

Submitted by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game

It has been suggested that criteria need to be considered to guide board members when deliberating on whether or not to bring forward a BOF generated proposal:

1. Is it in the public's best interest (access to resource, allocation concerns, consistent intent, public process)?
2. Is there urgency in considering the issue (eg. potential for escapement objectives not being met or sustainability in question)?
3. Are the current processes not sufficient to bring the subject to the board's attention (reconsideration policy, normal cycle proposal submittal, ACR's, petition's)?
4. Will there be reasonable and adequate opportunity for public comment (eg. How far do affected users have to travel to participate, amount of time for affected users to respond)?