



## **Tok Cutoff/Nabesna Road Fish & Game Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes of March 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 at Slana Community Building**

Proposal #39--- All against. Biologist wants more information before making the season longer.

#40--- 1 for 5 against. 5 like the current law, one thinks there are not enough bears.

#41--- 6 for. Allow this because it can be closely monitored and it is for a small area.

#42--- 6 against. Didn't feel this would work.

#43--- 6 against. We think this needs to be there to keep hunting pressure at bay.

#44--- No action was taken on this one.

#45--- No action was taken on this one.

#46--- 6 for with the Copper Basin Advisory amendments. This could make this hunt more desirable.

#47--- 6 against. Didn't think this would work due to current trends.

#48--- 1 for, 5 against. Majority felt this is a law issue.

#49--- 5 for, 1 against. Feel this would make the regs more predictable.

#50--- No action.

#51--- 6 against. Felt this may push out any nonresident hunting because the take would go to low.

#52--- Same as #51

#53--- Same as #51

#54--- 6 against. We felt that since fish & game employees enforce the law they could make the call on the legality of rams.

#55--- 6 against. Biologist felt this was a bad idea and we went with



## Tok Cutoff/Nabesna Road Fish & Game Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes of March 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 at Slana Community Building

her recommendation.

#56--- 6 against. Felt this was a little to liberal.

#57--- 6 against. Went with the biologist's recommendation.

#58--- No action was taken on this proposal.

#59--- Same as #58

#60--- 6 against. We wanted to leave this area alone.

#61--- No action was taken on this one.

#62--- 6 against. Didn't feel this would work that early in the year.

#63--- 6 against. We feel that is to late to harvest moose as they are deep in rut at that time.

#64--- 1 for, 5 against. The majority felt that too many moose would be harvested.

#65--- 6 against. We didn't feel that there is a subsistence issue with wolves.

#66--- 6 against. Same as #65

#67--- 6 against. We didn't feel that this was an issue.

#68--- No action was taken on this one.

#69--- 6 for with dept. exceptions.

#70--- 6 against. Biologist felt that a little more pressure would not be a bad thing in this area and we agreed with that.

#109--- 6 against. We felt that there is still a need for random opportunity to take a bear in these areas that no tag fees encourage.



## **Tok Cutoff/Nabesna Road Fish & Game Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes of March 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 at Slana Community Building**

#110--- 6 for. Same as #109.

#192--- 6 against. Law would be too broad. Maybe for some roads in urban areas but not everywhere.

#196--- 6 for. We agreed and the biologist said they really don't need the extra information.

#218--- 6 against. This seems to be way too broad a law. Too easy to abuse and may be unconstitutional.

#219--- 6 against. We don't feel there is a need for this law.

#220--- 6 against. Same as #218

#231--- 5 for 1 against. After a lengthy discussion we concurred with our biologist that this would be a good tool to have with the understanding that it would be closely monitored. One voted against on principle.