RC40

ENDURANCE FISHERIES, INC. POB 188



CHIGNIK LAGOON, ALASKA 99565 907-840-2288

ADF&G Boards Support Section POB 115526 Juneau, Alaska 99811-5526

February 18, 2013

RE: log# EF021813295

To whom it may concern,

I submitted the above proposal via electronically and I noticed it did not go through properly, so therefore I am inclosing the whole proposal as intended. Please make the corrections. If you have any further questions please call me at the above number.

Sincerely,

Rodney Anderson

5 ACC 18.360. Cape Igvak Salmon Management Plan.

- 1. Alaska Administrative Code Number 5 ACC 18.355. Regulation Book Page No. 109
- 2. What is the problem you would like the Board to address? Failing to report Chignik Bound fish caught at Cape Igvak.
- 3. What will happen if this problem is not solved? Some of the Chignik Bound Stocks are going unreported and would continue to be delivered else were in Area (K)
- 4. What solution do you prefer? In other words, if the Board adopted your solution, what would the new regulation say? (C) All Commercial Salmon fishers shall report to the department upon commencing fishing operations in the Igvak Section and report to the department prior to departing the area, future more must declare and deliver all salmon prior to leaving the Igvak Section and fishing else were in the Kodiak management area.
- 5. Does your proposal address improving the quality of the resource harvested or products produced? If so how? Fish delivered to a larger vessel (tender) prior to crossing Shelikof Strait could improve the chances of the product getting to the cannery in better shape if the weather conditions are not good.
- 6. Solutions to difficult problems benefit some and hurt others:
 - A. Who is likely to benefit if your solution is adopted? Kodiak, Chignik Fishers, and the Department of Fish and Game. Better quality product delivered to the cannery and more accurate counting for better management.
 - **B.** Who is likely to suffer if your solution is adopted? The Kodiak Fishers who "race for fish and those who work the system."
- 7. List any other solutions you considered and why you rejected them.
- A. Allow for deliveries on the Island prior to fishing in other Area K areas could be more confusing for the Department.

Rodney Anderson

From:

dfg.boardoffisheries@alaska.gov

Sent:

Monday, February 18, 2013 10:43 AM

To:

endurancefisheries@hughes.net

Subject:

Proposal Submitted to the Alaska Board of Fisheries EF021813295

Endurance Fisheries, thank you for submitting your proposal to the Alaska Board of Fisheries:

Type of Regulation: Commercial

Fishing Area or Fishery: Kodiak, Salmon

Regulation Book Page Number: 109

What is the problem you would like the Board to address?

What will happen if this problem is not solved?

What solution do you prefer? In other words, if the Board adopted your solution, what would the new regulation say?

Does your proposal address improving the quality of the resource harvested or products produced? If so, how?

Fish deliverd to a larger vessel (tender) prior to crossing Shelikof Straits could improve the chances of the product getting to the cannery in better shape if the weather conditions are not good.

Who will benefit if your proposal is adopted?

Kodiak, Chignik fishers and the Department of Fish & Game. Better quality product deliverd to the Cannery and more accurate counting for better management.

Who is likely to suffer if your proposal is adopted?

Kodiak fishers who race for fish and those who work the system.

List any other solutions you considered and why you rejected them.

Allow for deliveries on the Island and other mainland areas prior to fishing in other Area K Sections could be more confusing to the Department.

Log Number: EF021813295

This Proposal has been received and will be processed by the Boards Support Section.

Endurance Fisheries
POB 188
Chignik Lagoon
Alaska 99565
endurancefisheries@hughes.net
907-840-2288