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## 2013 PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND AND COPPER RIVER SALMON FORECAST

Forecast Area: Prince William Sound
Species: Pink Salmon (natural run only)
Preliminary Forecast of the 2013 Run

| Natural Production | Forecast Estimate (thousands) | Forecast Range (thousands) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Prince William Sound General Districts |  |  |
| Total Run | 6,200 | $1,200-23,600$ |
| Escapement Goal $^{\text {a }}$ | 1,449 |  |
| All Harvests ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 4,751 | $0-22,151$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ PWS pink salmon escapement goals are the sum of the median values of the sustainable escapement goals (SEG) for all districts in Prince William Sound for even or odd years. The escapement goals were changed in 2011 from a single sound-wide sustainable escapement goal (SEG) to district and brood line specific SEGs (first implementation in 2012). The sum of the district specific SEG ranges is now $0.99-2.28$ million pink salmon (median of 1.45 million) for the oddyear brood line and $0.79-1.70$ million pink salmon (median of 1.16 million) for the even-year brood line.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This total includes the harvests from commercial, subsistence, and sport fisheries.

## FORECAST METHODS

Total natural run by year was estimated as the total natural (non-hatchery) contribution to commercial harvests combined with the stream escapement index. The stream escapement index is calculated as the area under the curve of weekly aerial escapement surveys adjusted for estimates of stream life. No adjustments to the escapement index were made for aerial observer efficiency, the proportion of the total escapement represented by the index streams, or the number of hatchery strays in streams. The natural pink salmon contributions to the Commercial Common Property Fishery (CPF) were estimated by subtracting hatchery contributions from the CPF total. Hatchery contributions were determined by thermal marked otolith recoveries (1997-2012), coded wire tag recoveries (1985-1996), or average fry-to-adult survival estimates multiplied by fry release numbers and estimated exploitation rates (1977-1984).

The 2013 forecast is the average of the two previous brood year returns (2009 and 2011). This forecast method differs from the 2012 forecast that used the previous even-year total run, the 2011 forecast that used exponential smoothing, and the 1997-1999 method that used linear regressions of adult production versus brood year escapement index. Prior to 1997, forecast methods employed surveys of pre-emergent fry; however, these surveys ended in 1995. The forecast model for 2013
was selected by comparing the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) and the standard deviation of the MAPE among the models examined (return years 1963-2011). The range for the total run forecast was calculated by:
$\left(\hat{y}_{t+1} /\left(\sigma_{\text {min/max }}+1\right)\right)$
with

$$
\sigma_{i}=\left(\hat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right) / y_{i}
$$

where $\hat{y}_{t+1}$ is the forecast for the following year based on the average of the previous two odd brood year's total run size, $\sigma_{\min / \max }$ is the minimum and maximum proportional forecast error from all previous forecasts using this same method (1965-2011), $\sigma_{i}$ is the proportional forecast error for individual past years and $y_{i}$ and $\hat{y}_{i}$ are the actual and forecast total run sizes for individual previous years using this same method, respectively.

## FORECAST DISCUSSION

The predicted natural total run of pink salmon in 2013 is a naïve forecast that uses the average of the 2009 and 2011 total run estimates. Beginning in 2004, the department stopped producing hatchery pink salmon forecasts because the hatchery operators were already producing forecasts for their releases. Forecast methods examined for the 2013 natural run included: 1) previous odd brood year total run (most naïve forecast method), 2) total run averages with $2-10$ years of data (odd years), 3) linear regression of log-transformed total Prince William Sound (PWS) escapement versus logtransformed total PWS return by brood line, and 4) the inclusion of Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO; http://jisao.washington.edu/pdo/) and GAK1 water temperature data (http://www.ims.uaf.edu/gak1/) in regression models. The 2013 forecast was estimated from the average of the two previous odd-year total runs because this forecast had the lowest MAPE and the second lowest standard deviation of the MAPE. None of the models examined for natural pink salmon returning in odd-years produced forecasts with MAPE values below $100 \%$.

The brood year 2011 escapement index ( 3.9 million) was above the current sustainable escapement goal (SEG) range ( 1.21 million- 2.08 million) and ranked $2^{\text {nd }}$ of the observed odd-year escapements since 1960. If the 2013 total run forecast ( 6.2 million) is realized, it will be the $16^{\text {th }}$ largest among odd brood year returns since 1961. Environmental factors, which likely play a significant role in determining pink salmon returns in PWS, have been quite dynamic during the past 5-6 years. A warm regime, coinciding with generally high productivity of salmon, began in approximately 1977. Beginning in 2007, ocean temperatures at GAK1 along the Seward line were well below average (http://www.ims.uaf.edu/gak1/). The last few years have also been one of the longest periods of cold conditions, as measured by PDO index values, since the 1970s (http://jisao.washington.edu/pdo/). An El Niño event that spanned 2009-2010 corresponded to a period of positive PDO index values (http://www.elnino.noaa.gov/index.html) and the large number of pink salmon returning to PWS in 2010 spent much of their ocean lives in warmer El Niño conditions. With the passing of the 20092010 El Niño, PDO values again became negative in June of 2010 and remain negative through November 2012. The 2012 average PDO index rank as the $8^{\text {th }}$ most negative (coldest) in the last 100 years. Because cold ocean conditions are generally associated with lower salmon productivity, the pink salmon run in 2013 may be smaller than projected. It will not be known for several more years if the recent period of relatively cold ocean conditions signals the beginning of a new cold regime.

## Forecast Area: Prince William Sound Species: Chum Salmon (wild only)

## Preliminary Forecast of the 2013 Run

| Natural Production | Forecast Estimate (thousands) | Forecast Range (thousands) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Prince William Sound General Districts |  |  |
| Total Run | 512 | $218-831$ |
| Escapement Goal $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 200 | $18-631$ |
| Harvest Estimate $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 312 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ADF\&G intends to manage for the long-term average escapement to those districts with escapement goals; a total of |  |  |
| 200,000 chum salmon for the Eastern, Northern, Coghill, Northwestern, and Southeastern districts combined. The |  |  |
| sum of the lower-bound sustainable escapement goals for these districts is $91,000$. |  |  |

## FORECAST METHODS

We evaluated several naïve methods for the 2013 PWS wild chum salmon forecast, including average run size for the previous $2,3,4,5$, and 10 years and total run size from the previous year. From these models, total run size from the previous year had the lowest mean absolute percent error (MAPE) and was chosen as the forecasting method for 2013. The total natural run by year was estimated as the total commercial harvest contribution combined with the escapement index. The escapement index is calculated as the area under the curve of weekly aerial escapement surveys adjusted for estimates of stream life. No adjustments to the escapement index were made for aerial observer efficiency, the proportion of the total escapement represented by the index streams, or the number of hatchery strays in streams. The CPF harvest contributions of natural stock chum salmon were estimated using prehatchery average wild runs (2002 and 2003) or thermally marked otolith estimates (2004-2012) for each district in PWS. The range for the total run forecast was calculated by:
$\left(\hat{y}_{t+1} /\left(\sigma_{\text {min } / \text { max }}+1\right)\right)$
with

$$
\sigma_{i}=\left(\hat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right) / y_{i}
$$

where $\hat{y}_{t+1}$ is the forecast for the following year based on the previous year total run size, $\sigma_{\text {min } / \text { max }}$ is the minimum and maximum proportional forecast error from all previous forecasts using this same method (1990-2012), $\sigma_{i}$ is the proportional forecast error for individual past years and $y_{i}$ and $\hat{y}_{i}$ are the actual and forecast total run sizes for individual previous years using this same method, respectively.

## FORECAST DISCUSSION

Beginning in 2004, the department stopped producing hatchery chum salmon forecasts because the hatchery operators were already producing forecasts for their releases. Our ability to accurately forecast natural chum salmon stocks is limited by the small amount of data available. Estimates of natural stock contributions to CPF were unavailable prior to 2003. From 2003-2012 natural chum salmon contribution estimates based on thermally marked otoliths were available for the Coghill and Montague districts. Contribution estimates from thermally marked otoliths in other districts have been available since 2004. Historical chum salmon age data from escapements and CPF harvests are unavailable for most districts of PWS. If the 2013 wild chum salmon forecast of 512,200 is realized, it would be the 20th largest since 1970. For comparison, the estimated total run size was greater than 1.3 million from 1981-1988, but has not surpassed 1 million since 1988.

The cold ocean temperatures and negative PDO index values discussed previously for pink salmon may also negatively affect the run of chum salmon in 2013.

## Forecast Area: Prince William Sound

Species: Sockeye Salmon (wild only)

## Preliminary Forecast of the 2013 Run

| Natural Production | Forecast Estimate (thousands) | Forecast Range (thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prince William Sound, Coghill Lake |  |  |
| Total Run | 156 | 88-330 |
| Escapement Goal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 30 |  |
| Harvest Estimate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 126 | 58-300 |
| Prince William Sound, Eshamy Lake |  |  |
| Total Run | 53 | 29-77 |
| Escapement Goal ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 21 |  |
| Harvest Estimate ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 32 | 9-57 |
| Total Production |  |  |
| Run Estimate | 209 | 140-380 |
| Escapement Goal | 51 |  |
| Common Property Harvest ${ }^{\text {b, d }}$ | 158 | 90-330 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The escapement goal of 30,000 for Coghill Lake is the median of historical escapement estimates and the SEG range is $20,000-60,000$. The upper end was increased in 2011 from 40,000 to 60,000 .
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes the harvests from commercial, subsistence, and sport fisheries.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The escapement goal of 20,500 for Eshamy Lake is the midpoint of the biological escapement goal (BEG) range (13,000-28,000).
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The total PWS harvest estimate does not include the 10-year average annual commercial harvest of approximately 5,700 sockeye salmon in Unakwik District.

## FORECAST METHODS

The natural sockeye salmon run forecast to Coghill Lake is the total of estimates for 5 age classes. A linear regression model with natural log-transformed data was used to predict returns of age-1.3 sockeye salmon. This linear regression model was parameterized using the historical relationship between returns of age- 1.3 sockeye salmon and returns of the age- 1.2 fish one year previous (sibling model), which are from the same brood year. For example, the model to predict the return of age-1.3 sockeye salmon in 2013 used the return of age-1.2 fish in 2012 as the input parameter. Predicted returns of age-1.1, $-1.2,-2.2$, and -2.3 sockeye salmon were calculated as the 1974-2012 mean return of that age class. Harvest, escapement, and age composition data are available for Coghill Lake sockeye salmon runs since 1962; however, inclusion of escapements prior to the installation of a full weir in 1974 reduced forecast reliability. Therefore, only data collected since 1974 were used to estimate model parameters, calculate individual age class forecasts, and generate $80 \%$ prediction intervals. An approximate $80 \%$ prediction interval for the total run forecast was calculated using the squared deviations between the 2007-2012 forecasts and actual runs as the forecast variance:
$\hat{y} \pm t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \times M S E$
where $\hat{y}$ is the forecast prediction from the linear regression model described above, $t$ is the critical value, n is the sample size and MSE is the mean squared error. Historically, sibling model estimates of age- 1.3 returns to Coghill Lake have a much lower MAPE ( $\sim 34 \%$ ) than the sibling model used to predict returns of age-1.2 fish (~92\%).

The forecast of the natural sockeye salmon run to Eshamy Lake has historically been based on an apparent 4 -year cycle with leap years being the strongest run year. However, this cycle has diminished in recent years, and the 2013 forecast is simply the average annual runs since 1989. Eshamy Lake escapement has
been enumerated at a weir since 1950, except 1987, 1998 and 2012. Commercial harvest data are available for the same period, but age composition data are available for only some years after 1962. Data collected since 1970, excluding 1987, 1998 and 2012 were used to calculate the forecast. In 2012, a video monitoring system was used to enumerate the sockeye salmon run to Eshamy Lake. The $80 \%$ prediction interval was calculated using the equation described for Coghill Lake wild sockeye salmon.

PWS total run and common property harvest forecasts were calculated from the sum of Coghill and Eshamy lakes midpoint forecasts. The $80 \%$ prediction intervals were calculated as the sum of the point estimates plus/minus the square root of the sum of the squared differences between the individual point estimates and $80 \%$ prediction intervals for Coghill and Eshamy lakes.

## FORECAST DISCUSSION

Beginning in 2004, the department stopped forecasting hatchery runs of sockeye salmon to Main Bay Hatchery (MBH) because hatchery operators were already producing forecasts. Coghill Lake has dynamic limnological characteristics that significantly impact the sockeye salmon population. Studies conducted in the mid-1980s and early 1990s indicated the lake may be zooplankton limited. As a result, the biological escapement goal (BEG) midpoint was lowered in 1992 (from 40,000 to 25,000) to allow zooplankton populations to recover. Fertilizers were added to the lake (1993-1996) in a cooperative project with the U.S. Forest Service to improve the forage base for rearing sockeye salmon juveniles. In 2005, current data were reviewed and the midpoint escapement goal remained unchanged, but the goal type was changed from a BEG to an SEG. In 2002 the department began collecting limnological data to monitor basic lake characteristics. In 2011, the upper end of the Coghill Lake SEG was increased from 40,000 to 60,000 (new range $=20,000-60,000$ ). In 2012 the department began managing for the long-term median escapement of 30,000 . The Coghill Lake natural run escapement has been within or above the escapement goal range every year since 1995. If achieved, the 2013 total run forecast midpoint $(156,300)$ would be the $7^{\text {th }}$ largest run since 1988 . The majority $(109,400)$ of the overall Coghill Lake wild sockeye salmon forecast is predicted to come from age- 1.3 fish from the 2008 brood year. The substantial number of jacks returning in $2012(1,950)$ could indicate a large run of age- 1.2 sockeye salmon in 2013. However, there is considerable uncertainty in models used to estimate these returns, and we opted to use the average total return of age- 1.2 sockeye salmon $(35,900)$ rather than sibling model estimates $(55,500)$ for the 2013 forecast. Other factors that may influence the Coghill Lake wild sockeye salmon run in 2013 are the 20092010 El Niño event (http://www.elnino.noaa.gov/index.html) and the trend towards cooler ocean temperatures discussed for the pink salmon forecast.

Historically, Eshamy Lake was the largest natural stock contributor to CPF harvests of sockeye salmon in PWS outside of the Coghill District, and contributed to a substantial incidental harvest by the purse seine fishery in the Southwestern District. Although escapements into Eshamy River have been counted at a weir for 50 years, only periodic collection of age, sex, and size data has occurred for the Eshamy and Southwestern districts CPF harvests because of inconsistent harvest and delivery locations outside of Cordova. Contributions to CPF harvests in western PWS of sockeye salmon produced by the MBH have been estimated by recovery of coded wire tags and thermally marked otoliths. However, not all harvests can be adequately sampled, which increases the uncertainty of total run estimates for all natural and enhanced sockeye salmon stocks in western PWS. Age composition data and weir counts were not collected in 1987, 1998, and 2012 because of budget reductions.

The escapement goal for Eshamy Lake was reviewed in 2008 and the range was changed. The new BEG range is $13,000-28,000$ (midpoint 20,500). The old range was 20,000-40,000.

## Forecast Area: Copper River <br> Species: Chinook Salmon

Preliminary Forecast of the 2013 Run

| Natural Production | Forecast Estimate (thousands) | Forecast Range (thousands) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Run | 46 | $28-75$ |
| Escapement Goal $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 27 |  |
| Harvest Estimate $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 20 | $1-48$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The Chinook salmon spawning escapement goal of 24,000 is a lower bound sustainable escapement goal. ADF\&G intends to manage for the estimated long-term average escapement of 27,000 Chinook salmon.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The maximum harvest by all fisheries (subsistence, personal use, sport, and commercial) that allows achieving the average spawning escapement. The projected commercial common property harvest is 19,800 .

## FORECAST METHODS

Forecast methods examined for the Chinook salmon forecast included: 1) the previous year's run size (most naïve method), and 2) mean total run size estimates ( $2,3,4$, and 5 year averages). The pseudo-sibling models were not updated for 2013. Retrospective forecasts using the previous year's run size had a smaller MAPE (26\%) and a smaller standard deviation of the MAPE (14\%) than other mean run forecasts and was used as the forecast for 2013.

The range for the total run forecast was calculated as:
$\left(\hat{y}_{t+1} /\left(\sigma_{\min / \max }+1\right)\right)$
with
$\sigma_{i}=\left(\hat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right) / y_{i}$
where $\hat{y}_{t+1}$ is the forecast for the following year based on the previous year total run size, $\sigma_{\min / \max }$ is the minimum and maximum proportional forecast error from all previous forecasts using this same method (2000-2012), $\sigma_{i}$ is the proportional forecast error for individual past years and $y_{i}$ and $\hat{y}_{i}$ are the actual and forecast total run sizes for individual previous years using this same method, respectively.

The harvest forecast is the total run estimate minus the average escapement of 27,000 since 1980 as determined from catch-age analysis and mark-recapture point estimates.

## FORECAST DISCUSSION

The department did not generate a formal Chinook salmon total run forecast between 1998 and 2007 because of inadequate estimates of inriver abundance or spawning escapement. Forecasts made prior to 1998 used aerial survey indices adjusted to approximate the total escapement. These forecasts performed poorly, especially after the number of aerial surveys was significantly reduced in 1994. In 1999 the Sport Fish Division of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game began a markrecapture program to estimate the inriver abundance of Chinook salmon. The Native Village of Eyak became a collaborator on the project and eventually took the lead role. There are currently 14 years (1999-2012) of inriver abundance estimates.

The 2013 total run forecast point estimate of 46,300 is $\sim 23,000$ fish below the 14-year average $(1999-2012$ average $=70,000)$. If realized, the 2013 forecast total run would be the $5^{\text {th }}$ smallest since 1980.

Forecast Area: Copper River<br>Species: Sockeye Salmon

Preliminary Forecast of the 2013 Run

| Natural Production | Forecast Estimate (thousands) | Forecast Range (thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Run | 1,840 | 1,040-2,640 |
| Escapement Goal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| upper Copper River | 450 |  |
| Copper River Delta | 169 |  |
| Common Property Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,220 | 550-1,890 |
| Hatchery and Supplemental Production |  |  |
| PWSAC - Gulkana Hatchery |  |  |
| Hatchery Run | 400 | 230-580 |
| Broodstock Needs | 20 |  |
| Supplemental Escapement ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100 |  |
| Common Property Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 280 | 130-440 |
| Total Production |  |  |
| Run Estimate | 2,240 | 1,270-3,210 |
| Natural Escapement Goal | 619 |  |
| Broodstock Needs | 20 |  |
| Supplemental Escapement ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100 |  |
| upper Copper River Inriver Goal ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 699 |  |
| Common Property Harvest ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,500 | 790-2,220 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The upper Copper River escapement goal of 450,000 sockeye salmon is the historical average spawning escapement. The new sustainable escapement goal (SEG) adopted in 2011 is $360,000-750,000$. The adjusted Copper River Delta escapement goal is the average peak count from aerial surveys $(84,500)$ multiplied by 2 to adjust for proportion of the total number of fish estimated by aerial observers. The SEG $(55,000-130,000)$ is based on the sum of the unadjusted peak counts.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes harvests from commercial, subsistence, personal use, and sport fisheries.
${ }^{c}$ Hatchery production that will not be harvested to ensure that natural escapement to the upper Copper River is achieved, because natural stocks cannot sustain the higher exploitation rates of hatchery stocks.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The upper Copper River inriver goal categories include spawning escapement (sockeye and other salmon); sport, subsistence, and personal use fishery harvests; and hatchery broodstock and supplemental escapement (5 AAC 24.360 (b)). The inriver goal estimate is preliminary until upriver harvest estimates for 2012 are available.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ The commercial common property harvest midpoint estimate is $1,300,000$ sockeye salmon and the $80 \%$ prediction interval is $580,000-2,020,000$. The point estimate for the total common property harvest is calculated as the forecast total run estimate minus the sockeye salmon portion of the inriver goal and the Copper River Delta escapement goal.

## FORECAST METHODS

Forecast methods examined for natural Copper River sockeye salmon for 2013 included 1) the previous year's run size (most naïve method), 2) mean total run size estimates ( $2,3,4,5,10$, and all year averages), 3) mean return of individual age classes, and 4) regression models of sibling relationships. The forecast of natural sockeye salmon to the Copper River is the total of estimates for 6 age classes. Linear regression models with log-transformed data were used to predict returns for age-1.2, -1.3 , and -2.2 sockeye salmon. These 3 age classes were predicted from the relationship between returns of that age class and returns of the age class one year younger from the same brood year (sibling model). The predicted return of age-1.1, -0.3 , and -2.3 sockeye salmon were calculated as the 5 -year (2008-2012) mean return of those age classes. The total common property harvest forecast was calculated by subtracting the Gulkana Hatchery broodstock, hatchery surplus, and wild stock escapement goal needs (upriver and Copper River Delta) from the total run forecast. The commercial common property estimate was calculated by subtracting from the total run a preliminary
estimate of the inriver goal categories (5 AAC 24.360(b)) and the Copper River Delta spawning escapement goal. The $80 \%$ prediction bounds for the total run and harvest forecast were calculated using the method described previously for Coghill Lake sockeye salmon, except only the years 1983-2012 were used in the calculation of mean squared error.

Forecast models examined to predict the 2013 run to Gulkana Hatchery included 1) age specific fry-to-adult survival estimate averages ( $3,5,10$, and 25 years) applied to all releases combined, and 2) age specific smolt-to-adult survival estimates for Crosswind and Summit lakes releases combined with fry-to-adult survival estimates for onsite releases at Gulkana I and Gulkana II hatcheries. The selected forecast used age specific smolt-to-adult survival estimates of Crosswind and Summit lakes combined with fry-to-adult survival estimates of Gulkana I and Gulkana II hatcheries. Smolt-toadult survival estimates were calculated using coded wire tag recoveries in harvests and enumerated adult escapements from brood years 1995-1998. Supplemental production from Gulkana I and Gulkana II hatcheries was estimated from fry releases and a fry-to-adult survival of $1.5 \%$. The run was apportioned to brood year using a maturity schedule of $13 \%$ age 4 and $87 \%$ age 5 . An estimated exploitation rate of $70 \%$ was used to project the total harvest of Gulkana Hatchery stocks in 2013. The $80 \%$ prediction interval for the forecast of supplemental production was calculated using the mean square error estimate of the total run described above for Coghill Lake sockeye salmon.

## FORECAST DISCUSSION

Forecasts prior to 1998 relied on the relationship between numbers of spawners and subsequent returns, using return-per-spawner values for parent year abundance similar to the dominant age class (age 5) of the forecast year. Because average return-per-spawner values do not reflect recent production trends, and because returns are still incomplete from the recent brood years, linear regressions of brood year sibling returns were used for forecasts beginning in 1998. Additionally, more precise estimates of survival and contributions from hatchery production for brood years and release locations were available from coded wire tag recoveries in harvests and escapements for brood years 1995-1998.

Historical estimates of Gulkana Hatchery production prior to 1995 are considered imprecise. Improved contribution estimates for brood years 1995-1998 indicated large contributions from supplemental production and smolt-to-adult survival estimates for Crosswind Lake releases that averaged about 20\%. Fish marked with strontium chloride (Sr) began returning in 2003 (age-4 fish) and the majority of the adult run (age-4 and age-5 fish) was marked beginning in 2004. Fish from all release locations (Gulkana I and Gulkana II hatchery sites and Crosswind and Summit lakes) are now marked, but all fish have the same mark. We can estimate the total contribution of enhanced fish from all Gulkana Hatchery releases, but unless different marks for individual releases can be developed, forecasts will be limited to using fry-to-adult survival estimates and estimated maturity schedules to forecast total enhanced production.

The spawning escapement goals for the upper Copper River and Copper River Delta were reviewed in 2011. The upper Copper River spawning escapement goal was changed from an SEG of $300,000-500,000$ to $360,000-750,000$. This change was because of the conversion of Bendix sonar counts to DIDSON sonar equivalent counts and an update in the years used in the goal calculation. There was no change to the Copper River Delta SEG of 55,000-130,000.

The 2013 run will be composed primarily of returns from brood years 2008 and 2009. Five-year-old fish (brood year 2008) are expected to predominate Copper River Delta and upper Copper River runs. The 2008 Miles Lake cumulative count did not exceed the minimum anticipated until 4 July although
the commercial fishery had 6 day and 18 day closures in June. The 2009 cumulative sonar counts were above the minimum objective in May, but below the minimum cumulative objective for the first two weeks of June. The total counts for both 2008 and 2009 exceeded the minimum cumulative objectives by the end of the season (2008: 718,344 actual vs. cumulative objective of 601,125 and 2009: 709,748 actual vs. cumulative objective of 576,818 ). The Copper River Delta escapement indices for $2008(67,950)$ and $2009(68,622)$ were within the SEG range of 55,000 to $130,000$.
The Gulkana Hatchery run will include fish from Crosswind Lake smolt migrations of more than 1 million fish in 2010 ( 1.7 million or $3^{\text {rd }}$ largest) and 2011 ( 1.4 million ). The brood year 1993-2009 average migration from Crosswind Lake is 1.2 million smolt. The run will also include 4 -year-old fish from a small Summit Lake smolt outmigration $(94,123)$ and 5 -year-old fish from the $8^{\text {th }}$ largest smolt outmigration $(416,000)$.

The 2013 total run forecast ( 2.24 million) is close to the recent 10-year average total run ( 2.28 million). If realized, the 2013 forecast total run would be the $11^{\text {th }}$ largest since 1980 . The 1.84 million natural run would be below the recent 10 -year average ( 1.94 million), and a 0.40 million Gulkana Hatchery run would be $\sim 0.15$ million above the 10 -year average ( 0.25 million). The natural run forecast is driven by the large 4 -year-old (age-1.2) fish estimate in 2012 ( $4^{\text {th }}$ largest since 1965) and the subsequent prediction for 5 -year-old (age-1.3) fish in 2013. There have been 6 additional years with run estimates of age- 1.2 fish greater than $\sim 400,000$. The return of age- 1.3 fish the following year has been significantly larger than expected in 5 of the 6 years. The enhanced run forecast is driven by smolt outmigration numbers from both Crosswind and Summit lakes. The influence of environmental factors including the cooler ocean temperatures that have predominated since September 2007, and the warmer ocean temperature from the El Niño event (August 2009 to May 2010) are factors that increase the uncertainty in the 2013 run projection.

