

## Upper Lynn Canal Advisory Committee BOF Proposals

Alaska Board of Fisheries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
the remainin For example, must provide	g members a vote tally an explanc	at a meetir of 7-6-2 m ntion that is	hen abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of ng at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. Teans the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting included in the committee record. Adress payback provisions when the State of Alaska king salmon
<u>80</u> SUPPORT	•		's annual king salmon all-gear harvest ceiling, as follows: Rationale: It's important to have the opportunity to further discuss whether harvest ceiling overages should be assigned to the fishery or fisheries that exceeded annual allocation.
<u>88</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a sliding sport allocation between 16 and 24 percent with commensurate commercial troll fishery allocation modification under commercial regulation, as follows:		
OPPOSE	0	10	Rationale: Pacific Salmon Treaty Commission recently came up with new way to determent CPU, this proposal seems like a personal opinion.
<u>89</u>	all of the So		ditional fishing lines during periods of king salmon nonretention in sutat area if there is more than one CFEC power troll permit holder
OPPOSE	2	8	Rationale: There are 3 new stocks of concern and we want to prevent catching more king salmon and having to release them.
<u>92</u>	Allow retention of king salmon greater than 26 inches in hatchery terminal harvest areas by commercial trollers, as follows:		
SUPPORT	6	4	Rationale against: There's potential for catching wild stock salmon as well.
<u>93</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan by reducing the maximum nonresident annual limit to three king salmon, as follows:		
SUPPORT	5	2	Rationale: Will minimize stock of concern for king salmon.
<u>97</u>	commercia	l troll gear ir	to commercial purse seine and drift gillnet gear but open to the Anita Bay Terminal Harvest Area when spring troll areas in red, as follows:



Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	۱ ۰
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
OPPOSE	0	6	Rationale: Trollers already getting fishing time, no reason to not give other gear groups opportunity to fish those areas as well.
<u>100</u>			ar from allowed gear to participate in the Southeast Cove THA eries, as follows:
OPPOSE	0	10	Rationale: Support Gillnet to be a useable gear group in this area.
<u>102</u>	-	e ratio of dri larvest Area,	ft gillnet to purse seine openings from 2:1 to 1:2 in the Deep Inlet as follows:
OPPOSE	0	10	Rationale: Only opportunity to gillnet fleet has for NNSRA fish that they pay 3% into.
<u>104</u>	Create a management plan for hatchery returns to Burnett Inlet, as follows:		
OPPOSE	0	6	Rationale to oppose: To support Fish and Game's comments that the presence of wild stocks in area would result in a congested and disorderly fishery.
110	Require reporting and recovery of lost drift gillnet gear, as follows:		
SUPPORT	7	3	Rationale: Would be helpful to collect data on lost nets. The AC also discussed amending this proposal to include other gear types.
<u>122</u>	Northern Southeast seine salmon fishery management plans Remove sunset date so regulation remains in effect, as follows:		
OPPOSE	0	10	Rationale: We supported proposal 124. This proposal contradicts 124.
<u>123</u>			e so regulation remains in effect and change effective end date of July 15, as follows:
OPPOSE	0	10	Rationale: We supported proposal 124. This proposal contradicts 124.
<u>124</u>	Establish additional guidelines for the department to manage the District 12 purse seine fishery north of Point Marsden, as follows:		
SUPPORT	10	0	Rationale: Our northern Lynn Canal stocks have been returning later and later. Moving the date back to where it was would help protect salmon and meet escapement goals.



Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
SUPPORT	8	0	Rationale: Support to collect more data on non-resident sport catch.
<u>144</u>	Establish a follows:	logbook pro	ogram for rental vessels used in Southeast Alaska sport fisheries, as
SUPPORT	8	0	Rationale: Support to collect more data on non-resident sport catch.
<u>155</u>			f salmon from the water when nonretention regulations apply and ultiple hook in Southeast Alaska sport fisheries, as follows:
OPPOSE	0	9	Rationale: Do not support the outright ban of treble (multiple) hooks.
<u>156</u>	Modify ha	rvest rate co	ntrol rule for Sitka Sound sac roe herring fishery, as follows:
SUPPORT	8	1	Rationale: This is a middle of the road approach to protecting herring without closing the fishery entirely by lowering overall catch with the use of a new metric.
<u>157</u>	Modify harvest rate for Sitka Sound commercial sac roe herring fishery based on forecasted age structure, as follows:		
SUPPORT	7	1	Rationale: This proposal supports increased protections for older age class herring.
<u>158</u>	Incorporate forecasted age structure into Sitka Sound commercial sac roe herring fishery spawning biomass threshold, as follows:		
SUPPORT	7	1	Rationale: This proposal supports increased protections for older age class herring.
<u>160</u>	Reduce clo	osed waters i	n the Sitka Sound commercial sac roe herring fishery, as follows:
OPPOSE	0	8	Rationale: This contradicts the waters being closed to preserve herring.
<u>161</u>	Require a subsistence fishing permit to harvest herring roe on branches in the Sitka Sound area, as follows:		
OPPOSE	0	8	Rationale: There's only a certain number of people who can access this fishery. This would limit the ability for people to access it.
<u>165</u>			a sac roe quota to be harvested for food and bait by herring sac roe ders, as follows:



Alas	Alaska Board of Fisheries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals			
Proposal Number	January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK Proposal Description			
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments	
OPPOSE	0	9	Rationale: There are already opportunities for winter bait and we shouldn't rely on sac roe.	
<u>172</u>	Change the follows:	e pot shrimp	fishery from a fall/winter season to a spring/summer season, as	
SUPPORT	8	0	Rationale: Shift fishery so the shrimp are not holding eggs. British Columbia has had successful fishery by fishing in the spring.	
<u>173</u>	Repeal rair	bow trout s	ize limits in Crystal, Glacier, and Moraine lakes, as follows:	
SUPPORT	8	0	Rationale: Shift fishery so the shrimp are not holding eggs. British Columbia has had a successful fishery by fishing in the spring. This proposal would provide six days for springtime fishing for subsistence users without competing with commercial.	
<u>176</u>	Reduce the number of shrimp pots that a vessel may fish, as follows:			
OPPOSE	0	7	Rationale: This proposal doesn't impact quota, only prolongs fishing time as well as results in more use of fuel and bait.	
<u>182</u>	Divide the District 15 GHR into two fishing areas with distinct GHRs for the new areas, as follows:			
SUPPORT	8	0	Rationale: It better manages the shrimp fishery by helping to split up where the vast majority of shrimp currently are and allow other areas to be open.	
<u>223</u>		Establish and clarify gear specifications of a groundfish pot for the subsistence and personal use sablefish fisheries, as follows:		
SUPPORT	8	0	Rationale: Agree with ADFG recommendation to protect the sablefish stock.	

AC09 5 of 49

### Upper Lynn Canal Advisory Committee (Haines & Skagway) Date: 12/03/21 Location of Meeting: ZOOM

I. Roll Call

Members Present: Tim McDonough (TM) Ryan Cook (RC) Derek Poinsette (DP) Darren Belisle (DB) Stuart DeWitt (SDW) Shannon Donahue (SD) Kip Kermoian (KK) Jessica Plachta (JP) Luke Rauscher (LR) Marie Rose (MR) - (Secretary)

Members Absent (Excused): Daniel Hotch (DH) Members Absent (Unexcused): Number Needed for Quorum on AC: List of User Groups Present:

- II. Fish and Game Staff Present: Nicole Zeiser, Richard Chapell
- III. Approval of Agenda

Tim: anything else we'd like to change or delete? Kip: Want to add about Haines Huts...

Kip: Recently contacted by Natalie Dawson, new chair, stating they were considering change of location and asked about securing funding from Rocky Mountain Goat Alliance, seemed to be a nice fit for their interests. Kip said would help start process once new location was a sure thing. Going ahead, two proposals from last meeting (one to submit grant for \$800 fund for amended lease app fee, other motion to write letter of support to Rocky Mountain Goat Alliance if they relocate hut). Kip voluneers to write that letter. Just wanted to update AC.

- IV. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes RC approved, TM second, minutes approved
- V. Reports



- a. Chair's report
  - i. Summary: Start by taking suggestions for proposals. Proposal and action follow with vote at the end. Suggests "no action" based on some proposals not impacting Upper Lynn Canal, depending on what others think.
- VI. New Business BOF proposals and elections (below)
- VII. Set next meeting date
  - a. December 13<sup>th</sup>, 5pm via ZOOM
- VIII. Other

## Elections:

TM: XYZ had seat up in 2020, XYZ had seats up in 2021. Propose we host elections in January for those with seats up in 2020 and wait a year for those with seats up in 2021, that way our board isn't shifting so drastically all at once. How do we want to go about this? And zoom or in person?

DP: Prefer zoom meeting. Being in Skagway, this is an easier way to hear people versus on speaker phone.

TM: I feel a certain way about meeting in person. Could draw out a lot of people. SD: I'd just like to see the public weigh in on this. There may be people eager to run. Let's make sure to post this on the agenda and get input from public.

TM: Do you mean put out a pre notice about how people want to do the elections? SD: Not exactly, more so in relation to how we roll people over. I just want people to be able to run if they want to.

TM: Monday, Dec 13 meeting work ok?

SD: Would be more helpful to know when F&G will have their comments out.

NZ: It should be any day. We can reach out when we have them.

RC: Will the new action plans for stock of concern be in that, too?

NZ: Yes, they should be.

TM: Any opposition for 13<sup>th</sup> of Dec? Ok, 5pm on the 13<sup>th</sup>. I'm fine with zoom, but I know Ryan and Stuart would prefer in person. What do you all think?

DP: I'm tired of being on zoom, but I'm fine with continuing it.

RC: I think both ways work well. You have people in person and on zoom.

DP: We could try to set that up; the borough does it.

T: I started researching it, but would have to have a borough employee there to help. I backed off on it because it seemed like a lot. I can research it more if we want to.

SD: I'm fine with this (zoom).

SD: I like the zoom option, especially in winter due to the roads. I've been limiting being in public and am trying not to be in crowded spaces.



T: Ok, lets go with zoom and we can look into it more next time for the elections. RC: I'll look at proposals 125- 145 for next time.

TM: I can divide the rest up and have everyone look at them to split up that work, but some could miss out on proposals that interest them.

SD: I was thinking everyone could look through and pick 10-15 that interest them.

TM: Let's do that. We can all look through and see which ones pertain to us.

NZ: Maybe you guys can figure that out prior to the meeting so we can be prepared to provide specific information on the ones you may have questions on.

TM: We can do that.

NZ: I feel bad that there are some I don't have more information on some of these. We don't individually research all of them—there are hundreds.

TM: I'll send reminder middle of next week to send picked proposals to Nicole and Rich so if they can, they can give us more information.

Ryan: Make a motion to adjourn. Second by Darren.

IX. Adjourn



Alas	ska Boar	d of Fisł	neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
		Ianu	ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal	Proposal	Description	
Number			
	Number	Number	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes,
Support/			
Support as	Support	Oppose	Amendments
amended/			
Oppose/N			
o Action			
Note: Effect	ive Septeml	ber 2019, w	hen abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of
the remainin	g members	at a meetii	ng at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee.
For example,	a vote tall	∕ of 7-6-2 m	neans the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting
must provide	e an expland	ation that is	s included in the committee record.
<u>80</u>	Amend regulation to address payback provisions when the State of Alaska king salmon		
	fisheries exceed Alaska's annual king salmon all-gear harvest ceiling, as follows:		
SUPPORT	10	0	TM: motion to approve, passes unanimously
			Rationale: It's important to have the opportunity to further
			discuss whether how harvest ceiling overages should be
			assigned: to the fishery or fisheries that exceeded annual
			allocation.
<u>81</u>	Allocate ar	y Alaska all	gear-allocation king salmon remaining after September 1 to the
<u> </u>		-	y, as follows:
-			•



NO ACTION	MR: For gillnetters, do you catch many king salmon after
	Sept 1?
	SD: No
	DP: Issues with treaty fish down south
	KK: Have you looked into Chilkat fish many caught south of
	Skagway?
	TM: No info on that
	SD: Many caught in troll areas
	Rich: At one point, 50% kings were caught in upper Lynn
	Canal by sport or drift gillnet fleet, or by sport anglers in
	inside waters of northern southeast or by troll fleet. Because
	of conservation measures, this has been greatly reduced the
	last few years.
	KK: Rich, after multiple years of not catching amt of
	allocation, what happens?
	Rich: Way treaty is now, if harvest underneath quota, you
	miss out and don't get "paid back" in future years.
	JP: Rich, what do you suppose happens when in relative
	abundance If those fish don't get caught, would it
	contribute to better returns in the future?
	Rich: If those fish aren't caught this year, my guess would be
	they would remain in ocean for another year.
	DP: Do you know if many Chilkat fish caught after Sept 1? Is
	that data collected?
	Rich: 1 tagged fish collected last year. Small stock, don't
	make much of an appearance in any fishery.
	Nicole: Chilkat fish are inside waters, if we're talking about outside waters, not make Chilkat fish out there.
	Nicole pulls up Chilkat River Salmon Marine Harvest graph.
	Rich: Harvest has been low last few years based on
	conservation measures.
	RC and DP support opposing, MR motion to support, SD
	second, TM in favor. Not enough in favor. AC supports NO
	ACTION.
<u>82</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to align with the provisions of
	the 2019–2028 Pacific Salmon Treaty annex, as follows:
NO ACTION	
<u>83</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for an average
	sport harvest of 20% of the sport/troll allocation with commensurate regulations
	addressing sport fishery overages in the commercial troll fishery, as follows:
	Amond the Coutheast Alaska King Colmon Management Display to success a slow of the
<u>84</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to ensure no closure of the
	resident king salmon fishery due to allocation concerns, as follows:



Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals		
	<b>_</b>	January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description			
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments		
NO ACTION					
<u>85</u>			Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a resident ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as		
NO ACTION					
<u>86</u>			Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a resident ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as		
NO ACTION					
<u>87</u>		Make numerous changes to management of commercial troll and sport fisheries for king salmon in Southeast Alaska, as follows:			
NO ACTION					
<u>88</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a sliding sport allocation between 16 and 24 percent with commensurate commercial troll fishery allocation modification under commercial regulation, as follows:				
OPPOSE	0	10	RC: motion to oppose, SD second, all opposed		
			Rationale (RC): Pacific Salmon Treaty Commission recently came up with new way to determent CPU, this (proposal) seems like a personal opinion.		
<u>89</u>	Allow the use of two additional fishing lines during periods of king salmon nonretention in all of the Southeast-Yakutat area if there is more than one CFEC power troll permit holder on board the vessel, as follows:.				
OPPOSE	2	8	SD: vote to oppose, 8 votes to oppose, 2 to support.		
			DP: I suggest take no action. SD: I support taking action. There are a lot of small king salmon out there. Not a good strategy for FG.		
			Rationale (SD): there are 3 new stocks of concern and want to prevent catching more king salmon and having to release them.		
<u>90</u>		ger to from CPUE tier, a	an annual abundance index (AI) number to a District 13 early-winter as follows:		
NO ACTION					



Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Janu Description	ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
<u>91</u>	Reallocate fisheries, a		roll harvest allocation between the winter, spring and summer troll
NO ACTION			
<u>92</u>		ntion of king rcial trollers,	salmon greater than 26 inches in hatchery terminal harvest areas as follows:
SUPPORT	6	4	MR make motion to support, 6 vote to support, 4 oppose. Rationale for (MR): Make it more fair for trollers to harvest
			hatchery salmon in those areas. Rationale against (SD): There's potential for catching wild stock salmon as well.
<u>93</u>			Alaska King Salmon Management Plan by reducing the maximum it to three king salmon, as follows:
SUPPORT	5	2	SD makes motion to support, motion passes. Rationale (SD): Will minimize stock of concern for king salmon. 3 abstentions for lack of information.
<u>94</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a resident priority by implementing specific closed periods and reducing annual limits for nonresidents, as follows:		
NO ACTION			
<u>95</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to provide for inseason liberalization of management measures when the sport fish allocation will not be met, as follows:		
NO ACTION			
<u>96</u>	Expand wa follows:	ters of Herri	ng Bay Terminal Harvest Area open to commercial troll fishing, as
NO ACTION			
<u>97</u>	commercia	l troll gear ir	to commercial purse seine and drift gillnet gear but open to n the Anita Bay Terminal Harvest Area when spring troll areas in sed, as follows:



Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK	
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description		
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments	
OPPOSE	0	6	Rationale (RC): Trollers already getting fishing time, no reason to not give other gear groups opportunity to fish those areas as well.	
			4 abstentions for lack of information.	
<u>98</u>	-	e ratio of drif arvest Area,	ft gillnet to purse seine openings from 2:1 to 1:2 in the Anita Bay as follows:	
NO ACTION				
<u>99</u>	Establish a gear rotation between purse seine and troll gear in the Southeast Cove Terminal Harvest area, as follows:			
NO ACTION				
<u>100</u>			ar from allowed gear to participate in the Southeast Cove THA eries, as follows:	
OPPOSE	0	10	RC proposes to oppose, all opposed Rationale: Support Gillnet to be a useable gear group in this area.	
<u>101</u>		Modify management plan to further consider potential effect of hatchery-produced salmon on wild-stock salmon, as follows:		
<u>102</u>		e ratio of drif arvest Area,	ft gillnet to purse seine openings from 2:1 to 1:2 in the Deep Inlet as follows:	
OPPOSE	0	10	SD proposes to oppose Rationale (SD): Only opportunity to gillnet fleet has for NNSRA fish that they pay 3% into.	
<u>103</u>	Modify net gear allocation guidelines to further consider potential effect of hatchery- produced salmon on wild-stock salmon and wild-stock salmon management, as follows:			
104	Create a m	anagement	plan for hatchery returns to Burnett Inlet, as follows:	
SUPPORT	10	0	Rationale (RC): New terminal harvest area needs management.	
<u>105</u>	Create a m	anagement	plan for hatchery returns to Port Saint Nicholas, as follows:	



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
<u>110</u>	Require rep	porting and	recovery of lost drift gillnet gear, as follows:
SUPPORT	7	3	DP makes motion to support, KK 2 <sup>nd</sup> motion. LR: Is there data on lost nets? NZ: No SD: No SD: Can't support it, lost gear happens in every gear type. DP: Like that this came from another AC, open to amending it to include other gear types. SD: Proposes to amend to include reporting lost gear from
<u>122</u>	Northern S	outheast sei	all commercial gillnet, sein, troll gear, longline fisheries. Rationale: Would be helpful to collect data on lost nets. The AC also discussed amending this proposal to include other gear types. ine salmon fishery management plans Remove sunset date so
	regulation remains in effect, as follows:		
OPPOSE	0	10	Rationale: We supported proposal 124. This proposal contradicts 124.
<u>123</u>			e so regulation remains in effect and change effective end date of o July 15, as follows:
OPPOSE	0	10	RC: I make a motion to oppose. RC: Would be hard on management if they lost their cap on July 15 <sup>th</sup> instead of July 31? NZ: Hard to say, we manage for escapement goals, so it depends on what happens. SD: You'd be managing less. NZ: Hard to say. Rationale: We supported proposal 124. This proposal contradicts 124.
17/	Establish av	ditional aui	delines for the department to manage the District 12 purse seine
<u>124</u>		-	Aarsden, as follows:



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK			
Proposal	Proposal Description		
Number		•	
Support/	Number	Number	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes,
Support as	Support	Oppose	Amendments
amended/			
Oppose/N			
o Action			
SUPPORT	10	0	RC: Motion to support, all in favor
			TM: I assume seiners wouldn't like this?
			SD: Yes. They catch a lot of sockeyes there and if they let
			that date go to the 15 <sup>th</sup> , the fish (sockeye) will no longer be there.
			SD: It's baffling to me that BOG best interest is not in wild salmon vs hatchery salmon.
			Nicole: What I can provide is that they can determine what
			percentage is hatchery vs what is left wild.
			Rationale (RC): Our northern Lynn Canal stocks have been
			returning later and later. By moving the date back to where
			it was would help protect salmon and meet escapement goals.
<u>156</u>	Modify har	vest rate co	ntrol rule for Sitka Sound sac roe herring fishery, as follows:



Alas	Alaska Board of Fisheries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description			
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments	
			DP: Based on my research, 156- 157 are complicated, but middle in the road in comparison to closures. Their biologist is trying to come up with compromises. I make a motion to support all three. SD 2 <sup>nd</sup> .	
			KK: Why does department have more aggressive approach towards this? What's going on with that? This (proposal) makes sense to me, but would love to hear the other side of it and learn more.	
			Nicole: Kip, you can get in touch with Aaron in Sitka about that.	
			R: Would he be interested in talking to our AC about these?	
			NZ: I bet he would. Tim: DP, you ok to wait on this until we have more info? DP: Sounds good to me. Tim: KK, can you contact him about our next meeting? KK: Yes	

# Adjournment:

Minutes Recorded By: _	_Marie Rose_	12/3/21	
Minutes A	pproved By:		
	Date:		



## Upper Lynn Canal Advisory Committee (Haines & Skagway) Date: 12/16/21 Location of Meeting: ZOOM

- I. Call to Order: 5:05 PM by TM
- II. Roll Call

Members Present: Tim McDonough (TM) Derek Poinsette (DP) Stuart DeWitt (SDW) Kip Kermoian (KK) Jessica Plachta (JP) Marie Rose (MR) - (Secretary) Shannon Donahue (SD) Ryan Cook (RC) Luke Rauscher (LR)

Members Absent (Excused): Darren Belisle (DB)

- III. Fish and Game Staff Present: Rich Chapell
- IV. Guests Present: Rhea, Groundfish ADFG
- V. Approval of Agenda
  - a. TM approves
- VI. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes
  - a. TM approves

### VII. Old Business

Kip letters to commend contributions by ADFG wildlife biologists and Haines Huts:

TM: Any opposition to these letters?

SD: Why are we writing a letter of praise? I don't really care to support. DP: I think those guys do a great job. They don't have an easy job and they are aware of the criticisms, but they pick up their phones and are there to check in on bear action. They go far above any others that I've worked with, even if we don't agree with everything they do. RC: I still want to see a moose survey. They haven't had one in 3 years. JP: I appreciate that Kip wrote the letters and I support them.



TM: I support them. People who do good work in the public are hung out to dry a lot of times. All three of them have worked hard and the info they want, you can get if you want. Moose survey, I'm sure there are reasons. RC: Excuses... TM: That's the way it is. RC: Feel strongly about no moose surveys TM: Any discussion to letter on huts? SD: We already voted on this at previous meeting, letter is in line with that. I support. SD: Where are they moving hut? KK: Go left at Chili Ridge, Kentucky. That's the plan for relocation. SD: How is the move costing them so much money? KK: The only funds we are supporting request for is \$800 for amendment of application at new site. SD: And there's no way this location will result in hunting regulations? Is it in the eagle preserve? KK: No. SD: I know they were moving it further north KK: Actually further south than original site TM: Hearing this, let's go ahead and vote. Will ask for vote first for letter

to governor and commissioner.

LETTER TO GOVERNOR AND COMMISIONER: 6 support, 3 oppose. Motion passes to send letter.

TM: All in favor for sending letter to RMGF?

LETTER TO RMGF: 8 support, 1 abstention. Motion passes to send letter.

TM: Should we send physical letter or email? SD: I think email is fine. DP: I suggest CCing their boss too.

#### VIII. New Business

SD: Disappointed that ADFG has no public comments on king salmon action. TM: Is there action you think the AC should take to address it?

SD: I don't know what to say besides that we are disappointed that the info was not made available. It's kind of a big deal. King salmon are a stock of concern. Taku almost a stock of concern. We could come off the books as stock of concern. That should be public knowledge and right out there front and center, not an action plan that is a year old.

TM: This will be discussion at BOG?



SD: It will be a huge discussion. Managers are hoping it comes off the books. Weird that updated data (that is available) is not front and center in the midst of the action plan.

RC: Dept chose to ignore it in work session.

SD: I guess the only thing we could do is write letter to commissioner that we are disappointed this data is not out in action plan for board of fish that impacts stocks of concern.

RC: And that action plan that was approved in 2018 states that if stock of concern meets escapement for 3 years, it is no longer stock of concern. But now it's just a recommendation? That's the politics of fishing.

TM: So would you like to make motion to write letter to commissioner? SD: Ok, I'll write letter. I'll make the motion stating our disappointment to the department for not making data readily available for new action plan. RC: Second

TM: All in favor to write letter?

#### 9 VOTES TO SUPPORT SD TO WRITE LETTER TO COMMISSIONER.

IX. Set next meeting date: January 17<sup>th</sup> @ 5pm (tentative)

TM: Propose two new meeting dates. One for ADFG reports and one for elections. Jan 17<sup>th</sup> (Monday) for elections?

SD: Have we decided how we will do elections?

TM: Still up in the air. Might wait, with new variant, to see what people want to do as it approaches.

SD: Jan 17<sup>th</sup> is MLK weekend.

Rich: I'll be available.

SD: Out of town but returning 29<sup>th</sup> of Jan. Can do it on zoom.

TM: Getting the sense that folks would be OK with zoom. Board has done elections on zoom—it can happen.

SD: Do you think people like Rob Marten are going to get on zoom to vote?

LR: At one point, years ago, we voted via phone. There are work arounds.

TM: Don't need to decide now, but we need a date.

LR: We should probably determine how to vote though.

TM: Let's tentatively plan for Jan 17<sup>th</sup> and reach out for board support.

SD: Could it be both zoom and in-person to accommodate for both? TM: It's possible, will look into it.

#### X. Adjourn



<b>\</b> /I	
X I	
<b>/</b> \1	٠

Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
the remainin For example,	g members a vote tall	at a meetin y of 7-6-2 m	hen abstentions occur, the action or decision of a majority of ng at which a quorum is present is an act of the committee. neans the motion carries. Members abstaining from voting s included in the committee record.
<u>80</u>	-		ddress payback provisions when the State of Alaska king salmon 's annual king salmon all-gear harvest ceiling, as follows:
SUPPORT	10	0	TM: motion to approve, passes unanimously Rationale: It's important to have the opportunity to further discuss whether how harvest ceiling overages should be assigned: to the fishery or fisheries that exceeded annual allocation.
<u>81</u>		-	gear-allocation king salmon remaining after September 1 to the y, as follows:



NO ACTION	MR: For gillnetters, do you catch many king salmon after
	Sept 1?
	SD: No
	DP: Issues with treaty fish down south
	KK: Have you looked into Chilkat fish many caught south of
	Skagway?
	TM: No info on that
	SD: Many caught in troll areas
	Rich: At one point, 50% kings were caught in upper Lynn
	Canal by sport or drift gillnet fleet, or by sport anglers in
	inside waters of northern southeast or by troll fleet. Because
	of conservation measures, this has been greatly reduced the
	last few years.
	KK: Rich, after multiple years of not catching amt of
	allocation, what happens?
	Rich: Way treaty is now, if harvest underneath quota, you
	miss out and don't get "paid back" in future years.
	JP: Rich, what do you suppose happens when in relative
	abundance If those fish don't get caught, would it
	contribute to better returns in the future?
	Rich: If those fish aren't caught this year, my guess would be
	they would remain in ocean for another year.
	DP: Do you know if many Chilkat fish caught after Sept 1? Is
	that data collected?
	Rich: 1 tagged fish collected last year. Small stock, don't
	make much of an appearance in any fishery.
	Nicole: Chilkat fish are inside waters, if we're talking about
	outside waters, not make Chilkat fish out there.
	Nicole pulls up Chilkat River Salmon Marine Harvest graph.
	Rich: Harvest has been low last few years based on
	conservation measures.
	RC and DP support opposing, MR motion to support, SD
	second, TM in favor. Not enough in favor. AC supports NO
	ACTION.
<u>82</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to align with the provisions of
	the 2019–2028 Pacific Salmon Treaty annex, as follows:
NO ACTION	
<u>83</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for an average
	sport harvest of 20% of the sport/troll allocation with commensurate regulations
	addressing sport fishery overages in the commercial troll fishery, as follows:
<u>84</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to ensure no closure of the
	resident king salmon fishery due to allocation concerns, as follows:



Proposal       F         Number       I         Support/       I         Support as       S         amended/       Oppose/N         o Action       I         NO ACTION       I         85       I         NO ACTION       I         NO ACTION       I	Proposal C Number Support Amend the priority by i follows: Amend the	Janu Description Number Oppose Southeast A mplementin	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
NumberSupport/ISupport asSamended/Oppose/No ActionINO ACTIONI85INO ACTIONINO ACTIONI	Number Support Amend the priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	Description Number Oppose Southeast A mplementin	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
NumberSupport/ISupport asSamended/Oppose/No ActionINO ACTION85854NO ACTIONI	Number Support Amend the priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	Number Oppose Southeast A mplementin	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
Support/ISupport as amended/Samended/Oppose/No ActionINO ACTIONS85A6fNO ACTIONI	Support Amend the priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	<b>Oppose</b> Southeast A mplementin	Amendments Amendments Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a resident and closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
Support as     Support as       amended/       Oppose/N       o Action       NO ACTION       85       6       NO ACTION	Support Amend the priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	<b>Oppose</b> Southeast A mplementin	Amendments Amendments Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a resident and closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
amended/ Oppose/N o Action NO ACTION 85 f NO ACTION	Amend the priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	Southeast A mplementin	laska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a resident ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
Oppose/No ActionNO ACTION85461NO ACTION	priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	mplementin	ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
o Action NO ACTION 85 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	mplementin	ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
NO ACTION 85 4 5 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	mplementin	ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
85 F NO ACTION	priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	mplementin	ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
NO ACTION	priority by i follows: Amend the priority by i	mplementin	ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
	priority by i	Southeast A	
	priority by i	Southeast A	Jacks King Column. Management Dise to use a few a use ident
۲	IOHOWS:	mplementin	Naska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a resident ng closed periods and reducing bag limits for nonresidents, as
NO ACTION			
		-	es to management of commercial troll and sport fisheries for king aska, as follows:
NO ACTION			
ā	allocation b	etween 16 a	laska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a sliding sport and 24 percent with commensurate commercial troll fishery under commercial regulation, as follows:
	0	10	RC: motion to oppose, SD second, all opposed
			Rationale (RC): Pacific Salmon Treaty Commission recently came up with new way to determent CPU, this (proposal) seems like a personal opinion.
ā	all of the Sc		ditional fishing lines during periods of king salmon nonretention in sutat area if there is more than one CFEC power troll permit holder follows:.
OPPOSE	2	8	SD: vote to oppose, 8 votes to oppose, 2 to support.
			DP: I suggest take no action. SD: I support taking action. There are a lot of small king salmon out there. Not a good strategy for FG.
			Rationale (SD): there are 3 new stocks of concern and want to prevent catching more king salmon and having to release them.
		ger to from CPUE tier, a	an annual abundance index (AI) number to a District 13 early-winter s follows:
NO ACTION			



Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Janu Description	ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
<u>91</u>	Reallocate fisheries, a		roll harvest allocation between the winter, spring and summer troll
NO ACTION			
<u>92</u>		ntion of king rcial trollers,	salmon greater than 26 inches in hatchery terminal harvest areas as follows:
SUPPORT	6	4	MR make motion to support, 6 vote to support, 4 oppose. Rationale for (MR): Make it more fair for trollers to harvest hatchery salmon in those areas.
			Rationale against (SD): There's potential for catching wild stock salmon as well.
<u>93</u>			Alaska King Salmon Management Plan by reducing the maximum it to three king salmon, as follows:
SUPPORT	5	2	SD makes motion to support, motion passes. Rationale (SD): Will minimize stock of concern for king salmon. 3 abstentions for lack of information.
<u>94</u>	priority by		Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to manage for a resident ng specific closed periods and reducing annual limits for rs:
NO ACTION			
<u>95</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska King Salmon Management Plan to provide for inseason liberalization of management measures when the sport fish allocation will not be met, as follows:		
NO ACTION			
<u>96</u>	Expand wa follows:	ters of Herri	ng Bay Terminal Harvest Area open to commercial troll fishing, as
NO ACTION			
<u>97</u>	commercia	l troll gear ir	to commercial purse seine and drift gillnet gear but open to n the Anita Bay Terminal Harvest Area when spring troll areas in sed, as follows:



Alas	ska Roar	d of Fish	neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals	
1110.	SKa Duai		ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK	
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description		
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments	
OPPOSE	0	6	Rationale (RC): Trollers already getting fishing time, no reason to not give other gear groups opportunity to fish those areas as well. 4 abstentions for lack of information.	
<u>98</u>		e ratio of drif larvest Area,	ft gillnet to purse seine openings from 2:1 to 1:2 in the Anita Bay as follows:	
NO ACTION				
<u>99</u>		gear rotatio larvest area,	n between purse seine and troll gear in the Southeast Cove as follows:	
NO ACTION				
<u>100</u>			ar from allowed gear to participate in the Southeast Cove THA eries, as follows:	
OPPOSE	0	10	RC proposes to oppose, all opposed Rationale: Support Gillnet to be a useable gear group in this area.	
<u>101</u>	•	-	lan to further consider potential effect of hatchery-produced almon, as follows:	
<u>102</u>		e ratio of drif larvest Area,	ft gillnet to purse seine openings from 2:1 to 1:2 in the Deep Inlet as follows:	
OPPOSE	0	10	SD proposes to oppose Rationale (SD): Only opportunity to gillnet fleet has for NNSRA fish that they pay 3% into.	
<u>103</u>			tion guidelines to further consider potential effect of hatchery- ild-stock salmon and wild-stock salmon management, as follows:	
104	Create a m	anagement	plan for hatchery returns to Burnett Inlet, as follows:	
<u>+0</u> +	create a management plan for natchery returns to burnett inlet, as follows.			



Alas	ska Boar		heries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
SUPPORT MOTION TO RECONSIDE R	10	0	Rationale (RC): New terminal harvest area needs management. 12/16 DP Make motion to oppose. ADFG comments oppose this proposal. KK: The copy I got does not line up the page numbers correctly.
MOTION TO OPPOSE	6	1	<ul> <li>DP: Page 56</li> <li>DP: I'll make a motion to reconsider.</li> <li>SD: Did FG support parts of it?</li> <li>DP: No, they opposed the proposal as a whole.</li> <li>KK: Derek, you made a motion to reconsider this? I'll second.</li> <li>JP: Also seconds</li> <li>TM: We need to vote to reconsider, then Derek can make a motion to oppose.</li> <li>7 votes to reconsider, passes unanimously.</li> <li>DP: I propose to oppose to support FG's findings for opposing this.</li> <li>KK: Second</li> <li>Motion carries, 6 to oppose, 1 to not oppose</li> <li>Rationale to oppose: To support Fish and Game's comments that the presence of wild stocks in area would result in congestion and disorderly fishery.</li> </ul>
<u>105</u>	Create a m	anagement	plan for hatchery returns to Port Saint Nicholas, as follows:
<u>106</u>	-		he Port Saint Nicholas Special Harvest Area and allow use of drift covery operations, as follows:
<u>107</u>	Create a m	anagement	plan for hatchery returns to Port Asumcion, as follows:
<u>108</u>	Create a sp	ecial harves	t area for Port Asumcion, as follows:
<u>109</u>	Establish a	l hatchery sp	ecial harvest area in Carroll Inlet, as follows:



Alas	ska Boar	d of Fisł	neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
Duarteral	Duanter	,	ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Description	l
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
o Action			
	Denvinens		
<u>110</u>			recovery of lost drift gillnet gear, as follows:
SUPPORT <u>111</u>	-		DP makes motion to support, KK 2 <sup>nd</sup> motion. LR: Is there data on lost nets? NZ: No SD: No SD: Can't support it, lost gear happens in every gear type. DP: Like that this came from another AC, open to amending it to include other gear types. SD: Proposes to amend to include reporting lost gear from all commercial gillnet, sein, troll gear, longline fisheries. Rationale: Would be helpful to collect data on lost nets. The AC also discussed amending this proposal to include other gear types. drift gillnet mesh size during periods established by emergency 6 and one-eight inches, as follows:
<u>112</u>			at authority to allow drift gillnets of up to 90 meshes in depth to be drift gillnet fishery beginning in SW 34, as follows:
<u>113</u>	inches to a	range of five	e and one-quarter to 6 inches and define dates in Districts 6, 8 and will be implemented, as follows:
<u>114</u>	Allow the u	ı ıse of fishing I	rods in conjunction with downriggers by hand trollers, as follows:
<u>115</u>	Modify the	l start date o	 If the winter troll fishery, as follows:



Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
Proposal Number	Proposal I	Janu Description	ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
<u>116</u>		emed too ir	ng salmon caught during periods of nonretention to be retained if njured to be released and set price at one dollar for selling retained
<u>117</u>			f two additional fishing lines in designated chum troll fishing areas per, as follows:
<u>118</u>	Modify the	boundaries	of Districts 6 and 8 in Sumner Strait, as follows:
<u>119</u>		ew section in ent Plan, as f	District 6 and reimplement the Section 6-D Pink Salmon follows:
<u>120</u>	Remove Se follows:	ction 6-D clo	bsure to fishing with drift gillnet gear during the month of August, as
<u>121</u>	Establish w follows:	aters closed	to commercial drift gillnet fishing in and around Coffman Cove, as
<u>122</u>			 ine salmon fishery management plans Remove sunset date so ffect, as follows:
OPPOSE	0	10	Rationale: We supported proposal 124. This proposal contradicts 124.
<u>123</u>			e so regulation remains in effect and change effective end date of July 15, as follows:



Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
OPPOSE	0	10	RC: I make a motion to oppose. RC: Would be hard on management if they lost their cap on July 15 <sup>th</sup> instead of July 31? NZ: Hard to say, we manage for escapement goals, so it depends on what happens. SD: You'd be managing less. NZ: Hard to say. Rationale: We supported proposal 124. This proposal contradicts 124.
<u>124</u>		-	delines for the department to manage the District 12 purse seine Aarsden, as follows:
SUPPORT	10	0	<ul> <li>RC: Motion to support, all in favor</li> <li>TM: I assume seiners wouldn't like this?</li> <li>SD: Yes. They catch a lot of sockeyes there and if they let that date go to the 15<sup>th</sup>, the fish (sockeye) will no longer be there.</li> <li>SD: It's baffling to me that BOG best interest is not in wild salmon vs hatchery salmon.</li> <li>Nicole: What I can provide is that they can determine what percentage is hatchery vs what is left wild.</li> <li>Rationale (RC): Our northern Lynn Canal stocks have been returning later and later. By moving the date back to where it was would help protect salmon and meet escapement goals.</li> <li>12/15:</li> <li>RC: Not sure how ADFG lumped 122, 123, 124 together SD: Not sure there's much more we can do with these.</li> </ul>
<u>276</u>			of salmon during periods of commercial nonretention when the a is open for that species, as follows:



Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support/ Support as amended/	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
Oppose/N o Action			
<u>125</u>	Clarify lang	uage for sub	bsistence take of coho and king salmon, as follows:
<u>126</u>	Repeal net	tending req	uirement in Yakutat Bay, as follows:
<u>127</u>	Repeal net	tending req	uirement in Yakutat Bay, as follows:
<u>128</u>	Allow use of follows:	of set gillnets	s in all Southeast Alaska area subsistence salmon fisheries, as



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal Description		
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Number Support Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments	
		<ul> <li>JP: I'd like to discuss this. Relevent to Chilkat River. ADFG is neutral on this. Would like to know what people thing about this.</li> <li>DP: I think this does not impact Chilkat since it is already regulated.</li> <li>SD: I think you're right (Derek)</li> <li>JP: Appreciate the clarification, don't feel the need to vote if it doesn't impact us.</li> <li>KK: It doesn't specify if it's limited to fresh water or salt water. I wonder what this implies.</li> <li>TM: Wonder if it would be appropriate to vote to oppose since it is unclear.</li> <li>KK: Set nets in salt water. What are the ramifications of that? Maybe these days it would not be an issue? I don't know.</li> <li>DP: Always wondered about set net around glacier point.</li> <li>Anyone know someone on the subsistence regional advisory council?</li> <li>KK: I don't</li> <li>DP: I don't think I'd want to oppose this.</li> <li>KK: I think a lot of us that sub fish get out nets as close to the shore as possible.</li> <li>SD: But you're drifting, you're not anchored.</li> <li>TM: Anyone want to vote? Or let's let the board decide and move on.</li> </ul>	
<u>129</u>	Modify closed waters a follows:	and remove coho salmon annual limit for the Klawock River, as	
<u>130</u>	Modify fishing times an Lake, as follows:	nd locations for subsistence salmon fishery in the Klawock River and	
<u>131</u>	Modify fishing area and subsistence salmon fis	d add hand purse seine as legal gear for the Redoubt Bay and Lake hery, as follows:	



Alas	ska Boar		heries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK Proposal Proposal Description			
Number	Proposal Description		
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
<u>132</u>	Prohibit the August 1, a	•	ars in Redoubt Bay and Lake subsistence fishery from June 21 to
<u>133</u>	Allow the use of seine and gillnet gear in the waters of Redoubt Bay that are open to commercial salmon fishing, as follows:		
<u>134</u>	Prohibit obstructing more than half of the stream, creek, or river when personal use fishing, as follows:		
<u>135</u>	Allow permits to be issued for the personal use taking of king and coho salmon, as follows:		
<u>136</u>	Include commercial harvested salmon to fish that may not be possessed on the same day sport or personal use salmon are taken, as follows:		
<u>137</u>	Prohibit personal use proxy permits at Sweetheart Creek, as follows:		
<u>138</u>	Create saln follows:	non persona	I use fisheries in marine waters of the Juneau Management Area, as





	lza Roar	d of Fick	parios: Southaast and Valutat Proposals
Alds	ska Dual		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
Proposal	January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK pposal Proposal Description		
Number			
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
o Action			
			TM: Sounds like the board thinks we shouldn't get involved, but its on the table, let's vote. JP: I'd like to propose we vote to take no action
			2 votes to oppose 138 and 139, 7 abstentions, board takes no action
			Rationale: This proposal is not relevant to the Upper Lynn Canal AC and the AC does not feel comfortable supporting this without more information.
<u>139</u>	Modify where personal use fishing can occur in the Taku River to include all of Section 11-B and remove dates when the fishery can occur, as follows:		
NO ACTION	7 abstenti ons	2	Rationale: This proposal is not relevant to the Upper Lynn Canal AC and the AC does not feel comfortable supporting this without more information.
<u>140</u>			ersonal use salmon fishing area when the area is closed to the tishery, as follows:
<u>141</u>	Add section 11-B as a personal use salmon fishing area when the area is closed to the commercial drift gillnet fishery, as follows:		
<u>142</u>	Establish bag and possession limits and lawful gear for smelt fishing in the Ketchikan area, as follows:		
<u>143</u>	Require inseason reporting of nonresident sport fish harvest, as follows:		
SUPPORT	8	0	Rationale: Support to collect more data on non-resident sport catch.
			More discussion under 144.
<u>144</u>	Establish a logbook program for rental vessels used in Southeast Alaska sport fisheries, as follows:		



			CERTAIN CERTAIN
SUPPORT	8	0	RC: I'd like to look at 144.
			SD: We could lump this in with 143.
			RC: Good to know what people are catching.
			SD: I think we should support 277 as well. Right now non
			residents can rent a boat nonguided and catch twice as much
			halibut as they could on a guided boat. Makes it harder to
			manage and collect data on how much fish is caught.
			DP: Dept comments on 277. They manage sport halibut right?
			Rich: No, managed by feds. Bag limits determined and
			allocation determined by them. 277 just for halibut. 144 is
			about rental vessels and log books for all species, mostly
			halibut. 143 proposes log book for all species for non
			residents.
			KK: Is there any way to quantify what is bring caught on
			rented boats?
			Rich: Not for halibut, but we have data for king salmon. 15%
			king salmon harvest caught unguided non residents. Biggest
			group is charter boats that are non residents. 80% allocated
			to commercial, 20% allocated to sport.
			TM: I suggest we don't deal with 277 but support 143 and
			144.
			SD: Will ADGF just throw 277 out if they can't regulate halibut?
			Rich: Anyone can make any proposal. Dept comment is that
			we have no management authority on halibut.
			TM: Back to suggestion to support 143 and 144.
			KK: Question on 143: Do we just assume most of 20% is
			caught by charter/sport, even if we don't know exactly what
			they are harvesting?
			Rich: In season, charter operators have to keep log books of
			fish caught and released and also have a survey program.
			Interview sport anglers about their catch, including biological
			characteristics of the catch. Statewide harvest season also
			goes out to non resident and residents.
			SD: No one is going to admit that they caught over the limit
			Rich: We assume 16% mortality on catch and release.
			KK: What % of non-resident anglers are using lodges?
			Rich: Our goal to sample 20% of king salmon harvest,
			whether in JNU docks or lodges in Elfin Cove area, all over
			southeast, wherever significant catch of king salmon.
			RC: What % of mail out surveys come back?
			Rich: Would have to dig around for that. They get back
			enough to make a statistically significant % of total harvest.



A 1		1 ( 1	
Alas	ska Boar		heries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
			1ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
			TM: Back to suggestion to support. Any more discussion? 7 to support, 1 out
			Supports unanimously
			Rationale: Support to collect more data on non-resident sport catch.
<u>145</u>			bag, possession, and annual limits for coho and sockeye salmon in ers of the Southeast Alaska Area, as follows:
<u>146</u>	Establish nonresident bag and possession limits for coho, sockeye, chum, and pink salmon in salt waters of the Southeast Alaska Area, as follows:		
<u>147</u>	Establish nonresident bag and possession limits for coho salmon in the fresh waters east o the longitude of Cape Fairweather, as follows:		
<u>148</u>	Establish nonresident bag and possession limits for sockeye, chum, and pink salmon in fresh waters of the Southeast Alaska Area, as follows:		
<u>149</u>	Reduce sal follows:	twater coho	salmon bag and possession limit in Puget Cove to two fish, as
<u>150</u>	Repeal rair	hbow trout s	ize limits in Crystal, Glacier, and Moraine lakes, as follows:
<u>151</u>	Prohibit gu	ided sport fi	ishing on the Salmon River near Gustavus, as follows:
<u>152</u>	Close sport	t fishing in a	section of 108 Creek, as follows:
<u>153</u>	Close sport	t fishing in a	section of Log Jam Creek, as follows:



Proposal Number	January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK Proposal Description			
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments	
<u>154</u>	Allow the use of bow and arrow in Southeast Alaska sport fisheries, as follows:			
<u>155</u>	Prohibit the removal of salmon from the water when nonretention regulations apply and prohibit the use of a multiple hook in Southeast Alaska sport fisheries, as follows:			
OPPOSE	0	9	<ul> <li>SD: Should be no catch and release king fishing anywhere. I support this.</li> <li>DP: I agree</li> <li>TM: This is for fresh water and salt water?</li> <li>SD: When nonretention regulations apply</li> <li>DP: I'm not sure I see it written that way.</li> <li>TM: Book says "and".</li> <li>DP: Support banning them in nonretention areas, but not everywhere.</li> <li>SD: Agree. Sucks that they put both of those things together</li> <li>They are both good things, but not together.</li> <li>TM: Guessing we are dropping supporting this? Anyone want to oppose?</li> <li>LR: I'll oppose.</li> <li>DP: Good ideas, but not functional.</li> <li>Rationale: Do not support the outright ban of treble (multiple) hooks.</li> </ul>	
<u>277</u>	Align bag limits for non-resident unguided halibut harvest from rental vessels in Southeas Alaska with NOAA bag limits for guided anglers in Halibut Management Area 2C, as follow			

			and the second se
SUPPORT	8	1	DP: Based on my research, 156- 157 are complicated, but middle in the road in comparison to closures. Their biologist is trying to come up with compromises. I make a motion to support all three.
			SD 2 <sup>nd</sup> .
			KK: Why does department have more aggressive approach towards this? What's going on with that? This (proposal) makes sense to me, but would love to hear the other side of it and learn more.
			Nicole: Kip, you can get in touch with Aaron in Sitka about that.
			R: Would he be interested in talking to our AC about these?
			NZ: I bet he would. Tim: DP, you ok to wait on this until we have more info? DP: Sounds good to me. Tim: KK, can you contact him about our next meeting? KK: Yes
		12/15: DP: Make motion to support. I watched Sitka AC videos from board support and it was enlightening. Sitka tribe has spent a lot of time working on this. ADFG neutral on this and oppose 157 and 158. Concern fishery is being overfished, proposals to close whole fishery for 5 years. That didn't fly at BOF and ADFG. This was a "meeting halfway" proposal to conserve the big, old fish that come in the run. Fishermen often target more than is sustainable. Will lower overall catch by using new metric. ADGF argues that it's complex and they don't have the resources to do age sampling; not a good excuse. It's obvious that herring are suffering and it's a problem. This is a good effort to solving it; comes from tribe which lends extra weight as well. SD: This seems more political than a debate between user	
			<ul> <li>SD: This seems more political than a debate between user groups, but I don't live there, so I don't know. That's just my thought on it.</li> <li>SD: I watched the presentations and got the jist of it. Seems like a good proposal in that it prevents shutting down fishery all together and takes reasonable measures. SD I might be</li> </ul>

AC09 36 of 49


Ala	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
			<ul> <li>wrong but I think 159 might be more about closing specific locations. I support 156.</li> <li>SD: I forgot to say I also support it so that if we do hope to restore herring in SE at some point, it's important to have a healthy population.</li> <li>KK: SD when you mention it being political, herring is important to the entire system, to all species. It's more than politics and that was mentioned by proponents of this proposal too.</li> <li>TM: Makes motion to support 156.</li> <li>8 support, 1 oppose</li> <li>Rationale: This is a middle of the road approach to protecting herring without closing the fishery entirely by lowering overall catch with the use of a new metric.</li> </ul>
<u>157</u>	Modify harvest rate for Sitka Sound commercial sac roe herring fishery based on forecasted age structure, as follows:		
SUPPORT	7	1	<ul> <li>DP: Motion to support</li> <li>TM: How different is it from 156?</li> <li>DP: It's complicated. 156 reduces GHL, 157 and 158 protect older age classes. That's the gist of it.</li> <li>KK: Second motion</li> <li>Rationale: This proposal supports increased protections for older age class herring.</li> <li>7 support, 1 no, 1 abstention</li> </ul>
<u>158</u>	Incorporate forecasted age structure into Sitka Sound commercial sac roe herring fishery spawning biomass threshold, as follows:		
SUPPORT	7	1	DP: Motion to support 7 support, 1 no, 1 abstention Rationale: This proposal supports increased protections for older age class herring.



Proposal	Proposal I	Description	uary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK I
Number	Number	Number	Comments Discussion (pros & cons) Voting Notes
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Support	Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
<u>159</u>		regulation d, as follows	related to management of the commercial sac roe herring fishery in : 
<u>160</u>	Reduce clo	sed waters i	n the Sitka Sound commercial sac roe herring fishery, as follows:
OPPOSE	0	8	DP: I think we could easily oppose this. I don't think it stands a chance. TM: Want to oppose it? DP: I'll oppose SD: Second Rationale: This contradicts the waters being closed to preserve herring.
<u>161</u>	8 oppose, 1 abstention           Require a subsistence fishing permit to harvest herring roe on branches in the Sitka Sound area, as follows:		
OPPOSE	0	8	SD: No one is going to abide by this. People who have been doing this for 50 years the people proposing this are trying to give them grief. I want to vote to oppose. DP: I second this TM: Any other discussion? Not hearing anything. 8 oppose, 1 abstention Rationale: There's only a certain number of people who can
			access this fishery. This would limit the ability for people to access it.
<u>162</u>	Increase the possession limit for subsistence spawn-on-kelp harvest, as follows:		
<u>163</u>	Establish e	qual share q	uotas for the Sitka sac roe purse seine fishery, as follows:



Proposal	Proposal	Description	uary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK		
Number		1			
Support/	Number	Number	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes,		
Support as	Support	Oppose	Amendments		
amended/ Oppose/N					
o Action					
<u>164</u>		l qual share q	uotas for the Sitka Sound sac roe herring purse seine fishery, as		
	follows:		Ι		
165	Allow unha	arvested Sitk	a sac roe quota to be harvested for food and bait by herring sac roe		
105			ders, as follows:		
OPPOSE	0	9	DP: I think we should oppose this, too.		
			Rationale: There are already opportunities for winter bait		
			and we shouldn't rely on sac roe.		
<u>166</u>	Create an open pound herring spawn on kelp fishery in Sitka Sound, as follows:				
<u>167</u>	Redefine the boundaries of the Hoonah Sound spawn-on-kelp fishery (13-C) and the Sitka sac roe fishery (13-A/B), as follows:				
<u>168</u>	Repeal con	nmercial set	gillnet sac roe herring fisheries in Section 1-F, as follows:		
<u>169</u>	Repeal con	nmercial set	gillnet sac roe herring fisheries in Sections 1-E and 1-F, as follows:		
122	Remove di	stricts 13-A :	and 13-B from Northern Southeast herring spawn on kelp pound		
<u>233</u>			area, as follows:		
170	Establish a	positive cus	tomary and traditional use finding for shellfish and plants for all		
		•	heast Alaska and Yakutat, as follows:		
<u>171</u>	Change the	e start of the	e pot shrimp season from October to after March, as follows:		
		1			

AC09 40 of 49



Alas	ska Boar		heries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
Proposal Number	Proposal	Janu Description	uary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK I
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
			Rationale: Shift fishery so the shrimp are not holding eggs. BC has had successful fishery by fishing in the spring.
<u>173</u>	Repeal rair	nbow trout s	ize limits in Crystal, Glacier, and Moraine lakes, as follows:
SUPPORT	8	0	Rationale: Shift fishery so the shrimp are not holding eggs. BC has had successful fishery by fishing in the spring. This proposal would provide six days for springtime fishing for subsistence users without competing with commercial. SD: Subsistence fishing isn't supposed to be easy. KK: Why didn't you comment on sport or commercial? SD: None of it should be easy. DP: It's not about being easy or hard it's about being accessible. TM: 173 would have same rationale as 172. It would also have rationale about subsistence fishing. SD: If you want to make a big deal about 6 days, that's fine. We can move on. TM: Ok.
<u>174</u>	•	e pot shrimp nmer season	season in Districts 2 and 6 from a fall/winter season to , as follows:
<u>175</u>	Limit the n	umber of sh	rimp pots that may be deployed on a longline to 10, as follows:
<u>176</u>	Reduce the	e number of	shrimp pots that a vessel may fish, as follows:



Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
Proposal Number	January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK Proposal Description		
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments
OPPOSE	0	7	<ul> <li>SD: If quota is what it is, why fish with less pots? Going to catch the same, but have to fish longer. Less efficient, burn more fuel, use more bait. Proposes opposing.</li> <li>TM: Any discussion?</li> <li>7 oppose, 2 abstentions Rationale: This proposal doesn't impact quota, only prolongs fishing time as well as results in more use of fuel and bait.</li></ul>
<u>177</u>	Establish cl	osed waters	in the Hydaburg area of Section 3-A, as follows:
<u>178</u>	Expand wa	ters closed t	o commercial pot shrimp fishery in Kasaan Bay, as follows:
<u>179</u>	Expand wa	ters closed t	o commercial pot shrimp fishery in Twelve-Mile Arm, as follows:
<u>180</u>	Repeal obs	erver covera	age requirement, as follows:
<u>181</u>			ripe beam trawl fishery in District 8 for remainder of November- the directed shrimp beam trawl fishery has closed, as follows:
<u>182</u>	Divide the follows:	District 15 G	HR into two fishing areas with distinct GHRs for the new areas, as



A 1			howing Couth cost and Valantat During 1
Alas	ska Boar		heries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals
		,	ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description	1
Support/	Number	Number	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes,
Support as	Support	Oppose	Amendments
amended/			
Oppose/N			
o Action			
SUPPORT	8	0	TM: I'd like to vote to support 182.
			SD: Second. I think as long as they leave it at 20,000#, it's
			great. There's piles of shrimp, especially in that area. I catch
			them in my dungy pots even. 3,500# was what they had it at
			this year; I think that's a joke.
			TM: Any more discussion?
			8 votes to support.
			Rationale: It better manages the shrimp fishery by helping to
			split up where the vast majority of shrimp currently are and
			allow other areas to be open.
<u>183</u>	Establish tunnel eye size requirements for ridged mesh shrimp pots in the personal use and sport fisheries, as follows:		
<u>184</u>	Clarify the	practice of l	ong-lining shrimp pots in the sport fishery, as follows:
<u>185</u>	Allow the u	use of artific	ial lights as an attractant when taking squid., as follows:
<u>186</u>	follow the t	ake of squid	I with hook and line gear with an unlimited number of hooks, as
187	Allow the c	enartment	to modify weekly fishing periods by emergency order during the
<u>107</u>		•	d New Year's Day, as follows:
188	Change the	e start of the	e sea cucumber fishery from October 1 to the first Monday or
	Tuesday of	October, as	s follows:
<u>189</u>	Allow the d	department	to increase the number of divers allowed to fish from a vessel from
		•	ncy order, as follows:



Proposal Number	January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK Proposal Description
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	NumberNumberComments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes,SupportOpposeAmendments
<u>190</u>	Amend the Red King Crab Management Plan to include trip limits and equal share quota when harvestable surplus is below threshold, as follows:
<u>191</u>	Amend the Southeast Alaska Red King Crab Management Plan to base harvestable surplu on historical fishery performance information when surveys are not available, as follows
<u>192</u>	Establish minimum guideline harvest level and guidance on inseason adjustment of guideline harvest levels in the Southeast Alaska golden king crab fishery, as follows:
<u>193</u>	Extend northern boundary of the Southern management area, as follows:
<u>194</u>	Remove Glacier Bay from the list of blue king crab fishing areas within Registration Area as follows:
<u>195</u>	Extend Tanner crab fishing season in exploratory areas, as follows:
<u>196</u>	Reduce the commercial golden king crab pot limit in waters of Registration Area A from 100 pots per vessel to 80 pots per vessel, as follows:
<u>197</u>	Modify Tanner crab harvest strategy definition of core, non-core, and exploratory areas, follows:
<u>198</u>	Establish fixed start date for the Registration Area A commercial Tanner crab fishery, as follows:
<u>199</u>	Allow operation of personal use, subsistence, or sport Dungeness crab and shrimp pot ge during the commercial king or Tanner crab fishery, as follows:
200	Close the Dungeness crab commercial and nonresident sport fisheries in the vicinity of Klawock, as follows:



A 1					
Alas	ska Boar		neries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals		
		,	ary 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK		
Proposal	Proposal I	Description			
Number					
Support/	Number	Number	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes,		
Support as	Support	Oppose	Amendments		
amended/					
Oppose/N					
o Action					
201	Expand clo	sed water bo	oundary lines for the Dungeness crab commercial fishery in the Sitka		
	Sound Spee	cial Use Area	a during the summer season, as follows:		
202	Reduce wa	ters closed t	o Dungeness crab commercial fishing in Tenakee Inlet, as follows:		
202	Deveelate				
<u>203</u>	•	as follows:	or Dungeness crab commercial fishing in Merrifield Bay and Port		
204	Close the D	ungeness cr	ab sport fishery in the vicinity of Coffman Cove, as follows:		
		-			
205	Close wate	rs in Coffma	n Cove to commercial fishing for Dungeness crab, as follows:		
206	Close the D		rab sport fishery in the vicinity of Whale Pass, as follows:		
<u>206</u>	Close the L	ungeness ci	ab sport fishery in the vicinity of whate Pass, as follows.		
<u>207</u>	Close wate	rs in Whale	Pass to commercial fishing for Dungeness crab, as follows:		
208	Close wate	rs in Kasaan	Bay to commercial fishing for Dungeness crab, as follows:		
			Ι		
209	Reduce the	number of	crab pots allowed and the Dungeness crab bag limit for nonresident		
205		District 3, as			
<u>210</u>	Establish w follows:	aters closed	l to commercial fishing for Dungeness crab in Sukwaan Strait, as		
211	Repeal and follows:	amend Dun	ngeness crab fishing season in Sitka Sound Special Use Area, as		



Alas		heries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals			
Proposal		January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK Proposal Description			
Number					
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N	Number Number Support Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments			
o Action		and the first second second for the second sec			
212	Extend pot storage allo	owance after fishery closure, as follows:			
213	Extend pot storage allo	owance after fishery closure, as follows:			
214	Clarify that Dungeness	s crab pots are circular in shape, as follows:			
215	Align state waters sabl	lefish fishing season with federal sablefish fishing season, as follows:			
<u>216</u>	Extend sablefish fishin	g season to December 15, as follows:			
217	Adjust lingcod bycatch	allocations between groundfish and salmon fisheries, as follows:			
<u>218</u>	Establish registration r	requirements for the Pacific cod directed fishery, as follows:			
<u>219</u>	Clarify lawful gear for	rockfish retention, as follows:			
220	Allow pot gear in the N follows:	Northern Southeast Inside Subdistrict sablefish commercial fishery, as			
221		inside diameter of circular escape rings from four inches to three and h on pots used to take sablefish, as follows:			
222		l holders fishing for groundfish or halibut using hook-and-line, pot, or Gulf of Alaska Area to retain and land all rockfish, including has follows:			



Alas	ska Boar	d of Fisl	heries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals	
	January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK			
Proposal Number	Proposal	Description		
Support/ Support as	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments	
amended/	ouppoint.	••••••		
Oppose/N				
o Action				
			SD: Aren't all permit holders already required to retain rockfish? Oh, I guess that's longline. Does it include troll and everything else? Rhea: Currently, retention requirements are for full retention in federal waters. On outer coast, full retention for DSR and black rockfish. Fair amount of halibut fishing in that area. This would change that area the most to match and mirror fed regulations. This is not for trollers, just those fishing for halibut.	
223	Establish and clarify gear specifications of a groundfish pot for the subsistence and personal use sablefish fisheries, as follows:			
SUPPORT	8		JP: This seems easy to support after reading FG comments. It was proposed by ADFG. TM: Fish and game did support it. JP: Motion to support SD: I agree, limits number of pots so people can't get greedy. Never used them but I heard people get what they need easily in their pots. It's a good proposal. TM: Any other discussion? Passes unanimously Rationale: Agree with ADFG recommendation to protect the sablefish stock.	
224	Allow rod a	and reel as la	awful gear to harvest rockfish for personal use, as follows:	
225	Modify sablefish bag, possession, and nonresident annual limits based on sablefish abundance in NSEI and SSEI sections, as follows:			
226	Establish b	ag and poss	ession limit for slope rockfish, as follows:	
227	Reduce the rockfish, as		rockfish bag and possession limits and prohibit retention of yellow	



Alaska Board of Fisheries: Southeast and Yakutat Proposals January 4-15, 2022   Ketchikan, AK				
Proposal Number	Proposal	Proposal Description		
Support/ Support as amended/ Oppose/N o Action	Number Support	Number Oppose	Comments, Discussion (pros & cons), Voting Notes, Amendments	
<u>228</u>	Reduce the nonpelagic rockfish bag and possession limits and prohibit the retention of yelloweye rockfish by nonresidents in the SSEI Section, as follows:			
<u>229</u>	Establish lingcod bag, possession, size, and annual limits for nonresidents in the Central Southeast Outside Waters section, as follows:			
<u>230</u>	Amend the Demersal shelf rockfish delegation of authority and provisions for management to provide a resident priority, as follows:			
231	Amend harvest record recording requirements for lingcod, as follows:			



Minutes approved by AC Chair Tim McDonough on 12/20/2021 via email. - Annie Bartholomew, DFG Boards Support