

### Petersburg ADF&G Advisory Committee 12/13/2023 OBI Cookhouse

I. Call to Order: 6:30 by Max Worhatch

II. Roll Call

Members Present: Max Worhatch, Bob Martin, Ted Sandhofer, Megan O'Neil, Joel Randrup, Kaleb Baird, Kirt Marsh, Paul Menish, Anthony Taiber, David Benitz, Ben Case

Members Absent (Excused): Jerry Dahl, Don Spigelmyre, Brandon Ware, Frank Neidiffer

Members Absent (Unexcused):

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8

List of User Groups Present:

- III. Fish and Game Staff Present: Frank Robbins, Thomas Kowalski, Jeff Rice
- IV. Guests Present: none
- V. Approval of Agenda: passed unanimously
- VI. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: passed unanimously
- VII. Reports
  - a. Chair's report: Chair reported as of the Homer BOF meeting, Proposal 59 will no longer be taken up at the Kodiak meeting.
  - ADF&G: Advised that Jeff Rice is now the sport fish biologist for the Petersburg management area
  - c. Others: none
- VIII. Public Comment: none
  - IX. Old Business: none
  - X. Select representative(s) for board meeting: The Board voted to elect Anthony Taiber, Ben Case, and Jerry Dahl for additional terms. And voted to elect Nyle Thomas to fill the seat vacated by Clyde Curry. Passed unanimously
  - XI. New Business: The AC adopted and voted on the following Joint Board Proposals

Proposal 2 Supporting a call-in option is a lot of work for the Secretary and Chairs. At times we make

AC NAME Page 1/4

it happen for meetings that we expect a large portion of the community will want to participate. However, we don't want to make it a requirement since sometimes its too hard to find a meeting space or equipment to use. FAILED 0-12

Proposal 3 Officers have been operating under two year terms and it has been working well for our AC. There is always the ability to step down if someone finds they don't have the time to be an officer. One member felt they may choose to take on an officer role if the terms were shorter. PASSED 11-1

Prop 4 The language in the proposal matches the practices of our AC, but there was opposition to the proposal by members that don't want additional regulations that could lead to mistakes and less compliance by the AC. PASSED 11-1

Prop 5 Members supported the clarification that someone other than the Secretary or an AC member can help take minutes for the meeting as a recorder. PASSED 11-1

Prop 6 Although the Petersburg AC does not have community designated seats, members had no problem with the idea of designating specific alternates to ensure a community is always represented at an AC. PASSED 12-0

Prop 8 Currently our member terms expire in the summer and we don't have time to get a quorum until the fall/winter. Essentially 1/3 of our Committee is expired for six months. This would provide more flexibility and reduce the amount of months seats are expired. PASSED 12-0

Prop 9 At times we struggle to get enough participants to keep our AC at the maximum 15 members. Members don't want to lose community members that want to show up and contribute over term limits. FAILED 0-12

Prop 10 Petersburg AC has a large number of undesignated seats that anyone can join under, members don't see a need to add this new seat designation that fewer of our community members would fall under. FAILED 0-12

Prop 12 Members support clarifying the current provision for nominations to empty undesignated seats. PASSED 12-0

Prop 13 This matches our current practices that expired terms stay on until we hold a meeting at which time we find out if members are interested in being nominated for another term or wish to vacate a seat. PASSED 12-0

Prop 14 In years that are not SEAK Board of Fisheries or Board of Game years, we only hold one or two meetings. This threshold is too high to remove members in these off years. FAILED 0-12

Prop 16 Members support removal of these ACs to reduce the amount of work Board Support has to spend on mailing and outreach to these ACs. PASSED 12-0

AC NAME Page 2/4

Proposal 17 Members are not supportive of the BGP process and felt this proposal may encourage or enable more of them. There was concern there wouldn't be enough transparency for the public at large if select AC's are able to review a BGP that would be voted on in less time than a regular proposal is. FAILED 0-12

Prop 18 Members liked the intent of the proposal, but had concerns it's not a black and white threshold to decide if an amendment is too far from the original. PASSED 10-1 1 Abstention

XII. Set next meeting date: To be determined in the fall

XIII. Other: none

XIV. Adjourn 8:28 p.m.

AC NAME Page 3/4



$\Lambda d$	$\sim$ 1	irn	me	ent:
Au	ıvı	41 I I	11116	5116.

Minutes Recorded By:	Megan O'Neil
Minutes Approved By:	Max Worhatch
Date:	12/21/2023

AC NAME Page 4/4



### SEWARD FISH AND GAME ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### **FEBRUARY 29, 2024**

### **SEWARD REAL ESTATE OFFICE**

# THE SEWARD LIBRARY, ORIGINALLY THE DESIGNATED MEETING SITE, WAS UNOCCUPIED AND THE MEETING WAS MOVED.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER, JIM MCCRACKEN, 1925.
- 2. MEMBERS PRESENT: JIM MCCRACKEN, WC CASEY, BOB WHITE, MARK CLEMENS. ATTENDING TELEPHONICALLY WERE AC MEMBERS MAILIE BRANSON, DIANNE DUBUC AND STAFF MEMBER FARI FERNADEZ.

  NUMBER NEEDED FOR A QUORUM 6.
- 3. STAFF REPORTS, NONE.
- 4. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PRESENT, NONE.
- 5. OLD BUSINESS, NONE.
- 6. New Business

  Joint Board Proposals attached.

KID FISH 2024: KID FISHING WILL BE HELD ON MAY 18. A NEW VOLUNTEER, KARI BOULDEN HAS CONSENTED TO SPEARHEAD THE EFFORT WORKING THRU SEWARD PARKS AND REC. THE PREVIOUS HEAD, KEN CARPENTER HAS TURNED OVER ALL EQUIPMENT ON HAND AS WELL AS CONTACTS FOR DONATIONS.

ELECTIONS OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS FOR 3 YR TERMS: DIANNE DUBUC. MAILIE BRANSON, NATE SMITH WITH DEREK BRANSON ELECTED AS AN ALTERNATE. ELECTION OF OFFICERS FOR 2-YEAR TERMS: JIM McCraken, Chair. Dianne Dubuc, Vice Chair. Andy Bacon, Secretary.

THE SEWARD AC RECEIVED CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE REGIONAL GAME BIOLOGIST, JASON IN HOMER, ASKING US TO DISCUSS AND TAKE ACTION ON 3 GAME PROPOSALS. PROPOSALS 197,198, &199 ALL SEEK TO ESTABLISH COW MOOSE HUNTS IN THE PLACER RIVER, KALGIN ISLAND, & HOMER, AREAS. WE VOTED 4 IN FAVOR, 2 OPPOSED, TO THE THREE. THE NO VOTES WERE IN SUPPORT OF BILLY MILLER, A GAME GUIDE AND LONG TIME SEWARD AC MEMBER. BILLY MILLER SPOKE CLEARLY: "YOU DON'T KILL COWS IF YOU WANT TO MAINTAIN THE HERD. ANY RANCHER KNOWS THAT."

- 7. NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED FOR THURSDAY, MAY 2.
- 8. MEETING ADJOURNED AT 2115.



# Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals

March 25-28, 2024   Anchorage, AK					
Proposal Number	Pronosal Description				
Support, Support as Amende d, Oppose,	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes		
No Action					
members of 6-2 means	at a meeting	at which a d carries. Men	then abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-nbers abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included		
1		e-month pu	blic notice for advisory committee election meetings and 14 days-notice for		
	0	6	Maker of motion, Dubuc. Casey seconded. The status quo is good enough. 1 month & 14 days are excessive. The public's attention span is not that long. We believe this proposal is outside of the Public Meeting Act as adopted by the State.		
2	Require all advisory committee meetings be accessible via call-in option.				
	6	0	Dubuc, Clemens. All agreed this is a good proposal and should include Zoom also		
3	Reduce the	terms for a	dvisory committee officers from two years to one.		
	0	6	Dubuc, White. The present cycle works fine. People are not standing in line to be elected to the Seward AC. It's hard enough to get people to serve.		
4	Clarify the	responsibilit	ies for advisory committee chairs.		
	6	0	Dubuc, Casey.  We viewed this a housekeeping proposal. It is not unreasonable, will get everyone on the same page, and causes no undue burden.		
5					
	0	5 1 abstain	Clemens, Dubuc. While we acknowledged the Dept. for attempting to become politically correct, Robert's Rules governs. Robert's describes this position as Secretary, not Recorder. Cannot be in direct conflict with Robert's Rules of Order.  1 member abstained stating she didn't care one way or another.		
6	Allow advisory committees with community designated seats to appoint alternates for those communities.				
	6	0	White, Casey.		

# Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

1	AC22
	3 of 4

Proposal Number	Proposal D	escription	
Support, Support as Amende d, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Action			We agree that an AC member should be able to put forward a
			nomination if none is forthcoming from the community.
7	1	es having m	appointing advisory committee alternates, allow alternates for ore than one designated seat, and establish one year term limits for
	No action based on prop 6.		
8		sory commit	tees the discretion to set membership term dates.
	0	6	Dubuc, White. The South-Central ACs all have different election dates. If an AC wants to have a full 3-year term, they could hold elections 6 month earlier.
9	Limit the n	umber of co	nsecutive terms advisory committee members can serve.
	0	6	White, Casey. There is little to no interest from the public in Seward to be involved in the AC. Experience counts. Familiarity with local issues, the board process, and personal relationships with other AC members, Board members, and Staff are vitally important.
10	Designate	one seat on	each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.
	0	6	Dubuc, White. We have no designated seats on the Seward AC. All of our members are citizen sportsmen who have both consumptive and non-consumptive priorities.
11	_		ptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, and sory committees.
	No action bases on Prop 10		
12	Clarify the	-	at advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated is less than the number of members authorized.
	No Action		
13	-	process for	declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.
	5	1 Abstain	Dubuc, Clemens.  Does not apply to ACs with undesignated seats.  Establish across the board regulations for all. Housekeeping.

# Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals

March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

ASA	
	AC22
₹*	4 of 4
# 1	4 01 4

Proposal Number	Proposal [	Description	
Support, Support as Amende d, Oppose, No	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Action			
			The abstention stated this proposal did not apply to us.
14	· ·	•	cy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than ut reasonable justification.
	1	5	Casey, Clemens. Issue is attendance of members. Hard to get people to serve.
15	Allow advi	sory commit	tees to provide additional recommendations.
	6	0	Dubuc, Clemens. ACs have long felt not listened to at the Board level. If the Board wants additional information from an AC, it goes thru the chain to the Director of Board support, then to the Regional Board Support person who then brings it to the AC. If passed, ACs would get additional opportunity for input.
16	Dissolve se	even advisory	committees in the Southeast Region due to inactive status.
	1	4 1 Abstain	White, Casey. It's difficult to establish again if it goes away. Leave it alone. 3 towns have less than 100 people. Must have 5 members to hold a meeting. The abstention felt conflicted.
17	Establish a	process in re	egulation for developing board proposals.
	6	0	Dubuc, White This proposal passed as amended. The amendment read: The Seward F&G Advisory Committee is not in agreement with BGPs which are contrary to the regulatory proposal process. How is it possible for the Board to be unbiased when voting to approve or disapprove their own proposal?
18	Adopt a re	gulation or a	Joint Board policy to limit certain types of amendments.
	0	6	Dubuc, Casey.  Often amendments are for the betterment not the determent of a proposal. The Board should not have its hands tied. This issue may better be addressed under regulation.
19	Redefine t	he boundarie	es for the Fairbanks Nonsubsistence Area.
			No action on 19 & 20. Out of our area of expertise.

Meeting Minutes By: Dianne Dubuc Approved by: Jim McCracken 3/11/2024



# Excerpts from draft Minutes Sitka Fish & Game Advisory Committee March 6, 2024 pertaining to Joint Board Proposals:

### **NSRAA Meeting Room and Zoom Began 6 PM**

### **AC** members present:

Schuyler Mace Hand troll

Josh Chevalier Subsistence

Moe Johnson Seine

Karen Johnson At Large

Dick Curran Longline

John Murray Power Troll -vice chair

Tad Fujioka Processor- secretary

Spencer Chute Charter

Steve Ramp Resident Sport Fish

Eric Jordan At Large

Woody Cyr Trapping

Scott Wagner Alternate

Stacey Wayne Shellfish-chair

Aaron Prussian conservation

Joel Martin Alternate

AC members absent (excused): Luke Bastian-Guide, Moe Johnson-Seine, and Kent Barkau-Hunting

### **ADFG Staff present:**

Annie Bartholemew- Board Support

**Public:** Cody Mosely USFS; Jeff Feldpausch STA; Kyle Rosendale STA; Eric Garner District Ranger USFS; J. Serio USFS; Ashley Bolwerk USFS; Greg Cain- charter operator; Forrest Braden SEAGO

### M/S Proposal 1:Double the length of required public notice for AC meetings

- This would be excessive. ACs are already held to the same standards are the Sitka Assembly and local government has far more authority than we do.
- One week notice is the longest that we can tolerate. Anything longer would greatly impair our ability to operate since we frequently don't know whether or not we need to reconvene until the end of a meeting. We could only meet every other week if we had to give two-week notice. That would be very inefficient.
- The current system isn't broken. It doesn't need fixing

### **Proposal Opposed 0-14**



### M/S Proposal 2: Require AC meetings be accessible by dial-in

- This is too much responsibility to expect of a volunteer chair. While Stacy (current chair) and Heather (most recent former chair) have done a very good job allowing for remote participation, we have had a hard enough time getting someone to volunteer. If your only willing candidate didn't want to/didn't feel capable of incorporating remote participants, it would be better to have an in-person only meeting than no chair, and thus no meetings.
- This is addressing something that isn't a problem
- Annie Bartholomew: Some ACs currently don't have the ability to allow call-in participation
- It is more important for the Sitka AC to hear from local Sitkans than to hear from out of towners. We should prioritize listening to the people in front of us.
- Call-in option improves accessibility for members who are temporarily out of town

### **Proposal Opposed 4-10**

### M/S Proposal 3: Reduce length of officer terms to 1 year from two

- One year isn't long enough to get a feel for the responsibilities of chair; if you only serve a year you haven't had the opportunity to provide much service
- Under the current system we have staggered the terms so that the entire officer slate doesn't ever turn over. This provides stability.
- A newly elected officer can always resign if they find out that they decide that they don't want to continue in that role.
- This is a ADFG proposal. Why do they care?
  - -Department comments justifying the proposal were read aloud
  - -Yeah, I read them too! That's why I asked the question! That paragraph didn't explain why!

### Proposal Opposed 0-13-1

### M/S Proposal 4: Defining responsibilities of ACs chairs

- I support this if it helps Board Support
- This is another ADFG proposal. What was the intent? Why was it submitted?
  - Intent is to standardize the AC work
  - If access to technology isn't uniform, it is not appropriate to expect uniform output.

### Proposal supported 9-4-1

### M/S Proposal 5: Rename the Office of Secretary

This proposal doesn't really do anything, but it is inoffensive.

#### **Proposal supported 14-0**

### M/S Proposal 8: Allow flexibility in date of AC elections

- The current summer dates don't work for us, and probably not for many ACs in Alaska. Who can get a quorum in the summer?
- We already do this anyway. Let's get this changed so we don't have to hide it.

### **Proposal supported 14-0**



### M/S Proposal 9: Limit number of consecutive terms allowed

- Eric: While I have served 46 years on the AC, they haven't all been consecutive. I took two years
  off! Over that time, we have had invaluable committee members that have served for a long
  time.
- We have a hard enough time filing seats as it is without booting off an experienced willing volunteer.

### **Proposal Opposed 0-14**

### M/S Proposal 10: Require a non-consumptive seat on ACs

- This doesn't define non-consumptive
- We have a Conservation Seat. Would that count?
  - Conservation includes consumptive uses on a regular basis
- Sounds like this was submitted by someone with an anti-trapping agenda
- It would mean kicking someone off or adding another seat. In our case, we are already at the maximum allowable number of members, so it would require getting rid of a current member
- Local ACs should be allowed to designate seats as they see fit. There is a lot of difference between communities throughout the state.
- This could cause particular problems in multi-community ACs since adding a new seat or restricting access to an existing seat would potentially disturb the political balance
- I have a philosophical problem with telling another AC how they should designate their seats
- This seems like the proposer is trying to solve a local problem via a proposal that would affect the whole state

### **Proposal Opposed 0-14**

# M/S Proposal 12: Require that anyone who wants one be given a seat on an AC unless already at maximum number of members

- This would make it too easy to stack a committee. The seats are intentionally staggered so that only about 1/3 of them are up for election in any one year. This proposal would allow a special interest group to take over many seats at once
- There is an existing provision that AC members must meet certain minimum requirements regarding reputation and character. This would override that provision to the detriment of the AC system.

### **Proposal Opposed 3-11**



### **Proposal 16: Remove certain inactive ACs**

- Is it a problem that these inactive ACs remain in existence?
  -Annie Bartholomew: Not really. They don't create any additional work for me. If this were to pass, they would need 5 members to restart an AC if they wanted to.
- Per the statutes, it looks like the Boards can already do this if these ACs have been inactive for a while.

No motion was offered;

# M/S Proposal 18: Prohibit BoF/BoG from distorting a proposal to the point of reversing the original intent

- BoF has a policy against doing this already
- I support the idea of not changing the intent of the proposal, but the Boards routinely amend proposals, usually for the better. They need to continue to retain that ability.

### **Proposal supported 14-0**

Minutes Recorded By: Tad Fujioka

Minutes Approved By: John Murray (by phone)

Date: 03/11/2024



# Southern Norton Sound Advisory Committee November 3, 2023 , 12:00 noon Myles Gononganan Aaron Panek Memorial Hall Unalakleet, Alaska In-person and via Teleconference Call 1-253-215-8782 Meeting ID: 495 277 1108 passcode: 1

### I. Call to Order

Chair Jacob Ivanoff called the meeting to order at 12:07 pm.

### II. Roll Call (7 quorum)

Jacob Ivanoff – Chair	Present
Renae Ivanoff- Secretary	Present
Morris Nassuk – Vice Chair	Present
Wes Jones	Excused
Gary Eckenweiler	Present
Kelsi Ivanoff	Present
Matilda Hardy	Unexcused
Randy Takak	Unexcused
JD Richardson	Present
George Flynn	Present
Keasha Steve	Present
Dominic Richardson	Unexcused

### **III.** Nominations and Officers Elections

Gary Eckenweiler nominated Clayton Mixsooke to be elected to the vacant Unalakleet seat.

JD Richardson moved, seconded by George Flynn to approve nominations and the election of Clayton Mixsooke. The question was called. There was no opposition.

- IV. Fish and Game Staff Present: Sara Henslee, Alicia Carlson, Kevin Clark, Luke Henslee, Georgie Phillips, Shawna Karpovich
- V. Guests Present: Clayton Mixsooke, Reese Huhta

### VI. Approval of Agenda

Gary Eckenweiler made a motion to approve the agenda as presented, seconded by Kelsi Ivanoff. There was no opposition.



### VII. Review Previous Meeting Minutes: April 26, 2023

Gary made a motion to approve the previous meeting minutes of April 26, 2023, during the next meeting, seconded by Renae Ivanoff. The question was called. The motion passed.

### VIII. Reports

### a. Chair's report

Gary Eckenweiler made a motion to approve Chair Jacob Ivanoff's verbal report, seconded by Renae Ivanoff. The question was called. The motion passed.

Jacob Ivanoff gave reminder updates on Board of Fisheries Summary of Actions that took place in February at the Alaska Peninsula/Aleutian Islands and Chignik Area Finfish Meeting and action items that are current or have taken place following the failure to adopt proposal 140. He also informed the committee that there are several fishing vessels in Area M that were ticketed for "chum chucking" during the 2023 commercial fisheries season.

### **b.** ADF&G staff reports

Kevin Clark summarized the commercial salmon and crab fisheries for the season. He noted mixed results for salmon, with overall poor escapement in eastern Norton Sound and adequate escapement in the northern subdistricts. He also gave insight to how seasonal weather patterns influenced salmon enumeration projects this season. There was discussion on depressed salmon returns relative to environmental conditions, heat stress, interception, bycatch, and high escapement pink salmon years. Suggestions were made regarding subsistence salmon openers; however, Kevin noted that Unalakleet and Shaktoolik would likely face more fishing restrictions in 2024 in efforts to conserve Chinook salmon. In addition, Kevin addressed regulation stipulations for opportunities to target pink salmon with beach seine gear versus set net openers.

Sara Henslee provided an update on moose surveys in GMU22. Upcoming aerial surveys are scheduled near Shatkoolik and Koyuk and will be dependent on weather. It is anticipated that a browse survey will be completed during the spring of 2024 in the Unalakleet area with an abundance survey scheduled for 2025. There was discussion regarding the winter moose hunt in GMU22. The RM844 winter hunt opens December 1 – January 31 with a quota of 10 antlered bulls.

### IX. Public Comment

No public comments were made.

### X. Old Business

c. Area M Fishery Review/discussion



Jacob Ivanoff gave an update on the Agenda Change Requests (ACR) 2 and 3 to reduce commercial fishing time, repeal chum salmon harvest triggers, and reduce commercial salmon seine depth in Area M and noted that both ACR's failed during the Alaska Joint Board of Fisheries and Game work session that took place in October. In addition, he noted that there is currently one bill in the senate and one bill in the house to close the Area M June fisheries. There was discussion regarding triggers or caps and the political scrutiny this topic arises and ultimately a consensus that the advisory committee shall proceed in any positive way to continue to support this ongoing issue.

### XI. New Business

d. GMU 22A, RM841 discussion of extension

There was discussion to modify seasonal dates in GMU22A for the RM841 hunt (currently September 1 – September 30) and the RM844 hunt (currently may be announced December 1 – January 31). This discussion outlined pros and cons with extending the RM841 hunt into October and a consensus was made that any remaining quota of antlered bulls after September 30 should roll over into the RM844 hunt.

e. <u>Board of Game Proposals</u> (refer to page 5-9 for further discussion taken on the following proposals)

Gary Eckenweiler moved, seconded by Renae Ivanoff to support proposal 2. The question was called. There was no opposition.

Kelsi Ivanoff moved, seconded by Gary Eckenweiler to support proposal 3. The question was called. Kelsi Ivanoff, JD Richardson, and Gary Eckenweiler chose to abstain from supporting proposal 3. The question was called. There was no opposition.

Gary Eckenweiler moved, seconded by JD Richardson to support proposal 18. The question was called. There was no opposition.

Renae Ivanoff moved, seconded by Gary Eckenweiler to support proposal 19 with amended language "The hunter does not have to submit any of the horn surrounding the eye socket to ADF&G." The question was called. There was no opposition.

Gary Eckenweiler moved, seconded by Kelsi Ivanoff to support proposal 20. The question was called. There was no opposition.

Renae moved, seconded by JD Richardson to support proposal 22. The question was called. There was no opposition.



Kelsi moved, seconded by JD Richardson to support proposal 23. The question was called. Motion failed, 0-7.

Renae moved, seconded by JD Richardson to support proposal 24 with amended season dates for the GMU22A RM841 hunt (August 1- September 30) and the RM844 hunt (December 1 – February 28). The question was called. There was no opposition.

JD Richardson moved, seconded by Kelsi Ivanoff to support proposal 190. The question was called. There was no opposition.

f. <u>Joint Board Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals</u> (refer to page 10-11 for further discussion taken on the following proposals)

Kelsi Ivanoff moved, seconded by Keasha Steve to support proposal 3. The question was called. Motion failed, 0-7.

Kelsi Ivanoff moved, seconded by JD Richardson to support proposal 9. The question was called. Motion failed, 0-7.

Gary Eckenweiler moved, seconded by Kelsi Ivanoff to support proposal 10. The question was called. Motion failed 0-7.

### XII. Select representative(s) for board meeting(s)

Gary Eckenweiler moved, seconded by Renae Ivanoff for JD Richardson to attend the BOG meeting in Kotzebue occurring January 26 – 29, 2024 with Kelsi Ivanoff as the alternate. The question was called. The motion passed.

### XIII. Next meeting date

The next meeting date is set for March 2024.

### XIV. Other

Jacob Ivanoff gave an update on behalf of committee member Wes Jones to remind AC members that proposals for crab are due April 10. Action items for these proposals will take place during the next meeting scheduled for the spring of 2024.

### XV. Adjournment

Gary Eckenweier moved, seconded by Renae Ivanoff to adjourn the meeting. The meeting adjourned at 2:53 pm.



Proposal Number	Proposal Description			
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	

Note: Effective September 2019, when abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at a meeting at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.

committee re	cord.		
1	Open an archery-only registration permit hunt in Units 23 and 26 for resident hunters when it is determined sheep hunting can be allowed in those areas.		
No Action			
2	Reduce the bag limit for taking caribou in Units 21D Remainder, 22, 23, 24B Remainder, 24C, 24D and 26A to four caribou per year, only one of which may be a cow.		
Support	7	0	With the Western Arctic caribou herd is continuous decline in recent years, effort to improve caribou survival, especially cow survival, by reducing human harvest is one way that the chances of a population rebound may increase.
3	Close nonresident caribou hunting in Units 21D Remainder, 22, 23, 24B Remainder, 24C, 24D, and 26A.		
Support	4	0	There was discussion that nonresident hunters are targeting large bulls over cows. With proposal 2 addressing efforts to improve caribou survival, especially cow survival, there was input that nonresident hunters do not play a significant role in the decline of the Western Arctic caribou herd.  Following that discussion, the amount necessary for subsistence users for resident hunters was addressed and there was input that subsistence opportunities supersede any other harvest opportunities by nonresident hunters.  Sarah Henslee gave some additional information stating that harvest for nonresident hunters was 300 caribou with an overall harvest of 12,000 caribou for both resident and nonresident hunters. She also gave insight that the Department is neutral on this proposal and there is not a biological concern in GMU22.
4	Align carib	ou seasons	in Unit 26A and Unit 23 and reduce the bag limit.
No Action	-		
5	Reduce the bag limit for caribou for RC907 resident hunters in Units 23 and 26A and change the nonresident hunt to a registration permit with additional restrictions.		



Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	1
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
No Action			
6	Close the N	лиlchatna ca	aribou herd to hunting for five to ten years in Unit 18.
No Action			
7	Lengthen t October 15		only registration moose hunt RM617 in Unit 18 by two weeks to end
No Action			
8	Extend sea	son dates fo	or moose hunting in hunt RM617 in Unit 18.
No Action			
9	Liberalize t	he bag limit	for moose in Unit 18.
No Action			
10	Liberalize t	he moose b	ag limit in Unit 18 Kuskokwim hunt area.
No Action			
11	Reauthoriz	e the reside	nt antlerless moose season in Unit 18.
No Action			
12	Establish a	minimum ca	aliber requirement for hunting moose in Unit 18.
No Action			
13	Increase th	e bag and p	ossession limits for ptarmigan in Unit 18.
No Action			
14			ox in a portion of Unit 18, with permits available to residents only, made es closest to the herd.
No Action			
15	Allow the s	subsistence 1	take of muskox in a portion of Unit 18.
No Action			
16	Lengthen t	he wolf trap	pping season in Unit 18 by one month to end on April 30.
No Action			
17	Increase th	e brown be	ar bag limit in Unit 18.
No Action			
18	Open the c	aribou seaso	on in a portion of Unit 22A.
Support	7	0	There was discussion that there is a residency of caribou outside of areas known and that some caribou herd populations have strayed into GMU22A. With small strays of caribou populations in this area, the biological concern conserving the overall Western Arctic herd population is very low as concentrations of the main herd is further north of the



	1		
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	1
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			Seward Peninsula towards the Brooks Range. This proposal would prevent resident and nonresident hunters from being penalized for targeting caribou in GM22A.
19	Change the	trophy des	truction requirements for muskox taken in Unit 22 subsistence hunts.
Support as Amended	7	0	There was continued discussion regarding the important aspect of subsistence hunting by using as much of the animal as possible. This proposal would allow subsistence users to make use of the horn for crafts and other personal significances.
			The Southern Norton Sound Advisory Committee passed a motion to support proposal 19 with the following amended language: "The hunter does not have to submit any of the horn surrounding the eye socket to ADF&G."  In accordance with the following proposal, the hunter would still have to submit the jaw to ADF&G.
20	Change the	e bag limit fo	or Tier II muskox hunts in Unit 22 to one muskox every two years.
Support	7	0	There was discussion on stipulations regarding the Teir II musk ox hunt as it is a weighted drawing based on a scoring system that awards subsistence permits to users that rank higher in the scoring system. This systematic hunt generally allows certain people to obtain subsistence permits year after year as they continue to secure their rank. This proposal would give a chance for other user groups to participate in the hunt that are less likely to obtain a subsistence permit even though applying year after year.
21	Establish se	eason dates	for the harvest of cow muskox in the TX095 and TX096 Tier II hunts in Unit
	22C, begin	ning August	1st and ending October 31st.
No Action			
22	Establish a minimum caliber for moose hunting in Unit 22A and a portion of Unit 22B.		
Support	7	0	
23	Revisit the	Amount Rea	asonably Necessary for subsistence uses for moose in Unit 22.
Oppose	0	7	There was discussion that the amount reasonably necessary for subsistence uses for moose in GMU22A are being met and that this proposal does not pertain to all game management users in Unit 22. There was a consensus that moose from other areas are continuing to



			maary 20 27, 2021   Roczesac, III.
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
			move into the area. This proposal should focus on specific regional game management unit areas instead of the game management unit as a whole.
24	Modify the	winter seas	on for moose in Unit 22A.
Support as Amended	7	0	Sara Henslee gave background information on this proposal to remove the requirement for the Department to announce the winter moose season in GMU22A and would give the flexibility to administer the registration permit hunt RM844 during the full range of season dates. The Southern Norton Sound Advisory Committee passed a motion to support proposal 24 with the following amended season dates: RM841 hunt: August 1 – September 30 RM844 hunt: December 1 – February 28
25	Close the r	onresident	moose hunting season in Unit 22C.
No Action			
26	Lengthen the nonresident moose hunting season in Unit 22E by two weeks, to open on August 15.		
No Action			
27	Align the re	esident seas	ons for brown bear in Unit 22D and 22E with those in Unit 22B.
No Action			
28	Change the Unit 22D and 22E nonresident brown bear drawing permit hunt to a general season hunt.		
No Action			
29	Increase the number of brown bear drawing permits available in Unit 22B for DB685 to 40 permits or change DB685 to a registration permit.		
Support	7	0	There was a consensus that with the abundance of bears in the area, this would be beneficial to all user groups.
30	Extend the trapping season to year-round for muskrat in Unit 26A.		
No Action			
31	Liberalize t	he moose b	ag limit in a portion of Unit 26A and expand the hunt area.
No Action			
32	_		of the Anaktuvuk Pass Controlled Use Area and allow the City of unamiut Corporation, and the Naqsragmiut tribe to set the dates the CUA is
No Action			



Proposal	Proposal Description		
Number	Порозан		
Support,			
Support as	Number	Number	Comments Discussion (list Pres and Cons) Amendments to
Amended,			Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to
Oppose,	Support	Oppose	Proposal, Voting Notes
No Action			
33	Reduce the	Unit 26A sh	neep bag limit for residents to one ram with full-curl horn or larger every
	four regula	tory years.	
No Action			
34	•		registration permit hunt in portions of Unit 26A for residents only when it is ting cain those area.
No Action			
35			nd Unit 26B muskox hunt area boundaries to match federal hunt dithe state hunt areas.
No Action		·	
36			g limit for residents in Unit 23 from five caribou per day to four caribou n may be a cow.
No Action			
37		-	g limit for residents in Unit 23 from five caribou per day to four caribou n may be a cow.
No Action	, , , ,		,
38	Close all no	nresident c	aribou hunting in Unit 23.
No Action			
39	Lengthen t	he brown be	ear hunting season in Unit 23 for residents to no closed season.
No Action	0		
40	Lengthen t	he brown/gi	rizzly bear hunting season in Unit 23 for residents to year-round.
No Action		3 .5. 3 , 6	,
41	Lengthen t	l he brown/gi	I rizzly bear hunting season in Unit 23 for residents to year.
No Action	22.18.1.1.1	3 .5. 3 ····, 6	,
42	Consolidat	e the nonres	l sident brown bear registration permits into a single, unit-wide fall
	registration permit and a single, unit-wide spring registration permit.		
No Action	<u> </u>		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
190	Reauthoriz	e the curren	It resident tag exemptions for brown bear in Units 18, 22, 23, and 26A.
Support	7	0	The Southern Norton Sound Advisory committee supports this proposal submitted by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.



# Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal			
Number	Proposal	Description	
Support,			
Support as	Number	Number	Comments Discussion (list Pres and Cons.) Amendments to Prenesal
Amended,			Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal,
Oppose,	Support	Oppose	Voting Notes
No Action			
Note: Effective Se	ptember 20	19, when ab	stentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at
_	•	•	an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion
carries. Members			must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.
1		•	blic notice for advisory committee election meetings and 14 days-notice for
	regular me	etings.	
No Action			
2	Require all	advisory co	mmittee meetings be accessible via call-in option.
No Action			
3	Reduce the	e terms for a	dvisory committee officers from two years to one.
Oppose	0	7	There was a consensus that it is difficult enough to fill election officer seats for
			rural advisory committees and reducing the terms from two to one years would
			not be beneficial.
4	Clarify the	responsibilit	ies for advisory committee chairs.
No Action			
5	Clarify the	responsibilit	ies for the officer position of secretary/recorder
No Action			
6	Allow advis	sory commit	tees with community designated seats to appoint alternates for those
	communiti	es.	
No Action			
7	Clarify the	process for a	appointing advisory committee alternates, allow alternates for communities
	having mo	re than one	designated seat, and establish one year term limits for alternates.
No Action			
8	Allow advis	sory commit	tees the discretion to set membership term dates.
No Action			
9	Limit the n	umber of co	nsecutive terms advisory committee members can serve.
Oppose	0	7	There was a consensus that it is difficult enough to fill election office seats for
			rural advisory committees and limiting the number of consecutive terms
			members can serve would not be beneficial. This proposal should focus on
			regional specific locations.
10	Designate	one seat on	each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.
Oppose	0	7	There was a consensus that part of the roles of advisory committee members
			are to be knowledgeable regarding consumptive use that is relative to Board of
			Game regulations. Designating one season on each advisory committee for non-
			consumptive representation would not be beneficial without such knowledge.



## Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	1
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
11	_		nptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, and
	Matanuska	a valley advi	sory committees.
No Action			
12		•	nat advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat ess than the number of members authorized.
No Action			
13	Clarify the	process for	declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.
No Action			
14	-	-	cy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of onable justification.
No Action			
15	Allow advi	sory commit	ttees to provide additional recommendations.
No Action			
16	Dissolve se	ven advisor	y committees in the Southeast Region due to inactive status.
No Action			
17	Establish a	process in r	regulation for developing board proposals.
No Action			
18	Adopt a re	gulation or a	a Joint Board policy to limit certain types of amendments.
No Action			
19	Redefine t	he boundari	es for the Fairbanks Nonsubsistence Area.
No Action			



Minutes By: R	enae Ivanoff
---------------	--------------

Minutes Approved By:

: Qual D

12/14/23

Date: November 16, 2023



### **Minutes**

# Stony Holitna Fish & Game Advisory Committee Teleconference Saturday, Dec. 2, 2023 at 11P.M.

Wildlife Safeguard – 800 478-3377 (Chair) If meeting attendees want to report a game violation, they should call this number.

SHAC meets to discuss ways and means, habitat and other biological data for hunters and fishers to harvest wild animals, and meeting time is limited."

### The meeting was called to Order by Chair Doug Carney at 11 am

Chair then called attention to the Wildlife Safeguard info on agenda

### Roll Call & Quorum

The roll call was done -

Red Devil - Barb Carson & Ruby Egrass present

Sleetmute – Doug Carney and appointed alternate Susan Hubbard present / Frank Egnaty unavailable

Stony River - David Bobby & Tom Willis absent

Lime Village - Rick Breckheimer & Ursula Graham present

### A Quorum of 6 was reached.

#### **Others Attending**

Boards Support Regional Coordinator Kyle Campbell ADF&G Area Wildlife Biologist Josh Peirce Alaska Wildlife Protection Trooper Patrick Simasko

### **SHAC** member additions -

Are there any proposals members want the committee to comment on, other than those listed on this agenda? No additions were asked for.

### Approval of Agenda was given

### Chair -

- The proposal indexes I sent out to members should help you with are discussions and approvals.
- There are quite a few proposals for us to go over. Most of them won't take a lot of discussion, since they are easy to spot as being for or against the best interests of the residents & prey populations of 19E, 19, and the Interior Region.
- The Joint Board proposals can be viewed similarly in regard to advisory committees' ability to function & their effectiveness.

#### Reading of & Approval of Minutes

- Minutes of the Nov.14, 2022 SHAC Meeting by Barb approved
- Minutes of the Oct. 18, 2023 SHAC ACR Meeting by Doug approved

### Vice Chairman Barb - BOF / Fisheries Update

At the Jan, 2023 BOF Meeting, nothing SHAC proposed passed. The lower Kusko was closed quite a bit – Upriver was not. We still have dual management – a lawsuit is still pending. Salmon escapement & health –

• King –Escapement goals were met, but the Kogrugluk & Kwethluk Weirs were not running., **due to high water.** Data from other sources confirmed escapement was met.

AC NAME Page 1/6

- Red Had good escapement / Kogrugluk was not running. <u>Telequana weir had double the escapement of last year</u>
- Chum stronger than last year with better #s, but still poor. Kogrugluk still not running.
- Silver Very strong run w/ good escapement, and fish were larger. Both Weirs were running.

### **Chairman Doug - Introduction**

- SHAC's Agenda Change Request was approved by BOG on Nov.16. It has become Proposal 208 for the March meeting. The <u>proposal promotes changes to some conditions of the RM682 hunt in 19E. They are to allow Proxy hunting, to delete the "one permit per household" condition, & to add 5 days to the hunt, (Sept. 1 -10.)</u>
- There are 21 sheep proposals & 16 bear proposals mostly brown bear.. A lot of those are duplicates of each other, so it won't take that long to go through them. There are a couple proposals that stand out, & there will probably be more discussion on those.
- The Joint Boards, (BOF & BOF members), proposals deal mostly with advisory committee makeup and regulations. If approved, these proposals will affect SHAC's ability to meet and continue to be an effective committee either positively or negatively. *An index of these proposals is attached to the same email.*

### **ADF&G Area Biologist Josh Peirce Report**

Did GSPE surveys of 19A & C. Described BCFA size

- GSPE Survey & Composition Survey results for 19E and McGrath Area were given, with discussion of herd health indicators. Gave data from both surveys. 40 bulls to 100cows / 28 calves to 100 cows
- 2023 Hunter success for RM682 was given 29 permits 15 hunted, 7 killed.

#### **Chair - SHAC Comments**

I hope you all had time to go over the proposals online, as well as to look over the indexes I sent for both the BOG & the Joint Boards meetings.

### March 15-22, 2024 BOG Interior proposals

### **Regional & Multiple Unit Proposals**

- Josh helped explain & answer questions on 47, 49, 50,
- Discussion- Bad weather is main reason sheep crashed. Slow recovery rate about 15 years the last time. Eagle predation is 30%.
- 49 For purposes of this prop. SHAC decided that the main rivers are the Kusko, Holitna, Hoholitna, Stony, Swift
- 50 **Strongly Oppose singed fur.** Doug will make a comment to the RAC, asking what they were thinking when they wrote it.

### McGrath Area - Units 19, 21A & 21E

- BOG proposals 53-57 concern ANS & Intensive Management Josh led discussion & answered
  questions on those proposals.
- Josh &Doug will come up with our own IM numbers for SHAC to give BOG for proposal **56** IM harvest and population objectives for 19A, B, & E.
- 57 is to fix an admin. error. Replace 19A with 19E in codified.
- Support 60 McGrath AC to establish IM in 19C
- 65 is ADF&G IM Plan.
- 66 McGrath AC proposal was made before comp count was done. SHAC will support if McGrath does.
- 67 SHAC will support it, if McGrath still wants it
- Doug led discussions on proposals 68-72, & gave info on creation of CUA 40hp in 19E. GMU18.
   people trying to udo conditions of RM682 hunt. Doug will send 1992 BOG summaries of Actions & Findings to SHAC members.

AC NAME Page 2/6

- The main reason the sheep population plunged is due to severe weather they can't feed. There was much discussion on the various sheep proposals, where the committee agreed that 19C sheep should stay closed, and allow the BOG Working Group that is being formed to determine what is to be done besides the recent closure.. So SHAC opposed all sheep proposals 43-46 & 76-90. SHAC then supported 91 & 92. 91 is a APHA proposal of support for the BOG Working Group. 92 will close non-res. sheep hunting in 19B.
- There was discussion on the brown bear proposals. SHAC supports 93 107, with a preference for 97, which includes raising the Brn. bear bag limit to 2 bears. There was agreement that standardizing the regs in the subunits of 19 and 21A & E would be a positive thing for hunters and for prey populations that suffer from the large number of bears in those subunits.
- SHAC agreed that Josh & Doug will work on language for a program to suggest to BOG. Making 19B & C the same, and 19A, D, E & 21 A & E the same seasons & bag limits & conditions will make things better for village safety, hunters, enforcement, & relieve pressure on moose calves.
- SHAC has 4 proposals **54** is asking for an ANS setting for 19E / **100** no closed season for Brown bear in 19E / **103** increase Brn bear bag limit in 19B & C to 5 bears. / **208** Is from our ACR that will change RM682 hunt conditions to allow proxy hunting, delete the one permit per household condition, & add 5 days to the hunt Sept 1-10.

### March 25-28, 2024 Joint Boards Proposals

The main view & statement by SHAC is that ""One size does NOT fit All ACs".

Also, SHAC members are disgusted with what they view as micro-managing through regulation telling us how to run our committee. IF the boards want a bunch of ACs that are hamstrung by regs that severely limit or make an AC's existence or function impossible, then that's exactly what they'll get.

- Term limits & regulations that force members off committees, bleeds them of experience & knowledge.
- ACs in rural Alaska represent small communities that meet once or maybe twice a year.
- These committees exist so these communities can express what they know about fish & game in their areas and what they would like to see regulations say.
- SHAC members don't think more regulation helps a bit with this
- Also, SHAC is <u>our</u> committee we are capable of making decisions about who represents us and how they do it. This includes terms lengths.
- We do not want undesignated seats forced on us.
- We don't need or want "non-consumptive seats" mandated. For SHAC & other rural ACs, that would be bringing an enemy inside the camp.

There was discussion on most of these proposals, which is reported in the comment forms.

### **Adjourn**

SHAC adjourned at 1:22 pm

AC NAME Page 3/6



### Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes

Note: Effective September 2019, when abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at a meeting at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.

committee r	ecord.					
1	Require on	e-month pu	blic notice for advisory committee election meetings and 14 days-notice for			
	regular me	etings.				
Oppose	0	5	This is far too long a time in advance. Weather dictates if/ when we meet			
			more than anything else. 2nd to that is seasonal subsistence activities.			
2	Require all	advisory co	mmittee meetings be accessible via call-in option.			
Oppose	0	5	The phones & internet often do not work in rural areas – both are on			
			satellites. Some of the buildings SHAC meets in, don't have			
			teleconference hookups.			
3	Reduce the	e terms for a	dvisory committee officers from two years to one.			
Oppose	0	5	This would be a disaster & not work or serve SHAC's ability to function.			
			There are not that many people to choose from for members. The			
			learning curve to be a contributing member would lose longtime			
			members' experience & knowledge. Assuming the communities have the			
			right to, they can select their members as they see fit. People don't like			
			or want to be herded around, especially when an AC is there to			
			represent a certain community.			
4	Clarify the	,	ties for advisory committee chairs.			
Support	5	0	Clarification is fine. Don't add any more regulatory wording to it.			
5	Clarify the	responsibili	ties for the officer position of secretary/recorder			
Support	5	0	The ability to use a recorder that may not be an AC member is a good			
			one. Call the position whatever you want. Clarification is a good thing.			
			But you must be kidding – since when does the word "secretary" confuse			
			people as to gender? It's silly.			
6	Allow advis	sory commit	tees with community designated seats to appoint alternates for those			
	communiti	communities.				
Support	5	0	Of course, this should be up to each committee! Appointing an alternate			
			for these small, remote ACs must be done fairly often, and right before a			
			meeting as well.			
7		•	appointing advisory committee alternates, allow alternates for			
		_	ore than one designated seat, and establish one year term limits for			
	alternates.					

AC NAME Page 4/6



## Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

Case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to			IV	Tarch 25-28, 2024   Anchorage, AK
Support as Amended, Oppose	' <del>-</del>	Proposal I	Description	
interested and committed to the process. Let each AC decide this for itself  8	Support as Amended, Oppose,			
Support 5 0 Why not? This is another example of a reg that works in one place won't in another. Let the community that is represented decide this for their own committee.  9 Limit the number of consecutive terms advisory committee members can serve.  Oppose 0 5 Again – one size does not fit all. This loses knowledge & experience of longtime members.  10 Designate one seat on each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.  Oppose 0 5 Absolutely NOT! A mandate that is counter-productive. Why would SHAC want someone with a totally different worldview to be part of our committee? This amounts to bringing an enemy inside the camp. (see # 11 & 12 comments)  11 Designate non-consumptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, and Matanuska Valley advisory committees.  Oppose 0 5 This would be another mandate. Why force committees to have an unwanted member cause dissension at every single meeting? If approved, this would be saddling those ACs with someone adverse to most members' views and needs. (see #10 & 12 comments)  12 Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat when membership is less than the number of members authorized.  Oppose 0 5 Another mandate! SHAC does not want people from outside our area serving as members - causing division and dissension on our committee.  13 Clarify the process for declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.  Oppose 0 5 While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.	Oppose	0	5	interested and committed to the process. Let each AC decide this for
in another. Let the community that is represented decide this for their own committee.  9 Limit the number of consecutive terms advisory committee members can serve.  Oppose 0 5 Again – one size does not fit all. This loses knowledge & experience of longtime members.  10 Designate one seat on each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.  Oppose 0 5 Absolutely NOT! A mandate that is counter-productive. Why would SHAC want someone with a totally different worldview to be part of our committee? This amounts to bringing an enemy inside the camp.  (see # 11 & 12 comments)  11 Designate non-consumptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, and Matanuska Valley advisory committees.  Oppose 0 5 This would be another mandate. Why force committees to have an unwanted member cause dissension at every single meeting? If approved, this would be saddling those ACs with someone adverse to most members' views and needs. (see #10 & 12 comments)  12 Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat when membership is less than the number of members authorized.  Oppose 0 5 Another mandate! SHAC does not want people from outside our area serving as members - causing division and dissension on our committee.  13 Clarify the process for declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.  Oppose 0 5 While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	8	Allow advis	ory commit	tees the discretion to set membership term dates.
Oppose 0 5 Again – one size does not fit all. This loses knowledge & experience of longtime members.  10 Designate one seat on each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.  Oppose 0 5 Absolutely NOT! A mandate that is counter-productive. Why would SHAC want someone with a totally different worldview to be part of our committee? This amounts to bringing an enemy inside the camp.  (see # 11 & 12 comments)  11 Designate non-consumptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, and Matanuska Valley advisory committees.  Oppose 0 5 This would be another mandate. Why force committees to have an unwanted member cause dissension at every single meeting? If approved, this would be saddling those ACs with someone adverse to most members' views and needs. (see #10 & 12 comments)  12 Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat when membership is less than the number of members authorized.  Oppose 0 5 Another mandate! SHAC does not want people from outside our area serving as members - causing division and dissension on our committee.  13 Clarify the process for declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.  Oppose 0 5 While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	Support	5	0	in another. Let the community that is represented decide this for their
Designate one seat on each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.    Oppose	9	Limit the n	umber of co	nsecutive terms advisory committee members can serve.
Oppose 0 5 Absolutely NOT! A mandate that is counter-productive. Why would SHACE want someone with a totally different worldview to be part of our committee? This amounts to bringing an enemy inside the camp. (see # 11 & 12 comments)  11 Designate non-consumptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, and Matanuska Valley advisory committees.  Oppose 0 5 This would be another mandate. Why force committees to have an unwanted member cause dissension at every single meeting? If approved, this would be saddling those ACs with someone adverse to most members' views and needs. (see #10 & 12 comments)  12 Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat when membership is less than the number of members authorized.  Oppose 0 5 Another mandate! SHAC does not want people from outside our area serving as members - causing division and dissension on our committee.  13 Clarify the process for declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.  Oppose 0 5 While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	Oppose	0	5	
want someone with a totally different worldview to be part of our committee? This amounts to bringing an enemy inside the camp.  (see # 11 & 12 comments)  Designate non-consumptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, and Matanuska Valley advisory committees.  Oppose  Oppose  Oppose  Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat when membership is less than the number of members authorized.  Oppose  Op	10	Designate of	one seat on	each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.
Matanuska Valley advisory committees.  Oppose  0 5 This would be another mandate. Why force committees to have an unwanted member cause dissension at every single meeting? If approved, this would be saddling those ACs with someone adverse to most members' views and needs. (see #10 & 12 comments)  12 Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat when membership is less than the number of members authorized.  Oppose  0 5 Another mandate! SHAC does not want people from outside our area serving as members - causing division and dissension on our committee.  13 Clarify the process for declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.  Oppose  0 5 While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose  0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose  0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	Oppose	0	5	want someone with a totally different worldview to be part of our committee? This amounts to bringing an enemy inside the camp.
Oppose 0 5 This would be another mandate. Why force committees to have an unwanted member cause dissension at every single meeting? If approved, this would be saddling those ACs with someone adverse to most members' views and needs. (see #10 & 12 comments)  12 Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat when membership is less than the number of members authorized.  Oppose 0 5 Another mandate! SHAC does not want people from outside our area serving as members - causing division and dissension on our committee.  13 Clarify the process for declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.  Oppose 0 5 While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	11	_		
Clarify the provision that advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated seat when membership is less than the number of members authorized.  Oppose  O  Another mandate! SHAC does not want people from outside our area serving as members - causing division and dissension on our committee.  Clarify the process for declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.  Oppose  O  S  While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose  O  Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose  O  The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	Oppose			This would be another mandate. Why force committees to have an unwanted member cause dissension at every single meeting? If approved, this would be saddling those ACs with someone adverse to most
Serving as members - causing division and dissension on our committee.  13 Clarify the process for declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.  Oppose 0 5 While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	12			at advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated
Oppose 0 5 While this might be a necessity for larger, urban committees, with a waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	Oppose	0	5	
waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.  14 Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.  Oppose 0 5 Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.  15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	13	Clarify the	process for o	declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.
50% of meetings without reasonable justification.       Oppose     0     5     Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.       15     Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.       Oppose     0     5     The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	Oppose			waiting list of people who want to be committee members, this is not the case in rural areas like ours.
Oppose05Mandate! This would create another dictatorial regulation.15Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.Oppose05The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	14			
15 Allow advisory committees to provide additional recommendations.  Oppose 0 5 The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to	Oppose	Î	5	
		Allow advis	ory commit	
	Oppose	0	5	The committee didn't understand what this proposal was trying to say.

AC NAME Page 5/6



#### Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK **Proposal Proposal Description** Number Support, Support as Number Number Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Amended, Support **Proposal, Voting Notes** Oppose Oppose, No Action 16 Dissolve seven advisory committees in the Southeast Region due to inactive status. NA SHAC knows nothing about this issue. Let ADF&G handle it 17 Establish a process in regulation for developing board proposals. NA SHAC has seen the boards generate proposals that have worked well. That ability has cut through a lot of red tape in the past (see #18 comment) 18 Adopt a regulation or a Joint Board policy to limit certain types of amendments. This is similar to Proposal 17, and is an attempt to limit the board. Oppose 1abstain We attended meetings where the board "saw through" attempted manipulations or misrepresentation of issues, and was able to clean things up very quickly once it became aware of the truth of a matter. (see #17 comment) Redefine the boundaries for the Fairbanks Non-subsistence Area. 19 SHAC is not familiar with these issues. This is better left to the FBX NA

Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By:	
Minutes Approved By:	
Date:	

AC. area residents & ADF&G

AC NAME Page 6/6

### Susitna Valley Advisory Committee February 27, 2024 Susitna Valley Jr/Sr High School

- I. Call to Order: 5:07 by Bryan Kirby
- II. Roll Call

Members Present: Todd B., Todd K., Steve H., Robert. Mark, Bryan

Members Absent (Excused): Eli

Members Absent (Unexcused): Dave Number Needed for Quorum on AC: List of User Groups Present: NONE

- III. Fish and Game Staff Present:
  - a. Fari via zoom
- IV. Guests Present: none
- V. Approval of Agenda Steve 2nd by Robert
- VI. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes
  - a. Amending of 12.14.23 Mark, 2nd by Todd B
  - b. 1.23.24 Mark, 2nd by Robert
- VII. Public Comment None
- VIII. New Business
  - Joint Board Proposals See attached
  - Discuss Submitting AC Generated Proposals for the BOG Central/Southwest Meeting Cycle- Due May 1
    - o Send email to Fish and game asking for Moose numbers in 13E, 14B, 16B, 16A.
  - IX. Set next meeting date
    - a. TBA
  - X. Other NA

Adjourn: Robert 2nd Todd B.



# Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals

March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes

Note: Effective September 2019, when abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at a meeting at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record

committee	record.		
1	· ·	-	blic notice for advisory committee election meetings and 14 days-notice
	for regular	meetings.	
2	Require all	1	mmittee meetings be accessible via call-in option.
	0	6	This would require Fish & Game to provide a meeting place and
			infrastructure for every AC meeting. Not all of the meeting locations have
			an infrastructure to support this. Example sometimes the Susitna AC will
			meet in a large room at a local eating establishment.
3	Reduce the		dvisory committee officers from two years to one.
	6	0	This is what we do already as an advisory committee.
4	Clarify the	responsibili	ties for advisory committee chairs.
	6	0	This is what we do already as an advisory committee.
5	Clarify the	responsibili	ties for the officer position of secretary/recorder
			NO COMMENT
6	Allow advis	sory commit	tees with community designated seats to appoint alternates for those
	communiti	es.	
			NO COMMENT
7	Clarify the	process for	appointing advisory committee alternates, allow alternates for
	communiti	es having m	ore than one designated seat, and establish one year term limits for
	alternates.		
			NO COMMENT
8	Allow advis	sory commit	tees the discretion to set membership term dates.
	6	0	We agree with this
9	Limit the n	umber of co	nsecutive terms advisory committee members can serve.
	0	6	This would kill the Susitna AC. This AC was brought back to life by a group
			and we are always looking to get more people but if we are limited by
			terms then this AC in 3 years would not exist.
10	Designate	one seat on	each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.
	0	6	We already have a hard time finding people to be on the AC. With having
			one seat designated this has the ability to keep us from making a quorum



# Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

	March 25-28, 2024   Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal [	Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
11	and		ptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, sory committees.	
			NO COMMENT	
12		-	at advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated is less than the number of members authorized.	
	6	0	We agree that everyone has the opportunity to be part of the AC and a vote should be the reason someone does or does not make it on the board	
13	Clarify the	process for (	declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.	
	6	0	This allows stronger wording for board members to be removed for not taking part in the AC business.	
14			cy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more without reasonable justification.	
			This is address by proposal 13	
15	Allow advis	sory commit	tees to provide additional recommendations.	
			NO COMMENT	
16	Dissolve se	ven advisor	y committees in the Southeast Region due to inactive status.	
	0	6	By removing these AC's you are not allowing those areas to have a voice in the process. Susitna AC was dormant for a number of years until a group got together and wanted this area to have a voice once again. This would not have been possible if the AC was dissolved.	
17	Establish a	process in re	egulation for developing board proposals.	
	6	0	This helps to provide checks and balances. This AC could see where it could be hard to get an AC meeting together within the 24 hour suggested time but also realize that the board needs to act on the action during their meeting	
18	Adopt a reg	gulation or a	Joint Board policy to limit certain types of amendments.	
	6	0	We agree that the board should not have the authority to make amendments to a proposal that contradicts the intent.	



Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024   Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
19	Redefine the boundaries for the Fairbanks Nonsubsistence Area.		
			NO COMMENT

Meeting minutes approved by Bryan Kirby 2.27.24



### Tanana/Rampart/Manley Fish & Game Advisory Committee Meeting Thursday, February 15th, 2024 at 10:00 am

The Tanana Rampart Manley Advisory Committee discussed and voted on Joint Board proposals on February 15-16, and again on February 26, 2024. All of our votes on proposals are included in the comments below.

- I. Call to Order: 10:04 by Chair Charlie Wright
- II. Roll Call:

Members Present: Charlie Wright, Janessa Newman, Samantha Zuray, Ray Woods, Robert Erhart, Curtis Sommer, Cliff Wiehl

- III. **Fish and Game Staff Present:** Kyle Campbell, Tony Hollis, Jeff Wells, Deena Jallen, Christy Gleason, Sam Decker, Lisa Steary, Chuck Brazil
- IV. Guests Present: Olivia Irwin, Serena Fitka, Brooke Woods, Diloola Erickson
- V. Adjourn

February 16, 2014 11:25

### Monday, February 26, 2024 at 1:00pm

- I. **Call to Order:** 13:20 by Chair Charlie Wright
- II. Roll Call:

Members Present: Charlie Wright, Janessa Newman, Samantha Zuray, Robert Erhart, Millena Jordan

- III. **Fish and Game Staff Present:** Kyle Campbell, Tony Hollis, Jeff Wells, Deena Jallen, Christy Gleason, Sam Decker, Lisa Steary, Chuck Brazil
- IV. **Guests Present**: Allen Barrette
- VI. **Adjourn**: 14:10



	<u>Joint I</u>		Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals Narch 25-28, 2024   Anchorage, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
	•		bstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining
	ion carries. N	-	m is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 aining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the
1			lic notice for advisory committee election meetings and 14 days-notice
0	for regular	meetings. 7	Our committee admended and the value of a second decay live and
Oppose		,	Our committee acknowledges the value of more advanced public notice regarding AC business, but ultimately opposes this proposal. The passage of this proposal would inevitably hinders ACs ability to quickly identify and take action on local issues of conservation, unforeseen regulatory effects, and unexpected events that effect or reduce a reasonable opportunity for customary and traditional wildlife uses.
2	Require all	advisory con	nmittee meetings be accessible via call-in option.
Support	7		Our committee supports this proposal as the call-in/Zoom option allows us to conduct our business. Additionally, the call-in/Zoom option provides the public with greater access to engage in the public forum for local fish and wildlife conservation and use.
3	Reduce the terms for advisory committee officers from two years to one.		
Oppose		7	Our committee unanimously opposes this proposal because the adoption of this proposal would reduce our committee's ability to participate efficiently and bureaucratically. Our committee believes it takes at least one year for officers to learn the AC system effectively enough to accurately represent and advocate on behalf of multiple communities and users.
4	Clarify the responsibilities for advisory committee chairs.		
Support	7		Our committee supports the proposal to formally incorporate the chair's roles and responsibilities outlined in the ADFG AC Manual into regulation.
5	Clarify the responsibilities for the officer position of secretary/recorder		
Support	7		Our committee supports the proposal to formally incorporate the secretary/recorder's roles and responsibilities outlined in the ADFG AC Manual into regulation.
6	Allow advisory committees with community designated seats to appoint alternates for those communities.		



AC27 3 of 4

# **<u>Joint Board</u>** of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals

March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

Dwamaaal			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
Support	7		A majority of our committee members serve multiple essential roles that keep our communities operating smoothly (road, runway, electricity, broadband, water and sewer maintenance, public safety, receiving gas and heating fuel, and postal services to name a few examples). The passage of this proposal would be a significant step to improve our committee's ability to conduct business and take fish and wildlife action on behalf of our communities.
7	Clarify the process for appointing advisory committee alternates, allow alternates for communities having more than one designated seat, and establish one year term limits for alternates.		
Support	7		Additionally, the adoption of proposals six and seven would make space for youth and Elders participation, the transfer of local and generational knowledge, as well as provide an opportunity for interested peoples to learn the regulatory system. The incorporation of youth and Elder perspectives to the AC system by way of this proposal promotes ACs ability to perform their functions under 5 AAC 96.050.
9	Limit the n	umber of con	secutive terms advisory committee members can serve.
Oppose		7	Similarly to the comment for proposal 3, our committee unanimously opposes this proposal because the adoption of this proposal would reduce our committee's ability to participate efficiently and bureaucratically. Our committee believes it takes a great deal of time and investment to learn the AC system effectively and accurately, and as stated in the prior two comments, our community members fill multiple essential roles making it challenging to conduct committee business
10	Designate o	ne seat on e	ach advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.
Oppose		7	Our committee values the intention of this proposal and recognizes the equity it seeks to implement. However, our committee strongly believes that our communities are heavily dependent on subsistence. Not only do our communities abide by State and Federal wildlife regulations, but the people in our communities are further governed by Indigenous and Traditional knowledge that has been developed over thousands of years and has been transmitted for hundreds of generations. The values and practices baked into our cultures are rooted in ecosystem management and sustainability, making space for humans and animals to live together in balance. The passage of this proposal would create additional challenges, hindering our committee's ability to operate efficiently and effectively.
13	Clarify the	process for d	eclaring vacancies and filling expired seats.
Support	7		Our committee unanimously supports this proposal to clarify the process to fill expired AC seats.



# <u>Joint Board</u> of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

March 25-28, 2024   Anchorage, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
14	Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.		
Support	7		As stated multiple times in prior comments, our committee members fill multiple essential roles in our communities.  However, we also believe our committee members need to be invested in order to conduct committee business effectively.
19	Redefine th	e boundaries	s for the Fairbanks Nonsubsistence Area.
Oppose		7	Without timely access to relevant socioeconomic characteristics and resource data, our committee must rely upon our observed understanding of socioeconomic characteristic changes since the last time the Fairbanks Nonsubsistence Area was brought before the Joint Board in 2013. Whether or not areas of the FNSA have road access or not, the current area is highly accessible, therefore our committee fears reducing the nonsubsistence area would pose biological concerns for all those resources in the area. Because of the high accessibility, our committee believes urban land use characteristics are fairly reflected onto the rural undeveloped areas because it is largely those domiciled in the greater Fairbanks area using the resources.

Minutes Recorded By: Janessa Newma	n
Minutes Approved By:	



### Upper Lynn Canal AC February 15, 2024 Meeting held via Zoom

I. Call to Order: 17:45 by Mark Sogge

II. Roll Call

Members Present: 8 - Mark Sogge, Derek Poinsette, Rafe McGuire, Ryan Cook, Teresa

Katzeek, Kip Kermoian, Jessica Plachta, Kathleen Menke

Members Absent (Excused): 8
Members Absent (Unexcused):

Number Needed for Quorum on AC: 8

List of User Groups Present:

- III. Fish and Game Staff Present: Nicole Zeiser
- IV. Guests Present: Chilkat Valley News
- V. Approval of Agenda approved, unanimous
- VI. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes approved, unanimous
- VII. Reports
  - a. Chair's report Mark Sogge reported on testifying at state legislative hearing on the governor's executive order to abolish Chilkat Bald Eagle Preserve Advisory Council. Everyone who spoke at the hearing opposed the executive order. Only one in support was the governor's own appointee.
  - b. ADF&G none
  - c. Others none
- VIII. Public Comment none
  - IX. Old Business none
  - X. New Business Joint Board Proposals (below)
  - XI. Select representative(s) for board meeting none
- XII. Set next meeting date none



XIII. Other - none

Adjourn at 19:05

Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals  March 25-28, 2024   Anchorage, AK				
Proposal Number	Proposal Description			
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes	
members at a 2 means the r	Note: Effective September 2019, when abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of the remaining members at a meeting at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. For example, a vote tally of 7-6-2 means the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting must provide an explanation that is included in the committee record.			
1	Require on regular me	•	blic notice for advisory committee election meetings and 14 days-notice for	
			No action	
2	Require all	advisory cor	nmittee meetings be accessible via call-in option.	
			No action	
3	Reduce the	terms for a	dvisory committee officers from two years to one.	
	0	8	Two years is a reasonable term for officers. It takes time to learn the job and one year isn't enough.	
4	Clarify the	responsibilit	ies for advisory committee chairs.	
			No action	
5	Clarify the	responsibilit	ies for the officer position of secretary/recorder	
			No action	
6	Allow advis	•	tees with community designated seats to appoint alternates for those	
			No action	
7	Clarify the process for appointing advisory committee alternates, allow alternates for communities having more than one designated seat, and establish one year term limits for alternates.			
			No action	
8	Allow advis	ory commit	tees the discretion to set membership term dates.	
	8	0	This will make it more flexible for some committees to work around fishing seasons and other timing limitations.	
9	Limit the n	umber of co	nsecutive terms advisory committee members can serve.	
	0	8	Experience is good for AC members and the AC process. Elections can replace seats as needed without relying on specific term limits.	



## Joint Board of Fisheries and Game Meeting Proposals March 25-28, 2024 | Anchorage, AK

		1.	idicii 25-20, 2024   Aliciiorage, Ak
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	
Support, Support as Amended, Oppose, No Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (list Pros and Cons), Amendments to Proposal, Voting Notes
10	Designate of	one seat on	each advisory committee for non-consumptive representation.
			No action
11	Designate non-consumptive user seats for the Anchorage, Cooper Landing, Fairbanks, Homer, and Matanuska Valley advisory committees.		
	5	3	This would promote diversity on AC boards, and provide a definition of non-consumptive users. OPPOSITION: This proposal is not necessary.
12	-		at advisory committees may not reject a nomination for an undesignated is less than the number of members authorized.  This would promote inclusivity and clarification of process rules.
13		Ū	declaring vacancies and filling expired seats.
13	Clarify the	process for t	No action
14	Adopt an absence policy allowing advisory committees to remove members who miss more than 50% of meetings without reasonable justification.		
4.5	Allow advis	on commit	No action
15	Allow advis	ory commit	tees to provide additional recommendations.
1.0	Dissolve se	von advicon	No action  r committees in the Southeast Region due to inactive status.
16	0	8 8	We support maintaining Klukwan AC and all others in SE AK, even if they are not currently active. The cost of maintaining these committees on the books is nothing for the state. Local input on natural resource management is very important and should not be put just in the hands of State managers.
17	Establish a	process in re	egulation for developing board proposals.
	8	0	More public review will help the board process be more effective and provide for more public scrutiny and opportunity for input.
18	Adopt a regulation or a Joint Board policy to limit certain types of amendments.		
	8	0	Makes very clear that the intent of a proposal should not be changed by the board in its final amendment process.
19	Redefine th	ne boundarie	es for the Fairbanks Nonsubsistence Area.
			No action
20	Assign seat	s on the Eas	t Prince of Wales Advisory Committee
			No action

Adjournment:



Minutes Recorded By: Poinsette

Minutes Approved By: Sogge

Date: \_\_\_\_February 15, 2024