

# Chignik AC Sub-Committee

February 28, 2016

Call to Order at 8:00 a.m.

## **Members present at roll call**

- Jacob Shangin- Chairman, Ivanof Bay (Present)
- Al Anderson-Member, Chignik Lagoon (Present)
- Gary Anderson-Chignik Lagoon (Present)
- Ben Allen, Chignik Bay (Present)

## **Members absent**

- Alfredo Abu-Eid, Chignik Lagoon (Absent)

## **Guests**

George-Member of the Public

Steve- Chignik AC Member (Ivanof Bay)

Tim- Member of the Public

## **Approval of Agenda**

Motion is made by Al. Seconded by Ben. The motion carried unanimously.

## **New Business**


Regarding negotiations with Area M. The AC recommended four members for the negotiation committee for Chignik and the Area M Committee demanded that we remove one of the permit holders or they would not negotiate. In order to compromise we removed that member and replaced him with an alternate. Motion by Ben to adopt RC 171 in the spirit of compromise. Seconded by Al. Passed unanimously in support.

Although the Chignik AC Subcommittee still holds the beliefs and reasoning of the previous AC meetings as a whole held on January 19<sup>th</sup> submitted as AC 10 and February 16<sup>th</sup> 2016, submitted as RC33; in the spirit of compromise, as recommended by the Board of Fish, we have voted to support the terms of R.C. 171. It is essential to the welfare of the Chignik River system and its many tributaries as well as the local residents to include the 300,000 sockeye and 600,000k harvest preference in any further actions by the Board of Fish.

An underlining issue that was not presenting to the Chignik negotiating committee during negotiations was the second portion to RC 167. RC 176 was submitted as a correction to RC 167 and its misconceptions and errors.

Prepared by: Jacob Shangin

Approved By: Chignik AC Sub-Committee on February 28, 2016

  
Jacob Shangin-Chignik AC Chair

There was discussion regarding RC 167 stock composition. As per page two a solution was put in as RC 179 to adjust the allocation proportionately to the reduction of stock composition. **Please refer to table one of RC 179.**

**Old Business**

NONE

**Misc.**

Discussion on proposal 172: some of the local communities have expressed concern that the additional amount proposed to get up the river still is not enough.


Educating our public on the stream life of red fish would be in order. For our next AC meeting as a whole we need to have a formal request to the department for clarification to the villages as to the pros and cons of proposal 172.

Adjournment at 8:31a.m.

Table 1. Alternative Stock and Allocation Assignments for Maintaining Historic Sockeye Salmon Harvest on all Non-local stocks Interception and **Allocatively Neutral**.

<u>Chignik Stock Composition Assignment</u>	<u>SEDM Allocation Assignment</u>
80% (Existing)	7.6%
60%	5.7%
40%	3.8%

Prepared by: Jacob Shangin  
Approved By: Chignik AC Sub-Committee on February 28, 2016

  
Jacob Shangin-Chignik AC Chair