March 20, 2016

RE: Proposal 90 - Follow up response to public testimony made at the BOG meeting today.

Dear Alaska Board of Game,

I oppose passage of Proposal 90 as well as oppose the WSF's new proposal that it be passed with a two year implementation timeline. The Proposal as written is flawed. Passage of a flawed proposal under a two year implementation timeline is still flawed! Don't pass a flawed proposal that is based on poor public process and lack of involvement of all the stakeholders. Don't pass a proposal that leaves too many issues and requirements unanswered. Where is the legitimate area of concern? In wild sheep habitat? Where are those areas defined? What is a reasonable buffer zone? Not 15 miles! Maybe 1 mile. Maybe just within wild sheep habitat areas to be specifically defined by the ADF&G. What testing specifically is of legitimate concern? It is not defined in the proposal. Taking off the clean list as currently proposed is not reasonable and exemplifies the WSF's extreme one-sided overreach on this issue. The financial impact to both domestic sheep and goat owners will be devastating and unnecessary, economically harmful to the agricultural industry, and extremely burdensome to the State of Alaska. Kevin Kehoe's and the WSF's proposal as written is fundamentally flawed. It should be rejected. Please direct the WSF to restart their discussion with all of the affected parties in a collaborative manner with a common, reasonable outcome in mind.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Kelly Dellar
Wasilla Lights Farm
rkdeellar@mtaonline.net