Name of Advisory Committee Date Location of Meeting

I.	. Call to Order: Time by [name of chair or acting acting for the meeting]						
II.	Roll Call: Members Present: [include role here by name ie: officer; designated seat; at large member?] Members Absent: Number Needed for Quorum on AC:						
III.	Approval of Agenda:						
IV.	Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes: From meeting date						
V.	Fish and Game Staff Present:						
VI.	Guests Present:						
VII.	New Business:						
This	space may be used for them to take record their minutes as they wish.						
	Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form						
	Carries #Who						

Mandatory- Please Summarize Your Proposal Comments in this Form								
Proposal Number	Carries or Fails?	# Who Support	# Who Do Not Support	Amended?	Comments/Discussion (list Pros and Cons)/Amendments to Proposal			
	Proposal	Proposal Carries	Proposal Carries # Who	Proposal Or Fails? Who Support # Who Do Not	Proposal Number Carries or Fails? # Who Do Not Amended?			

0	ld	Βυ	ısi	n	e	SS	:
U	ıa	ΒU	ısı	n	e	SS	

Adjournment:

AC NAME Page 1

Minutes Recorded By:	
Minutes Approved By:	
Date:	

EXAMPLE TAKEN FROM THE SOUTHWEST AC

{The AC RECEIVES}

PROPOSAL 16- ACTION:

DESCRIPTION: Modify boundary description of the South Alaska Peninsula groundfish area.

AMENDMENT: DISCUSSION:

{The AC FILLS IN}

PROPOSAL 16–Ken Moves; Gilda 2nds ACTION: Carries as Amended 4-0 DESCRIPTION: Modify boundary description of the South Alaska Peninsula groundfish area. AMENDMENT: Move the proposed district boundary line from Scotch Cap east to Cape Lutke – Create a waiting period of 72 hours for boats that want to transfer between the proposed districts – Cap harvest for the area WEST of Cape Lutke at no more than 10% of the GHL.

DISCUSSION: Mark addresses the intent/effect of the proposals. This proposal would divide the existing area in roughly half and create two separate districts with separate quotas.

Tom states we have caught cod tagged from different areas. If this went through how would the Dept. determine quota for the western part of the fishery?

Mark: The board would need to decide although it may be helpful if the AC provide specific recommendations. The Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska cod stocks are two separate cod stocks. The department opposes reallocating cod from the Bering Sea to the Gulf of Alaska.

Instead of taking Bering Sea quota could they cap the quota west of the boundary line?

Mark: This occurs in a similar fashion in Kodiak based on boat length. You could ask the board to create two separate GHL's or establish harvest caps for the two separate areas.

Tom: is there more interest now West of Scotch Cap?

Mark: As of 2007 catch has increased west of Scotch Cap. The pace of the under 60 foot federal Bering Sea fishery has increased and now some boats are moving down into the Peninsula statewaters fishery after the Bering Sea federal fishery closes.

AC NAME Page 2

Tom asked would a super exclusive registration stop this from happening?

Mark: No. State registration requirements do not apply to parallel or federal fisheries. Tom how would ADF&G base the cap?

Mark: That's really up to the public and board to decide. You could consider using average catch as a basis or choose a fixed percentage among other options. ADF&G is neutral and has no recommendation on how much catch should occur on either side of the line.

Tom, would anyone like to take action on this proposal?

Travis comments having a cap could be in the local fleets favor.

Tom again, if we were to cap that's where we could go to super exclusive if someone is fishing west when the cap is caught they cannot move east.

Mark if you created two separate districts within one area I don't know if there could be separate registration requirements. Susie details Nushagak example sub district for set netting.

Tom we would like to change the line from Scotch cap to Cape Lutke because it would be further from the line from Area O. False Pass AC considers King Cove AC's stance that they do not want to formally allocate additional quota for the area west of Scotch Cap.

Mark lets AC know the 10 year average catch west of the proposed Scotch Cap line is about 1.8 million lbs or about 13% of the GHL.

AC discusses what the two areas harvest caps should be. 10 year average is discussed also a fixed number or a percentage. Agree on a 10% cap to the area west of Cape Lutke and no cap to the east.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Gilda – Yes Ken – Yes Travis – Yes

Tom – Yes

AC NAME Page 3