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## MEMORANDUM

TO: Jeff Regnant, Director


Division of Commercial Fisheries
Charles O. Swanton, Director Division of Sport Fish


THRU: Steve Honnold, Regional Supervisor Sf Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region IV

James Hasbrouck, Regional Supervisor Division of Sport Fish, Region II

FROM: Nicholas Sagalkin, Regional Research Finfish Supervisor
Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region IV
3 Jack W. Erickson, Regional Research Coordinator
Division of Sport Fish, Region II

DATE: September 17, 2012

SUBJECT: Alaska Peninsula/ Aleutian Islands Escapement Goal Memo

The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you of our progress in reviewing and recommending escapement goals for the Alaska Peninsula and Aleutian Islands Management Area (Area M) of the Westward Region. The Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries (SSFP; 5 AAC 39.222) directs the department to provide the Alaska Board of Fisheries (board) with a review of salmon escapement goals every three years in concert with the regulatory cycle for each management area. Escapement goals were evaluated and recommended based on the SSFP and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5 AAC 39.223).
In February 2012, an interdivisional team, including staff from the divisions of Commercial Fisheries and Sport Fish, was formed to review existing salmon escapement goals in Area M. This memorandum summarizes the preliminary results of the salmon escapement goal review and subsequent recommendations. The team has reached consensus on all recommendations outlined below.

Two important definitions are:
5 AAC 39.222(f)(3) "Biological Escapement Goal (BEG): the escapement that provides the greatest potential for maximum sustained yield (MSY);" and

5 AAC 39.222(f)(36) "Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG): a level of escapement, indicated by an index or an escapement estimate, that is known to provide for sustained yield over a 5 to 10 year period, used in situations where a BEG cannot be estimated or managed for."

The Area M salmon escapement goals were last reviewed in 2009 (Witteveen et al. 2009). The 2012 review of the present 28 escapement goals resulted in consensus to leave 24 goals unchanged and eliminate four goals (Table 1 and Figure 1). This resulted in the following 24 escapement goals for Area M: one BEG for king salmon; one BEG and 13 SEGs for sockeye salmon; two SEGs for coho salmon; two aggregate SEGs for pink salmon, and five aggregate SEGs for chum salmon.

The review team determined the appropriate goal type for each Area M salmon stock with an existing goal, based on the quality and quantity of available data, and then determined the most appropriate methods to evaluate the escapement goal ranges. If a sufficient time series of escapement and total return estimates were available and the data contained sufficient information to provide a scientifically defensible, accurate estimate of the spawning escapement with the greatest potential to produce maximum sustained yield ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {msy }}$ ), then the data were considered sufficient to attempt to develop a BEG. If return estimates were not available and/or the data were not sufficient to estimate $\mathrm{S}_{\text {msy }}$, the data were used to establish an SEG. Methods used to develop BEGs included spawner-recruit analysis and a habitat-based model (Liermann et al. 2010). Methods used to develop SEGs included the percentile approach (Bue and Hasbrouck Unpublished) and risk analysis (Bernard et al. 2009).

After analyzing available data for each stock, the team estimated escapement goals, compared these estimates with the current goals, and then made recommendations to establish new goals or maintain (no change), change, or eliminate the current goals. The methods used to evaluate Area M escapement goals, as well as the rationale used to make subsequent recommendations, will be described in detail in a department Fishery Manuscript Report which will be published prior to the February Alaska Board of Fisheries (board) meeting. Preliminary results of the review are summarized below.

## King salmon

The team recommends that the current Nelson River king salmon BEG of 2,400 to 4,400, as established in 2003, remain unchanged. Recent escapements were similar to historical counts and the team concluded that further analysis was not necessary.

## Sockeye salmon

The team recommends no changes to the existing escapement goals for sockeye salmon. Overall, recent sockeye salmon runs have met or exceeded their respective escapement goals with one exception. The Swanson Lagoon sockeye salmon stock has been below the escapement goal range in each of the last four years (2008-2011), despite little fishing effort directed at this stock. Escapement is very difficult to assess because the system has frequent large algae blooms, obscuring visibility.

## Coho salmon

The team recommends that the current Nelson River coho salmon SEG of 18,000 and the Ilnik River coho salmon SEG of 9,000 remain unchanged. There is no recent information that would warrant changing the current SEGs for these stocks.
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The team recommends eliminating the coho salmon SEG for Thin Point. There is little directed effort for Thin Point coho, and the system is rarely surveyed because escapement usually occurs after staff have completed aerial surveys.

## Pink salmon

Four South Peninsula pink salmon escapement goals (even- and odd-year goals for two aggregate stocks) were evaluated during this review. The team recommends that the current South Peninsula pink salmon SEG ranges of $1,864,600$ to $3,729,300$ for even years, and $1,637,800$ to $3,275,700$ for odd years, remain unchanged. The team recommends eliminating the Bechevin Bay pink salmon SEG for even and odd years. Effort in Bechevin Bay targets chum salmon, rather than pink salmon, and aerial escapement estimates are unreliable because a large portion of fish are believed to spawn in the bay in areas difficult to survey.

## Chum salmon

The team recommends that the current South Peninsula chum salmon SEG ranges of 106,400 to 212,800 for the Southeastern District; 89,800 to 179,600 for the South Central District; and 133,400 to 266,800 for the Southwestern District remain unchanged. The team also recommends that the North Peninsula chum salmon SEG ranges of 100,000 to 215,000 fish for the Northwestern District and 119,600 to 239,200 fish for the Northern District remain unchanged. Recent escapements were similar to historical counts and the team concluded that further analysis was not necessary. However, the team recommends that the SEG threshold of 800 fish for the Unimak District should be eliminated. There is little fishing effort and surveys in the area are limited.
In summary, the Area M Escapement Goal Review Team reviewed 28 existing salmon escapement goals, resulting in consensus to leave 24 goals unchanged and eliminate four goals. Staff are now preparing a report for the February 2013 board meeting. Escapement goal recommendations for each stock will be presented to the board orally and in writing. This report will list all current and recommended escapement goals, as well as detailed descriptions of the analyses performed.
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Table 1.-Current escapement goals, escapements observed from 2009 through 2011, king, sockeye, coho, pink, and chum salmon stocks of the Alaska Peninsula and Aleutian Islands management areas.

| System | EscapementData $^{4}$ | Current Eacapement Ooal |  |  |  | Escapements |  |  | 2012 Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Type } \\ \text { (BEG, SEG) } \end{gathered}$ | Range |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |  |
| King Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nelson River | WCPAS | BEG | 2,400 | to | 4,400 | 2,048 | 2,769 | 1,404 | No change |
| Sockeye Salmoa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orzinski Lake | wc | SEG | 15,000 | to | 20,000 | 21,457 | 18,039 | 16,764 | No change |
| Thin Point Lake | PAS | SEG | 14,000 | to | 28,000 | 33,500 | 12,400 | 14,500 | No change |
| Mortensens Lagoon | PAS | SEG | 3,200 | to | 6,400 | 25,000 | 6,600 | 500 | No change |
| Christianson Lagoon | PAS | SEG | 25,000 | to | 50,000 | 48,100 | 27,900 | 35,200 | No change |
| Swanson Lagoon | PAS | SEG | 6,000 | to | 16,000 | 1,000 | 1,700 | 1,000 | No change |
| North Oreek | PAS | SEG | 4,400 | to | 8,800 | 8,000 | 18,500 | 10,200 | No change |
| Nelson River | wc | BEG | 97,000 | to | 219,000 | 157,000 | 108,000 | 89,000 | No change |
| Bear Labe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Darty | wc | SEG | 176,000 | to | 293,000 | 216,237 | 226,534 | 207,451 | No change |
| Late | wc | SEG | 117,000 | to | 195,000 | 133,263 | 142,966 | 132,549 | No change |
| Sandy River | WC | SEG | 34,000 | to | 74,000 | 36,000 | 37,000 | 37,500 | No change |
| Inik River | WC | SEG | 40,000 | to | 60,000 | 66,000 | 59,000 | 43,000 | No change |
| Meshik River | PAS | SEG | 25,000 | to | 100,000 | 88,000 | 63,700 | 93,900 | No change |
| Cinder River | PAS | SEG | 12,000 | to | 48,000 | 133,600 | 108,900 | 106,000 | No change |
| Melces Lake | WCIPAS | SEC' | 10,000 | to | 60,000 | 10,120 | 32,842 | 36,602 | No change |
| Cobo Salnion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thin Point Lake | PAS | SEO | 3,000 |  |  | 900 |  | 200 | Remove SEG |
| Nebon River | PAS | SEG | 18,000 |  |  | 22,000 | 15,000 | 21,000 | No change |
| Ihik River | PAS | SEG | 9,000 |  |  | 24,000 | 19,600 | 22,000 | No change |
| Pink Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Peninsula Total-even jears | PAS | SEG | 1,864,600 | to | 3,729,300 |  | 742,912 |  | No change |
| South Peninsula Total -odd years | PAS | SEG | 1,637,800 | to | 3,275,700 | 3,067,000 |  | 2,494,950 | No change |
| Bechevin Bay Section-even years | PAS | SEG | 31,000 |  |  |  | 13,600 |  | Reamve SEG |
| Bechevin Bay Section-odd years | PAS | SEG | 1,600 |  |  | 2,000 |  | 2,400 | Remove SEG |
| Cham 8almos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southeastern District | PAS | SEO | 106,400 | to | 212,800 | 84,460 | 144,100 | 151,400 | No change |
| South Central District | PAS | SEG | 89,800 | to | 179,600 | 18,600 | 85,600 | 169,000 | No change |
| Southwestern District | PAS | SEG | 133,400 | to | 266,800 | 385,730 | 142,650 | 176,425 | No change |
| Unimek District | PAS | SEO | 800 |  |  | 1,400 | 1,050 | 7,000 | Romove SEG |
| Northwestern District | PAS | SEC | 100,000 | to | 215,000 | 84,460 | 144,100 | 151,400 | No change |
| Northem District | PAS | SFO | 119,600 | to | 239,200 | 154,131 | 145,310 | 96,952 | No change |



Figure 1.-Map of the Alaska Peninsula Management Area with the major king, sockeye, coho, pink, and chum salmon systems depicted.

