish of other species. Of the captured fish, $1,408(30 \%)$ were retained as mortalities and delivered to local users within the nearby community of Pilot Station (Table 8). Of the 761 Chinook salmon captured in the test fishery, scale samples were collected from 761 fish, while 647 of these were ageable. ${ }^{1}$ Tissue samples for genetic stock identification were collected from 756 Chinook salmon and 1,195 chum salmon.

## Hydroacoustic Estimates

An estimated $1,040,660$ fish passed through the sonar sampling areas between June 7 and September 7. Of that total passage, 218,819 (approximately $21 \%$ ) fish passed along the right bank, and 821,841 (approximately $79 \%$ ) fish passed along the left bank (Table 9). Total fish passage estimates (with associated errors) by zone were calculated daily (Appendix C1). During both the summer and fall seasons, over $90 \%$ of the fish passage occurred within 60 m of the transducers on both banks (Figures 11-12).

## Species Estimates

Fish passage estimates by species were generated daily and reported to fishery managers each morning (Appendix D1). Chinook salmon cumulative inseason passage estimates, with $90 \%$ confidence intervals, were $104,267 \pm 10,339$ large Chinook salmon ( $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF) and $20,578 \pm 3,232$ small Chinook salmon ( $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF). Chum salmon cumulative passage estimates were $153,718 \pm 16,149$ summer chum salmon and $146,197 \pm 11,686$ fall chum salmon.

[^0]Coho salmon cumulative passage estimate was $37,255 \pm 3,879$ fish, and pink salmon ( O. gorbuscha) was $22,181 \pm 5,832$ fish. The cisco cumulative passage estimate was $195,566 \pm$ 25,264 fish, humpback whitefish was $264,160 \pm 21,191$ fish, broad whitefish was $23,859 \pm 3,971$, sheefish was $34,820 \pm 5,374$ fish, and other species (burbot, longnose sucker, Dolly Varden, sockeye salmon, and northern pike) was $38,059 \pm 4,846$ fish (Table 9).

The initial pulse of Chinook salmon began approximately June 17 (Figure 13); however, the front end of the Chinook run had an unusually long and consistent flow of "tricklers" that lasted for almost 2 weeks before the more distinctive first pulse arrived. ${ }^{2}$
The summer chum salmon estimates this season was the lowest in all the years of project operations (1995-2021). Early fish arrival started on June 4, and no significant pulses were detected until July 18, the last day of the summer chum season. Compared to the 2011-2020 historical mean run timing, the midpoint of the Chinook salmon run occurred 4 days late (June 28) and 8 days late (July 6) for summer chum salmon (Figure 14; Appendices E and F).
There were 5 distinct fall chum salmon pulses that passed the sonar project after July 19. The first pulse was the largest at approximately 33,816 fish. Peak daily passage occurred on July 31, during the first pulse (Figure 15). Inseason mixed stock analysis (MSA) from the Pilot Station sonar project test fishery, utilizing genetic samples, was used to generate stock composition estimates of pulses, which were distributed inseason to assist management decisions. Run timing for both fall chum and coho salmon was restricted to July 19-August 31 to allow more meaningful comparison with years that did not operate into September. The midpoint for the fall chum salmon run was August 7, which was 6 days early compared to the 2011-2020 mean cumulative run timing (Figure 16; Appendices E and F).

There was a relatively steady increase in coho passage until the first significant pulse on August 9 (Figure 15). As in most years, the project ended before the coho salmon run was complete; therefore, estimates were considered conservative, and timing may not reflect the total run. The midpoint for the coho salmon run was August 25, which was 3 days late compared to the 2011-2020 mean cumulative run timing (Figure 16; Appendices E and F).

## Missing Data

Initially, there were 9 days between May 31 and June 8 that had insufficient catches in at least 1 fishing zone, which made it necessary to pool days to ensure reasonable species apportionment (Table 10). In total, there were 100 days with insufficient catches, primarily in the offshore zone on the left bank (Z3). Unlike other years, there were no commercial fisheries this season that affected the species apportionment test fishery, which would necessitate pooling days.

## DISCUSSION

Optimal aiming of the sonar beam is essential for detecting fish on both banks. The rocky substrate found on the right bank is less dynamic than the silty bottom of the left bank and, therefore, has little or no change in profile throughout the season. Upon deployment on the left bank, high water, silt attenuation, and an eroded nearshore substrate hampered ARIS ability to detect fish beyond 40 m . A spreader lens was installed to increase the vertical beam from $3^{\circ}$ to approximately $14^{\circ}$.

[^1]Additionally, the end range in S 3 was reduced from 50 m to 40 m , and the range in S 4 was increased to cover $40-150 \mathrm{~m}$. The spreader lens was removed on July 21 due to high waters dropping to average water levels.

Although there were a few problems this season, estimating fish passage on the Yukon River continues to present major technical and logistic challenges. The sampling environment is often demanding due to the extremely dynamic nature of the water level, turbidity, bottom substrate, and range-dependent signal loss. The hydroacoustic systems employed at the Pilot Station sonar project appear to work well for the purpose of detecting migrating salmon, but successful estimation depends on constant attention to the frequent changes and diligent rechecking of every part of the acoustic and environmental system. In 2021, all project goals were met, and passage estimates were given to fisheries managers daily during the season. The information generated at the Pilot Station sonar project was also disseminated weekly through multiagency international teleconferences and data sharing with stakeholders in areas from the Lower Yukon River all the way to the spawning grounds in Canada.
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## TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1.-Daily sampling schedule for sonar and test fishery at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

|  | Sonar by stratum |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Right bank | Left bank | Test fishery |


| Period 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0530 | S1 | S3/S4 |
| 0600 | S2 | S5 |
| 0630 | S1 | S3/S4 |
| 0700 | S2 | S5 |
| 0730 | S1 | S3/S4 |
| 0800 | S2 | S5 |
|  |  |  |


| 0830 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0900 |  |  |
| 0930 |  |  |
| 1000 |  |  |
| 1030 |  |  |
| 1100 |  |  |
| 1130 |  |  |
| 1200 |  |  |
| 1230 |  | Period 2 |
| 1300 |  | $S 3 / \mathrm{S} 4$ |
| 1330 | S 1 |  |
| 1400 | S 2 |  |
| 1430 | S 1 | $\mathrm{~S} 5 / \mathrm{S} 4$ |
| 1500 | S 2 |  |
| 1530 | S 1 | S 5 |
| 1600 | S 2 | S 4 |
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| Period 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| S1 | S3/S4 |
| S2 | S5 |
| S1 | S3/S4 |
| S2 | S5 |
| S1 | S3/S4 |
| S2 | S5 |

Table 2.-Initial split-beam sonar settings at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

| Component | Setting | Stratum | Bank |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right |
| Transducer | Beam size ( h x w ) |  | $2.8{ }^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$ | $6^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$ |
| Echosounder | Transmit power (dB) | S1 |  | 27.0 |
|  |  | S2 |  | 27.0 |
|  |  | S4 | 27.0 |  |
|  |  | S5 | 30.0 |  |
|  | Receiver gain (dB) | S1 |  | -6.0 |
|  |  | S2 |  | -6.0 |
|  |  | S4 | -18.0 |  |
|  |  | S5 | -12.0 |  |
|  | Source level ( $\mathrm{dB} \mu \mathrm{Pa} @ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | S1 |  | 216.8 |
|  |  | S2 |  | 216.8 |
|  |  | S4 | 222.1 |  |
|  |  | S5 | 223.1 |  |
|  | Through-system gain (dB) |  | -161.6 | -162.0 |
|  | Pulse width (ms) |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | Blanking range (m) |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ping rate (pps) | S1 |  | 5.0 |
|  |  | S2 |  | 3.5 |
|  |  | S4 | 3.0 |  |
|  |  | S5 | 1.2 |  |
|  | Range (m) | S1 |  | 0 to 40 |
|  |  | S2 |  | 40 to 150 |
|  |  | S4 | 40 to 150 |  |
|  |  | S5 | 150 to 300 |  |

Note: $\mathrm{ms}=$ millisecond, $\mathrm{dB}=$ decibel, $\mathrm{pps}=$ pings per second .

Table 3.-Technical specifications for the adaptive resolution imaging sonar (ARIS) at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

| Setting | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Field of view (h x w) | $14^{\circ} \times 14^{\circ}$ |
| Detection frequency (MHz) | 0.7 |
| Receiver gain (dB) | 20.0 |
| Samples/beam | 1456.0 |
| Start range $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.7 |
| Frame rate $(\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{s})$ | 4.0 |
| End range $(\mathrm{m})$ | 40.0 |

Table 4.-Initial range of lower and upper thresholds used in Echotastic at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

|  |  | Threshold (dB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank | Stratum | Upper | Lower |
| Right | S1 | -30 | -52 |
|  | S2 | -23 | -47 |
| Left | S3 | -15 | -47 |
|  | S4 | -30 | -53 |
|  | S5 | -25 | -54 |

Table 5.-Specifications for drift gillnets used for test fishing by season at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

|  | Stretch mesh size |  | Mesh diameter <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Meshes deep <br> $($ MD $)$ | Depth <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{in})$ | $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 70 | 44 | 131 |
| Season | 65 | 90 | 8.0 |  |  |
| $(5 / 31-7 / 18)$ | 2.75 | 4.00 | 102 | 65 | 69 |
|  | 5.25 | 133 | 85 | 55 | 8.0 |
|  | 6.50 | 165 | 105 | 48 | 8.9 |
|  | 7.50 | 191 | 121 | 43 | 8.0 |
|  | 8.50 | 216 | 137 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fall | 2.75 | 70 | 44 | 131 | 8.0 |
| $(7 / 19-9 / 7)$ | 4.00 | 102 | 65 | 90 | 8.0 |
|  | 5.00 | 127 | 81 | 72 | 8.0 |
|  | 5.75 | 146 | 93 | 63 | 8.0 |
|  | 6.50 | 165 | 105 | 55 | 7.9 |
|  | 7.50 | 191 | 121 | 48 | 8.0 |

Table 6.-Fishing schedule for drift gillnets used for test fishing by season at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

| Season | Test fishery period | Mesh size (inch) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Odd days |  | Even days |  |
| Summer$(6 / 7-7 / 18)$ | 1 | 2.75 | 5.25 | 8.50 | 4.00 |
|  |  | $7.50$ | $6.50$ | 7.50 | 6.50 |
|  | 2 | 7.50 | 6.50 | 7.50 | 6.50 |
|  |  | 8.50 | 4.00 | 2.75 | 5.25 |
| Fall(7/19-9/7) | 1 | 4.00 | 5.75 | 2.75 | 7.50 |
|  |  | $5.00$ | $6.50$ | $5.00$ | 6.50 |
|  | 2 | 5.00 | 6.50 | 5.00 | 6.50 |
|  |  | 2.75 | 7.50 | 4.00 | 5.75 |

Table 7.-Yukon River ice break-up dates at Pilot Station, 2001-2021.

| Year | Break-up date |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2001 | $5 / 29$ |
| 2002 | $5 / 18$ |
| 2003 | $5 / 15$ |
| 2004 | $5 / 03$ |
| 2005 | $5 / 11$ |
| 2006 | $5 / 25$ |
| 2007 | $5 / 11$ |
| 2008 | $5 / 19$ |
| 2009 | $5 / 17$ |
| 2010 | $5 / 19$ |
| 2011 | $5 / 17$ |
| 2012 | $5 / 17$ |
| 2013 | $5 / 31$ |
| 2014 | $5 / 03$ |
| 2015 | $5 / 14$ |
| 2016 | $4 / 29$ |
| 2017 | $5 / 05$ |
| 2018 | $5 / 13$ |
| 2019 | $5 / 07$ |
| 2020 | $5 / 11$ |
| 2021 | $5 / 13$ |

Source: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2021. National Weather Service, Alaska-Pacific River Forecast Center. www.weather.gov/aprfc/breakupDB (accessed: October 27, 2021).

Table 8.-Number of fish caught and retained in the Pilot Station sonar project test fishery on the Yukon River, 2021.

| Total catch | Chinook | S. Chum | F. Chum | Sockeye | Coho | Pink | Whitefish | Cisco | Burbot | Sheefish | Others ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 10 |
| June | 459 | 142 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 54 | 30 | 140 | 80 | 996 |
| July | 298 | 311 | 174 | 18 | 0 | 61 | 507 | 209 | 23 | 50 | 32 | 1,683 |
| August | 3 | 0 | 477 | 8 | 316 | 16 | 571 | 292 | 27 | 23 | 13 | 1,746 |
| September | 0 | 0 | 88 | 1 | 95 | 0 | 30 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 246 |
| Total | 761 | 453 | 739 | 28 | 411 | 77 | 1,200 | 574 | 87 | 221 | 130 | 4,681 |
| Fish retained | Chinook | S. Chum | F. Chum | Sockeye | Coho | Pink | Whitefish | Cisco | Burbot | Sheefish | Others ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total |
| May | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| June | 182 | 106 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 349 |
| July | 117 | 215 | 71 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 579 |
| August | 1 | 0 | 179 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 165 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 399 |
| September | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 78 |
| Total | 301 | 321 | 289 | 6 | 74 | 0 | 351 | 4 | 7 | 55 | 0 | 1,408 |
| Proportion retained | Chinook | S. Chum | F. Chum | Sockeye | Coho | Pink | Whitefish | Cisco | Burbot | Sheefish | Others ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total |
| May | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.000 | 0.300 |
| June | 0.397 | 0.746 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.293 | 0.000 | 0.350 |
| July | 0.393 | 0.691 | 0.408 | 0.278 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.306 | 0.010 | 0.087 | 0.240 | 0.000 | 0.344 |
| August | 0.333 | 0.000 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.161 | 0.000 | 0.289 | 0.003 | 0.074 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.229 |
| September | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.443 | 0.000 | 0.242 | 0.000 | 0.400 | 0.053 | 0.286 | 0.333 | 0.000 | 0.317 |
| Total | 0.396 | 0.709 | 0.391 | 0.214 | 0.180 | 0.000 | 0.293 | 0.007 | 0.080 | 0.249 | 0.000 | 0.301 |

Note: "S. chum" = Summer chum; "F. chum" = Fall chum.
a Includes longnose sucker, northern pike, and Dolly Varden.

Table 9.-Cumulative fish passage estimates by zone and species with standard errors (SE) and $90 \%$ confidence intervals (CI) at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

| Species | Right bank | Left bank | Total passage | SE | 90\% CI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| Large Chinook ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 8,409 | 95,858 | 104,267 | 6,285 | 93,928 | 114,606 |
| Small Chinook ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3,204 | 17,374 | 20,578 | 1,965 | 17,346 | 23,810 |
| Total Chinook | 11,613 | 113,232 | 124,845 | 6,584 | 114,014 | 135,676 |
| Summer chum | 25,090 | 128,628 | 153,718 | 9,817 | 137,569 | 169,867 |
| Fall chum ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 17,569 | 128,628 | 146,197 | 7,104 | 134,511 | 157,883 |
| Coho ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 12,976 | 24,279 | 37,255 | 2,358 | 33,376 | 41,134 |
| Pink | 5,751 | 16,430 | 22,181 | 3,545 | 16,349 | 28,013 |
| Cisco | 37,627 | 157,939 | 195,566 | 15,358 | 170,302 | 220,830 |
| Humpback whitefish | 51,664 | 212,496 | 264,160 | 12,882 | 242,969 | 285,351 |
| Broad whitefish | 12,288 | 11,571 | 23,859 | 2,414 | 19,888 | 27,830 |
| Sheefish | 12,556 | 22,264 | 34,820 | 3,267 | 29,446 | 40,194 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 31,685 | 6,374 | 38,059 | 2,946 | 33,213 | 42,905 |
| Total | 218,819 | 821,841 | 1,040,660 |  |  |  |

[^2]Table 10.-Dates of zones pooled at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

|  |  | Left bank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Right bank (Zone 1) | Nearshore (Zone 2) | Offshore (Zone 3) | Reason for pooling |
| 5/31 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/01 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/02 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/03 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/04 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/07 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/08 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/09 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/10 |  |  |  | IC |
| $6 / 11$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6/13 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 6/14 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/15 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/16 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/17 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/18 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/19 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/20 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/21 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/22 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/23 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/24 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/25 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/26 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/27 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/28 |  |  |  | IC |
| 6/29 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/30 |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/01 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/02 |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/03 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/04 |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/05 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/06 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/07 |  |  |  | IC |
| $7 / 08$ $7 / 09$ |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/10 |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/11 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/12 |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/13 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/14 |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/15 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/16 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/17 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/19 |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  | Left bank |  | Reason for pooling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Right bank (Zone 1) | Nearshore (Zone 2) | Offshore (Zone 3) |  |
| 7/20 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/21 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/22 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/23 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/24 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/25 |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/26 |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/27 |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 28$ $7 / 29$ |  |  |  | IC |
| 7/30 |  |  |  |  |
| 7/31 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/01 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/02 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/03 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/04 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/05 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/06 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/07 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/08 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/09 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/10 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/11 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/12 |  |  |  | IC |
| 8/13 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/14 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/15 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/16 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/17 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/18 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/19 |  |  |  | IC |
| 8/20 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/21 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/22 |  |  |  | IC |
| 8/23 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/24 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/25 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/26 |  |  |  | IC |
| 8/27 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/28 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/29 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/30 |  |  |  |  |
| 8/31 |  |  |  | IC |
| 9/01 |  |  |  |  |
| 9/02 |  |  |  |  |
| 9/03 |  |  |  |  |
| 9/04 |  |  |  |  |
| 9/05 |  |  |  | IC |
| 9/06 |  |  |  |  |
| 9/07 |  |  |  |  |

Note: Days with insufficient catches (IC) in at least 1 fishing zone were pooled (boxes) to ensure reasonable species apportionment. There were no commercial fisheries this season that affected the species apportionment test fishery.


Figure 1.-Fishing districts and communities of the Yukon River drainage.


Figure 2.-Location of the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River showing general transducer sites.


Figure 3.-Bottom profiles for the right bank (top) and left bank (bottom) at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.


Figure 4.-Bathymetric map of the Yukon River in the vicinity of the Pilot Station sonar project.


Figure 5.-Yukon River daily water discharge during the 2021 season at Pilot Station water gauge compared to the 2011-2020 minimum, maximum, and mean gauge height.

Source: Water levels were sourced from the real-time United States Geological Survey (USGS) gauging station located downstream of the Pilot Station sonar project. https://dashboard.waterdata.usgs.gov/app/nwd/en/?region=lower48\&aoi=default accessed: December 2021.


Figure 6.-Flow diagram of data collection and processing at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.


Figure 7.-Illustration of relationships between strata, zones, test fishery drifts, and approximate sonar ranges (not to scale) at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.
Note: Stratum ranges can vary during the season depending on river conditions.


Figure 8.-ARIS with a telephoto lens mounted to a pod with PT-25 rotator (top left), ARIS with a spreader lens installed on the front of the telephoto lens (lower left), and HTI split-beam transducer mounted on the pod with PT-25 rotator (right), at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River.


Figure 9.-Echogram of ARIS alongside video image (top) and split-beam sonar (bottom), with an oval around representative fish.


Figure 10.-Mean daily water temperatures recorded at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River with electronic data loggers by bank, 2021.



Figure 11.-Distribution of left bank passage (top) and cumulative passage as a function of range (bottom) at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.



Figure 12.-Distribution of right bank passage (top) and cumulative passage as a function of range (bottom) at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.


Figure 13.-Chinook (top) and summer chum salmon (bottom) daily passage estimates at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.


Figure 14.-2021 Chinook (top) and summer chum (bottom) salmon daily cumulative passage timing compared to the 2011-2020 mean passage timing at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River.


Figure 15.-Fall chum (top) and coho (bottom) salmon daily passage estimates at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.
Note: The mean cumulative run timing for both fall chum and coho salmon was based on run timing from July 19 through August 31 in order to compare timing across years, despite years when the project was in operation until September 7.


Figure 16.-2021 Fall chum (top) and coho (bottom) salmon daily cumulative passage timing compared to the 2011-2020 mean passage timing at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River.

Note: The mean cumulative run timing for both fall chum and coho salmon was based on run timing from July 19 through August 31 in order to compare timing across years, despite years when the project was in operation until September 7.

# APPENDIX A: NET SELECTIVITY PARAMETERS USED IN FISH SPECIES APPORTIONMENT AT THE PILOT STATION SONAR PROJECT 

Appendix A1.-Net selectivity parameters used in species apportionment, at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

| Species | Tau | Sigma | Theta | Lambda | Tangle |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large Chinook $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1.9072 | 0.2177 | 0.6238 | -0.4523 | 0.0075 |
| Small Chinook $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.9072 | 0.2177 | 0.6238 | -0.4523 | 0.0075 |
| Summer chum $_{\text {Fall chum }} \quad 1.9675$ | 0.1469 | 0.7875 | -0.4291 | 0.0416 |  |
| Coho | 1.8819 | 0.2085 | 1.0548 | -0.9855 | 0.0350 |
| Pink | 1.9765 | 0.2825 | 0.7764 | -1.2320 | 0.0000 |
| Broad whitefish | 1.9595 | 0.3537 | 2.5556 | 3.0157 | 0.1485 |
| Humpback whitefish | 1.8037 | 0.2062 | 1.0548 | -1.8365 | 0.1299 |
| Cisco | 1.9272 | 0.2581 | 1.1700 | -2.1510 | 0.1339 |
| Sheefish | 2.1730 | 0.2311 | 0.7922 | -1.6967 | 0.0000 |
| Other ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 2.1445 | 0.2875 | 1.4049 | -2.5044 | 0.2451 |

[^3]
## APPENDIX B: SALMON SPECIES CPUE BY DAY AND BANK

Appendix B1.-Left bank catch per unit effort (CPUE), by day and salmon species, at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

|  |  | Large mesh | Chi |  | Small mesh | Summe |  | Fall |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date | fathom-hours | Catch | CPUE | fathom-hours | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE |
|  | 5/31 | 12.76 | 1 | 0.08 | 5.93 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/01 | 17.70 | 1 | 0.06 | 19.36 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/02 | 19.14 | 1 | 0.05 | 19.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/03 | 19.51 | 3 | 0.15 | 18.64 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/04 | 17.05 | 2 | 0.12 | 16.31 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/05 | 17.32 | 1 | 0.06 | 17.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/06 | 18.49 | 3 | 0.16 | 18.78 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/07 | 17.76 | 2 | 0.11 | 17.71 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/08 | 18.36 | 9 | 0.49 | 17.90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/09 | 17.06 | 9 | 0.53 | 18.35 | 1 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/10 | 19.30 | 5 | 0.26 | 18.20 | 3 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/11 | 17.39 | 7 | 0.40 | 17.93 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/12 | 17.24 | 2 | 0.12 | 16.95 | 3 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/13 | 17.15 | 9 | 0.52 | 18.74 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/14 | 17.83 | 9 | 0.50 | 17.14 | 3 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/15 | 16.47 | 4 | 0.24 | 17.07 | 4 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| $\pm$ | 6/16 | 18.62 | 2 | 0.11 | 17.80 | 3 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/17 | 17.79 | 10 | 0.56 | 18.85 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/18 | 17.05 | 7 | 0.41 | 18.45 | 12 | 0.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/19 | 16.36 | 6 | 0.37 | 17.83 | 6 | 0.34 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/20 | 18.13 | 10 | 0.55 | 17.25 | 2 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/21 | 18.11 | 11 | 0.61 | 17.61 | 4 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/22 | 18.17 | 5 | 0.28 | 18.08 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/23 | 17.31 | 6 | 0.35 | 17.88 | 5 | 0.28 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/24 | 17.03 | 9 | 0.53 | 17.44 | 10 | 0.57 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/25 | 17.80 | 6 | 0.34 | 16.70 | 2 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/26 | 17.42 | 9 | 0.52 | 17.39 |  | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/27 | 18.80 | 7 | 0.37 | 18.30 | 6 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/28 | 17.97 | 9 | 0.50 | 18.08 | 3 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/29 | 16.81 | 14 | 0.83 | 18.12 | 12 | 0.66 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/30 | 16.59 | 20 | 1.21 | 16.02 | 26 | 1.62 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/01 | 18.73 | 11 | 0.59 | 16.72 | 16 | 0.96 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/02 | 16.64 | 13 | 0.78 | 16.69 | 10 | 0.60 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/03 | 15.42 | 14 | 0.91 | 16.57 | 8 | 0.48 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
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|  | Date | Large mesh fathom-hours | Chinook |  | Small mesh fathom-hours | Summer chum |  | Fall chum |  | Coho |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catch | CPUE |  | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE |
|  | 7/04 | 17.57 | 9 | 0.51 | 18.72 | 12 | 0.64 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/05 | 17.46 | 12 | 0.69 | 17.15 | 3 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/06 | 17.51 | 7 | 0.40 | 18.10 | 12 | 0.66 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/07 | 16.88 | 8 | 0.47 | 17.31 | 34 | 1.96 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/08 | 16.83 | 4 | 0.24 | 18.67 | 15 | 0.80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/09 | 11.93 | 5 | 0.42 | 23.26 | 11 | 0.47 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/10 | 17.97 | 5 | 0.28 | 18.35 | 16 | 0.87 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/11 | 16.14 | 5 | 0.31 | 17.68 | 17 | 0.96 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/12 | 17.40 | 1 | 0.06 | 18.49 | 5 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/13 | 16.47 | 3 | 0.18 | 17.77 | 8 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/14 | 18.41 | 2 | 0.11 | 19.65 | 4 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/15 | 18.43 | 3 | 0.16 | 15.12 | 1 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/16 | 17.69 | , | 0.06 | 17.89 | 2 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/17 | 15.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 15.43 | 5 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| $\pm$ | 7/18 | 17.81 | 3 | 0.17 | 18.10 | 14 | 0.77 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | 7/19 | 5.45 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.40 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/20 | 6.58 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/21 | 5.95 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.22 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/22 | 5.88 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/23 | 6.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.15 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/24 | 6.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.80 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/25 | 5.39 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.03 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/26 | 6.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.94 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/27 | 6.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/28 | 5.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 11.05 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/29 | 5.77 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.73 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/30 | 5.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.66 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/31 | 5.43 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.37 | 0 | 0.00 | 13 | 0.75 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/01 | 5.27 | 0 | 0.00 | 19.18 | 0 | 0.00 | 9 | 0.47 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/02 | 6.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.61 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.40 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/03 | 5.88 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.70 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.32 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/04 | 6.12 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/05 | 6.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 |
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| Date | Large mesh fathom-hours | Chinook |  | Small mesh fathom-hours | Summer chum |  | Fall chum |  | Coho |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Catch | CPUE |  | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE |
| 8/06 | 6.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.92 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/07 | 5.37 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.32 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/08 | 5.86 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.34 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/09 | 5.56 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.93 | 0 | 0.00 | 9 | 0.53 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/10 | 5.91 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.49 | 0 | 0.00 | 12 | 0.65 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/11 | 5.93 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.93 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.18 |  | 0.00 |
| 8/12 | 6.10 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.49 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.43 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/13 | 5.48 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.68 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/14 | 5.50 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.66 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| $8 / 15$ | 5.38 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 10 | 0.59 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/16 | 5.93 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.98 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.24 | 1 | 0.06 |
| 8/17 | 5.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.10 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.12 | 2 | 0.12 |
| 8/18 | 5.14 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.20 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.29 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/19 | 6.02 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.52 | 0 | 0.00 | 11 | 0.59 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/20 | 5.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.92 | 0 | 0.00 | 10 | 0.59 | 2 | 0.12 |
| 8/21 | 5.31 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.41 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.49 | 2 | 0.12 |
| 8/22 | 5.24 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.40 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.43 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 8/23 | 5.57 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.48 | 0 | 0.00 | 11 | 0.63 | 2 | 0.11 |
| 8/24 | 5.29 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.41 | 0 | 0.00 | 12 | 0.73 | 2 | 0.12 |
| 8/25 | 5.82 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 10 | 0.61 | 3 | 0.18 |
| 8/26 | 5.56 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.85 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.36 | 2 | 0.12 |
| 8/27 | 5.76 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 14 | 0.83 | 1 | 0.06 |
| 8/28 | 5.18 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.52 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.27 | 3 | 0.16 |
| 8/29 | 5.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.78 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.30 | 2 | 0.12 |
| 8/30 | 4.70 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.95 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.47 | 2 | 0.12 |
| 8/31 | 5.80 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.35 | 1 | 0.06 |
| 9/01 | 5.52 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.50 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.27 | 2 | 0.11 |
| 9/02 | 4.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.94 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 9/03 | 5.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.06 | 3 | 0.17 |
| 9/04 | 5.63 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.31 | 1 | 0.06 |
| 9/05 | 5.82 | 0 | 0.00 | 16.63 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.06 |
| 9/06 | 5.79 | 0 | 0.00 | 17.47 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.40 | 1 | 0.06 |
| 9/07 | 5.88 | 0 | 0.00 | 15.99 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.25 | 2 | 0.13 |
| Total | 1,139.33 | 305 | 17.73 | 1,742.57 | 302 | 17.05 | 271 | 15.68 | 35 | 2.06 |

Appendix B2.-Right bank catch per unit effort (CPUE), by day and salmon species, at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

|  |  | Large mesh | Chin |  | Small mesh | Summe |  | Fall |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date | fathom-hours | Catch | CPUE | fathom-hours | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE |
|  | 5/31 | 5.94 | 0 | 0.00 | 3.26 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/01 | 8.32 | 0 | 0.00 | 9.23 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/02 | 9.34 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.51 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/03 | 10.16 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.80 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/04 | 8.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.21 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/05 | 9.02 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.66 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/06 | 8.41 | 0 | 0.00 | 9.48 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/07 | 8.76 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.60 | 1 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/08 | 8.78 | 1 | 0.11 | 8.54 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/09 | 8.60 | 4 | 0.47 | 8.18 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/10 | 8.76 | 2 | 0.23 | 9.05 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/11 | 8.42 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.47 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/12 | 8.59 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.44 | 1 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/13 | 8.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/14 | 8.57 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/15 | 8.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.97 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | 6/16 | 8.34 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.94 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/17 | 8.14 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.96 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/18 | 8.70 | 1 | 0.11 | 8.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/19 | 8.12 | 1 | 0.12 | 8.32 | 1 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/20 | 9.53 | 4 | 0.42 | 7.88 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/21 | 8.60 | 3 | 0.35 | 8.81 | 1 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/22 | 8.61 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.53 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/23 | 7.76 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.10 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/24 | 7.75 | 1 | 0.13 | 8.66 | 2 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/25 | 8.13 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/26 | 8.47 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.36 | 1 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/27 | 8.03 | 1 | 0.12 | 8.22 | 2 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/28 | 8.49 | 1 | 0.12 | 8.65 | 1 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/29 | 7.37 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.10 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 6/30 | 8.65 | 1 | 0.12 | 8.43 | 2 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/01 | 9.09 | 3 | 0.33 | 7.90 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/02 | 8.40 | 1 | 0.12 | 8.64 | 3 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 7/03 | 8.12 | 3 | 0.37 | 8.16 | 2 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
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|  | Date | Large mesh fathom-hours | Chinook |  | Small mesh fathom-hours | Summer chum |  | Fall chum |  | Coho |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Catch | CPUE |  | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE | Catch | CPUE |
|  | 8/06 | 2.87 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.72 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/07 | 2.75 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.64 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/08 | 2.81 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.45 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/09 | 3.01 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.12 |
|  | 8/10 | 2.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.57 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.47 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/11 | 2.83 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.35 | 1 | 0.12 |
|  | 8/12 | 2.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.40 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.60 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/13 | 2.78 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.46 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/14 | 2.88 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.24 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.12 |
|  | 8/15 | 2.78 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.14 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.12 | 1 | 0.12 |
|  | 8/16 | 2.86 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | $8 / 17$ | 2.73 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.64 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.12 | 1 | 0.12 |
|  | 8/18 | 2.79 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.49 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 8/19 | 3.10 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.88 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.00 |
| - | 8/20 | 2.85 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.51 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 0.94 | 0 | 0.00 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 8/21 | 2.69 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.03 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.50 | 1 | 0.12 |
|  | 8/22 | 2.70 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.54 | 3 | 0.40 |
|  | 8/23 | 2.77 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.85 | 1 | 0.12 |
|  | 8/24 | 2.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.36 | 2 | 0.24 |
|  | 8/25 | 2.79 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.50 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.35 | 3 | 0.35 |
|  | 8/26 | 2.62 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.40 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 0.83 | 8 | 0.95 |
|  | 8/27 | 2.76 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.40 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.48 |
|  | 8/28 | 2.66 | 0 | 0.00 | 9.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.21 | 8 | 0.85 |
|  | 8/29 | 2.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.36 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 0.72 | 4 | 0.48 |
|  | 8/30 | 2.95 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.74 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.13 | 4 | 0.52 |
|  | 8/31 | 2.99 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.96 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.13 |
|  | 9/01 | 2.85 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.78 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.46 | 2 | 0.23 |
|  | 9/02 | 2.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.13 | 0 | 0.00 |
|  | 9/03 | 2.93 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.48 | 0 | 0.00 | 9 | 1.06 | 2 | 0.24 |
|  | 9/04 | 2.43 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.86 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.45 | 5 | 0.56 |
|  | 9/05 | 2.83 | 0 | 0.00 | 7.84 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 0.51 | 2 | 0.25 |
|  | 9/06 | 2.65 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.11 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.12 | 2 | 0.25 |
|  | 9/07 | 2.68 | 0 | 0.00 | 8.21 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.24 | 2 | 0.24 |
|  | Total | 551.02 | 36. | 4.23 | 835.87 | 85 | 9.89 | 119 | 14.26 | 59 | 7.01 |

# APPENDIX C: DAILY FISH PASSAGE ESTIMATES BY ZONE WITH STANDARD ERRORS 

Appendix C1.-Daily fish passage estimates by zone with standard errors (SE) at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

| Date | Right bank | Left bank | Total |  | Percent by bank |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Passage | SE | Right | Left |
| 5/31 | 2,259 | 3,069 | 5,328 | 3,341 | 42.4 | 57.6 |
| 6/01 | 2,023 | 2,645 | 4,668 | 339 | 43.3 | 56.7 |
| 6/02 | 1,864 | 1,894 | 3,758 | 197 | 49.6 | 50.4 |
| 6/03 | 1,758 | 2,278 | 4,036 | 240 | 43.6 | 56.4 |
| 6/04 | 1,446 | 2,430 | 3,876 | 452 | 37.3 | 62.7 |
| 6/05 | 1,209 | 2,118 | 3,327 | 262 | 36.3 | 63.7 |
| 6/06 | 1,418 | 2,274 | 3,692 | 147 | 38.4 | 61.6 |
| 6/07 | 1,515 | 2,749 | 4,264 | 155 | 35.5 | 64.5 |
| 6/08 | 1,389 | 2,676 | 4,065 | 187 | 34.2 | 65.8 |
| 6/09 | 1,448 | 4,187 | 5,635 | 320 | 25.7 | 74.3 |
| 6/10 | 1,454 | 4,195 | 5,649 | 199 | 25.7 | 74.3 |
| 6/11 | 1,422 | 4,413 | 5,835 | 247 | 24.4 | 75.6 |
| 6/12 | 1,313 | 4,576 | 5,889 | 349 | 22.3 | 77.7 |
| 6/13 | 1,362 | 4,927 | 6,289 | 450 | 21.7 | 78.3 |
| 6/14 | 1,367 | 4,369 | 5,736 | 354 | 23.8 | 76.2 |
| 6/15 | 1,529 | 3,726 | 5,255 | 323 | 29.1 | 70.9 |
| 6/16 | 1,961 | 3,073 | 5,034 | 216 | 39.0 | 61.0 |
| 6/17 | 2,139 | 3,920 | 6,059 | 404 | 35.3 | 64.7 |
| 6/18 | 2,428 | 6,125 | 8,553 | 391 | 28.4 | 71.6 |
| 6/19 | 2,389 | 6,882 | 9,271 | 391 | 25.8 | 74.2 |
| 6/20 | 2,426 | 5,128 | 7,554 | 401 | 32.1 | 67.9 |
| 6/21 | 2,491 | 5,880 | 8,371 | 513 | 29.8 | 70.2 |
| 6/22 | 2,271 | 4,174 | 6,445 | 319 | 35.2 | 64.8 |
| 6/23 | 2,510 | 4,379 | 6,889 | 543 | 36.4 | 63.6 |
| 6/24 | 2,198 | 6,132 | 8,330 | 539 | 26.4 | 73.6 |
| 6/25 | 2,674 | 4,593 | 7,267 | 507 | 36.8 | 63.2 |
| 6/26 | 2,601 | 7,138 | 9,739 | 822 | 26.7 | 73.3 |
| 6/27 | 2,722 | 7,750 | 10,472 | 416 | 26.0 | 74.0 |
| 6/28 | 2,584 | 6,468 | 9,052 | 321 | 28.5 | 71.5 |
| 6/29 | 2,375 | 11,463 | 13,838 | 766 | 17.2 | 82.8 |
| 6/30 | 2,618 | 14,231 | 16,849 | 1,214 | 15.5 | 84.5 |
| 7/01 | 2,746 | 15,550 | 18,296 | 1,389 | 15.0 | 85.0 |
| 7/02 | 2,777 | 10,681 | 13,458 | 572 | 20.6 | 79.4 |
| 7/03 | 2,340 | 10,671 | 13,011 | 360 | 18.0 | 82.0 |
| 7/04 | 2,769 | 10,861 | 13,630 | 550 | 20.3 | 79.7 |
| 7/05 | 2,926 | 8,226 | 11,152 | 484 | 26.2 | 73.8 |
| 7/06 | 3,199 | 12,319 | 15,518 | 1,552 | 20.6 | 79.4 |
| 7/07 | 3,699 | 16,469 | 20,168 | 1,237 | 18.3 | 81.7 |
| 7/08 | 2,385 | 13,508 | 15,893 | 728 | 15.0 | 85.0 |
| 7/09 | 1,877 | 8,417 | 10,294 | 776 | 18.2 | 81.8 |
| 7/10 | 1,850 | 8,626 | 10,476 | 630 | 17.7 | 82.3 |
| 7/11 | 1,916 | 12,033 | 13,949 | 717 | 13.7 | 86.3 |
| 7/12 | 1,931 | 10,397 | 12,328 | 340 | 15.7 | 84.3 |
| 7/13 | 2,176 | 9,282 | 11,458 | 327 | 19.0 | 81.0 |
| 7/14 | 2,092 | 9,507 | 11,599 | 543 | 18.0 | 82.0 |
| 7/15 | 2,507 | 8,884 | 11,391 | 565 | 22.0 | 78.0 |
| 7/16 | 3,280 | 9,290 | 12,570 | 397 | 26.1 | 73.9 |
| 7/17 | 3,433 | 13,999 | 17,432 | 1,339 | 19.7 | 80.3 |
| 7/18 | 4,486 | 18,733 | 23,219 | 915 | 19.3 | 80.7 |
| 7/19 | 3,511 | 18,489 | 22,000 | 872 | 16.0 | 84.0 |
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| Date | Right bank | Left bank | Total |  | Percent by bank |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Passage | SE | Right | Left |
| 7/20 | 2,194 | 17,754 | 19,948 | 772 | 11.0 | 89.0 |
| 7/21 | 3,472 | 19,357 | 22,829 | 1,444 | 15.2 | 84.8 |
| 7/22 | 4,163 | 19,428 | 23,591 | 1,408 | 17.6 | 82.4 |
| 7/23 | 3,844 | 22,974 | 26,818 | 3,250 | 14.3 | 85.7 |
| 7/24 | 3,936 | 22,878 | 26,814 | 1,060 | 14.7 | 85.3 |
| 7/25 | 3,127 | 17,760 | 20,887 | 1,389 | 15.0 | 85.0 |
| 7/26 | 1,755 | 12,648 | 14,403 | 1,064 | 12.2 | 87.8 |
| 7/27 | 1,879 | 8,402 | 10,281 | 1,612 | 18.3 | 81.7 |
| 7/28 | 1,972 | 7,387 | 9,359 | 1,299 | 21.1 | 78.9 |
| 7/29 | 2,164 | 8,482 | 10,646 | 812 | 20.3 | 79.7 |
| 7/30 | 2,412 | 9,923 | 12,335 | 501 | 19.6 | 80.4 |
| 7/31 | 2,338 | 12,325 | 14,663 | 580 | 15.9 | 84.1 |
| 8/01 | 3,215 | 9,815 | 13,030 | 712 | 24.7 | 75.3 |
| 8/02 | 2,835 | 9,581 | 12,416 | 775 | 22.8 | 77.2 |
| 8/03 | 2,579 | 7,754 | 10,333 | 401 | 25.0 | 75.0 |
| 8/04 | 3,220 | 7,379 | 10,599 | 692 | 30.4 | 69.6 |
| 8/05 | 2,085 | 5,945 | 8,030 | 636 | 26.0 | 74.0 |
| 8/06 | 2,151 | 8,300 | 10,451 | 1,229 | 20.6 | 79.4 |
| 8/07 | 3,009 | 17,095 | 20,104 | 1,672 | 15.0 | 85.0 |
| 8/08 | 2,776 | 19,498 | 22,274 | 842 | 12.5 | 87.5 |
| 8/09 | 3,711 | 17,785 | 21,496 | 857 | 17.3 | 82.7 |
| 8/10 | 2,935 | 14,008 | 16,943 | 1,177 | 17.3 | 82.7 |
| 8/11 | 2,610 | 9,694 | 12,304 | 723 | 21.2 | 78.8 |
| 8/12 | 2,702 | 9,251 | 11,953 | 458 | 22.6 | 77.4 |
| 8/13 | 1,488 | 7,191 | 8,679 | 200 | 17.1 | 82.9 |
| 8/14 | 1,204 | 6,195 | 7,399 | 370 | 16.3 | 83.7 |
| 8/15 | 1,334 | 6,556 | 7,890 | 508 | 16.9 | 83.1 |
| 8/16 | 1,010 | 4,775 | 5,785 | 564 | 17.5 | 82.5 |
| 8/17 | 1,463 | 3,810 | 5,273 | 433 | 27.7 | 72.3 |
| 8/18 | 1,267 | 5,103 | 6,370 | 504 | 19.9 | 80.1 |
| 8/19 | 1,456 | 5,728 | 7,184 | 421 | 20.3 | 79.7 |
| 8/20 | 1,674 | 6,338 | 8,012 | 565 | 20.9 | 79.1 |
| 8/21 | 1,882 | 6,725 | 8,607 | 539 | 21.9 | 78.1 |
| 8/22 | 1,739 | 4,633 | 6,372 | 377 | 27.3 | 72.7 |
| 8/23 | 1,662 | 6,716 | 8,378 | 824 | 19.8 | 80.2 |
| 8/24 | 1,789 | 8,548 | 10,337 | 655 | 17.3 | 82.7 |
| 8/25 | 1,815 | 6,510 | 8,325 | 498 | 21.8 | 78.2 |
| 8/26 | 1,755 | 5,552 | 7,307 | 729 | 24.0 | 76.0 |
| 8/27 | 1,686 | 6,005 | 7,691 | 638 | 21.9 | 78.1 |
| 8/28 | 1,600 | 5,608 | 7,208 | 336 | 22.2 | 77.8 |
| 8/29 | 1,456 | 4,547 | 6,003 | 361 | 24.3 | 75.7 |
| 8/30 | 902 | 4,448 | 5,350 | 225 | 16.9 | 83.1 |
| 8/31 | 1,107 | 4,094 | 5,201 | 345 | 21.3 | 78.7 |
| 9/01 | 1,043 | 3,474 | 4,517 | 334 | 23.1 | 76.9 |
| 9/02 | 1,338 | 3,322 | 4,660 | 437 | 28.7 | 71.3 |
| 9/03 | 1,476 | 2,869 | 4,345 | 389 | 34.0 | 66.0 |
| 9/04 | 1,597 | 2,358 | 3,955 | 157 | 40.4 | 59.6 |
| 9/05 | 1,704 | 2,546 | 4,250 | 380 | 40.1 | 59.9 |
| 9/06 | 1,431 | 3,251 | 4,682 | 156 | 30.6 | 69.4 |
| 9/07 | 1,794 | 3,712 | 5,506 | 506 | 32.6 | 67.4 |
| Season | 218,819 | 821,841 | 1,040,660 | 66,433 | 21.0 | 79.0 |

## APPENDIX D: DAILY FISH PASSAGE ESTIMATES BY SPECIES

Appendix D1.-Daily fish passage estimates by species at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

| Date | Chinook |  |  | Chum |  | Pink | Coho | Cisco | Whitefish |  | Sheefish | Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Small ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total | Summer | Fall |  |  |  | Broad | Humpback |  |  |  |
| 5/31 | 337 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 554 | 1,350 | 582 | 1,478 | 1,027 | 5,328 |
| 6/01 | 328 | 0 | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 471 | 1,213 | 515 | 1,232 | 909 | 4,668 |
| 6/02 | 285 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,423 | 419 | 603 | 382 | 646 | 3,758 |
| 6/03 | 315 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,581 | 442 | 556 | 477 | 665 | 4,036 |
| 6/04 | 448 | 116 | 564 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,036 | 678 | 411 | 667 | 520 | 3,876 |
| 6/05 | 406 | 98 | 504 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 873 | 584 | 362 | 566 | 438 | 3,327 |
| 6/06 | 1,449 | 0 | 1,449 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 360 | 221 | 756 | 613 | 3,692 |
| 6/07 | 645 | 0 | 645 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 387 | 1,548 | 277 | 655 | 4,264 |
| 6/08 | 2,479 | 0 | 2,479 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 79 | 472 | 698 | 4,065 |
| 6/09 | 2,961 | 555 | 3,516 | 434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 107 | 823 | 391 | 296 | 5,635 |
| 6/10 | 2,587 | 450 | 3,037 | 1,291 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 352 | 138 | 713 | 5,649 |
| 6/11 | 2,257 | 191 | 2,448 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 1,285 | 1,014 | 959 | 5,835 |
| 6/12 | 763 | 145 | 908 | 1,554 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,390 | 693 | 204 | 676 | 464 | 5,889 |
| 6/13 | 3,012 | 455 | 3,467 | 622 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,044 | 395 | 211 | 70 | 480 | 6,289 |
| 6/14 | 1,516 | 106 | 1,622 | 1,035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 582 | 0 | 995 | 890 | 612 | 5,736 |
| 6/15 | 1,109 | 0 | 1,109 | 1,173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0 | 515 | 1,468 | 685 | 5,255 |
| 6/16 | 1,092 | 0 | 1,092 | 1,167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 331 | 1,272 | 1,065 | 5,034 |
| 6/17 | 2,419 | 162 | 2,581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 774 | 0 | 361 | 1,419 | 924 | 6,059 |
| 6/18 | 3,139 | 181 | 3,320 | 2,855 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 431 | 0 | 239 | 451 | 1,257 | 8,553 |
| 6/19 | 3,247 | 546 | 3,793 | 2,582 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 841 | 58 | 415 | 715 | 867 | 9,271 |
| 6/20 | 4,099 | 668 | 4,767 | 914 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 462 | 1,132 | 233 | 7,554 |
| 6/21 | 2,881 | 954 | 3,835 | 2,344 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 55 | 1,348 | 725 | 8,371 |
| 6/22 | 1,744 | 606 | 2,350 | 1,360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478 | 27 | 23 | 735 | 1,472 | 6,445 |
| 6/23 | 1,215 | 657 | 1,872 | 1,603 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 926 | 180 | 470 | 1,092 | 746 | 6,889 |
| 6/24 | 2,583 | 161 | 2,744 | 2,913 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 908 | 0 | 672 | 550 | 543 | 8,330 |
| 6/25 | 1,498 | 503 | 2,001 | 1,094 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 809 | 579 | 316 | 981 | 1,487 | 7,267 |
| 6/26 | 3,800 | 232 | 4,032 | 1,515 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 873 | 370 | 828 | 1,500 | 621 | 9,739 |
| 6/27 | 2,421 | 177 | 2,598 | 3,806 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,384 | 446 | 1,341 | 688 | 209 | 10,472 |
| 6/28 | 2,746 | 619 | 3,365 | 1,550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,738 | 663 | 1,153 | 583 | 0 | 9,052 |
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| Date | Chinook |  |  | Chum |  | Pink | Coho | Cisco | Whitefish |  | Sheefish | Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Small ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total | Summer | Fall |  |  |  | Broad | Humpback |  |  |  |
| 7/30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,057 | 0 | 268 | 2,291 | 275 | 2,614 | 229 | 601 | 12,335 |
| 7/31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,313 | 0 | 702 | 1,425 | 384 | 4,607 | 0 | 232 | 14,663 |
| 8/01 | 332 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 7,897 | 0 | 287 | 2,400 | 0 | 1,962 | 0 | 152 | 13,030 |
| 8/02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,844 | 109 | 502 | 2,814 | 363 | 3,547 | 599 | 638 | 12,416 |
| $8 / 03$ | 353 | 0 | 353 | 0 | 2,492 | 0 | 252 | 2,471 | 88 | 4,345 | 229 | 103 | 10,333 |
| 8/04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,889 | 99 | 95 | 1,252 | 106 | 5,947 | 336 | 875 | 10,599 |
| 8/05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,207 | 0 | 186 | 1,965 | 345 | 4,114 | 0 | 213 | 8,030 |
| 8/06 | 337 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 916 | 312 | 392 | 3,536 | 0 | 4,632 | 326 | 0 | 10,451 |
| 8/07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 756 | 267 | 249 | 5,850 | 0 | 12,613 | 198 | 171 | 20,104 |
| 8/08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,823 | 49 | 859 | 2,932 | 551 | 15,825 | 0 | 235 | 22,274 |
| 8/09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,765 | 562 | 895 | 2,092 | 389 | 12,716 | 0 | 77 | 21,496 |
| 8/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,032 | 350 | 763 | 2,601 | 967 | 7,990 | 66 | 174 | 16,943 |
| 8/11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,596 | 619 | 155 | 3,827 | 205 | 5,709 | 132 | 61 | 12,304 |
| 8/12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,223 | 1,437 | 0 | 2,660 | 0 | 5,633 | 0 | 0 | 11,953 |
| 8/13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,443 | 906 | 0 | 3,938 | 246 | 2,062 | 0 | 84 | 8,679 |
| 8/14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 595 | 485 | 193 | 1,609 | 0 | 4,421 | 0 | 96 | 7,399 |
| 8/15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2,561$ | 505 | 0 | 3,377 | 61 | 1,354 | 0 | 32 | 7,890 |
| 8/16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,007 | 655 | 91 | 2,968 | 217 | 779 | 68 | 0 | 5,785 |
| 8/17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 653 | 521 | 58 | 1,600 | 202 | 2,113 | 31 | 95 | 5,273 |
| 8/18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,815 | 566 | 0 | 2,193 | 74 | 1,611 | 0 | 111 | 6,370 |
| 8/19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,891 | 558 | 0 | 2,273 | 182 | 95 | 0 | 185 | 7,184 |
| 8/20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,431 | 684 | 0 | 3,339 | 340 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 8,012 |
| 8/21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,180 | 798 | 0 | 2,924 | 306 | 259 | 0 | 140 | 8,607 |
| 8/22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,969 | 1,288 | 0 | 2,166 | 226 | 485 | 0 | 238 | 6,372 |
| 8/23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,793 | 1,345 | 0 | 2,864 | 44 | 217 | 85 | 30 | 8,378 |
| 8/24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,387 | 1,714 | 0 | 1,489 | 150 | 311 | 167 | 119 | 10,337 |
| 8/25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,014 | 1,750 | 0 | 1,681 | 255 | 545 | 40 | 40 | 8,325 |
| 8/26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,881 | 2,340 | 0 | 1,027 | 149 | 830 | 0 | 80 | 7,307 |
| 8/27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,279 | 1,815 | 0 | 1,435 | 245 | 888 | 0 | 29 | 7,691 |
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| Date | Chinook |  |  | Chum |  | Pink | Coho | Cisco | Whitefish |  | Sheefish | Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Small ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total | Summer | Fall |  |  |  | Broad | Humpback |  |  |  |
| 8/28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,215 | 2,844 | 0 | 148 | 271 | 1730 | 0 | 0 | 7,208 |
| 8/29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,008 | 1,767 | 0 | 1,610 | 165 | 420 | 33 | 0 | 6,003 |
| 8/30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,623 | 1,554 | 0 | 656 | 0 | 403 | 85 | 29 | 5,350 |
| 8/31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,735 | 1,875 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 192 | 33 | 193 | 5,201 |
| 9/01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,128 | 1,365 | 0 | 242 | 335 | 419 | 0 | 28 | 4,517 |
| 9/02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,364 | 1,349 | 0 | 831 | 191 | 773 | 152 | 0 | 4,660 |
| 9/03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,662 | 1,540 | 0 | 899 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 4,345 |
| 9/04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,457 | 1,109 | 0 | 271 | 334 | 392 | 0 | 392 | 3,955 |
| 9/05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,477 | 1,205 | 0 | 320 | 68 | 124 | 56 | 0 | 4,250 |
| 9/06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,787 | 1,240 | 0 | 1,088 | 93 | 398 | 76 | 0 | 4,682 |
| 9/07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,702 | 1,673 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 425 | 67 | 289 | 5,506 |
| Total | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 146,197 | 37,255 | 22,181 | 195,566 | 23,859 | 264,160 | 34,820 | 38,059 | 1,040,660 |

a Chinook salmon $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$ mid eye to tail fork (METF).
b Chinook salmon $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF.
c Includes sockeye salmon, cisco, whitefish, sheefish, burbot, longnose sucker, Dolly Varden, and northern pike.

## APPENDIX E: DAILY CUMULATIVE FISH PASSAGE PROPORTIONS AND TIMING BY SPECIES

Appendix E1.-Daily cumulative fish passage proportions and timing by species, at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.

|  | Date | Chinook |  |  | Chum |  | Coho | Pink | Cisco | Whitefish |  | Sheefish | Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Small ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total | Summer | Fall |  |  |  | Humpback | Broad |  |  |  |
|  | 5/31 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.057 | 0.042 | 0.027 | 0.005 |
|  | 6/01 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.107 | 0.078 | 0.051 | 0.010 |
|  | 6/02 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.125 | 0.089 | 0.068 | 0.013 |
|  | 6/03 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.021 | 0.009 | 0.144 | 0.102 | 0.085 | 0.017 |
|  | 6/04 | 0.016 | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.010 | 0.172 | 0.122 | 0.099 | 0.021 |
|  | 6/05 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 0.019 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.011 | 0.196 | 0.138 | 0.110 | 0.024 |
|  | 6/06 | 0.034 | 0.010 | 0.030 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.031 | 0.012 | 0.211 | 0.160 | 0.127 | 0.028 |
|  | 6/07 | 0.040 | 0.010 | 0.035 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.018 | 0.228 | 0.168 | 0.144 | 0.032 |
|  | 6/08 | 0.064 | 0.010 | 0.055 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.018 | 0.242 | 0.181 | 0.162 | 0.036 |
|  | 6/09 | 0.093 | 0.037 | 0.083 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.022 | 0.246 | 0.192 | 0.170 | 0.041 |
|  | 6/10 | 0.117 | 0.059 | 0.108 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.023 | 0.246 | 0.196 | 0.189 | 0.046 |
|  | 6/11 | 0.139 | 0.069 | 0.127 | 0.015 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.034 | 0.028 | 0.246 | 0.225 | 0.214 | 0.052 |
|  | 6/12 | 0.146 | 0.076 | 0.135 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.041 | 0.029 | 0.275 | 0.245 | 0.226 | 0.058 |
|  | 6/13 | 0.175 | 0.098 | 0.162 | 0.029 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.047 | 0.029 | 0.292 | 0.247 | 0.239 | 0.064 |
|  | 6/14 | 0.190 | 0.103 | 0.175 | 0.036 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 | 0.033 | 0.292 | 0.272 | 0.255 | 0.069 |
|  | 6/15 | 0.200 | 0.103 | 0.184 | 0.044 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.051 | 0.035 | 0.292 | 0.315 | 0.273 | 0.074 |
| N | 6/16 | 0.211 | 0.103 | 0.193 | 0.051 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.052 | 0.036 | 0.292 | 0.351 | 0.301 | 0.079 |
|  | 6/17 | 0.234 | 0.111 | 0.214 | 0.051 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.056 | 0.038 | 0.292 | 0.392 | 0.325 | 0.085 |
|  | 6/18 | 0.264 | 0.119 | 0.240 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.058 | 0.039 | 0.292 | 0.405 | 0.358 | 0.093 |
|  | 6/19 | 0.295 | 0.146 | 0.271 | 0.087 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.062 | 0.040 | 0.294 | 0.425 | 0.381 | 0.102 |
|  | 6/20 | 0.335 | 0.178 | 0.309 | 0.093 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.062 | 0.042 | 0.296 | 0.458 | 0.387 | 0.109 |
|  | 6/21 | 0.362 | 0.225 | 0.340 | 0.108 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.062 | 0.042 | 0.299 | 0.497 | 0.406 | 0.117 |
|  | 6/22 | 0.379 | 0.254 | 0.358 | 0.117 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.065 | 0.042 | 0.300 | 0.518 | 0.445 | 0.124 |
|  | 6/23 | 0.391 | 0.286 | 0.373 | 0.127 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.069 | 0.044 | 0.308 | 0.549 | 0.464 | 0.130 |
|  | 6/24 | 0.415 | 0.294 | 0.395 | 0.146 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.074 | 0.047 | 0.308 | 0.565 | 0.479 | 0.138 |
|  | 6/25 | 0.430 | 0.318 | 0.411 | 0.153 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.078 | 0.048 | 0.332 | 0.593 | 0.518 | 0.145 |
|  | 6/26 | 0.466 | 0.330 | 0.444 | 0.163 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.083 | 0.051 | 0.347 | 0.636 | 0.534 | 0.155 |
|  | 6/27 | 0.489 | 0.338 | 0.465 | 0.188 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.090 | 0.056 | 0.366 | 0.656 | 0.539 | 0.165 |
|  | 6/28 | 0.516 | 0.368 | 0.492 | 0.198 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.099 | 0.060 | 0.394 | 0.673 | 0.539 | 0.173 |
|  | 6/29 | 0.559 | 0.422 | 0.536 | 0.232 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.102 | 0.065 | 0.419 | 0.687 | 0.539 | 0.187 |
|  | 6/30 | 0.608 | 0.456 | 0.583 | 0.284 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.106 | 0.068 | 0.428 | 0.705 | 0.555 | 0.203 |
|  | 7/01 | 0.655 | 0.535 | 0.635 | 0.348 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.108 | 0.069 | 0.437 | 0.719 | 0.574 | 0.220 |
|  | 7/02 | 0.692 | 0.584 | 0.674 | 0.385 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.112 | 0.069 | 0.441 | 0.731 | 0.610 | 0.233 |
|  | 7/03 | 0.736 | 0.658 | 0.723 | 0.409 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.117 | 0.076 | 0.441 | 0.740 | 0.610 | 0.246 |
|  | 7/04 | 0.774 | 0.746 | 0.769 | 0.438 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.120 | 0.080 | 0.456 | 0.754 | 0.637 | 0.259 |
|  | 7/05 | 0.809 | 0.783 | 0.804 | 0.458 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.127 | 0.087 | 0.470 | 0.758 | 0.638 | 0.270 |
|  | 7/06 | 0.835 | 0.821 | 0.832 | 0.514 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.139 | 0.089 | 0.470 | 0.772 | 0.640 | 0.285 |
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| Date | Chinook |  |  | Chum |  | Coho | Pink | Cisco | Whitefish |  | Sheefish | Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Small ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total | Summer | Fall |  |  |  | Humpback | Broad |  |  |  |
| 8/12 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.555 | 0.985 | 0.102 | 0.788 | 0.919 | 0.823 | 0.974 | 0.938 | 0.837 |
| 8/13 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.565 | 0.985 | 0.126 | 0.808 | 0.927 | 0.833 | 0.974 | 0.940 | 0.846 |
| 8/14 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.569 | 0.993 | 0.139 | 0.816 | 0.943 | 0.833 | 0.974 | 0.942 | 0.853 |
| 8/15 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.586 | 0.993 | 0.153 | 0.834 | 0.948 | 0.835 | 0.974 | 0.943 | 0.860 |
| 8/16 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.593 | 0.997 | 0.171 | 0.849 | 0.951 | 0.845 | 0.976 | 0.943 | 0.866 |
| 8/17 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.598 | 1.000 | 0.185 | 0.857 | 0.959 | 0.853 | 0.977 | 0.946 | 0.871 |
| 8/18 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.610 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.868 | 0.965 | 0.856 | 0.977 | 0.949 | 0.877 |
| 8/19 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.637 | 1.000 | 0.215 | 0.880 | 0.966 | 0.864 | 0.977 | 0.953 | 0.884 |
| 8/20 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.660 | 1.000 | 0.233 | 0.897 | 0.967 | 0.878 | 0.977 | 0.953 | 0.892 |
| 8/21 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.689 | 1.000 | 0.255 | 0.912 | 0.968 | 0.891 | 0.977 | 0.957 | 0.900 |
| 8/22 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.702 | 1.000 | 0.289 | 0.923 | 0.969 | 0.900 | 0.977 | 0.963 | 0.906 |
| 8/23 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.728 | 1.000 | 0.325 | 0.938 | 0.970 | 0.902 | 0.980 | 0.964 | 0.914 |
| 8/24 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.772 | 1.000 | 0.371 | 0.945 | 0.971 | 0.908 | 0.984 | 0.967 | 0.924 |
| 8/25 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.799 | 1.000 | 0.418 | 0.954 | 0.974 | 0.919 | 0.986 | 0.968 | 0.932 |
| 8/26 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.819 | 1.000 | 0.481 | 0.959 | 0.977 | 0.925 | 0.986 | 0.970 | 0.939 |
| 8/27 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.842 | 1.000 | 0.530 | 0.966 | 0.980 | 0.936 | 0.986 | 0.971 | 0.946 |
| 8/28 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.857 | 1.000 | 0.606 | 0.967 | 0.987 | 0.947 | 0.986 | 0.971 | 0.953 |
| 8/29 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.870 | 1.000 | 0.653 | 0.975 | 0.988 | 0.954 | 0.987 | 0.971 | 0.959 |
| 8/30 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.888 | 1.000 | 0.695 | 0.979 | 0.990 | 0.954 | 0.989 | 0.972 | 0.964 |
| 8/31 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.907 | 1.000 | 0.746 | 0.980 | 0.990 | 0.954 | 0.990 | 0.977 | 0.969 |
| 9/01 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.922 | 1.000 | 0.782 | 0.981 | 0.992 | 0.968 | 0.990 | 0.978 | 0.974 |
| 9/02 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.931 | 1.000 | 0.818 | 0.985 | 0.995 | 0.976 | 0.994 | 0.978 | 0.978 |
| 9/03 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.942 | 1.000 | 0.860 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 0.979 | 0.994 | 0.982 | 0.982 |
| 9/04 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.952 | 1.000 | 0.889 | 0.991 | 0.996 | 0.993 | 0.994 | 0.992 | 0.986 |
| 9/05 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.969 | 1.000 | 0.922 | 0.993 | 0.997 | 0.996 | 0.996 | 0.992 | 0.990 |
| 9/06 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.982 | 1.000 | 0.955 | 0.998 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 0.998 | 0.992 | 0.995 |
| 9/07 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

[^5]APPENDIX F: DAILY CUMULATIVE FISH PASSAGE ESTIMATES BY SPECIES, AT THE PILOT STATION SONAR PROJECT ON THE YUKON RIVER

Appendix F1.-Daily cumulative fish passage proportions and timing by species at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 2021.
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| Date | Chinook |  |  | Chum |  | Coho | Pink | Cisco | Whitefish |  | Sheefish | Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Large ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Small ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total | Summer | Fall |  |  |  | Humpback | Broad |  |  |  |
| 8/08 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 68,524 | 836 | 20,026 | 142,915 | 210,648 | 18,065 | 33,729 | 35,372 | 808,678 |
| 8/09 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 73,289 | 1,398 | 20,921 | 145,007 | 223,364 | 18,454 | 33,729 | 35,449 | 830,174 |
| 8/10 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 77,321 | 1,748 | 21,684 | 147,608 | 231,354 | 19,421 | 33,795 | 35,623 | 847,117 |
| 8/11 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 78,917 | 2,367 | 21,839 | 151,435 | 237,063 | 19,626 | 33,927 | 35,684 | 859,421 |
| 8/12 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 81,140 | 3,804 | 21,839 | 154,095 | 242,696 | 19,626 | 33,927 | 35,684 | 871,374 |
| 8/13 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 82,583 | 4,710 | 21,839 | 158,033 | 244,758 | 19,872 | 33,927 | 35,768 | 880,053 |
| 8/14 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 83,178 | 5,195 | 22,032 | 159,642 | 249,179 | 19,872 | 33,927 | 35,864 | 887,452 |
| 8/15 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 85,739 | 5,700 | 22,032 | 163,019 | 250,533 | 19,933 | 33,927 | 35,896 | 895,342 |
| 8/16 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 86,746 | 6,355 | 22,123 | 165,987 | 251,312 | 20,150 | 33,995 | 35,896 | 901,127 |
| 8/17 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 87,399 | 6,876 | 22,181 | 167,587 | 253,425 | 20,352 | 34,026 | 35,991 | 906,400 |
| 8/18 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 89,214 | 7,442 | 22,181 | 169,780 | 255,036 | 20,426 | 34,026 | 36,102 | 912,770 |
| 8/19 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 93,105 | 8,000 | 22,181 | 172,053 | 255,131 | 20,608 | 34,026 | 36,287 | 919,954 |
| 8/20 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 96,536 | 8,684 | 22,181 | 175,392 | 255,349 | 20,948 | 34,026 | 36,287 | 927,966 |
| 8/21 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 100,716 | 9,482 | 22,181 | 178,316 | 255,608 | 21,254 | 34,026 | 36,427 | 936,573 |
| 8/22 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 102,685 | 10,770 | 22,181 | 180,482 | 256,093 | 21,480 | 34,026 | 36,665 | 942,945 |
| 8/23 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 106,478 | 12,115 | 22,181 | 183,346 | 256,310 | 21,524 | 34,111 | 36,695 | 951,323 |
| 8/24 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 112,865 | 13,829 | 22,181 | 184,835 | 256,621 | 21,674 | 34,278 | 36,814 | 961,660 |
| 8/25 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 116,879 | 15,579 | 22,181 | 186,516 | 257,166 | 21,929 | 34,318 | 36,854 | 969,985 |
| 8/26 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 119,760 | 17,919 | 22,181 | 187,543 | 257,996 | 22,078 | 34,318 | 36,934 | 977,292 |
| 8/27 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 123,039 | 19,734 | 22,181 | 188,978 | 258,884 | 22,323 | 34,318 | 36,963 | 984,983 |
| 8/28 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 125,254 | 22,578 | 22,181 | 189,126 | 260,614 | 22,594 | 34,318 | 36,963 | 992,191 |
| 8/29 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 127,262 | 24,345 | 22,181 | 190,736 | 261,034 | 22,759 | 34,351 | 36,963 | 998,194 |
| 8/30 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 129,885 | 25,899 | 22,181 | 191,392 | 261,437 | 22,759 | 34,436 | 36,992 | 1,003,544 |
| 8/31 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 132,620 | 27,774 | 22,181 | 191,565 | 261,629 | 22,759 | 34,469 | 37,185 | 1,008,745 |
| 9/01 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 134,748 | 29,139 | 22,181 | 191,807 | 262,048 | 23,094 | 34,469 | 37,213 | 1,013,262 |
| 9/02 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 136,112 | 30,488 | 22,181 | 192,638 | 262,821 | 23,285 | 34,621 | 37,213 | 1,017,922 |
| 9/03 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 137,774 | 32,028 | 22,181 | 193,537 | 262,821 | 23,364 | 34,621 | 37,378 | 1,022,267 |
| 9/04 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 139,231 | 33,137 | 22,181 | 193,808 | 263,213 | 23,698 | 34,621 | 37,770 | 1,026,222 |
| 9/05 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 141,708 | 34,342 | 22,181 | 194,128 | 263,337 | 23,766 | 34,677 | 37,770 | 1,030,472 |
| 9/06 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 143,495 | 35,582 | 22,181 | 195,216 | 263,735 | 23,859 | 34,753 | 37,770 | 1,035,154 |
| 9/07 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 146,197 | 37,255 | 22,181 | 195,566 | 264,160 | 23,859 | 34,820 | 38,059 | 1,040,660 |

Note: The mean cumulative run timing for both fall chum and coho salmon was based on run timing from July 19 through August 31 in order to compare timing across years, despite years when the project was in operation until September 7.
a Chinook salmon $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$ mid eye to tail fork (METF).
b Chinook salmon $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF.
c Includes sockeye salmon, burbot, longnose sucker, Dolly Varden, and northern pike.

## APPENDIX G: PILOT STATION SONAR FISH PASSAGE ESTIMATES BY SPECIES, 1995-2021

Appendix G1.-Salmon passage estimates, by species, at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 1995-2021.

|  | Chinook |  |  | Chum |  |  | Coho ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Pink | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Large ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Small ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total | Summer | Fall ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Total |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 164,867 | 45,874 | 210,741 | 3,632,179 | 1,156,278 | 4,788,457 | 119,893 | 53,277 | 5,172,368 |
| $1996{ }^{\text {e }}$ | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 1997 | 114,519 | 85,244 | 199,763 | 1,359,117 | 579,767 | 1,938,884 | 118,065 | 3,872 | 2,260,584 |
| 1998 | 88,129 | 19,909 | 108,038 | 824,901 | 375,222 | 1,200,123 | 146,365 | 103,416 | 1,557,942 |
| 1999 | 159,805 | 24,413 | 184,218 | 969,459 | 451,505 | 1,420,964 | 76,174 | 3,947 | 1,685,303 |
| 2000 | 48,321 | 6,239 | 54,560 | 448,665 | 273,206 | 721,871 | 206,365 | 61,389 | 1,044,185 |
| $2001{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 104,060 | 17,029 | 121,089 | 442,546 | 408,961 | 851,507 | 160,272 | 2,846 | 1,135,714 |
| 2002 | 111,290 | 40,423 | 151,713 | 1,097,769 | 367,886 | 1,465,655 | 137,077 | 123,698 | 1,878,143 |
| 2003 | 287,729 | 30,359 | 318,088 | 1,183,009 | 923,540 | 2,106,549 | 280,552 | 11,370 | 2,716,559 |
| 2004 | 138,317 | 62,444 | 200,761 | 1,344,213 | 633,368 | 1,977,581 | 207,844 | 399,339 | 2,785,525 |
| $2005^{\text {g }}$ | 165,349 | 22,527 | 187,876 | 2,384,645 | 1,893,688 | 4,278,333 | 194,372 | 61,091 | 4,721,672 |
| 2006 | 192,296 | 36,467 | 228,763 | 3,780,760 | 964,238 | 4,744,998 | 163,889 | 183,006 | 5,320,656 |
| 2007 | 119,622 | 50,624 | 170,246 | 1,875,491 | 740,195 | 2,615,686 | 192,406 | 126,282 | 3,104,620 |
| 2008 | 138,220 | 36,826 | 175,046 | 1,849,553 | 636,525 | 2,486,078 | 145,378 | 580,127 | 3,386,629 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 128,154 | 49,642 | 177,796 | 1,477,186 | 274,227 | 1,751,413 | 240,779 | 34,529 | 2,204,517 |
| 2010 | 112,605 | 25,294 | 137,899 | 1,423,372 | 458,103 | 1,881,475 | 177,724 | 919,036 | 3,116,134 |
| 2011 | 117,213 | 31,584 | 148,797 | 2,051,501 | 873,877 | 2,925,378 | 149,533 | 9,754 | 3,233,462 |
| 2012 | 106,529 | 21,026 | 127,555 | 2,136,476 | 778,158 | 2,914,634 | 130,734 | 420,344 | 3,593,267 |
| 2013 | 120,536 | 16,269 | 136,805 | 2,849,683 | 865,295 | 3,714,978 | 110,515 | 6,126 | 3,968,424 |
| 2014 | 120,060 | 43,835 | 163,895 | 2,020,309 | 706,630 | 2,726,939 | 283,421 | 679,126 | 3,853,381 |
| 2015 | 105,063 | 41,796 | 146,859 | 1,591,505 | 669,483 | 2,260,988 | 121,193 | 39,690 | 2,568,730 |
| 2016 | 135,013 | 41,885 | 176,898 | 1,921,748 | 994,760 | 2,916,508 | 168,297 | 1,364,849 | 4,626,552 |
| 2017 | 217,821 | 45,193 | 263,014 | 3,093,735 | 1,829,931 | 4,923,666 | 166,320 | 166,529 | 5,519,529 |
| 2018 | 122,394 | 39,437 | 161,831 | 1,612,688 | 928,664 | 2,541,352 | 136,347 | 689,607 | 3,529,137 |
| 2019 | 172,242 | 47,382 | 219,624 | 1,402,925 | 842,041 | 2,244,966 | 86,401 | 42,353 | 2,593,344 |
| 2020 | 124,905 | 37,347 | 162,252 | 692,602 | 262,439 | 955,041 | 107,680 | 207,942 | 1,432,915 |
| 2021 | 104,267 | 20,578 | 124,845 | 153,718 | 146,197 | 299,915 | 22,181 | 37,255 | 484,196 |

a Estimates for all years were generated with the most current apportionment model and may differ from earlier estimates.
b Chinook salmon $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$ mid eye to tail fork (METF).
c Chinook salmon $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF.
d This estimate may not include the entire run. However, in 2008-2014, 2018, 2020, and 2021, operations were extended to September 7 instead of the usual end date of August 31 .
e The Pilot Station sonar project did not operate at full capacity in 1996, and there are no passage estimates for this year (ND means no data).
${ }^{f}$ High water levels were experienced at Pilot Station; therefore, passage estimates are considered conservative.
g Estimates include extrapolations for the dates June 10 to June 18 to account for the time before the DIDSON was deployed.

Appendix G2.-Other passage estimates, by species, at the Pilot Station sonar project on the Yukon River, 1995-2021.

| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Cisco | Whitefish |  | Sheefish | Other ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Humpback | Broad |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 312,907 | 27,788 | 297,888 | 37,322 | 32,842 | 708,747 |
| $1996{ }^{\text {c }}$ | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 1997 | 214,397 | 106,845 | 16,270 | 20,464 | 18,865 | 376,841 |
| 1998 | 118,820 | 57,477 | 6,489 | 13,513 | 14,378 | 210,677 |
| 1999 | 170,377 | 124,257 | 13,214 | 11,383 | 18,470 | 337,701 |
| 2000 | 167,897 | 66,479 | 7,362 | 9,725 | 11,164 | 262,627 |
| $2001{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 150,350 | 76,722 | 6,848 | 18,894 | 12,935 | 265,749 |
| 2002 | 208,230 | 130,800 | 16,826 | 20,359 | 29,319 | 405,534 |
| 2003 | 123,129 | 169,423 | 31,368 | 20,902 | 34,829 | 379,651 |
| 2004 | 195,371 | 128,092 | 18,062 | 17,990 | 32,424 | 391,939 |
| $2005^{\text {e }}$ | 194,677 | 84,102 | 8,137 | 17,109 | 60,225 | 364,250 |
| 2006 | 258,877 | 188,407 | 18,768 | 37,875 | 27,120 | 531,047 |
| 2007 | 321,498 | 266,215 | 26,568 | 63,639 | 83,737 | 761,657 |
| 2008 | 150,308 | 101,799 | 10,104 | 32,399 | 11,615 | 306,225 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 257,549 | 231,742 | 24,532 | 33,424 | 42,669 | 589,916 |
| 2010 | 281,456 | 175,749 | 19,835 | 49,250 | 41,164 | 567,454 |
| 2011 | 242,950 | 152,164 | 14,671 | 25,139 | 18,613 | 453,537 |
| 2012 | 204,330 | 191,732 | 16,814 | 33,246 | 17,936 | 464,058 |
| 2013 | 383,326 | 250,518 | 16,554 | 49,568 | 32,043 | 732,009 |
| 2014 | 290,524 | 191,658 | 19,903 | 25,098 | 57,648 | 584,831 |
| 2015 | 438,860 | 261,688 | 23,122 | 50,261 | 80,058 | 853,989 |
| 2016 | 187,421 | 76,955 | 10,674 | 27,759 | 52,556 | 355,365 |
| 2017 | 414,668 | 231,428 | 37,799 | 32,865 | 79,439 | 796,199 |
| 2018 | 334,832 | 124,576 | 14,695 | 26,485 | 47,371 | 547,959 |
| 2019 | 270,434 | 196,905 | 25,694 | 22,673 | 52,870 | 568,576 |
| 2020 | 163,546 | 146,162 | 21,352 | 24,849 | 32,378 | 388,287 |
| 2021 | 195,566 | 264,160 | 23,859 | 34,820 | 38,059 | 556,464 |

a Estimates for all years were generated with the most current apportionment model and may differ from earlier estimates.
b Includes sockeye salmon, burbot, longnose sucker, Dolly Varden, and northern pike.
c The Pilot Station sonar project did not operate at full capacity in 1996, and there are no passage estimates for this year (ND means no data).
d High water levels were experienced at Pilot Station; therefore, passage estimates are considered conservative.
e Estimates include extrapolations for the dates June 10 to June 18 to account for the time before the DIDSON was deployed.


[^0]:    1 Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim Database Management System (AYKDBMS). 2006- . Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries. Juneau, AK. https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/CF_R3/external/sites/aykdbms website/Default.aspx (accessed: May 5, 2022).

[^1]:    2 Jallen, D. 2021. 2021 Yukon River Summer Season Summary, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Advisory Announcement, Juneau, Alaska. Issued October 26, 2021. Available from: https://www.doi.gov/subsistence/news/general/2021-yukon-river-summer-season-summary (accessed: April 19, 2021).

[^2]:    a Large Chinook $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$.
    b Small Chinook $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Because the fall chum salmon migration continued after project operations, estimates are considered incomplete.
    d Includes sockeye salmon, burbot, longnose sucker, Dolly Varden, and northern pike.

[^3]:    a Chinook salmon $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$ mid eye to tail fork (METF).
    b Chinook salmon $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF.
    c Includes sockeye salmon, burbot, longnose sucker, Dolly Varden, and northern pike.

[^4]:    -continued-

[^5]:    Note: The mean cumulative run timing for both fall chum and coho salmon was based on run timing from July 19 through August 31 in order to compare timing across years, despite years when the project was in operation until September 7 . The 25 th, 50 th, and 75 th percentiles are bold.
    a Chinook salmon $>655 \mathrm{~mm}$ mid eye to tail fork (METF).
    b Chinook salmon $\leq 655 \mathrm{~mm}$ METF.
    c Includes sockeye salmon, burbot, longnose sucker, Dolly Varden, and northern pike.

[^6]:    -continued-

