

RC 20

**From:** Karl Johnstone  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 20, 2013 12:10 PM  
**To:** Wellard, Monica J (DFG)  
**Cc:** Twomley, Bruce C (CFEC); Alaska Board of Fisheries Tom Kluberton; Nelson, Lance B (LAW)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposal 250: stacking in Egigik and Ugashak

Monica; Please RC the three messages I am forwarding and have them available for the meeting next Tuesday. They include my first email from me to Chairman Twomley, then his response to me, and last, Iverson's response. You can do it all as one RC. Thanks, Karl

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Iverson, Kurt M \ (CFEC  
**Date:** February 20, 2013 11:09:40 AM MST  
**To:** "Twomley, Bruce C \ (CFEC\)", Karl Johnstone  
**Cc:** Tom Kluberton , "Nelson, Lance B \ (LAW\)" (CFEC\)"  
**Subject:** RE: Proposal 250: stacking in Egigik and Ugashak

Yes, it is accurate to say that the conclusions written on page 17 of CFEC report 12-02-N have not changed.

Kurt Iverson  
790-6947

**From:** Twomley, Bruce C (CFEC)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:24 PM  
**To:** Karl Johnstone  
**Cc:** Tom Kluberton; Nelson, Lance B (LAW); Gho, Marcus J (CFEC); Iverson, Kurt M (CFEC)  
**Subject:** RE: Proposal 250: stacking in Egigik and Ugashak

Hi Karl--

I believe those conclusions stand, but I am referring your email to Marcus Gho and Kurt Iverson for any specific qualifications or confirmations they need to make.

I am asking them to get back to you before the board meeting and as soon as practicable.

--bruce (790-6944)

-----Original Message-----

From: Karl Johnstone Frances  
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:00 PM  
To: Twomley, Bruce C (CFEC)  
Cc: Tom Kluberton; Nelson, Lance B (LAW)  
Subject: Proposal 250: stacking in Egigik and Ugashak

Hi Bruce: Thanks to your staff and their hard work in getting additional information to the Board. The report that CFEC submitted for the BB meeting last december ( 12-02-N ) concluded on page 17 as follows: that stacking was responsible for a decrease in new entrants into the fishery, was responsible for a significant increase in the estimated value of set net permits from \$25,700 to \$42,200 after stacking, that non-locals and non-residents have a higher participation in stacking, and that stacking brings permits out of latency, thus increasing the # of permits being used, but that the number of people fishing has decreased. Since that report, it is my understanding that your staff, in response to concerns about the modeling and methodology used in arriving at those conclusions, have been reviewing report 12-02-N. This has included but not been limited to working with former BOF member Bill Brown. Can you advise, specifically, whether the conclusions I have referred to herein and as reported on page 17 of report 12-02-N have changed in any way, and if so, what those changes are. While this information will likely come out at the time of the CFEC oral

report at the Area M meeting, having this information ahead of time will help me in making other preliminary decisions prior to listening to oral reports. I am sure that all Board of Fish members appreciate the efforts of CFEC. Thanks again.

Karl Johnstone