This section of the harvest report must be filled out by the community coordinator and returned to ADF&G, accompanied by individual household surveys. This reporting form asks questions relevant to community subsistence hunt (CSH) community member use patterns of the moose CSH area, as defined in 2006-170-BOG. This report covers the period between January 1, 2013, and December 31, 2013.

1.) How many moose were harvested by members of your group/community in 2013?

2.) Approximately what percentage of the moose harvested by group/community members were processed by commercial game meat processors?

3a.) If households in your group/community are not successful in harvesting moose in the moose CSH area, what do they do for meat?
   (In two or three sentences:)

3b.) If households in your group/community are not successful in harvesting other resources, including hare, game birds, salmon, and other non salmon fish in the moose CSH area, what do they do for meat?
   (In two or three sentences:)

4.) How many members of your group/community did not take part in hunting, fishing, trapping or berry/green picking activities within the moose CSH area during 2013?

5.) How many people in your group/community customarily hunted, fished, trapped or picked berries/greens in the moose CSH area prior to 2009?

6.) How many members of your group/community have never participated in hunting, fishing, trapping or berry/green picking activities in the moose CSH area prior to registering for this hunt?

7.) How many members of your group/community have never been to the moose CSH area to participate in hunting, fishing, trapping or berry/green picking activities?

8.) How many households in your group/community have no historical ties to the moose CSH area?
   Historical ties include current and historical family relationships, or an established pattern of year-round use of the area, such as fishing, hunting, camping, or outdoor recreation.

9.) What efforts are community members making to continue or establish a generational pattern of use in the moose CSH area?
   (limit to 1 paragraph)
10.) Describe 3 scenarios where youth from households in your community or group, aged 0-17, actively participated in hunting, fishing, trapping, or gathering activities in the moose CSH area?

10a.) __________________________________

10b.) __________________________________

10c.) __________________________________

11.) 2013 Community sharing event

11a.) On what date did a sharing event occur?

11b.) How many group/community members were present at this sharing event?

11c.) How many people who were not part of your group/community were present at this event?

11d.) Please briefly describe this community sharing event.

12.) How many elder households (household head aged 64+) were given moose by members of your group/community in 2013?

13.) Except for elder households, how many households without hunters were given moose in 2013?

14.) What strategies did members of your community employ to reduce fuel consumption to increase economic efficiency?

15.) How did members of your community pool resources (equipment, supplies) to increase efficiency?
The purpose of this section will be to establish a supplemental score, for each of the eight criteria established in Board of Game findings 2006-170-BOG. NOTE: Scoring in this section, or any section will NOT be used exclusively to determine adherence to the criteria.
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Copper Basin community subsistence hunt (CSH) group/community coordinator report

Detailed explanation of questions, and proposed scoring criteria.

All points will be weighted according to the direction provided from the Board of Game to the department. The proposed scoring system currently categorizes each question into one or more of the eight criteria described in the Board of Game finding 2006-170-BOG. Scores for each question are adjusted to provide equal weights to each of the criteria. Each element, category, or question may be additionally weighted under the direction of the Board of Game to accurately reflect the intent of the board in determining adherence to the customary and traditional use pattern as well as continued eligibility for groups or communities.

To enhance consistency in scoring, and prevent group/community size from inappropriately affecting scores, proportions and percentages will be used as often as possible. Four categories are defined:

- None – 0% of measurement.
- Minimal – 1–25% of measurement, rounded up.
- Marginal – 26–49% of measurement, rounded up.
- Significant – 50% or more of measurement, rounded up.

Under this recommendation, two types of reports will be required from each group. Each of these report types is described below.

Group/community coordinator report

The group/community coordinator report will provide a supplementary scoring opportunity for overall group/community patterns, to include establishment of new groups. This section of the report will also assist in providing accurate reporting of all moose and caribou harvested under the Copper Basin CSH program for each group/community. It is also intended to ensure a minimum level of reporting for assessment of the Copper Basin CSH program and groups/communities.

Question 1

The intent of Question 1 is to validate household responses, and ensure accurate reporting from household reports. This question will not be scored directly and does not factor into assessment of the group’s adherence to the eight criteria in board findings.

Question 2

The intent of Question 2 is to address, in part, Criterion 3 in 2006-170-BOG. Processing of game using commercial game processors can be costly, typically running $3.00/lb. This approach can also be time consuming, when during the hunting season it can take many weeks to have game processed. One strategy to reducing costs and improving efficiency is for group members to process meat together.
Scoring 2:

0 Points will be awarded if a significant proportion (> 50%) of meat was processed this way.

1 Point will be awarded if a marginal proportion (26%-49%) of total meat was processed this way.

2 Points will be awarded if a minimal proportion (<= 25%) or none of total meat was processed this way.

Question 3a

The intent of this question is to identify that the group is establishing or continuing a pattern of use of CSH moose and caribou that relies on the Copper Basin CSH areas authorized for that species. This, in part, addresses criteria 1, 7, and 8 in 2006-170-BOG. The elements this question attempts to identify are the replacement of some portion of unavailable subsistence resources with other subsistence resources available in the respective Copper Basin CSH area and the expected sharing of resources from successful households.

Scoring 3a:

0 points will be awarded to groups where the full response involves the purchase of commercial foods and/or the harvest of foods outside of the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

1 point will be awarded if the response includes the increase of harvest of other resources in the area OR expectation of additional sharing from others, and may include some harvest of subsistence foods outside of the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

2 points will be awarded if the response includes the increase of harvest of other resources in the area OR expectation of additional sharing from others, AND there is no mention of uses of subsistence foods harvested outside of the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

3 points will be awarded if the response includes the increase of harvest of other resources in the area AND expectation of additional sharing from others, AND there is no mention of uses of subsistence foods harvested outside of the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

These points will be weighted according to the direction of the Board of Game.

Question 3b

The intent of this question is also to identify that the group is establishing or continuing a pattern of use for resources other than Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou that relies on the Copper Basin CSH area. This, in part, addresses criteria 1, 7, and 8 in 2006-170-BOG. The elements this question attempts to identify are the replacement of some portion of unavailable subsistence resources with other subsistence resources available in the respective areas and the expected sharing of resources from successful households.

Scoring 3b:
0 points will be awarded to groups where the full response involves the purchase of commercial foods and/or the harvest of foods outside of the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

1 point will be awarded if the response includes the increase of harvest of other resources in the respective area OR expectation of additional sharing from others, and also does not include subsistence resources from outside the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

Questions 4-8

The intent of these questions is to establish group members' long term patterns of use, and close ties to and familiarity with, the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area. These correspond to Criterion 1 and Criterion 4 described in 2006-170-BOG.

Scoring 4:

0 points will be awarded to groups where a significant proportion of members (> 50%) did not take part in the described activities within the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

1 point will be awarded to groups where a marginal proportion of members (26–49%) did not take part in the described activities within the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

2 points will be awarded to groups where a minimal proportion (<= 25%) or none of the members did not take part in the described activities within the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

Scoring 5:

0 points will be awarded to groups where a significant proportion of members (> 50%) did not participate in the described activities within the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area prior to 2007.

1 point will be awarded to groups where a marginal proportion of members (26–49%) did not participate in the described activities within the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area prior to 2007.

2 points will be awarded to groups where a minimal proportion (<= 25%) or none of the members did not participate in the described activities within the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area prior to 2007.

Scoring 6:

0 points will be awarded to groups where a significant proportion of members (> 50%) did not travel to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area prior to registering for these hunts for the described activities.

1 point will be awarded to groups where a marginal proportion of members (26–49%) did not travel to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area prior to registering for these hunts for the described activities.
2 points will be awarded to groups where a minimal proportion (<= 25%) or none of the members did not travel to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area prior to registering for this hunt for the described activities.

Scoring 7:

0 points will be awarded to groups where a significant proportion of members (> 50%) have never been to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area to participate in described activities.

1 point will be awarded to groups where a marginal proportion of members (26–49%) have never been to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area to participate in described activities.

2 points will be awarded to groups where a minimal proportion (<= 25%) or none of the members have never been to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area to participate in described activities.

Scoring 8:

0 points will be awarded to groups where a significant proportion of members (> 50%) have no historical ties, as described, to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

1 point will be awarded to groups where a marginal proportion of members (26–49%) have no historical ties, as described, to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

2 points will be awarded to groups where a minimal proportion (<= 25%) or none of the members have no historical ties, as described, to the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

Question 9

The intent of this question is to identify that the group is establishing or continuing a pattern of generational involvement in subsistence activities in the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area. This, in part, addresses Criterion 6 in 2006-170-BOG. This set of questions will be scored and weighted as a whole. These scores, unlike previous descriptions, shall be additive.

Scoring 9:

0 points will be awarded to groups where no response has been provided. This score will also be awarded to groups describing efforts to involve only adults in hunting activities in the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

1 point will be added to the score for Question 12 when the response includes involvement of more than one elder in hunting, processing, gathering or sharing activities. Current and historical involvement are both valid for this point.

1 point will be added to the score for Question 12 when the response includes education of children, including involving their participation in hunting, processing, or gathering activities.
**1 point** will be added to the score for Question 12 when the response includes formalized education efforts for children, including camps, classes, or events that are intended to teach hunting, fishing, trapping or gathering. Also includes knowledge of land and geography in the respective Copper Basin CSH moose or caribou area.

**Questions 10a-10c**

The intent of these questions is also to identify that the group is establishing or continuing a pattern of generational involvement, where children are being taught methods of hunting, fishing and processing. This, in part, addresses Criterion 6 in 2006-170-BOG.

Scoring 10a-10c:

**1 point** shall be awarded for each of the 3 scenarios provided, if the scenario meets the following criteria:
- The scenario described is not exclusive to one household AND
- The scenario described involves children from more than one household, OR
- The scenario described involves meaningful participation in hunting or fishing, to include making the kill, cutting meat, preparation of the meat for storage, OR
- Picking berries, OR
- Actively searching for animals.

**0 points** shall be awarded for each question 10a-10c for situations not meeting the criteria described above.

**Questions 11a-11d**

The intent of these questions is to identify that the group is establishing or continuing a pattern of community sharing that involves a community sharing event. This, in part, addresses Criterion 7 in 2006-170-BOG. This set of questions will be scored and weighted as a whole. These scores, unlike previous descriptions, shall be additive.

Scoring 11a-11d:

**0 points** will be awarded to groups where no sharing event has occurred.

**1 point** will be added to the Question 11 score for groups for which at least a marginal proportion of the group is present at a community sharing event (26%-49%).

**1 point** will be added to the Question 11 score for groups where the sharing event included more than 0 (zero) individuals from other groups.

**1 point** will be added to the Question 11 score for groups where the described sharing event clearly establishes an event where a marginal proportion of the attendees were related in no closer degree than cousin, or some portion of meat was given away uncooked. This point seeks to identify the community event as stretching beyond immediate family, and also that meaningful sharing has occurred.
**Question 12**

The intent of this question is also to identify that the group is establishing or continuing a pattern of community sharing and generational involvement. This, in part, addresses criteria 1 and 7 in 2006-170-BOG.

Scoring 12:

0 points will be awarded less than a significant proportion (\(<= 49\%\)) of elder households (the head of household is 65 or older) have been given meat –or– this group has no elder households.

1 point will be awarded if a significant proportion (\(> 50\%\)) of elder households (the head of household is 65 or older) have been given meat.

**Question 13**

The intent of this question is also to identify that the group is establishing or continuing a pattern of community sharing and generational involvement. This, in part, addresses Criterion 7 in 2006-170-BOG.

Scoring 13:

0 points will be awarded if one or 0 (zero) households have zero hunters (all households, or all but one household has at least one hunter) and have not been given meat. This also applies in cases where 100% of all households have one hunter.

1 point will be awarded if more than one household without hunters has been given meat.

**Question 14**

The intent of this question is to identify that the group is establishing or is continuing a pattern of hunting that involves strategies to reduce cost and increase efficiency. This question has been framed around fuel costs given described hunting patterns in the Copper Basin CSH area involve extensive use of roadways as hunting corridors. This, in part, addresses Criterion 3 in 2006-170-BOG.

Scoring 14:

1 point will be awarded to groups where defined strategies involve at least one of the following characteristics:

- Households or individuals were asked to take additional moose or caribou for sharing with those that could not afford gas/equipment to hunt.
- Hunters travelled to hunting locations in a single vehicle.
- Hunters shared the cost of fuel.
- Hunters focused their search within walking distance along roadways to reduce use of fuel for ATVs.
- Other strategies that would result in direct reduction of cost to fuel consumption or involved pooling of resources to directly reduce fuel costs.
0 points will be awarded to groups where strategies do not clearly identify actions taken that would directly result in the use of less fuel OR the pooling of resources to make the fuel less costly to individual households.

Question 15
The intent of this question is also to identify that the group is establishing or is continuing a pattern of hunting that involves strategies to reduce cost and increase efficiency. This question has been framed around pooling of equipment and supplies so that costs are reduced for individual households. This, in part, addresses Criterion 3 in 2006-170-BOG.

Scoring 15:

1 point will be awarded to groups where defined strategies involve at least one of the following characteristics:
- Equipment and supplies, such as guns, ammunition, ATVs, vehicles, trailers, or camping gear are shared amongst hunting and non-hunting households to reduce costs of purchasing the equipment.
- Cash resources are pooled amongst households in the group to purchase a single piece of equipment, such as an ATV.
- Hunters asked to harvest more moose or caribou to share with those that do not have the economic resources or equipment to go out themselves.
- Other strategies involving any kind of gear, equipment or supplies that would result in a direct reduction of cost or time in the field to households within the group as a whole.

NOTE: In all cases, there must be an explanation as to HOW the described strategy actually saved money or reduced time in the field order to receive the point.

0 points will be awarded to groups where a clear strategy was not described OR where the strategy described did not explain how money or time in the field was saved.