Proposals 53 and 54

RC 4, Tab 3.2

Proposal 53: Revise the Amount Reasonably Necessary for Subsistence for Moose in Unit 19(A)

- Proposed by the Central Kuskokwim Advisory Council
- Department Position: Neutral

Proposal 54: Revisit the Amount Necessary for Subsistence for Moose in Unit 19(E)

- Proposed by the Stony Holitna Advisory Council
- Department Position: Neutral

GASH AC, CKAC, SHAC, McGrath AC, and Lower Kusko AC: **SUPPORT** 53 and 54

Bethel AC – OPPOSE 53/ SUPPORT 54

1

1

Proposal 53: ANS options

GMU 19A	2020 US Census	est. moose per capita	total		
Aniak	513	0.15	77		
Chuathbaluk	103	0.2	21		
Crooked Creek	90	0.2	18		
Lower Kalskag	278	0.2	56		
Upper Kalskag	216	0.2	43		
Unit 18¹			16		
Subtotal			230		
GMU 19E					
Red Devil	22	0.2	4		
Sleetmute	95	0.2	19		
Stony River	57	0.2	11		
Unit 18¹			44		
Subtotal			79		
GMU 19A/E Subtotal	1374		309		
GMU 19A ANS ²			200 - 260		
GMU 19E ANS ²			70 - 90		
¹ Average harvest by U	nit 18 hunters,	1991 - 2005			
² 12.5% variance, roun	ded				
Note: does not include	harvest by oth	ner state reside	nts		11

Option B	New ANS Range if adopted	Possible implications of this change
 Recalculate ANS using the 2002 method and updated demographic and harvest data for Unit 19A and 19E 	ANS for Unit 19A: 200 - 260	 More reflective of harvest patterns in times of no restrictions May have implications for the Tier II in 19A

3

al 54: Possik	ole AN	S alter	nativ
GMU 19A	2020 US Census	est. moose per capita	total
Aniak	513	0.15	77
Chuathbaluk	103	0.2	21
Crooked Creek	90	0.2	18
Lower Kalskag	278	0.2	56
Upper Kalskag	216	0.2	43
Unit 18 ¹			16
Subtotal			230
GMU 19E			
Red Devil	22	0.2	4
Sleetmute	95	0.2	19
Stony River	57	0.2	11
Unit 18 ¹			44
Subtotal			79
GMU 19A/E Subtotal	1374		309
GMU 19A ANS ²			200 - 260
GMU 19E ANS ²			70 - 90
¹ Average harvest by U	Jnit 18 hunters,	1991 - 2005	
² 12.5% variance, rour	nded		
Note: does not include	e harvest by oth	ner state reside	ents

oposal 54: Possible ANS alternatives, cont					
Option B	New ANS Range if adopted	Possible implications of this change			
 Recalculate ANS using the 2002 method and updated demographic and harvest data for Unit 19A and Unit 19E 	ANS for Unit 19E: 70 - 90	 More reflective of harvest patterns in times of no restrictions May have implications for hunt structure in 19E 			

5