

To: Board of Fish Members

RE: Oppose Proposal 99 as written

I am opposed to Proposal 99 as written; I support the NSRAA proposal that was voted on and approved by the Board to allow all three gear groups a rotation in SE Cove THA.

As a lifelong commercial fisherman in SE and a former NSRAA Board Member, I find it disingenuous that NSRAA Board members to go back on a deal once it is out of that arena and in another (RC 114), without disclosing what deal they struck at the time of the original vote.

I would also remind Board Members the last time the Board was held in Sitka, allowing the Gillnet fleet in SE Cove was part of the deal struck between the net gear groups. If we are not allowed to fish there, the Board should review all NSRAA THA's, to notice that one gear group is obviously missing from many of them without even the possibility of getting opportunity regardless of if the two net groups are within their allocation. We pay in and get left off all the new THA opportunities.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Tim Grussendorf

FV Christi Sea