PROPOSAL 51 - 5 AAC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. Increase the minimum expected sockeye salmon harvest thresholds from 300,000 to 600,000 prior to July 8 and 600,000 to 1,000,000 fish after July 8, and from 600,000 to 1,000,000 fish in years when runs are as strong as expected, as follows:

The new regulation would read as follows:

5 AAC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. (a) In years when a harvestable surplus beyond escapement goals for the first (Black Lake) and second (Chignik Lake) runs of Chignik River system sockeye salmon is expected to be less than 1,000,000 (600,000), there will be no commercial salmon fishery allowed in the Cape Igvak Section, as described in 5 AAC 18.200(g)(8), until a harvest of 600,000 (300,000) sockeye salmon in the Chignik Area, as described in 5 AAC 15.100, is achieved. After July 8, after at least 600,000 (300,000) sockeye salmon have been harvested in the Chignik Area, and if escapement goals are being met, the department shall manage the fishery so that the number of sockeye salmon harvested in the Chignik Area will be at least 1,000,000 (600,000) and the harvest in the Cape Igvak Section will approach as near as possible 15 percent of the total Chignik sockeye salmon catch.

(b) In years when a harvestable surplus beyond escapement goals for the first and second runs of Chignik River system sockeye salmon is expected to be more than 1,000,000 (600,000), but the first run fails to develop as predicted and it is determined that a total sockeye salmon harvest in the Chignik Area of 1,000,000 (600,000) or more may not be achieved, the Cape Igvak Section commercial salmon fishery will be curtailed in order to allow at least a minimum harvest in the Chignik Area of 600,000 (300,000) sockeye salmon by July 9 if that number of fish are determined
to be surplus to the escapement goals of the Chignik River system. After July 8, after at least 600,000 (300,000) sockeye salmon have been harvested in the Chignik Area, and if escapement goals are being met, the department shall manage the fishery so that the number of sockeye salmon harvested in the Chignik Area will be at least 1,000,000 (600,000) and the harvest in the Cape Igvak Section will approach as near as possible 15 percent of the total Chignik sockeye salmon catch.

c) In years when a harvestable surplus beyond escapement goals for the first and second runs of Chignik River system sockeye salmon is expected to be more than 1,000,000 (600,000) and the department determines the runs are as strong as expected, the department will manage the fishery in such a manner whereby the number of sockeye salmon taken in the Cape Igvak Section will approach as near as possible 15 percent of the total Chignik sockeye salmon catch.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The argument made by the BOF in 1978 when they enacted the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan was that a 600,000 minimum sockeye harvest for Chignik was an adequate amount to “guarantee” Chignik fishermen, and that harvest amount should be assured prior to any opening of the Cape Igvak Section. In fact, the 15% allocation they settled on was justified by the 1978 BOF because the 600,000 Chignik sockeye harvest minimum was included in the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. While the argument can be made that a 600,000 minimum sockeye harvest assurance for Chignik was inadequate even in 1978, it is indisputable that a minimum Chignik sockeye harvest assurance of 600,000 today is woefully inadequate due to the dramatic change in economic conditions since the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan was adopted 38 years ago.

PROPOSED BY: Axel S. Kopun (EF-F16-052)

PROPOSAL 52 - 5 AAC 18.355. Reporting requirements. Require commercial salmon fishermen to register prior to fishing in the Cape Igvak Section and check out upon leaving the section, and require tender operators to report fish ticket harvest data within 12 hours of taking a delivery, as follows:

1. Require Igvak fishermen to register by phone or radio with the local ADF&G management staff prior to harvesting salmon in, and upon leaving, the Cape Igvak Section from June 1 – July 25; and / or

2. Require salmon tenders in the Cape Igvak Section to report commercial fish ticket harvest data to the local ADF&G staff within 12 hours after delivery from individual fishermen.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? An incentive to underreport sockeye salmon harvested in the Cape Igvak Section exists. With RSW now standard equipment in the seine fleet, the overall increase in vessel size of the seine fleet, the knowledge that the Cape Igvak fishery is regulated on the number of fish harvested, and concurrent fisheries taking place not limited by an allocation, there is ample opportunity and a strong economic enticement to misreport. The importance of accurate accounting of sockeye salmon harvested under the Cape Igvak Management Plan is clear. The Cape Igvak fishery is linked to the Chignik and the SEDM
fisheries. All three work under a joint allocation scheme, and therefore it is important that no one area or fishery take the liberty of not completely reporting harvest numbers. There is also importance in determining early run timing and magnitude for Chignik, as the department has stated on record in the past that, “early season management actions (in Chignik) rely heavily on commercial harvest information from the Eastern District and other outlying locations as this is often the best indication of sockeye run timing and magnitude.” Ensuring accurate catch numbers in the Cape Igvak Section would allow the department to better document effort and obtain accurate and timely fishery performance data, which is necessary for effective management of the Black Lake sockeye run during June. Further, tightening catch reporting standards in the Cape Igvak Section is consistent with the Sustainable Salmon Fisheries Policy for the State of Alaska: 5 AAC 39.222, Section 3 salmon management (i)“management should … incorporate procedures to assure effective monitoring, compliance, control, and enforcement.”

PROPOSED BY: Axel S. Kopun (EF-F16-054)

PROPOSAL 53 - 5 AAC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. Amend the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan so that the harvest allocation applies only prior to July 9, as follows:

5 AAC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. (a) In years when a harvestable surplus beyond escapement goals for the first (Black Lake) and second (Chignik Lake) runs of Chignik River system sockeye salmon is expected to be less than 600,000, there will be no commercial salmon fishery allowed in the Cape Igvak Section, as described in 5 AAC 18.200(g)(8), until a harvest of 300,000 sockeye salmon in the Chignik Area, as described in 5 AAC 15.100, is achieved. After July 8, after at least 300,000 sockeye salmon have been harvested in the Chignik Area, and if escapement goals are being met, the department shall manage the fishery so that the number of sockeye salmon harvested in the Chignik Area will be at least 600,000 and the harvest in the Cape Igvak Section will approach as near as possible 15 percent of the total pre-July 9 Chignik sockeye salmon catch.

(b) In years when a harvestable surplus beyond escapement goals for the first and second runs of Chignik River system sockeye salmon is expected to be more than 600,000, but the first run fails to develop as predicted and it is determined that a total sockeye salmon harvest in the Chignik Area of 600,000 or more may not be achieved, the Cape Igvak Section commercial salmon fishery will be curtailed in order to allow at least a minimum harvest in the Chignik Area of 300,000 sockeye salmon by July 9 if that number of fish are determined to be surplus to the escapement goals of the Chignik River system. After July 8, after at least 300,000 sockeye salmon have been harvested in the Chignik Area, and if escapement goals are being met, the department shall manage the fishery so that the number of sockeye salmon harvested in the Chignik Area will be at least 600,000 and the harvest in the Cape Igvak Section will approach as near as possible 15 percent of the total pre-July 9 Chignik sockeye salmon catch.

(c) In years when a harvestable surplus beyond escapement goals for the first and second runs of Chignik River system sockeye salmon is expected to be more than 600,000 and the department determines the runs are as strong as expected, the department will manage the fishery in such a manner whereby the number of sockeye salmon taken in the Cape Igvak Section will approach as near as possible 15 percent of the total pre-July 9 Chignik sockeye salmon catch.
(d) The total pre-July 9 Chignik sockeye salmon catch constitutes those sockeye salmon caught prior to July 9 within the Chignik Area plus 80 percent of the sockeye salmon caught in the East Stepovak, Southwest Stepovak, Stepovak Flats, Balboa Bay, and Beaver Bay Sections, as described in 5 AAC 09.200(f), plus 90 percent of the sockeye salmon caught in the Cape Igvak Section prior to July 9. The harvest in the Cape Igvak Section at any time before July 25 may be permitted to fluctuate above or below 15 percent of the cumulative pre-July 9 Chignik sockeye salmon catch in order to approach as near as possible 15 percent of the total pre-July 9 Chignik sockeye salmon catch.

(e) This allocation method will be in effect through July 25. The first fishing period of the commercial salmon fishing season in the Cape Igvak Section will not occur before the first 24-hour fishing period of the commercial salmon fishing season in the Chignik Area.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan is based on the interception of 15 percent of the total Chignik sockeye salmon catch when the Cape Igvak fishery is focused almost exclusively on the interception of the pre-July 9 return of sockeye to Chignik. While the plan is based on the total sockeye catch in Chignik over the course of the entire season, Igvak fishermen are really only intercepting first (Black Lake) run Chignik sockeye and therefore disproportionately impacting the first (Black Lake) run. Igvak fishermen generally do not have the ability to intercept a significant number of second (Chignik Lake) run Chignik sockeye. Therefore, the Cape Igvak Management Plan should not have an allocation encompassing the entire Chignik sockeye salmon harvest.

PROPOSED BY: Jamie Ross (EF-F16-074)

PROPOSAL 54 - 5 AAC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. Redefine the area used to determine allocation percentages within the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan, as follows:

5 AAC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. (d) The total Chignik sockeye salmon catch constitutes those sockeye salmon caught only within the Chignik Area [PLUS 80 PERCENT OF THE SOCKEYE SALMON CAUGHT IN THE EAST STEPOVAK, SOUTHWEST STEPOVAK, STEPOVAK FLATS, BALBOA BAY, AND BEAVER BAY SECTIONS, AS DESCRIBED IN 5 AAC 09.200(F), PLUS 90 PERCENT OF THE SOCKEYE SALMON CAUGHT IN THE CAPE IGVAK SECTION]. The harvest in the Cape Igvak Section at any time before July 25 may be permitted to fluctuate above or below 15 percent of the Chignik Area sockeye salmon catch.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan provides for a 15 percent allocation of the total Chignik sockeye catch, defined as “those sockeye salmon caught within the Chignik Area plus 80 percent of the sockeye salmon caught in the East Stepovak, Southwest Stepovak, Stepovak Flats, Balboa Bay, and Beaver Bay Sections, as described in 5 AAC 09.200(f), plus 90 percent of the sockeye salmon caught in the Cape Igvak Section.” Management errors in the Igvak and SEDM fisheries in the past have resulted in higher allocations of Chignik bound sockeye salmon than provided for in regulation. The problem is that when an allocation overage occurs in one or both of the two intercept areas, the
amount of overage is used in calculating the in-season allocation for Igvak, which effectively increases the allocation to Igvak fishermen. Any allocation overages in SEDM or Igvak itself trigger even more overages for Igvak simply because of the way the allocation is defined. For every Chignik bound sockeye Igvak harvests, they get to harvest 15 percent more; for every sockeye SEDM harvests, Igvak fishermen get to harvest 15 percent more. Plain and simple, if any allocation overage happens, the result is increased harvests for Igvak. Related to but beyond this problem, is the simple fact that the Cape Igvak Management Plan as written allows for a greater allocation to Igvak for sockeye salmon harvested before they ever even get into the Chignik Area – the more Chignik bound sockeye that get intercepted (in SEDM & Igvak), the more Igvak fishermen get to intercept! The Board fixed this issue with the SEDM Salmon Management Plan in 2007. It is perfectly reasonable that the Board correct this issue with the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan as well.

PROPOSED BY: Axel S. Kopun (EF-F16-078)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 55 - 5 AAC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. Repeal the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan and close commercial salmon fishing in the Cape Igvak Section through July 25, as follows:

The Board is requested to repeal the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan in its entirety, and close the Cape Igvak Section to commercial salmon fishing through July 25.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan was established in 1978 as an interception fishery targeting Chignik-bound sockeye salmon. At the time Kodiak sockeye stocks were depressed, with Kodiak fishermen harvesting on average fewer than 500 thousand sockeye salmon annually in the 10 years prior to the implementation of the plan. In contrast, the two Chignik sockeye runs were healthy, and the Board decided Chignik fishermen should “share the wealth” with Kodiak. Not surprisingly, things have changed dramatically in Chignik and Kodiak since 1978.

Chignik has gone from supporting several shore-based processors to none, and we are almost solely dependent on our sockeye salmon fishery. There just aren’t any jobs available outside of fishing, our villages are losing residents and we are on the verge of losing our schools as well. Every sockeye lost to interception at Cape Igvak heavily impacts the well-being of the five Chignik villages.

Kodiak on the other hand, has several shore based processors, multiple fisheries in which fishermen can engage in, and a myriad of jobs available outside of fishing. Kodiak’s sockeye harvests have rebounded dramatically as well, with an average harvest of 2.2 million sockeye per year in the past 10 years, despite an average harvest of “only” 158,607 sockeye at Igvak in the same time period. In fact, in 2015, according to the preliminary figures provided by ADF&G in a
report titled “2015 Alaska Commercial Salmon Harvests and Ex-vessel Values,” the Kodiak sockeye harvest was worth more than double that of Chignik ($13.4 million vs. $6.6 million), despite the fact the Igvak fishery only accounted for a sockeye harvest of under 7,000 sockeye total. According to the same report, the Kodiak pink salmon harvest provided an additional $20+ million to Kodiak fishermen in 2015. It is clear that the Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan is not necessary to the success of the Kodiak salmon fishery.

PROPOSED BY: Michael Macaluso, spokesperson for Chignik Seiners Association (EF-F16-079)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 56 - 5 AAC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan. Reduce the Cape Igvak Section allocation from 15 percent to 7.5 percent of the total Chignik Area sockeye salmon catch, as follows:

5 AAC 18.360 (b) (c) Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan is amended to read:

The Department shall manage the fishery so that the number of sockeye salmon in the Cape Igvak Section by Area K fishermen shall approach as near as possible 7.5% [15%] the total Chignik sockeye salmon catch through July 25.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Cape Igvak Section fishery was established in 1978 as an allocation fishery on Chignik-bound sockeye salmon. At the time Kodiak sockeye stocks were depressed from over-fishing. The two Chignik runs were healthy, and it was deemed reasonable to ‘share the wealth’ with Kodiak, a measure of income redistribution which some now believe is quite fashionable or progressive. Things have changed dramatically at Chignik and Kodiak from the 1970’s. While Chignik’s two sockeye runs are still healthy, the Area L salmon fishery is not. Chignik has gone from supporting several shore-based processors to none now owing to economic conditions within the Chignik fishery. Unlike Kodiak, Chignik’s single industry, for all practical purposes, is salmon fishing. There are simply no jobs available, and our villages are losing residents.

When the Board assigned an allocation of Chignik sockeye salmon to the Igvak fishery in 1978 Kodiak had been harvesting less than 500 thousand sockeye salmon annually (avg. 1958-67: 437,000; avg. 1968-77: 494,000(ADF&G, Jackson et al. 2015)). Now according to ADF&G, Kodiak is averaging about 4.5 times that amount for the last 10-years (avg. 2006-15: 2.2 million sockeye harvest (J. Jackson, 11/16/15)).

The Board is requested to roll-back the Cape Igvak allocation by 50%, from an allocation of 15% to 7.5%, a measure that would improve the Chignik salmon fishery and overall Chignik conditions. Chignik salmon fisherman have no hatcheries to draw upon, and our local pink and chum runs are not managed to provide economic sustainability as was well addressed at last year’s Board of Fisheries. Chignik salmon fishermen contribute 2% of their catch in a tax to support local management and safeguard fisheries habitat. This includes funding for an annual smolt study (to ADF&G), Black Lake and Chignik habitat monitoring (to FRI), and in-season stock separation genetics (to ADF&G). Chignik is battling for economic survival, and it has no options available
for supplemental salmon production similar to those as achieved in Kodiak. We believe that relief from the Cape Igvak Section fishery on Chignik bound sockeye salmon is quite reasonable to the degree proposed.

PROPOSED BY: George Anderson (HQ-F16-042)
*******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 57 - 5 AAC 18.330. Gear. Allow set gillnet gear in the entire Alitak District after September 4, as follows:

5 AAC 18.330. Gear (d) (2)

(d) In the Alitak District, salmon may be taken
    (1) in the Humpy-Deadman and Cape Alitak Sections by purse seines and beach seines only;
    (2) in the Alitak Bay, Moser Bay, Olga Bay, Dog Salmon Flats, Outer and Inner Upper Station, and Outer and Inner Akalura Sections by set gillnets only, except that after September 4, salmon may be taken also by purse seines and beach seines. Also after September 4 set gill net gear maybe used in the entire Alitak District.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Problem: Lack of fishing time for the Alitak District set net fishery.

The Alitak District set net fishery is a bankrupt fishery. It operates from retirement accounts, selling assets and cannery credit. The fishery is predominantly managed on sockeye returns to to the Olga Bay systems on which fishing time has been severely restricted in recent years.

Many of the set netters have been totally disenfranchised from other healthy local stocks that transit the area during sockeye restrictions. Opening the entire Alitak District to set net gear after Sept. 4 would allow those with the fortitude and means an opportunity to regain some of the loss created by restrictions imposed for sockeye management.

PROPOSED BY: Rick Metzger, Pete Hannah (EF-F16-022)
*******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 58 – 5 AAC 18.361. Alitak District Salmon Management Plan. Limit escapement of jack sockeye salmon into Frazer Lake to no more than 15 percent of total Frazer Lake sockeye salmon escapement, as follows:

A plan should be in place which would effectively guarantee that the jacks comprise nothing greater than 15% of the total escapement into Frazer Lake. This percentage would more closely resemble several systems in the surrounding areas, where jack components of 2% to 5% are the norm. A system could be devised to trap and cull any excess jacks to be used as added nutrients into the lake should an overage occur. Results will be documented in the Kodiak Management Area Commercial Salmon Annual Report. The program will continue for 4 years until 2019 when a full evaluation will take place.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? During the last 14 years, the Alitak Bay District has seen almost doubling of jacks escaping into Frazer Lake. This has contributed to weak returns of mature male and female sockeye salmon. The harvestable surplus for setnetters during this same time period has gone down 70% when compared to the previous 14 years. Since jacks have a tendency to make more jacks and have a much greater ability to fertilize eggs than previously thought, this development is likely playing a significant part in the overall weakness of a harvestable surplus, making it difficult to reach maximum sustainable yield. If this in not addressed we will likely continue to see big swings in the jack population and difficulties reaching lower end escapement goals. Other considered options would be to do nothing. This is not desirable as there is potential for long term harm to the run.

PROPOSED BY: Brad Underwood (EF-F16-068)

PROPOSAL 59 - 5 AAC 18.361. Alitak District Salmon Management Plan; and 5 AAC 18.362. Westside Kodiak Salmon Management Plan. Implement a mandatory minimum commercial salmon fishery closure of 63 consecutive hours during every 7-day period in both the Westside and Alitak districts, as follows:

I recommend that there shall be mandatory "Pulse Fishing" in known migratory pathways. The management tool of "extended until further notice" shall no longer be used in Mixed Stock Fisheries. Pulse fishing is a "Precautionary Approach" that ensures the health and future Sockeye returns.

There shall be a minimum closure of 63 consecutive hours in every 7-day period for both the Westside Kodiak Salmon Management Plan and the Alitak District Salmon Management Plan.

Terminal harvest areas shall be allowed to fish "extended until further notice" as needed, if the harvest has a limited amount of non-target stock interception.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The burden of conservation of Alitak bound Sockeye is placed solely on the end user group (Alitak District). The known Sockeye migratory pathway along the North West and South West coast of Kodiak Island has no conservation measures within its Management Plan for Alitak Sockeye. The Westside District Management Plan has included Olga Bay systems in there harvest strategy but has not included a conservation strategy. 5 ACC 18.362(b)

All users groups of Alitak bound Sockeye should have to reduce fishing time for the health and conservation of the fish that they are harvesting.

-Use all information and supporting data about the Alitak Sockeye Migratory pathway, including recent DNA sample results, past tagging studies from the 1950's and 1981.

PROPOSED BY: Eric Dieters (EF-F16-010)
PROPOSAL 60 - 5 AAC 18.XXX. Karluk River Special Harvest Area Salmon Management Plan; and 5 AAC 18.362. Westside Kodiak Salmon Management Plan. Create a special harvest area (SHA) allowing the Kodiak Regional Aquaculture Association to harvest Karluk River sockeye salmon deemed excess to escapement needs, as follows:

If, based on historical escapement entry curve trends for either the early or late Karluk sockeye runs the Department determines that it is likely (confidence of reaching the goal 90% of the time) that the escapement will be within 10% of their upper end annual Karluk escapement goal for either run, an "excess escapement" recovery fishery managed by the Kodiak Regional Aquaculture Association shall be established. Gear would be limited to no more than one vessel and a beach seine or purse seine of no more than 100 fathoms in length. To the extent practicable, revenue from the fishery would be used for research, enhancement and/or monitoring of the Karluk River.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Biological escapement goals are scientifically established to ensure long term sustained yield for a discrete salmon stock. From time to time current management measures fail to limit escapement of sockeye into the Karluk River. Consequently, both early and/or late upper end escapement goals are exceeded -- sometimes in excess of 100,000 fish annually. Over-escapement substantially inhibits Karluk sockeye productivity. In addition, agency funding pushes the Department toward early removal of the Karluk weir. Early weir removal further inhibits accurate accounting of total seasonal sockeye escapement in the Karluk system. In fact, over-escape in Karluk and unreliable estimates of post weir escapements may be responsible for the current lower sockeye production from the Karluk river. Limiting Karluk River sockeye escapement within established escapement goals will likely result in higher sustained yields from the Karluk system over time. Optimum sustained yield is the constitutional mandate for Alaska's salmon fishery management.

PROPOSED BY: Duncan Fields (EF-F16-053)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 61 - 5 AAC 18.366. Spiridon Bay Sockeye Salmon Management Plan. Amend the Spiridon Bay Sockeye Salmon Management Plan to reflect cost recovery activities conducted by Kodiak Regional Aquaculture Association, as follows:

(b) The purpose of the Spiridon Bay harvest strategy is to allow the orderly harvest of sockeye salmon returning to Telrod Cove from the Spiridon Laske enhancement project while providing adequate protection for local natural salmon stocks returning to other streams in the bay. The intent of the enhancement project is for the harvest of returning enhanced salmon to occur in traditional commercial fishing areas of the Northwest Kodiak District during openings directed a harvesting Karluk sockeye and westside pink and chum salmon stocks. Throughout the season, fishing time in Telrod Cove may be restricted in order to meet cost recovery goals for enhanced sockeye salmon.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Current language in published regulations for harvest of enhanced salmon in Spiridon Bay and Spiridon Bay Special Harvest Area (SBSHA) is not consistent with language for the management of enhanced stocks in the Kitoi
Bay Special Harvest Area. This proposal seeks to amend regulatory language to reflect cost recovery activities conducted by the Kodiak Regional Aquaculture Association within the SBSHA.

PROPOSED BY: Kodiak Regional Aquaculture Association (HQ-F16-066)

PROPOSAL 62 - 5 AAC 18.350. Closed waters. Close all waters within a 1,000 yard radius of the terminus of Ayakulik River to commercial salmon fishing June 1- July 15, as follows:

Amend 5 AAC 18.359.(a) (A) All waters within 1000 yard radius of terminus of Ayakulik(Red) River closed to Commercial salmon fishing June 1-July 15.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? I would like to address King salmon by catch in Kodiak Management Area Inner Ayakulik section. There needs to be a conservation measure to provide a buffer zone for King salmon escapement on the Ayakulik river. The run is severely depleted and has not made even the lower escapement for the last 3 consecutive years.

PROPOSED BY: Stig Yngye (EF-F16-026)

(Proposal 63 was submitted by FOUR proposers. The proposal and four justifications by each proposer are provided below.)

PROPOSAL 63 - 5 AAC 18.350. Closed waters. Close all waters within a 500 yard radius of the terminus of Ayakulik River to commercial salmon fishing, as follows:

5 AAC 18.350 Closed Waters (a) (2) (A) all waters within 500 yards of the terminus of the Ayakulik River (Red River) [ALL WATERS EAST OF THE TERMINUS OF THE AYAKULIK RIVER (RED RIVER)]

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? For the past 15 years I have fished the Ayakulik River on the south tip of Kodiak Island. The increase in commercial fishing at the mouth now prevents any King salmon from entering the river. The nets are presently across the entire mouth of the river thus blocking salmon from entering the river. This keeps King salmon from entering the river to spawn. If this continues there will soon be no salmon in the river!

PROPOSED BY: Kenneth Blackman (EF-F16-048)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Regulation 5 AAC 18.350 Closed Waters (a) (2) (A) states closed waters are only closed east of the Ayakulik River. This leaves the mouth of the river open to seine commercial fishing on the entire ocean side. Seine nets are strung across the mouth of the Ayakulik River thus preventing the successful entry of the ever declining king salmon. While the commercial seine fishery must release any king salmon over 28
inches over in length within the Inner and Outer Ayakulik sections, the mortality rate of king salmon is at risk of ever increasing with the abundant numbers of seine nets present. Restriction of 500 yards of the Ayakulik River terminus for the commercial fishery would assist in alleviating the mortality rate of the king salmon thus helping the king salmon to meet the BEG goal.

PROPOSED BY: Tom Walters

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Closed waters for the Ayakulik River only applies to waters east of the terminus. Commercial seine nets are allowed to place nets directly across the mouth of the Ayakulik River on the ocean waters. This practice greatly increases the mortality rate on the ever declining Ayakulik River king salmon. While the commercial seine fishery must release any king salmon over 28 inches in length, with the ever present seine nets across the mouth of the Ayakulik River, king salmon virtually have no chance of entering the river alive. The BEG of the Ayakulik River king salmon has not been met for many years. Restriction of commercial salmon fishing within 500 yards of the Ayakulik River stream terminus would greatly help to ensure the king salmon BEG is achieved. I have worked on the Ayakulik River since 1990. Within the 1990's, the regulation of 500 yards within the stream terminus of the Ayakulik River for the commercial seine fishery applied. Would once again like to see this regulation apply to the Ayakulik River to help in anyway the ever declining wild Alaskan king salmon of the Ayakulik River, Kodiak Island.

PROPOSED BY: Tom Simkowski

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Having the stream terminus of the Ayakulik River (stream #256-201) continuously open to commercial seine fishing that coexists with the run cycle of king salmon increases the mortality rate of king salmon returning to the Ayakulik River. The commercial seine fishery in the KMA is not allowed to retain king salmon over 28 inches in length from June 1st through July 5th with the possible extension from July 6th through July 30th for the Inner and Outer Ayakulik sections. However, with the high influence of constant seine nets being strung across the stream terminus of the Ayakulik River, king salmon virtually have no chance of successfully entering the Ayakulik River alive. The BEG of the Ayakulik River king salmon has not been met for many years, and yearly escapements since 2006 have averaged just 22Yo of escapements observed during the previous 10 year period. The 2014 escapement of approximately 900 fish was the 2nd lowest on record for this fish stock. Accordingly, the Ayakulik River king salmon run is now being considered for designation as a "Stock of Concern" under the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries (5 AAC 39.222). Restriction of commercial salmon fishing within 500 yards of the Ayakulik River stream terminus is imperative to help ensure the king salmon BEG is achieved.

PROPOSED BY: Amy Fredette

******************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 64 - 5 AAC 18.350. Closed waters. Close commercial salmon fishing from July 10 to August 10 in all waters north of a line from Ouzinkie Point on Ouzinkie Island to Parokoda Island and then from Paroka Island to the old Alaska Department of Fish and Game marker on Spruce Island near Black Point, as follows:

Create a subsistence only harvest area in Ouzinkie Harbor from July 10th to August 10 north of a line that would run from Ouzinkie point on Ouzinkie Island to Parokoda Is. and then from Paroka Is. to the old ADF&G marker on Spruce Island in proximity to Black Point.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Ouzinkie is a small village on the southwest corner of Spruce Island just north of Kodiak Island. The Ouzinkie harbor is a small cove that encompasses an area about the size of Kodiak's small boat harbor. Kodiak Regional Aquaculture Association has been imprinting and releasing sockeye salmon in Ouzinkie Harbor adjacent to the Ouzinkie Small Boat Harbor for several years. The first return from the stocking program was 2015. Ouzinkie residents enjoyed expanded subsistence harvest opportunities in proximity to their community. As imprinted sockeye continue to return to Ouzinkie Harbor, perhaps in greater numbers in the future, Ouzinkie residents are concerned that a single seine vessel could come in and "scoop up" all of the sockeye the community would anticipate using for subsistence purposes. Ouzinkie understands that these fish are a "common property" resource. Nevertheless, the Kodiak commercial salmon fishery has ample opportunity to intercept and harvest fish returning to Ouzinkie Harbor throughout both Marmot and Kizhuyak Bay. Consequently, it is likely that a high percentage of these fish are already utilized commercially. Surviving fish congregating in Ouzinkie's Harbor are significant for subsistence use, however they remain susceptible to commercial capture as they move in and out with the tide, often beyond current fishing markers. Ouzinkie believes it is better to anticipate the conflict that will occur if Ouzinkie's subsistence fish are taken by a few errant commercial fishermen and to provide a subsistence only use area for a limited time.

PROPOSED BY: Ouzinkie Native Corporation (EF-F16-092)
*******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 65 - 5 AAC 18.332. Seine specifications and operations. Establish a four and one half inch minimum mesh size for salmon seines from June 1 to July 15, as follows:

We recommend adding a new line under the Seine Specification and Operations. Specifically we recommend the following language be adopted:

(X) Seine mesh size shall be no less than 4-1/2 inches from June 1 to July 15 in all districts within the Kodiak Area.

Justification:

First: Adopting this proposal will allow the juvenile Chinook salmon and chums to pass through the increased mesh size of the seine nets, while allowing the commercial fishermen to more efficiently harvest their intended species and save them from discarding unwanted bycatch.
Second: We are requesting the Department conduct a thorough analysis of the bycatch data to further determine impacts and to recommend additional mitigating measures. The sustainability of the Chinook and chum salmon species into the future justifies positive action on this proposal by the Board of Fish.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** The issue this proposal addresses is the bycatch of juvenile Chinook and chum salmon during some commercial purse seine activities in the Kodiak district. Since the early to mid-1990’s, fishermen have begun fishing off the outer capes while targeting Cook Inlet sockeye. However, by fishing there, they also intercept juvenile Chinook and chum salmon. The current mesh size of the purse seine nets, of around 2-1/2 inches to 2-3/4 inches entrap, as bycatch, the juvenile Chinooks that result in substantial amount of mortality to the juvenile Chinooks and Chum salmon. Significant numbers of juvenile fish are intercepted with each seine.

As a consequence of these bycatch activities, over the last 15 to 20 years, Chinook and chum salmon populations in areas within Alaska, such as the many watersheds within Cook Inlet, plus most recently the Karluk River and Ayakulik River, have failed to meet escapement goals and there is growing concern about the long-term population viability of these salmon populations.

We believe that we have reached a point of diminishing returns on these species that if mitigating measures are not taken and this practice is allowed to continue, it will have further detrimental effects on these struggling populations and could lead to their total collapse

**PROPOSED BY:** Jeff Peterson (EF-F16-058)