

January 15, 2018

Dear Chairman Jensen and Board Members,

I question Proposals 98 and 99 as there are no biological reasons to reduce the harvest rate. The ADF & G has played the fishery on a very conservative note and have a good record going back many years. The biomass has increased from lows in the 1970's in the 5000 ton range to where we are today in the 55,000 ton range. We have sound management now so Proposals 98 and 99 would be unjustly harmful to the permit holders, crew, families and so on.

I oppose Proposals 105 and 106. We should have smaller closed areas or none as there is more than enough area to meet subsistence needs. It is more a matter of getting out and harvesting roe, which, to me, it appears there is a lack of interest, whether it be a change in diets or whatever it may be.

I support Proposals 94 and 104.

I oppose Proposals 95-98-98-99.

I oppose Proposals 105 and 106.

Thank you for your time in reading this letter and giving me the opportunity to comment.

Bill Menish