


# **NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:**

Their Hunting Trip
Characteristics and Economics

Dr. Daniel W. McCollum

SuzAnne M. Miller

August 1994



## **NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:**

THEIR HUNTING TRIP CHARACTERISTICS AND ECONOMICS

Dr. Daniel W. McCollum
SuzAnne M. Miller

**AUGUST, 1994** 

#### INTRODUCTION

Economic and social values play an increasingly important role in the natural resource decision making process. In order for resource managers, legislators, policy makers, and the public to fully consider alternative natural resource uses, information on the economic and social values the public places on wildlife and wildlife related uses must be considered.

Wildlife is an integral part of the Alaskan lifestyle and a central feature of Alaska's national and international image. In spite of the obvious importance of wildlife to Alaska, few data have been collected on the contributions that wildlife resources make to the local, regional, and state economies, or on the social and economic value both state residents and nonresidents place on these resources.

In 1989, the Division of Wildlife Conservation of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game initiated a program to better understand the social importance and economic impact and value of Alaska's wildlife. The first step the agency took was to cooperate with the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Federal Aid Program and the U. S. Forest Service Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station to host a workshop with some of the nation's leading resource economists to develop a sound technical strategy for the program. Two products resulted from that workshop: 1) a book explaining the use of economic principles in addressing wildlife management issues in Alaska for wildlife managers and graduate students in wildlife ecology entitled Valuing Wildlife Resources in Alaska, edited by George L. Peterson, Cindy Sorg Swanson, Daniel W. McCollum, and Michael H. Thomas was published in 1992; and, 2) a detailed study plan for estimating the economic impact of wildlife dependent activities on the state's and regional economies was developed.

The economic impact study plan identified four wildlife user/activity combinations: 1) resident hunting; 2) resident wildlife viewing; 3) nonresident hunting; and 4) nonresident wildlife viewing. In 1991, the Division of Wildlife Conservation sought the cooperation of federal resource management agencies to begin to implement the study plan by designing a project to collect and analyze economic data from the first three wildlife user/activity groups. These three groups were included in a single project because there existed appropriate sampling frames for each group from which primary data could be gathered using mail surveys. The project was designed to include collection and analysis of public opinion data on wildlife management issues as well as economic data.

This report explains the methods used in collecting and analyzing data for that project. It also summarizes the results of that project with respect to the economic and hunting trip characteristics information collected from the nonresident hunting user/activity group. Results on the public opinion and demographic data collected from the nonresident hunting user/activity group, and results from all data collected from the resident wildlife viewing and hunting user/activity groups are presented in separate, companion reports.

August, 1994 Page 1

#### CONTRIBUTING AGENCIES

This project was made possible by the contributions of the following agencies:

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game

The U. S. Forest Service Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Federal Aid Program

The U.S. Forest Service Region 10

The National Park Service

The Bureau of Land Management

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Region

The University of Alaska, Fairbanks

#### PROJECT DESIGN AND ADMINISTRATION

The purpose of the project was to collect, analyze, and report primary data on the economic and social importance of wildlife from three wildlife user/activity groups: resident hunters, resident wildlife viewers, and nonresident hunters. Mail surveys were chosen as an appropriate and cost effective method of collecting data from individuals in each group. A sampling frame was identified for each of the three user/activity groups and a sample estimated to be sufficient in size to provide economic data at a regional level was drawn from each:

#### 1. Resident Hunters

A simple random sample of 4,000 resident hunters was drawn from the list of Alaska residents who purchased a resident Alaska hunting license in 1991.

#### 2. Resident Wildlife Viewers

A sample weighted by legislative district of 4,725 was drawn from the list of Alaskans registered to vote in 1990, which was the most recent election year.

The samples from the resident hunters and the registered voters were mutually exclusive. If a hunter was selected who had already been selected from the voters list, then another hunter was selected.

#### Nonresident Hunters

A simple random sample of 1,000 was drawn from the list of nonresidents who purchased an Alaska hunting license in 1991.

A steering committee consisting of a representative from each contributing agency was formed in September, 1991. The purpose of the committee was to identify the economic and public opinion information needs of each agency, to assist in designing the questionnaires to be used in the mail surveys, and to pretest draft questionnaires by holding focus groups. Dr. Richard C. Bishop and Dr. Thomas A. Heberlein from the University of Wisconsin assisted the steering committee and the authors in developing questionnaires which would result in providing the information needs identified by the committee.

The questionnaires were designed to estimate the following:

- 1. the number of trips that each user group took during 1991 to pursue wildlife related activities;
- 2. the impact of those trips on the state and regional economies;
- 3. the economic value that users placed on those trips;
- 4. the demographic characteristics of each user group; and
- 5. the attitudes, opinions, and knowledge of each user group about wildlife and wildlife management.

Draft questionnaires were developed and pretested in a total of 19 focus groups consisting of from 6 to 15 participants. A total of 156 draft questionnaires were completed by focus group participants and were analyzed to identify questionnaire problems. The final questionnaires consisted of a combination of public opinion, demographic, and economic questions. A copy of the questionnaire is presented in Part B of each report covering the results from each user group. The questionnaires took respondents from 25 to 30 minutes to complete.

The mail surveys consisted of four separate mailings to each group:

- an introductory letter was sent to all persons drawn in the samples explaining that the person had been selected to participate and requesting their cooperation;
- 2. a copy of the questionnaire was sent to all persons who did not have their introductory letters returned by the Post Office as undeliverable;
- a second copy of the questionnaire was sent to all persons who did not respond to the first questionnaire; and
- 4. a third copy of the questionnaire was sent to all persons who did not respond to either the first or second questionnaire.

The mailing schedule and results are summarized in Table 1.

August, 1994 Page 3

	TABLE 1. Mailing schedule and questionnaire returns						
DATE	ITEM AND NUMBER SENT	NUMBER RETURNED					
March 6, 1992	Introductory letters	Undeliverable letters					
	4,000 Alaska hunters 4,725 Alaska voters 1,000 nonresident hunters	454 Alaska hunters 584 Alaska voters 118 nonresident hunters					
March 23, 1992	First questionnaires	First questionnaires					
	3,546 Alaska hunters 4,141 Alaska voters 882 nonresident hunters	1,477 Alaska hunters 1,554 Alaska voters 397 nonresident hunters					
April 20, 1992	Second questionnaires	Second questionnaires					
	2,069 Alaska hunters 2,587 Alaska voters 485 nonresident hunters	391 Alaska hunters 539 Alaska voters 184 nonresident hunters					
May 18, 1992	Third questionnaires	Third questionnaires					
	1,678 Alaska hunters 2,048 Alaska voters 301 nonresident hunters	209 Alaska hunters 277 Alaska voters 66 nonresident hunters					
		Total questionnaires					
		2,077 Alaska hunters 2,370 Alaska voters 647 nonresident hunters					

Nonresident hunters had the highest response rate with 73.4% of those who received a questionnaire responding; resident hunters had the second highest response rate with 58.6% responding; and Alaska voters had the lowest response rate of 57.2%.

#### **SURVEY RESULTS**

The returned questionnaires from each survey were compared to the original sampling frames to test for response bias. The known gender, age and legislative district of the returns from the Alaska voters were compared to those from the entire population of 1990 voters. The known gender and age of resident and nonresident hunters were compared to those from the entire populations of 1991 resident and nonresident hunters respectively. The location of residence for hunters was not compared because only mailing addresses were available on the hunting license files. In Alaska, unlike other parts of the U.S., mailing address is not a reliable indicator of where a person actually resides. Using a significance level of .05, statistical differences were found between returned questionnaires and the sample population of Alaska voters with regard to legislative district, of resident hunters with regard to age, and of nonresident hunters with regard to both gender and age. Weights were calculated for each factor for each sample to remove response bias based on those characteristics and to retain the original sample size to ensure the validity of statistical results. The results of the comparisons and the corresponding weights are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

The results from each user/activity group are presented in two separate reports: Characteristics and Attitudes Towards Wildlife, and Trip Characteristics and Economics, for a total of six reports:

Alaska Voters: Their Characteristics and Attitudes Towards Wildlife

Alaska Voters: Their Wildlife Viewing Trip Characteristics and Economics

Alaska Hunters: Their Characteristics and Attitudes Towards Wildlife

Alaska Hunters: Their Hunting Trip Characteristics and Economics

Alaska Nonresident Hunters: Their Characteristics and Attitudes Towards Wildlife

Alaska Nonresident Hunters: Their Hunting Trip Characteristics and Economics

All six reports are very detailed and intended to be used as reference documents by the cooperating agencies. The responses to each question in each questionnaire are analyzed by demographic features of the respondents. Those features are:

#### **ALASKA VOTERS**

- gender
- age
- location of residence
- education
- income
- race
- years living in Alaska
- history of hunting
- history of wildlife viewing

#### **ALASKA HUNTERS**

- gender
- age
- location of residence
- education
- income
- race
- years living in Alaska
- history of completing a hunter education class

#### NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

- gender
- age
- education
- income
- race
- history of completing a hunter education class
- use of a hunting guide
- location of hunting trip
- species hunted
- species bagged

TABLE 2. Comparison of Known Gender and Age of Returned Questionnaires from Alaska Voters and the 1990 Voter Registration File							
FACTOR	RETURNED QUE	STIONNAIRES	REGISTER	ED VOTERS			
GENDER	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT			
MALE	1,244	52.6%	144,379	50.8%			
FEMALE	1,123	47.4%	139,954	49.2%			
TOTAL	2,367	100.0%	284,333	100.0%			
Pearson Chi-Square	e = 2.969 DF = 1	Significance = .08	5				
FACTOR	RETURNED QUE	STIONNAIRES	REGISTERED VOTERS				
AGE	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT			
20 or less	44	1.9%	5,436	1.9%			
21 to 30	389	16.4%	51,829	18.4%			
31 to 40	733	31.0%	88,108	31.2%			
41 to 50	<b>59</b> 6	25.2%	69,978	24.8%			
51 to 60	316	13.4%	34,468	12.2%			
60 or older	289	12.2%	32,169	11.4%			
TOTAL	2,367	100.0%	281,988	100.0%			
Pearson Chi-Square = 8.838 DF = 5 Significance = .116							

FACTOR	RETUR	RNED QUESTION	NAIRES	REGISTERE	D VOTERS	
LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT	NUMBER	PERCENT	WEIGHT	NUMBER PERCENT		
1	87	3.7%	1.079	11,270	3.9%	
2	65	2.8%	0.913	7,120	2.5%	
3	106	4.5%	0.444	5,643	1.9%	
4	103	4.4%	1.274	15,750	5.5%	
5	89	3.8%	1.450	15,490	5.4%	
6	103	4.4%	0.529	6,544	2.3%	
7	99	4.2%	0.713	8,469	2.9%	
8	93	3.9%	1.506	16,808	5.9%	
9	80	3.4%	1.600	15,360	5.4%	
10	99	4.2%	1.260	14,968	5.2%	
11	92	3.9%	0.837	9,246	3.2%	
12	78	3.3%	1.126	10,538	3.7%	
13	77	3.3%	1.409	13,023	4.5%	
14	109	4.6%	1.029	13,455	4.7%	
15	84	3.6%	1.869	18,844	6.6%	
16	111	4.7%	1.507	20,071	7.0%	
17	90	3.8%	0.610	6,593	2.3%	
18	93	3.9%	0.970	10,824	3.8%	
19	107	4.5%	0.746	9,577	3.3%	
20	87	3.7%	1.218	12,714	4.4%	
21	97	4.1%	0.633	7,374	2.5%	
22	68	2.9%	0.667	5,446	1.9%	
23	68	2.9%	0.649	5,296	1.8%	
24	63	2.7%	0.667	5,041	1.7%	
25	58	2.5%	0.724	5,038	1.7%	
26	76	3.2%	0.795	7,252	2.5%	
27	. 77	3.3%	0.724	6,690	2.3%	

August, 1994 Page 7

Significance = .000

Pearson Chi-Square = 1677.97 DF = 72

TABLE 3. Comparison of Known Gender and Age of Returned Questionnaires from Alaska Resident Hunters and the 1991 Resident Hunting License File							
FACTOR	RETUR	NED QUESTIC	ONNAIRES	RESIDENT	HUNTERS		
GENDER	NUMBE	ER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
MALE	1,8	20	87.6%	73,943	86.5%		
FEMALE	2	57	12.4%	11,530	13.5%		
TOTAL	2,0	77	100.0%	85,473	100.0%		
Pearson Chi-Square = 2.168 DF = 1 Significance = .141							
FACTOR	RETUR	NED QUESTIC	ONNAIRES	RESIDENT	HUNTERS		
AGE	NUMBER	PERCENT	WEIGHT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
20 or less	61	2.9%	1.538	3,756	4.5%		
21 to 30	359	17.3%	1.280	18,389	22.1%		
31 to 40	762	36.7%	0.975	29,740	35.8%		
41 to 50	614	29.6%	0.853	20,975	25.2%		
51 to 60	269	13.0%	0.868	9,341	11.2%		
60 or older	11	0.5%	2.035	896	1.1%		
TOTAL	2,076	100.0%	1.000	83,097	100.0%		
Pearson Chi-Square	e = 59.323	DF = 5	Significance = .0	00			

TABLE 4. Comparison of Known Gender and Age of Returned Questionnaires from Nonresident Hunters and the 1991 Nonresident Hunting License File							
FACTOR	RETUR	RNED QUESTION	ONNAIRES	NONRESIDE	NT HUNTER\$		
AGE	NUMBER	PERCENT	WEIGHT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
MALE	638	98.6%	0.986	6,806	97.2%		
FEMALE	9	1.4%	1.982	193	2.8%		
TOTAL	647	100.0%	1.000	6,999	100.0%		
Pearson Chi-Square = 4.300 DF = 1 Significance = .038							
FACTOR	RETUR	RNED QUESTIC	ONNAIRES	NONRESIDENT HUNTERS			
AGE	NUMBER	PERCENT	WEIGHT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
20 or less	6	0.9%	2.192	136	2.0%		
21 to 30	54	8.4%	1.358	758	11.4%		
31 to 40	213	33.0%	0.860	1,894	28.4%		
41 to 50	195	30.2%	1.010	2,037	30.5%		
51 to 60	121	18.8%	0.968	1,211	18.2%		
60 or older	56	8.7%	1.093	633	9.5%		
TOTAL	645	100.0%	1.000	6,669	100.0%		
Pearson Chi-Square = 13.382 DF = 5 Significance = .020							

August, 1994 Page 9

One of the demographic factors used in analyzing the results of both the public opinion and economic data is the location of respondents' residences and their hunting or wildlife viewing activities. In all six reports location is identified by geographic region. Five regions are defined on the basis of Alaska Department of Fish and Game's Game Management Units. Table 5 gives the regional designation for each Game Management Unit. These regions were designed to correspond with regions used by the Alaska Visitor Statistics Program of the Alaska Department of Commerce and Economic Development, Division of Tourism. The regions generally correspond to the regions used by the Division of Wildlife Conservation of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, with one exception. The Division of Wildlife Conservation does not recognize Region 4, and considers it part of Region 2.

	TABLE 5. Region Definitions								
REGION 1	REGION 2	REGION 3	REGION 4	REGION 5					
SOUTH EAST	SOUTH CENTRAL	INTERIOR	SOUTH WEST	ARCTIC WESTERN					
	CONTAIN THE FOLLOWING GAME MANAGEMENT UNITS								
GMU 1 GMU 2 GMU 3 GMU 4 GMU 5	GMU 6 GMU 7 GMU 13 GMU 14 GMU 15 GMU 16	GMU 12 GMU 19 GMU 20 GMU 21 GMU 24 GMU 26B GMU 26C	GMU 8 GMU 9 GMU 10 GMU 17	GMU 18 GMU 22 GMU 23 GMU 26A					

Part A of each of the six reports presents summary tables for the breakdown of responses by demographic features for each individual question. Most of the summary tables are of two basic types:

#### Pearson Chi-squared Tables

A table presenting the Pearson Chi-squared statistic, the degrees of freedom, and the observed significance level of the test for independence between the responses to the question and the various categories or levels of each demographic factor is presented first.

In addition to the demographic features, question responses are tested for independence between "mailings when the response was received". In mail questionnaires, there is often a response bias associated with when the questionnaire was returned by the respondent. Respondents who return their questionnaires after receiving the first questionnaire may have different characteristics, attitudes, and spending habits than those who returned their questionnaires after receiving one or two reminder questionnaires. Such differences indicate that it would not be valid to assume that the surveys' results could be applied to those people who received a questionnaire but failed to respond. This bias may be specific to individual questions in the questionnaires. To examine the

Page 10 August, 1994

possibility of this type of bias, each individual question within each questionnaire was analyzed to identify statistically significant differences between the responses received after each mailing. For those questions where a statistically significant difference exists between the mailings when the responses were received, care must be taken in expanding the results to the population from which the samples were drawn.

Over 2,000 significance tests were calculated over all three surveys. If an observed significance level of .05 is used to reject the null hypothesis of independence, and conclude that statistically significant differences exist between the demographic categories, then we could expect to be wrong in our conclusions about 5 times in 100. This means that we would be wrong about 100 times over all three surveys.

#### **Breakdown Tables**

Summary tables which breakdown or cross the responses to the individual question with each of the demographic factors follow the Pearson Chi-squared Table. The breakdown tables present the percentages of the weighted responses that are in each category of response to the question for each category of the demographic factors. Since the percentages are weighted, they can be used as population estimates for each respective sample frame within the guidelines discussed in the previous section regarding response bias. The reliability of the percentages presented in the summary tables depends on the sample size and the magnitude of the percentage. Survey results close to 50% are the least reliable; results around 1% or 99% are the most reliable. Larger samples provide greater reliability than smaller samples. Table 6 summarizes the reliability intervals at the 95% confidence for each of the three surveys.

TABLE 6. Survey Reliability Intervals: 95% Confidence Level							
	VOTER SURVEY SAMPLE SIZE 2370	RESIDENT HUNTER SURVEY SAMPLE SIZE 2077	NONRESIDENT HUNTER SURVEY SAMPLE SIZE 647				
WHEN SURVEY RESULT IS:	THEN MAXIMUM MARGIN OF ERROR IS:						
1% OR 99%	0.4%	0.4%	0.8%				
10% OR 90%	1.2%	1.3%	2.3%				
20% OR 80%	1.6%	1.7%	3.1%				
30% OR 70%	1.8%	2.0%	3.5%				
40% OR 60%	2.0%	2.1%	3.8%				
50% OR 50%	2.0%	2.2%	3.9%				

August, 1994 Page 11

Most of the questions required unique answers. That is, each respondent chose one answer among a number of possibilities. However, several questions allowed respondents to provide more than one response. For example, hunters could list more than one species when asked which species they were hunting. The summary tables for questions with multiple responses are identified as such and do not display totals.

The unit of analysis for all the public opinion and demographic data is the individual respondent and all respondents are included. Missing responses to individual questions are identified. The unit of analysis for trip characteristics and economic activities varies between the individual respondent with all responses included, a subset of respondents who indicated that they have participated in wildlife-related activities, and randomly selected wildlife-related overnight trips taken in 1991. The overnight trips in the nonresident hunters survey were selected by having respondents identify their longest overnight hunting trip in Alaska. This was appropriate because most nonresident hunter only make one overnight hunting trip per year. However, resident hunters and wildlife viewers may make many overnight trips. The overnight trips taken by residents were selected by having respondents first list their wildlife viewing or hunting trips in a table. From the table, the respondents were directed through a series of instructions which resulted in one of their trips being selected. They were then asked a series of questions regarding their economic activities and the characteristics of their selected trip. All returned questionnaires were reviewed to ensure that only those trips that were correctly identified through the random selection process were considered in the analyses. The reader can refer to the questionnaire in Part B of each report to review the exact mechanism used to randomly select overnight trips.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Many peopled worked long and hard to make this project a reality. The project steering committee members, Mr. William Martin of the Federal Aid Program of the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Mr. Robert Dewey of the U. S. D. A. Forest Service, Alaska Region, Ms. Sue Mills and Mr. Doug Whittaker of the National Park Service, Mr. John Thompson of the Bureau of Land Management, Dr. John Schoen and Ms. Lana Shea of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, and Dr. John Boyce of the University of Alaska, Fairbanks, all dedicated considerable time and effort to project design. Dr. Erda Wang and Ms. Michelle Haefele, of Colorado State University assisted in analyzing the economic data. The entire staff of the Information Management Program of the Division of Wildlife Conservation, Alaska Department of Fish and Game made the whole project possible by their exceptional abilities and willingness to put forth whatever effort necessary to execute all phases of the project. Staff members include Mr. Bob Walker, Ms. Tammy Wettin, Ms. Rhonda Zak, Ms. Rebecca Strauch, Mr. Earl Becker, Mr. Randy Kardong, Ms. Teri Arnold, Ms. Lynn Dang, Ms. Ruth Moulton, Ms. Suzan Bowan, and student interns, Mr. Michael Schmahl, Mr. Jeffrey Sabrowski, Ms. Edith Jones, Ms. Kynda Curtis, and Ms. Jennifer Raley. Mr. Larry Aumiller created original drawings for the covers of each questionnaire. Ms. Sheryl Jamieson provided clerical support.

Page 12 August, 1994

Part A

Table of Contents for Summary Tables of Responses From 647 Nonresident Hunters

## **Characteristics of Primary Big Game Hunting Trips**

	A BRIEF SUMMARY OF CHARACTERISTICS OF PRIMARY BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA	
A-1	NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991	
A-2	TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	10
A-3	TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	20
A-4	SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	40
A-5	NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	60
A-6	NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	8
A-7	DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	124
A-8	HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	153
A-9	MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED	182
A-10	OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	197
The Net E	Economic Value of Primary Big Game Hunting Trips	
	A BRIEF SUMMARY OF NET ECONOMIC VALUE RELATED TO PRIMARY BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA	221
A-11	WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST	223
A-12	RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP	232
A-13	NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STATEWIDE BY WILDLIFE SPECIES	263
<b>A-1</b> 4	NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY REGION	275

Expenditures	and Economic Impact Directly Attributable to Big Game Hunting
	A BRIEF SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AND ECONOMIC IMPACT DIRECTLY ATTRIBUTABLE TO BIG GAME HUNTING BY NONRESIDENTS
	TECHNICAL NOTES ON INPUT-OUTPUT ANALYSIS AND MODELS FOR THE INTERESTED READER
A-15	RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA
A-16	EXPENDITURES BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (STATEWIDE) BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY
A-17	EXPENDITURES BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT BY REGION AND EXPENDITURE CATEGORY
A-18	RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP
A-19	RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP394
A-20	TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (STATEWIDE)
A-21	TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY REGION AND EXPENDITURE CATEGORY
A-22	TOTAL BASE ECONOMY STATEWIDE442
A-23	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONSUMPTIVE WILDLIFE USE BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS STATEWIDE
A-24	TOTAL BASE ECONOMY AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONSUMPTIVE WILDLIFE USE BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS BY REGION
Potential Dem	and by Nonresident Hunters for Wildlife Viewing
	EXPLORING NONRESIDENT HUNTERS' POTENTIAL DEMAND FOR NEW WILDLIFE VIEWING SITES
A-25	IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A DESIGNATED SPECIES IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP?
A-26	AVERAGE GROSS VALUE FOR TRIP TO EASILY ACCESSIBLE WILDLIFE VIEWING SITE FOR DESIGNATED SPECIES
A-27	LIKELIHOOD RATIO TESTS OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SUBGROUPS IN MODELS RELATED TO WILDLIFE VIEWING SITES FOR DESIGNATED SPECIES
Literature Cite	d

**Characteristics of Primary Big Game Hunting Trips** 

August, 1994 Page A-1

## A Brief Summary of Characteristics of Primary Big Game Hunting Trips to Alaska

The Nonresident Hunter sample consists of 647 nonresident individuals who purchased hunting licenses in Alaska during 1991. As explained in the Introduction, the nonresident hunter sample was weighted by sex and age to make it more representative of the nonresident hunter population. A few hunters (3.0%) reported taking 0 big game hunting trips in Alaska in 1991 (Table A-1). About half of those are "missing" responses to that particular question. Responses to other questions indicate they actually did take a big game hunting trip in Alaska during 1991—though most of them were secondary hunting trips. Hence, about 1.5% of those purchasing a nonresident hunting license appear not to have actually taken a big game hunting trip in Alaska during 1991. A few hunters (4.3% of the sample) reported taking more than one big game hunting trip to Alaska during 1991. Summing the number of trips over the entire sample results in a total of 662 big game hunting trips taken by our sample of 647 nonresident hunters. Inflating that number of total trips to represent the population of nonresident hunters (by multiplying by 10.833, which is the total number of nonresidents purchasing Alaska hunting licenses (7,009) divided by our sample of 647) implies a total of 7,171 big game hunting trips taken in Alaska by nonresident hunters in 1991.

Hunters were asked to provide detailed information about their Alaska big game hunting trip. Those who took more than one trip were asked to tell us about their "LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP." That designation was used throughout the questionnaire to refer to the specific trip about which respondents were providing information, whether the respondent took one trip or more than one trip. (In this report we will use the terms "Longest Alaska Hunting Trip" and "Selected Trip" interchangeably.) The result of the information provided by respondents was detailed information about big game hunting trips that could be considered a random sample of all big game hunting trips taken by nonresidents. Some 86% of the respondents said hunting was the primary purpose for their LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP. Because it is not clear how much of the value of trips for which hunting was a secondary purpose can be attributed to hunting, we will focus on primary big game hunting trips. We assume that the trip would not have been taken had big game hunting not been available, and therefore we attribute all the trip value to big game hunting. The usable subsample of primary trips consists of 551 trips before weighting (about 548 after weighting). We estimate a total of 6,167 primary big game hunting trips by nonresidents in 1991 (86% of 7,171).

Frequency distributions of number of big game hunting trips in Alaska are shown in Tables A-1, broken down by several characteristics of respondents. Because of the large amount of information and detail in each of the tables, our discussion will only skim the surface. This report is intended to be a reference document and readers are encouraged to spend time studying the tables, along with the Pearson chisquare results presented before each series of tables, on any breakdowns in which they are interested. With that, some explanation of how to read the tables is in order. First, consider the Pearson chisquare tests for "number of big game hunting trips to Alaska in 1991." The null hypothesis for the chisquare test is that the two variables are independent. The calculated chi-square statistics are shown under the heading "Value," the degrees of freedom for the chi-square test are shown under "DF." The last column, titled "Significance," shows the observed significance levels of the tests. Those levels represent the probability that a random sample would result in a chi-square statistic of at least the magnitude calculated. If that probability is small enough (we will use the .05 level), the hypothesis that the two variables are independent is rejected. The first test shown is of the variables TRIPS (number of big game hunting trips to Alaska) and MAILING (whether the respondent responded to the first, second, or third mailing). The calculated chi-square statistic is 9.07732 with 8 degrees of freedom. That test results in a significance level of .33582. That does not meet our criterion of .05 (.33582 is greater than .05); so we cannot reject the null hypothesis of independence. We conclude that the number of big game hunting trips by respondents does not differ significantly between people who responded to the different mailings. Further down that table of chi-square tests is the test of TRIPS and whether the respondent hunted in Alaska before 1991. The calculated chi-square statistic for that test is 15.19350 with 4 degrees of freedom and a significance level of .00432. That test does meet our .05 criterion (.00432 is less than .05). We reject the null hypothesis of independence and conclude that the number of big game hunting trips to Alaska in 1991 differs significantly between respondents who hunted in Alaska before 1991 and those who did not.

August, 1994 Page A-3

Moving on to the frequency breakdown tables, the first of the A-1 tables shows the distribution of the number of big game hunting trips broken down by gender and age category of respondent. The first column, labelled TOTAL, shows the distribution of number of big game hunting trips for the complete weighted sample. Going down that column: 3.0% of the sample took 0 big game hunting trips in Alaska in 1991 (but remember about half of those actually did take trips based on responses to other questions), 92.7% took 1 big game hunting trip, 3.9% of the sample took 2 big game hunting trips to Alaska, etc. down to 0.1% of the sample taking 6 big game hunting trips to Alaska in 1991. The next 2 and 7 columns show the distribution of number of big game hunting trips broken down by gender and age category of respondent, respectively. Looking at column percentages: 92.5% of the males and 100.0% of the females in the sample took 1 big game hunting trip to Alaska in 1991, 4.0% of the males and 0% of the females took 2 overnight hunting trips, and so on. Looking at the 31-40 years of age column: 94.5% of respondents aged 31-40 took 1 big game hunting trip to Alaska in 1991, 3.2% of those aged 31-40 took 2 big game hunting trips, etc.

Row percentages refer to breakdowns going across rows of the table. Of respondents taking 1 big game hunting trip to Alaska in 1991: 97.4% were male and 2.6% were female; 2.2% of respondents taking 1 big game hunting trip to Alaska in 1991 were in the under 21 age category, 11.7% of those taking 1 big game hunting trip were aged 21-30, 29.1% were aged 31-40, 29.7% were aged 41-50, 18.2% were aged 51-60 and 9.0% of those taking 1 big game hunting trip to Alaska in 1991 were over 60 years old. The row labelled TOTAL shows the demographic breakdown for the complete sample. Of our sample, 97.6% were male and 2.4% were female. The age breakdown of our sample was: 2.0% under 21, 11.4% aged 21-30, 28.6% aged 31-40, 30.3% aged 41-50, 18.1% aged 51-60, and 9.5% of our total sample were over 60 years old. The last row of the table shows summary statistics for each breakdown. For the TOTAL sample column, the mean number of big game hunting trips to Alaska in 1991 was 1.02 with a standard error of 0.01, the median number of big game hunting trips was 1, the standard deviation of number of big game hunting trips for our sample was 0.34, and the number of nonmissing cases on which the statistics were based was 647. (The number of nonmissing cases may not always be a whole number because of the weights that were applied. Additionally, there could be some rounding error when means and sample sizes, as shown on these tables, are used to calculate total numbers for various breakdowns. When we give totals in this report, we calculated them with a little more accuracy than is shown on the tables.) Because we are giving the summary statistics, it is important to show the sample sizes on which they were based to give readers an indication of how robust they might be. For respondents over age 60: the mean number of big game hunting trips to Alaska was 0.91 with a standard error of 0.04, the median was 1, standard deviation was 0.34 and there were about 62 people in that age group.

From the distribution for the total sample in Tables A-1 we calculated a weighted total of 662 big game hunting trips to Alaska taken by 628 individuals in the total Nonresident Hunter sample, of which 569 (86%) were for the primary purpose of hunting big game. Expanded to the total Nonresident Hunter population, we estimate that 7,171 big game hunting trips were taken by 6,803 individuals on which hunting big game was one of the purposes of the trip. An estimated 6,167 (86%) of those trips were primary purpose big game hunting trips.

To illustrate the rounding error mentioned above, if one were to calculate the total number of big game hunting trips in our sample by multiplying the mean of 1.02 by the number of nonmissing cases shown in the table (647) one would estimate about 660 big game hunting trips. Our estimate of 662 trips in the sample was based on the more accurate mean of 1.023. With that in mind and recognizing that the results are approximations, readers can make many such calculations throughout this report.

In many of the tables one of the breakdown variables is called "Importance of Bagging to Trip Success." That variable is from the question (#12) in Part 2 of the survey: "How important to the success of your trip do you consider bagging whatever game you are hunting?" Another breakdown variable is called "Contribution of Wildlife Viewing to Trip." That variable is from the question (#20): "To what extent did wildlife viewing contribute to the enjoyment of your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP?" The breakdown variable "Comparison: Hunting in Alaska to Other Places Hunted" comes from the question

Page A-4 August, 1994

## Alaska Department of Fish and Game

#### Nonresident Hunters: Their Hunting Trip Characteristics and Economics

(#5 in Part 1 of the questionnaire) "In general, how does big game hunting in Alaska compare to big game hunting in the best places you have hunted big game?" Finally the breakdown "Used Guide" comes from the question (#5 in Part 2) asking where respondents hunted, the target species, and whether they used a guide on any part of their trip. These variables were used as breakdowns in reporting trip characteristics and economics because they were thought to be indicative of different motivations for hunting, the perceived relationship between hunting and wildlife viewing, or of different types of hunts or hunters. As such it was thought they might convey useful information to managers about the publics they dealt with on various issues. Notice the breakdown labelled "Years Respondent has Hunted in Alaska Before 1991." A value of 0 for that variable indicates that 1991 is the first year the respondent has hunted in Alaska. That is the case for just over half (54.7%) of our sample. The other breakdown variables used in reporting trip characteristics and economics are self explanatory, and all come from the survey instrument presented in Section B of this report.

Tables A-2 to A-10 present information about respondents' "Longest Alaska Hunting Trip." That was the designation for the randomly selected trip about which respondents provided detailed information. Tables A-2 (we refer to Tables A-2 in the plural because the table is composed of several demographic and characteristic breakdown tables) show the trip destination region broken down by characteristics of Regions 2 and 3, South Central and Interior Alaska, were the most popular trip destinations, accounting for about 65% of the primary trips between them--37.5% to South Central and 27.7% to Interior Alaska. Most of the trips involved a single region. Trips combining Regions 2 and 3 accounted for 2.6% of the trips, with all other combinations accounting for only 1.5%. Tables A-3 show the wildlife species targeted on the primary purpose big game hunting trips--those species respondents reported as the species hunted. The most commonly mentioned species was caribou (targeted on 49.4% of primary trips). Moose (37.6% of trips), brown bear (20.5% of trips), black bear (14.5%), and sheep (11.1%) were the next most commonly targeted species. Because hunters could target more than one species, column percentages do not sum to 100%. The last row in Tables A-3 refers to all primary trips, rather than being a summation of all previous rows in the table. Tables A-4 give the distribution of species bagged on primary big game hunting trips. Again, multiple responses were possible so column percents do not sum to 100. Not surprisingly, species bagged follows the pattern seen in species targeted. Caribou (bagged on 52.6% of big game hunting trips), moose (21.4%), brown bear (17.5%), black bear (8.3%), and sheep (10.8% of trips) were the most commonly bagged species.

Tables A-5 and A-6 indicate that the average primary purpose big game hunting trip consisted of 2.99 hunters in the party and 12.95 nights in Alaska (which we interpret to be about a 14 day trip). Hunters spent all or part of the day actually hunting on an average of 7.59 days of their trip (Tables A-7), and on those days spent an average of 9.09 hours actually hunting (Tables A-8). The most common month in which a primary big game hunting trip was started was September (48.3% of primary big game hunting trips), followed by August (21.5%), October (10.6%), and May (7.0%) as shown in Tables A-9. Tables A-10 show the other activities in which respondents participated while on their primary big game hunting trip. Hunters responded that they just hunted on only 11.8% of the big game hunting trips. On 64.5% of the trips, hunters viewed or photographed wildlife. The next most common other activities were fishing (53.7% of the trips), camping (51.0% of the trips), and visiting friends or relatives (33.0% of the trips). As with species targeted and species bagged, multiple responses were possible so column percents do not sum to 100, and the last row refers to all primary trips and not to the summation of ail previous rows. Tables A-10 also show the other activities by species targeted, as an indicator of type of hunting trip.

August, 1994 Page A-5

## Alaska Department of Fish and Game

#### Nonresident Hunters: Their Hunting Trip Characteristics and Economics

(#5 in Part 1 of the questionnaire) "In general, how does big game hunting in Alaska compare to big game hunting in the best places you have hunted big game?" Finally the breakdown "Used Guide" comes from the question (#5 in Part 2) asking where respondents hunted, the target species, and whether they used a guide on any part of their trip. These variables were used as breakdowns in reporting trip characteristics and economics because they were thought to be indicative of different motivations for hunting, the perceived relationship between hunting and wildlife viewing, or of different types of hunts or hunters. As such it was thought they might convey useful information to managers about the publics they dealt with on various issues. Notice the breakdown labelled "Years Respondent has Hunted in Alaska Before 1991." A value of 0 for that variable indicates that 1991 is the first year the respondent has hunted in Alaska. That is the case for just over half (54.7%) of our sample. The other breakdown variables used in reporting trip characteristics and economics are self explanatory, and all come from the survey instrument presented in Section B of this report.

Tables A-2 to A-10 present information about respondents' "Longest Alaska Hunting Trip." That was the designation for the randomly selected trip about which respondents provided detailed information. Tables A-2 (we refer to Tables A-2 in the plural because the table is composed of several demographic and characteristic breakdown tables) show the trip destination region broken down by characteristics of Regions 2 and 3, South Central and Interior Alaska, were the most popular trip destinations, accounting for about 65% of the primary trips between them--37.5% to South Central and 27.7% to Interior Alaska. Most of the trips involved a single region. Trips combining Regions 2 and 3 accounted for 2.6% of the trips, with all other combinations accounting for only 1.5%. Tables A-3 show the wildlife species targeted on the primary purpose big game hunting trips--those species respondents reported as the species hunted. The most commonly mentioned species was caribou (targeted on 49.4% of primary trips). Moose (37.6% of trips), brown bear (20.5% of trips), black bear (14.5%), and sheep (11.1%) were the next most commonly targeted species. Because hunters could target more than one species, column percentages do not sum to 100%. The last row in Tables A-3 refers to all primary trips, rather than being a summation of all previous rows in the table. Tables A-4 give the distribution of species bagged on primary big game hunting trips. Again, multiple responses were possible so column percents do not sum to 100. Not surprisingly, species bagged follows the pattern seen in species targeted. Caribou (bagged on 52.6% of big game hunting trips), moose (21.4%), brown bear (17.5%), black bear (8.3%), and sheep (10.8% of trips) were the most commonly bagged species.

Tables A-5 and A-6 indicate that the average primary purpose big game hunting trip consisted of 2.99 hunters in the party and 12.95 nights in Alaska (which we interpret to be about a 14 day trip). Hunters spent all or part of the day actually hunting on an average of 7.59 days of their trip (Tables A-7), and on those days spent an average of 9.09 hours actually hunting (Tables A-8). The most common month in which a primary big game hunting trip was started was September (48.3% of primary big game hunting trips), followed by August (21.5%), October (10.6%), and May (7.0%) as shown in Tables A-9. Tables A-10 show the other activities in which respondents participated while on their primary big game hunting trip. Hunters responded that they just hunted on only 11.8% of the big game hunting trips. On 64.5% of the trips, hunters viewed or photographed wildlife. The next most common other activities were fishing (53.7% of the trips), camping (51.0% of the trips), and visiting friends or relatives (33.0% of the trips). As with species targeted and species bagged, multiple responses were possible so column percents do not sum to 100, and the last row refers to all primary trips and not to the summation of ail previous rows. Tables A-10 also show the other activities by species targeted, as an indicator of type of hunting trip.

August, 1994 Page A-5

Table A-1
NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	9.07732	8	.33582
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	1.27383	4	.86580
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	23.70339	20	.25559
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	22.69822	20	.30385
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	26.79436	20	.14115
RACE OF RESPONDENT	6.08708	16	.98713
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	15.19350	4	.00432
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	158.90187	28	.00000
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	7.67123	12	.81027
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	2.25931	4	.68819
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	19.64143	12	.07418
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	22.35402	16	.13212
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	10.36508	8	.24033

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-6 August, 1994

Table A-1 NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPO		AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT						
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
O TRIPS Column Percent	3.0% 100.0%	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 7.0%	1.8% 17.8%	2.6% 26.2%	2.5% 15.0%	10.5% 34.0%	.0%
1 TRIP Column Percent	92.7% 100.0%	92.5% 97.4%	100.0%	100.0% 2.2%	94.5% 11.7%	94.5% 29.1%	90.8% 29.7%	93.4% 18.2%	87.7% 9.0%	.0%
2 TRIPS Column Percent	3.9% 100.0%	4.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 10.5%	3.2% 23.4%	5.6% 43.1%	4.1% 18.8%	1.8%	.0%
3 TRIPS Column Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6 TRIPS Column Percent	.1% 100.0%	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 28.6%	100.0% 30.3%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 9.5%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 631.33	1.00 .00 1.00 .00	1.00 .00 1.00 .00 13.03	1.02 .03 1.00 .23 74.03	1.04 .03 1.00 .41 184.97	1.05 .02 1.00 .35 196.18	1.02 .02 1.00 .26	.91 .04 1.00 .34 61.75	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

August, 1994 Page A-7

Table A-1 NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
O TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.0% 100.0%	12.1% 10.5%	.0%	2.1% 15.8%	1.6% 7.0%	.0%	2.2% 21.0%	24.3% 45.7%
1 TRIP Column PercentRow Percent	92.7% 100.0%	87.9% 2.4%	94.7% 19.9%	95.2% 23.2%	93.8% 13.5%	95.0% 8.9%	92.9% 27.8%	69.6% 4.2%
2 TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	3.9% 19.0%	2.0% 11.6%	4.6% 15.7%	5.0% 11.0%	4.8% 34.1%	6.1% 8.6%
3 TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 50.0%	.7% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6 TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 19.5%	100.0% 22.6%	100.0% 13.4%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 5.6%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	.88 .08 1.00 .34 16.54	1.09 .04 1.00 .48 125.91	1.01 .02 1.00 .26 146.29	1.03 .03 1.00 .25 86.49	1.05 .03 1.00 .22 56.16	1.03 .02 1.00 .27 179.63	.82 .09 1.00 .53 35.98

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-8 August, 1994

Table A-1 NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

		TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
			EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
0	TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 16.7%	2.2% 20.8%	.6% 5.2%	2.9% 16.6%	66.9% 40.7%
	TRIP Column Percent Row Percent	92.7% 100.0%	100.0%	87.7% 3.5%	89.7% 22.3%	93.6% 28.2%	97.5% 25.5%	94.5% 17.4%	33.1% .6%
2	TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	8.1% 7.7%	6.9% 40.5%	4.2% 29.4%	1.8%	2.6% 11.2%	.0%
3	TRIPS Column Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	4.1% 50.0%	.7% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6	TRIPS Column Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TC	OTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0% 23.1%	100.0% 27.9%	100.0% 24.3%	100.0% 17.1%	100.0%
SU	JMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	1.00 .00 1.00 .00 14.07	1.16 .10 1.00 .48 24.13	1.09 .04 1.00 .50 149.33	1.02 .02 1.00 .25 180.37	1.01 .01 1.00 .16 157.00	1.00 .02 1.00 .23 110.46	.33 .14 .00 .49

Table A-1 NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

	TOTAL		1	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
O TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 59. <b>3</b> %	.0%	68.3% 40.7%
1 TRIP Column Percent Row Percent	92.7% 100.0%	100.0%	72.7% .4%	94.2% 2.3%	93.8% 95.7%	100.0%	31.7%
2 TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	27.3% 3.9%	5.8% 3.3%	3.9% 92.7%	.0%	.0%
3 TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
6 TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 94.5%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	1.00 .00 1.00 .00 2.17	1.27 .27 1.00 .52 3.66	1.06 .06 1.00 .24 14.76	1.03 .01 1.00 .32 611.33	1.00 .00 1.00 .00 3.66	.32 .14 .00 .49 11.41

Table A-1 NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS RE	SPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
; ;		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
O TRIPS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.0% 100.0%	.5% 10.1%	3.6% 53.2%	51.7% 36.7%	.5% 10.1%	1.0%	4.5% 32.8%	3.0% 4.5%	13.6% 5.2%	.0%	.0%	53.8% 42.4%
1 TRIP Column Percent. Row Percent	92.7% 100.0%	96.6% 57.1%	89.8% 41.8%	48.3% 1.1%	96.6% 57.1%	92.0% 15.0%	89.1% 20.7%	88.1% 4.2%	86.4% 1.1%	100.0%	100.0%	46.2% 1.2%
2 TRIPS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	2.3% 32.1%	6.2% 67.9%	.0%	2.3% 32.1%	7.0% 27.0%	5.7% 30.9%	8.9% 10.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
3 TRIPS Column Percent. Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 50.0%	.4% 50.0%	.0%	.3% 50.0%	.0%	.7% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6 TRIPS Column Percent. Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.7%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0%	100.0% 54.7%	100.0% 15.2%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.3%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean. Median Std. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	1.04 .02 1.00 .31 354.19	1.03 .02 1.00 .34 279.24	.48 .14 .00 .52 13.57	1.04 .02 1.00 .31 354.19	1.06 .03 1.00 .28 98.07	1.03 .03 1.00 .36 139.37	1.06 .06 1.00 .35 28.64	.86 .14 1.00 .37 7.35	1.00 .00 1.00 .00 2.35	1.00 .00 1.00 .00 1.96	.46 .13 .00 .52 15.07

Table A-1
NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RES	SPONDENT S	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLE	TED HUNT	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
O TRIPS Column Percent	3.0% 100.0%	1.8% 26.8%	2.3% 26.4%	2.0% 4.5%	1.2% 5.7%	59.1% 36.7%	1.4% 28.4%	2.4% 30.9%	49.6% 40.7%
1 TRIP Column Percent	92.7% 100.0%	93.0% 43.7%	92.7% 34.1%	98.0% 7.1%	95.5% 14.3%	40.9% .8%	93.6% 60.9%	93.9% 37.8%	50.4% 1.3%
2 TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.9% 100.0%	4.8% 53.2%	4.5% 38.9%	.0%	2.2% 7.8%	.0%	4.6% 69.7%	3.2% 30.3%	.0%
3 TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	.3%	.0%	.5% 50.0%	.0%	1.1% 50.0%	.0%	.3% 50.0%	.4% 50.0%	.0%
6 TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	.1%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 4 <b>3.</b> 5%	100.0% 34.1%	100.0% 6.7%	100.0% 13.9%	100.0%	100.0% 60.3%	100.0% 37.3%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	1.05 .02 1.00 .38 281.50	1.03 .02 1.00 .29 220.32	.98 .02 1.00 .14 43.51	1.03 .03 1.00 .28 89.80	.41 .15 .00 .51	1.05 .02 1.00 .35 389.92	1.02 .02 1.00 .27 241.36	.50 .13 1.00 .52 15.72

Table A-1
NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	s
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
O TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.0% 100.0%	1.9% 5.7%	.8% 19.4%	5.1% 28.6%	6.1% 5.7%	32.7% 40.7%
1 TRIP Column Percent Row Percent	92.7% 100.0%	96.3% 9.1%	94.5% 69.7%	91.1% 16.2%	83.5% 2.5%	63.7% 2.5%
2 TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	4.3% 73.6%	3.8% 15.8%	10.4% 7.3%	3.6% 3.3%
3 TRIPS Column Percent	.3% 100.0%	1.8% 50.0%	.2% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6 TRIPS Column Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 68.3%	100.0% 16.5%	100.0%	100.0% 3.7%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	1.02 .04 1.00 .30 56.54	1.05 .02 1.00 .33 442.02	.99 .03 1.00 .30 106.85	1.04 .10 1.00 .42 17.80	.71 .11 1.00 .54 23.79

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

August, 1994 Page A-13

Table A-1 NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP								
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING				
O TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 4.5%	.8% 12.3%	2.1% 27.0%	7.3% 10.1%	56.2% 46.2%				
1 TRIP Column Percent	92.7% 100.0%	90.6% 1.6%	96.6% 8.9%	93.8% 45.7%	94.4% 38.9%	85.1% 3.7%	43.8% 1.1%				
2 TRIPS Column Percent	3.9% 100.0%	9.4% 3.9%	1.8% 3.9%	5.1% 58.0%	3.1% 30.2%	3.8% 3.9%	.0%				
3 TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 50.0%	.0%	3.8% 50.0%	.0%				
6 TRIPS Column Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%				
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.6%	100.0% 8.5%	100.0% 45.2%	100.0% 38.2%	100.0% 4.1%	100.0% 2.4%				
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	1.09 .09 1.00 .31 10.64	1.00 .02 1.00 .19 55.11	1.05 .02 1.00 .27 292.24	1.03 .02 1.00 .37 246.89	1.04 .10 1.00 .52 26.40	.44 .13 .00 .51 15.72				

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-14

Table A-1 NUMBER OF BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS TO ALASKA IN 1991

		TOTAL	COMPARISO	ON: HUNTING PLACES	IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER
			I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING
(	TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 21.7%	4.0% 19.4%	46.6% 58.9%
	TRIP Column Percent Row Percent	92.7% 100.0%	100.0% 4.3%	94.3% 79.3%	91.9% 14.2%	53.4% 2.2%
	TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	4.5% 88.8%	3.1% 11.2%	.0%
(	TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 50.0%	1.1% 50.0%	.0%
(	TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
	TAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 77.9%	100.0% 14.4%	100.0% 3.7%
9	MMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1.02 .01 1.00 .34 647.00	1.00 .00 1.00 .00 25.63	1.05 .01 1.00 .32 504.29	1.01 .04 1.00 .34 92.89	.53 .10 1.00 .51 24.20

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	24.60281	14	.03870
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	5.88069	7	.55375
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	39.78297	35	.26551
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	31.75333	35	.62564
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	51.53400	35	.03538
RACE OF RESPONDENT	23.26194	28	.71982
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	10.13737	7	.18092
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	57.67952	49	.18506
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	15.20977	21	.81228
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	2.56153	7	.92239
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	19.77803	21	.53536
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	21.48633	28	.80450
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	4.51101	14	.99152
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	6.90753	7	.43857

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-16 August, 1994

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPON				AGE CATE	SORY OF RE	SPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
REGION 1 SOUTH EAST Column Percent	9.8% 100.0%	9.6% 96.2%	15.5% 3.8%	.0%	14.3% 15.1%	8.7% 27.0%	13.0% 41.1%	5.9% 10.7%	7.0% 6.1%	.0%
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent Row Percent	37.5% 100.0%	37.1% 96.4%	56.4% 3.6%	20.0% 1.1%	35.7% 9.8%	40.8% 33.2%	37.3% 30.6%	33.3% 15.9%	41.9% 9.5%	.0%
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent	27.7% 100.0%	28.0% 98.7%	14.9% 1.3%	20.0%	33.3% 12.4%	29.6% 32.6%	23.1% 25.7%	34.3% 22.1%	18.6% 5.7%	.0%
REGION 4 SOUTH WEST Column Percent	5.6% 100.0%	5.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 8.8%	4.6% 25.1%	5.3% 29.5%	5.9% 18.8%	11.6% 17.7%	.0%
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	8.1% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 5.0%	7.1% 9.3%	6.1% 23.6%	9.5% 36.9%	7.8% 17.7%	7.0% 7.5%	.0%
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column Percent	2.6% 100.0%	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 30.2%	3.6% 42.6%	3.9% 27.2%	.0%	.0%
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	2.0% 40.1%	.6% 11.8%	2.0%	4.7% 25.5%	.0%
UNSPECIFIED Column Percent	4.4% 100.0%	4.5% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 9.0%	4.8% 11.2%	3.1% 21.2%	4.1% 29.1%	3.9% 15.9%	7.0% 13.5%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.0% 100.0%	2.7% 89.6%	13.2% 10.4%	20.0% 13.2%	.0%	2.6% 26.0%	3.6% 36.6%	2.9% 17.5%	2.3% 6.6%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.3%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-17

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT								
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING			
REGION 1 SOUTH EAST Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	18.2% 3.7%	11.1% 20.6%	10.6% 25.9%	9.0% 13.1%	16.7% 14.9%	6.1% 18.6%	9.2% 3.2%			
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent	37.5% 100.0%	15.5% .8%	42.2% 20.3%	34.6% 21.9%	44.9% 17.0%	32.8% 7.6%	38.3% 30.4%	20.9% 1.9%			
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent	27.7% 100.0%	38.6% 2.8%	29.3% 19.1%	32.6% 28.1%	20.0% 10.3%	33.7% 10.6%	24.8% 26.7%	19.9% 2.4%			
REGION 4 SOUTH WEST Column Percent Row Percent	5.6% 100.0%	15.5% 5.6%	3.5% 11.5%	4.0% 17.3%	4.9% 12.5%	2.3% 3.5%	8.7% 46.8%	4.6% 2.8%			
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	12.2% 3.1%	6.4% 14.7%	9.3% 28.0%	7.4% 13.3%	4.2% 4.6%	9.6% 36.2%	.0%			
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column PercentRow Percent	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 6.0%	4.5% 41.2%	3.5% 19.2%	2.0% 6.8%	2.3% 26.7%	.0%			
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 10.0%	.8% 11.8%	2.5% 22.8%	.0%	2.9% 55.4%	.0% .0%			
UNSPECIFIED Column Percent	4.4% 100.0%	.0%	4.7% 19.2%	3.6% 19.4%	4.3% 13.9%	4.6% 9.0%	2.5% 16.7%	28.4% 21.8%			
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 5.8%	.0%	3.5% 16.5%	3.8% 11.0%	4.8% 47.5%	17.0% 19.1%			
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 29.8%	100.0% 3.4%			

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-18 August, 1994

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			EDUCAT I	ON OF RES	PONDENT	<del> </del>	
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
REGION 1 SOUTH EAST Column Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	4.8% 1.8%	14.1% 34.6%	11.5% 32.6%	9.6% 24.4%	3.6% 6.6%	.0%
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent Row Percent	37.5% 100.0%	19.7%	29.9% 2.9%	38.9% 25.0%	37.9% 28.0%	40.2% 26.6%	35.1% 16.6%	.0%
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent	27.7% 100.0%	10.9% .7%	24.7% 3.2%	25.0% 21.7%	31.0% 31.1%	26.7% 24.0%	29.2% 18.7%	100.0%
REGION 4 SOUTH WEST Column Percent	5.6% 100.0%	18.2% 5.9%	.0%	6.3% 27.0%	4.4% 22.0%	5.8% 25.8%	6.1% 19.3%	.0%
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent	7.9% 100.0%	10.1% 2.3%	20.7% 9.5%	3.7% 11.2%	8.1% 28.4%	9.2% 28.8%	8.8% 19.8%	.0%
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column Percent	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9% 27.3%	2.4% 26.0%	2.7% 25.7%	3.0% 21.0%	.0%
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	9.6% 11.3%	.0%	.7% 11.3%	2.5% 44.6%	.6% 10.0%	2.0%	.0%
UNSPECIFIED Column PercentRow Percent	4.4% 100.0%	.0%	19.8% 16.3%	7.0% 38.5%	1.1% 7.1%	3.1% 17.8%	5.0% 20.3%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	3.0% 100.0%	31.5% 19.1%	.0%	1.5% 11.7%	1.1%	2.0% 16.5%	7.1% 42.3%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 24.1%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-19

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		,	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		<del></del>
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
REGION 1 SOUTH EAST Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent	37.5% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% .4%	46.4% 3.3%	37.9% 96.3%	.0%	.0%
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent	27.7% 100.0%	100.0% .7%	23.3%	21.7%	27.3% 94.3%	49.4% 1.2%	100.0%
REGION 4 SOUTH WEST Column Percent	5.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	12.3% 4.2%	7.8% 93.9%	23.3% 2.0%	.0%
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column Percent	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
UNSPECIFIED Column PercentRow Percent	4.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	19.6% 12.0%	3.9% 83.8%	27.3% 4.2%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	53.5% 11.9%	.0%	2.8% 88.1%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0%	100.0%

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASK				ASKA BEF	ORE 1991					
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
REGION 1 SOUTHEAST Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	10.3% 57.1%	9.4% 42.9%	.0%	10.3% 57.1%	11.1% 19.4%	9.8% 21.9%	3.6% 1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent Row Percent	37.5% 100.0%	39.3% 56.6%	34.7% 41.2%	60.6% 2.2%	39.3% 56.6%	34.2% 15.5%	35.1% 20.5%	35.9% 4.2%	68.5% 2.1%	100.0% .7%		.0% .0%
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent Row Percent	27.7% 100.0%	28.8% 56.3%	26.4% 42.4%	25.3% 1.3%	28.8% 56.3%	28.9% 17.8%	29.4% 23.3%	12.0% 1.9%	15.8% .7%	.0%		.0%
REGION 4 SOUTHWEST Column Percent Row Percent	5.6% 100.0%	3.9% 38.2%	7.7% 61.8%	.0%	3.9% 38.2%	3.3% 10.0%	7.6% 29.9%	16.4% 12.8%	15.8% 3.3%	.0%		31.5% 5.9%
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	8.5% 57.8%	7.5% 42.2%	.0%	8.5% 57.8%	8.1% 17.5%	5.7% 15.9%	12.5% 6.8%	.0%	.0%		14.8% 2.0%
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column Percent Row Percent	2.6% 100.0%	1.8% 38.4%	3.6% 61.6%	.0%	1.8% 38.4%	5.2% 34.6%	2.3% 19.9%	4.2% 7.1%		.0%		.0%
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.6% 21.8%	2.7% 78.2%	.0%	.6% 21.8%	1.0% 11.3%	3.3% 46.8%	7.2% 20.1%	.0%	.0%		.0%
UNSPECIFIED Column Percent Row Percent	4.4% 100.0%	4.2% 51.6%	4.8% 48.4%	.0%	4.2% 51.6%	3.8% 14.8%	5.9% 29.5%	.0%		.0%		17.4% 4.2%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.0% 100.0%	2.5% 45.4%	3.2% 48.0%	14.2% 6.6%	2.5% 45.4%	4.2% 24.1%	.8% 5.8%	8.2% 11.9%	.0%	.0%		36.3% 12.7%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0% 4.3%		100.0%		100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING					COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE		
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING	
REGION 1 SOUTH EAST Column Percent	9.8% 100.0%	10.9% 50.3%	9.1% 32.9%	6.4% 3.5%	8.3% 11.3%	21.9% 2.0%	9.6% 59.8%	10.3% 40.2%	.0%	
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent Row Percent	37.5% 100.0%	34.9% 41.9%	39.9% 37.7%	42.4% 6.0%	38.3% 13.5%	36.7% .9%	38.4% 62.2%	36.3% 36.8%	32.6% 1.0%	
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent	27.7% 100.0%	28.3% 46.0%	28.8% 36.9%	30.1% 5.7%	23.6% 11.3%	.0%	28.0% 61.6%	26.9% 36.9%	36.6% 1.4%	
REGION 4 SOUTH WEST Column Percent Row Percent	5.6% 100.0%	5.8% 47.3%	4.1% 26.1%	3.3% 3.1%	9.9% 23.5%	.0%	5.7% 62.2%	5.5% 37.8%	.0%	
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	7.3% 41.4%	8.2% 36.6%	2.9%	11.9% 20.0%	.0%	8.5% 65.7%	6.7% 32.0%	16.7% 2.3%	
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column PercentRow Percent	2.6% 100.0%	2.6% 46.2%	3.0% 40.9%	.0%	2.5% 12.8%	.0%	2.8% 66.2%	2.3% 33.8%	.0%	
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.6% 45.9%	2.4% 54.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 55.6%	1.4% 34.4%	14.2% 10.0%	
UNSPECIFIED Column Percent	4.4% 100.0%	5.6% 57.7%	2.5% 19.9%	8.4% 10.1%	4.1% 12.3%	.0%	3.5% 48.8%	5.9% 51.2%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent	3.0% 100.0%	3.0% 45.0%	2.1% 25.2%	6.4% 11.3%	1.4%	41.4% 12.4%	2.0% 40.6%	4.7% 59.4%	.0%	
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0% 5.3%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-22 August, 1994

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS					
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING	
REGION 1 SOUTH EAST Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	5.1% 5.0%	11.0% 82.2%	8.6% 10.7%	.0%	8.8% 2.0%	
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent	37.5% 100.0%	30.8% 8.0%	37.7% 73.5%	41.4% 13.5%	41.4%	36.5% 2.2%	
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent	27.7% 100.0%	33.9% 11.9%	26.4% 69.7%	32.3% 14.3%	21.5% 2.0%	25.5% 2.1%	
REGION 4 SOUTH WEST Column Percent Row Percent	5.6% 100.0%	2.0% 3.5%	6.8% 89.6%	1.6% 3.5%	7.3% 3.3%	.0%	
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	11.2% 13.7%	7.5% 69.7%	6.0% 9.2%	9.8% 3.1%	14.6% 4.2%	
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column Percent Row Percent	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.8% 80.1%	2.8% 13.1%	7.0% 6.8%	.0%	
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.8% 11.3%	1.7% 78.7%	.0%	6.2% 10.0%	.0% .0%	
UNSPECIFIED Column Percent	4.4% 100.0%	7.7% 17.0%	4.0% 67.4%	1.5% 4.2%	7.0% 4.0%	14.6% 7.5%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.0% 100.0%	7.6% 24.5%	2.1% 51.1%	6.0% 24.4%	.0%	.0% .0%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0%	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWIN	TO TRIP	***
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
REGION 1 SOUTH EAST Column Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	6.6% 6.4%	9.9% 49.7%	10.6% 39.8%	7.7% 1.6%	27.1% 2.5%
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent Row Percent	37.5% 100.0%	50.3% 2.3%	34.6% 8.8%	36.2% 47.4%	38.0% 37.0%	66.1% 3.6%	36.5% .9%
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent	27.7% 100.0%	11.3% .7%	26.4% 9.1%	27.9% 49.7%	30.2% 39.9%	.0%	17.2% .6%
REGION 4 SOUTH WEST Column Percent	5.6% 100.0%	8.9% 2.8%	7.7% 13.2%	6.2% 55.1%	3.9% 25.6%	9.0% 3.3%	.0%
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	10.0%	14.0% 16.8%	8.3% 51.5%	5.9% 27.3%	.0%	19.3% 2.2%
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column Percent	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	3.3% 12.1%	2.2% 42.0%	2.8% 39.1%	8.6% 6.8%	.0%
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 12.8%	1.4% 45.4%	1.8% 41.9%	.0%	.0%
UNSPECIFIED Column Percent	4.4% 100.0%	8.9% 3.5%	1.8% 4.0%	5.3% 59.9%	3.9% 32.5%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	3.0% 100.0%	10.5% 6.1%	3.6% 11.3%	2.5% 41.7%	2.9% 35.1%	8.6% 5.8%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0%

Table A-2
TRIP DESTINATION REGION ON SELECTED PRIMARY MUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARIS	COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER USED GUIDE PLACES HUNTED				USED GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK		ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING
REGION 1 SOUTH EAST Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	10.0% 82.3%	11.7% 17.7%	.0%	9.7% 46.2%	9.8% 46.7%	10.5% 7.1%
REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL Column Percent	37.5% 100.0%	34.2% 2.6%	37.9% 81.0%	35.3% 13.9%	43.0% 2.5%	37.4% 46.5%	40.4% 50.2%	18.6% 3.3%
REGION 3 INTERIOR Column Percent	27.7% 100.0%	33.1% 3.4%	27.5% 79.8%	28.2% 15.0%	22.3% 1.8%	33.0% 55.7%	24.7% 41.7%	11.1% 2.7%
REGION 4 SOUTH WEST Column Percent Row Percent	5.6% 100.0%	6.3% 3.3%	5.4% 78.0%	7.1% 18.7%	.0%	4.5% 37.6%	7.4% 62.4%	.0%
REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	6.3% 2.3%	7.8% 79.0%	8.4% 15.6%	11.3% 3.1%	7.3% 42.9%	8.5% 50.4%	8.0% 6.7%
REGIONS 2 & 3 Column Percent	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.8% 87.2%	1.2% 6.8%	7.1% 6.0%	3.2% 58.8%	2.3% 41.2%	.0%
OTHER MULTI REGION Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 90.0%	1.1% 10.0%	.0%	1.5% 44.6%	1.8% 55.4%	.0%
UNSPECIFIED Column Percent	4.4% 100.0%	6.3% 4.2%	4.4% 80.1%	3.6% 12.2%	7.1% 3.5%	3.4% 36.0%	5.1% 54.2%	6.5% 9.7%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.0% 100.0%	13.8% 13.2%	2.4% 63.0%	3.5% 17.1%	9.1% 6.6%	.0% .0%	.0%	45.2% 100.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.9%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0% 2.2%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 6.6%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

# Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Caribou

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	7.47759 .33492 2.01206 5.01163 6.32090 2.50134 11.08217 12.26763 10.39297 .93467 14.82870 6.62457 7.36282 2.62063 87.26364 1.43083	2155541731752449342	,02378
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	1.26198 7.18674	2 1	.53206 .00734

#### Moose

Factor	Val ue	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT	.34778	2	.84039
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	.08368	1	.77238
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	7.53598	5 5 5	.18373
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	3.90966	5	.56250
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	3.26762	5	.65880
RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	3.14343	4	.53412
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	.12600	1	.72262
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	12.42255	7 3	. 38749
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING		3	. 18433
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	.07760	1	.78057
TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	93.63031	7 5	.00000
		5	.00099
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	35.98446	2 4	.00000
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	45.58423	4	.00000
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING		4	.01542
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	102.68662	9	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS		4 9 3 4 2	.45875
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		4	.57575
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER		2	.57747
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	21.13260	1	.00000

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Black Bear

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT BACE OF RESPONDENT	3.77297	2	.15160
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	2.10170	1	.14713
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	9.64809	5	.08584
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	2.74085	5	.73986
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	6.72415	5 5 4 1	.24198
RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	3.84258	4	.42773
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	.08947	1	.76485
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	10.14947	7	.18026
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	3.30155	3	.34743
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	.88131	1	.34784
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION	99.73889	7 5 2 4	.00000
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	5.40643	5	.36832
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA		2	.16040
	14.15300	4	.00682
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	2.18949	4	.70095
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	97.29365	4 9 3	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	.77032	3	.85655
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	7.60630	4	.10711
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	.90645	4 2	.63557
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	.26306	ĩ	.60803

#### Brown Bear

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	1.60660	2	.44785
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	.12189	1	.72700
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	5.19260	5	.39283
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	6.13688	5 5 5	.29313
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	7.69618	5	.17379
RACE OF RESPONDENT	5.74909	4	.21869
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	.12121	1	.72773
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	7.26823	7	.40150
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	8.84834	7 3 1 7 5	.03138
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	.05844	1	.80898
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	41.87886	7	.00000
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	27.59060	5	.00004
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	14.57318	2	.00068
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	11.04026	2 4 4 9 3	.02612
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING		4	.47674
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	125.99058	9	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	2.18115	3	.53567
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	8.62585	4	.07116
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	4.04926	4 2	.13204
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	84.92009	Ī	.00000

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Sheep

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
*********			
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	2.67368	2	.26267
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	.28124	1	.59589
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	5.99394	5 5 5	.30681
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	5.33736	5	.37611
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	5.80504	5	.32565
RACE OF RESPONDENT	1.54791	4	.81812
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	5.42480	1	.01985
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	11.49327	7 3	.11850
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	3.39136	3	.33513
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	.33767	1	.56117
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	49.78586	7	.00000
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	20.36861	5 2 5	.00107
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	3.46579	2	.17677
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	3.20286		.66874
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	13.85584	4 9 3	.00777
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	70.55281	9	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	.41521	3	.93708
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	1.41253	4 2	.84201
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	.71898	2	.69803
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	27.86292	1	.00000

#### Mtn. Goat

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	.86787 .17587 3.64350 5.12244 2.35245 .32139 .00734 1.76849	215554173175244	.64796
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	2.42833 .47247	9 3 4 2	.48838 .97612
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	.29552 1.40729	1	.86264 .23551

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-28 August, 1994

# Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

#### Wolf

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	2.79317 .49057 6.54226 5.21556 3.48238 .85604 .07245 1.93150 3.44389 .39780 14.54556 10.15803 3.23693 6.80969 2.08294 7.17561 1.40121	•	.24744 .48367 .25697 .39014 .62606 .93078 .78780 .96353
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	1.09459 1.55977	2	.57851 .21170

#### Deer

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	.57629 .59594	2	.74965 .44013
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	6.09226 2.07407	5	.29734
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT	1.61030	5	.90000 .89598
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	3.46781 44.91306	21555417317524493421	.06257
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	2.07359 .10444 156.04895	3 1	.55727 .74656 .00000
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	20.55133	5	.00098
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	6.85656 6.27289	4	.14367
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS		9	.00000 .33020
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE		2	.20822 .11920 .02204
MENIAMENIA ANEN ARINE	J. 2727J	'	.02204

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Elk

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	2.11296 .14261 4.46837 27.32647 28.60458 .26061 .07363 .45882 8.66168 1.20340 96.56566 15.12203 1.74179 4.11219 1.47209 13.33632 1.96900 7.54263	2155541731752449342	.34768 .70570 .48413 .00005 .00003 .99221 .78613 .99958
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	.68677	1	.40726

#### Waterfowl

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	3.20709	2	.20118
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	. 18934	1	.66346
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	15.36218	5	.00892
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	11.69305	5	.03924
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	4.24060	5	.51532
RACE OF RESPONDENT	6.57158	4	.16034
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	.05490	1	.81474
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	3.20874	215554173	.86504
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	2.83574	3	.41765
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION	.32639	1 7 5 2 4	.56779
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	6.03581	7	.53557
AUDIOCO OC IUNITEDO EN DISTIN	4.22838	5	.51703
NUMBER OF HUNIERS IN PARIT NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	5.02595	2	.08103
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	6.26108	4	.18048
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING			.84716
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	2.64238	ġ	.97681
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	1.40661	3	.70399
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		Ž	.58851
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER		4 9 3 4 2	.40384
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	.22606	ī	.63446

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPON			AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT							
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING		
CARIBOU Column Percent	49.4% 100.0%	49.1% 97.2%	62.8%	75.0% 2.6%	42.9% 9.5%	51.6% 32.1%	47.8% 29.4%	50.5% 18.4%	48.7% 8.1%	.0%		
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	37.6% 100.0%	37.7% 97.9%	36.5% 2.1%	50.0% 2.2%	28.6% 8.3%	43.5% 35.5%	31.2% 25.2%	41.2% 19.8%	41.0% 8.9%	.0%		
BLACK BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	14.5% 100.0%	14.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3% 10.8%	15.6% 33.0%	19.7% 41.4%	7.2% 9.0%	10.3% 5.8%	.0%		
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	20.5% 100.0%	20.5% 98.1%	17.9% 1.9%	25.0% 2.1%	28.6% 15.3%	17.2% 25.8%	22.3% 33.1%	16.5% 14.5%	23.1% 9.2%	.0%		
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	11.1% 100.0%	10.9% 96.6%	17.1% 3.4%	.0%	9.5% 9.4%	10.2% 28.4%	11.5% 31.5%	16.5% 26.9%	5.1% 3.8%	.0%		
MTN. GOAT Column PercentRow Percent	1.4%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 18.8%	.5% 11.9%	2.5% 55.9%	1.0% 13.4%	.0%	.0%		
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	3.8% 100.0%	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 6.9%	2.2% 17.5%	5.7% 46.2%	6.2% 29.5%	.0%	.0%		
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	4.6% 100.0%	4.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	9.5% 22.9%	2.7% 18.1%	5.1% 34.0%	5.2% 20.4%	2.6% 4.6%	.0%		
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 23.1%	1.6% 43.9%	.0%	2.1% 33.0%	.0%	.0%		
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 52.4%	1.1%	.6% 13.0%	1.0% 12.5%	.0%	.0%		
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.8%	100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 30.7%	100.0% 30.4%	100.0% 18.0%	100.0% 8.2%	.0%		

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-3
TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT									
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING			
CARIBOU Column Percent	49.4% 100.0%	35.5% 1.5%	59.1% 21.7%	47.7% 23.9%	43.1% 12.7%	52.4% 8.8%	48.1% 28.4%	51.3% 3.1%			
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	37.6% 100.0%	42.1% 2.4%	41.4% 19.9%	34.6% 22.8%	42.0% 16.2%	46.5% 10.3%	33.8% 26.2%	27.7% 2.2%			
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	14.5% 100.0%	9.1% 1.3%	12.8% 16.0%	17.9% 30.5%	16.0% 16.1%	13.9% 8.0%	12.8% 25.8%	11.1%			
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	20.5% 100.0%	9.1%	16.8% 14.8%	15.8% 19.1%	23.1% 16.4%	18.8% 7.6%	26.5% 37.8%	23.2% 3.4%			
SHEEP Column Percent	11.1% 100.0%	8.7% 1.7%	8.3% 13.6%	9.1% 20.4%	7.5% 9.8%	9.2% 6.9%	15.9% 41.9%	20.9% 5.6%			
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	.0%	3.5% 46.2%	.0%	1.3%	2.3% 14.0%	1.2% 25.9%	.0%			
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	12.2% 6.9%	2.1% 9.8%	5.2% 34.2%	1.3% 5.1%	4.6% 10.0%	4.4% 33.9%	.0%			
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	3.8% 15.1%	5.4% 29.3%	6.9% 21.9%	4.9% 8.9%	3.9% 24.8%	.0%			
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	15.5% 29.3%	.0%	1.1% 23.1%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 33.0%	5.6% 14.6%			
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	12.2% 17.5%	2.4% 28.5%	1.8% 29. <b>9%</b>	.0%	2.3%	.6% 11.1%	.0%			
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 8.3%	100.0%	100.0%			

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

# Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	TOTAL EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT								
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING		
CARIBOU Column Percent	49.4% 100.0%	59.3% 1.6%	69.7% 5.4%	46.6% 23.1%	45.4% 26.0%	53.9% 26.9%	48.1% 16.7%	100.0%		
MOOSE Column Percent Row Percent	37.6% 100.0%	43.4% 1.5%	28.1% 2.9%	43.2% 28.1%	34.5% 26.0%	38.4% 25.2%	35.9% 16.3%	.0%		
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	14.5% 100.0%	14.1% 1.3%	15.4% 4.1%	16.6% 28.0%	16.0% 31.2%	16.5% 28.1%	6.2% 7.4%	.0%		
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	20.5% 100.0%	.0%	10.9% 2.0%	25.6% 30.6%	19.1% 26.4%	16.2% 19.6%	24.6% 20.6%	100.0%		
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	11.1% 100.0%	.0%	4.8% 1.7%	10.9% 24.2%	9.0% 23.0%	10.0% 22.3%	17.6% 27. <b>3%</b>	100.0% 1.5%		
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 30.7%	2.1% 41.9%	1.5% 27.4%	.0%	.0%		
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	14.7% 5.1%	.0%	3.0% 19.7%	4.7% 35.4%	2.3% 15.2%	4.5% 20.3%	100.0%		
DEER Column Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3% 23.2%	5.2% 32.1%	5.1% 27.8%	4.5% 16.8%	.0%		
ELK Column PercentRow Percent	1.1%	26.6% 31.1%	.0%	.7% 14.6%	.6% 14.6%	1.1% 23.1%	1.1% 16.5%	.0%		
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 46.0%	2.5% 41.5%	1.1% 12.5%	.0%		
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.8%	100.0% 24.5%	100.0% 28.3%	100.0% 24.7%	100.0% 17.1%	100.0%		

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-3
TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	RACE OF RESPONDENT								
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING			
CARIBOU Column Percent	49.4% 100.0%	.0%	50.0% .3%	57.7% 3.3%	49.1% 95.0%	49.4% .7%	100.0%			
MOOSE Column Percent Row Percent	37.6% 100.0%	100.0%	50.0% .4%	44.7% 3.4%	37.3% 94.7%	50.6% 1.0%	.0%			
BLACK BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	14.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	20.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.3% 99.2%	.0%	50.0% .8%			
SHEEP Column Percent	11.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 1.5%	11.1% 95.5%	23.3% 1.5%	50.0% 1.5%			
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
WOLF Column Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8% 95.6%	.0%	50.0%			
DEER Column Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
ELK Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1% 17.5%	1.3% 82.5%	.0%	.0%			
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 95.6%	100.0%	100.0%			

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE	YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991							
		NO	YES	MISSING	0.	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
CARIBOU Column Percent. Row Percent	49.4% 100.0%	54.9% 60.9%	41.2% 36.5%	100.0%	54.9% 60.9%	43.1% 14.9%	40.6% 17.8%	61.2% 4.8%	32.8% .8%	.0%	.0%	50.6% .7%
MOOSE Column Percent. Row Percent	37.6% 100.0%	37.7% 55.0%	37.3% 43.5%	44.1% 1.5%	37.7% 55.0%	47.5% 21.6%	28.9% 16.7%	33.8% 3.5%	68.5% 2.2%	.0%	.0%	50.6% 1.0%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent. Row Percent	14.5% 100.0%	14.9% 56.4%	14.4% 43.6%	.0%	14.9% 56.4%	12.4% 14.6%	16.9% 25.3%	8.6% 2.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent. Row Percent	20.5% 100.0%	19.7% 52.9%	21.6% 46.3%	13.0% .8%	19.7% 52.9%	21.3% 17.8%	23.4% 24.8%	9.1% 1.7%	17.1% 1.0%	.0%	100.0%	23.3%
SHEEP Column Percent. Row Percent	11.1% 100.0%	7.8% 38.7%	14.6% 57.9%	29.4% 3.4%	7.8% 38.7%	14.3% 22.1%	18.1% 35.6%	4.8% 1.7%	17.1% 1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent. Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	1.3% 53.3%	1.5% 46.7%	.0%	1.3% 53.3%	2.7% 32.8%	.9% 14.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column Percent. Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	3.6% 51.9%	4.2% 48.1%	.0%	3.6% 51.9%	4.3% 19.3%	5.0% 28.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DEER Column Percent. Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	3.0% 36.2%	6.6% 63.8%	.0%	3.0% 36.2%	2.1% 7.9%	5.4% 25.8%	19.1% 16.1%	15.8% 4.3%	100.0% 5.7%	.0%	26.2% 4.1%
ELK Column Percent. Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.2% 60.4%	1.0% 39.6%	.0%	1.2% 60.4%	1.1% 16.5%	1.2% 23.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column Percent. Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.6% 59.0%	1.4% 41.0%	.0%	1.6% 59.0%	.0%	2.8% 41.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.9%	100.0% 43.8%	100.0% 1.3%	100.0% 54.9%	100.0% 17.1%	100.0% 21.7%	100.0% 3.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% .7%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-3
TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT S	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE			
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING	
CARIBOU Column Percent	49.4% 100.0%	48.7% 44.2%	50.0% 35.8%	79.2% 8.4%	40.0% 11.2%	29.4% .3%	49.9% 62.2%	47.1% 35.4%	100.0%	
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	37.6% 100.0%	34.3% 41.0%	43.0% 40.4%	48.4% 6.7%	32.2% 11.9%	.0%	36.7% 60.1%	39.3% 38.9%	33.3% 1.0%	
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	14.5% 100.0%	15.9% 49.3%	12.2% 29.8%	6.8% 2.5%	17.7% 16.9%	37.4% 1.4%	13.3% 56.6%	16.9% 43.4%	.0%	
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	20.5% 100.0%	22.6% 49.5%	17.6% 30.5%	3.1% .8%	26.8% 18.2%	37.4% 1.0%	19.8% 59.5%	21.4% 38.9%	28.4% 1.6%	
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	11.1% 100.0%	12.5% 50.7%	12.4% 39.5%	7.1% 3.4%	5.1% 6.4%	.0%	11.3% 63.2%	10.1% 33.8%	28.4% 3.0%	
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	1.3% 41.4%	1.8% 44.7%	3.7% 14.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 72.6%	1.0% 27.4%	.0%	
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	3.8% 100.0%	5.2% 61.9%	2.6% 23.9%	.0%	2.5% 9.3%	33.1% 4.9%	4.0% 65.5%	3.1% 30.1%	14.2%	
DEER Column Percent	4.6% 100.0%	6.1% 59.9%	3.3% 26.0%	3.5% 4.1%	3.3% 10.0%	.0%	4.8% 64.7%	4.3% 35.3%	.0%	
ELK Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.8% 31.1%	.5% 14.6%	.0%	4.4% 54.2%	.0%	1.5% 83.5%	.5% 16.5%	.0%	
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.7% 52.6%	.7% 17.5%	.0%	3.2% 29.9%	.0%	1.7% 71.5%	1.1% 28.5%	.0%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 44.9%	100.0% 35.4%	100.0% 5.2%	100.0% 13.9%	100.0%	100.0% 61.6%	100.0% 37.2%	100.0%	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL									
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
CARIBOU Column Percent Row Percent	49.4% 100.0%	.0%	62.6% 48.8%	49.9% 29.7%	3.7% .4%	57.7% 9.2%	59.8% 3.3%	87.1% 2.5%	64.9% 6.1%	.0%
MOOSE Column Percent Row Percent	37.6% 100.0%	2.7%	29.1% 29.8%	53.2% 41.5%	.0%	58.1% 12.1%	80.1% 5.8%	64.1% 2.4%	60.9% 7.5%	.0%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	14.5% 100.0%	61.1% 40.7%	8.5% 22.7%	13.2% 26.7%	.0%	4.9% 2.7%	.0%	36.5% 3.6%	11.2% 3.6%	.0%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	20.5% 100.0%	48.9% 23.1%	20.5% 38.7%	9.4% 13.5%	40.3% 11.2%	21.4% 8.2%	14.2% 1.9%	23.0% 1.6%	8.1%	.0%
SHEEP Column Percent Row Percent	11.1%	.0%	5.3% 18.5%	24.2% 64.3%	.0%	4.9% 3.5%	21.0% 5.2%	11.5% 1.5%	16.7% 7.0%	.0%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	8.3% 58.1%	1.5% 41.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	. 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	5.9% 15.2%	1.9% 19.5%	3.6% 28.3%	.0%	13.1% 27.2%	.0%	12.9% 4.9%	4.0%	.0%
DEER Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	9.8% 20.8%	1.2% 10.0%	.0%	48.6% 60.9%	.0%	.0%	26.4% 8.3%	.0%	.0%
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	19.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 41.5%	2.3% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 9.7%	100.0% 38.6%	100.0%	100.0% 5.7%	100.0% 7.8%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-3
TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	OTAL NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY								
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING		
CARIBOU Column Percent	49.4% 100.0%	32.8% 11.3%	49.8% 31.4%	54.5% 36.3%	60.2% 13.7%	54.9% 4.2%	.0%	43.4% 3.1%		
MOOSE Column Percent	37.6% 100.0%	21.7% 9.8%	34.1% 28.2%	49.8% 43.5%	40.7% 12.2%	40.6% 4.1%	.0%	23.3%		
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	14.5% 100.0%	15.4% 18.0%	13.8% 29.6%	15.8% 35.8%	12.9% 10.0%	.0%	47.0% 1.1%	22.3% 5.4%		
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	20.5% 100.0%	37.5% 31.2%	20.7% 31.4%	13.3% 21.4%	9.9% 5.4%	10.2% 1.9%	.0%	50.5% 8.7%		
SHEEP Column Percent	11.1% 100.0%	20.3% 31.1%	15.6% 43.9%	5.2% 15.4%	4.7% 4.7%	4.9% 1.7%	.0%	10.0% 3.2%		
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	2.1% 25.3%	1.9% 41.9%	.8% 18.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5% 14.0%		
WOLF Column Percent	3.8% 100.0%	3.2% 14.2%	3.6% 29.6%	1.7% 14.6%	10.7% 31.8%	4.9% 4.9%	.0%	5.3% 4.9%		
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	4.6% 100.0%	2.2% 8.3%	4.9% 33.5%	2.6% 18.7%	9.1% 22.6%	15.3% 12.8%	53.0% 4.1%	.0%		
ELK Column Percent	1.1%	.0%	.8% 23.1%	.5% 14.6%	6.2% 62.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 34.9%	2.4% 54.0%	.0%	4.3% 11.1%	.0%	.0%		
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 32.9%	100.0% 11.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-3
TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA				
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING	
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	49.4% 100.0%	29.3% 3.0%	52.0% 59.6%	47.9% 36.6%	68.7% .9%	
MOOSE Column Percent	37.6% 100.0%	22.1% 2.9%	28.5% 43.0%	53.6% 53.6%	26.6% .4%	
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	14.5% 100.0%	27.8% 9.6%	12.7% 49.5%	15.8% 41.0%	.0%	
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	20.5% 100.0%	19.0% 4.6%	15.0% 41.4%	28.8% 53.0%	31.3% .9%	
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	11.1% 100.0%	17.0% 7.7%	8.9% 45.6%	13.7% 46.7%	.0%	
MTN. GOAT Column Percent	1.4%	.0%	1.3% 53.3%	1.7% 46.7%	.0%	
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	3.0% 45.3%	5.5% 54.7%	.0%	
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	4.6% 100.0%	5.2% 5.7%	6.3% 78.5%	1.9% 15.8%	.0%	
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	.0%	1.7% 83.5%	.5% 16.5%	.0%	
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	1.5%	.0%	.6% 24.1%	3.0% 75.9%	.0%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.0%	100.0% 56.7%	100.0% 37.7%	100.0%	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-3
TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING						
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING	
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	49.4% 100.0%	41.6% 2.0%	39.7% 8.3%	54.6% 48.2%	45.6% 32.6%	56.4% 7.3%	42.4% 1.6%	
MOOSE Column Percent	37.6% 100.0%	24.7% 1.5%	12.4% 3.4%	31.3% 36.3%	48.1% 45.2%	73.2% 12.5%	22.3% 1.1%	
BLACK BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	14.5% 100.0%	.0%	8.7% 6.2%	12.8% 38.5%	15.3% 37.3%	33.6% 14.8%	25.1% 3.1%	
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	20.5% 100.0%	15.4% 1.8%	21.7% 11.0%	14.7% 31.4%	25.4% 43.9%	34.2% 10.7%	14.4% 1.3%	
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	11.1% 100.0%	18.3% 3.8%	16.1% 15.1%	10.7% 42.3%	10.0% 31.9%	11.8% 6.8%	.0%	
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.8% 13.4%	1.3% 41.9%	1.0% 25.9%	4.1% 18.8%	.0%	
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 31.3%	6.3% 58.8%	2.9% 4.9%	10.3% 4.9%	
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	1.9% 4.3%	7.3% 69.7%	2.8% 21.7%	3.0% 4.3%	.0%	
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	-0% -0%	3.2% 29.3%	.4% 14.6%	1.8% 56.1%	.0%	.0%	
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 22.1%	3.3% 77.9%	.0%	.0%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2. <b>3</b> %	100.0%	100.0% 43.7%	100.0% 35.4%	100.0% 6.4%	100.0%	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## TABLE A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	1	OURS PER	DAY SPEN	T ACTUALLY	HUNTING	
	!	1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	49.4% 100.0%	.0%	50.4% 7.0%	48.5% 35.2%	52.0% 50.4%	43.8% 6.2%	25.5% 1.1%
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	37.6% 100.0%	.0%	13.4% 2.5%	40.7% 38.9%	39.4% 50.1%	34.7% 6.4%	35.4% 2.1%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	14.5% 100.0%	.0%	21.6% 10.3%	15.9% 39.4%	12.0% 39.7%	12.8% 6.2%	29.4% 4.5%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	20.5% 100.0%	.0%	10.2% 3.4%	21.9% 38.4%	20.8% 48.6%	21.5% 7.3%	20.6%
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	11.1% 100.0%	.0%	5.2% 3.2%	7.8% 25.2%	12.6% 54.7%	26.5% 16.8%	.0%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	5.2% 25.9%	1.8% 46.2%	.8% 28.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	2.8% 5.1%	2.5% 24.2%	4.2% 53.7%	6.5% 12.0%	8.4% 4.9%
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	12.5% 18.8%	5.4% 42.7%	3.7% 38.5%	.0%	.0%
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 31.1%	1.6% 68.9%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 41.5%	1.8% 58.5%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	.0%	100.0% 6.9%	100.0% 36.0%	100.0% 47.9%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED										
	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
CARIBOU Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	9.6% 1.1%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	54.5% 24.6%	60.6% 61.5%	47.5% 10.8%	7.6% .4%	.0%	68.7% .9%
MOOSE Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	56.0% .6%	31.3% 18.6%	56.1% 74.9%	14.5% 4.4%	7.6% .5%	.0%	68.7% 1.1%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	33.1% 12.5%	70.5% 31.3%	100.0% 2.5%	.0% .0%	11.7% 18.0%	9.0% 31.3%	3.4% 2.7%	.0%	.0%	42.1% 1.8%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	74.4% 19.9%	53.8% 16.9%	.0%	.0%	8.4% 9.1%	10.1% 24.7%	49.9% 27.5%	.0%	.0%	31.3% .9%
SHEEP Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	12.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	32.0% 64.5%	6.1% 27.6%	1.6% 1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0% 32.8%	1.1% 39.9%	3.4% 27.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	6.9% 10.0%	3.0% 5.1%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 40.3%	1.9% 25.2%	4.8% 14.4%	7.3% 4.9%	.0%	.0%
DEER Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 3.6%	1.9% 21.5%	9.4% 23.4%	84.8% 47.4%	100.0% 4.1%	.0%
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 43.9%	5.6% 56.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 12.5%	2.1% 70.1%	2.3% 17.5%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 5.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 22.3%	100.0% 50.2%	100.0% 11.3%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0%	100.0% .6%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	ss
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	49.4% 100.0%	55.3% 10.3%	48.6% 72.7%	44.5% 10.9%	56.4% 3.0%	70.9% 3.1%
MOOSE Column Percent	37.6% 100.0%	29.8% 7.3%	38.7% 76.0%	38.7% 12.4%	27.4% 1.9%	41.7% 2.4%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	14.5% 100.0%	17.0% 10.8%	14.7% 74.7%	14.4% 12.0%	6.2% 1.1%	9.6% 1.4%
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	20.5% 100.0%	18.2% 8.2%	20.9% 75.3%	16.4% 9.7%	30.2% 3.9%	27.7% 3.0%
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	11.1%	8.9% 7.4%	10.5% 70.3%	11.0% 11.9%	13.1% 3.2%	36.8% 7.3%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent	1.4%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column Percent	3.8% 100.0%	4.2% 10.3%	3.8% 75.0%	1.5% 4.9%	.0%	17.0% 9.8%
DEER Column Percent	4.6% 100.0%	1.8%	5.5% 88.5%	1.4% 3.6%	7.3% 4.3%	.0%
ELK Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5% 100.0%	2.1% 13.0%	1.8% 87.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 73.9%	100.0% 12.1%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-3 TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP						
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING	
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	49.4% 100.0%	32.9% 1.1%	52.8% 9.8%	49.2% 49.4%	50.7% 37.7%	32.5% 1.3%	45.2% .7%	
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	37.6% 100.0%	23.9% 1.1%	30.9% 7.5%	37.6% 49.6%	40.2% 39.3%	32.5% 1.7%	42.5% .9%	
BLACK BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	14.5% 100.0%	21.6% 2.5%	4.4% 2.8%	16.9% 58.0%	13.8% 35.0%	.0%	33.6% 1.8%	
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	20.5% 100.0%	34.6% 2.8%	12.2% 5.5%	21.9% 53.2%	17.7% 31.8%	47.8% 4.6%	54.8% 2.1%	
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	11.1% 100.0%	.0%	10.1% 8.4%	11.8% 53.0%	11.1% 36.9%	9.4% 1.7%	.0% .0%	
MTN. GOAT Column Percent	1.4%	.0%	2.1% 14.0%	1.5% 53.3%	1.2% 32.8%	.0%	.0%	
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	6.3% 15.2%	4.7% 61.1%	2.4% 23.7%	.0%	.0%	
DEER Column Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	10.1% 20.3%	5.0% 55.0%	2.5% 20.5%	9.8% 4.3%	.0% .0%	
ELK Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	10.0% 14.6%	.0%	1.6% 70.7%	. 4% 14 . 6%	.0%	.0% .0%	
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 36.5%	2.6% 63.5%	.0%	.0%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.1%	100.0% 49.7%	100.0% 36.8%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0% .8%	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-3
TARGET SPECIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARISO	COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER USED PLACES HUNTED				USED GUIDE	) GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING	
CARIBOU Column Percent	49.4% 100.0%	37.9% 2.0%	49.6% 80.9%	50.6% 14.9%	51.9% 2.2%	54.4% 53.7%	43.6% 41.9%	58.4% 4.3%	
MOOSE Column Percent	37.6% 100.0%	54.8% 3.8%	36.7% 78.7%	36.3% 14.1%	60.5% 3.4%	46.9% 60.8%	27.8% 35.1%	41.7% 4.0%	
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	14.5% 100.0%	7.0% 1.3%	15.2% 84.3%	14.3% 14.4%	.0%	14.9% 50.1%	13.7% 44.8%	20.2% 5.1%	
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	20.5% 100.0%	.0%	21.3% 83.8%	19.6% 13.9%	22.4% 2.3%	3.8% 9.1%	38.0% 88.1%	16.0% 2.9%	
SHEEP Column Percent	11.1%	6.3% 1.5%	11.1% 81.0%	13.3% 17.5%	.0%	3.9% 17.0%	19.3% 83.0%	.0%	
MTN. GOAT Column Percent	1.4%	.0%	1.5% 88.1%	1.1% 11.9%	.0%	.8% 28.0%	2.1% 72.0%	.0%	
WOLF Column Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	4.2% 90.0%	2.6% 10.0%	-0% -0%	2.5% 31.8%	4.6% 58.2%	10.4% 10.0%	
DEER Column Percent	4.6% 100.0%	17.2% 10.0%	4.3% 76.4%	4.3% 13.6%	.0%	6.8% 73.0%	2.6% 27.0%	.0%	
ELK Column Percent	1.1%	.0%	.8% 56.1%	3.4% 43.9%	.0%	1.5% 67.0%	.8% 33.0%	.0%	
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	6.3% 11.1%	1.4% 76.5%	1.3% 12.5%	.0%	1.8% 58.5%	1.3% 41.5%	.0%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0% 80.7%	100.0% 14.6%	100.0% 2.1%	100.0% 48.8%	100.0% 47.5%	100.0% 3.7%	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

## Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Caribou

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
****			
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	4.40771	2	.11038
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	1.86671	1 5 5 5	.17185
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	3.34759	5	.64656
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	11.40271	5	.04396
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	6.95217	5	.22422
RACE OF RESPONDENT	1.68988	4	.79255
	14.78774	1	.00012
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	16.19331	7 3 1 7 5 2 4 4 9 3	.02341
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	11.58064	3	.00897
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	.00369	1	.95159
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	64.58147	7	.00000
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY		5	.06020
	2.00678	2	.36663
	5.77981	4	.21621
	1.14038	4	.88781
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	56.52608	9	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	5.14468	3	.16150
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		4 2	.23129
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	-21686	2	.89724
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	3.42828	1	.06409

#### Moose

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	.44673 3.00090	2	.79982 .08322
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	3.00090	1	.08322
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	14.01887	5	.01549
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	7.89315	5 5 5	.16222
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	6.77241	5	.23812
RACE OF RESPONDENT	2.03636	4	.72907
RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	.04452	1	.83289
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	12.34051	7	.08990
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	7.51946	3	.05706
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	.00106	1.	.97399
TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	30.65236	7 3 1 7 5 2 4 4 9 3	.00007
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	9.78946	5	.08143
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	11.24567	2	.00361
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	9.70309	4	.04574
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	4.12084	4	.38990
	31.87465	9	.00021
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	3.34746	3	.34109
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	4 <i>.7</i> 3717	4	.31534
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	4.59713	4 2	.10040
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	.91152	1	.33971

## Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Black Bear

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	2.67236	2	.26285
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	.81492	1	.36667
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	3.44135	5 5 4 1	.63228
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	5.64363	5	.34245
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	5.81468	5	.32467
RACE OF RESPONDENT	1.48949	4	.82850
		1	.41789
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	2.76878	7	.90554
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	.94836	3 1	.81374
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	.06328	1	.80139
TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	66.49517	7 5 2 4	.00000
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	10.26905	5	.06796
NUMBER OF HUNIERS IN PARIT NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	7.71647 1.68293	2	.02111
DATE OF EACH ADTOXICE FOR THE		4	.79382
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING		4	.01984
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	119.93420	4 9 3	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	.52722	3	.91287
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	4.36035	4 2	.35943
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	1.15889	2	.56021
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	.67198	1	.41236

#### Brown Bear

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT	.82641 .14546	2	.66153 .70291
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	8.93712 5.04241	5 5 5	.11160 .41073
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT	4.24881 3.34300	5	.51418 .50215
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	.00455 12.89622 3.68541	1 7 3	.94624 .07468 .29750
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION	.60435 36.06281	1 7	.43692
	12.09082 4.13902	5	.03356 .12625
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	5.84288 2.00810 121.32490	4	.21119 .73427
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	.49607	9 3 4	.00000 .91975 .27810
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	7.20612 55.25187	2	.02724 .00000

## Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Sheep

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN ALASKA	.54409 1.05191 7.34470 10.51436 8.60083 2.93089 1.86394 5.78001 .28469 .08559 37.62356 17.76500 2.09422 4.42110 12.82601 44.76398 5.61476 5.31300	2 1 5	.76182 .30507 .19624 .06191 .12608 .56946 .17217 .56566 .96288 .76986 .00000 .00326 .35095 .35201 .01216 .00000 .13193 .25666
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	32.19357	1	.00000

#### Mtn. Goat

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	.69084 .04507 1.18800 3.10500 1.72071 .08236 .05875 2.05064 9.42407 .18938 3.44352 2.06932 1.40166 .46642 4.65847 1.88431	2155541731752449342	70792
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	1.41063 1.85722	2 1	.49395 .17295

## Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

#### Wolf

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT	.55267 .09045 2.38408 4.24110 18.88257 .12708 .00102 1.88307 1.68298 1.79482 3.07336 2.02248 6.24491 6.67102 4.05316 5.81466 1.65761	2155541731752449342	.75856 .76361 .79384 .51525 .00202 .99806 .97447 .96603 .64072 .18034 .87813 .84603 .04405 .15433 .39886 .75831 .64640 .82427
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	1.08621	1	.29731

#### Deer

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	1.80858	2 1 5 5 5	.40483
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	.31456	1	.57489
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	1.64987	5	.89515
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	6.55207	5	.25614
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	1.68898	5	.89029
RACE OF RESPONDENT	.57488	4	.96581
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	5.47640	4 1 7 3	.01927
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	74.30668	7	.00000
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	2.83379	3	.41797
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	.26191	1	.60881
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	100.06208	7	.00000
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	38.72640	5 2	.00000
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	5.35878	2	.06860
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	6.61943	4	.15742
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	6.66395	4	. 15475
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	304.12264	4 9 3	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	2.68275	3	.44317
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	2.69785	4	.60959
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	3.19491		.20241
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	5.98440	1	.01443

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

# Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Waterfowl

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS		215554173175244934	.09729 .00420 .75430 .03949 .18877 .94658 .25672 .06218 .22779 .45530 .09477 .21351 .82216 .97041
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	2.10750 3.13892 2.25758	4 2 1	.71599 .20816 .13296

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-50 August, 1994

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPON		AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT							
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING	
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	52.6% 100.0%	52.3% 96.5%	62.8% 3.5%	33.3% 1.1%	57.7% 10.1%	53.9% 32.9%	52.8% 32.6%	54.0% 16.3%	43.3% 7.0%	.0%	
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	21.4% 100.0%	20.9% 94.9%	36.5% 5.1%	66.7% 5.4%	15.4% 6.6%	23.1% 34.7%	13.0% 19.8%	27.0% 20.1%	33.4% 13.4%	.0%	
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	8.3% 100.0%	8.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	7.7% 8.5%	9.8% 37.7%	9.8% 37.9%	4.8% 9.1%	6.7% 6.8%	.0%	
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	17.5% 100.0%	17.5% 97.0%	17.9% 3.0%	.0%	30.8% 16.2%	12.6% 23.1%	21.1% 39.3%	12.7% 11.6%	20.0% 9.8%	.0%	
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	10.8% 100.0%	10.6% 95.3%	17.1% 4.7%	.0%	3.8% 3.3%	9.8% 29.1%	13.0% 39.1%	17.5% 25.8%	3.3% 2.6%	.0%	
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 46.0%	.8% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	1.6% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	3.3% 100.0%	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8% 10.6%	2.8% 26.9%	2.4% 23.7%	6.3% 30.3%	3.3% 8.5%	.0%	
ELK Column PercentRow Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.7% 48.9%	.7% 15.5%	.8% 18.2%	1.6% 17.4%	.0%	.0%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.0%	100.0% 3.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0%	100.0% 32.1%	100.0% 32.4%	100.0% 15.9%	100.0% 8.6%	.0%	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

## Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT						
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
CARIBOU Column Percent Row Percent	52.6% 100.0%	36.6% 1.5%	67.7% 22.9%	56.9% 27.1%	45.8% 12.2%	36.2% 5.4%	48.4% 27.4%	55.4% 3.5%
MOOSE Column Percent	21.4% 100.0%	39.4% 4.0%	29.4% 24.5%	15.9% 18.6%	28.1% 18.4%	22.6% 8.3%	16.6% 23.1%	19.2% 3.0%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	8.3% 100.0%	12.0% 3.2%	1.4% 3.0%	7.7% 23.1%	11.0% 18.5%	10.2% 9.5%	10.5% 37.4%	13.5% 5.4%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	17.5% 100.0%	12.0% 1.5%	11.0% 11.2%	13.6% 19.5%	18.0% 14.5%	27.3% 12.2%	21.8% 37.1%	21.4% 4.1%
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	10.8% 100.0%	.0%	7.9% 13.0%	7.9% 18.4%	3.8%	10.0% 7.2%	17.6% 48.7%	25.3% 7.8%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 54.0%	.0%	.8% 46.0%	.0%
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 23.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 77.0%	.0%
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 36.0%	7.8% 32.8%	3.7% 8.5%	2.5% 22.7%	.0%
ELK Column Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	16.1% 24.5%	1.3% 15.5%	2.4% 41.9%	.0%	3.4% 18.2%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.2%	100.0% 17.8%	100.0% 25.0%	100.0% 14.0%	100.0% 7.8%	100.0% 29.8%	100.0% 3.3%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-52 August, 1994

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	TOTAL EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT								
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING		
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	52.6% 100.0%	50.0% 1.0%	79.8% 6.0%	51.4% 25.6%	53.2% 28.0%	50.8% 22.9%	50.8% 16.6%	.0%		
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	21.4% 100.0%	24.5% 1.2%	31.1% 5.7%	26.5% 32.5%	20.8% 27.0%	15.0% 16.7%	21.0% 16.8%	.0%		
BLACK BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	8.3% 100.0%	24.5% 3.0%	.0%	6.4% 20.2%	9.0% 30.0%	13.4% 38.3%	4.2% 8.5%	.0%		
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	17.5% 100.0%	25.5% 1.5%	14.5% 3.3%	22.3% 33.4%	13.0% 20.6%	18.3% 24.8%	16.7% 16.4%	.0%		
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	10.8% 100.0%	.0%	6.7% 2.4%	10.8% 26.3%	7.9% 20.3%	7.5% 16.5%	20.3% 32.3%	100.0% 2.1%		
MTN. GOAT Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 46.0%	1.0% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	1.0%	25.5% 27.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 23.0%	1.1%	.0%	100.0% 23.0%		
DEER Column Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 21.9%	4.5% 37.1%	2.4% 17.3%	4.6% 23.7%	.0%		
ELK Column Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 39.9%	2.6% 42.6%	1.5% 17.4%	.0%		
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.9%	100.0% 26.2%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 17.2%	100.0%		

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

# Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	RACE OF RESPONDENT						
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING	
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	52.6% 100.0%	.0%	50.0% -4%	61.0% 3.4%	52.3% 94.9%	68.0% .9%	50.0%	
MOOSE Column Percent Row Percent	21.4% 100.0%	.0%	50.0%	34.5% 4.7%	20.8% 93.2%	32.0% 1.1%	.0%	
BLACK BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	8.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	17.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	10.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.8% 95.8%	32.0% 2.1%	50.0% 2.1%	
MTN. GOAT Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
WOLF Column Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 77.0%	.0%	50.0% 23.0%	
DEER Column Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	12.2% 24.5%	1.1% 75.5%	.0%	.0%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.9%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0% .7%	100.0%	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991							
		МО	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25	
CARIBOU Column Percent. Row Percent	52.6% 100.0%	59.4% 64.0%	41.8% 33.2%	100.0%	59.4% 64.0%	44.1% 13.4%	39.0% 15.5%	73.6% 5.2%	39.6% 1.0%	.0%	.0%	65.9% .9%	
MOOSE Column Percent. Row Percent	21.4% 100.0%	19.8% 52.5%	23.1% 45.2%	34.5% 2.4%	19.8% 52.5%	32.2% 24.1%	16.5% 16.1%	13.1% 2.3%	62.0% 4.0%	.0%	.0%	30.3% 1.1%	
BLACK BEAR Column Percent. Row Percent	8.3% 100.0%	7.0% 47.9%	10.4% 52.1%	.0%	7.0% 47.9%	9.4% 17.9%	12.6% 31.5%	6.0% 2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
BROWN BEAR Column Percent. Row Percent	17.5% 100.0%	16.8% 54.4%	19.0% 45.6%	.0%	16.8% 54.4%	21.1% 19.3%	20.7% 24.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
SHEEP Column Percent. Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	8.0% 42.3%	13.7% 53.0%	34.5% 4.7%	8.0% 42.3%	16.5% 24.4%	16.0% 31.0%	6.8% 2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MTN. GOAT Column Percent. Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.4% 46.0%	.6% 54.0%	.0%	.4% 46.0%	1.7% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WOLF Column Percent. Row Percent	1.0%	.9% 54.0%	1.1% 46.0%	.0%	.9% 54.0%	.0%	2.2% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DEER Column Percent. Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	1.3% 22.5%	6.2% 77.5%	.0%	1.3% 22.5%	1.4%	4.7% 29.4%	13.6% 15.3%	19.0% 7.9%	100.0% 10.6%	.0%	34.1% 7.6%	
ELK Column Percent. Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WATERFOWL Column Percent. Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	2.1% 82.6%	.6% 17.4%	.0%	2.1% 82.6%	.0%	1.2% 17.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 56.7%	100.0% 41.8%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0% 56.7%	100.0% 16.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTE COURSE									
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING			
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	52.6% 100.0%	49.9% 43.4%	52.5% 35.1%	83.0% 9.5%	48.8% 11.6%	44.0%	51.1% 60.0%	54.4% 37.8%	71.6%			
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	21.4% 100.0%	20.6% 44.2%	22.1% 36.5%	38.7% 11.0%	14.2% 8.3%	.0%	21.2% 61.4%	22.6% 38.6%	.0%			
BLACK BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	8.3% 100.0%	9.3% 51.1%	7.3% 30.8%	3.7% 2.7%	8.0% 12.0%	56.0% 3.4%	8.6% 63.6%	8.3% 36.4%	.0%			
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	17.5% 100.0%	16.8% 44.0%	16.3% 32.9%	8.4% 2.9%	28.3% 20.2%	.0%	15.9% 56.4%	20.2% 42.3%	14.2% 1.3%			
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	10.8% 100.0%	11.5% 48.9%	10.7% 35.0%	8.4% 4.7%	9.8% 11.4%	.0%	10.9% 62.4%	10.5% 35.6%	14.2%			
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 46.0%	4.4% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 46.0%	.7% 54.0%	.0%			
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	1.6% 77.0%	.6% 23.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 77.0%	.0%	14.2% 23.0%			
DEER Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	4.6% 62.7%	2.8% 29.8%	4.2% 7.6%	.0%	.0%	3.7% 68.4%	2.9% 31.6%	.0%			
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.1% 33.7%	1.0% 24.5%	.0%	4.9% 41.9%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%			
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.8%	100.0% 35.2%	100.0%	100.0% 12.5%	100.0%	100.0% 61.8%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0%			

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		TRIP DESTINATION REGION										
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING			
CARIBOU Column Percent Row Percent	52.6% 100.0%	.0%	65.0% 50.6%	54.2% 29.8%	.0%	57.4% 9.6%	62.8% 2.4%	55.9% 1.8%	59.7% 5.8%	.0%			
MOOSE Column Percent Row Percent	21.4% 100.0%	.0%	16.9% 32.3%	28.7% 39.0%	.0%	27.3% 11.2%	37.2% 3.5%	44.1% 3.6%	43.5% 10.4%	.0%			
BLACK BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	8.3% 100.0%	53.5% 51.4%	4.2% 20.6%	6.5% 22.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.0% 2.7%	4.4% 2.7%	.0%			
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	17.5% 100.0%	48.6% 22.4%	19.1% 44.8%	2.7%	48.0% 12.0%	23.9% 12.0%	13.1% 1.5%	13.0% 1.3%	5.2% 1.5%	.0%			
SHEEP Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	.0%	4.3% 16.5%	24.6% 66.0%	.0%	6.0%	26.1% 4.9%	.0%	16.4% 7.7%	.0%			
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	2.8% 46.0%	.6% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	1.0%	.0%	.6% 27.0%	1.6% 46.0%	.0%	3.0% 27.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
DEER Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	5.6% 13.4%	.9% 10.6%	.0%	52.0% 68.4%	.0%	.0%	14.6% 7.6%	.0%	.0%			
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 18.2%	3.2% 64.4%	.0%	.0%	12.5% 17.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 8.0%	100.0% 41.0%	100.0% 29.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 5.1%	.0%			

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			NUMBER OF	HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	52.6% 100.0%	38.1% 11.9%	52.9% 30.8%	56.3% 36.0%	70.3% 14.8%	39.9% 2.9%	.0%	48.9% 3.5%
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	21.4% 100.0%	13.5% 10.4%	18.0% 25.8%	29.7% 46.8%	16.3% 8.5%	27.1% 4.9%	.0%	20.0%
BLACK BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	8.3% 100.0%	10.7% 21.2%	7.0% 25.6%	8.6% 34.7%	2.3% 3.0%	.0%	47.0% 2.7%	28.1% 12.8%
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	17.5% 100.0%	28.3% 26.7%	21.0% 36.9%	10.2% 19.6%	9.0% 5.7%	12.6% 2.8%	.0%	38.5% 8.4%
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	10.8% 100.0%	22.7% 34.7%	15.5% 44.2%	6.1% 19.1%	2.0% 2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MTN. GOAT Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	1.4% 46.0%	.9% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 50.0%	.7% 23.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	7.0% 27.0%
DEER Column Percent	3.3% 100.0%	1.5% 7.6%	.9% 7.9%	2.7% 26.9%	8.0% 26.4%	20.4% 23.7%	53.0% 7.6%	.0%
ELK Column PercentRow Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.2% 24.5%	3.3% 75.5%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.5%	100.0% 30.7%	100.0% 33.6%	100.0%	100.0% 3.9%	100.0%	100.0%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUI	MBER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASE	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	52.6% 100.0%	38.8% 4.2%	55.1% 59.8%	50.6% 34.9%	68.7% 1.1%
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	21.4% 100.0%	4.4 <b>%</b> 1.2%	17.2% 46.1%	31.0% 52.7%	.0%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	8.3% 100.0%	24.1% 16.5%	7.5% 51.2%	7.4% 32.3%	.0%
BROWN BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	17.5% 100.0%	28.3% 9.3%	14.1% 46.1%	20.8% 43.2%	31.3% 1.5%
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	10.8% 100.0%	4.4% 2.3%	9.7% 51.1%	13.8% 46.6%	.0%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 100.0%	.0%
DEER Column Percent	3.3% 100.0%	6.2% 10.6%	4.8% 82.7%	.6% 6.7%	.0%
ELK Column PercentRow Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 18.2%	3.3% 81.8%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.7%	100.0% 57.1%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING									
:		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING				
CARIBOU Column Percent	52.6% 100.0%	46.8% 2.5%	44.8% 10.7%	55.8% 47.5%	48.4% 29.3%	64.5% 8.1%	74.6% 2.0%				
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	21.4% 100.0%	27.8% 3.7%	12.0% 7.0%	18.5% 38.8%	24.8% 37.0%	43.6% 13.4%	.0%				
BLACK BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	8.3% 100.0%	.0%	5.9% 8.9%	7.1% 38.3%	10.4% 39.6%	11.4% 9.0%	25.4% 4.2%				
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	17.5% 100.0%	17.4% 2.8%	25.3% 18.1%	13.1% 33.5%	21.2% 38.6%	13.2% 5.0%	25.4% 2.0%				
SHEEP Column Percent	10.8% 100.0%	8.0% 2.1%	16.1% 18.7%	10.8% 44.7%	8.5% 25.0%	15.6% 9.5%	.0%				
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 54.0%	.7% 46.0%	.0%	.0%				
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%				
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	5.8% 77.8%	2.3% 22.2%	.0%	.0%				
ELK Column PercentRow Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%				
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 15.5%	3.8% 84.5%	.0%	.0%				
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.8%	100.0% 12.5%	100.0% 44.8%	100.0% 31.9%	100.0% 6.6%	100.0%				

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	I	OURS PER	DAY SPEN	TACTUALLY	HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
CARIBOU Column Percent	52.6% 100.0%	.0%	51.5% 7.1%	51.8% 36.3%	54.2% 47.3%	50.0% 7.8%	45.8% 1.4%
MOOSE Column Percent	21.4% 100.0%	.0%	6.9% 2.4%	21.5% 37.1%	24.1% 51.8%	19.2% 7.4%	17.1% 1.3%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	8.3% 100.0%	.0%	24.7% 21.6%	6.1% 26.7%	6.5% 36.0%	11.6% 11.4%	21.3%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	17.5% 100.0%	.0%	9.8% 4.1%	16.9% 35.7%	18.1% 47.5%	19.4% 9.1%	37.1% 3.5%
SHEEP Column Percent	10.8%	.0%	3.6% 2.4%	7.5% 25.7%	12.4% 52.9%	24.9% 19.0%	.0%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 46.0%	.7% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
DEER Column PercentRow Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	7.5% 16.4%	5.7% 63.4%	1.5% 20.2%	.0%	.0%
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 58.1%	1.3% 41.9%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%	100.0% 7.3%	100.0% 36.9%	100.0% 45.9%	100.0% 8.2%	100.0%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

### Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

		MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED										
	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	ост.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING	
CARIBOU Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	7.5% .9%	. <b>0%</b> . 0%	. <b>0%</b> . 0%	44 - 0% . 4%	63.3% 28.1%	64.9% 58.9%	54.1% 10.8%	9.8% .5%	.0%		
MOOSE Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	56.0% 1.3%	17.0% 18.6%	32.9% 73.6%	13.4% 6.5%	.0%	.0%		
BLACK BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	21.8% 16.9%	58.4% 48.5%	100.0%	.0%	4.6% 12.8%	2.0% 11.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 1.5%	70.7% 26.3%	57.0% 22.6%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 2.8%	7.7% 21.0%	40.6% 24.3%	.0%	.0%		
SHEEP Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	7.5% 4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	29.0% 62.8%	6.9% 30.3%	2.4% 2.3%	.0%	.0%		
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
WOLF Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	3.1% 73.0%	.6% 27.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
DEER Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 13.4%	2.1% 6.7%	90.2% 72.3%	100.0% 7.6%		
ELK Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%		
WATERFOWL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.1% 17.4%	2.5% 82.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 6.5%	100.0% 6.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 23.3%	100.0% 47.7%	100.0% 10.5%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0%		

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	SS
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
CARIBOU Column Percent	52.6% 100.0%	63.8% 12.0%	50.9% 74.3%	49.3% 8.6%	67.6% 2.5%	62.6% 2.6%
MOOSE Column Percent	21.4% 100.0%	13.1% 6.1%	22.7% 81.7%	22.3% 9.6%	13.8% 1.2%	12.9% 1.3%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	8.3% 100.0%	10.2% 12.2%	7.9% 72.8%	8.0% 8.9%	11.8% 2.7%	12.9% 3.4%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	17.5% 100.0%	15.7% 8.9%	17.1% 75.0%	20.7% 10.9%	32.4% 3.5%	12.9% 1.6%
SHEEP Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	4.9% 4.5%	12.1% 86.2%	5.3% 4.5%	.0%	23.4% 4.8%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column Percent	1.0% 100.0%	2.7% 27.0%	.9% 73.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DEER Column Percent	3.3% 100.0%	2.3% 6.7%	4.1% 93.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
ELK Column Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column Percent	1.5%	2.7% 18.2%	1.5% 81.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 76.7%	100.0% 9.2%	100.0%	100.0%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-4
SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWING	TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
CARIBOU Column PercentRow Percent	52.6% 100.0%	32.7% .9%	48.3% 7.1%	50.8% 49.7%	56.6% 40.6%	100.0% 1.2%	30.4% .5%
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	21.4% 100.0%	.0%	13.5% 4.9%	21.2% 51.1%	23.9% 42.3%	57.3% 1.7%	.0% .0%
BLACK BEAR Column Percent	8.3% 100.0%	32.7% 5.8%	7.1% 6.6%	7.8% 48.0%	7.8% 35.3%	.0%	42.6% 4.2%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent	17.5% 100.0%	34.6% 3.0%	13.4% 6.0%	19.7% 58.2%	14.3% 30.9%	.0% .0%	42.6% 2.0%
SHEEP Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	.0%	12.9% 9.3%	13.4% 63.8%	7.1% 24.8%	.0%	27.0% 2.1%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	-4% 46.0%	.7% 54.0%	.0%	.0%
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 27.0%	.9% 50.0%	.6% 23.0%	.0%	.0%
DEER Column Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	8.2% 19.2%	3.8% 58.7%	2.0% 22.2%	.0% .0%	.0%
Column Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 35.6%	2.5% 64.4%	. 0% . 0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0% 7.8%	100.0% 51.5%	100.0% 37.8%	100.0%	100.0%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-4 SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARIS		G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING
CARIBOU Column Percent	52.6% 100.0%	56.9% 2.6%	50.5% 81.7%	69.0% 14.3%	48.0% 1.4%	61.0% 52.9%	43.9% 42.9%	75.5% 4.2%
MOOSE Column PercentRow Percent	21.4% 100.0%	33.7% 3.8%	21.8% 87.0%	13.9% 7.1%	29.1% 2.1%	25.1% 53.7%	18.3% 44.2%	15.4% 2.1%
BLACK BEAR Column PercentRow Percent	8.3% 100.0%	.0%	8.5% 86.7%	10.2% 13.3%	.0%	7.6% 41.4%	9.0% 55.4%	9.0% 3.2%
BROWN BEAR Column Percent Row Percent	17.5% 100.0%	.0%	18.8% 91.8%	9.9% 6.2%	23.0% 2.0%	2.8% 7.4%	31.5% 92.6%	.0% .0%
SHEEP Column PercentRow Percent	10.8% 100.0%	9.4% 2.1%	10.9% 86.3%	11.5% 11.6%	.0%	1.8% 7.4%	19.4% 92.6%	.0%
MTN. GOAT Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 54.0%	2.1% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%
WOLF Column PercentRow Percent	1.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	-5% 23.0%	1.5% 77.0%	.0%
DEER Column Percent	3.3% 100.0%	14.9% 10.6%	3.2% 82.7%	2.1% 6.7%	.0%	6.1% 83.9%	1.0% 16.1%	.0% .0%
ELK Column PercentRow Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
WATERFOWL Column PercentRow Percent	1.5% 100.0%	9.4% 15.5%	1.1% 67.1%	2.3% 17.4%	.0%	2.6% 81.8%	.5% 18.2%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 85.2%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0% 45.7%	100.0% 51.4%	100.0% 2.9%

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

GENDER OF RESPONDENT 6.82603 11 .812 AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT 96.25281 55 .000 INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT 71.12055 55 .070 EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT 90.92679 55 .001 RACE OF RESPONDENT 108.61145 44 .000 HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? 27.65949 11 .003 YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 215.66562 77 .000 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING 38.93012 33 .220 COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE 10.89231 11 .452 COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE 121.40255 77 .000 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA 31.35897 22 .089 DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING 35.23565 44 .824	Factor	Value	DF	Significance
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED 637.53676 99 .000 IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS 21.43565 33 .939	GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	6.82603 96.25281 71.12025 90.92679 108.61145 27.65949 215.66562 38.93012 10.89231 121.40255 31.35897 35.23565 43.99845 637.53676 21.43565	11 55 55 55 44 11 77 33 11 77 22 44 44 99	.09776 .81299 .00049 .07072 .00165 .00000 .00365 .00000 .22025 .45233 .00094 .08900 .82441 .47171 .00000 .93946
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER 16.94835 22 .766	COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	16.94835	22	.76621 .00000

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-66 August, 1994

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GEND! RESPO	ER OF NDENT			AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
1 PERSON Column PercentRow Percent	16.9% 100.0%	17.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3% 8.7%	16.3% 29.4%	18.3% 33.5%	23.5% 24.8%	7.0% 3.5%	.0%
2 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	30.8% 96.7%	43.6% 3.3%	.0%	40.5% 13.4%	31.6% 31.0%	32.5% 32.3%	28.4% 16.3%	25.6% 7.0%	.0%
3 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	17.4% 96.0%	30.0% 4.0%	40.0% 4.5%	14.3% 8.3%	20.9% 36.1%	16.6% 28.9%	9.8% 9.9%	25.6% 12.3%	.0%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	15.6% 100.0%	15.3% 96.0%	26.4% 4.0%	.0%	14.3% 9.5%	14.8% 29.0%	14.2% 28.2%	14.7% 16.9%	30.2% 16.5%	.0%
5 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	6.9% 100.0%	7.0% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 5.8%	4.8% 7.1%	7.1% 31.7%	8.9% 39.8%	4.9% 12.7%	2.3%	.0%
6 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	4.3% 100.0%	4.4% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 9.2%	4.8% 11.4%	4.1% 28.8%	4.7% 33.9%	2.9% 12.2%	2.3%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 24.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 11.1%	4.9% 53.0%	2.3% 12.0%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 14.4%	.6% 16.9%	2.0% 32.3%	4.7% 36.5%	.0%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 51.1%	1.0% 48.9%	.0%	.0%
10 PEOPLE Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 71.9%	.6% 28.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	-2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 21.2%	2.6% 22.4%	2.4% 21.1%	6.9% 35.3%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0%	100.0% 10.3%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	3.00 .08 3.00 1.81 516.06	2.83 .24 3.00 .85 12.97	4.80 .51 5.00 1.68 10.86	2.67 .18 2.00 1.34 52.48	2.96 .14 3.00 1.84 162.81	2.90 .13 2.00 1.67 165.15	3.01 .22 2.00 2.11 91.14	3.35 .24 3.00 1.61 46.59	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-67

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
1 PERSON Column PercentRow Percent	16.9% 100.0%	.0%	16.9% 18.0%	12.4% 17.4%	11.2% 9.4%	15.6% 8.0%	23.8% 42.1%	25.3% 5.0%
2 PEOPLE Column Percent	31.1% 100.0%	20.0% 1.3%	37.0% 21.5%	30.7% 23.5%	27.1% 12.4%	40.6% 11.4%	29.5% 28.3%	15.5% 1.7%
3 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	17.7% 100.0%	26.0% 2.9%	19.3% 19.7%	20.5% 27.6%	20.2% 16.2%	14.6% 7.2%	12.7% 21.4%	25.7% 4.9%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent	15.6% 100.0%	17.6% 2.3%	6.9% 8.0%	14.4% 22.1%	19.6% 17.9%	14.4% 8.1%	20.4% 39.1%	11.9% 2.6%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	27.7% 8.1%	8.1% 21.4%	9.5% 32.7%	8.0% 16.6%	4.1% 5.2%	1.8% 8.0%	16.4% 8.0%
6 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 21.4%	6.8% 37.3%	5.4% 17.8%	2.1%	2.8% 19.4%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	1.7% 100.0%	8.7% 10.6%	.0%	1.5% 21.7%	.0%	6.8% 36.0%	1.8% 31.8%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 16.2%	1.7% 36.5%	1.2% 16.2%	.0%	1.1% 31.2%	.0%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 48.9%	.0%
10 PEOPLE Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 28.1%	.7% 24.0%	.0%	1.8% 24.0%	.5% 24.0%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	3.8% 19.6%	1.3%	6.0% 24.5%	.0%	4.9% 41.9%	5.2% 5.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 29.8%	100.0% 3.4%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	3.88 .46 4.00 1.52 11.00	2.85 .18 2.00 1.77 95.38	3.25 .17 3.00 1.93 128.79	3.20 .20 3.00 1.73 73.21	2.97 .27 2.00 1.89 47.72	2.74 .14 2.00 1.70 155.45	2.77 .35 3.00 1.46 17.49

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT							
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING	
1 PERSON Column PercentRow Percent	16.9% 100.0%	9.6% 1.0%	5.1% 1.1%	16.0% 22.9%	11.5% 18.9%	20.1% 29.5%	25.3% 26.6%	.0%	
2 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	19.7% 1.1%	28.4% 3.3%	30.7% 23.8%	38.6% 34.5%	26.5% 21.1%	27.4% 15.6%	100.0% .5%	
3 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	.0%	36.5% 7.5%	17.7% 24.1%	18.3% 28.7%	17.1% 24.0%	15.7% 15.7%	.0%	
4 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	15.6% 100.0%	10.9% 1.3%	5.5% 1.3%	16.1% 24.9%	13.4% 23.9%	17.4% 27.8%	18.3% 20.9%	.0%	
5 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	50.1% 13.2%	9.8% 5.1%	4.4% 15.3%	6.7% 27.2%	8.6% 31.1%	3.1% 8.0%	.0%	
6 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	9.6% 4.1%	.0%	6.5% 36.6%	5.1% 32.7%	1.0% 5.7%	5.1% 21.0%	.0%	
7 PEOPLE Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	4.8% 10.6%	2.4% 35.1%	.6% 10.6%	.7% 10.6%	3.1% 33.2%	.0%	
8 PEOPLE Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	4.8% 16.2%	.8% 18.2%	.6% 14.4%	1.5% 34.4%	1.0% 16.9%	.0%	
9 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 51.1%	.0%	.6% 48.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
10 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 28.1%	.0%	1.9% 71.9%	.0%	.0%	
11 PEOPLE Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
14 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8% 26.7%	4.0% 31.6%	5.1% 36.7%	1.0% 5.0%	.0%	
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 24.1%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%	
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	4.01 .53 5.00 1.68 9.95	3.60 .48 3.00 2.15 19.80	3.07 .16 3.00 1.86 127.01	2.96 .14 2.00 1.73 146.13	2.94 .16 3.00 1.81 129.02	2.79 .17 2.00 1.68 96.28	2.00 .00 2.00 .00 .85	

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		ş	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
1 PERSON Column Percent	16.9% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% .9%	19.1% 3.0%	17.0% 96.0%	.0%	.0%
2 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	.0%	27.3% .6%	19.1% 1.6%	31.3% 96.1%	53.5% 1.1%	50.0%
3 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	17.7% 100.0%	.0%	23.3%	30.6% 4.7%	17.3% 93.6%	.0%	50.0%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	15.6% 100.0%	.0%	26.2% 1.1%	12.3% 2.1%	15.6% 95.8%	23.3% 1.0%	.0%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent	6.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 2.3%	7.0% 97.7%	.0%	.0%
6 PEOPLE Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 4.2%	4.2% 92.2%	23.3% 3.6%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	100.0% 18.2%	.0%	6.5% 16.2%	.7% 65.6%	.0%	.0%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
10 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	8.00 .00 8.00 .00 1.08	2.52 .68 2.00 1.30 3.66	3.19 .50 3.00 1.94 14.76	2.98 .08 2.00 1.78 504.16	3.40 1.01 2.00 1.93 3.66	2.50 .60 2.50 .78 1.70

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS RI	ESPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
1 PERSON Column Percent. Row Percent	16.9% 100.0%	12.5% 39.8%	22.5% 59.2%	11.2%	12.5% 39.8%	21.6% 21.8%	24.0% 31.1%	16.0% 4.1%	17.1% 1.2%	.0%	100.0%	14.8%
2 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	33.4% 58.1%	28.1% 40.2%	37.8% 1.7%	33.4% 58.1%	23.8% 13.0%	33.8% 23.8%	24.5% 3.4%	15.8% .6%	.0%	.0%	32.2% 1.1%
3 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	17.7% 54.0%	17.9% 45.1%	11.2%	17.7% 54.0%	21.3% 20.5%	16.4% 20.3%	11.8% 2.9%	34.3% 2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	15.6% 100.0%	17.5% 60.7%	12.9% 36.9%	26.7% 2.4%	17.5% 60.7%	14.6% 16.0%	10.8% 15.3%	15.4% 4.3%	32.8% 2.4%	.0%	.0%	18.8% 1.3%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	9.3% 73.3%	4.1% 26.7%	.0%	9.3% 73.3%	6.7% 16.6%	2.3% 7.5%	4.2% 2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	4.5% 55.9%	3.9% 39.8%	13.1% 4.2%	4.5% 55.9%	5.7% 22.7%	1.5% 7.8%	7.8% 7.8%	.0%	100.0% 5.7%	.0%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.3% 11.1%	3.3% 88.9%	.0%	.3% 11.1%	1.0% 10.6%	5.0% 66.4%	4.6% 12.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	1.1%	1.0% 50.8%	1.2% 49.2%	.0%	1.0% 50.8%	1.0% 16.2%	.8% 16.9%	4.0% 16.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 48.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.4% 51.1%
10 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.6% 47.9%	.8% 52.1%	.0%	.6% 47.9%	.0%	1.5% 52.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	100.0%	.0%	-0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7% 100.0%
14 PEOPLE Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent. Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	3.0% 46.4%	4.2% 53.6%	.0%	3.0% 46.4%	4.2% 20.4%	3.0% 18.6%	11.7% 14.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0% 1.4%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0% 4.3%	100.0% 1.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean. Median Std. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	3.05 .10 3.00 1.68 287.51	2.92 .13 2.00 1.93 233.89	3.06 .58 3.00 1.62 7.64	3.05 .10 3.00 1.68 287.51	2.87 .17 3.00 1.60 89.52	2.78 .18 2.00 1.95 116.56	3.33 .45 3.00 2.05 21.00	2.83 .46 3.00 1.16 6.35	6.00 .00 6.00 .00	1.00 .00 1.00 .00	4.95 1.73 4.00 4.15 5.75

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-71

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT S	TARTED H	UNTING	COMPLE	TED HUNT	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
1 PERSON Column Percent Row Percent	16.9% 100.0%	15.8% 42.2%	20.1% 42.1%	7.6% 2.4%	15.7% 12.3%	19.4% 1.0%	15.5% 55.7%	18.6% 41.9%	36.6% 2.4%
2 PEOPLE Column Percent	31.1% 100.0%	29.0% 42.1%	32.2% 36.8%	47.2% 8.0%	29.8% 12.7%	17.3% .5%	33.7% 65.9%	27.4% 33.6%	14.2% .5%
3 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	19.5% 49.7%	17.5% 35.2%	7.6% 2.3%	17.1% 12.8%	.0%	19.2% 66.2%	15.2% 32.8%	16.0% 1.0%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent	15.6% 100.0%	14.7% 42.7%	14.9% 34.0%	12.1% 4.1%	19.6% 16.7%	43.9% 2.5%	13.2% 51.6%	19.3% 47.2%	16.7% 1.2%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	8.4% 55.3%	6.4% 32.8%	2.9% 2.3%	5.0% 9.7%	.0%	7.7% 68.4%	5.2% 28.9%	16.7% 2.7%
6 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	4.8% 49.6%	3.0% 24.8%	6.7% 8.2%	5.7% 17.4%	.0%	4.2% 58.9%	4.7% 41.1%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	2.1% 57.6%	2.0% 42.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 45.2%	2.4% 54.8%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.2% 51.3%	.5% 16.2%	2.9%	1.5% 18.2%	.0%	-8% 47.4%	1.5% 52.6%	.0%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 48. <b>9</b> %	.5% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 51.1%	.5% 48.9%	.0%
10 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.6% 100.0%	.3% 24.0%	1.4% 76.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 47.9%	.9% 52.1%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	3.3% 43.2%	1.5% 15.4%	9.6% 14.6%	5.7% 21. <b>9</b> %	19.4% 5.0%	3.0% 52.7%	4.3% 47.3%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0% 5.3%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	3.09 .12 3.00 1.82 238.90	2.87 .13 2.00 1.78 191.51	3.19 .44 2.00 2.26 26.15	2.92 .19 3.00 1.54 68.49	2.85 .76 4.00 1.51 3.98	2.96 .10 2.00 1.77 323.42	3.05 .13 3.00 1.83 199.60	2.63 .68 2.00 1.66 6.01

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				TRIP DES	TINATION I	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH EAST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2	OTHER MULTI REGION	SPECIFIED	MISSING
1 PERSON Column Percent Row Percent	16.9% 100.0%	18.1% 10.4%	17.9% 39.7%	16.2% 26.5%	12.1% 4.0%	28.5% 13.3%	.0%	21.3%	7.7% 2.0%	11.7% 2.1%
2 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	37.3% 11.7%	27.0% 32.6%	38.6% 34.3%	34.9% 6.2%	17.1% 4.3%	34.1% 2.8%	36.8% 1.8%	27.7% 3.9%	23.5% 2.3%
3 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	25.4% 14.0%	18.1% 38.5%	14.7% 23.0%	2.8%	17.1% 7.7%	40.2% 5.8%	10.0%	21.2% 5.3%	23.5%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	15.6% 100.0%	9.6% 6.0%	14.6% 35.3%	14.8% 26.3%	15.7% 5.6%	21.3% 10.8%	19.6% 3.2%	10.0%	26.1% 7.4%	22.9% 4.4%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	1.9% 2.7%	8.7% 47.4%	5.2% 21.0%	12.1% 9.8%	9.7% 11.1%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	13.2% 5.8%
6 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	1.9% 4.2%	5.7% 49.4%	5.3% 34.0%	6.3% 8.1%	.0%	.0%	11.8% 4.2%	.0% .0%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 10.6%	.6% 10.6%	9.8% 33.2%	2.2% 10.6%	.0%	.0%	13.2% 35.1%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	2.4% 81.8%	.7% 18.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 48.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2% 51.1%	.0%
10 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	.0% .0%	1.3% 76.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0% 24.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	3.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	4.4% 12.3%	3.4% 36.9%	4.0% 31.7%	3.1% 5.0%	2.2% 5.0%	.0%	10.0% 4.5%	.0%	5.2% 4.5%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0% 4.4%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	2.61 .26 2.00 1.85 51.25	3.12 .13 3.00 1.90 198.73	2.75 .12 2.00 1.48 145.69	3.66 .43 3.00 2.36 29.58	2.89 .28 3.00 1.83 42.41	2.98 .24 3.00 .92 14.10	2.62 .61 2.00 1.70 7.64	3.61 .42 3.00 2.06 24.07	3.03 .33 3.00 1.28 15.56

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-73

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUM	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASK	ΣA
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
1 PERSON Column Percent Row Percent	16.9%	16.8%	15.6%	18.6%	21.5%
	100.0%	5.4%	51.2%	40.1%	3.4%
2 PEOPLE Column Percent	31.1%	33.2%	30.0%	33.9%	12.6%
	100.0%	5.8%	5 <b>3.</b> 5%	39.6%	1.1%
3 PEOPLE Column Percent	17.7%	18.6%	17.3%	18.0%	19.4%
	100.0%	5.7%	54.2%	37.1%	2.9%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	15.6%	13.3%	18.0%	11.4%	25.9%
	100.0%	4.6%	64.1%	26.8%	4.5%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent	6.9%	3.4%	5.8%	8.5%	14.8%
	100.0%	2.7%	46.8%	44.8%	5.8%
6 PEOPLE Column Percent	4.3%	7.4%	3.9%	4.9%	.0%
	100.0%	9.3%	49.7%	41.0%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.7% 89.4%	.5% 10.6%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 83.8%	.5% 16.2%	.0% .0%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 51.1%	.5% 48.9%	.0%
10 PEOPLE Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%
11 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5%	4.5%	3.4%	3.3%	5.8%
	100.0%	7.1%	54.0%	34.4%	4.5%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	100.0%	5.4%	55.5%	36.4%	2.7%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99	3.07	3.12	2.78	3.00
	.08	.45	.11	.11	.39
	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00
	1.79	2.42	1.88	1.55	1.45
	529.03	28.37	293.85	192.97	13.83

Table A-5 NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HUI	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
1 PERSON Column Percent Row Percent	16.9% 100.0%	32.1% 4.5%	26.4% 17.0%	15.3% 39.1%	15.5% 32.2%	16.3% 6.2%	8.8% 1.1%
2 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	24.7% 1.9%	31.7% 11.1%	30.3% 42.1%	34.2% 38.6%	22.6% 4.6%	26.6% 1.8%
3 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	15.8% 2.1%	17.5% 10.7%	16.2% 39.7%	17.4% 34.7%	32.6% 11.8%	8.8% 1.0%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	15.6% 100.0%	27.5% 4.2%	13.1% 9.1%	14.2% 39.5%	18.1% 40.8%	12.5% 5.1%	9.5% 1.3%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	.0%	4.7% 7.5%	8.1% 51.0%	6.6% 33.8%	5.3% 4.9%	9.5% 2.9%
6 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 4.2%	6.1% 61.2%	2.8% 22.5%	8.2% 12.1%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 10.6%	3.0% 78.3%	.5% 11.1%	.0%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 16.2%	1.3% 50.8%	.5% 16.9%	.0%	8.4% 16.2%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
10 PEOPLE Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 76.0%	.4% 24.0%	.0%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 5.0%	3.5% 43.7%	2.9% 29.6%	2.4% 4.5%	28.5% 17.2%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	2.39 .35 2.00 1.24 12.97	2.57 .20 2.00 1.55 58.52	3.22 .13 3.00 2.02 228.41	2.88 .12 2.00 1.59 186.88	2.92 .25 3.00 1.43 34.09	3.37 .78 3.00 2.22 8.17

		TOTAL	HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING							
			1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING		
C	PERSON Column Percent Row Percent	16.9% 100.0%	100.0%	6.4% 2.9%	15.0% 32.1%	20.1% 55.5%	18.5% 7.4%	7.4% 1.1%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent	31.1% 100.0%	.0%	40.0% 9.7%	30.7% 35.8%	30.6% 46.0%	30.7% 6.7%	22.9% 1.8%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent	17.7% 100.0%	.0%	13.9% 6.0%	21.6% 44.3%	16.6% 43.8%	7.7% 2.9%	21.2% 2.9%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent	15.6% 100.0%	.0%	23.1% 11.2%	14.3% 33.3%	14.8% 44.6%	19.2% 8.3%	16.1% 2.5%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	.0%	7.6% 8.4%	5.2% 27.5%	6.3% 42.6%	18.9% 18.6%	8.1% 2.9%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1% 51.4%	4.5% 48.6%	.0%	.0%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 12.0%	3.0% 66.8%	.7% 21.2%	.0%	.0%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	2.3% 16.2%	.4% 14.4%	1.6% 69.5%	.0%	.0%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
C	PEOPLE Column Percent Now Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 47.9%	.7% 52.1%	.0%	.0%		
0	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
0	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
C	SSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	4.1% 9.0%	.9% 9.0%	4.1% 55.4%	4.9% 9.5%	24.3% 17.2%		
1 -	TAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0% 6.8%	100.0%		
S M	MMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Monmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	1.00 .00 1.00 .00 .96	3.08 .24 3.00 1.53 39.85	3.17 .14 3.00 2.01 197.09	2.86 .11 2.00 1.70 245.74	2.89 .25 2.00 1.47 35.20	2.93 .38 3.00 1.21 10.19		

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		·		MO	NTH IN V	HICH TRI	P STARTE	ED .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
1 PERSON Column Percent Row Percent	16.9% 100.0%	50.0% 1.1%	43.5% 15.1%	12.0% 4.9%	50.3% 2.1%	. 0% . 0%	15.9% 20.2%	13.3% 37.9%	22.9% 14.4%	22.5% 3.2%	.0%	
2 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	50.0%	32.1% 6.1%	36.6% 8.2%	27.8% .6%	100.0% 1.1%	35.7% 24.7%	27.4% 42.6%	37.6% 12.8%	22.8% 1.8%	.0%	18.4% 1.6%
3 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	19.8% 7.8%	.0%	.0%	15.8% 19.2%	22.0% 60.0%	13.5% 8.1%	6.5% .9%	.0%	26.2% 4.0%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	15.6% 100.0%	.0%	8.7% 3.3%	10.7% 4.8%	21.9% 1.0%	.0%	13.9% 19.2%	19.8% 61.4%	8.0% 5.5%	.0%	.0%	28.2% 4.9%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 2.7%	5.1% 5.2%	.0%	.0%	8.2% 25.5%	7.6% 53.3%	1.5% 2.3%	15.2% 5.3%	.0%	
6 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 4.2%	.0%	.0%	3.4% 17.0%	4.8% 54.1%	7.7% 18.9%	10.2% 5.7%	.0%	.0%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	. <b>0%</b> .0%	.8% 10.6%	.7% 21.2%	5.4% 35.1%	22.8% 33.2%	.0%	.0%
8 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 16.2%	1.5% 67.0%	1.7% 16.9%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% . <b>0%</b>	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
10 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 52.1%	.6% 47.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 100.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	9.9% 16.8%	13.1% 26.3%	.0%	.0%	4.0% 24.9%	1.6% 22.2%	1.7% 5.3%	.0%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% .4%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0%	100.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	1.50 .50 1.50 .71 2.00	2.17 .44 2.00 2.36 29.04	2.64 .21 2.00 1.23 33.09	1.93 .69 1.00 1.36 3.90	2.00 .00 2.00 .00 1.94	2.91 .16 2.00 1.73 113.13	3.15 .10 3.00 1.69 260.70	2.81 .25 2.00 1.87 57.28	3.84 .68 3.00 2.46 13.16	11.00 .00 11.00 .00	.32 3.00 1.19

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-77

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

		TOTAL	IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS							
			MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING			
1	PERSON Column Percent Row Percent	16.9% 100.0%	14.2% 8.1%	16.4% 71.1%	19.4% 14.1%	30.9% 4.6%	15.6% 2.1%			
2	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	31.0% 9.7%	30.6% 72.1%	33.5% 13.2%	28.4% 2.3%	37.6% 2.7%			
3	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	14.5% 8.0%	18.5% 76.6%	17.8% 12.3%	21.3% 3.0%	.0%			
4	PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	15.6% 100.0%	16.2% 10.1%	16.1% 75.8%	11.9% 9.4%	7.0% 1.1%	24.4% 3.5%			
5	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	11.5% 16.2%	6.4% 68.6%	7.2% 12.9%	.0%	6.9% 2.3%			
6	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	1.6% 3.6%	5.2% 88.2%	1.6% 4.6%	6.2% 3.6%	.0%			
7	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.9%	1.8% 78.3%	.0%	.0%	7.8% 10.6%			
8	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	3.8% 34.4%	.5% 30.5%	3.1% 35.1%	.0%	.0%			
9	PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
10	) PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	71.9%	1.5% 28.1%	.0%	.0%			
1	1 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
14	4 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
M	ISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	5.3% 14.8%	2.9% 61.7%	4.0% 14.0%	6.2% 4.5%	7.8% 5.0%			
T	OTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0% 2.3%			
S	UMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	3.12 .25 3.00 1.76 50.48	3.02 .09 3.00 1.80 389.65	2.84 .23 2.00 1.82 64.55	2.31 .39 2.00 1.40 12.93	3.00 .54 2.00 1.81 11.42			

Table A-5 NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP							
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING		
1 PERSON Column PercentRow Percent	16.9% 100.0%	20.5% 2.1%	14.9% 8.4%	17.9% 52.1%	14.9% 32.3%	42.5% 5.1%	.0% .0%		
2 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	31.1% 100.0%	20.9% 1.2%	36.7% 11.2%	35.9% 56.8%	25.2% 29.6%	18.7% 1.2%	.0% .0%		
3 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	17.7% 100.0%	21.8% 2.2%	22.6% 12.2%	15.3% 42.5%	19.9% 41.1%	9.0% 1.0%	19.3% 1.0%		
4 PEOPLE Column Percent	15.6% 100.0%	8.9% 1.0%	11.5% 7.0%	11.6% 36.7%	21.9% 51.5%	20.7% 2.7%	17.2% 1.0%		
5 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	8.9% 2.3%	1.9%	7.5% 54.0%	7.3% 38.8%	.0%	17.2% 2.3%		
6 PEOPLE Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	8.9% 3.6%	4.5% 9.9%	5.1% 57.8%	3.4% 28.7%	.0%	.0%		
7 PEOPLE Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	10.0% 10.6%	2.1% 12.0%	1.9% 56.2%	1.0% 21.2%	.0%	.0% .0%		
8 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	4.2% 36.5%	1.0% 46.7%	.0%	9.0% 16.9%	.0%		
9 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 51.1%	.5% 48.9%	.0%	.0%		
10 PEOPLE Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 47.9%	.9% 52.1%	.0%	.0%		
11 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%		
14 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
MISSING Column Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 4.5%	2.1% 30.4%	5.0% 53.0%	.0%	46.4% 12.1%		
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0%		
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	3.32 .66 3.00 2.06 9.56	2.91 .24 2.00 1.74 51.32	2.96 .12 2.00 1.91 264.12	3.05 .12 3.00 1.61 190.25	2.62 .64 2.00 2.15 11.12	3.96 .64 4.00 1.04 2.66		

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-79

Table A-5
NUMBER OF HUNTERS (INCLUDING RESPONDENT) IN PARTY ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARIS	ON: HUNTING PLACES	IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER	_	USED GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING
1 PERSON Column PercentRow Percent	16.9% 100.0%	6.1% 1.0%	16.5% 78.1%	22.2% 19.4%	11.3% 1.5%	8.1% 22.3%	27.0% 74.7%	7.6% 3.0%
2 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	31.1% 100.0%	34.3% 3.2%	32.2% 82.9%	25.8% 12.2%	23.4% 1.6%	33.0% 49.5%	31.3% 47.0%	16.7% 3.5%
3 PEOPLE Column Percent	17.7% 100.0%	11.7% 1.9%	18.6% 84.6%	15.2% 12.7%	7.1% .9%	23.4% 61.8%	11.4% 30.1%	21.7% 8.1%
4 PEOPLE Column Percent	15.6% 100.0%	13.9% 2.6%	13.9% 71.8%	19.7% 18.7%	49.8% 7.0%	15.4% 46.3%	15.2% 45.8%	18.6% 7.9%
5 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	20.1% 8.4%	6.7% 78.6%	6.1% 13.0%	.0%	10.5% 71.0%	2.3% 15.6%	13.9% 13.3%
6 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	4.3% 100.0%	13.9% 9.3%	4.0% 74.6%	3.5% 11.9%	8.4% 4.2%	5.5% 60.0%	2.8% 30.8%	6.0% 9.2%
7 PEOPLE Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 21.2%	2.4% 68.2%	2.6% 10.6%
8 PEOPLE Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	.0%	1.1% 83.8%	1.2% 16.2%	.0%	.8% 32.3%	1.2% 53.3%	2.3% 14.4%
9 PEOPLE Column Percent	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.4% 48.9%	.0%	2.8% 51.1%
10 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 52.1%	47.9%	.0%
11 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
14 PEOPLE Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	3.2% 73.1%	6.3% 26.9%	.0%	.8% 10.1%	5.6% 75.1%	7.8% 14.8%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2.99 .08 3.00 1.79 529.03	3.49 .41 3.00 1.65 15.79	3.00 .09 2.00 1.85 425.60	2.77 .18 2.00 1.52 75.72	3.29 .41 4.00 1.42 11.92	3.25 .11 3.00 1.77 253.79	2.61 .11 2.00 1.72 241.79	3.77 .33 4.00 1.89 33.46

Table A-6
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
LUISM RECOMES LIAC RECEIVED	69.89658	62	.22953
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	78 03025	31	.00000
GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	1/7 00570	155	
THEOME CATEGORY OF DESCONDENT	154.26894	155	.50147
EDUCATION OF DECRONDENT	147 47441	155	.30174
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	91 /4048	12/	.99885
HINTED IN ALACYA DECODE 10012	78 /2742	31	.16857
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	278 51771	217	.15096
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING		93	.19727
			.78049
TOTAL DESTINATION DESTINA	751 50/81	217	.00000
WIMDED OF UNINTEDS IN DARTY	114 92977	455	.99031
TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	/04 21707	124	.00000
MOUDE DED DAY COENT ACTUALLY MUNTING	147 770/7	124	
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING		124	
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED		279	
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS		93	.07096
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		124	
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER		62	
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	41.20714	31	.10400

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

August, 1994 Page A-81

## Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPON				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
_		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.4% 55.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 44.6%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 14.7%	1.0% 18.7%	1.2%	2.0%	4.7% 23.7%	.0%
6 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.2% 100.0%	3.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 7.8%	3.1% 29.6%	4.1% 40.5%	3.9% 22.2%	.0%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	5.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 12.8%	5.6% 29.7%	3.6% 19.0%	6.9% 21.3%	11.6% 17.2%	.0% .0%
8 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	7.3% 100.0%	7.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 10.1%	7.7% 32.1%	9.5% 40.2%	4.9% 12.1%	4.7% 5.4%	.0%
9 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	7.5% 100.0%	7.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.4% 3.3%	8.2% 33.1%	9.5% 38.9%	4.9% 11.6%	11.6% 13.1%	.0%
10 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	11.0% 100.0%	11.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	11.9% 11.2%	11.2% 31.1%	9.5% 26.5%	13.7% 22.3%	11.6% 9.0%	.0%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	4.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 11.5%	5.1% 36.5%	5.3% 38.6%	1.0%	4.7% 9.3%	.0%
12 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	14.0% 100.0%	13.6% 95.5%	26.4% 4.5%	20.0%	16.7% 12.3%	14.3% 31.2%	9.5% 20.9%	16.7% 21.3%	18.6% 11.3%	.0%
13 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.7% 100.0%	5.6% 94.6%	13.2% 5.4%	20.0% 6.9%	4.8% 8.6%	4.6% 24.4%	7.7% 41.4%	4.9% 15.3%	2.3% 3.4%	.0%
14 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	10.2% 100.0%	10.0% 96.1%	16.8% 3.9%	20.0% 3.9%	9.5% 9.7%	7.1% 21.4%	12.4% 37.8%	8.8% 15.5%	14.0% 11.7%	.0%
15 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.8% 100.0%	6.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%	20.0% 6.8%	2.4% 4.2%	8.2% 42.7%	5.9% 31.3%	4.9% 15.0%	.0%	.0%
16 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.7% 100.0%	3.8% 100.0%	-0% -0%	.0%	4.8% 13.2%	3.6% 29.2%	3.6% 29.4%	5.9% 28.2%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPO				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	2.6% 87.5%	15.5% 12.5%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 37.1%	3.6% 37.4%	2.0% 11.9%	4.7% 13.5%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.0% 100.0%	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1% 45.7%	1.2% 17.9%	2.0% 17.1%	4.7% 19.3%	.0%
19 NIGHTS Column Percent	1.3%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 19.2%	1.0% 24.3%	1.8% 42.8%	1.0% 13.7%	.0%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 18.5%	3.6% 41.0%	1.8% 20.7%	2.9% 19.8%	.0%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.4% 100.0%	3.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	9.5% 28.6%	1.5% 13.6%	3.0% 26.6%	4.9% 25.5%	2.3% 5.8%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 22.6%	.6% 26.5%	2.0% 50.9%	.0%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.2% 33.2%	14.9% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.3%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 47.0%	.0%	1.0% 53.0%	.0%	.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 36.2%	1.8% 63.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

## Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDER OF RESPONDENT				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	2.6% 89.1%	13.2% 10.9%	20.0% 13.8%	2.4% 8.6%	3.1% 32.7%	3.0% 31.9%	1.0%	2.3% 6.9%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	12.89 .27 12.00 6.26 521.11	15.66 1.43 14.00 4.80 11.26	13.50 .40 13.50 1.19 8.69	13.27 .95 12.00 7.09 55.17	12.82 .44 12.00 5.57 161.95	13.04 .54 12.00 6.88 164.15	13.64 .69 12.00 6.79 96.89	11.10 .56 11.00 3.76 45.50	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-84 August, 1994

## Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 24.1%	2.1% 11.0%	3.6% 65.0%	.0%
6 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.2% 100.0%	.0%	3.7% 21.4%	1.3% 9.9%	3.0% 13.3%	2.1% 5.8%	5.3% 49.6%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	7.7% 2.7%	6.0% 18.8%	5.4% 22.3%	6.0% 14.8%	8.5% 12.8%	5.0% 25.9%	4.6% 2.7%
8 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	7.7% 2.1%	8.6% 21.5%	10.0% 32.7%	5.7% 11.1%	6.0% 7.2%	5.6% 22.8%	5.4% 2.5%
9 NIGHTS Column Percent	7.5% 100.0%	7.7% 2.1%	5.8% 14.0%	5.1% 16.2%	8.6% 16.3%	6.0% 6.9%	9.4% 37.3%	16.3% 7.3%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent	11.0% 100.0%	.0%	10.2% 16.7%	15.3% 33.0%	7.7% 9.9%	10.2% 8.1%	11.3% 30.6%	5.4% 1.7%
11 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	4.3% 100.0%	9.1% 4.3%	5.4% 23.1%	3.0% 16.5%	2.2% 7.3%	7.9% 16.2%	4.1% 28.9%	4.6% 3.7%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent	14.0% 100.0%	17.8% 2.6%	5.5% 7.2%	17.7% 30.2%	13.7% 14.0%	18.7% 11.7%	14.6% 31.2%	13.2% 3.2%
13 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.7% 100.0%	.0%	7.9% 24.9%	10.0% 41.5%	3.6% 8.9%	4.2% 6.4%	2.4% 12.5%	9.8% 5.8%
14 NIGHTS Column Percent	10.2% 100.0%	12.2% 2.4%	10.6% 18.8%	9.4% 22.1%	8.3% 11.7%	8.1% 6.9%	12.4% 36.5%	4.6% 1.5%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	7.7% 2.7%	6.2% 19.3%	4.0% 16.3%	6.0% 14.7%	4.2% 6.3%	7.4% 38.0%	4.6% 2.7%
16 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.7% 100.0%	12.2% 6.6%	3.9% 19.1%	3.6% 23.1%	2.6% 9.8%	8.0% 18.8%	1.8% 14.3%	9.2% 8.3%

(continued)

## Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	9.8% 6.7%	3.5% 21.9%	2.1% 16.8%	1.4%	6.3% 18.8%	2.9% 29.0%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 8.6%	2.0% 22.8%	3.9% 27.2%	1.8%	2.3% 33.8%	.0%
19 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.3%	7.7% 12.2%	.0%	1.8%	1.1%	.0%	1.2% 28.5%	5.2% 13.7%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	5.4% 36.8%	1.3%	7.1% 38.0%	.0%	1.2% 13.5%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.8% 20.3%	2.2% 15.2%	10.2% 42.1%	2.0%	2.0% 17.3%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 25.4%	1.3% 26.5%	.0%	1.1% 48.0%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 100.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 47.0%	5.2% 53.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 18.1%	2.2% 60.6%	1.3% 21.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	-9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	- 0% - 0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-86 August, 1994

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent	_4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	3.2% 20.1%	.0%	3.5% 17.3%	3.9% 11.8%	3.5% 36.9%	11.8% 13.8%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 29.8%	100.0% 3.4%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	12.98 1.11 12.00 3.69 11.00	13.57 .78 12.00 7.63 95.95	13.46 .67 12.00 7.69 130.50	13.40 .59 12.00 5.13 75.14	11.85 .52 12.00 3.53 45.87	12.25 .42 12.00 5.24 157.63	12.85 1.20 12.00 4.86 16.28

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-6
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	DTAL EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT									
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING			
3 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
4 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 44.6%	.0%	1.0% 55.4%	.0%	.0%			
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	2.0% 33.0%	.7% 11.0%	3.9% 41.3%	.0%			
6 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.2% 100.0%	.0%	4.8% 5.5%	2.1% 16.3%	2.4% 21.4%	4.5% 35.4%	3.8% 21.4%	.0%			
7 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	19.5% 6.1%	4.8% 3.0%	3.1% 13.1%	7.4% 35.6%	5.5% 23.6%	6.0% 18.6%	.0%			
8 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 2.5%	6.6% 21.9%	9.8% 37.3%	8.5% 28.9%	3.8% 9.4%	.0%			
9 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.5% 100.0%	.0%	9.8% 4.7%	7.3% 23.3%	5.6% 20.5%	7.7% 25.5%	11.0% 25.9%	.0%			
10 NIGHTS Column Percent	11.0% 100.0%	19.3% 3.2%	15.2% 5.0%	8.8% 19.3%	7.3% 18.4%	11.3% 25.4%	17.8% 28.7%	.0%			
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	5.5% 4.6%	3.8% 21.4%	2.4% 15.9%	7.9% 46.1%	2.9% 12.0%	.0%			
12 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	14.0% 100.0%	-0% -0%	14.5% 3.8%	21.0% 36.4%	13.8% 27.5%	13.4% 23.8%	6.7% 8.5%	.0%			
13 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.7% 100.0%	.0%	16.0% 10.1%	3.4% 14.4%	5.1% 24.6%	5.8% 24.9%	8.4% 26.1%	.0%			
14 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	10.2% 100.0%	19.7% 3.5%	10.5% 3.7%	11.6% 27.5%	12.6% 34.4%	7.8% 19.1%	6.7% 11.8%	.0%			
15 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9% 12.1%	9.3% 44.1%	8.2% 35.0%	2.9% 8.8%	.0%			
16 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 17.9%	4.2% 31.1%	3.4% 22.6%	5.9% 28.3%	.0%			

(continued)

## Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RESI	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2% 34.3%	2.5% 23.3%	2.8% 24.1%	3.0% 18.3%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 32.3%	.7% 8.9%	1.3% 15.2%	4.1% 35.9%	100.0% 7.6%
19 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	1.3% 100.0%	19.7% 28.0%	4.3% 12.2%	.0%	1.9% 40.7%	1.0% 19.2%	.0%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 25.2%	3.0% 31.0%	3.3% 31.1%	1.9% 12.7%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	4.3% 4.5%	4.5% 31.3%	4.2% 34.1%	2.0% 14.3%	3.0% 15.7%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 25.4%	1.2% 49.1%	.7% 25.4%	.0%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	1.0% 33.2%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 47.0%	.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 21.3%	2.2% 60.6%	.0%	.6% 18.1%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%
29 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%

(continued)

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			EDUCAT I	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 50.0%	.7% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	21.8% 13.8%	.0%	3.1% 26.5%	1.1%	2.0% 17.3%	5.1% 31.5%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 24.1%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	12.53 1.73 14.00 4.83 7.77	12.48 1.13 12.00 5.05 19.80	14.17 .71 12.00 7.98 127.92	13.30 .54 12.00 6.67 150.44	11.89 .36 12.00 4.11 133.27	12.28 .56 11.00 5.43 92.30	18.00 .00 18.00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-9**0

## Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		l	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
6 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 5.5%	3.1% 94.5%	.0%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
8 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	100.0% 2.7%	.0%	20.1% 7.4%	6.8% 89.8%	.0%	.0%
9 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent	11.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	13.1% 3.2%	11.2% 96.8%	.0%	.0%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	4.3% 96.3%	.0%	50.0% 3.7%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent	14.0% 100.0%	.0%	26.2% 1.3%	20.6%	13.7% 93.7%	23.3% 1.1%	.0%
13 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
14 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	10.2% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% 1.5%	9.1% 2.4%	10.2% 96.1%	.0%	.0%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	13.3%	5.6% 90.9%	26.2% 3.0%	.0%
16 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	11.5% 8.3%	3.6% 91.7%	.0%	.0%

Table A-6
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL RACE OF RESPONDENT						
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% 5.3%	.0%	2.9% 94.7%	.0%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0% 92.4%	.0%	50.0% 7.6%
19 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	1.3%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 12.2%	1.2% 87.8%	.0%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 94.1%	23.3% 5.9%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 78.7%	27.3% 21.3%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	27.3% 6.4%	.0%	2.8% 93.6%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	8.00 .00 8.00 .00	14.24 1.60 14.00 2.61 2.66	11.99 .95 12.00 3.63 14.76	12.93 .28 12.00 6.31 508.49	19.02 3.77 20.00 7.22 3.66	14.50 4.17 14.50 5.44 1.70

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### 

	TOTAL	HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?			YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991							
		МО	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
3 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.5% 55.4%	.4% 44.6%	.0%	.5% 55.4%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	17.1% 44.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.7% 21.5%	2.9% 78.5%	.0%	.7% 21.5%	1.1% 11.0%	5.1% 67.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.0%
6 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.2% 100.0%	3.8% 65.5%	2.4% 34.5%	.0%	3.8% 65.5%	.9% 4.9%	3.1% 21.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 7.8%	.0%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	6.9% 64.9%	4.5% 35.1%	.0%	6.9% 64.9%	4.4% 13.1%	4.0% 15.4%	8.8% 6.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
8 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	8.9% 66.4%	5.5% 33.6%	.0%	8.9% 66.4%	6.6% 15.4%	5.2% 15.7%	4.2% 2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
9 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	7.5% 100.0%	8.2% 58.8%	7.0% 41.2%	.0%	8.2% 58.8%	11.1% 25.1%	3.9% 11.3%	8.4% 4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	11.0% 100.0%	10.2% 50.0%	12.0% 48.4%	12.6% 1.6%	10.2% 50.0%	11.6% 17.9%	11.6% 23.0%	15.2% 6.0%	15.8% 1.7%	.0%	.0%	14.8%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	5.6% 70.9%	2.8% 29.1%	.0%	5.6% 70.9%	1.2% 4.6%	3.1% 15.9%	4.2% 4.3%	15.8% 4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	14.0% 100.0%	12.8% 49.4%	15.4% 49.3%	12.6% 1.3%	12.8% 49.4%	15.1% 18.5%	18.1% 28.4%	4.2% 1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	31.5% 2.4%
13 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	5.7% 100.0%	5.1% 48.5%	6.6% 51.5%	.0%	5.1% 48.5%	3.0% 8.9%	8.7% 33.1%	8.2% 6.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.4% 3.2%
14 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	10.2% 100.0%	8.2% 43.9%	12.4% 54.6%	11.2% 1.5%	8.2% 43.9%	14.3% 24.0%	9.9% 21.3%	16.0% 6.9%	34.3% 3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	7.1% 65.9%	4.1% 30.9%	13.1% 3.1%	7.1% 65.9%	4.9% 14.3%	3.7% 13.8%	8.1% 6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
16 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	3.6% 51.8%	4.0% 48.2%	.0%	3.6% 51.8%	5.4% 24.5%	4.0% 23.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-94 August, 1994

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?			YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991							
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
17 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	2.5% 46.2%	3.2% 48.5%	11.2% 5.3%	2.5% 46.2%	.9% 5.3%	4.9% 37.0%	3.6% 5.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	1.6% 41.1%	2.7% 58.9%	.0%	1.6% 41.1%	1.0% 8.6%	3.0% 31.8%	.0%	17.1% 9.7%	.0% .0%	.0%	17.4% 8.9%
19 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	1.3%	1.8% 74.2%	.7% 25.8%	.0%	1.8% 74.2%	.9% 12.2%	.8% 13.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	3.1% 62.6%	2.2% 37.4%	.0%	3.1% 62.6%	3.0% 19.1%	2.2% 18.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.4% 100.0%	3.2% 50.1%	3.9% 49.9%	.0%	3.2% 50.1%	3.0% 14.9%	3.9% 25.1%	7.8% 9.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 25.4%	1.6% 52.0%	3.6% 22.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.3% 33.2%	.0%	25.3% 66.8%	.3%	2.1% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.3%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	1.0% 60.6%	.8% 39.4%	.0%	1.0% 60.6%	1.1%	.0%	3.6% 18.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED	N ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE	YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991							
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
47 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent. Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 50.0%	.8% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent. Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	3.6% 67.8%	1.6% 25.3%	14.2% 6.9%	3.6% 67.8%	3.2% 19.1%	.0% .0%	4.0% 6.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.8% 6.9%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0% 4.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 1.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean. Median Std. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	12.30 .27 12.00 4.63 285.70	13.61 .50 12.00 7.68 240.12	16.90 2.38 15.00 6.09 6.55	12.30 .27 12.00 4.63 285.70	14.34 .92 12.00 8.75 90.40	13.47 .68 12.00 7.47 120.10	13.30 1.07 13.00 5.12 22.81	11.87 1.90 14.00 4.78 6.35	6.00 .00 6.00 .00 1.35	17.00 .00 17.00 .00 1.00	13.14 1.42 12.00 3.06 4.67

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-96 August, 1994

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE		
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 44.6%	.7% 55.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 55.4%	.5% 44.6%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	2.1% 57.6%	1.0%	.0%	2.7% 21.2%	.0%	2.1% 77.6%	1.0%	.0%
6 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.2% 100.0%	4.7% 66.6%	3.0% 33.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4% 66.0%	2.8% 34.0%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	5.9% 46.3%	5.7% 35.1%	4.7% 4.3%	6.3% 14.4%	.0%	4.8% 50.7%	7.5% 49.3%	.0%
8 NIGHTS Column Percent	7.3% 100.0%	5.4% 33.7%	9.0% 44.1%	10.0% 7.3%	8.2% 14.9%	.0%	7.0% 58.7%	7.9% 41.3%	.0%
9 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.5% 100.0%	5.0% 30.1%	8.7% 40.9%	13.8% 9.7%	9.8% 17.3%	17.3% 2.1%	7.3% 58.8%	7.7% 39.1%	14.2% 2.1%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent	11.0% 100.0%	9.5% 38.8%	9.7% 31.1%	11.8% 5.6%	19.0% 22.9%	19.4% 1.6%	11.2% 61.7%	11.1% 38.3%	.0%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	4.2% 44.6%	4.0% 32.9%	8.1% 10.1%	2.5% 7.8%	21.9% 4.6%	4.5% 64.3%	4.0% 35.7%	.0%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent	14.0% 100.0%	16.9% 54.6%	10.1% 25.7%	17.6% 6.7%	13.7% 13.0%	.0%	14.3% 62.3%	13.3% 36.4%	16.0% 1.3%
13 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.7% 100.0%	5.4% 42.7%	7.6% 47.3%	2.9% 2.7%	3.2% 7.3%	.0%	5.7% 60.8%	5.9% 39.2%	.0%
14 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	10.2% 100.0%	10.2% 45.1%	10.8% 37.9%	4.7%	11.1% 14.5%	.0%	9.8% 58.8%	10.5% 39.4%	16.7% 1.8%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	5.5% 42.7%	6.6% 40.3%	.0%	7.4% 16.9%	.0%	6.6% 69.2%	4.2% 27.7%	16.7% 3.1%
16 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	4.1% 49.1%	4.4%	2.9%	1.4%	.0%	4.4% 72. <b>7</b> %	2.7% 27.3%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT S	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLE	TED HUNT COURSE	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	3.1% 47.1%	1.9% 23.6%	.0%	6.5% 29.4%	.0%	2.5% 51.7%	3.7% 48.3%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.0% 100.0%	3.0% 65.4%	2.0% 34.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 40.7%	2.8% 51.7%	14.2% 7.6%
19 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	1.3%	1.5% 54.4%	1.2% 33.5%	.0%	1.2% 12.2%	.0%	1.6% 74.2%	.9% 25.8%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	3.5% 59.7%	2.1% 28.6%	2.9% 5.9%	1.2% 5.9%	.0%	3.5% 79.9%	1.4% 20.1%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.4% 100.0%	1.5% 20.3%	5.9% 60.9%	7.6% 11.7%	1.9% 7.1%	.0%	3.8% 66.9%	3.0% 33.1%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 48.0%	.9% 52.0%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.4% 33.2%	.0%	6.7% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.3% 33.2%	.9% 66.8%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 47.0%	.0%	3.3% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.4% 21.3%	1.4% 57.5%	.0%	1.4% 21.3%	.0%	.6% 39.4%	1.4% 60.6%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-98 August, 1994

# 

	TOTAL	TOTAL AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING						COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE			
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING		
47 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
50 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
51 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
60 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 50.0%	.5% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	3.5% 54.9%	1.6% 20.2%	2.9% 5.4%	1.4% 6.4%	41.4% 13.0%	2.0% 41.8%	3.7% 49.6%	22.4% 8.6%		
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% <b>3</b> 5.5%	100.0%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%		
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	12.96 .39 12.00 6.04 238.49	13.17 .50 12.00 6.91 191.26	13.19 1.08 12.00 5.72 28.07	12.31 .63 12.00 5.35 71.65	10.08 .59 10.00 1.01 2.89	13.19 .38 12.00 6.94 326.89	12.53 .35 12.00 4.96 200.81	13.62 1.51 14.00 3.27 4.67		

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 44.6%	.9% 55.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	6.3% 37.1%	1.0% 22.8%	.6% 10.5%	2.8% 9.3%	2.0% 9.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1% 11.0%
6 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.2% 100.0%	7.0% 21.8%	4.7% 55.5%	2.0% 17.1%	.0%	2.2% 5.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	7.3% 12.3%	4.7% 30.5%	5.6% 27.1%	22.0% 21. <b>3</b> %	4.5% 6.1%	.0%	.0%	3.5% 2.7%	.0% .0%
8 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	14.1% 19.0%	6.7% 34.8%	5.6% 21.2%	9.2% 7.1%	12.1% 13.2%	6.0% 2.1%	.0%	4.2% 2.5%	.0%
9 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.5% 100.0%	1.6% 2.1%	11.1% 55.4%	6.5% 24.0%	6.6% 4.9%	2.2% 2.3%	.0% .0%	10.0% 2.1%	15.7% 9.2%	.0% .0%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	11.0% 100.0%	8.4% 7.5%	14.2% 48.5%	11.1% 27.8%	9.6% 4.9%	11.3% 8.1%	.0%	11.3% 1.6%	4.2% 1.7%	.0%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	3.9% 8.9%	4.9% 43.2%	4.7% 30.5%	7.2% 9.4%	2.0% 3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1% 4.3%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	14.0% 100.0%	16.8% 11.8%	12.2% 32.8%	9.2% 18.2%	12.8% 5.1%	21.7% 12.3%	26.0% 4.8%	24.0% 2.7%	35.1% 11.1%	5.8% 1.3%
13 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.7% 100.0%	5.3% 9.1%	6.1% 39.7%	5.1% 24.4%	4.4% 4.3%	9.5% 13.1%	.0%	.0%	12.3% 9.4%	.0%
14 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	10.2% 100.0%	5.3% 5.1%	12.0% 44.5%	12.0% 32.8%	10.2% 5.6%	6.6% 5.1%	19.9% 5.1%	.0%	4.2% 1.8%	.0%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	7.3% 12.2%	5.2% 33.8%	5.3% 25.1%	12.0% 11.5%	10.9% 14.7%	6.0% 2.7%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%
16 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	3.5% 9.1%	2.3% 23.4%	7.6% 56.8%	.0%	3.1% 6.6%	.0%	.0%	3.5% 4.2%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-100 August, 1994

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	5.3% 17.8%	3.1% 39.6%	3.2% 29.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.8% 6.7%	4.0% 6.0%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	2.3% 42.4%	3.6% 48.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1% 8.9%
19 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 26.4%	1.2% 26.4%	.0%	5.4% 33.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 13.7%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	1.9% 6.9%	2.4% 34.5%	3.8% 40.0%	.0%	4.3% 12.7%	.0%	10.0% 5.9%	.0%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.4% 100.0%	1.9% 5.3%	3.7% 40.1%	3.9% 31.5%	.0%	2.3%	14.2% 10.6%	.0%	5.6% 7.1%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 25.4%	.0%	.0%	13.9% 52.0%	10.0% 22.6%	.0%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5% 47.0%	.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 21.3%	1.2% 39.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0% 18.1%	4.2% 21.3%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### 

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 6.4%	1.4% 14.0%	3.3% 6.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	70.0% 73.2%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	11.79 .95 11.00 6.97 53.60	12.26 .37 12.00 5.32 204.74	13.96 .53 13.00 6.50 149.53	10.43 .56 10.00 3.02 29.54	12.31 .58 12.00 3.85 43.37	20.52 3.75 14.00 14.07 14.10	17.17 2.40 17.00 6.98 8.49	13.35 1.07 12.00 5.24 24.07	12.96 2.58 12.00 5.73 4.92

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-102

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY									
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING			
3 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
4 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	1.2% 44.6%	.8% 55.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	2.1% 21.2%	1.6% 30.8%	1.7% 33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 14.7%			
6 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.2% 100.0%	2.1% 11.3%	3.3% 33.0%	3.1% 32.3%	5.2% 18.5%	.0%	47.0% 4.9%	.0% .0%			
7 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	6.2% 18.1%	4.9% 26.4%	5.2% 30.2%	4.4% 8.6%	19.3% 12.6%	.0%	7.1% 4.3%			
8 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	8.9% 20.6%	4.0% 17.4%	5.7% 25.9%	15.3% 23.6%	10.0% 5.1%	.0%	15.6% 7.4%			
9 NIGHTS Column Percent	7.5% 100.0%	6.1% 13.6%	11.0% 45.3%	6.9% 30.6%	3.6% 5.3%	10.2% 5.1%	.0%	.0%			
10 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	11.0% 100.0%	10.3% 15.8%	10.9% 30.9%	11.0% 33.2%	12.3% 12.5%	13.9% 4.7%	.0%	9.5% 3.0%			
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	4.2% 16.7%	3.0% 22.3%	5.9% 45.8%	3.0% 7.9%	4.2% 3.7%	.0%	4.5% 3.7%			
12 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	14.0% 100.0%	9.9% 12.0%	12.8% 28.6%	18.3% 43.7%	6.4% 5.1%	23.6% 6.3%	53.0% 1.3%	12.3% 3.1%			
13 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.7% 100.0%	5.7% 16.9%	6.8% 37.0%	5.9% 34.0%	3.0% 5.9%	4.9% 3.2%	.0% .0%	5.0% 3.1%			
14 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	10.2%	10.6% 17.6%	11.3% 34.6%	9.7% 31.8%	13.0% 14.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3% 1.8%			
15 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.8% 100.0%	6.9% 19.9%	5.5% 29.5%	6.3% 35.7%	4.7% 8.9%	4.7% 3.0%	.0%	5.0% 3.0%			
16 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	5.1% 23.2%	3.2% 26.8%	2.6% 22.8%	9.0% 27.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%			

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			NUMBER O	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	4.0% 23.1%	4.5% 47.4%	1.6% 18.3%	1.4% 5.3%	4.7% 6.0%	.0%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.0% 100.0%	2.0% 16.2%	2.8% 42.4%	2.1% 33.8%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	4.5% 7.6%
19 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	1.3% 100.0%	2.5% 32.9%	1.8% 42.8%	.0%	2.8% 24.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	2.7% 100.0%	2.9% 18.6%	3.7% 43.4%	.9% 11.7%	6.2% 26.2%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.4% 100.0%	3.1% 15.2%	2.5% 22.7%	3.8% 37.0%	3.0% 9.8%	4.7% 5.1%	.0%	10.1% 10.2%
22 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.6% 25.4%	1.1% 52.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 22.6%
25 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 47.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	5.0% 53.0%
28 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 21.3%	1.5% 57.5%	1.6% 21.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-104 August, 1994

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			NUMBER OF	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	1.1% 50.0%	.0%	.5% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	4.5% 26.5%	1.1%	3.7% 42.4%	3.5% 13.8%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 5.4%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0% 11.2%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%	100.0% 3.5%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	13.15 .80 12.00 7.51 88.49	13.04 -43 12.00 5.54 168.67	13.07 .52 12.00 6.84 175.56	12.81 .65 12.00 4.98 59.16	10.86 .79 10.00 3.56 20.51	9.18 3.32 12.00 4.47 1.81	13.03 1.43 12.00 6.09 18.16

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-6
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HUN	ITING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	-4% 100.0%	10.4% 55.4%	.0%	.5% 44.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	8.0% 52.0%	.9% 22.4%	.0%	.0%	20.5% 25.7%
6 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.2% 100.0%	.0%	4.9% 16.9%	6.1% 83.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	21.5% 40.4%	7.9% 59.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
8 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	13.6% 20.3%	11.4% 67.6%	2.0% 9.6%	.0%	8.8% 2.5%
9 NIGHTS Column Percent	7.5% 100.0%	14.3% 4.5%	14.8% 21.3%	11.2% 64.6%	1.5% 6.9%	.0%	9.5% 2.6%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent	11.0% 100.0%	8.4% 1.8%	8.1% 8.0%	15.7% 61.7%	7.9% 25.2%	.0%	17.9% 3.4%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	6.6% 3.7%	1.7% 4.3%	6.6% 66.4%	3.1% 25.6%	.0%	.0%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent	14.0% 100.0%	13.2% 2.2%	11.1% 8.7%	15.3% 47.3%	16.1% 40.6%	.0%	8.4% 1.3%
13 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.7% 100.0%	7.7% 3.2%	1.7% 3.2%	2.5% 18.8%	11.7% 71.8%	.0%	8.4% 3.1%
14 NIGHTS Column Percent	10.2% 100.0%	14.0% 3.3%	1.6%	5.9% 25. <b>3</b> %	19.1% 66.0%	.0%	18.2% 3.7%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	5.4% 39.9%	9.5% 57.1%	.0%	8.4% 3.0%
16 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 29.6%	6.4% 60.8%	5.6% 9.6%	.0%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-106 August, 1994

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HUN	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	4.8% 17.8%	1.3% 18.8%	3.3% 39.4%	11.0% 24.0%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 17.5%	3.5% 59.7%	7.3% 22.8%	.0%
19 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	7.4% 13.7%	1.7% 14.3%	.4% 14.3%	1.7% 45.6%	2.4% 12.2%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	4.6% 60.4%	16.5% 39.6%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 10.9%	.8% 10.4%	4.3% 44.2%	18.6% 34.6%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.0% 50.9%	5.3% 49.1%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3% 100.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9% 100.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 53.0%	.0%	2.4% 47.0%	.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	7.7% 21.3%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 42.5%	4.9% 36.2%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%

*(continued)* JNTERS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT ACT	TUALLY HU	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	3.9% 100.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 100.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 50.0%	.0%	2.9% 50.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	10.4% 8.6%	1.7%	3.2% 49.0%	2.4% 29.6%	2.9% 6.4%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0% 6.4%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	12.69 1.83 12.00 6.24 11.62	9.45 .51 8.00 3.88 58.47	11.03 .35 10.00 5.34 229.04	14.54 .30 14.00 4.14 187.86	24.06 1.72 21.00 10.02 33.94	10.27 1.05 10.00 3.57 11.43

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-108 August, 1994

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	L HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING							
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING		
3 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
4 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 44.6%	.5% 55.4%	.0%	.0%		
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	5.0% 22.8%	.4% 9.3%	1.2% 32.9%	2.3% 9.3%	17.4% 25.7%		
6 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.2% 100.0%	.0%	4.7% 11.3%	4.4% 50.8%	2.6% 37.9%	.0%	.0%		
7 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	16.6% 21.9%	7.4% 46.8%	3.1% 25.2%	5.2% 6.1%	.0%		
8 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	5.6% 5.9%	7.3% 36.5%	7.3% 47.2%	8.5% 7.9%	7.4% 2.5%		
9 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.5% 100.0%	.0%	9.7% 9.8%	7.1% 34.4%	8.6% 53.3%	.0%	8.1% 2.6%		
10 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	11.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%	11.4% 7.8%	10.0% 33.0%	10.7% 45.4%	16.9% 10.4%	15.2% 3.4%		
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	7.4%	3.3% 27.9%	4.2% 46.6%	7.7% 12.2%	.0%		
12 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	14.0% 100.0%	.0%	10.8% 5.9%	17.8% 46.3%	12.4% 41.7%	10.0% 4.8%	7.4% 1.3%		
13 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 2.7%	6.1% 38.8%	6.0% 49.1%	2.7% 3.2%	14.6%		
14 NIGHTS Column Percent	10.2% 100.0%	.0%	8.5% 6.3%	7.1% 25.4%	12.2% 56.2%	12.6% 8.4%	15.5% 3.7%		
15 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 2.7%	4.3% 26.9%	8.1% 65.1%	4.6% 5.3%	.0%		
16 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 4.9%	2.7% 26.1%	5.0% 62.4%	3.6% 6.6%	.0%		

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	L HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING							
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING		
17 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 5.3%	3.3% 41.3%	2.6% 41.3%	2.3% 5.3%	8.1% 6.7%		
18 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 7.6%	1.9% 33.5%	2.2% 50.0%	2.7% 8.9%	.0%		
19 NIGHTS Column Percent	1.3%	100.0% 13.7%	2.4% 14.3%	1.2% 33.5%	1.1% 38.6%	.0%	.0%		
20 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 6.9%	4.6% 80.7%	2.6% 6.6%	6.3% 5.9%		
21 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3% 45.2%	2.5% 34.3%	10.4% 20.5%	.0%		
22 NIGHTS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 73.5%	.4% 26.5%	.0%	.0%		
25 NIGHTS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
26 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
27 NIGHTS Column Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 60.6%	.3% 18.1%	2.7% 21.3%	.0%		
29 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
30 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
31 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
43 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-6
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	1	HOURS PER	DAY SPENT	ACTUALLY	HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	_4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	5.2% 13.8%	3.4% 43.7%	1.9% 30.7%	5.0% 11.8%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY  Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	19.00 .00 19.00 .00	10.18 .59 10.00 3.68 39.39	13.12 .49 12.00 6.76 191.95	13.26 .40 12.00 6.31 251.46	13.30 _84 12.00 5.00 35.16	11.30 1.18 12.00 4.34 13.45

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				МС	NTH IN V	HICH TRI	P STARTE	D			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 55.4%	.4% 44.6%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	9.1% 32.1%	3.5% 14.7%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 42.6%	.4% 10.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
6 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.2% 100.0%	50.0% 5.8%	5.6% 10.5%	5.1% 11.3%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 16.3%	2.1% 32.1%	4.8% 16.3%	10.2% 7.8%	.0%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 2.7%	4.9% 5.9%	47.6% 5.9%	.0%	5.2% 19.3%	4.6% 38.5%	6.4% 11.9%	38.0% 15.9%	.0%	.0%
8 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	50.0% 2.5%	11.5% 9.3%	12.7% 12.2%	.0%	.0%	7.3% 21.6%	6.7% 44.8%	4.8% 7.1%	7.6% 2.5%	.0%	
9 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	7.5% 100.0%	.0%	8.7% 6.8%	2.2% 2.1%	.0% .0%	.0%	7.2% 20.7%	8.1% 51.8%	9.7% 13.8%	15.2% 4.9%	.0%	
10 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	11.0% 100.0%	.0%	8.3% 4.4%	9.2% 5.8%	.0%	.0%	11.1% 21.8%	12.3% 53.9%	11.1% 10.7%	7.6% 1.7%	.0%	
11 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.7% 12.6%	.0%	.0%	6.3% 31.7%	4.4% 49.9%	2.3% 5.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	14.0% 100.0%	.0%	16.0% 6.7%	11.3% 5.6%	27.8% 1.4%	44.0% 1.1%	9.3% 14.3%	16.1% 55.7%	14.1% 10.8%	7.3% 1.3%	100.0%	
13 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.7% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 3.2%	7.4% 8.9%	.0%	.0% .0%	6.9% 26.0%	6.2% 52.1%	5.2% 9.7%	.0%	.0%	
14 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	10.2% 100.0%	.0%	9.1% 5.3%	2.8% 1.9%	.0% .0%	.0%	10.5% 22.3%	10.0% 47.4%	18.9% 19.7%	7.6% 1.8%	.0%	
15 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	5.3% 5.3%	15.4% 18.3%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 11.1%	6.3% 52.6%	5.4% 9.9%	6.5% 2.7%	.0%	
16 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	8.7% 13.8%	2.2% 4.2%	.0%	.0%	7.9% 45.6%	2.4% 31.5%	1.7% 4.9%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-112 August, 1994

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				MC	NTH IN I	HICH TR	P STARTE	D			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 6.2%	7.9% 18.8%	.0%	56.0% 6.7%	.7% 5.3%	2.5% 41.1%	6.0% 21.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 8.9%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 24.2%	2.5% 58.3%	1.6% 8.6%	.0%	.0%	
19 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	24.6% 13.7%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 67.1%	2.3% 19.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 6.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.9% 40.0%	2.9% 53.1%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	
21 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 17.8%	4.6% 65.4%	5.4% 16.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 22.6%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 25.4%	.7% 52.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
28 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
29 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-6
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				MC	ONTH IN V	HICH TRI	P STARTE	D			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
50 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
51 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
60 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	-0%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 6.4%	2.6% 6.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 14.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0%	100.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	7.00 1.00 7.00 1.41 2.00	11.38 .77 12.00 4.31 31.24	11.38 .63 12.00 3.81 37.10	11.35 2.85 12.00 5.63 3.90	14.80 2.57 17.00 3.57 1.94	14.36 .91 12.00 9.93 117.86	13.12 .30 12.00 4.92 262.72	12.37 .50 12.00 3.84 58.29	8.92 .78 8.00 2.84 13.16	12.00 .00 12.00 .00	1.83

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-114 August, 1994

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	SS
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	2.5% 55.4%	.3% 44.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.0% 88.1%	.0%	.0% .0%	8.8% 11.9%
6 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.2% 100.0%	7.2% 22.3%	2.7% 61.7%	4.1% 16.0%	.0%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	1.8%	6.3% 80.0%	6.0% 12.7%	.0%	10.9% 4.3%
8 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	7.3% 100.0%	11.3% 15.2%	7.1% 71.6%	4.4% 7.4%	9.8% 3.4%	7.8% 2.4%
9 NIGHTS Column Percent	7.5% 100.0%	9.4% 12.2%	6.8% 66.5%	10.1% 16.5%	14.5% 4.9%	.0%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent	11.0% 100.0%	12.6% 11.1%	10.5% 69.6%	12.3% 13.7%	14.8% 3.4%	10.9% 2.2%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	4.5% 77.1%	3.8% 11.0%	.0%	22.5% 11.9%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent	14.0% 100.0%	8.9% 6.2%	15.7% 82.3%	8.8% 7.8%	20.4% 3.7%	.0%
13 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.7% 100.0%	1.8%	7.6% 96.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
14 NIGHTS Column Percent	10.2% 100.0%	7.7% 7.3%	11.2% 80.9%	5.5% 6.7%	20.4% 5.1%	.0%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	7.1% 11.8%	5.6% 69.8%	8.7% 18.4%	.0%	.0%
16 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	3.5% 9.1%	3.6% 70.8%	3.4% 11.3%	7.0% 4.7%	6.9% 4.2%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-6
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS								
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING			
17 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	3.9% 13.0%	1.8% 45.5%	8.5% 35.6%	.0%	7.8% 6.0%			
18 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.0% 100.0%	3.4% 16.2%	1.4% 50.0%	4.0% 24.2%	.0%	8.8% 9.7%			
19 NIGHTS Column Percent	1.3%	1.9%	1.0% 57.8%	1.4% 13.7%	.0% .0%	8.1% 14.3%			
20 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	7.6% 27.9%	2.1% 58.9%	2.9% 13.2%	.0%	.0%			
21 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.4% 100.0%	1.8%	3.0% 63.0%	8.9% 31.9%	.0%	.0%			
22 NIGHTS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 52.0%	.0%	13.1% 48.0%	.0%			
25 NIGHTS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
26 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
27 NIGHTS Column Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	7.8% 53.0%			
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 78.7%	1.5% 21.3%	.0%	.0%			
29 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
30 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%			
31 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%			
43 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%			

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-6
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	SS
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	7.6% 25.7%	2.4% 61.3%	3.0% 13.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0% 9.7%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Normissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	12.12 .65 12.00 4.55 49.27	12.91 .33 12.00 6.59 391.76	13.76 .69 12.00 5.56 65.18	12.88 1.18 12.00 4.38 13.79	13.01 1.77 11.00 6.21 12.38

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWING	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 55.4%	.5% 44.6%	.0%	.0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	4.0% 22.8%	1.8% 52.0%	.5% 10.5%	.0%	27.1% 14.7%
6 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.2% 100.0%	.0%	8.0% 24.0%	3.5% 53.9%	1.4% 16.3%	9.0% 5.8%	.0%
7 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.8% 100.0%	17.8% 5.4%	10.3% 17.0%	5.6% 47.8%	4.7% 29.8%	.0%	.0%
8 NIGHTS Column Percent	7.3% 100.0%	10.5% 2.5%	6.3% 8.2%	7.7% 52.2%	7.4% 37.0%	.0%	.0%
9 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	7.5% 100.0%	8.9% 2.1%	11.5% 14.6%	6.0% 39.4%	8.5% 41.5%	9.0%	.0%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent	11.0% 100.0%	11.3% 1.8%	9.4% 8.1%	8.8% 39.2%	13.5% 44.8%	16.7% 3.1%	36.5% 3.0%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3%	- 0% - 0%	3.8% 8.6%	5.3% 61.4%	3.5% 30.0%	.0%	.0%
12 NIGHTS Column Percent	14.0% 100.0%	10.0% 1.3%	13.1% 8.9%	16.3% 57.5%	12.3% 32.3%	.0%	.0% .0%
13 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.7% 100.0%	.0%	6.1% 10.1%	7.6% 65.5%	2.9% 18.6%	.0%	36.5% 5.8%
14 NIGHTS Column Percent	10.2% 100.0%	10.5% 1.8%	5.6% 5.3%	9.5% 46.2%	11.3% 40.6%	30.5% 6.1%	.0%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	3.6% 5.8%	7.1% 60.0%	5.0% 31.1%	9.0% 3.1%	.0%
16 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.9% 4.9%	3.6% 47.4%	4.4% 43.0%	8.6% 4.7%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-118 August, 1994

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWIN	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
17 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	10.5% 6.2%	5.4% 17.5%	1.7% 29.4%	3.8% 46.9%	.0%	.0%
18 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 58.6%	2.3% 41.4%	.0%	.0%
19 NIGHTS Column Percent	1.3%	.0%	1.9% 14.3%	.7% 26.4%	1.6% 45.6%	8.6% 13.7%	.0%
20 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	5.7% 20.4%	2.6% 48.6%	2.3% 31.1%	.0%	.0%
21 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.4% 100.0%	10.0% 5.1%	1.8% 5.1%	3.0% 42.4%	4.5% 47.4%	.0%	.0%
22 NIGHTS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 25.4%	.9% 49.1%	8.6% 25.4%	.0%
25 NIGHTS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
26 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
27 NIGHTS Column Percent	.3%	.0%	.0%	.3% 47.0%	.5% 53.0%	.0%	.0%
28 NIGHTS Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
29 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
30 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
31 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

# Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWING	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
47 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	-4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
51 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	10.5%	1.6% 5.4%	2.5% 42.9%	3.5% 45.3%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0%	100.0% .9%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	11.81 1.65 10.00 4.84 8.56	11.27 .60 10.00 4.28 51.32	12.96 .42 12.00 6.89 263.16	13.48 .42 12.00 5.89 193.23	13.55 1.35 14.00 4.52 11.12	9.74 1.59 10.00 3.54 4.97

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-120

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARIS	ON: HUNTING	IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE	
	·	I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING
3 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
4 NIGHTS Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 44.6%	1.7% 55.4%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
5 NIGHTS Column Percent	1.7%	.0%	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 9.3%	2.8% 79.7%	2.8% 11.0%
6 NIGHTS Column Percent	3.2% 100.0%	14.9% 13.6%	2.7% 69.3%	3.7% 17.1%	.0%	3.8% 56.8%	2.5% 37.7%	2.6% 5.5%
7 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.8% 100.0%	6.3% 3.2%	5.1% 71.0%	10.1% 25.8%	.0%	6.0% 48.7%	6.3% 51.3%	. 0% . 0%
8 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	7.3% 100.0%	6.3% 2.5%	7.2% 79.3%	7.3% 14.8%	11.3% 3.4%	7.4% 47.5%	7.1% 45.4%	7.8% 7.1%
9 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	7.5% 100.0%	6.1% 2.3%	7.2% 76.7%	7.1% 14.0%	24.3% 7.0%	8.3% 51.5%	7.5% 46.4%	2.3% 2.1%
10 NIGHTS Column Percent	11.0% 100.0%	10.8% 2.8%	11.2% 81.4%	10.5% 14.1%	8.4% 1.7%	9.4% 39.9%	13.0% 55.0%	8.4% 5.1%
11 NIGHTS Column Percent	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	4.8% 90.6%	2.7% 9.4%	.0%	3.9% 42.7%	4.8% 53.0%	2.8% 4.3%
12 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	14.0% 100.0%	5.4% 1.1%	14.4% 82.6%	14.4% 15.2%	7.1% 1.1%	13.5% 45.2%	15.2% 51.0%	8.2% 3.9%
13 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	5.7% 100.0%	5.4% 2.7%	6.0% 83.7%	5.3% 13.6%	.0%	7.3% 59.2%	3.9% 31.4%	8.2% 9.4%
14 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	10.2% 100.0%	8.5% 2.4%	10.6% 83.8%	7.1% 10.3%	16.2% 3.5%	8.5% 38.8%	11.7% 53.9%	11.1% 7.2%
15 NIGHTS Column Percent	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	6.8% 93.9%	2.4% 6.1%	.0%	7.6% 60.8%	4.5% 36.1%	2.8% 3.1%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARIS		G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE	DE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING	
16 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.7% 100.0%	5.4% 4.2%	3.5% 74.7%	4.1%	8.0% 4.7%	5.5% 68.3%	2.5% 31.7%	.0%	
17 NIGHTS Column Percent	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	3.2% 88.5%	1.2% 6.2%	7.1% 5.3%	2.2% 35.4%	4.1% 64.6%	.0%	
18 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 82.7%	2.4% 17.3%	.0% .0%	1.1% 26.2%	2.8% 64.8%	2.8% 8.9%	
19 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 14.3%	1.6% 59.9%	5.0% 25.8%	
20 NIGHTS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	5.4% 5.9%	2.7% 81.4%	1.1% 5.9%	8.4% 6.9%	3.9% 67.9%	1.8% 32.1%	.0%	
21 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 79.2%	4.8% 20.8%	.0%	3.7% 49.7%	3.2% 43.1%	3.7% 7.1%	
22 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 50.9%	.7% 49.1%	.0%	
25 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	
26 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	-4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
27 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 53.0%	1.1% 47.0%	. 0% . 0%	.4% 53.0%	.3% 47.0%	.0%	
28 NIGhTS Column PercentRow Percent	.9% 100.0%	6.3% 21.3%	.4% 39.4%	2.3% 39.4%	.0%	1.4% 78.7%	.4% 21.3%	.0%	
29 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
30 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-122

### Table A-6 NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARISO	ON: HUNTING	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE		
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO .	YES	MISSING
31 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2%	5.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
43 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
47 NIGHTS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
50 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
51 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%
60 NIGHTS Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	13.8% 13.8%	1.7% 48.6%	6.0% 30.7%	9.1% 6.9%	1.3% 20.4%	.4% 6.4%	31.7% 73.2%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.9%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0% 2.2%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 6.6%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.95 .27 12.00 6.24 532.36	13.22 2.10 10.00 7.76 13.62	12.95 .30 12.00 6.22 431.88	12.97 .74 12.00 6.48 76.02	12.36 1.22 12.00 4.01 10.84	12.97 .36 12.00 5.73 252.51	12.97 .43 12.00 6.89 255.07	12.45 .83 12.00 4.13 24.78

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	113.19945 162.49287 66.39325	44 22 110 110 110 88 22 154 66 22 154 110 44 88 198 66 88	.29949 .00000 .05091 .55090 .81254 .77430 .41399 .00003 .27795 .79655 .00000 .99702 .00000 .03651 .96924 .46327
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	37.30844	22	.02188

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-124 August, 1994

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPO				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 ~ 60	> 60	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	2.1% 86.8%	13.2% 13.2%	.0%	4.8% 20.8%	2.6% 32.9%	1.8% 23.2%	2.0% 14.8%	2.3% 8.4%	.0%
2 DAYS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 6.9%	2.0% 17.4%	3.6% 30.6%	6.9% 34.2%	4.7% 11.0%	.0%
3 DAYS Column Percent	7.3% 100.0%	7.1% 94.9%	15.5% 5.1%	.0%	2.4% 3.4%	5.6% 23.5%	9.5% 40.2%	7.8% 19.3%	11.6% 13.6%	.0%
4 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	9.5% 100.0%	9.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	11.9% 13.0%	10.2% 32.9%	8.9% 28.9%	7.8% 14.8%	11.6% 10.4%	.0%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	12.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	40.0% 6.4%	7.1% 5.9%	12.2% 30.1%	10.7% 26.5%	13.7% 19.8%	16.3% 11.2%	.0%
6 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.9% 100.0%	10.1% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 4.0%	7.1% 7.5%	10.7% 33.1%	11.2% 35.2%	7.8% 14.2%	7.0% 6.0%	.0%
7 DAYS Column Percent	11.5% 100.0%	11.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3% 12.8%	13.8% 36.6%	11.2% 30.2%	9.8% 15.2%	7.0% 5.2%	.0%
8 DAYS Column Percent	8.4% 100.0%	8.2% 96.3%	13.2% 3.7%	20.0% 4.7%	9.5% 11.8%	9.7% 35.4%	9.5% 35.0%	3.9% 8.4%	4.7% 4.7%	.0%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	6.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3% 23.6%	6.1% 29.9%	3.0% 14.6%	7.8% 22.4%	7.0% 9.5%	.0%
10 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	10.0% 100.0%	10.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5% 9.8%	7.7% 23.3%	13.0% 40.1%	11.8% 20.9%	7.0% 5.9%	.0%
11 DAYS Column Percent	2.2% 100.0%	1.5% 67.9%	30.0% 32.1%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 49.3%	1.8%	1.0% 7.9%	4.7% 18.0%	.0%
12 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	3.7% 100.0%	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 10.6%	.0%	3.1% 25.0%	4.7% 39.1%	2.0% 9.4%	7.0% 15.9%	.0%
13 DAYS Column Percent	1.9% 100.0%	1.6% 83.6%	13.2% 16.4%	.0%	2.4% 12.9%	3.1% 49.1%	1.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
14 DAYS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 9.2%	2.0% 23.4%	1.2% 13.8%	6.9% 46.1%	2.3% 7.4%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPON				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
15 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	4.8% 34.0%	2.6% 53.9%	.0%	1.0% 12.1%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 14.7%	2.4% 68.8%	1.0% 16.5%	.0%	.0%
17 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 22.8%	1.5% 43.3%	1.2% 33.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
18 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.6% 100.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 22.1%	1.8% 77.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 30.3%	.6% 35.6%	1.0% 34.1%	.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.2% 33.2%	14.9% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.1% 100.0%	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 11.8%	.0%	1.8% 26.3%	3.9% 33.6%	7.0% 28.4%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.3%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	7.54 .19 7.00 4.34 523.65	9.79 1.79 11.00 6.44 12.97	7.20 .84 6.00 2.77 10.86	8.39 .87 7.00 6.49 55.17	7.69 .31 7.00 3.95 167.07	7.55 .33 7.00 4.29 166.16	7.59 .46 7.00 4.51 94.02	6.48 .50 6.00 3.31 43.34	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-126 August, 1994

### Table A-7 DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF F	RESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	4.7% 35.7%	3.6% 36.0%	1.3% 7.7%	.0%	1.0% 13.2%	5.2% 7.4%
2 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.9% 14.9%	5.3% 35.3%	4.0% 15.7%	4.2% 10.2%	2.9% 24.0%	.0%
3 DAYS Column Percent	7.3% 100.0%	25.6% 7.1%	4.4%	6.1%	6.0%	8.4% 10.1%	9.2% 37.9%	4.6% 2.1%
4 DAYS Column Percent	9.5% 100.0%	7.7% 1.6%	10.3% 19.7%	9.0% 22.7%	11.6% 17.4%	10.3%	9.2% 29.0%	.0%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	15.5% 2.5%	10.7% 15.6%	10.9% 21.0%	4.1%	20.4% 14.3%	14.3% 34.5%	27.2% 7.4%
6 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.9% 100.0%	9.8% 2.0%	7.7% 14.1%	7.8% 18.8%	15.3% 22.0%	10.8%	10.6% 32.1%	4.6% 1.6%
7 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	11.5% 100.0%	12.2%	6.8% 10.7%	13.9% 28.7%	14.7% 18.2%	10.0% 7.6%	9.4% 24.5%	28.0% 8.2%
8 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	8.4% 100.0%	.0%	5.9% 12.8%	11.9% 33.8%	7.6% 13.0%	10.1% 10.5%	8.4% 29.9%	.0%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	12.2% 3.9%	13.1% 37.8%	4.6% 17.7%	3.4% 7.8%	7.7% 10.7%	3.4% 16.4%	10.6% 5.7%
10 DAYS Column Percent	10.0% 100.0%	9.1% 1.8%	6.1%	8.7% 20.7%	8.4% 11.9%	7.9% 6.9%	15.0% 44.6%	9.2% 3.1%
11 DAYS Column Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	3.9% 32.1%	1.4%	3.5% 22.3%	.0%	2.2% 30.3%	.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	3.8% 18.3%	2.8%	3.8% 14.4%	2.1% 4.9%	4.9% 39.0%	5.9% 5.3%
13 DAYS Column Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	1.9% 17.8%	3.0% 37.4%	2.2% 16.4%	2.0% 9.2%	1.2% 19.2%	.0%
14 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.7% 18.3%	1.5% 13.5%	4.1% 22.0%	2.0%	3.0% 33.8%	4.6% 5.9%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
15 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4% 100.0%	7.7% 10.8%	.9% 10.8%	2.0% 32.3%	3.5% 34.0%	2.0% 12.1%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.8% 17.2%	1.3% 17.2%	2.1% 17.2%	1.7% 48.4%	.0%
17 DAYS Column Percent	1.1%	.0%	2.2% 37.2%	1.4%	2.4% 31.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
18 DAYS Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 25.0%	.7% 25.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.9% 74.0%	.0%	1.3% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.8%	.8% 35.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 44.1%	3.1% 35.4%	1.7%	.0%	.6% 8.8%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.4%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	6.47 1.07 6.00 3.56 11.00	8.46 .63 7.00 6.10 94.06	7.39 .39 7.00 4.33 126.46	7.84 .46 7.00 4.04 76.51	6.84 .48 6.00 3.28 47.72	7.50 .31 7.00 3.90 162.42	7.02 .71 7.00 3.04 18.45

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**128 August, 1994

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			EDUCAT I	ON OF RES	PONDENT	<del></del>	
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	9.6% 7.4%	.0%	1.8% 18.1%	3.0% 35.5%	1.7% 18.1%	2.8% 20.9%	.0%
2 DAYS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9% 19.8%	2.8% 21.6%	5.0% 34.8%	4.8% 23.8%	.0%
3 DAYS Column Percent	7.3% 100.0%	8.6% 2.1%	15.2% 7.5%	8.1% 26.7%	5.4% 20.6%	7.6% 26.1%	6.9% 16.9%	.0%
4 DAYS Column Percent	9.5% 100.0%	9.6% 1.8%	10.3% 3.9%	9.5% 24.3%	9.3% 27.4%	9.5% 24.9%	9.4% 17.6%	.0%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	32.7% 4.8%	4.3% 1.3%	7.6% 14.8%	18.1% 40.6%	10.6% 21.3%	12.0% 17.2%	.0%
6 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	8.8% 21.5%	8.5% 24.0%	11.1% 28.0%	14.7% 26.5%	.0%
7 DAYS Column Percent	11.5% 100.0%	9.6% 1.5%	5.5% 1.7%	10.9% 22.9%	12.8% 30.9%	11.6% 25.1%	11.6% 17.8%	.0%
8 DAYS Column Percent	8.4% 100.0%	.0%	20.3% 8.8%	9.8% 28.2%	9.4% 31.2%	7.1% 21.0%	5.0% 10.7%	.0%
9 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	6.2% 100.0%	10.1% 2.9%	4.8% 2.8%	6.1% 23.4%	7.5% 33.3%	5.8% 23.1%	5.1% 14.4%	.0%
10 DAYS Column Percent	10.0% 100.0%	19.7% 3.6%	.0%	8.8% 21.1%	8.4% 23.3%	12.5% 31.0%	11.9% 21.0%	.0%
11 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	9.4% 15.3%	3.1% 34.2%	2.2% 28.2%	.7% 8.3%	.9% 7.0%	100.0% 7.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	10.5% 10.2%	4.2% 27.0%	3.2% 23.8%	2.9% 19.2%	4.2% 19.8%	.0%
13 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 35.5%	.0%	4.2% 54.9%	1.0% 9.6%	.0%
14 DAYS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 19.3%	1.2% 12.4%	3.8% 35.2%	4.9% 33.1%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
15 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	4.3% 10.8%	2.7% 44.4%	.9% 17.0%	1.6% 27.8%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 34.4%	1.3% 33.7%	.7% 17.2%	.9% 14.7%	.0%
17 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 14.4%	2.0% 51.7%	.7% 17.0%	1.0% 17.0%	.0%
18 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 25.0%	.6% 25.0%	.6% 25.0%	.9% 25.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 34.1%	.7% 35.6%	.6% 30.3%	.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	1.0% 33.2%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	15.4% 26.6%	2.6% 29.6%	1.4% 18.2%	1.4% 16.8%	1.0% 8.8%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	5.93 .95 5.00 2.99 9.95	7.64 .89 8.00 3.63 16.75	8.53 .51 7.00 5.81 128.69	7.28 .32 7.00 3.91 150.06	7.41 .33 7.00 3.80 134.07	7.22 .40 6.00 3.88 96.24	11.00 .00 11.00 .00 .85

Table A-7 DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

Γ		TOTAL	,	1	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
			BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
1	DAY Column PercentRow Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3% 8.4%	2.3% 91.6%	.0%	.0%
2	DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% 4.3%	6.5% 4.9%	3.4% 90.8%	.0%	.0%
3	DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 2.5%	7.4% 97.5%	.0%	.0%
4	DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	9.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.7% 98.2%	26.2% 1.8%	.0%
5	DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	12.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	13.3%	12.6% 97.1%	.0%	.0%
6	DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 1.9%	10.1% 98.1%	.0%	.0%
7	DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	11.5% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	.0%	.0%	11.5% 95.6%	23.3% 1.4%	50.0% 1.4%
8	DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	8.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 1.9%	8.4% 96.3%	23.3% 1.9%	.0%
9	DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	6.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 2.8%	6.4% 97.2%	.0%	.0%
11	DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	10.0% 100.0%	.0%	49.4% 3.3%	6.8% 1.8%	10.0% 94.9%	.0%	.0%
1	1 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2% 93.0%	.0%	50.0% 7.0%
1:	2 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3% 5.3%	3.5% 89.8%	27.3% 4.9%	.0%
1:	B DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9% 21.1%	1.6% 78.9%	.0%	.0%
14	A DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 5.9%	2.6% 94.1%	.0%	.0%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		1	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
15 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 10.8%	1.3% 89.2%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
17 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	.0%	27.3% 17.0%	.0%	.9% 83.0%	.0%	.0%
18 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0% .0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 8.4%	2.0% 91.6%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	7.00 .00 7.00 .00 1.08	10.05 3.26 10.00 6.23 3.66	8.23 1.27 9.00 4.70 13.80	7.56 -19 7.00 4.41 512.70	7.81 1.81 8.00 3.47 3.66	9.00 2.38 9.00 3.11 1.70

## Table A-7 DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS RI	ESPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
1 DAY Column Percent. Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	2.8% 64.5%	1.9% 35.5%	.0%	2.8% 64.5%	1.8% 13.1%	2.4% 22.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
2 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	3.7% 55.4%	3.6% 44.6%	.0%	3.7% 55.4%	2.1% 10.0%	4.0% 24.6%	8.2% 10.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
3 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	7.5% 56.0%	7.2% 44.0%	.0%	7.5% 56.0%	8.3% 19.4%	6.6% 20.0%	4.2% 2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 2.1%
4 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	9.5% 100.0%	10.2% 58.2%	8.9% 41.8%	.0%	10.2% 58.2%	8.7% 15.6%	9.8% 22.7%	7.6% 3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
5 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	14.5% 63.5%	9.7% 35.0%	13.1% 1.5%	14.5% 63.5%	6.1% 8.4%	9.9% 17.5%	20.0% 7.0%	17.1% 1.6%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
6 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	9.9% 100.0%	10.3% 56.3%	9.7% 43.7%	.0%	10.3% 56.3%	9.2% 15.9%	11.7% 25.9%	.0%	15.8% 1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
7 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	11.8% 55.5%	11.5% 44.5%	.0%	11.8% 55.5%	13.8% 20.4%	9.3% 17.8%	12.6% 4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7% 1.5%
8 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	8.4% 100.0%	9.0% 58.5%	6.6% 35.1%	37.9% 6.3%	9.0% 58.5%	5.6% 11.5%	8.1% 21.4%	.0%	15.8% 2.2%	.0%	.0%	51.1° 6.⁄
9 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	5.0% 43.6%	7.9% 56.4%	.0%	5.0% 43.6%	8.9% 24.2%	8.3% 29.3%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	)% 0%
10 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	10.0% 100.0%	9.1% 49.1%	11.1% 49.2%	12.6% 1.7%	9.1% 49.1%	12.5% 21.3%	11.9% 26.1%	8.2% 3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
11 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.9% 23.2%	3.5% 69.7%	11.2% 7.0%	.9% 23.2%	1.1% 8.3%	4.4% 43.5%	3.6% 7.0%	34.3% 18.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	3.4% 49.1%	4.3% 50.9%	.0%	3.4% 49.1%	8.1% 36.9%	1.5% 9.1%	4.2% 4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0% .G%	.0%
13 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	2.6% 74.1%	1.1% 25.9%	.0%	2.6% 74.1%	.9% 8.2%	.7% 8.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.4% 9.6%
14 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	1.8% 37.6%	3.7% 62.4%	.0%	1.8% 37.6%	3.0% 19.3%	3.5% 29.0%	4.0% 6.6%	17.1% 7.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE	,	YRS RE	SPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
15 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	1.4%	2.3% 87.9%	.4% 12.1%	.0%	2.3% 87.9%	.0%	.8% 12.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	1.1%	.9% 48.4%	1.2% 51.6%	.0%	.9% 48.4%	1.1% 17.2%	1.7% 34.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
17 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.4% 68.6%	.8% 31.4%	.0%	1.4% 68.6%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 14.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 17.0%	.0%
18 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.6% 50.0%	.7% 50.0%	.0%	.6% 50.0%	.0%	.7% 25.0%	3.6% 25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.0% 74.0%	.4% 26.0%	.0%	1.0% 74.0%	1.1% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.3% 35.6%	.7% 64.4%	.0%	.3% 35.6%	.0%	.8% 34.1%	3.6% 30.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
71 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.3% 33.2%	.0%	25.3% 66.8%	.3% 33.2%	2.1% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
MISSING Column Percent. Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.4% 9.5%	4.2% 90.5%	.0%	.4% 9.5%	3.3% 26.6%	3.7% 38.4%	12.3% 25.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean. Median Std. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	7.28 .23 7.00 3.96 295.25	7.86 .32 7.00 4.82 233.73	11.48 2.24 8.00 6.19 7.64	7.28 .23 7.00 3.96 295.25	8.62 .65 7.00 6.17 90.36	7.33 .34 7.00 3.67 115.71	8.12 1.11 7.00 5.09 20.85	9.23 1.36 11.00 3.43 6.35	5.00 .00 5.00 .00 1.35	17.00 .00 17.00 .00 1.00	7.96 1.32 8.00 3.16 5.75

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-134 August, 1994

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLE	TED HUNT COURSE	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	2.4% 46.0%	2.6% 39.7%	.0%	1.4% 7.7%	17.3% 6.6%	2.3% 59.4%	1.9% 30.2%	22.4% 10.4%
2 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	3.6% 45.1%	3.5% 34.3%	4.7% 6.9%	3.7% 13.8%	.0%	2.6% 44.8%	5.2% 55.2%	.0%
3 DAYS Column Percent	7.3% 100.0%	5.1% 31.4%	10.0% 48.9%	7.2% 5.2%	8.0% 14.5%	.0%	5.7% 47.4%	9.6% 50.2%	16.0% 2.4%
4 DAYS Column Percent	9.5% 100.0%	9.7% 46.2%	9.4% 35.3%	11.1% 6.2%	7.5% 10.5%	19.4% 1.8%	10.3% 66.2%	8.4% 33.8%	.0%
5 DAYS Column Percent	12.4% 100.0%	13.1% 47.8%	10.8% 30.9%	18.4% 7.9%	12.6% 13.5%	.0%	12.8% 62.9%	12.1% 37.1%	.0%
6 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	9.9% 100.0%	10.2% 46.6%	10.2% 36.7%	13.9% 7.4%	6.8% 9.2%	.0%	8.6% 53.3%	11.7% 45.1%	14.2% 1.6%
7 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	11.5% 100.0%	11.0% 43.1%	10.2% 31.5%	13.6% 6.2%	16.6% 19.1%	.0%	12.3% 64.9%	10.6% 35.1%	. 0%
8 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	8.4% 100.0%	8.2% 44.4%	7.8% 33.3%	5.9% 3.7%	10.3% 16.3%	21.9%	10.5% 76.4%	5.2% 23.6%	.0%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	6.6% 47.4%	5.5% 31.3%	4.7% 3.9%	8.2% 17.4%	.0%	6.1% 59.3%	6.2% 37.8%	16.7% 2.9%
10 DAYS Column Percent	10.0% 100.0%	8.0% 36.2%	12.8% 45.3%	3.5% 1.8%	11.1% 14.7%	21.9% 2.0%	10.9% 66.2%	8.9% 33.8%	.0%
11 DAYS Column Percent	2.2% 100.0%	2.7% 54.4%	1.9% 30.3%	2.9% 7.0%	1.4% 8.3%	.0%	2.0% 55.6%	2.2% 37.4%	14.2% 7.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	5.1% 62.1%	3.5% 33.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	3.5% 56.6%	4.3% 43.4%	.0%
13 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	2.9% 69.3%	1.6% 30.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 56.6%	2.2% 43.4%	.0%
14 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	3.0% 51.5%	2.0% 26.8%	.0%	4.3% 21.7%	.0%	2.3% 53.2%	2.8% 39.9%	16.7% 6.9%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT S	STARTED H	JNTING	COMPLE	TED HUNT	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
15 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.5% 61.4%	7.6% 27.8%	1.2% 10.8%	.0%	1.2% 49.3%	1.9% 50.7%	.0%
16 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.6% 66.3%	1.0% 33.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 50.9%	1.4% 49.1%	.0%
17 DAYS Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.2% 51.7%	1.0% 31.4%	.0%	1.4% 17.0%	.0%	1.2% 68.6%	.9% 31.4%	.0%
18 DAYS Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 75.0%	.4% 25.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.4% 26.0%	1.5% 74.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 74.0%	.5% 26.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.8% 69.7%	.4% 30.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 64.4%	.5% 35.6%	.0%
21 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.4% 33.2%	.0%	6.7% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.3% 33.2%	.9% 66.8%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	2.6% 55.5%	.6% 9.5%	.0%	4.2% 26.6%	19.4% 8.4%	1.9% 55.2%	2.5% 44.8%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	7.66 .26 7.00 4.04 240.76	7.71 .37 7.00 5.12 193.35	7.55 .90 6.00 4.86 28.93	7.13 .39 7.00 3.28 69.60	6.08 1.99 8.00 3.96 3.98	7.75 .25 7.00 4.58 327.14	7.37 .29 6.00 4.11 203.47	6.94 2.09 6.00 5.12 6.01

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	1.9% 7.7%	2.3% 35.9%	3.6% 42.4%	.0%	2.0% 6.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 7.4%
2 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	1.8% 4.9%	5.2% 55.0%	4.0% 30.9%	2.8% 4.3%	2.2% 4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
3 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	10.6% 14.3%	6.7% 34.6%	4.5% 17.1%	11.6% 8.9%	14.3% 15.6%	.0%	.0%	11.6%	6.1% 2.5%
4 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.5% 100.0%	17.2% 17.7%	11.9% 47.1%	5.7% 16.7%	9.3% 5.5%	4.3% 3.6%	7.1% 1.9%	24.0% 3.9%	3.5% 1.6%	5.8% 1.8%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	7.1% 5.6%	14.2% 43.0%	14.2% 31.7%	12.8% 5.7%	6.9% 4.4%	12.1% 2.5%	.0%	3.5% 1.3%	23.6% 5.7%
6 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.9% 100.0%	11.0% 10.9%	8.9% 33.8%	11.3% 31.8%	6.4% 3.6%	8.6% 6.9%	6.0%	10.0%	17.7% 7.9%	6.1% 1.9%
7 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	10.7% 9.1%	12.1% 39.5%	9.2% 22.2%	10.8% 5.3%	22.8% 15.7%	6.8% 1.5%	.0%	9.7% 3.7%	11.3%
8 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	8.4% 100.0%	8.5% 10.0%	9.4% 42.4%	5.9% 19.7%	12.0% 8.0%	11.6%	.0%	.0%	12.5% 6.6%	6.6% 2.4%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	3.7% 5.7%	3.6% 21.5%	10.4% 46.2%	7.1% 6.3%	7.4% 9.3%	6.0%	11.3%	8.0% 5.6%	.0%
10 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	10.0% 100.0%	15.2% 14.8%	8.7% 32.5%	7.2% 19.9%	20.4%	11.2% 8.8%	20.7% 5.3%	12.8%	8.1% 3.6%	5.8% 1.7%
11 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.2% 100.0%	1.9% 8.3%	2.8% 47.1%	3.0% 37.6%	.0%	.0%	6.0% 7.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	1.9% 4.9%	4.5% 45.7%	4.0% 29.4%	.0%	.0%	14.2% 9.8%	.0%	8.7% 10.2%	.0%
13 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 9.6%	4.4% 64.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.5% 26.0%
14 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.5% 35.7%	3.1% 32.5%	3.1% 6.6%	4.3% 12.7%	6.8%	.0%	3.5% 5.9%	.0%

(continued)

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
	!	REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
15 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 38.6%	2.3% 44.4%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6% 17.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.9% 17.2%	1.0% 33.7%	. <b>7%</b> 17.2%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 17.2%	.0%	3.5% 14.7%	.0%
17 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	.0%	.5% 17.0%	2.0% 51.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0% 14.4%	.0%	6.1% 17.0%
18 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.8% 50.0%	.6% 25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0% 25.0%	.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.9% 26.0%	1.0% 51.9%	.6% 22.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 34.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.8% 65.9%	.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	2.5% 11.8%	2.0% 35.7%	.7% 8.8%	3.5% 9.5%	4.5% 17.1%	.0%	.0%	4.0% 8.4%	6.1% 8.8%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0%	100.0% 3.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	7.68 .91 6.00 6.60 52.25	7.04 .26 6.00 3.73 201.65	8.05 .36 7.00 4.41 150.72	6.89 .53 7.00 2.88 29.46	6.73 .45 7.00 2.88 41.41	10.93 1.73 10.00 6.48 14.10	11.73 2.33 10.00 6.78 8.49	8.21 .77 8.00 3.71 23.11	7.58 1.12 6.00 4.39 15.41

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			NUMBER OF	HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	4.5% 32.1%	1.9% 24.7%	3.1% 43.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
2 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	6.3% 29.6%	3.1% 26.9%	3.1% 28.6%	3.2% 10.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0% 4.9%
3 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	7.3% 100.0%	10.7% 24.9%	8.0% 34.1%	6.9% 31.6%	3.0% 4.7%	9.4% 4.8%	.0%	.0%
4 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	9.5% 100.0%	7.5% 13.4%	10.7% 35.0%	7.5% 26.5%	12.6% 15.0%	19.0% 7.5%	.0%	7.1% 2.6%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	9.6% 13.1%	10.6% 26.6%	11.8% 31.8%	17.1% 15.4%	14.1% 4.3%	47.0% 1.3%	27.1% 7.6%
6 DAYS Column Percent	9.9% 100.0%	9.0% 15.5%	11.4% 35.8%	8.1% 27.5%	12.2% 13.9%	15.3% 5.8%	.0%	4.5% 1.6%
7 DAYS Column Percent	11.5% 100.0%	13.0% 19.1%	9.5% 25.6%	12.1% 35.0%	13.0% 12.7%	14.1% 4.6%	53.0% 1.5%	5.0% 1.5%
8 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	8.4% 100.0%	3.7% 7.4%	7.9% 29.6%	10.6% 42.2%	9.2% 12.4%	14.6% 6.6%	.0%	4.5% 1.9%
9 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	6.2% 100.0%	6.8% 18.4%	7.7% 38.2%	5.9% 31.6%	6.6% 11.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
10 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	10.0% 100.0%	12.4% 21.0%	12.1% 37.4%	9.0% 29.8%	6.0% 6.7%	4.2% 1.6%	.0%	10.3% 3.6%
11 DAYS Column Percent	2.2% 100.0%	4.3% 32.7%	2.0% 28.2%	2.6% 39.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	2.0% 8.9%	5.2% 43.0%	3.3% 29.5%	4.6% 13.7%	.0%	.0%	5.3% 4.9%
13 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	1.1% 9.6%	1.6%	2.7% 47.1%	1.4% 8.2%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 8.2%
14 DAYS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	2.0% 12.4%	2.1% 24.9%	3.4% 42.6%	1.6% 6.9%	4.7% 6.6%	.0%	5.0% 6.6%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			NUMBER OF	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
15 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.9% 10.8%	1.0% 21.5%	2.5% 56.9%	1.4% 10.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	2.2% 34.4%	.0%	2.1% 65.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
17 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.1% 17.0%	1.4% 39.7%	.9% 28.9%	1.4% 14.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
18 DAYS Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	.9% 25.0%	.0%	.9% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 25.0%
19 DAYS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.1% 26.0%	.0%	.5% 22.1%	3.3% 51.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 34.1%	.5% 30.3%	1.6% 35.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.1% 100.0%	1.1% 8.8%	1.8% 26.6%	1.1% 18.2%	1.8% 9.5%	4.7% 8.4%	.0%	17.2% 28.5%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	7.11 .42 7.00 4.05 91.64	7.49 .30 7.00 3.92 167.48	8.10 .39 7.00 5.22 180.12	7.57 .52 7.00 4.07 60.25	6.18 .59 6.00 2.60 19.55	6.06 1.11 7.00 1.49 1.81	7.82 1.08 6.00 4.29 15.75

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUI	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASK	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	4.5% 10.4%	2.1% 50.2%	1.9% 29.1%	9.2% 10.4%
2 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	16.1% 24.3%	3.6% 56.1%	1.9% 19.6%	.0%
3 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	7.3% 100.0%	12.6% 9.4%	10.5% 80.5%	1.5% 7.6%	6.8% 2.5%
4 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.5% 100.0%	31.2% 17.9%	10.7% 62.7%	4.6% 17.6%	6.5% 1.8%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	21.0% 9.2%	15.8% 70.8%	4.9% 14.3%	26.4% 5.7%
6 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	9. <b>9%</b> 100.0%	6.7% 3.7%	14.0% 78.6%	4.3% 15.8%	6.8% 1.9%
7 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	11.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	14.5% 69.9%	9.1% 28.7%	5.8% 1.4%
8 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	8.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	10.5% 69.7%	6.4% 27.9%	7.4% 2.4%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	.0%	5.0% 44.4%	9.5% 55.6%	.0%
10 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	10.0% 100.0%	.0%	8.2% 45.5%	14.1% 51.1%	12.6% 3.4%
11 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 22.4%	4.7% 77.6%	.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.2% 32.3%	6.9% 67.7%	.0%
13 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.4% 83.6%	11.7% 16.4%
14 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3% 100.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUM	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASK	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
15 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0% 100.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	2.9% 100.0%	.0%
17 DAYS Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 83.0%	6.8% 17.0%
18 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	-6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	. 0% . 0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.1% 100.0%	7.9% 20.5%	2.0% 52.9%	1.5% 26.6%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.4%	100.0% 55.5%	100.0% 36.4%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	3.74 .26 4.00 1.34 27.37	6.07 .14 6.00 2.47 298.08	10.47 .38 10.00 5.33 196.47	7.22 1.16 6.00 4.43 14.69

## 

	TOTAL		OURS PER	DAY SPENT	r ACTUALL'	Y HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent Row Percent		100.0% 7.4%	8.5% 27.2%	2.2% 34.2%	1.2% 23.5%	.0%	7.4% 7.7%
2 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	7.1% 15.1%	5.5% 55.3%	2.3% 29.6%	.0%	.0%
3 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	16.7% 17.4%	6.8% 33.9%	5.9% 38.1%	11.3% 10.5%	.0%
4 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	14.2% 11.4%	9.0% 34.7%	10.1% 50.1%	2.7% 1.9%	7.4% 1.9%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	14.6% 8.9%	13.4% 39.2%	11.8% 44.7%	13.1% 7.2%	.0%
6 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	10.4% 8.0%	10.9% 40.0%	9.1% 43.2%	10.0% 6.9%	8.1% 2.0%
7 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	4.8% 3.2%	11.4% 36.0%	11.3% 46.1%	25.2% 14.8%	.0%
8 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	4.1% 3.7%	8.6% 37.5%	9.4% 52.8%	7.3% 5.9%	.0%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	2.3% 2.8%	6.2% 35.9%	6.4% 47.7%	12.6% 13.6%	.0% .0%
10 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	8.8% 6.6%	7.8% 28.3%	13.2% 61.7%	5.0% 3.4%	.0%
11 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	2.1% 7.0%	2.8% 45.6%	1.9% 40.3%	2.3% 7.0%	.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	2.4% 4.9%	2.0% 19.7%	4.9% 61.5%	7.7% 13.9%	.0%
13 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	2.1% 8.2%	2.2% 42.0%	2.0% 49.9%	.0%	.0%
14 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	.0%	3.6% 49.5%	2.9% 50.5%	.0%	.0% .0%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	1	HOURS PER	DAY SPENT	ACTUALLY	HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
15 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 10.8%	1.2% 29.1%	1.9% 60.1%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 33.7%	1.5% 66.3%	.0% .0%	.0%
17 DAYS Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 17.0%	1.9% 83.0%	.0%	.0%
18 DAYS Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 50.0%	.3%	.0%	6.3% 25.0%
19 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 26.0%	.7% 48.1%	2.7% 26.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 16.8%	.0%	70.7% 83.2%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0% 6.8%	100.0% 2.5%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	1.00 .00 1.00 .00	5.49 .52 5.00 3.37 41.56	7.73 .36 7.00 5.12 198.79	7.90 .25 7.00 3.98 254.36	7.38 .52 7.00 3.17 37.01	6.82 3.59 4.00 7.13 3.94

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		<del></del>	<u> </u>	МС	NTH IN V	HICH TR	P STARTE	D			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 6.6%	.0% .0%	24.6% 7.4%	.0%	2.6% 23.5%	3.1% 62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
2 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	5.7% 9.4%	2.2% 4.3%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 19.7%	4.2% 56.1%	3.5% 10.4%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%
3 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	50.0% 2.5%	19.2% 15.6%	12.4% 11.8%	21.9% 2.1%	.0%	9.2% 27.3%	4.3% 28.9%	6.3% 9.2%	- 0% - 0%	.0%	6.8% 2.5%
4 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.5% 100.0%	.0%	14.6% 9.0%	7.8% 5.7%	25.7% 1.9%	100.0% 3.7%	6.3% 14.4%	9.5% 48.7%	7.9% 8.9%	15.2% 3.9%	.0%	13.3% 3.8%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	50.0% 1.5%	8.4% 4.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	13.7% 23.9%	12.5% 48.9%	9.7% 8.3%	39.8% 7.7%	.0%	26.4% 5.7%
6 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	9.9% 100.0%	.0%	10.9% 6.5%	12.0% 8.4%	.0%	.0%	10.0% 21.9%	9.1% 44.5%	10.5% 11.3%	22.5% 5.5%	.0%	6.8% 1.9%
7 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	.0%	5.6% 2.9%	10.9% 6.6%	.0%	.0%	16.8% 31.5%	10.6% 44.6%	12.4% 11.5%	.0%	100.0% 1.5%	5.8% 1.4%
8 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	8.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	12.0% 10.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5% 24.5%	7.2% 41.9%	11.2% 14.2%	7.6% 2.2%	.0%	22.3% 7.2%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 2.9%	2.5% 2.8%	.0%	.0%	9.8% 33.9%	5.7% 44.1%	7.9% 13.5%	7.3% 2.8%	.0%	.0%
10 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	10.0% 100.0%	.0%	12.0% 7.0%	23.5% 16.3%	27.8% 2.0%	.0%	4.1% 8.8%	10.3% 49.6%	15.4% 16.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
11 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	3.0% 64.8%	4.4% 21.2%	.0%	.0%	.0°
12 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5% 10.2%	.0%	.0%	3.2% 18.6%	4.0% 51.7%	6.8% 19.5%	.0%	.0%	)% 0%
13 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.9%	.0%	.0% .0%	2.6% 9.6%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 17.4%	2.2% 56.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7% 1).4%
14 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	5.6% 12.4%	2.5% 6.6%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 18.3%	3.4% 62.7%	.0%	- 0% - 0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			<del></del>	MC	NTH IN W	HICH TRI	P STARTE	.D			
		MAR.	APR.	- MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	ост.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
15 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 83.0%	2.3% 17.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	.0%	6.2% 34.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 14.7%	.8% 34.4%	1.6% 16.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
17 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 17.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 66.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
18 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	-0% -0%	2.6% 25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 26.0%	1.1% 74.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.8% 34.1%	.7% 65.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
21 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
42 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 9.5%	3.5% 11.8%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 17.1%	2.3% 52.9%	.0%	7.6% 8.8%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Fedian Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	4.00 1.00 4.00 1.41 2.00	6.73 .81 5.00 4.55 31.15	7.81 .57 8.00 3.46 36.76	4.71 2.03 4.00 4.01 3.90	4.00 .00 4.00 .00 1.94	7.51 .51 7.00 5.49 115.90	7.91 .27 7.00 4.35 258.88	7.62 .41 7.00 3.15 58.29	5.64 .42 5.00 1.48 12.16	7.00 .00 7.00 .00	1.03 6.00 3.95

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-146 August, 1994

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	TRIP SUCCES	TRIP SUCCESS		
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING	
1 DAY Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	5.7% 23.6%	1.5% 46.4%	4.2% 21.7%	7.9% 8.4%	.0%	
2 DAYS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	1.8%	4.4% 89.6%	1.6% 5.5%	.0%	.0%	
3 DAYS Column Percent	7.3% 100.0%	4.1% 5.4%	7.1% 71.3%	7.2% 12.1%	17.0% 5.9%	16.8% 5.2%	
4 DAYS Column Percent	9.5% 100.0%	9.2% 9.4%	10.3% 79.9%	8.2% 10.6%	.0%	.0%	
5 DAYS Column Percent	12.4% 100.0%	14.7% 11.6%	12.3% 72.5%	11.1%	14.2% 2.9%	10.9%	
6 DAYS Column Percent	9.9% 100.0%	11.2% 11.0%	10.5% 78.2%	7.4% 9.2%	.0%	6.9% 1.6%	
7 DAYS Column Percent	11.5% 100.0%	10.9%	10.6% 67.3%	17.9% 19.1%	.0%	22.4% 4.4%	
8 DAYS Column Percent	8.4% 100.0%	8.8% 10.3%	9.2% 80.4%	6.4%	.0%	.0%	
9 DAYS Column Percent	6.2% 100.0%	3.7% 5.7%	6.1% 71.2%	8.8% 17.4%	14.2% 5.7%	.0%	
10 DAYS Column Percent	10.0% 100.0%	9.4%	10.6% 77.7%	1.5%	20.9% 5.2%	27.4% 6.2%	
11 DAYS Column Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	3.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
12 DAYS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	1.9%	2.9% 57.5%	8.9% 29.3%	12.4% 8.3%	.0%	
13 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	1.9%	1.6%	1.5% 56.3%	4.0% 25.9%	7.3% 9.6%	.0%	
14 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	1.8%	2.1% 57.4%	4.9% 22.7%	.0%	15.6% 13.3%	

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS							
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING			
15 DAYS Column Percent	1.4% 100.0%	1.6% 10.8%	1.4% 72.2%	2.0% 17.0%	.0%	.0%			
16 DAYS Column Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 66.3%	2.9% 33.7%	.0%	.0%			
17 DAYS Column Percent	1.1%	2.5% 22.8%	-9% 60.3%	1.5% 17.0%	.0%	.0%			
18 DAYS Column Percent	.6% 100.0%	1.6% 25.0%	.4% 50.0%	.0%	6.2% 25.0%	.0%			
19 DAYS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%			
20 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
21 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% .0%			
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
42 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
MISSING Column Percent	2.1% 100.0%	9.5% 44.5%	1.3%	1.4% 8.4%	.0%	.0%			
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0% 2.3%			
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	7.04 .56 6.00 3.86 48.21	7.61 .23 7.00 4.56 395.99	7.74 .49 7.00 4.00 66.26	8.21 1.26 9.00 4.68 13.79	7.96 1.04 7.00 3.67 12.38			

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWING	TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 39.0%	3.5% 53.6%	8.6% 7.4%	.0%
2 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	3.6% 100.0%	-0% -0%	6.6% 17.5%	3.5% 48.3%	2.9% 29.4%	.0%	19.3% 4.9%
3 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	7.3% 100.0%	17.8% 4.3%	5.4% 7.1%	7.0% 47.7%	8.1% 41.0%	.0%	.0%
4 DAYS Column Percent	9.5% 100.0%	10.0% 1.8%	5.8% 5.8%	12.5% 64.9%	5.9% 22.8%	21.8% 4.7%	.0%
5 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	12.4% 100.0%	.0%	23.9% 18.4%	11.4% 45.4%	10.9% 32.2%	9.0% 1.5%	34.3% 2.5%
6 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	9.9% 100.0%	31.0% 5.5%	12.1% 11.7%	9.9% 49.4%	8.5% 31.6%	9.0% 1.9%	.0%
7 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	11.5% 100.0%	19.4% 2.9%	10.0% 8.3%	11.6% 49.9%	11.8% 37.5%	7.7% 1.4%	.0% .0%
8 DAYS Column Percent	8.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	8.0% 9.1%	9.9% 58.4%	6.9% 30.3%	9.0% 2.2%	.0% .0%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	11.3% 3.2%	1.8% 2.8%	4.1% 32.7%	10.5% 61.4%	.0%	.0%
10 DAYS Column Percent	10.0% 100.0%	.0%	13.2% 12.6%	10.2% 50.3%	8.7% 31.8%	26.2% 5.3%	.0% .0%
11 DAYS Column Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	2.0% 44.4%	3.4% 55.6%	.0%	.0% .0%
12 DAYS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.9% 4.9%	4.4% 58.6%	3.7% 36.5%	.0%	.0% .0%
13 DAYS Column Percent	1.9% 100.0%	-0% -0%	.0%	1.4% 36.6%	3.3% 63.4%	.0%	.0%
14 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.8% 6.6%	2.7% 50.8%	2.6% 36.0%	8.6% 6.6%	.0% .0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWING	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
15 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4%	.0%	1.6% 10.8%	.8% 27.8%	2.4% 61.4%	.0%	.0%
16 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1%	10.5% 17.2%	1.9% 17.2%	.3% 14.7%	1.5% 50.9%	.0%	.0%
17 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 68.6%	.9% 31.4%	.0%	.0%
18 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 50.0%	.9% 50.0%	.0%	.0%
19 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	1.9% 26.0%	.4% 26.0%	.9% 48.1%	.0%	.0%
20 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 34.1%	.9% 65.9%	.0%	.0%
21 DAYS Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
42 DAYS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	4.0% 18.2%	1.9% 43.8%	1.0% 17.9%	.0%	46.4% 20.2%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	6.84 1.23 6.00 3.81 9.56	6.95 .51 6.00 3.60 50.09	7.44 .26 7.00 4.15 264.89	8.07 .35 7.00 4.96 198.29	7.04 1.10 7.00 3.66 11.12	3.92 1.12 5.00 1.82 2.66

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-150 August, 1994

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARIS	ON: HUNTING PLACES	IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	. NO	YES	MISSING
1 DAY Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.3% 76.4%	3.8% 23.6%	.0%	2.2% 42.4%	2.5% 50.2%	2.6% 7.4%
2 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	3.6% 100.0%	6.3% 5.1%	3.1% 70.2%	5.0% 20.4%	7.1% 4.3%	2.8% 36.8%	4.8% 63.2%	.0%
3 DAYS Column Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	8.1% 89.6%	3.4% 7.0%	11.3% 3.4%	5.3% 33.7%	9.9% 63.8%	2.8% 2.5%
4 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	9.5% 100.0%	20.9% 6.4%	9.2% 77.6%	7.9% 12.3%	16.2% 3.7%	9.4% 46.4%	9.7% 48.0%	8.0% 5.6%
5 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	12.4% 100.0%	27.7% 6.4%	12.3% 79.9%	10.3% 12.3%	8.0% 1.4%	12.6% 47.6%	10.9% 41.0%	21.4% 11.4%
6 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	9.9% 100.0%	11.7% 3.4%	10.1% 82.1%	8.6% 12.9%	7.1% 1.6%	11.3% 53.6%	8.5% 40.5%	8.8% 5.9%
7 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	11.5% 100.0%	5.4% 1.4%	11.2% 78.0%	16.1% 20.6%	.0% .0%	14.0% 57.0%	8.4% 34.2%	15.2% 8.8%
8 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	8.4% 100.0%	5.4% 1.9%	8.2% 78.5%	8.5% 15.1%	17.5% 4.6%	8.6% 48.0%	7.7% 43.1%	11.3% 8.9%
9 DAYS Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	.0%	6.5% 82.9%	7.2% 17.1%	.0% .0%	7.6% 56.8%	5.8% 43.2%	.0%
10 DAYS Column Percent	10.0% 100.0%	5.4% 1.6%	11.1% 88.9%	6.5% 9.6%	.0%	7.4% 34.7%	12.9% 59.9%	8.2% 5.4%
11 DAYS Column Percent	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 93.0%	.0%	7.1% 7.0%	2.9% 60.3%	1.9% 39.7%	.0%
12 DAYS Column Percent	3.7% 100.0%	11.7% 9.1%	3.8% 80.8%	2.6% 10.2%	.0%	3.4% 43.1%	4.5% 56.9%	. %
13 DAYS Column Percent	1.9% 100.0%	5.4% 8.2%	2.2% 91.8%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 56.2%	.7% 17.8%	7.5% 26.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-7
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARIS		G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER	USED GUIDE			
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING	
14 DAYS Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 79.9%	3.6% 20.1%	-0% -0%	3.4% 60.3%	2.3% 39.7%	.0%	
15 DAYS Column Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 87.9%	.0%	8.0% 12.1%	1.4% 44.4%	.9% 27.8%	6.1% 27.8%	
16 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	1.1%	.0%	.7% 50.9%	3.5% 49.1%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 100.0%	.0%	
17 DAYS Column Percent	1.1%	.0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 51.7%	.7% 31.4%	2.8% 17.0%	
18 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 50.0%	2.1% 50.0%	.0%	.3% 25.0%	1.0% 75.0%	.0%	
19 DAYS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 48.1%	1.2% 26.0%	8.4% 26.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
20 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 69.7%	1.1% 30.3%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
21 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	
30 DAYS Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	
42 DAYS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	1.4% 55.5%	5.0% 35.0%	9.1% 9.5%	1.2% 26.3%	2.5% 56.6%	5.4% 17.1%	
Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0% 2.2%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7.59 .19 7.00 4.41 536.62	6.51 .82 5.00 3.25 15.79	7.55 .21 7.00 4.33 433.15	8.05 .56 7.00 4.91 76.83	7.64 1.62 6.00 5.35 10.84	7.59 .24 7.00 3.88 252.71	7.60 .32 7.00 4.98 249.60	7.57 .64 7.00 3.75 34.31	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-152 August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	31.47973	30	.39211
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	14.12038	15	.51642
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	83.75184	75	.22889
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	69.25070	70	.50284
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	154.90615	75	.00000
RACE OF RESPONDENT	84.69068	60	.01961
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	27,81137	15	.02278
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	96.64345	105	.70759
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	39.64308	45	.69767
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	23.21704	15	.07964
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	154.41236	98	.00024
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	53.57561	75	.97094
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	32.39938	30	.34919
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	96.11224	60	.00213
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	271,10695	135	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	56.02841	42	.07239
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	83.81805	60	.02285
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	38.66685	30	.13337
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	8.60589	14	.85544

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

# Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPON				AGE CATE	GORY OF RI	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
2 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 47.0%	.0%	2.0% 53.0%	.0%	.0%
4 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	3.1% 100.0%	3.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 8.0%	2.0% 20.3%	3.6% 35.8%	3.9% 22.9%	4.7% 12.9%	.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	3.6% 91.9%	13.2% 8.1%	20.0% 10.3%	.0%	4.1% 32.2%	4.1% 33.1%	2.0% 9.1%	7.0% 15.3%	.0%
6 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	10.8% 93.9%	28.7% 6.1%	20.0% 3.5%	9.5% 8.8%	11.2% 30.6%	10.7% 29.4%	10.8% 17.2%	14.0% 10.6%	.0%
7 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	5.1% 94.1%	13.2% 5.9%	.0%	2.4% 4.7%	5.1% 29.5%	4.7% 27.7%	6.9% 23.2%	9.3% 15.0%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent	19.8% 100.0%	19.6% 96.6%	28.1% 3.4%	40.0% 4.0%	21.4% 11.2%	22.4% 34.6%	16.0% 24.9%	22.6% 20.3%	11.6% 5.0%	.0%
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5% 19.0%	3.6% 21.1%	2.4%	8.8% 30.5%	9.3% 15.3%	.0%
10 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	25.9% 100.0%	26.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	31.0% 12.3%	29.6% 34.8%	30.2% 36.0%	16.7% 11.5%	16.3% 5.3%	.0%
11 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 17.1%	1.0%	.6% 12.7%	3.9% 48.6%	.0%	.0%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	14.2% 97.2%	16.8% 2.8%	20.0%	11.9% 8.6%	12.8% 27.2%	15.4% 33.3%	14.7% 18.4%	16.3% 9.7%	.0%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 22.1%	1.8% 77.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.6% 39.4%	4.1% 36.0%	4.9% 24.6%	.0%	.0%
15 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	1.6% 100.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 31.2%	.5% 9.9%	2.4% 46.4%	.0%	2.3% 12.6%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-154 August, 1994

## Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDER OF RESPONDENT		AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT							
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING	
16 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.8% 100.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 32.0%	.5% 20.3%	1.2% 47.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
17 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 10.0%	.5% 6.3%	3.0% 37.2%	2.0% 14.3%	9.3% 32.2%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.3%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	9.12 .12 10.00 2.63 521.63	7.57 .64 7.00 2.32 12.97	7.80 .76 8.00 2.52 10.86	9.51 .33 10.00 2.47 55.17	9.07 .20 10.00 2.55 166.22	9.37 .21 10.00 2.73 164.15	8.78 .27 8.00 2.64 95.94	8.51 .41 8.00 2.66 42.25	.00 .00 .0% .00	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

## Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		\$40,000 \$60,000 \$80,000 \$100,000  .0%										
		< \$20,001					>\$100,000	MISSING					
2 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%							5.2% 100.0%					
3 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%							.0%					
4 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	3.1% 100.0%							.0%					
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%							17.2% 15.0%					
6 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	15.5% 2.8%	12.5% 20.1%	8.7% 18.5%	12.9% 16.4%	8.1% 6.3%	13.0% 34.6%	4.6% 1.4%					
7 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	8.5% 29.0%	8.4% 37.7%	5.0% 13.5%	6.2% 10.2%	1.7% 9.6%	.0%					
8 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	19.8% 100.0%	21.3% 2.2%	17.8% 16.2%	21.3% 25.6%	17.1% 12.3%	26.5% 11.6%	19.8% 29.9%	12.7% 2.2%					
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 17.9%	9.4% 43.2%	2.5% 6.8%	2.0% 3.4%	5.0% 28.7%	.0%					
10 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	25.9% 100.0%	23.2% 1.8%	22.9% 16.0%	22.3% 20.5%	32.9% 18.1%	32.4% 10.9%	24.4% 28.1%	35.0% 4.5%					
11 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.2% 40.0%	.0%	1.3% 12.7%	2.0% 12.2%	1.7% 35.1%	.0%					
12 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	14.3% 100.0%	8.7% 1.2%	14.3% 18.1%	14.2% 23.7%	9.8% 9.8%	10.1% 6.2%	17.4% 36.3%	19.9% 4.7%					
13 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 22.1%	.0%	1.3% 26.0%	.0%	.6% 26.0%	5.4% 26.0%					
14 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	1.8% 9.3%	2.3% 15.4%	5.8% 23.2%	4.1% 10.1%	5.0% 42.0%	.0%					
15 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 35.7%	1.8% 27.2%	2.4% 21.5%	.0%	.8% 15.6%	.0%					

(continued)

Table A-8
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
16 HOURS Column Percent	.8% 100.0%	12.2% 32.0%	.0%	.8% 23.8%	1.1% 20.3%	.0%	.6% 23.8%	.0%
17 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	9.8% 8.1%	4.1% 30.4%	4.6%	1.7%	.0%	.6% 7.4%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 29.8%	100.0% 3.4%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	9.24 1.16 8.00 3.66 9.91	9.05 .26 9.00 2.55 95.02	9.07 .23 9.00 2.55 124.56	9.26 .31 10.00 2.73 76.51	8.88 .33 9.00 2.29 47.72	9.11 .21 10.00 2.73 162.42	8.84 .71 10.00 3.05 18.45

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	9.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0% 73.5%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 26.5%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.1% 100.0%	9.6% 5.7%	4.8% 5.7%	1.8% 14.5%	4.0% 36.1%	3.3% 26.9%	1.9% 11.1%	.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	21.8% 10.3%	10.3% 9.6%	.8% 5.1%	5.4% 38.9%	4.8% 31.0%	1.1%	.0%
6 HOURS Column Percent	11.2% 100.0%	.0%	4.8% 1.6%	11.7% 25.2%	11.1% 27.4%	12.9% 28.6%	10.9% 17.2%	.0%
7 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	5.5% 3.7%	9.2% 42.0%	6.2% 32.4%	3.9% 18.6%	1.0% 3.3%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	19.8% 100.0%	9.6%	5.1% .9%	25.0% 30.4%	19.6% 27.5%	20.0% 25.1%	16.9% 15.2%	.0%
9 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 15.4%	6.8% 36.5%	6.1% 29.5%	5.4% 18.6%	.0%
10 HOURS Column Percent	25.9% 100.0%	18.6% 1.3%	28.7% 4.0%	24.3% 22.6%	26.6% 28.5%	28.8% 27.6%	22.4% 15.3%	100.0%
11 HOURS Column Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 23.0%	2.1% 40.0%	.0%	3.0% 37.0%	.0%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	.0%	20.9% 5.3%	12.7% 21.5%	9.6% 18.7%	11.3% 19.6%	28.1% 34.9%	.0%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 74.0%	.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	19.7% 10.1%	4.3%	2.3% 15.4%	3.0% 23.2%	3.9% 27.6%	3.9% 19.4%	.0%
15 HOURS Column Percent	1.6% 100.0%	10.9% 12.6%	.0%	1.5% 23.2%	2.8% 48.7%	.0%	1.4% 15.6%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-158 August, 1994

## Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RESI	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
16 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 32.0%	.6% 20.3%	2.1% 47.7%	.0%
17 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	10.5% 15.5%	4.0% 39.0%	2.1% 23.5%	1.4%	1.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 24.1%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	8.70 1.48 8.00 4.68 9.95	9.41 .71 10.00 2.97 17.71	8.82 .21 8.00 2.41 126.84	8.90 .21 9.00 2.62 148.98	8.98 .22 9.00 2.57 134.03	9.84 .27 10.00 2.64 96.24	10.00 .00 10.00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

## Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		Í	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent	3.1% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% 5.1%	.0%	3.0% 94.9%	.0%	.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	13.3% 9.3%	3.7% 90.7%	.0%	.0%
6 HOURS Column Percent	11.2% 100.0%	.0%	27.3% 1.6%	.0%	11.5% 98.4%	.0%	.0%
7 HOURS Column Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3% 3.7%	5.3% 96.3%	.0%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent	19.8% 100.0%	.0%	23.3%	13.0% 1.8%	20.2% 97.4%	.0%	.0%
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	100.0% 3.8%	.0%	15.6% 8.1%	4.6% 84.6%	26.2% 3.4%	.0%
10 HOURS Column Percent	25.9% 100.0%	.0%	26.2% .7%	44.0% 4.6%	25.2% 92.9%	23.3%	100.0%
11 HOURS Column Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 1.3%	14.8% 98.7%	.0%	.0%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 74.0%	27.3% 26.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 95.6%	23.3% 4.4%	.0%
15 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-160 August, 1994

## Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		1	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
16 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
17 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0% .7%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	9.00 .00 9.00 .00	7.05 1.36 6.00 2.61 3.66	8.84 .51 10.00 1.96 14.76	9.09 .12 9.00 2.65 509.72	11.49 1.26 13.00 2.41 3.66	10.00 .00 10.00 .00 1.70

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-161

Table A-8
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED 1	N ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE	YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991							
		МО	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
2 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.1% 100.0%	3.0% 53.4%	3.2% 46.6%	.0%	3.0% 53.4%	4.0% 22.5%	1.7%	8.2% 11.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	5.5% 77.2%	2.0% 22.8%	.0%	5.5% 77.2%	.0%	3.1% 17.7%	4.6% 5.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	11.4% 55.2%	10.8% 43.1%	14.2% 1.8%	11.4% 55.2%	8.1% 12.4%	10.8% 21.1%	11.8% 4.6%	32.8% 3.4%	.0%	.0%	35.5% 3.3%
7 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	5.2% 52.9%	5.6% 47.1%	.0%	5.2% 52.9%	2.1% 6.7%	8.0% 33.2%	4.2% 3.5%	17.1% 3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	19.8% 100.0%	23.0% 62.8%	15.0% 33.7%	49.0% 3.4%	23.0% 62.8%	17.9% 15.5%	15.5% 17.2%	7.2% 1.6%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	32.2% 1.7%
9 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	5.4% 56.5%	5.1% 43.5%	.0%	5.4% 56.5%	4.0% 13.2%	6.4% 27.0%	4.0% 3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
10 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	25.9% 100.0%	25.4% 53.1%	26.4% 45.5%	25.7% 1.4%	25.4% 53.1%	28.9% 19.0%	25.9% 21.9%	32.1% 5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% .6%
11 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	1.4% 50.8%	1.6% 49.2%	.0%	1.4% 50.8%	3.1% 37.0%	.0%	4.0% 12.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
12 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	14.3% 100.0%	9.8% 36.9%	20.2% 63.1%	.0%	9.8% 36.9%	23.5% 28.1%	17.9% 27.5%	7.2% 2.2%	34.3% 2.8%	.0%	100.0%	17.4% 1.3%
13 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.6% 48.1%	.8% 51.9%	.0%	.6% 48.1%	.0%	.8% 26.0%	.0%	15.8% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	3.4% 52.3%	3.5% 43.3%	11.2%	3.4% 52.3%	3.9% 18.6%	3.1% 18.8%	8.4% 10.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
15 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	1.9% 66.0%	1.2% 34.0%	.0%	1.9% 66.0%	1.2% 12.6%	1.5% 21.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

## Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED	HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?			YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991							
,		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25	
16 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	.8% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
17 HOURS Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent. Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	1.0%	4.2% 77.1%	.0%	1.0% 22.9%	3.3% 22.6%	4.5% 39.9%	8.2% 14.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0% 4.3%	100.0% 1.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean. Median Std. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	8.89 .16 9.00 2.71 293.25	9.34 .17 10.00 2.53 233.71	8.90 .85 8.00 2.35 7.64	8.89 .16 9.00 2.71 293.25	9.67 .25 10.00 2.39 90.36	9.17 .24 10.00 2.53 114.73	8.93 .62 10.00 2.89 21.81	9.33 1.31 12.00 3.29 6.35	8.00 .00 8.00 .00	12.00 .00 12.00 .00 1.00	8.28 1.00 8.00 2.39 5.75	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLE	TED HUNT COURSE	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.8% 53.0%	.9% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 23.5%	1.3% 76.5%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.1% 100.0%	2.8% 41.8%	3.5% 40.2%	.0%	2.7% 11.5%	21.9% 6.5%	2.4% 47.7%	4.2% 52.3%	.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	2.8% 33.0%	6.4% 58.5%	.0%	2.5% 8.6%	.0%	2.4% 38.4%	6.3% 61.6%	.0%
6 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	9.8% 39.3%	10.3% 32.7%	17.3% 8.2%	15.3% 18.1%	21.9% 1.8%	11.5% 62.4%	10.0% 33.9%	38.3% 3.8%
7 HOURS Column Percent	5.3% 100.0%	5.7% 49.0%	6.4% 43.1%	.0%	3.2% 8.0%	.0%	4.8% 55.4%	6.2% 44.6%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent	19.8% 100.0%	20.1% 45.8%	19.7% 35.4%	29.5% 7.9%	16.4% 11.0%	.0%	21.4% 65.7%	17.4% 33.4%	16.7%
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	4.9% 42.9%	6.2% 42.4%	.0%	5.7% 14.7%	.0%	4.5% 53.4%	6.3% 46.6%	.0%
10 HOURS Column Percent	25.9% 100.0%	26.5% 46.1%	21.7% 29.7%	44.0% 9.0%	28.5% 14.6%	17.3%	26.1% 61.4%	25.8% 38.0%	14.2%
11 HOURS Column Percent	1.4% 100.0%	1.3% 40.0%	1.4% 35.1%	.0%	1.4% 12.7%	19.4% 12.2%	1.0% 40.6%	2.2% 59.4%	.0%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	15.9% 50.2%	16.1% 40.0%	.0%	10.6% 9.8%	.0%	17.1% 72.7%	9.8% 26.2%	14.2% 1.1%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.4% 26.0%	1.0% 48.1%	.0%	1.4% 26.0%	.0%	.9% 74.0%	.5% 26.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	3.0% 38.4%	3.4% 33.5%	9.2% 13.7%	3.9% 14.4%	.0%	2.4% 40.9%	5.0% 53.9%	16.7% 5.1%
15 HOURS Column Percent	1.6% 100.0%	2.1% 58.9%	1.1% 25.5%	.0%	1.9% 15.6%	.0%	2.0% 75.9%	1.0% 24.1%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-164 August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLI	ETED HUNT COURSE	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
16 HOURS Column Percent	.8% 100.0%	.9% 55.9%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 44.1%	.0%	1.0% 76.2%	.5% 23.8%	.0%
17 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	2.6% 47. <b>3%</b>	1.6% 22.9%	.0%	4.2% 22.6%	19.4% 7.1%	2.2% 5 <b>3.</b> 5%	3.0% 46.5%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0% 5.3%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	9.19 .17 10.00 2.62 240.74	8.92 .19 9.00 2.67 191.35	9.09 .41 10.00 2.18 28.93	9.26 .33 10.00 2.73 69.60	7.52 1.66 6.00 3.32 3.98	9.27 .14 10.00 2.55 326.24	8.79 .19 9.00 2.73 202.34	9.08 1.35 8.00 3.32 6.01

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

# 

	TOTAL		***************************************		TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 100.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	3.4% 50.0%	.5% 26.5%	.6% 23.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.1% 100.0%	13.7% 43.9%	3.2% 39.5%	.6% 5.7%	.0%	2.0% 5.1%	.0%	11.3% 5.7%	.0%	.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	1.6% 4.0%	3.7% 36.1%	1.8% 12.8%	12.9% 18.6%	2.2% 4.5%	6.0% 4.0%	.0%	8.5% 9.6%	13.2% 10.3%
6 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	21.3% 18.6%	11.1% 37.3%	6.1% 15.0%	19.3% 9.6%	9.7% 6.8%	6.8% 1.6%	34.1% 4.7%	4.0% 1.6%	17.9% 4.8%
7 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	3.7% 6.8%	6.6% 46.9%	5.6% 29.3%	3.1% 3.3%	7.2% 10.8%	6.0% 2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	19.8% 100.0%	17.3% 8.5%	19.1% 36.3%	24.1% 33.7%	15.4% 4.3%	13.7% 5.5%	6.0%	21.8% 1.7%	34.2% 7.6%	10.4% 1.6%
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	4.1% 7.8%	5.2% 37.7%	7.9% 42.5%	8.0% 8.6%	2.3% 3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
10 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	25.9% 100.0%	14.4% 5.4%	31.6% 45.9%	26.0% 27.8%	28.5% 6.1%	10.4% 3.2%	13.1% 1.3%	10.0%	41.1% 7.0%	23.2% 2.7%
11 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.4% 27.9%	3.1% 12.2%	2.0% 10.8%	6.8% 12.2%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 12.2%
12 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	14.3%	12.7% 8.7%	10.3% 27.2%	17.5% 34.0%	.0%	27.3% 15.1%	40.9% 7.4%	22.8 <b>%</b> 2.5%	8.1% 2.5%	12.2% 2.6%
13 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 48.1%	.7% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2% 26.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	1.6% 4.4%	2.7% 28.3%	4.4% 34.4%	6.1% 9.5%	6.2% 13.9%	7.1% 5.1%	.0%	.0%	5.2% 4.4%
15 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 38.8%	.7% 12.6%	.0%	7.4% 37.1%	7.1% 11.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-166 August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
16 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 44.1%	.7% 23.8%	.0%	3.1% 32.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
17 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	6.2% 24.9%	1.5% 23.2%	1.9% 21.8%	3.5% 8.1%	4.5% 14.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1% 7.4%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	7.68 .40 8.00 2.80 50.25	8.98 .18 9.00 2.51 202.61	9.49 .19 10.00 2.29 148.79	8.32 .45 8.00 2.44 29.46	10.40 .51 11.00 3.26 41.41	10.65 .76 12.00 2.86 14.10	7.98 .98 8.00 2.86 8.49	9.02 .41 10.00 2.01 24.07	8.36 .82 8.00 3.23 15.41

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			NUMBER OF	HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	. <b>0%</b> .0%	.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	2.0% 50.0%	.5% 23.5%	.5% 26.5%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent	3.1% 100.0%	.9% 5.1%	3.6% 36.7%	4.4% 47.4%	.0%	4.7% 5.7%	.0%	4.5% 5.1%
5 HOURS Column Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	5.6% 45.4%	3.5% 30.5%	5.2% 15.0%	5.3% 5.1%	.0%	4.5% 4.0%
6 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	10.2% 15.4%	10.8% 29.9%	13.3% 39.4%	10.9% 10.9%	8.3% 2.8%	53.0% 1.6%	.0%
7 HOURS Column Percent	5.3% 100.0%	5.2% 16.8%	5.0% 29.5%	5.8% 36.7%	4.9% 10.4%	9.4% 6.6%	.0%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	19.8% 100.0%	16.7% 14.2%	20.0% 31.4%	20.1% 33.8%	20.9% 11.8%	33.9% 6.4%	47.0% .8%	9.0% 1.6%
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	5.2% 17.0%	5.8% 34.8%	4.9% 31.2%	3.0% 6.4%	10.0% 7.2%	.0%	5.0% 3.4%
10 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	25.9% 100.0%	25.9% 16.9%	24.4% 29.4%	25.0% 32.1%	29.7% 12.8%	19.2% 2.8%	.0%	45.1% 6.0%
11 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	2.1% 24.3%	1.9% 40.6%	1.0% 23.0%	1.6% 12.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	22.3% 26.4%	13.9% 30.4%	13.4% 31.1%	10.7% 8.4%	9.4% 2.5%	.0%	5.3% 1.3%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.1% 26.0%	.6% 26.0%	.5% 26.0%	1.4% 22.1%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	4.0% 18.8%	4.4% 38.6%	2.5% 23.2%	3.2% 10.1%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	9.5% 9.3%
15 HOURS Column Percent	1.6%	1.5% 15.6%	1.1% 21.5%	2.4% 51.3%	1.6% 11.6%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-168 August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			NUMBER OF	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
	· i	1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
16 HOURS Column Percent	.8% 100.0%	.9% 20.3%	.6% 23.8%	.0%	3.8% 55.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
17 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.5% 100.0%	1.1% 7.4%	1.8% 22.9%	2.8% 37.3%	1.8% 8.1%	.0%	.0%	17.2% 24.3%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0% 11.2%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%	100.0% 3.5%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Normissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	9.55 .28 10.00 2.66 91.64	8.99 .20 9.00 2.64 167.44	8.85 .20 9.00 2.60 177.19	9.51 .36 10.00 2.82 60.25	8.25 .45 8.00 2.04 20.51	6.94 1.11 6.00 1.49 1.81	9.71 .63 10.00 2.49 15.75

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUM	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASE	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	3.2% 26.5%	.6% 50.0%	.4% 23.5%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent	3.1% 100.0%	7.0% 12.4%	3.6% 65.7%	1.8% 21.9%	.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	6.2% 8.8%	4.5% 64.2%	1.8% 16.8%	14.8% 10.3%
6 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	9.5% 4.6%	10.6% 52.6%	11.0% 35.8%	29.2% 7.0%
7 HOURS Column Percent	5.3% 100.0%	3.6% 3.7%	7.3% 76.7%	2.8% 19.6%	.0%
8 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	19.8% 100.0%	23.0% 6.3%	20.7% 58.0%	18.1% 33.3%	17.5% 2.4%
9 HOURS Column Percent	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	5.4% 57.6%	6.0% 42.4%	.0%
10 HOURS Column Percent	25.9% 100.0%	12.5% 2.6%	26.3% 56.3%	28.3% 39.7%	12.6% 1.3%
11 HOURS Column Percent	1.4%	.0%	1.5% 58.1%	1.2% 29.7%	6.5% 12.2%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	24.2% 9.2%	11.0% 42.8%	18.3% 46.7%	6.8% 1.3%
13 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 51.9%	.9% 48.1%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.7% 42.6%	4.7% 47.9%	12.6% 9.5%
15 HOURS Column Percent	1.6% 100.0%	2.9% 9.9%	1.9% 66.9%	1.0% 23.2%	.0%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-170 August, 1994

Table A-8
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUM	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASK	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
16 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 47.7%	1.1% 52.3%	.0%
17 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.5% 100.0%	7.9% 17.4%	2.3% 52.7%	2.0% 29.9%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.4%	100.0% 55.5%	100.0% 36.4%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	8.61 .59 8.00 3.07 27.37	8.90 .15 9.00 2.60 297.04	9.48 .18 10.00 2.56 195.50	8.45 .81 8.00 3.11 14.69

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		1 2 - 3 4 - 7 8 - 14 > 14 MIS  7.4%				
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%						.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%						.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent	3.1% 100.0%						.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%						.0%
6 HOURS Column Percent	11.2% 100.0%						.0%
7 HOURS Column Percent	5.3% 100.0%	16.1% 7.2%					.0%
8 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	19.8% 100.0%						.0%
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%						8.4% 3.4%
10 KOURS Column PercentRow Percent	25.9% 100.0%						8.4%
11 HOURS Column Percent	1.4% 100.0%						.0%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%				16.1% 39.5%		.0%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%						.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%					.0%	.0%
15 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	3.7% 25.5%	1.9% 51.3%	.5% 11.6%	2.9% 11.6%	.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-172 August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HU	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
16 HOURS Column Percent	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 55.9%	1.0% 44.1%	.0%	.0%
17 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.5% 100.0%	7.7% 7.4%	.0%	.9% 15.5%	.0%	2.4% 6.3%	83.2% 70.7%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0% 6.4%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	6.58 .84 6.00 2.90 11.96	8.43 .39 8.00 3.01 59.48	9.18 .17 10.00 2.63 234.64	9.32 .18 10.00 2.47 192.51	9.12 .41 10.00 2.37 34.09	9.50 .52 9.50 .72 1.92

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			.0%       .0%       .24.6%       .0% <t< th=""><th></th></t<>								
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	ост.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%			.0%	.0%					
3 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%										.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.1% 100.0%	.0%										
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%										
6 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	50.0% 1.6%										
7 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%										
8 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	19.8% 100.0%	.0%	21.0% 6.2%	7.0% 2.5%	21.9%	44.0% .8%	16.5% 18.0%	20.1% 49.1%	33.0% 17.7%	17.5% 2.1%	.0%	
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5% 4.8%	.0% .0%	-0% -0%	3.0% 12.4%	6.5% 60.5%	9.4% 19.3%	6.5% 3.0%	.0%	
10 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	25.9% 100.0%	.0%	24.9% 5.6%	25.6% 6.9%	25.7% .7%	.0%	30.2% 25.1%	25.4% 47.4%	28.3% 11.6%	15.2% 1.4%	.0%	12.6% 1.3%
11 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 10.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 10.8%		3.3% 24.3%	.0%	.0%	
12 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	14.3% 100.0%	50.0% 1.3%	21.1% 8.7%	12.4% 6.0%	.0%	56.0% 1.4%	19.9% 30.0%	14.0% 47.4%	3.8% 2.8%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	
13 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 51.9%	.7% 48.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
14 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.6%	7.4% 14.4%	.0%	.0%		1.7% 23.4%	3.2% 9.5%	.0%	.0%	
15 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	7.3% 27.2%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	4.4% 60.3%		.0%	.0%	.0%	

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-174 August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL			-	MC	NTH IN L	HICH TRI	P STARTE	D			
:		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
16 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2% 20.3%	.0%	.0% .0%	2.0% 55.9%	.4% 23.8%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	
17 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 8.1%	3.5% 10.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 14.6%	3.0% 59.9%	.0%	7.6% 7.4%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0% -4%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0%	100.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	9.00 3.00 9.00 4.24 2.00	9.60 .54 10.00 3.03 31.15	8.68 .54 9.00 3.25 36.76	6.48 1.73 6.00 3.42 3.90	10.24 2.05 12.00 2.86 1.94	10.15 .25 10.00 2.75 115.90	8.86 .15 9.00 2.42 256.86	8.72 .26 8.00 1.95 58.29	7.07 .54 7.00 1.87 12.16	6.00 .00 6.00 .00	.74 8.00 2.84

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	SS
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 47.0%	1.4% 26.5%	7.0% 26.5%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent	3.1% 100.0%	5.3% 16.8%	3.0% 70.8%	.0%	.0%	16.8% 12.4%
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	11.2% 28.2%	3.1% 59.2%	2.5% 8.1%	7.0% 4.5%	.0% .0%
6 HOURS Column Percent	11.2% 100.0%	5.1% 4.4%	10.5% 68.8%	20.0% 21.9%	7.0% 1.6%	16.5% 3.3%
7 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	5.5% 10.1%	5.7% 79.8%	2.8% 6.4%	7.9% 3.7%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	19.8% 100.0%	12.5% 6.1%	21.2% 78.3%	20.3% 12.6%	13.4% 1.7%	10.9% 1.2%
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	5.3% 9.9%	4.8% 68.3%	4.5% 10.7%	7.0% 3.4%	17.8% 7.8%
10 HOURS Column Percent	25.9% 100.0%	30.8% 11.6%	25.4% 71.9%	27.9% 13.2%	21.5% 2.1%	14.6% 1.3%
11 HOURS Column Percent	1.4% 100.0%	2.5% 17.1%	1.4% 70.8%	1.4% 12.2%	.0%	. <b>0%</b> .0%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	10.2% 6.9%	15.4% 79.1%	11.9% 10.2%	6.2% 1.1%	16.5% 2.6%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 74.0%	1.5% 26.0%	.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	1.9% 5.1%	3.7% 75.3%	2.9% 10.1%	13.4% 9.5%	.0%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-176 August, 1994

Table A-8
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	ss
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
15 HOURS Column Percent	1.6%	.0%	1.8% 84.4%	.0%	9.8% 15.6%	.0%
16 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	.8% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
17 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9% 100.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.5% 100.0%	9.8% 38.7%	1.8% 54.1%	1.4% 7.1%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0% 2.3%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	8.62 .36 10.00 2.51 48.08	9.20 .13 10.00 2.60 394.09	8.72 .31 9.00 2.49 66.26	9.46 .97 10.00 3.62 13.79	8.75 1.02 9.00 3.58 12.38

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-8
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWIN	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.6% 100.0%	.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 23.5%	.3% 23.5%	1.0% 53.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent	3.1% 100.0%	.0%	8.2% 25.5%	3.2% 52.0%	1.4% 16.8%	.0%	19.3% 5.7%
5 HOURS Column Percent	3.9% 100.0%	10.5% 4.7%	5.9% 14.6%	3.2% 41.2%	4.2% 39.5%	.0%	.0%
6 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	.0%	8.4% 7.1%	10.2% 44.7%	13.3% 43.5%	18.4% 3.3%	17.2% 1.4%
7 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 3.7%	4.8% 44.6%	7.5% 51.7%	.0%	.0%
8 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	19.8% 100.0%	39.2% 3.5%	17.2% 8.3%	21.3% 53.1%	18.1% 33.5%	16.7% 1.7%	.0%
9 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	6.0% 11.0%	5.6% 53.0%	4.6% 32.6%	8.6% 3.4%	.0%
10 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	25.9% 100.0%	39.9% 2.7%	24.4% 9.0%	23.7% 45.1%	28.4% 40.2%	39.1% 3.1%	.0%
11 HOURS Column Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 10.8%	1.9% 64.9%	1.0% 24.3%	.0%	.0%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	.0%	17.1% 11.4%	15.9% 54.8%	12.3% 31.5%	8.6% 1.2%	17.2% 1.1%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 26.0%	1.4% 74.0%	.0%	.0%
14 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	3.6% 100.0%	10.5% 5.1%	3.5% 9.3%	3.4% 47.2%	3.7% 38.4%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWIN	TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
15 HOURS Column Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0% 61.2%	1.7% 38.8%	.0%	.0%
16 HOURS Column Percent	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
17 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	4.0% 15.5%	2.3% 45.9%	1.4% 21.5%	.0%	46.4% 17.1%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	9.11 .77 10.00 2.38 9.56	8.74 .39 9.00 2.78 50.09	9.27 .17 10.00 2.68 263.72	8.99 .18 9.00 2.52 197.44	8.33 .82 9.00 2.73 11.12	7.20 2.63 6.00 4.29 2.66

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARIS		G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING
2 HOURS Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6% 100.0%
3 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 76.5%	1.1% 23.5%	.0%	.3% 23.5%	1.1% 76.5%	.0%
4 HOURS Column Percent	3.1% 100.0%	.0%	3.5% 92.0%	1.7% 8.0%	.0% .0%	3.9% 59.5%	2.7% 40.5%	.0%
5 HOURS Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	20.1% 15.0%	2.8% 58.3%	5.9% 22.7%	7.1% 4.0%	3.4% 40.6%	4.1% 49.1%	6.0% 10.3%
6 HOURS Column Percent	11.2% 100.0%	23.5% 6.0%	10.4% 74.4%	12.1% 16.0%	18.2% 3.5%	11.5% 47.9%	11.0% 45.7%	10.7% 6.3%
7 HOURS Column Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	6.4% 96.7%	1.2% 3.3%	.0%	6.8% 60.3%	4.2% 36.7%	2.3%
8 HOURS Column Percent	19.8% 100.0%	19.3% 2.8%	19.6% 79.4%	20.6% 15.3%	22.3% 2.5%	18.9% 44.5%	19.8% 46.8%	26.0% 8.7%
9 HOURS Column Percent	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	4.9% 75.6%	8.5% 24.4%	. 0% . 0%	5.3% 47.7%	5.8% 52.3%	.0%
10 HOURS Column Percent	25.9% 100.0%	30.8% 3.4%	25.4% 78.8%	27.4% 15.6%	24. <b>8</b> % 2.1%	25.9% 46.7%	25.9% 46.7%	25.8% 6.6%
11 HOURS Column PercentRow Percent	1.4%	.0%	1.4% 77.0%	2.2% 23.0%	.0%	1.6% 52.7%	1.1% 35.1%	2.6% 12.2%
12 HOURS Column Percent	14.3% 100.0%	.0%	15.9% 89.1%	9.5% 9.8%	7.1% 1.1%	14.2% 46.4%	14.1% 46.1%	16.0% 7.4%
13 HOURS Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	6.3% 26.0%	.6% 74.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 48.1%	.8% 51.9%	.0%
14 HOURS Column Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	3.6% 80.2%	4.8% 19.8%	.0%	2.6% 34.0%	4.7% 61.6%	2.3%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-180 August, 1994

Table A-8 HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	1.6% 100.0% 100.0% 2.5% 100.0% 100.0%	COMPARIS	ON: HUNTING PLACES	IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER	USED GUIDE			
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK		ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING	
15 HOURS Column Percent		.0%	1.4% 72.8%	1.2% 11.6%	11.3% 15.6%	2.5% 72.8%	.9% 27.2%	.0%	
16 HOURS Column Percent		.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 32.0%	1.1% 68.0%	.0%	
17 HOURS Column Percent		.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent		.0%	2.1% 69.3%	3.8% 22.6%	9.1% 8.1%	1.6% 29.9%	2.9% 55.6%	5.4% 14.6%	
TOTAL Column Percent		100.0% 2.9%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0%	
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	9.09 .11 9.00 2.64 534.60	7.86 .61 8.00 2.43 15.79	9.16 .13 10.00 2.65 430.17	8.94 .28 9.00 2.50 77.79	9.09 .94 8.00 3.10 10.84	9.07 .17 9.00 2.64 251.69	9.14 .17 10.00 2.65 248.60	8.85 .43 8.00 2.54 34.31	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	18.68086	18	.41172
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	6.44426	9	.69476
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	51.97962	45	
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	40.23743	45	.67362
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	42.72193	45	.56893
RACE OF RESPONDENT	8.48609	36	1.00000
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	17.88015	9	.03659
YRS RESPONDENT HUNTED ALASKA BEFORE 1991	229.85194	63	.00000
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	36.85461	27	.09781
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	10.80404	9	.28938
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	405.62815	63	.00000
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	349.29851	45	.00000
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	25.03425	18	.12398
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	47.64180	36	.09280
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	180.46471	36	.00000
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	12.89521	27	.98990
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		36	.00128
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	21.70426	18	.24538
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	47.70336	9	.00000

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-182 August, 1994

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL	GENDI RESPO	R OF		,,	AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
MARCH Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent	5.9% 100.0%	6.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 12.5%	6.1% 31.7%	6.5% 34.2%	4.9% 14.9%	4.7% 6.7%	.0%
MAY Column Percent	7.0% 100.0%	6.7% 94.7%	15.5% 5.3%	.0%	7.1% 10.6%	6.6% 29.1%	9.5% 42.1%	4.9% 12.6%	4.7% 5.7%	.0%
JUNE Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 21.9%	.6% 25.7%	1.0%	2.3% 27.8%	.0%
JULY Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 44.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 56.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent	21.5% 100.0%	22.0% 100.0%	.0%	40.0% 3.7%	23.8% 11.4%	19.4% 27.5%	20.7% 29.7%	24.5% 20.3%	18.6% 7.4%	.0%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	48.1% 97.2%	58.1% 2.8%	.0%	42.9% 9.1%	53.1% 33.5%	47.9% 30.6%	47.1% 17.4%	53.5% 9.4%	.0%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	10.6% 97.1%	13.2% 2.9%	40.0% 7.5%	14.3% 13.9%	10.2% 29.3%	7.1% 20.6%	12.7% 21.4%	9.3% 7.4%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 10.2%	.5% 6.5%	4.1% 53.2%	2.9% 21.9%	2.3% 8.2%	.0%
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	2.4% 88.3%	13.2% 11.7%	20.0% 14.8%	2.4% 9.2%	3.1% 34.9%	2.4% 27.3%	1.0% 6.5%	2.3% 7.4%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.3%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-9 MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
MARCH Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 50.0%	.6% 50.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent	5.9% 100.0%	.0%	3.0% 9.1%	5.3% 21.4%	4.1% 9.8%	8.3% 12.3%	8.8% 44.7%	4.6%
MAY Column Percent	7.0% 100.0%	9.1% 2.6%	6.6% 17.2%	6.6% 22.5%	5.4% 11.0%	10.5% 13.2%	6.8%	9.2% 4.5%
JUNE Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 21.9%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 53.5%	5.2% 24.6%
JULY Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 44.0%	1.4% 56.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent Row Percent	21.5% 100.0%	21.0% 2.0%	26.0% 21.9%	21.9% 24.2%	18.7% 12.4%	14.4%	20.6% 28.5%	33.2% 5.2%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	69.9% 2.9%	52.5% 19.6%	51.5% 25.4%	54.5% 16.0%	50.1% 9.0%	40.3% 24.8%	31.4% 2.2%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	10.9% 18.6%	9.5% 21.2%	8.2% 10.9%	6.6%	15.1% 42.5%	4.6% 1.5%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 29.3%	3.0% 17.8%	4.4% 15.8%	3.0% 37.1%	.0%
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.0%	3.5% 18.5%	3.6%	3.5% 39.4%	11.8% 14.8%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0%	100.0% 3.4%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-184 August, 1994

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
MARCH Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 50.0%	.0%	1.0% 50.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent Row Percent	5.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.9% 24.4%	5.2% 24.3%	7.4% 31.3%	6.6% 20.0%	.0%
MAY Column Percent	7.0% 100.0%	9.6% 2.5%	10.3% 5.4%	7.1% 24.8%	8.1% 32.2%	7.9% 28.0%	2.8% 7.1%	.0%
JUNE Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	9.6% 24.6%	.0% .0%	.0%	.7% 27.8%	1.4% 47.6%	.0%	.0%
JULY Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 44.0%	.0%	1.1% 56.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent Row Percent	21.5% 100.0%	10.1%	29.8% 5.0%	21.4% 24.0%	21.5% 27.8%	19.1% 22.0%	23.8% 19.6%	100.0%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	39.2% 1.5%	59.9% 4.5%	49.2% 24.5%	45.7% 26.3%	52.1% 26.7%	45.0% 16.5%	.0%
OCTOBER Column PercentRow Percent	10.6% 100.0%	9.6% 1.6%	.0%	13.4% 30.3%	12.7% 33.2%	7.5% 17.4%	10.4% 17.4%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 7.3%	3.2% 36.9%	2.5% 25.4%	4.1% 30.4%	.0%
DECEMBER Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.7% 100.0%	21.8% 14.8%	.0%	1.5% 13.3%	1.7% 17.4%	2.2%	5.1% 33.6%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 24.1%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL		į	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
MARCH Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent	5.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 2.6%	6.0% 97.4%	.0%	.0%
MAY Column Percent Row Percent	7.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
JUNE Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
JULY Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent	21.5% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% .7%	32.3% 4.0%	21.0% 93.1%	23.3%	100.0%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	100.0%	49.4% .7%	61.9% 3.4%	47.8% 94.4%	76.7% 1.1%	.0%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
DECEMBER Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	27.3% 6.8%	.0%	2.6% 93.2%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0%	100.0%

Table A-9 MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991							
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25	
MARCH Column Percent. Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 50.0%	4.2% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
APRIL Column Percent. Row Percent	5.9% 100.0%	4.3% 39.8%	8.0% 60.2%	.0%	4.3% 39.8%	8.5% 24.7%	8.1% 30.2%	3.6% 2.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 2.6%	
MAY Column Percent. Row Percent	7.0% 100.0%	7.5% 58.2%	6.5% 41.8%	.0%	7.5% 58.2%	5.3% 13.0%	6.7% 21.0%	4.0% 2.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	17.4% 2.6%	
JUNE Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.6% 47.6%	.8% 52.4%	.0%	.6% 47.6%	.0%	1.7% 52.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
JULY Column Percent. Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.3% 44.0%	.4% 56.0%	.0%	.3% 44.0%	.0%	.9% 56.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
AUGUST Column Percent. Row Percent	21.5% 100.0%	18.9% 47.5%	25.4% 52.5%	.0%	18.9% 47.5%	22.0% 17.4%	28.9% 29.5%	20.3% 4.1%	15.8% .8%	.0%	.0%	14.8% .7%	
SEPTEMBER Column Percent. Row Percent	48.3% 100.0%	52.6% 58.9%	42.7% 39.3%	63.5% 1.8%	52.6% 58.9%	52.3% 18.4%	37.0% 16.8%	43.7% 3.9%	68.5% 1.6%	.0%	.0%	17.4% .4%	
OCTOBER Column Percent. Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	11.2% 56.8%	9.6% 40.3%	22.3% 2.9%	11.2% 56.8%	7.8% 12.5%	13.5% 27.8%	7.2% 2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
NOVEMBER Column Percent. Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	1.0% 22.8%	4.2% 77.2%	.0%	1.0% 22.8%	2.0% 14.1%	2.4% 21.9%	13.0% 23.4%	15.8% 7.6%	100.0% 10.2%	.0%	.0%	
DECEMBER Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7% 100.0%	
MISSING Column Percent. Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	3.6% 72.5%	1.2% 20.2%	14.2% 7.4%	3.6% 72.5%	2.1% 13.6%	.0%	4.0% 6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.8% 7.4%	
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 1.0%	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-9 MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT S	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE		
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
MARCH Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 50.0%	.5% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent Row Percent	5.9% 100.0%	5.9% 44.9%	5.7% 34.2%	3.5% 3.1%	7.9% 17.8%	.0%	6.2% 63.7%	5.2% 33.7%	14.2% 2.6%
MAY Column PercentRow Percent	7.0% 100.0%	8.8% 56.8%	3.8% 19.6%	2.9%	9.7% 18.5%	21.9%	5.5% 47.7%	9.6% 52.3%	.0%
JUNE Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.8% 52.4%	.4% 21.9%	.0%	1.4% 25.7%	.0%	.9% 75.4%	.5% 24.6%	.0%
JULY Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.3% 44.0%	.6% 56.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent	21.5% 100.0%	21.8% 45.6%	22.5% 37.1%	19.7% 4.8%	17.7% 10.9%	36.7% 1.5%	24.3% 68.9%	16.7% 29.6%	30.8% 1.6%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	43.6% 40.7%	53.9% 39.5%	60.7% 6.6%	48.1% 13.2%	.0%	47.2% 59.4%	50.0% 39.4%	55.0% 1.2%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	13.4% 56.6%	7.8% 26.1%	3.5% 1.7%	12.5% 15.5%	.0%	10.3% 59.0%	11.5% 41.0%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	3.3% 62.6%	2.0% 29.8%	3.5% 7.6%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 54.4%	2.9% 45.6%	.0%
DECEMBER Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 100.0%	. 0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	2.7% 100.0%	1.8% 30.1%	2.8% 36.6%	2.9% 5.8%	2.8% 13.6%	41.4% 13.9%	2.1% 47.0%	3.7% 53.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0% 5.3%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**188 August, 1994

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
MARCH Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	-0% .0%	.0%	.7% 50.0%	.0%	2.3% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent Row Percent	5.9% 100.0%	18.3% 30.5%	4.0% 25.6%	.6% 2.6%	15.2% 14.4%	11.8% 15.9%	7.1% 3.1%	20.1% 5.3%	3.5% 2.6%	.0%
MAY Column Percent Row Percent	7.0% 100.0%	42.3% 59.5%	4.6% 25.0%	.7% 2.8%	12.5% 10.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	6.1% 2.6%
JUNE Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 25.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.8% 27.8%	3.5% 21.9%	5.8% 24.6%
JULY Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.8% 56.0%	3.5% 44.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent Row Percent	21.5% 100.0%	6.1% 2.8%	18.1% 31.6%	34.5% 44.5%	.0%	34.4% 12.6%	13.9% 1.7%	.0%	25.1% 5.1%	12.2% 1.7%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent Row Percent	48.3% 100.0%	22.0% 4.5%	54.0% 41.9%	60.2% 34.5%	18.0% 2.1%	46.1% 7.5%	73.0% 3.9%	43.1% 1.4%	42.9% 3.9%	5.8% .4%
OCTOBER Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	7.8% 7.1%	18.2% 64.1%	1.2% 3.1%	25.0% 13.1%	3.1% 2.3%	6.0% 1.5%	.0%	21.3% 8.8%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	1.6% 6.5%	1.1% 17.8%	.0%	26.2% 60.8%	2.3% 7.6%	.0%	11.3% 7.3%	.0%	.0%
DECEMBER Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	3.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	1.9% 6.8%	.0%	1.4% 15.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	70.0% 78.2%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0% 4.4%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM MONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL			NUMBER OF	HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
MARCH Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	1.1% 50.0%	.6% 50.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent Row Percent	5.9% 100.0%	15.1% 43.5%	6.1% 32.1%	1.5% 8.7%	1.6% 3.1%	.0% .0%	47.0% 2.6%	16.8% 9.9%
MAY Column Percent Row Percent	7.0% 100.0%	4.9% 12.0%	8.2% 36.6%	6.4% 30.6%	4.8% 7.8%	.0%	.0%	26.3% 13.1%
JUNE Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	2.1% 50.3%	.6% 27.8%	.5% 21.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
JULY Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column PercentRow Percent	21.5% 100.0%	20.2% 15.9%	24.7% 35.7%	19.2% 29.7%	22.2% 11.6%	18.4% 3.2%	.0%	24.9% 4.0%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	37.9% 13.3%	42.6% 27.4%	60.7% 41.7%	53.6% 12.4%	46.6% 3.6%	.0%	22.2%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	14.4% 22.9%	12.8% 37.6%	6.9% 21.5%	8.7% 9.1%	20.4% 7.2%	.0%	5.3% 1.7%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	3.2% 22.5%	1.8% 22.8%	.5% 6.5%	5.5% 25.4%	14.6% 22.8%	.0%	.0%
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	53.0% 100.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	1.0% 6.5%	1.6% 18.4%	4.4% 54.5%	3.5% 14.8%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 5.8%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0% 11.2%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**190 August, 1994

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL	NUN	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASI	CA
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
MARCH Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	3.4% 50.0%	.3% 50.0%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent Row Percent	5.9% 100.0%	16.0% 14.7%	5.3% 50.2%	5.7% 35.1%	.0%
MAY Column Percent Row Percent	7.0% 100.0%	14.0% 10.9%	6.9% 55.5%	5.9% 31.0%	6.8% 2.6%
JUNE Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 75.4%	.5% 24.6%	.0%
JULY Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 44.0%	.5% 56.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent	21.5% 100.0%	27.1% 6.8%	20.7% 53.4%	23.5% 39.8%	.0%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	25.6% 2.9%	50.8% 58.3%	50.4% 38.0%	15.0% .8%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	9.5% 4.8%	10.3% 53.8%	12.1% 41.4%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4%	4.5% 10.2%	3.3% 75.7%	-9% 14.1%	.0%
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 16.0%	.4% 5.8%	78.2% 78.2%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.4%	100.0% 55.5%	100.0% 36.4%	100.0% 2.7%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HU	ITING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
MARCH Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 50.0%	.4% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent Row Percent	5.9% 100.0%	6.6% 2.6%	13.5% 25.0%	5.4% <b>3</b> 9.5%	3.5% 20.7%	8.2% 8.9%	9.5% 3.4%
MAY Column PercentRow Percent	7.0% 100.0%	.0%	9.4% 14.6%	4.9% 30.6%	9.6% 48.6%	2.9% 2.6%	11.8% 3.5%
JUNE Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	7.4% 24.6%	1.4% 21.9%	.4% 25.7%	.6% 27.8%	.0%	.0%
JULY Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column PercentRow Percent	21.5% 100.0%	23.5% 2.6%	24.8% 12.5%	23.4% 46.9%	19.5% 31.9%	14.8% 4.4%	17.1% 1.7%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	62.5% 3.1%	37.9% 8.5%	46.7% 41.8%	49.3% <b>3</b> 5.9%	64.7% 8.5%	52.9% 2.3%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	9.6% 9.8%	10.0% 40.5%	13.9% 45.8%	6.6% 4.0%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3% 77.5%	1.0%	.0%	8.8% 7.6%
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.7%	3.2% 52.4%	2.6% 34.0%	2.9% 6.8%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0% 6.4%	100.0%

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL	1	OURS PER	DAY SPENT	r ACTUALLY	Y HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
MARCH Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.5% 50.0%	.4% 50.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
APRIL Column PercentRow Percent	5.9% 100.0%	.0%	8.8% 11.4%	4.3% 26.7%	6.1% 48.6%	8.6% 9.9%	8.1% 3.4%
MAY Column PercentRow Percent	7.0% 100.0%	.0%	16.0% 17.4%	5.4% 27.9%	6.2% 41.5%	9.9% 9.6%	10.0% 3.5%
JUNE Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	100.0% 24.6%	.0%	1.0% 49.7%	.4% 25.7%	.0%	.0%
JULY Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 44.0%	.4% 56.0%	.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column PercentRow Percent	21.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	20.8% 7.3%	13.0% 21.9%	24.7% 53.8%	48.9% 15.4%	14.6% 1.7%
SEPTEMBER Column PercentRow Percent	48.3% 100.0%	.0%	39.4% 6.2%	53.5% 40.2%	48.7% 47.1%	25.3% 3.5%	59.9% 3.0%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.3% 1.6%	14.8% 50.4%	10.2% 44.8%	5.0% 3.2%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column PercentRow Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	7.4% 23.4%	3.1% 47.3%	1.1% 21.7%	.0%	7.4% 7.6%
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	5.2% 14.8%	3.5% 47.6%	1.8% 31.8%	2.3% 5.8%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0%	100.0% 2.5%

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	S
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
MARCH Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent	5.9% 100.0%	7.6% 12.5%	5.3% 66.0%	4.1% 8.6%	15.9% 6.8%	15.6% 6.0%
MAY Column Percent	7.0% 100.0%	3.5% 4.9%	7.5% 79.5%	7.2% 12.8%	.0%	8.8% 2.8%
JUNE Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 75.4%	1.4% 24.6%	.0%	.0%
JULY Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent	21.5% 100.0%	19.3% 8.7%	21.7% 73.8%	23.2% 13.2%	13.1% 1.5%	25.5% 2.7%
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	49.5% 10.0%	48.7% 73.9%	45.8% 11.6%	49.7% 2.6%	42.3% 2.0%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	10.9% 10.0%	10.0% 69.0%	13.9% 16.1%	13.9% 3.3%	7.8% 1.6%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	1.6% 6.5%	2.8% 85.9%	.0%	7.3% 7.6%	.0%
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	7.6% 27.4%	1.9% 52.9%	4.3% 19.7%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0%

# Table A-9 MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWING	G TO TRIP	
	l <u>-</u>	NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
MARCH Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
APRIL Column Percent	5.9% 100.0%	20.5% 6.1%	11.0% 17.8%	5.6% 46.5%	4.3% 26.6%	8.6% 3.0%	.0% .0%
MAY Column Percent	7.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	8.1% 57.3%	7.4% 39.2%	.0%	27.1% 3.5%
JUNE Column Percent	.7% 100.0%	8.9% 21.9%	2.1% 27.8%	.0%	.5% 25.7%	8.6% 24.6%	.0%
JULY Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
AUGUST Column Percent	21.5% 100.0%	10.5%	20.7% 9.2%	23.6% 54.0%	21.2% 36.0%	.0%	.0%
SEPTEMBER Column PercentRow Percent	48.3% 100.0%	30.3% 1.1%	42.9% 8.4%	45.2% 46.0%	54.8% 41.5%	38.7% 1.6%	72.9% 1.4%
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	19.4% 3.2%	13.1% 11.8%	10.7% 49.4%	8.4% 29.0%	35.0% 6.7%	.0%
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	8.5% 33.7%	2.1% 43.9%	1.0% 14.9%	9.0% 7.6%	.0%
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	10.5% 6.8%	1.6% 5.8%	3.0% 55.0%	2.4% 32.4%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-9
MONTH IN WHICH SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP STARTED

	TOTAL	COMPARIS	ON: HUNTING	G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER	USED GUIDE			
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING	
MARCH Column Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	
APRIL Column PercentRow Percent	5.9% 100.0%	.0%	5.9% 80.0%	6.3% 15.8%	11.3% 4.2%	1.8% 14.1%	10.8% 85.9%	.0% .0%	
MAY Column Percent	7.0% 100.0%	.0%	8.0% 92.5%	3.5% 7.5%	.0%	5.6% 37.7%	7.7% 51.9%	10.9% 10.4%	
JUNE Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 75.4%	2.6% 24.6%	
JULY Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	. 0%	.2% 56.0%	.0%	7.1% 44.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
AUGUST Column Percent Row Percent	21.5% 100.0%	16.9% 2.3%	22.0% 82.2%	22.7% 15.6%	.0%	23.2% 50.3%	20.0% 43.4%	20.3% 6.3%	
SEPTEMBER Column Percent	48.3% 100.0%	54.5% 3.2%	47.1% 78.1%	51.6% 15.7%	63.4% 2.9%	58.7% 56.7%	40.3% 38.9%	32.1% 4.4%	
OCTOBER Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	11.0% 83.2%	10.7% 14.9%	9.1% 1.9%	5.9% 25.8%	16.5% 72.7%	2.3% 1.5%	
NOVEMBER Column Percent	2.4% 100.0%	14.9% 17.8%	2.5% 82.2%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	2.8% 54.1%	2.4% 45.9%	.0%	
DECEMBER Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent	2.7% 100.0%	13.8% 14.8%	1.7% 49.5%	5.1% 28.3%	9.1% 7.4%	.9% 15.0%	.4% 6.8%	31.7% 78.2%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 6.6%	

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

# First Other Activity

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

August, 1994

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

# Second Other Activity

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
HIICH DECRONCE HAS DECEMBED	/ 7/7/0	40	0000/
WHEN KESPUNSE WAS KECEIVED	4.30/08	10	.92924 .25703 .00195 .05499
GENUER OF RESPONDENT	0.0414/	2	.25703
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	20.30996	25	.00195
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	3/.22230	25	.05499 .29301
DACE OF RESPONDENT	20.32120	45	
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	7.0770U	15	.82601
VDC HINTED IN ALACKA DECORE 1991:	20 74072	75	.52760 .76214
		15	.76214 .30891
COMPLETED HINTED ED COMPSE	10 72844	5	.05703
TOID DESTINATION PEGION	21 1128/	75	.96909
NIMBED OF HINTEDS IN DARTY	16 80672	25	.96909 .88551
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	21 01612	10	.01554
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	19.73625		.47453
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	17 62722	20	
		45	
	15.85212	15	
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	22.52626	20	.31265
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	21.86462	10	.01581
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	29.73448	Š	.00002
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	2.63677	5	.75577
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	17.27321	5	.00401
CARIBOU TARGETED	7.82974	5	.16587
MOOSE TARGETED	9.88815	5	.07847
WOLF TARGETED	2.85494	5	.72234
SHEEP TARGETED	12.36040	5	.03017
GOAT TARGETED	3.63449	5	.60314
DEER TARGETED	9.18242	5	.10201
ELK TARGETED	1.72036	5	.00002 .75577 .00401 .16587 .07847 .72234 .03017 .60314 .10201 .88633
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE BLACK BEAR TARGETED BROWN BEAR TARGETED CARIBOU TARGETED WOOSE TARGETED WOLF TARGETED SHEEP TARGETED GOAT TARGETED DEER TARGETED ELK TARGETED WATERFOWL TARGETED	1.53395	5	.90912

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-198 August, 1994

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

# Third Other Activity

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991 AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	9.84456 25.61286 22.90297 15.22904 11.89747 11.07151 5.72453 37.04297 10.96746	10 5 25 25 25 15 5 35	.45423 .00011 .58323 .93586 .98737 .74751 .33395 .37486
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	6.96990 18.33006 15.01267 8.20363 34.00177 23.93634 25.88572	5 35 25 10 20 20 40	.22289 .99085 .94109 .60895 .02611 .24518
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE BLACK BEAR TARGETED BROWN BEAR TARGETED CARIBOU TARGETED MOOSE TARGETED WOLF TARGETED	21.48476 26.72199 13.75444 1.79528 11.45326 6.72756 9.90892 2.09387	15 10	.12204 .00288 .01725 .87668 .04310 .24170 .07786 .83601
SHEEP TARGETED GOAT TARGETED DEER TARGETED ELK TARGETED WATERFOWL TARGETED	10.13172 3.10516 5.84551 1.20410 1.46896	5 5 5 5	.07159 .68378 .32154 .94448 .91662

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Fourth Other Activity

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	16.47611	8	.03605
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	1.39311	4	.84539
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	19,99653	16	.22038
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	15.73984	20	.73263
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	16.03720	20	.71431
RACE OF RESPONDENT	29.08130	8	.00031
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	5.09018	4	.27817
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	36.44410	24	.04967
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STAPTED HUNTING	17.30911	- 1/	.13143
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	5.53508	4	.23666
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	24.35988	28	.66241
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	20.55122	20	.42396
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	6.09604	8	
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	23.76249	16	.09482
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	25.12808	16	
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	38.53764	32	
IMPORTANCE OF PACCING TO TRIP SUCCESS	22 47540	12	.03252
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	6.09923	12	
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	27.53334	8	.00057
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	11.70710	4	.01967
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	2.73914	4	.60238
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	4.05826	4	.39818
CARIBOU TARGETED	1.55561	4	.81675 .84743
MOOSE TARGETED	1.38134	4	.84743
WOLF TARGETED	.38790	4	.98340
SHEEP TARGETED	11.42328	4	.02220
GOAT TARGETED	1.20422	4	.87740
DEER TARGETED	3.05238	4	.54910
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER RESPONDENT USED GUIDE BLACK BEAR TARGETED BROWN BEAR TARGETED CARIBOU TARGETED MOOSE TARGETED WOLF TARGETED SHEEP TARGETED GOAT TARGETED DEER TARGETED ELK TARGETED ELK TARGETED WATERFOWL TARGETED	470/7	,	07555
WATERFOWL TARGETED	.47863	4	.97555

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Page A-200 August, 1994

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### Fifth Other Activity

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	2.67582	4	.61345
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	1,60580	2	.44803
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	8.71389	8	.36700
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	8.94321	8	.34711
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	1,74848	6	.94132
RACE OF RESPONDENT	.86808	2	.64789
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	2.44862	2	. 29396
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	6.45946	8	.59591
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	5.86419	4288622862	.43857
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	4.42656	2	.10934
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	7.87275	10	.64126
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	4.09879	8264862222222	.84810
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	5.01361	2	.08153
		6	.01212
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	8.56202	4	.07303
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	7.84650	8	.44861
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	4.58369	6	.59820
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		2	.62472
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER		2	.68389
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	6.70090	2	.03507
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	1.74590	2	.41772
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	1.22089	2	.54311
CARIBOU TARGETED	2.64345	2	.26667
MOOSE TARGETED	2.66880	2	.26332
WOLF TARGETED	***		
SHEEP TARGETED	11.30753	2	.00350
GOAT TARGETED	***		
DEER TARGETED	.86808	2	.64789
ELK TARGETED	***	_	
WATERFOWL TARGETED	1.38096	2	.50134

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

August, 1994

## Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPON			AGE	CATEGORY	OF RESPON	DENT	
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60
FISHED Column PercentRow Percent	53.7% 100.0%	53.7% 97.6%	55.1% 2.4%	60.0% 2.2%	64.3% 12.3%	52.0% 29.5%	54.4% 31.3%	52.0% 17. <b>3</b> %	46.5% 7.4%
CAMPED Column Percent	51.0% 100.0%	50.8% 97.3%	58.1% 2.7%	60.0% 2.3%	50.0% 10.1%	58.7% 35.1%	51.5% 31.1%	42.2% 14.8%	39.5% 6.6%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent	64.5% 100.0%	64.3% 97.3%	73.6% 2.7%	60.0% 1.8%	69.0% 11.0%	64.8% 30.6%	70.4% 33.7%	56.9% 15.7%	53.5% 7.1%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	33.0% 100.0%	32.8% 97.0%	41.9% 3.0%	40.0% 2.4%	35.7% 11.2%	36.2% 33.5%	32.0% 29.9%	29.4% 15.9%	27.9% 7.2%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1% 100.0%	6.3% 86.7%	39.6% 13.3%	.0%	9.5% 13.9%	8.7% 37.5%	7.1% 31.0%	5.9% 14.9%	2.3% 2.8%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.4% 100.0%	16.1% 95.9%	28.7% 4.1%	20.0%	16.7% 10.5%	19.4% 36.1%	18.9% 35.7%	10.8% 11.7%	7.0% 3.6%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.8% 100.0%	12.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	4.8% 4.2%	11.2% 29.0%	8.3% 21.6%	16.7% 25.2%	27.9% 20.1%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 29.9%	1.2% 70.1%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0%	100.0% 10.3%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-202 August, 1994

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		INC	OME CATEGOR	Y OF RESPON	DENT	
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000
FISHED Column Percent	51.2%	66.9%	56.6%	49.7%	56.2%	63.7%	47.8%
	95.3%	2.5%	19.0%	22.0%	14.9%	10.3%	26.6%
CAMPED Column Percent	49.2%	62.4%	53.0%	52.7%	59.5%	44.9%	45.1%
	96.5%	2.5%	18.8%	24.6%	16.6%	7.7%	26.4%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent	62.6%	82.4%	63.2%	64.5%	78.0%	54.7%	61.4%
	97.1%	2.6%	17.7%	23.8%	17.2%	7.4%	28.4%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	31.9%	46.7%	33.8%	37.8%	50.0%	32.0%	19.9%
	96.6%	2.8%	18.5%	27.3%	21.5%	8.4%	18.0%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	6.9% 97.4%	.0%	6.3% 16.0%	7.2% 24.3%	13.0% 26.1%	2.1%	6.7% 28.4%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.1%	12.2%	17.5%	16.6%	17.2%	22.5%	14.4%
	98.0%	1.5%	19.3%	24.1%	14.9%	11.9%	26.2%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.7%	9.8%	13.4%	13.9%	5.1%	10.1%	13.8%
	98.7%	1.7%	20.6%	28.1%	6.1%	7.4%	34.9%
MISSING Column Percent	.4% 70.1%	.0%	.0%	.8% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.6% 35.1%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	96.6% 96.6%	100.0%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		EDI	JCATION O	FRESPOND	ENT	
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL
FISHED Column PercentRow Percent	53.6% 99.7%	89.1% 3.0%	40.4% 2.7%	53.4% 24.0%	53.4% 27.6%	60.6% 28.0%	43.6% 14.4%
CAMPED Column PercentRow Percent	50.9% 99.7%	29.4% 1.0%	46.2% 3.3%	47.9% 22.6%	59.3% 32.3%	56.7% 27.6%	37.1% 12.9%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent	64.3% 99.8%	39.4% 1.1%	59.5% 3.3%	61.7% 23.1%	73.0% 31.4%	63.4% 24.4%	59.8% 16.5%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	33.0% 100.0%	31.5% 1.7%	30.2% 3.3%	37.6% 27.4%	33.5% 28.2%	34.9% 26.2%	24.4% 13.1%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 16.4%	6.6% 25.8%	11.5% 40.5%	6.9% 17.3%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column PercentRow Percent	16.4% 100.0%	20.1%	14.4% 3.2%	13.9% 20.4%	18.0% 30.4%	19.6% 29.7%	13.0% 14.0%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.8% 100.0%	10.9% 1.7%	5.5% 1.7%	12.5% 25.4%	10.2% 24.0%	8.2% 17.3%	19.9% 29.9%
MISSING Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 64.9%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	99.8% 99.8%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 24.1%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		RACE	OF RESPON	DENT	
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER
FISHED Column Percent Row Percent	53.4% 99.4%	.0%	100.0%	75.9% 3.8%	52.7% 93.7%	53.5% .7%
CAMPED Column PercentRow Percent	50.7% 99.4%	.0%	76.7% 1.0%	55.6% 2.9%	50.7% 94.8%	49.4% .6%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent Row Percent	64.2% 99.5%	.0%	50.6% .5%	74.2% 3.1%	64.1% 94.9%	100.0% 1.0%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	33.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	12.3% 1.0%	33.7% 97.4%	76.7% 1.6%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 2.5%	7.2% 97.5%	.0%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.4% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% .9%	.0%	16.7% 97.0%	50.6% 2.1%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.8% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	.0%	12.6% 2.9%	11.7% 94.1%	23.3% 1.3%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	99.7% 99.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0% .7%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HUNTED IN BEFORE			YRS RE	SPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALAS	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
FISHED Column Percent Row Percent	53.2% 99.0%	56.3% 56.7%	51.0% 42.3%	56.3% 56.7%	53.8% 17.1%	52.3% 21.3%	39.3% 3.2%	34.1% .7%	.0%	.0%	52.9% 1.0%
CAMPED Column Percent Row Percent	50.3% 98.6%	48.4% 51.3%	54.2% 47.3%	48.4% 51.3%	52.6% 17.6%	53.7% 23.1%	54.8% 4.7%	84.2% 1.9%	100.0%	100.0%	31.5% .6%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent Row Percent	63.4% 98.4%	65.5% 54.9%	62.9% 43.4%	65.5% 54.9%	66.1% 17.5%	60.6% 20.6%	47.7% 3.2%	84.2% 1.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent Row Percent	33.0% 100.0%	30.8% 50.5%	36.7% 49.5%	30.8% 50.5%	30.8% 15.9%	39.1% 26.0%	39.3% 5.2%	32.8% 1.2%	100.0%	.0%	16.7% .5%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent Row Percent	7.1% 100.0%	5.5% 41.9%	9.2% 58.1%	5.5% 41.9%	4.1% 9.8%	8.1% 25.2%	19.5% 11.9%	15.8% 2.6%	100.0% 3.5%	100.0% 2.6%	16.7% 2.5%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent Row Percent	16.4% 100.0%	17.9% 59.1%	15 <sub>-</sub> 1% 40.9%	17.9% 59.1%	13.1% 13.6%	15.7% 21.0%	19.5% 5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.4% 1.1%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 97.2%	10.2% 46.6%	13.4% 50.6%	10.2% 46.6%	14.5% 20.8%	12.8% 23.7%	23.9% 8.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.3% 29.9%	.8% 70.1%	.3% 29.9%	1.1% 35.1%	.8% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	98.6% 98.6%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-206 August, 1994

### Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT TING	STARTED	COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE		
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	YES	NO	
FISHED Column Percent Row Percent	53.1%	54.2%	53.6%	50.0%	53.3%	56.1%	48.9%	
	98.9%	45.5%	35.4%	4.9%	13.1%	63.6%	34.7%	
CAMPED Column Percent Row Percent	50.8%	56.6%	49.5%	51.7%	37.7%	53.9%	46.0%	
	99.6%	50.0%	34.5%	5.4%	9.8%	64.3%	34.3%	
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent Row Percent	63.9%	66.8%	62.2%	76.3%	58.3%	67.9%	59.6%	
	99.1%	46.7%	34.2%	6.2%	12.0%	64.0%	35.2%	
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent Row Percent	32.5%	35.1%	33.3%	24.6%	26.9%	33.3%	32.4%	
	98.5%	48.0%	35.8%	3.9%	10.8%	61.3%	37.4%	
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1%	9.5%	4.7%	6.3%	5.8%	8.4%	4.1%	
	100.0%	60.7%	23.8%	4.7%	10.8%	72.2%	21.9%	
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.4%	14.5%	14.4%	16.0%	29.3%	17.5%	14.5%	
	100.0%	39.9%	31.2%	5.1%	23.7%	65.1%	33.8%	
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.6% 98.5%	10.5% 40.2%	13.2% 39.7%	.0%	16.6% 18.6%	9.6% 49.3%	15.3% 49.4%	
MISSING Column Percent	.5% 100.0%	.7% 64.9%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 35.1%	.3%	.9% 64.9%	
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	99.1% 99.1%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 38.1%	

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

### Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				TRIP DESTINA	TION REGIO	N		
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN
FISHED Column Percent Row Percent	51.8% 96.4%	72.0% 13.1%	57.2% 40.0%	42.7% 22.0%	40.3% 4.2%	49.7% 7.3%	66.2% 3.2%	48.6% 1.4%	64.4%
CAMPED Column Percent Row Percent	49.8% 97.7%	45.4% 8.7%	54.6% 40.2%	51.6% 28.0%	41.9% 4.6%	50.4% 7.8%	60.9% 3.1%	54.6% 1.7%	43.0% 3.7%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent Row Percent	63.1% 97.8%	77.4% 11.7%	65.0% 37.9%	65.8% 28.3%	65.6% 5.7%	60.3% 7.4%	66.9%	44.6% 1.1%	46.8% 3.2%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent Row Percent	31.6% 95.8%	32.9% 9.7%	28.2% 32.1%	38.7% 32.4%	12.8% 2.2%	33.9% 8.1%	59.8% 4.7%	44.6% 2.1%	33.6% 4.5%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent Row Percent	6.8% 95.6%	4.1% 5.7%	8.0% 42.3%	6.5% 25.6%	9.7% 7.6%	2.3%	13.1% 4.8%	20.1% 4.4%	4.2%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent Row Percent	16.2% 98.9%	20.9% 12.5%	13.7% 31.3%	20.8% 35.1%	9.6% 3.3%	17.0% 8.2%	13.9% 2.2%	32.8% 3.1%	11.9% 3.2%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent Row Percent	11.3% 95.7%	5.3% 4.4%	10.0% 31.8%	15.0% 35.1%	13.9% 6.6%	20.5% 13.7%	.0%	21.3%	3.5% 1.3%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 64.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 64.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	97.0% 97.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**208 August, 1994

## Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		NUMBI	ER OF HUN	TERS IN P	ARTY	
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10
FISHED Column PercentRow Percent	52.6% 98.0%	40.2% 12.7%	55.8% 32.3%	58.0% 35.9%	61.8% 12.9%	51.9% 3.6%	100.0%
CAMPED Column PercentRow Percent	49.7% 97.3%	41.1% 13.6%	53.0% 32.3%	53.2% 34.7%	56.1% 12.3%	55.5% 4.1%	53.0% .3%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent	62.0% 96.2%	54.3% 14.2%	66.9% 32.3%	63.3% 32.7%	72.1% 12.5%	68.9% 4.0%	100.0% .5%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	32.4% 98.1%	24.4% 12.5%	42.1% 39.7%	32.1% 32.3%	30.5% 10.4%	22.9% 2.6%	53.0% .5%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	6.8% 95.6%	5.8% 13.8%	8.5% 37.4%	6.6% 31.2%	5.2% 8.3%	4.7% 2.5%	53.0% 2.5%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	15.5% 94.5%	19.7% 20.3%	20.1% 38.2%	12.7% 25.7%	8.2% 5.6%	20.1% 4.6%	.0%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 97.0%	20.9% 29.9%	9.9% 26.1%	11.4% 32.0%	7.7% 7.3%	5.3% 1.7%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 35.1%	1.0% 64.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	96.5% 96.5%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0% 11.2%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-10
OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	NUMBER (	F NIGHTS I	N ALASKA
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE
FISHED Column PercentRow Percent	51.9%	47.1%	52.3%	55.7%
	96.6%	4.8%	54.1%	37.8%
CAMPED Column PercentRow Percent	50.2%	30.9%	49.3%	58.0%
	98.4%	3.3%	53.7%	41.4%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent Row Percent	63.1%	51.9%	61.7%	71.6%
	97.9%	4.4%	53.1%	40.4%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	31.7%	22.3%	30.6%	37.2%
	96.1%	3.7%	51.4%	41.0%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	6.5%	7.8%	6.1%	7.5%
	92.1%	5.9%	47.8%	38.4%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.2%	13.5%	14.0%	21.3%
	99.1%	4.5%	47.4%	47.2%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.3%	18.8%	12.3%	9.5%
	95.7%	8.6%	57.6%	29.4%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	3.4% 35.1%	.6% 64.9%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	97.3% 97.3%	100.0%	100.0% 55.5%	100.0% 36.4%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

### Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HUN	T I NG	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
FISHED Column PercentRow Percent	53.7%	56.3%	53.6%	53.1%	53.3%	58.8%	55.9%
	100.0%	2.5%	10.8%	42.7%	34.8%	7.0%	2.2%
CAMPED Column Percent Row Percent	51.0%	41.2%	42.0%	47.7%	55.7%	68.7%	45.2%
	100.0%	1.9%	8.9%	40.4%	38.4%	8.6%	1.8%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent	64.5%	68.8%	67.3%	62.4%	67.7%	63.4%	38.0%
	100.0%	2.5%	11.3%	41.8%	36.9%	6.3%	1.2%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent Row Percent	33.0%	49.8%	29.7%	34.1%	31.0%	38.4%	25.2%
	100.0%	3.6%	9.8%	44.6%	33.0%	7.4%	1.6%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1%	23.6%	4.7%	6.6%	7.0%	7.7%	8.4%
	100.0%	7.9%	7.2%	40.6%	34.9%	7.0%	2.5%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.4% 100.0%	7.7% 1.1%	19.3% 12.8%	19.0% 50.1%	15.0% 32.1%	10.1% 3.9%	.0%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.8%	14.3%	13.4%	10.8%	12.8%	7.7%	17.1%
	100.0%	2.9%	12.3%	39.5%	38.1%	4.2%	3.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 29.9%	.0%	.0%	17.5% 70.1%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0%	100.0%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Page A-211

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	HOURS	PER DAY	SPENT ACT	TUALLY HUN	ITING
		1 - 2	<b>3</b> - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12
FISHED Column Percent Row Percent	52.6% 97.9%	100.0%	53.5% 7.6%	57.5% 38.8%	51.8% 45.1%	48.0% 6.0%
CAMPED Column PercentRow Percent	50.3% 98.5%	100.0%	39.6% 5.9%	54.3% 38.6%	51.1% 46.8%	52.3% 6.9%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent Row Percent	63.4% 98.2%	100.0%	57.1% 6.7%	65.8% 37.0%	66.1% 47.9%	60.2% 6.3%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	32.5% 98.3%	100.0% .5%	36.1% 8.3%	37.2% 40.9%	31.2% 44.3%	21.1% 4.3%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1% 100.0%	.0%	9.4% 10.1%	9.7% 49.8%	5.3% 35.3%	5.0% 4.8%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.2% 98.9%	.0%	13.9% 6.4%	17.5% 38.8%	14.1% 40.2%	32.6% 13.4%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.3% 95.3%	.0%	9.1% 5.8%	8.7% 26.6%	13.2% 52.1%	18.8% 10.7%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 29.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 29.9%	.0% .0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	97.5% 97.5%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0% 6.8%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-212 August, 1994

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL				MONTH	IN WHICH	TRIP ST	ARTED			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.
FISHED Column Percent Row Percent	52.0% 96.7%	.0%	36.4% 4.0%	48.2% 6.2%	52.4% .7%	100.0%	59.5% 23.8%	56.5% 50.9%	47.6% 9.4%	14.9% .7%	100.0%
CAMPED Column Percent Row Percent	49.6% 97.2%	.0%	28.5% 3.3%	41.0% 5.6%	24.6% .3%	100.0% .7%	51.4% 21.7%	57.0% 54.1%	48.2% 10.1%	25.4% 1.2%	100.0%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent	63.1% 97.9%	100.0% .6%	50.6% 4.6%	66.2% 7.1%	50.3% .6%	100.0% .5%	63.2% 21.1%	68.4% 51.2%	58.0% 9.6%	61.7% 2.3%	100.0% .3%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	31.6% 95.6%	.0%	11.0% 2.0%	25.5% 5.4%	24.6% .5%	100.0% 1.1%	38.0% 24.8%	35.7% 52.4%	17.5% 5.6%	46.8% 3.4%	100.0% .5%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent Row Percent	6.8% 95.6%	.0%	8.4% 7.0%	9.7% 9.6%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 10.1%	7.9% 53.8%	4.4% 6.6%	17.8% 6.1%	100.0% 2.5%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent Row Percent	16.1% 97.9%	50.0% 1.1%	19.8% 7.1%	24.2% 10.3%	47.6% 2.1%	56.0% 1.2%	15.3% 20.1%	14.4% 42.3%	17.5% 11.4%	15.8% 2.3%	.0%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent Row Percent	11.3% 95.7%	.0%	30.6% 15.2%	12.9% 7.6%	.0%	.0%	7.8% 14.1%	10.3% 42.2%	13.3% 11.9%	22.5% 4.6%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 35.1%	.7% 64.9%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	97.3% 97.3%	100.0%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0% .4%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

## Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	IMPORTA	ICE OF BAGG	ING TO TRIP	SUCCESS
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR
FISHED Column PercentRow Percent	52.6%	47.1%	55.4%	52.9%	36.3%
	97.9%	8.5%	75.6%	12.1%	1.7%
CAMPED Column Percent	50.3%	36.0%	53.4%	48.6%	69.1%
	98.6%	6.9%	76.6%	11.7%	3.4%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent	63.3%	51.8%	66.0%	67.2%	68.8%
	98.2%	7.8%	74.9%	12.8%	2.7%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	32.6%	30.6%	31.7%	43.9%	40.8%
	98.8%	9.0%	70.3%	16.3%	3.1%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1%	10.4%	6.8%	7.7%	6.2%
	100.0%	14.3%	70.1%	13.4%	2.2%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.2%	13.0%	17.2%	16.7%	12.4%
	98.9%	7.7%	76.8%	12.5%	1.9%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.1%	21.7%	9.1%	15.0%	16.7%
	93.6%	17.9%	56.6%	15.5%	3.6%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	1.9% 35.1%	.5% 64.9%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	97.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	97.7%	9.7%	73.2%	12.3%	2.5%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-10
OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	CON	TRIBUTION O	F WILDLIFE	VIEWING TO	TRIP
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING
FISHED Column PercentRow Percent	53.5% 99.5%	28.3%	49.6% 8.8%	54.9% 50.4%	56.8% 38.7%	20.7%
CAMPED Column Percent	50.9% 99.7%	20.5%	48.2% 9.0%	51.3% 49.6%	53.0% 38.0%	61.3% 2.4%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent Row Percent	64.3% 99.6%	.0%	45.0% 6.6%	61.7% 47.1%	76.8% 43.5%	73.4% 2.3%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent	33.0% 100.0%	.0%	33.1% 9.5%	31.0% 46.3%	38.9% 43.1%	17.3% 1.1%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1% 100.0%	.0%	8.7% 11.7%	6.0% 41.6%	9.0% 46.7%	.0%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.4% 100.0%	19.4% 2.1%	13.5% 7.8%	17.7% 53.2%	16.0% 35.8%	9.0% 1.1%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent	11.5% 97.0%	42.3% 6.2%	13.4% 10.8%	11.7% 49.0%	9.0% 28.0%	17.6% 3.0%
MISSING Column Percent	.4% 70.1%	.0%	1.9% 35.1%	.4% 35.1%	.0%	.0%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	99.1% 99.1%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

	TOTAL	COMPARISON TO OTI	N: HUNTING HER PLACES	IN ALASKA HUNTED	USED (	SUIDE
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	NO	YES
FISHED Column Percent	52.1%	50.9%	55.3%	42.7%	59.6%	48.4%
	97.1%	2.7%	82.6%	11.7%	51.7%	42.1%
CAMPED Column Percent	50.1%	42.8%	52.5%	45.9%	65.6%	38.8%
	98.2%	2.4%	82.5%	13.3%	60.0%	35.5%
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent Row Percent	63.3%	64.7%	66.7%	53.5%	71.1%	59.3%
	98.1%	2.9%	83.0%	12.2%	51.5%	43.0%
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent Row Percent	32.5%	74.3%	31.9%	32.7%	48.7%	16.9%
	98.5%	6.5%	77.4%	14.6%	68.9%	23.9%
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent	7.1%	14.9%	6.7%	8.6%	9.8%	4.6%
	100.0%	6.1%	76.0%	17.9%	64.9%	30.7%
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent	16.2%	19.0%	18.1%	8.0%	14.2%	19.6%
	99.1%	3.3%	88.5%	7.2%	40.5%	56.0%
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent Row Percent	11.4% 96.6%	10.8%	10.7% 72.4%	17.2% 21.5%	6.0% 23.5%	17.1% 67.8%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 64.9%	1.2% 35.1%	.0%	.7% 64.9%
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent	97.8% 97.8%	100.0%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO COLUMN PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

# Table A-10 OTHER ACTIVITIES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

		BEAR       BEAR         58.5%       40.4%       59.7%       55.2%       65.5%       46.0%       74.1%       50.9%       100.0%       88.         15.8%       15.4%       55.0%       38.7%       4.6%       9.5%       1.9%       4.3%       2.1%       2.         49.1%       42.4%       56.5%       61.8%       51.1%       51.3%       72.6%       53.4%       52.4%       65.         13.7%       16.7%       53.5%       44.6%       3.7%       10.9%       1.9%       4.7%       1.1%       1.         66.3%       69.1%       67.0%       64.6%       80.1%       62.8%       86.6%       71.4%       45.8%       100.         14.6%       21.5%       50.3%       36.9%       4.6%       10.5%       1.8%       4.9%       .8%       2.         35.0%       20.6%       29.7%       35.4%       10.0%       33.5%       28.0%       43.8%       .0%       42.										
			CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL		
FISHED Column Percent Row Percent										88.9% 2.5%		
CAMPED Column Percent Row Percent										65.1% 1.9%		
VIEWED OR PHOTOGRAPHED WILDLIFE Column Percent										100.0%		
VISITED FRIENDS OR RELATIVES Column Percent Row Percent										42.9% 1.9%		
BUSINESS ACTIVITIES Column Percent Row Percent	7.0% 14.2%	4.3% 12.3%	7.0% 48.2%	5.6% 29.2%	.0%	6.9% 10.6%	.0%	19.8% 12.6%	.0%	.0%		
OTHER ACTIVITIES Column Percent Row Percent	18.3% 15.8%	22.5% 27.4%	14.1% 41.3%	15.4% 34.5%	9.5% 2.1%	19.7% 12.9%	14.0% 1.2%	12.5% 3.4%	.0%	47.4% 4.2%		
NONE, JUST HUNTED Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 10.5%	15.8% 29.7%	7.8% 35.6%	10.8% 37.3%	10.0% 3.5%	18.2% 18.5%	.0%	8.3% 3.5%	.0%	.0%		
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 54.0%	.8% 46.0%	.3% 46.0%	.4% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 14.5%	100.0% 20.5%	100.0% 49.4%	100.0% 37.6%	100.0%	100.0% 11.1%	100.0% 1.4%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 1.5%		

MULTIPLE RESPONSES ARE POSSIBLE SO NEITHER COLUMN NOR ROW PERCENTS SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

The Net Economic Value of Primary Big Game Hunting Trips

### A Brief Summary of Net Economic Value Related to Primary Big Game Hunting Trips to Alaska

As discussed by McCollum et al. (1992), the correct concept of value in cases where goods are not efficiently price rationed, i.e., where price alone does not control access to the good, is average consumer surplus. That is exactly the case for goods not traded in markets such as wildlife and outdoor recreation. Consumer surplus measures an individual's willingness to pay for a good or service above and beyond the cost of obtaining the good or service. It reflects the net value placed on the good or the net benefit derived from consuming the good. Consider the following illustration of consumer surplus. Suppose an individual receives \$1,000 worth of benefit from hunting brown bear. If she has to pay up to \$1,000 to hunt brown bear, she feels she got her money's worth from the experience. If she has to pay \$1,001, though, she feels that is too much, and she will not hunt. Thus, \$1,000 is the gross value of brown bear hunting to this individual. Suppose the individual is offered an opportunity to hunt brown bear for \$200. She still gets \$1,000 worth of benefit from the experience even though she pays only \$200. Looking only at the price of the brown bear hunt, one would conclude that the value of brown bear hunting to the individual is \$200. But we know that the individual is willing to pay up to \$1,000 to hunt brown bear. She is getting a bargain, \$1,000 worth of benefit for only \$200. She receives a net value, or consumer surplus, of \$800--\$800 worth of benefit that she doesn't have to pay for, that does not show up in any market transaction. If a resource manager looked only at the market transaction, or price, he or she would have undervalued the individual's brown bear hunting experience by \$800.

Consumer surplus tells us the net value of a nonmarket good or service--the net benefit received. It is not the amount currently captured in any economic transaction, though in theory it could be captured as discussed by Loomis and Thomas (1992). It is the appropriate value for benefit-cost analysis.

Tables A-11 through A-14 focus on the net economic value, or net benefit, received by hunters from their Selected Trip. Tables A-11 indicate that 76.0% of the respondents felt their trip was worth the money they paid--if they had it to do over, they would take the same trip for the same amount of money. That implies they received net benefit greater than or equal to zero--the trip was not a disbenefit. Tables A-12 present the distribution of net values (over and above the cost of their trip) that respondents placed on their trip broken down by several characteristics. The average net value was \$440, with a median value of 0. On average, nonresident hunters would have been willing to pay \$440 more in costs to take their Longest Alaska Hunting Trip. The survey provided some explanation of why some respondents chose to put a zero net value on their trip. Twenty-four percent of the respondents said their trip was not worth the money they paid (from Tables A-11); for those hunters, net value was 0 by definition. Of those who said their trip was worth at least as much as they paid and chose to put a net value of 0 on their trip, some indicated the trip was worth exactly what they paid and no more--a true zero net value. Others indicated they responded 0 because they could not put a number on their net value. For those latter cases, the true value may be greater than zero, but a conservative approach is to regard them as zero.

Tables A-13 show the statewide distribution of respondents' net economic value broken by wildlife species targeted, wildlife species bagged, trophy species bagged, species hunted for the first time, and species bagged for the first time. In terms of species targeted, trips on which brown bear (at \$606), sheep (at \$492), and waterfowl (at \$473) were targeted appear to be higher valued than trips on which they were not. One must be careful, however, to consider the sample size on which the estimates are based—the value of trips on which waterfowl were targeted was based on only about 8 observations. Trips on which caribou were targeted were valued (\$432) at about the overall trip average for all species (which was \$440). Trips on which goats (\$419), moose (\$393), black bear (\$366), are wolf (\$351) were targeted were valued somewhat below the overall trip average of \$440—though goats and wolves were based on small samples. Trips targeting deer (\$222) and elk (\$88) were both well below the overall average (and based on small samples). In terms of species bagged on the trip, trips on which any species except deer, elk, and waterfowl were bagged were valued above the overall trip mean of \$440. Wolves (\$1,230, but only 4 trips), brown bears (\$751), sheep (\$662), and black bears (\$618) appear to be the most highly valued species to bag. Again, keep in mind the number of nonmissing cases on

which the averages were based. Tables A-13 also present a breakdown of net value by trophy species bagged by respondent. The definition of "trophy" is left solely to the respondent and there are not a lot of observations for any species, but a couple of speculations can be made. Trophy quality brown bears and black bears appear to be more valuable than nontrophy bears (\$856 compared to \$751 for brown bears and \$716 compared to \$618 for black bears). Trophy sheep appear to be worth a little less than nontrophy sheep (\$638 compared to \$662). Similar patterns appear for moose (\$482 compared to \$508) and caribou (\$391 compared to \$472). One explanation for the latter set of observations could be that the hunts which resulted in trophy animals cost more than those on which nontrophy animals were bagged. Since these values are net values (over and above cost of the trip), total value could be higher for the trophy animals but by an amount less than the increase in trip cost. But, that is only speculation.

Hunting the species for the first time appears to add some value to the trip when caribou or moose are targeted (\$463 for first time caribou hunters compared to \$432 for all caribou hunters, and \$406 compared to \$393 for moose hunters). First time hunters place a little lower net value on their trip than do all hunters when brown bears (\$592 compared to \$606), sheep (\$463 compared to \$492), and black bears (\$268 compared to \$366). Bagging a species for the first time appears to add value to the trip beyond that for all trips on which the species is bagged only for moose (\$653 compared to \$508). Trips on which brown bear were bagged for the first time appear to be valued about the same as all trips on which brown bear were bagged (\$748 compared to \$751). Trips on which caribou, sheep, and black bears were bagged for the first time appear to valued somewhat less than all trips on which those species were bagged.

With all the values related to species, one must be very aware of the sample sizes on which they were based. That is especially true for the breakdown by trophy species. Another caveat is that while an observation that trips on which a particular species was targeted or bagged are valued higher than other trips is one indication that the species might be more highly valued than other species, the difference in value between a trip on which a particular species was targeted or bagged and trips on which it was not is not solely attributable to that species. (And likewise for bagging a trophy animal or hunting/bagging an animal for the first time.) Many of these hunting trips involved more than one species and different combinations of site/trip attributes. More work remains to be done to clarify relative values between species, but trip values on which particular species were targeted or bagged can be used as one indication of relative value.

Tables A-14 present the same information as Tables A-13 broken down by Region. Average values for all trips--all species combined--to the different regions are given in the breakdown table of net economic value by trip destination region, in Tables A-12. In comparing trip values by species targeted or species bagged for particular regions, it is even more important to pay attention to the sample sizes. Many region and species combinations have fewer than 5 trips on which the statistics were based, so the statistics are less than robust to say the least. In Region 1, for example, only trips targeting brown bear and black bear were based on more than 10 trips. The sample sizes in Regions 2 and 3 are larger, but for many species they are still small. Sample sizes in Regions 4 and 5 are smaller than that in Region 1. In using the values, one must recognize their statistical limitations.

Page A-222 August, 1994

Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	1.63733	2	.44102
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	.48737	2 1	.48510
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	7.34978	5	.19590
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	4.57019	5	.47055
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	2.43981	5	.78553
RACE OF RESPONDENT	2.33486	4	.48510 .19590 .47055 .78553 .67443 .30209 .11032 .13939 .95845 .63015 .90508
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	1.06496	1	.30209
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	11.71535	7	.11032
AGE AL WHILE KESPUNDENI STAKTED HUNTING	7.40/43	3	. 13939
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	.00271	1	.95845
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	5.24451	7	.63015
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	1.56810	5	.90508
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	.54856	2	.76012
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	1.06909	4	.07713
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING		4	. <u>84598</u>
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	8.63778	8	.37377
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	5.60304	3	. 13260
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		4	.00000
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	51.98398	2	.00000
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	6.75492	]	.00935
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	.07753	1	.78068
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	.78029	1	.3//05
CARIBOU TARGETED	11.12714	1	.00085
MOOSE TARGETED	.07664	1	.78190
WOLF TARGETED	.62920	1	.42765
SHEEP TARGETED	.41208	1	.52092
GOAT TARGETED	11.39543	1	
DEER TARGETED	2.24806	1	.13378
ELK TARGETED	3.37478	1	.06620
WATERFOWL TARGETED	2.07859	1	. 14938

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

### Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

	TOTAL	GENDI RESPO				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
NO Column Percent Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	20.4% 98.5%	13.2% 1.5%	.0%	28.6% 14.6%	17.9% 27.0%	23.1% 35.3%	19.6% 17.3%	14.0% 5.9%	.0%
YES Column PercentRow Percent	76.0% 100.0%	75.7% 97.3%	86.8% 2.7%	80.0% 2.1%	66.7% 9.1%	80.1% 32.1%	75.7% 30.8%	71.6% 16.8%	81.4% 9.1%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	20.0%	4.8% 12.8%	2.0% 16.2%	1.2% 9.5%	8.8% 41.0%	4.7% 10.3%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
NO Column Percent Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	28.7% 2.9%	16.9% 15.1%	18.8% 22.1%	19.9% 14.0%	14.4%	24.9% 36.8%	17.3% 2.9%
YES Column Percent	76.0% 100.0%	71.3% 1.9%	78.0% 18.6%	80.6% 25.3%	75.1% 14.1%	79.0% 9.1%	70.6% 27.7%	78.0% 3.5%
MISSING Column Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 24.1%	.7% 4.0%	4.9% 18.3%	6.6% 14.9%	4.5% 34.7%	4.6% 4.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 29.8%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-224 August, 1994

Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

	20.2% 100.0% 76.0% 100.0%			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
NO Column Percent Row Percent		8.6%	15.0% 2.7%	17.7% 21.1%	22.1% 30.3%	19.6% 24.1%	24.0% 21.1%	.0%
YES Column Percent		81.8% 2.0%	85.0% 4.0%	74.3% 23.6%	77.2% 28.2%	77.0% 25.2%	72.1% 16.8%	100.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent		9.6% 4.6%	.0%	8.0% 49.9%	.7% 5.1%	3.4% 22.1%	4.0% 18.3%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%

	70.0% 100.0% 76.0% 100.0%			RACE OF RE	SPONDENT			
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING	
NO Column Percent		.0%	50.6% 1.7%	19.1% 2.5%	20.1% 94.9%	27.3% .9%	.0%	
YES Column Percent		100.0%	49.4%	80.9% 2.9%	75.9% 95.4%	72.7% .6%	100.0%	
MISSING Column Percent		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

# Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

	TOTAL	HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?												
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25		
NO Column Percent. Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	22.2% 59.5%	18.4% 40.5%	.0%	22.2% 59.5%	20.0% 16.9%	19.0% 20.6%	.0%	15.8%	100.0%	.0%	17.4% .9%		
YES Column Percent. Row Percent	76.0% 100.0%	74.7% 53.2%	77.1% 45.2%	87.4% 1.6%	74.7% 53.2%	78.1% 17.5%	75.8% 21.9%	87.7% 5.0%	84.2% 1.3%	.0%	100.0%	65.9% .9%		
MISSING Column Percent. Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	3.1% 43.3%	4.5% 52.1%	12.6%	3.1% 43.3%	1.9% 8.6%	5.2% 29.7%	12.3% 13.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7% 4.6%		
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 1.0%		

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE				
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
NO Column Percent Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	19.3% 43.1%	21.3% 37.4%	5.9% 1.5%	26.1% 17.1%	19.4%	20.3% 61.1%	20.6% 38.9%	.0%
YES Column Percent Row Percent	76.0% 100.0%	76.2% 45.2%	77.7% 36.3%	86.1% 6.0%	67.4% 11.8%	61.1%	75.8% 60.7%	76.1% 38.2%	77.6% 1.1%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.8%	4.5% 53.1%	1.0%	8.0% 10.9%	6.5% 22.3%	19.4%	3.9% 61.7%	3.2% 31.9%	22.4% 6.4%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0% 5.3%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-226 August, 1994

Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
NO Column Percent. Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	17.1% 8.3%	17.9% 33.2%	19.1% 26.1%	25.4% 7.0%	30.9% 12.1%	19.9% 2.5%	12.8%	17.4% 3.8%	40.0% 5.9%
YES Column Percent. Row Percent	76.0% 100.0%	81.1% 10.4%	79.2% 39.1%	78.2% 28.5%	65.1% 4.8%	66.9% 7.0%	80.1% 2.7%	74.5% 1.5%	66.0% 3.8%	54.1% 2.1%
MISSING Column Percent. Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	1.8% 4.6%	3.0% 28.9%	2.7% 19.5%	9.6% 13.9%	2.2% 4.6%	.0%	12.8% 5.1%	16.6% 18.9%	5.8% 4.6%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	TOTAL			NUMBER O	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
NO Column PercentRow Percent	20.2% 100.0%	23.6% 19.7%	19.2% 29.6%	20.3% 33.4%	16.6% 9.2%	18.9% 3.5%	.0%	26.9% 4.6%
YES Column Percent	76.0% 100.0%	71.7% 16.0%	78.0% 31.9%	77.5% 33.9%	78.8% 11.6%	70.5% 3.5%	47.0% .2%	63.6%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	4.7% 20.8%	2.8% 23.0%	2.3% 19.5%	4.5% 13.1%	10.6% 10.3%	53.0% 4.6%	9.5% 8.6%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%	100.0% 3.5%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

	TOTAL	NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA							
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING				
NO Column PercentRow Percent	20.2%	22.7%	20.3%	18.9%	31.1%				
	100.0%	6.1%	55.8%	34.0%	4.1%				
YES Column PercentRow Percent	76.0%	67.5%	76.2%	78.5%	53.2%				
	100.0%	4.8%	55.7%	37.6%	1.9%				
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.8%	9.8%	3.5%	2.6%	15.7%				
	100.0%	13.9%	50.5%	24.7%	10.9%				
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
	100.0%	5.4%	55.5%	36.4%	2.7%				

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HUI	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
NO Column PercentRow Percent	20.2% 100.0%	17.0% 2.0%	24.4% 13.1%	18.7% 40.0%	20.7% 36.0%	19.8% 6.2%	25.9% 2.7%
YES Column Percent	76.0% 100.0%	72.7% 2.3%	70.6% 10.1%	76.6% 43.5%	76.9% 35.6%	80.2% 6.7%	65.7% 1.8%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	10.4% 6.4%	5.0% 14.2%	4.7% 53.1%	2.4% 21.7%	.0%	8.4% 4.6%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0%	100.0%

### Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

	TOTAL		HOURS PER	DAY SPEN	T ACTUALLY	Y HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
NO Column Percent Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	.0%	18.4% 6.9%	22.2% 39.9%	18.5% 42.9%	22.7% 7.6%	22.0% 2.7%
YES Column PercentRow Percent	76.0% 100.0%	100.0%	77.2% 7.7%	73.6% 35.1%	77.6% 47.8%	77.3% 6.9%	70.9% 2.3%
MISSING Column Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	4.4% 8.6%	4.2% 39.5%	3.9% 47.4%	.0%	7.1% 4.6%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0%	100.0%

	TOTAL				MC	NTH IN W	HICH TRI	P STARTE	D			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
NO Column Percent Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	.0%	19.1% 5.6%	11.0% 3.8%	49.7% 1.7%	.0%	19.3% 20.6%	19.5% 46.8%	25.7% 13.5%	33.0% 3.9%	.0%	31.1% 4.1%
YES Column Percent Row Percent	76.0% 100.0%	100.0% .5%	77.9% 6.0%	86.7% 7.9%	50.3% .5%	44.0% .2%	79.9% 22.6%	77.4% 49.3%	63.8% 8.9%	59.4% 1.9%	.0%	62.4% 2.2%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	3.0% 4.6%	2.2% 4.0%	.0%	56.0% 5.1%	.8% 4.6%	3.1% 38.6%	10.6% 29.3%	7.6% 4.7%	100.0%	6.5% 4.6%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0%	100.0% .4%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	SS
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
NO Column Percent Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	31.5% 15.2%	19.7% 71.3%	14.7% 8.9%	23.7% 2.9%	14.6% 1.6%
YES Column Percent	76.0% 100.0%	65.1% 8.3%	76.8% 74.0%	80.8% 13.0%	69.1% 2.3%	77.6% 2.3%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	3.8% 100.0%	3.4% 8.6%	3.6% 67.9%	4.5% 14.2%	7.3% 4.7%	7.8% 4.6%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0%

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWIN	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
NO Column PercentRow Percent	20.2% 100.0%	70.6% 6.1%	33.0% 15.5%	17.7% 43.2%	16.5% 29.9%	52.7% 5. <b>3</b> %	.0%
YES Column PercentRow Percent	76.0% 100.0%	29.4% .7%	63.1% 7.9%	78.3% 50.8%	81.4% 39.2%	26.2% .7%	63.5%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	3.9% 9.7%	4.0% 51.0%	2.1% 19.5%	21.1% 11.1%	36.5% 8.6%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0%	100.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**230 August, 1994

### Table A-11 WAS SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP WORTH THE COST

	TOTAL	COMPARIS	ON: HUNTING PLACES	IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER	USED GUIDE			
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	[	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING	
NO Column Percent Row Percent	20.2% 100.0%	32.0% 4.6%	14.0% 55.5%	47.3% 34.6%	50.2% 5.4%	15.4% 35.5%	23.9% 55.3%	28.2% 9.2%	
YES Column Percent Row Percent	76.0% 100.0%	59.5% 2.3%	82.4% 87.0%	47.8% 9.3%	49.8% 1.4%	82.3% 50.5%	71.0% 43.7%	66.5% 5.8%	
MISSING Column Percent	3.8% 100.0%	8.5% 6.4%	3.6% 74.8%	4.9% 18.8%	.0%	2.4% 28.7%	5.1% 62.2%	5.3% 9.1%	
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 6.6%	

		WILDLIFE SPECIES TARGETED											
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL			
NO Column Percent Row Percent	19.1% 14.2%	23.6% 24.8%	13.9% 35.3%	20.6% 39.8%	27.0% 5.2%	23.5% 13.4%	72.0% 5.1%	31.1% 7.3%	45.8% 2.7%	.0%			
YES Column Percent Row Percent	77.4% 14.6%	73.9% 19.7%	81.2% 52.4%	74.3% 36.5%	68.1% 3.4%	73.1% 10.6%	28.0% .5%	60.6%	37.8% .6%	100.0%			
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 13.2%	2.5% 13.2%	4.9% 62.7%	5.2% 49.9%	4.9% 4.8%	3.4% 9.5%	.0%	8.3% 9.7%	16.5% 4.8%	.0%			
ALL PRIMARY TRIPS Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 14.5%	100.0%	100.0% 49.4%	100.0% 37.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS DO NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE OVERNIGHT HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED	24.79558	32	.81422
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	12.75066	16	.69089
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	85.21924	80	.32404
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	86.42553	80	.29206
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	65.33987	80	.88197
RACE OF RESPONDENT	65.88442	64	.41146
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	8.36419	16	.93728
YRS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	62.66367	112	.99995
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	63.55722	48	.06561
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	19.90963	16	.22432
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	117.65290	112	.33874
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	69.49209	80	.79299
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	35.62927	32	.30144
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	95.83218	80	.10946
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING		64	.99964
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	197 <b>.</b> 14738	144	.00218
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	49.94053	48	.39615
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		64	.97292
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	36.12563	32	.28174
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	27.41318	15	.02554

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-232 August, 1994

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPO				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	52.9% 100.0%	53.1% 98.0%	44.9% 2.0%	60.0% 2.2%	54.8% 10.7%	45.9% 26.5%	50.3% 29.4%	63.7% 21.5%	60.5% 9.7%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 15.1%	1.5% 28.7%	1.2% 22.5%	2.0% 21.5%	2.3% 12.2%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 14.4%	3.6% 64.1%	1.2% 21.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 22.3%	1.2% 52.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 19.3%	1.5% 36.6%	1.2% 28.6%	.0%	2.3% 15.5%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 18.0%	3.1% 68.5%	.6% 13.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	13.8% 93.5%	39.6% 6.5%	20.0%	9.5% 6.8%	20.9% 44.4%	13.6% 29.2%	6.9% 8.5%	14.0% 8.3%	.0%
\$675 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	11.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	11.9% 10.7%	10.7% 28.5%	14.2% 38.2%	7.8% 12.2%	14.0% 10.3%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 36.5%	1.2% 42.9%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	3.6% 90.6%	15.5% 9.4%	.0%	2.4% 6.3%	3.1% 24.0%	6.5% 51.7%	3.9% 18.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	2.4% 16.6%	1.0%	.0%	2.9% 35.6%	4.7% 26.8%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY MUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	OTAL GENDER OF RESPONDENT		AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT							
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING	
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 29.9%	1.2% 70.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$5,000 Column PercentRow Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 22.1%	1.8% 77.9%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent	6.4% 100.0%	6.5% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 6.2%	4.8% 7.7%	5.1% 24.3%	5.9% 28.6%	10.8% 30.1%	2.3% 3.1%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%	
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	438.49 36.92 .00 825.69 500.05	508.37 196.27 500.00 706.85 12.97	125.00 78.08 .00 230.16 8.69	492.50 147.68 .00 1083.51 53.83	420.55 54.96 200.00 692.10 158.55	538.46 74.55 .00 940.45 159.15	334.58 75.60 .00 706.41 87.30	366.58 103.31 .00 696.90 45.50	.00 .00 .00 .00	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-234 August, 1994

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AL INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT									
·		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING			
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	76.8% 2.9%	52.8% 18.1%	64.0% 28.8%	49.4% 13.3%	40.1% 6.6%	46.9% 26.5%	61.0% 3.9%			
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.9%	.8% 11.2%	3.1% 27.3%	.0%	2.8% 51.9%	.0%			
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7%	7.7% 9.2%	.9% 9.2%	2.1% 29.0%	2.2% 18.3%	.0%	2.0% 34.3%	.0%			
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 47.5%	2.6% 52.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3%	.0%	.9% 12.2%	1.6%	3.9% 43.7%	.0%	.6% 14.3%	.0%			
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 22.8%	2.0%	3.0% 31.5%	.0%	.5% 11.4%	.0%			
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	7.7%	19.4%	10.6% 17.6%	13.8% 13.6%	18.3%	14.5% 30.0%	9.2% 2.2%			
\$675 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%			
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	7.7% 1.4%	9.8% 15.4%	7.6% 15.8%	12.9% 16.0%	12.4%	15.0% 38.9%	10.5% 3.1%			
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	2.2% 78.5%	.0%			
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	4.0%	1.5% 9.2%	2.6%	10.5% 23.5%	5.1% 39.1%	.0%			
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 40.5%	1.2%	2.0%	1.8%	.0%			

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	OTAL INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT									
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING			
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 70.1%	4.6% 29.9%			
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9% 48.1%	1.2% 51.9%	.0%			
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
MISSING Column Percent	6.4% 100.0%	.0%	9.7% 27.4%	3.6% 13.4%	2.3% 5.2%	12.8% 17.4%	6.2% 28.9%	14.7% 7.7%			
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 29.8%	100.0% 3.4%			
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	131.75 90.91 .00 301.46 11.00	318.48 52.50 .00 496.71 89.51	282.97 52.42 .00 587.95 125.79	457.71 106.73 .00 930.72 76.05	779.36 184.88 500.00 1192.90 41.63	566.60 74.97 .00 928.26 153.30	393.59 241.69 .00 958.96 15.74			

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-236 August, 1994

### Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	TOTAL EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT									
	 	EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING			
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	80.3% 2.8%	65.5% 4.5%	56.9% 25.9%	56.6% 29.7%	47.5% 22.3%	44.3% 14.9%	.0%			
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	4.8% 10.8%	1.4% 20.8%	2.7% 46.7%	.6% 9.6%	1.1%	.0%			
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	4.3% 9.2%	.0%	3.0% 48.9%	1.3% 18.3%	2.3% 23.6%	.0%			
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 22.3%	.7% 26.2%	.7% 25.2%	1.0% 26.2%	.0%			
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	5.1% 14.3%	1.6% 29.8%	1.1%	1.6% 31.5%	.0%	.0%			
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 18.0%	1.7% 34.3%	2.0% 36.3%	.9% 11.4%	.0%			
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	14.8% 3.7%	12.9% 21.6%	13.2% 25.6%	15.4% 26.6%	18.2% 22.5%	.0%			
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$800 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	10.1%	5.5% 1.7%	5.9% 12.3%	13.5% 32.7%	10.7% 23.1%	18.4% 28.5%	.0%			
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.6% 20.6%	.6% 18.3%	2.9% 61.2%	.0%			
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 18.8%	3.8% 27.3%	6.5% 41.2%	2.8% 12.7%	.0%			
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.5% 25.2%	.0%	1.6% 27.2%	3.1% 37.1%	100.0% 10.5%			

(continued)

## Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 35.1%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 26.0%	.0%	.6% 22.1%	2.1% 51.9%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	9.6% 2.7%	.0%	13.0% 49.0%	3.1% 13.2%	7.0% 27.1%	2.8% 7.9%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	111.37 111.31 .00 333.70 8.99	157.50 62.64 .00 278.69 19.80	362.42 74.88 .00 802.64 114.90	317.23 41.38 .00 502.56 147.50	585.73 92.00 .00 1034.83 126.51	599.66 96.95 250.00 942.31 94.47	3000.00 .00 3000.00 .00 .85

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-238 August, 1994

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	.0%	50.6% .6%	51.1% 2.6%	53.1% 95.8%	73.8% .9%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 11.2%	1.5% 88.8%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	49.4% 2.3%	12.6% 2.4%	14.0% 93.0%	26.2% 1.2%	50.0% 1.1%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	.0%	14.7% 3.4%	11.4% 94.8%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 89.5%	.0%	50.0% 10.5%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		1	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	6.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 2.4%	6.5% 97.6%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0% .7%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	1000.00 .00 1000.00 .00 1.08	247.16 153.14 .00 293.16 3.66	297.28 107.36 .00 400.41 13.91	442.28 37.59 .00 831.14 488.99	130.88 134.65 .00 257.76 3.66	1750.00 1489.30 1750.00 1944.35 1.70

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-240 August, 1994

## Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	HUNTED 1	N ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS RE	SPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
\$0 Column Percent. Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	53.2% 54.4%	52.5% 44.2%	52.5% 1.4%	53.2% 54.4%	59.3% 19.1%	49.3% 20.4%	33.2% 2.7%	67.2% 1.5%	100.0%	100.0%	52.9% 1.1%
\$100 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.6%	2.1% 68.4%	1.2% 31.6%	.0%	2.1% 68.4%	.0%	2.3% 31.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.7% 52.6%	1.8% 47.4%	.0%	1.7% 52.6%	1.8% 18.3%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.6% 47.5%	.8% 52.5%	.0%	.6% 47.5%	.0%	.8% 26.2%	4.2% 26.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.3%	1.3% 54.2%	1.3% 45.8%	.0%	1.3% 54.2%	1.1% 14.3%	1.8% 31.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	1.2% 45.7%	1.7% 54.3%	.0%	1.2% 45.7%	.9% 11.4%	2.7% 42.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent. Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	15.5% 58.4%	13.1% 40.5%	11.2% 1.1%	15.5% 58.4%	10.1% 12.0%	13.2% 20.1%	19.5% 5.9%	15.8% 1.3%	.0%	.0%	32.2% 2.4%
\$675 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	11.0% 51.8%	12.4% 48.2%	.0%	11.0% 51.8%	12.5% 18.5%	11.5% 22.1%	15.4% 5.8%	17.1% 1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent. Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.7% 42.9%	.7% 38.8%	11.2% 18.3%	.7% 42.9%	.9% 18.3%	1.5% 38.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	3.2% 44.0%	4.9% 56.0%	.0%	3.2% 44.0%	6.8% 29.6%	1.6% 9.0%	12.0% 13.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 4.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.9% 34.2%	2.2% 65.8%	.0%	.9% 34.2%	3.6% 41.9%	1.6% 23.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

## Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS RE	SPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
\$4,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.6% 64.9%	.4% 35.1%	.0%	.6% 64.9%	.0%	.8% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.6% 48.1%	.8% 51.9%	.0%	.6% 48.1%	.0%	1.7% 51.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent. Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	6.3% 53.4%	5.9% 41.2%	25.1% 5.5%	6.3% 53.4%	3.0% 8.0%	8.9% 30.4%	12.1% 8.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean. Median Std. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	419.80 49.60 .00 826.50 277.65	468.52 54.37 .00 824.02 229.66	298.15 245.29 .00 586.49 5.72	419.80 49.60 .00 826.50 277.65	457.02 80.83 .00 769.32 90.59	467.51 87.09 .00 911.17 109.45	599.12 148.70 500.00 679.71 20.89	249.57 166.31 .00 418.94 6.35	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	457.68 312.63 .00 749.57 5.75

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**242 August, 1994

# Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT :	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLE	TED HUNT	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	54.3% 46.3%	53.3% 35.7%	47.0% 4.7%	50.1% 12.6%	41.4%	51.7% 59.5%	55.3% 39.8%	32.6% .7%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6%	.3% 9.6%	2.8% 60.1%	3.5% 11.2%	2.3% 19.1%	.0%	1.5% 54.6%	1.9% 45.4%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.9% 51.1%	2.3% 48.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 69.4%	1.0% 21.5%	14.2% 9.2%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.8% 52.5%	.9% 47.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 52.5%	.9% 47.5%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.4% 14.3%	.9% 24.4%	6.7% 27.7%	3.2% 33.6%	.0%	1.2% 55.9%	1.5% 44.1%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	1.6% 52.3%	1.4% 36.3%	2.9% 11.4%	.0%	.0%	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	13.5% 42.5%	15.1% 37.2%	11.1% 4.1%	17.7% 16.3%	.0%	16.7% 70.6%	11.1% 29.4%	.0%
\$675 Column PercentRow Percent	.2%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	13.2% 51.9%	10.1% 31.3%	9.4% 4.3%	8.1% 9.4%	39.2% 3.1%	10.5% 55.6%	12.9% 42.8%	16.7% 1.6%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.7% 39.7%	.5% 20.6%	.0%	2.6% 39.7%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	4.0% 46.3%	3.3% 30.2%	3.5% 4.7%	5.5% 18.8%	.0%	3.5% 54.8%	4.6% 45.2%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5%	.8% 23.9%	1.6% 39.0%	3.7% 13.4%	2.6% 23.7%	.0%	.7% 28.5%	2.4% 61.0%	14.2% 10.5%

(continued)

Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE		
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	1.4% 35.1%	.0%	.6% 70.1%	.4% 29.9%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.2% 77.9%	.4% 22.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 51.9%	.9% 48.1%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	4.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	6.8% 48.1%	5.3% 29.5%	7.6% 6.3%	6.5% 13.4%	19.4% 2.7%	6.0% 57.5%	6.5% 38.7%	22.4%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	419.65 51.12 .00 775.73 230.26	406.80 56.06 .00 760.67 184.10	697.86 277.65 .00 1435.39 26.73	496.69 100.62 .00 829.51 67.96	486.55 289.65 .00 577.68 3.98	404.18 40.59 .00 718.51 313.31	489.62 68.55 .00 957.32 195.05	799.07 578.65 200.00 1249.87 4.67

### Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				TRIP D	ESTINATION	REGION		,	
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	49.3% 9.1%	48.2% 34.2%	51.8% 27.1%	51.0% 5.4%	67.4% 10.1%	74.8% 3.6%	48.6% 1.4%	56.4% 4.7%	77.0% 4.4%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	3.7% 22.0%	2.0% 46.7%	.7% 12.2%	2.8% 9.6%	.0%	6.0% 9.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	3.5% 19.9%	1.9% 41.9%	1.8% 29.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.0% 9.2%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	1.6% 12.2%	1.6% 47.0%	1.9% 40.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	1.6% 11.4%	.8% 22.8%	3.2% 65.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	11.9% 8.1%	17.6% 46.0%	13.4% 25.9%	13.0% 5.1%	10.5% 5.8%	19.2% 3.4%	20.1%	4.2% 1.3%	11.0% 2.3%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	11.4% 9.8%	14.9% 48.9%	8.4% 20.4%	15.1% 7.4%	10.4% 7.2%	.0%	11.3% 1.5%	12.8% 4.9%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 18.3%	1.3% 42.0%	2.8% 18.3%	2.3% 21.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	9.4% 23.5%	2.8% 26.6%	3.9% 27.8%	6.1% 8.7%	2.3%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	6.1% 4.7%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5%	2.5% 16.6%	.9%	1.8% 32.9%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 13.4%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				TRIP D	ESTINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 29.9%	1.3% 70.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 74.0%	3.3% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6% 100.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	5.2% 8.0%	8.0% 47.0%	5.2% 22.5%	3.1% 2.7%	4.5% 5.6%	.0%	.0%	16.6% 11.4%	5.8% 2.7%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases.	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	483.41 105.87 .00 754.45 50.78	391.74 43.53 .00 598.82 189.27	510.39 81.94 .00 982.77 143.84	583.55 189.29 .00 1029.52 29.58	327.15 101.46 .00 652.90 41.41	101.95 53.97 .00 202.68 14.10	433.97 225.20 200.00 656.35 8.49	742.27 362.81 .00 1626.04 20.09	188.20 132.34 .00 520.15 15.45

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

### Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			NUMBER O	HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	49.9% 15.9%	55.0% 32.4%	49.9% 31.4%	62.0% 13.1%	32.4% 2.3%	100.0%	65.4% 4.3%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.3% 44.2%	2.7% 55.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.8% 18.3%	2.5% 45.1%	1.4% 27.5%	1.4% 9.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 73.8%	1.6% 26.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3%	1.1% 14.3%	1.6% 38.7%	1.2% 31.5%	1.8% 15.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.9% 11.4%	1.5% 34.3%	2.2% 54.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	15.1% 17.8%	12.5% 27.0%	17.8% 41.2%	9.6% 7.5%	25.0% 6.5%	.0%	.0%
\$675 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	9.3% 13.7%	13.4% 36.4%	8.7% 25.1%	11.5% 11.2%	32.0% 10.4%	.0%	10.3% 3.1%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	4.0% 78.5%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 21.5%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	1.8% 8.0%	3.4% 27.3%	5.0% 43.0%	3.0% 8.5%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 13.2%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	3.5% 40.3%	1.6% 32.9%	1.2% 26.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			NUMBER OF	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	2.2% 70.1%	.0%	.5% 29.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	2.0% 48.1%	.6% 26.0%	.5% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	8.4% 22.3%	5.2% 25.4%	5.3% 27.8%	7.5% 13.2%	10.6% 6.2%	.0%	9.5% 5.2%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0% 11.2%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	619.34 120.11 .00 1106.39 84.85	382.97 54.87 .00 697.64 161.64	457.04 67.15 .00 881.85 172.47	279.93 66.03 .00 497.29 56.71	497.54 101.92 500.00 436.43 18.34	.00 .00 .00 .00	440.85 188.11 .00 780.26 17.21

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

·	TOTAL	NUI	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASK	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	52.2% 5.4%	50.7% 53.3%	55.8% 38.4%	59.1% 3.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	3.2% 10.8%	.7% 22.5%	3.0% 66.8%	.0% .0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	3.4% 10.7%	2.5% 80.1%	.4% 9.2%	.0% .0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 26.2%	1.4% 73.8%	.0% .0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 66.4%	1.2% 33.6%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	.0%	1.9% 77.2%	.9% 22.8%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	9.0% 3.4%	16.8% 64.8%	11.7% 29.7%	11.6% 2.2%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	6.2% 2.9%	11.9% 57.5%	12.5% 39.6%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	2.9% 18.3%	.3% 21.5%	1.4% 60.3%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	3.2% 4.5%	3.9% 55.7%	3.8% 35.1%	6.8% 4.7%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 89.5%	.4% 10.5%	.0% .0%

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	NUI	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASE	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 70.1%	.4% 29.9%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	3.4% 26.0%	.6% 48.1%	.0%	6.8% 26.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	16.6% 14.0%	5.6% 48.6%	5.4% 30.8%	15.7% 6.6%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.4%	100.0% 55.5%	100.0% 36.4%	100.0% 2.7%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	471.08 217.20 .00 1081.61 24.80	459.95 47.26 .00 800.81 287.11	393.49 55.59 .00 763.64 188.73	634.72 416.68 .00 1466.13 12.38

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-250 August, 1994

Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	X       31.7%       49.9%       53.5%       51.3%       57.7%       91.6         X       1.4%       10.2%       43.7%       34.1%       7.0%       3.6         X       .0%       .0%       1.5%       6.6%       .0         X       .0%       .0%       41.1%       33.0%       25.9%       .0         X       .0%       1.4%       2.4%       1.0%       2.4%       .0         X       .0%       9.2%       61.8%       19.9%       9.2%       .0         X       .0%       .0%       .4%       1.0%       2.4%       .0         X       .0%       .0%       .4%       1.0%       2.4%       .0         X       .0%       .0%       .0%       .0%       .0       .0         X       .0%       1.4%       2.4%       1.2%       .0       .0         X       .0%       1.4%       2.4%       .4%       .0%       .0         X       .0%       1.4%       77.2%       11.4%       .0%       .0         X       .0%       1.4%       77.2%       11.4%       .0       .0         X       .0%       .0%					
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%						91.6% 3.6%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%						.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%						.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%						.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%						.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%						.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%						.0%
\$675 Column Percent	.2% 100.0%				.0%		.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	21.5% 4.4%	4.8% 4.5%	12.3% 46.5%	14.0% 42.9%	2.9% 1.6%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	7.7% 21.5%	1.4% 18.3%	.0%	1.5% 60.3%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	11.5% 32.0%	3.2% 35.8%	2.7% 24.2%	4.9% 8.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 25.2%	1.4% 41.9%	1.4% 32.9%	.0%	.0%

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HUI	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 35.1%	.4% 35.1%	.4% 29.9%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 26.0%	.8% 48.1%	.5% 26.0%	.0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9% 100.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	6.4% 100.0%	25.8% 9.6%	8.4% 14.3%	5.8% 39.1%	5.7% 31.6%	2.7% 2.7%	8.4% 2.7%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0% 6.4%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	534.98 180.99 500.00 561.29 9.62	688.03 152.62 .00 1126.38 54.47	403.49 50.52 .00 754.50 223.03	424.45 53.32 .00 718.21 181.45	477.65 212.04 .00 1236.09 33.98	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-252

Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		OURS PER	DAY SPEN	ACTUALLY	HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	52.9% 100.0%	100.0%	57.0% 8.2%	52.3% 35.9%	50.6% 44.8%	53.7% 6.9%	85.4% 4.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 11.2%	2.6% 57.5%	. <b>8%</b> 21.7%	2.3% 9.6%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 10.7%	1.7% 36.6%	1.9% 52.6%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 31.5%	1.1% 42.0%	5.0% 26.5%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 11.4%	1.5% 40.9%	1.4% 47.7%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	17.9% 9.4%	15.1% 38.0%	13.9% 45.1%	16.0% 7.5%	.0%
\$675 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	-0% -0%	9.0% 6.0%	10.0% 31.8%	13.4% 54.7%	12.7% 7.5%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.9% 39.7%	.8% 42.0%	2.3% 18.3%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 4.7%	3.8% 35.3%	5.0% 60.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 52.4%	1.1% 35.8%	2.6% 11.9%	.0%

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	ı	OURS PER	DAY SPENT	ACTUALLY	HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 74.0%	2.7% 26.0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	.0%	6.8% 8.0%	8.8% 50.1%	4.6% 33.5%	2.7%	14.6% 5.6%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0%	100.0% 2.5%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	.00 .00 .00 .00	260.88 69.96 .00 435.50 38.75	378.29 47.86 .00 644.35 181.26	530.44 61.38 .00 959.86 244.56	484.91 162.93 .00 977.69 36.01	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-254

### Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				MC	ONTH IN V	HICH TR	P STARTE	D			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	.0%	47.9% 5.3%	40.9% 5.4%	74.3% 1.0%	100.0% .7%	57.5% 23.4%	53.1% 48.6%	41.8% 8.4%	62.3% 2.8%	100.0%	80.8% 4.1%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	3.1% 11.2%	4.8% 20.3%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 20.8%	.7% 21.7%	4.0% 25.9%	.0%	.0%	
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 9.2%	4.9% 19.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 71.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 52.5%	.7% 47.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 12.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 12.2%	2.0% 75.6%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.6% 11.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 13.4%	1.5% 52.3%	2.9% 22.8%	.0%	.0%	
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 1.3%	13.8% 6.7%	.0%	.0%	14.3% 21.3%	16.4% 55.3%	14.2% 10.5%	23.1% 3.9%	.0%	
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	.0%	10.9% 5.6%	15.4% 9.3%	.0%	.0%	13.7% 25.7%	10.2% 43.1%	14.3% 13.3%	14.6% 3.1%	.0%	
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	5.7% 39.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 20.6%	.4% 21.5%	1.5% 18.3%	.0%	.0%	
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	50.0% 4.7%	8.4% 12.7%	13.2% 23.5%	.0%	.0%	3.1% 17.2%	2.3% 28.7%	3.1% 8.5%	.0%	.0%	
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	4.2% 16.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 35.8%	.7% 23.9%	3.3% 23.7%	.0%	.0%	

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

## Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				МС	NI KTNO	HICH TR	P STARTE	D			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.7% 35.1%	.0%	.8% 35.1%	.0%	1.5% 29.9%	.0%	.0%	
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	50.0% 26.0%	.0%	2.6% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 26.0%	.3% 22.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	.0%	8.7% 8.0%	4.5% 4.9%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 5.6%	7.8% 58.7%	12.1% 20.1%	.0%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0%		100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0%	100.0%	
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	36.31 .00 822.37	3500.00 1498.69 3500.00 2120.39 2.00	581.54 159.12 .00 863.12 29.42	168.14 200.00	1027.73 1027.12 .00 2027.23 3.90	.00 .00 .00 .00	451.42 77.98 .00 839.52 115.90	357.85 45.53 .00 711.65 244.35	546.99 117.94 100.00 844.40 51.26	261.31 105.44 .00 382.56 13.16	.00 .00 .00 .00	147.24 .00 545.51

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-256 August, 1994

## Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	SS
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	52.9% 100.0%	72.4% 13.3%	53.0% 73.5%	35.6% 8.2%	51.0% 2.4%	59.8% 2.6%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	1.4% 64.5%	4.7% 35.5%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.9% 10.7%	2.1% 89.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.8% 25.2%	.7% 74.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 50.9%	3.6% 34.8%	7.3% 14.3%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	.0%	.9% 47.7%	5.8% 52.3%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	11.2% 7.6%	14.1% 71.7%	21.3% 18.2%	7.3% 1.3%	8.1% 1.3%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	7.4% 6.3%	11.2% 71.8%	12.9% 13.8%	21.0% 4.6%	17.5% 3.4%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	1.9% 21.5%	.7% 57.1%	1.5% 21.5%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	4.1% 77.4%	5.9% 18.6%	6.2% 4.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

# Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	s
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 74.0%	1.5% 26.0%	.0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent	6.4% 100.0%	3.4% 5.2%	6.4% 72.9%	7.2% 13.9%	7.3% 2.9%	14.6% 5.2%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.7%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0% 2.3%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	172.90 49.13 .00 352.53 51.48	468.28 45.38 .00 879.78 375.83	527.56 100.88 400.00 796.69 62.36	422.37 170.18 .00 608.48 12.78	252.42 131.35 .00 426.93 10.56

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-258

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWING	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent	52.9% 100.0%	70.6% 2.3%	61.3% 11.0%	55.9% 52.1%	44.4% 30.7%	78.9% 3.0%	46.4% .8%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6%	10.5% 11.2%	1.6% 9.6%	1.4% 43.7%	1.6% 35.5%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5% 72.5%	1.3% 27.5%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3%	.0%	1.6% 12.2%	1.1% 40.8%	1.6% 47.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 52.3%	1.8% 47.7%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	12.9% 8.5%	14.7% 50.5%	16.1% 41.0%	.0%	.0%
\$675 Column Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	10.0%	13.2% 11.0%	10.9% 47.0%	12.7% 40.5%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	1.9% 21.5%	1.0% 58.0%	.5% 20.6%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	8.9% 4.0%	3.5% 8.5%	2.1% 26. <b>6%</b>	6.5% 60.9%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	2.0% 48.9%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWIN	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 35.1%	.9% 64.9%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 51.9%	-9% 48.1%	.0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column PercentRow Percent	6.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.9% 5.8%	5.4% 41.5%	6.7% 38.4%	21.1% 6.7%	53.6% 7.6%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	289.05 209.10 .00 646.57 9.56	313.70 73.29 .00 518.92 50.13	407.23 52.51 .00 839.18 255.36	553.17 64.50 200.00 881.70 186.89	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 2.30

# Table A-12 RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	COMPARIS		G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	İ	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent	52.9% 100.0%	73.4% 4.0%	47.2% 71.6%	75.0% 20.9%	83.9% 3.5%	55.5% 49.0%	50.2% 44.3%	53.3% 6.7%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6%	.0%	1.6% 78.3%	2.4% 21.7%	.0%	1.5% 44.2%	1.2% 35.5%	5.0% 20.3%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 72.5%	3.2% 27.5%	.0%	2.6% 72.5%	1.0% 27.5%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 73.8%	.0%	2.8% 26.2%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 85.7%	.4% 14.3%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 42.9%	1.3% 45.7%	2.3% 11.4%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	11.7% 2.4%	16.2% 90.4%	5.9% 6.0%	8.0% 1.2%	15.4% 50.0%	13.9% 45.2%	10.5% 4.8%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 100.0%	6.3% 1.6%	13.1% 91.6%	5.3% 6.9%	.0%	10.8% 43.9%	12.5% 51.0%	8.8% 5.1%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 100.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	.0%	4.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 35.6%	5.0% 59.7%	2.8% 4.7%

(continued)

Table A-12
RESPONDENTS NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

·	TOTAL	COMPARIS		IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER	USED GUIDE			
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	_	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING	
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 23.9%	2.4% 76.1%	.0%	
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 74.0%	1.2% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7% 100.0%	
MISSING Column Percent	6.4% 100.0%	8.5% 3.8%	6.2% 77.2%	7.0% 16.2%	8.0% 2.7%	4.8% 35.2%	7.3% 53.6%	10.8% 11.2%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0% 2.2%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.26 36.31 .00 822.37 513.02	133.42 78.83 .00 299.61 14.45	511.73 42.42 .00 861.50 412.44	164.93 71.62 .00 620.93 75.17	43.74 44.75 .00 148.19 10.97	309.39 33.06 .00 515.80 243.37	567.25 63.31 .00 975.27 237.30	493.18 219.71 .00 1249.60 32.35	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-262

# Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### By Species Targeted by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	9.15168	16	-90704
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	25.21176	16	.06618
CARIBOU TARGETED	22.33718	16	.13263
MOOSE TARGETED	18.32908	16	.30498
WOLF TARGETED	10.29262	16	.85091
SHEEP TARGETED	11.66599	16	.76663
GOAT TARGETED	3.98432	16	.99893
DEER TARGETED	23.62017	16	.09813
ELK TARGETED	1.98411	16	.99999
WATERFOWL TARGETED	91.57416	16	.00000

### By Species Bagged by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
DIACK DEAD DACCED	12 74/77	14	71051
BLACK BEAR BAGGED BROWN BEAR BAGGED	12.36477 25.71649	16 16	.71851 .05815
CARIBOU BAGGED	17.07154	16	.38098
MOOSE BAGGED	10.62680	16	.83191
WOLF BAGGED	16.98266	16	.38672
SHEEP BAGGED	13.49836	16	.63603
GOAT BAGGED	3.26726	16	.99970
DEER BAGGED ELK BAGGED	36.67124 ***	16	.00233
WATERFOWL BAGGED	125.67431	16	.00000

#### By Trophy Species Bagged by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
	*****		
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	43.57769	16	.00023
BROWN BEAR BAGGED	38,90234	16	.00112
CARIBOU BAGGED	13,98317	16	-59997
MOOSE BAGGED	7.30481	16	.96693
WOLF BAGGED	109.26990	16	.00000
SHEEP BAGGED	13.16641	16	.66055
GOAT BAGGED	常食者		
DEER BAGGED	10.22727	16	.85449
ELK BAGGED	***		
WATERFOWL BAGGED	***		

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

## Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

### By Species Hunted by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	10.58410	16	.83440
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	15.89712	16	.46016
CARIBOU TARGETED	19.32374	16	.25223
MOOSE TARGETED	9.82188	16	.87576
WOLF TARGETED	2.37402	16	.99997
SHEEP TARGETED	8.84849	16	.91952
GOAT TARGETED	2.01920	16	.99999
DEER TARGETED	2.27859	16	.99997
ELK TARGETED WATERFOWL TARGETED	1.31266	16	1.00000

### By Species Bagged by Respondent for the First Time

Value	DF	Significance
13.29511	16	.65107
28.50547	16	.02749
10.05287	16	.86385
19.12855	16	.26205
1.43271	16	1.00000
18.02004	16	.32273
.65760	16	1,00000
64.33774	16	.00000
***		
***		
	13.29511 28.50547 10.05287 19.12855 1.43271 18.02004 .65760 64.33774	13.29511 16 28.50547 16 10.05287 16 19.12855 16 1.43271 16 18.02004 16 .65760 16 64.33774 16

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Page A-264 August, 1994

Table A-13
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WIL	DLIFE SPEC	IES TARGET	ED.			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	54.0% 15.0%	52.7% 20.7%	48.8% 46.3%	57.3% 41.3%	70.4% 5.1%	60.8% 12.9%	72.0% 1.9%	72.3% 6.3%	68.9% 1.5%	41.0% 1.2%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 31.6%	3.0% 35.5%	1.2% 33.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 19.9%	1.6% 18.3%	1.5% 41.9%	1.4% 29.0%	.0%	3.0% 18.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.8% 51.4%	1.5% 74.8%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 12.2%	.0%	1.2% 42.0%	2.2% 61.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 29.5%	.0%	1.3% 45.7%	.9% 22.8%	.0%	1.8% 13.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.5% 15.4%	7.7% 10.8%	19.4% 66.0%	12.4% 32.1%	5.1% 1.3%	8.2% 6.3%	.0%	8.7% 2.7%	14.6% 1.1%	13.0%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.5% 100.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 9.7%	15.3% 26.4%	13.8% 57.8%	8.6% 27.3%	10.0% 3.2%	9.7% 9.1%	14.0%	15.4% 5.9%	.0%	28.5% 3.6%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.6% 58.0%	.3% 18.3%	.5% 21.5%	5.1% 21.5%	1.7% 20.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.8% 15.6%	4.5% 25.8%	2.6% 36.7%	4.6% 48.5%	.0%	1.5% 4.7%	14.0% 5.5%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	3.9% 50.9%	1.1% 35.8%	1.1% 26.8%	4.4% 10.5%	3.2% 22.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

# Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

		WILDLIFE SPECIES TARGETED										
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL		
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 35.1%	.8% 29.9%	.3% 29.9%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.9% 51.9%	.3% 22.1%	.4% 22.1%	.0%	1.8% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	. <b>0%</b> .0%	.0% .0%	.0%		
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.0% 14.0%	6.2% 20.2%	6.7% 53.2%	7.1% 42.6%	4.9% 3.0%	6.6% 11.7%	.0%	.0%	16.5% 3.0%	.0%		
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 14.5%	100.0% 20.5%	100.0% 49.4%	100.0% 37.6%	100.0%	100.0% 11.1%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	366.46 84.72 .00 710.58 70.35	605.76 105.05 .00 1046.38 99.21	432.43 50.23 .00 775.10 238.08	392.51 61.69 .00 828.96 180.56	351.24 173.79 .00 748.71 18.56	491.90 142.83 .00 1043.20 53.34	419.32 291.37 .00 779.70 7.16	221.82 79.66 .00 386.41 23.53	87.65 96.74 .00 213.31 4.86	472.67 163.92 500.00 454.91 7.70		

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-266** August, 1994

Table A-13
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

		WILDLIFE SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT											
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL			
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	41.4% 7.1%	42.9% 15.5%	49.4% 53.7%	53.1% 23.4%	50.0% 1.0%	51.6% 11.5%	46.0% .5%	55.3% 3.8%	.0%	57.4% 1.7%			
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	4.8% 75.9%	.5% 24.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 30.3%	1.3% 13.9%	.9% 27.9%	1.1% 13.9%	.0%	2.1% 13.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.0% 69.7%	1.1% 30.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 15.1%	1.6% 19.2%	1.4% 50.6%	2.3% 32.8%	.0%	2.4% 17.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 19.3%	.0%	1.3% 58.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 22.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 7.7%	8.2% 9.2%	19.3% 65.3%	13.6% 18.7%	.0%	7.0% 4.8%	.0%	16.1% 3.5%	.0%	18.2% 1.7%			
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	24.5% 100.0%			
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	15.6% 9.8%	17.3% 22.8%	13.9% 55.0%	8.6% 13.9%	.0%	11.5% 9.3%	54.0% 2.0%	21.9% 5.5%	.0%	.0%			
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.8% 39.7%	.4% 18.3%	1.2% 21.5%	.0%	2.3% 20.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 12.0%	6.1% 25.9%	3.4% 43.3%	7.6% 39.5%	27.0% 6.5%	2.1% 5.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 11.9%	3.5% 32.3%	1.4% 40.6%	2.7% 30.4%	23.0% 11.9%	4.4% 25.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			

(continued)

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

# Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

		WILDLIFE SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT										
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL		
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.2% 35.1%	1.3% 29.9%	.4% 29.9%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.0% 51.9%	.4% 22.1%	1.1% 22.1%	.0%	2.4% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	5.7% 7.0%	7.3% 18.7%	5.7% 43.8%	7.8% 24.4%	.0%	9.2% 14.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.3%	100.0% 17.5%	100.0% 52.6%	100.0% 21.4%	100.0%	100.0% 10.8%	100.0%	100.0% 3.3%	.0%	100.0% 1.5%		
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean., Median Std. Deviation., Nonmissing Cases	617.79 173.87 200.00 950.20 29.87	751.11 149.46 100.00 1171.69 61.46	471.83 61.71 .00 847.07 188.41	507.58 102.88 .00 889.18 74.69	1229.95 777.75 1000.00 1497.28 3.71	661.68 197.34 .00 1203.04 37.16	540.11 539.59 1000.00 734.54 1.85	344.49 120.94 .00 430.60 12.68	.00 .00 .00 .00	262.08 146.44 .00 343.56 5.50		

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

Table A-13
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				TROPHY S	SPECIES BAG	GED BY RES	PONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	28.0% 5.4%	35.1% 15.3%	47.0% 37.1%	55.5% 24.6%	.0%	62.0% 17.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	6.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	9.0% 42.0%	1.9% 19.3%	2.0% 38.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 31.5%	1.9% 31.5%	.0%	2.1% 37.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 24.0%	.0%	2.0% 47.9%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 28.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	17. <b>8%</b> 9.7%	7.7% 9.4%	20.8% 45.9%	19.9% 24.6%	.0%	6.2% 4.9%	.0%	53.0% 5.4%	.0%	.0%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.6% 8.7%	19.1% 25.5%	15.8% 38.3%	8.2% 11.1%	.0%	12.9% 11.2%	.0%	47.0% 5.3%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.9% 47.5%	.0%	2.1% 26.2%	100.0% 26.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.0% 16.3%	10.9% 44.0%	2.0% 15.0%	4.2% 17.2%	.0%	2.9% 7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.1% 33.1%	.0%	2.3% 37.4%	.0%	2.9% 29.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

		TROPHY SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT										
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL		
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	4.9% 35.1%	.0%	1.0% 29.9%	.0% .0%	.0%	3.3% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	4.3% 51.9%	.0%	1.8% 22.1%	.0%	3.3% 26.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 6.5%	6.2% 21.6%	8.1% 51.0%	3.9% 13.7%	.0%	3.2% 7.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.9%	100.0% 19.9%	100.0% 36.0%	100.0% 20.2%	100.0%	100.0% 12.9%	.0%	100.0% 1.7%	.0%	.0%		
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	715.82 220.89 500.00 979.92 19.68	855.74 183.03 500.00 1202.75 43.18	390.86 67.89 .00 594.07 76.58	482.31 136.63 .00 916.22 44.97	1500.00 .00 1500.00 .00	637.62 235.48 .00 1266.74 28.94	.00 .00 .00	735.02 147.72 500.00 290.00 3.85	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00		

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

### Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	SPECIES HUNTED BY RESPONDENT FOR THE FIRST TIME									
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	55.1% 9.4%	48.8% 19.0%	47.0% 44.8%	53.9% 37.1%	81.4% 2.4%	59.0% 11.8%	73.8% 1.6%	65.6% 4.3%	54.8% 1.3%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	6.3% 23.3%	4.6% 39.7%	1.8% 36.9%	.9% 13.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	6.3% 24.8%	1.3% 11.4%	1.8% 40.9%	1.4% 22.8%	.0%	2.4% 11.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.6% 34.1%	1.5% 65.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.7% 77.0%	1.5% 50.0%	.0%	2.9% 27.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.5% 75.0%	1.4% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	12.0% 6.3%	9.4% 11.4%	21.5% 63.5%	14.8% 31.6%	.0%	8.6% 5.3%	.0%	17.7% 3.6%	21.3% 1.5%	.0%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.4% 6.8%	19.4% 29.1%	13.6% 50.2%	9.0% 23.9%	18.6% 2.1%	13.5% 10.4%	26.2% 2.2%	16.7% 4.2%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.3% 46.0%	.0%	.8% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	3.4% 7.9%	4.2% 22.7%	2.4% 31.8%	5.9% 55.8%	.0%	2.4% 6.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.8% 39.9%	1.2% 40.2%	.9% 22.5%	.0%	2.8% 19.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

### Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	SPECIES HUNTED BY RESPONDENT FOR THE FIRST TIME										
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL	
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.3%	.5% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.5% 54.0%	.5% 46.0%	.7% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.6% 9.9%	5.5% 18.7%	5.1% 42.8%	6.4% 38.8%	.0%	5.5% 9.7%	.0%	.0%	23.9% 4.8%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.6%	100.0% 19.6%	100.0% 48.0%	100.0% 34.7%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0%	100.0% 1.1%	100.0% 3.3%	100.0% 1.2%	.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	268.07 90.73 .00 477.37 27.68	592.45 123.23 .00 987.31 64.19	463.12 68.28 100.00 857.90 157.87	406.13 68.89 .00 730.19 112.33	185.94 190.77 .00 433.31 5.16	463.27 158.85 .00 911.86 32.95	262.47 262.32 .00 512.24 3.81	255.45 117.80 .00 399.63 11.51	139.70 156.69 .00 273.65 3.05	.00 .00 .00 .00	

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

## Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT FOR THE FIRST TIME									
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	54.9% 6.7%	45.1% 19.2%	49.8% 52.1%	44.1% 19.2%	100.0% 1.4%	56.4% 12.8%	100.0%	24.6% 1.4%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	5.6% 75.9%	.7% 24.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	11.6% 35.2%	1.5% 16.2%	.6% 16.2%	1.5% 16.2%	.0%	5.7% 32.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.4% 69.7%	1.5% 30.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	2.1% 61.3%	3.2% 38.7%	.0%	3.3% 20.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 4.4%	7.9% 11.4%	17.9% 64.0%	14.4% 21.5%	.0%	3.3% 2.6%	.0%	27.2% 5.3%	.0%	.0%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 2.5%	15.1% 24.4%	13.6% 54.2%	6.7% 11.1%	.0%	15.7% 13.6%	.0%	36.9% 8.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.3% 48.6%	.7% 25.2%	1.7% 26.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 8.5%	3.6% 17.1%	2.9% 34.0%	10.6% 51.9%	.0%	2.8% 7.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 11.9%	4.1% 32.3%	2.1% 40.6%	3.8% 30.4%	.0%	6.1% 25.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

# Table A-13 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT FOR THE FIRST TIME									
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.5% 46.0%	.6% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.6% 70.1%	.6% 29.9%	1.5% 29.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$6,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.0% 5.2%	8.7% 26.2%	4.9% 36.6%	11.0% 34.2%	.0%	3.2% 5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 20.6%	100.0% 50.8%	100.0% 21.2%	100.0%	100.0% 11.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2. <b>8%</b>	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.42 218.42 .00 847.69 15.06	748.37 173.94 100.00 1244.01 51.15	454.91 67.59 .00 773.76 131.06	653.28 142.11 200.00 1016.65 51.18	.00 .00 .00 .00	586.61 195.24 .00 1050.74 28.96	.00 .00 .00 .00	580.86 152.04 500.00 416.89 7.52	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST)

### By Species Targeted by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	9.97836	8	.26655
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	11.13246	8	.19431
CARIBOU TARGETED	***		
MOOSE TARGETED	1,27668	8	.99582
WOLF TARGETED	2.37035	8	.96750
SHEEP TARGETED	***		
MTN. GOAT TARGETED	4.18268	8	.84028
DEER TARGETED	3.05593	8	.93080
ELK TARGETED	***		
WATERFOUL TARGETED	***		

### By Species Bagged by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	14.74701	8	.06426
BROWN BEAR BAGGED	6.57102	8	.58354
CARIBOU BAGGED	***		
MOOSE BAGGED	***		
WOLF BAGGED	***		
SHEEP BAGGED	***		
MTN. GOAT BAGGED	.80051	8	.99922
DEER BAGGED	1.62882	8	.99036
ELK BAGGED	***	_	*******
WATERFOWL BAGGED	***		

# By Trophy Species Bagged by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
	***************************************		
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	16.13950	8	.04043
BROWN BEAR BAGGED	3.46575	8	.90183
CARIBOU BAGGED	***		
MOOSE BAGGED	<b>★★★</b>		
WOLF BAGGED	***		
SHEEP BAGGED	.80051	8	.99922
MTN. GOAT BAGGED	***		
DEER BAGGED	***		
ELK BAGGED	***		
WATERFOWL BAGGED	***		

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 69 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

August, 1994

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST)

# By Species Hunted by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	10.31461	8	.24364
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	5.65305	8	.68603
CARIBOU TARGETED	***	_	
MOOSE TARGETED	***		
WOLF TARGETED	***		
SHEEP TARGETED	***		
MTN. GOAT TARGETED	1.73488	8	.98809
DEER TARGETED	-80051	8	.99922
ELK TARGETED	***	•	.,,,,
WATERFOWL TARGETED	***		

# By Species Bagged by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	9.70305	8	.28649
BROWN BEAR BAGGED	7.34127	8	.50030
CARIBOU BAGGED	***		
MOOSE BAGGED	***		
WOLF BAGGED	***		
SHEEP BAGGED	***		
MTN. GOAT BAGGED	-80051	8	.99922
DEER BAGGED	***	_	
ELK BAGGED	***		
WATERFOWL BAGGED	***		

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 69 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Page A-276

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL)

# By Species Targeted by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	7.22778	11	.78035
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	23.67665	11	.01417
CARIBOU TARGETED	19.23705	11	.05697
MOOSE TARGETED	14.61423	11	.20085
WOLF TARGETED	1.80323	11	.99908
SHEEP TARGETED	1.66792	11	.99936
GOAT TARGETED	11.01019	11	.44241
DEER TARGETED	2.16068	11	.99785
ELK TARGETED	***		
WATERFOWL TARGETED	7.88098	11	.72392

# By Species Bagged by Respondent

Factor	Value 	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED BROWN BEAR BAGGED CARIBOU BAGGED MOOSE BAGGED WOLF BAGGED SHEEP BAGGED GOAT BAGGED DEER BAGGED	2.47089 17.06984 14.02261 5.53257 32.60375 1.15553 5.19782 1.23250	11 11 11 11 11 11	.99604 .10583 .23175 .90266 .00061 .99990 .92121
ELK BAGGED WATERFOWL BAGGED	*** 4.24622	11	.96215

# By Trophy Species Bagged by Respondent

Value	DF	Significance
1.54967	11	.99955
23.01081	11	.01761
11.62251	11	.39268
2.99523	11	.99079
***		
1.83083	11	.99901
***		
***		
***		
***		
	1.54967 23.01081 11.62251 2.99523 *** 1.83083 ***	1.54967 11 23.01081 11 11.62251 11 2.99523 11 *** 1.83083 11 ***

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 239 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

August, 1994

NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL)

### By Species Hunted by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	8.28367	11	.68769
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	26.13360	11	.00620
CARIBOU TARGETED	25.26121	11	.00835
MOOSE TARGETED	9.71855	11	<b>.5</b> 5587
WOLF TARGETED	***		*****
SHEEP TARGETED	.72436	11	.99999
GOAT TARGETED	2,06116	11	.99827
DEER TARGETED	***		
ELK TARGETED	***		
WATERFOWL TARGETED	***		

### By Species Bagged by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED BROWN BEAR BAGGED CARIBOU BAGGED MOOSE BAGGED WOLF BAGGED	1.70178 24.70837 10.99931 8.13180	11 11 11 11	.99930 .01006 .44332 .70145
SHEEP BAGGED MTN. GOAT BAGGED DEER BAGGED ELK BAGGED WATERFOWL BAGGED	1.62827 *** *** *** ***	11	.99943

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 239 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Page A-278

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR)

### By Species Targeted by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
***************************************			
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	7.46711	13	.87650
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	8.76061	13	.79077
CARIBOU TARGETED	15.68668	13	.26646
MOOSE TARGETED	20.54378	13	.08246
WOLF TARGETED	9.16510	13	.76036
SHEEP TARGETED	10.60624	13	.64377
MTN. GOAT TARGETED	***		
DEER TARGETED	***		
ELK TARGETED	***		
WATERFOWL TARGETED	54.92832	13	.00000

# By Species Bagged by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	14.44165	13	.34349
BROWN BEAR BAGGED CARIBOU BAGGED	16.93982 9.68175	13 13	.20207 .71973
MOOSE BAGGED	18.01842	13	.15682
WOLF BAGGED SHEEP BAGGED	22.35020 14.95183	13 13	.05017 .31037
MTN. GOAT BAGGED DEER BAGGED	***		
ELK BAGGED	***		
WATERFOWL BAGGED	54.92832	13	.00000

# By Trophy Species Bagged by Respondent

Value	DF	Significance
40.13850	13	.00013
37.35832	13	.00036
5.82941	13	.95214
12.94274	13	.45224
***		
8,35086	13	.82002
who		
sår sår		
all		
***		
	40.13850 37.35832 5.82941 12.94274 *** 8.35086 ***	40.13850 13 37.35832 13 5.82941 13 12.94274 13 *** 8.35086 13 ***

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 168 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR)

# By Species Hunted by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	3.02442	13	.99784
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	3.21893	13	.99702
CARIBOU TARGETED	10.32857	13	.66687
MOOSE TARGETED	16.44629	13	.22587
WOLF TARGETED	1.56019	13	.99995
SHEEP TARGETED	8.71578	13	.79405
MTN. GOAT TARGETED	***		
DEER TARGETED	***		
ELK TARGETED	***		
WATERFOWL TARGETED	***		

# By Species Bagged by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED BROWN BEAR BAGGED CARIBOU BAGGED MOOSE BAGGED WOLF BAGGED SHEEP BAGGED MTN. GOAT BAGGED DEER BAGGED ELK BAGGED WATERFOWL BAGGED	13.90626 13.86647 6.20835 21.74290 .71250 18.05837 ***	13 13 13 13 13 13	.38048 .38332 .93824 .05948 1.00000 .15532

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 168 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Page A-280

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST)

### By Species Targeted by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	***		
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	11.66292	7	.11220
CARIBOU TARGETED	7.23675	7	.40465
MOOSE TARGETED	***		
WOLF TARGETED	***		
SHEEP TARGETED	***		
MTN. GOAT TARGETED	***		
DEER TARGETED	6.46707	7	.48639
ELK TARGETED	3.02984	7	.88223
WATERFOWL TARGETED	<b>大大</b>		

### By Species Bagged by Respondent

Value	DF	Significance
***		
10.15324	7	.18005
***		
***		
***		
***		
***		
5.20786	7	.63461
***		
***		
	*** 10.15324 *** *** *** *** 5.20786	*** 10.15324

# By Trophy Species Bagged by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	29.58131	7	.00011
BROWN BEAR BAGGED	17.64964	7	.01366
CARIBOU BAGGED	***		
MOOSE BAGGED	***		
WOLF BAGGED	***		
SHEEP BAGGED	***		
MTN. GOAT BAGGED	***		
DEER BAGGED	11.08726	7	. 13486
ELK BAGGED	***	•	
WATERFOWL BAGGED	***		

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 41 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST)

### By Species Hunted by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	***		
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	9.51252	7	.21792
CARIBOU TARGETED	***		
MOOSE TARGETED	***		
WOLF TARGETED	***		
SHEEP TARGETED	***		
MTN. GOAT TARGETED	***		
DEER TARGETED	3.72918	7	.81039
ELK TARGETED	1.92880	7	.96368
WATERFOWL TARGETED	***	-	*

### By Species Bagged by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	***		
BROWN BEAR BAGGED	12.75504	7	.07831
CARIBOU BAGGED	***		
MOOSE BAGGED	***		
WOLF BAGGED	***		
SHEEP BAGGED	***		
MTN. GOAT BAGGED	***		
DEER BAGGED	10.10828	7	. 18252
ELK BAGGED	***		*
WATERFOWL BAGGED	***		

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 41 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Page **A-**282

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN)

### By Species Targeted by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
***************************************			
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	.87436	5	.97205
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	11.55179	5	.04147
CARIBOU TARGETED	7.74007	5	.17115
MOOSE TARGETED	4.70884	5	.45244
WOLF TARGETED	8.54415	5	.12869
SHEEP TARGETED	.87436	5	.97205
MTN. GOAT TARGETED	***		
DEER TARGETED	***		
ELK TARGETED	www.		
WATERFOWL TARGETED	***		

# By Species Bagged by Respondent

Value	DF	Significance
***		
11.26247	5	.04642
7.37664	5	.19410
6.31002	5	.27721
.42635	5	.99457
.87436	5	.97205
***	-	
***		
***		
***		
	*** 11.26247 7.37664 6.31002 .42635 .87436 ***	*** 11.26247 5 7.37664 5 6.31002 5 .42635 5 .87436 5 ***

# By Trophy Species Bagged by Respondent

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	***		
BROWN BEAR BAGGED	12.84641	5	.02486
CARIBOU BAGGED	1.53648	5	.90882
MOOSE BAGGED	1.31805	5	.93306
WOLF BAGGED	41.41344	5	.00000
SHEEP BAGGED	.87436	5	.97205
MTN. GOAT BAGGED	***		
DEER BAGGED	***		
ELK BAGGED	***		
WATERFOWL BAGGED	***		

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 46 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN)

### By Species Hunted by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
DIACK DEAD TARGETED	/2/75	-	00/57
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	.42635	5	.99457
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	4.46393	5	.48472
CARIBOU TARGETED	5.10576	5	.40311
MOOSE TARGETED	1.99790	5	.84944
WOLF TARGETED	1.03404	5	.95977
SHEEP TARGETED	.42635	5	.99457
MTN. GOAT TARGETED	***		
DEER TARGETED	***		
ELK TARGETED	安安安		
WATERFOWL TARGETED	***		

### By Species Bagged by Respondent for the First Time

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
	*		
BLACK BEAR BAGGED	***		
BROWN BEAR BAGGED	11.26247	5	.04642
CARIBOU BAGGED	6.80645	5	.23544
MOOSE BAGGED	7.12894	5	.21123
WOLF BAGGED	.42635	5	.99457
SHEEP BAGGED	.87436	5	.97205
MTN. GOAT BAGGED	***		
DEER BAGGED	***		
ELK BAGGED	***		
WATERFOWL BAGGED	***		

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 46 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST) (in 1991 dollars)

				WILE	DLIFE SPECI	ES TARGETE	D			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	41.1% 49.3%	60.5% 58.1%	.0%	100.0% 5.3%	66.2% 7.7%	.0%	100.0% 16.4%	82.6% 15.9%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	6.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	2.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	2.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	18.1% 100.0%	4.1% 18.1%	.0%	.0%	33.8% 18.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	12.9% 64.2%	17.6% 69.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.4% 13.9%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.6% 49.9%	8.2% 50.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	5.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	3.1% 48.9%	4.1% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 61.1%	100.0% 48.9%	.0%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 5.9%	.0%	100.0% 8.3%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	402.12 102.66 200.00 557.57 29.50	549.12 183.53 .00 887.60 23.39	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	169.01 168.90 .00 290.63 2.96	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00 4.16	173.81 191.80 .00 424.72 4.90	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO TARGET MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 69 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 1

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST) (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES BA	GGED BY RE	SPONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	31.5% 36.8%	51.3% 54.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 6.1%	100.0% 12.2%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	11.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	10.5% 63.0%	6.8% 37.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	24.2% 100.0%	12.5% 47.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.1% 33.2%	13.6% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	9.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	5.9% 48.9%	6.8% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 53.5%	100.0% 48.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0% 5.6%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	505.95 146.67 300.00 574.36 15.33	753.36 285.17 .00 1060.27 13.82	.00 .00 .00 .00							

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 69 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 1

Page **A-2**86 August, 1994

# Table A-14 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST) (in 1991 dollars)

			****	TROPHY S	SPECIES BAG	GED BY RES	PONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	24.1% 31.1%	48.7% 59.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 9.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	15.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	7.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	7.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	21.9% 72.7%	8.6% 27.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	16.5% 50.8%	16.7% 49.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	8.2% 33.2%	17.3% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	8.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 49.4%	100.0% 47.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	518.30 164.94 400.00 575.15 12.16	609.36 248.74 .00 809.87 10.60	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BAG MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 69 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 1

August, 1994

# Table A-14 NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST) (in 1991 dollars)

			SPE	CIES HUNTER	BY RESPON	IDENT FOR T	HE FIRST T	IME		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	31.8% 30.7%	55.8% 57.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0% 5.4%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	12.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	23.2% 78.0%	6.1% 22.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	13.6% 48.7%	19.9% 76.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 33.2%	12.2% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	6.3% 48.9%	6.1% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 47.1%	100.0% 50.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	440.93 149.06 200.00 565.02 14.37	503.44 184.44 .00 726.22 15.50	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00 1.81	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 69 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 1

Page **A-**288 August, 1994

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST) (in 1991 dollars)

			SPE	CIES BAGGE	BY RESPON	NDENT FOR 1	THE FIRST	IME		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	39.4% 34.1%	55.1% 62.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0% 7.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	17.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	16.2% 63.0%	7.2% 37.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	8.1% 100.0%	6.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.5% 33.2%	14.6% 66.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	9.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	9.1% 48.9%	7.2% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 44.1%	100.0% 58.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 3.6%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	427.16 210.54 200.00 650.42 9.54	734.10 307.66 .00 1101.72 12.82	.00 .00 .00 .00							

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 69 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 1

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL) (in 1991 dollars)

				WILD	LIFE SPECI	ES TARGETE	D			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	63.1% 11.4%	52.9% 22.9%	40.0% 52.7%	46.6% 28.6%	73.8% 3.0%	56.7% 6.3%	33.3% 1.1%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	5.0% 20.5%	5.6% 55.4%	.8% 24.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.1%	2.4% 78.2%	1.5% 21.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.6% 59.0%	4.2% 74.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.4%	1.5% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	10.9% 5.1%	8.7% 9.8%	22.8% 78.4%	13.0% 20.8%	.0%	25.7% 7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	31.3% 2.8%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	12.8% 17.5%	18.8% 78.6%	16.6% 32.3%	26.2% 3.4%	17.6% 6.2%	33.3% 3.4%	.0%	.0%	68.7% 7.4%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.1% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	2.2% 47.7%	6.6% 67.3%	.0%	.0%	33.3% 17.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	4.7% 100.0%	.8% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.1% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	21.0% 23.0%	9.1% 24.0%	8.0% 64.1%	8.6% 32.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.5%	100.0% 20.5%	100.0% 62.6%	100.0% 29.1%	100.0% 1.9%	100.0% 5.3%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0%	.0%	100.0% 1.6%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	75.37 48.70 .00 178.43 13.42	480.07 150.46 .00 917.20 37.16	458.21 56.77 400.00 607.84 114.65	432.68 80.30 .00 584.51 52.98	262.47 262.32 .00 512.24 3.81	304.21 124.35 .00 403.67 10.54	1000.00 576.97 1000.00 999.78 3.00	.00 .00 .00 .00 2.35	.00 .00 .00 .00	843.56 156.34 1000.00 279.63 3.20

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 239 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 2

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL) (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES BA	AGGED BY RI	SPONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	58.5% 5.6%	37.5% 16.4%	40.0% 59.5%	46.1% 17.8%	.0%	57.7% 5.7%	.0%	100.0% 2.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	7.8% 69.7%	1.0% 30.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.6% 56.0%	.8% 44.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	28.4% 5.9%	12.0% 11.3%	20.9% 67.2%	18.6% 15.5%	.0%	27.5% 5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 3.2%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	16.8% 17.8%	21.4% 77.3%	18.7% 17.5%	.0%	14.8% 3.6%	100.0% 3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.4% 21.3%	2.7% 57.5%	7.6% 42.5%	100.0% 21.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.2% 100.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.9% 100.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	13.1% 6.6%	13.0% 29.8%	8.0% 62.5%	9.0% 18.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 4.2%	100.0% 19.1%	100.0% 65.0%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0%	100.0% 4.3%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Normissing Cases	163.52 108.59 .00 258.48 5.67	603.05 188.39 100.00 958.41 25.88	497.43 67.77 500.00 653.32 92.94	475.16 126.54 .00 618.12 23.86	2000.00 .00 2000.00 .00 1.00	285.57 153.45 .00 398.66 6.75	1000.00 .00 1000.00 .00 1.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	500.00 .00 500.00 .00 1.00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 239 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 2

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL) (in 1991 dollars)

			<del></del>	TROPHY S	SPECIES BAC	GED BY RES	PONDENT	***************************************		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	60.3% 6.9%	36.5% 14.1%	38.4% 46.2%	49.3% 23.2%	.0%	57.7% 9.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	14.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% . <b>0%</b>	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	21.5% 5.8%	5.4% 4.9%	20.0% 56.4%	25.5% 28.0%	.0%	12.6% 4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	19.3% 15.5%	22.1% 55.0%	19.9% 19.3%	.0%	29.7% 10.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	6.3% 27.0%	3.5% 46.0%	5.2% 27.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	6.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	18.3% 11.9%	11.8% 26.0%	9.0% 62.1%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 4.9%	100.0% 16.5%	100.0% 51.4%	100.0% 20.1%	.0%	100.0% 7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	131.24 131.16 .00 256.12 3.81	617.00 243.13 100.00 906.99 13.92	521.73 106.05 500.00 707.86 44.56	431.80 125.71 500.00 549.62 19.11	.00 .00 .00 .00	359.71 185.93 .00 483.03 6.75	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 239 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 2

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL) (in 1991 dollars)

			SPE	CIES HUNTER	BY RESPO	IDENT FOR 1	THE FIRST	TIME		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	76.1% 10.0%	43.9% 24.4%	35.0% 48.1%	40.7% 24.5%	.0%	59.5% 5.0%	50.0% 1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	11.0% 20.5%	7.0% 55.4%	1.2% 24.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.6% 21.8%	3.8% 78.2%	2.4% 21.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	2.1% 100.0%	2.4% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	13.9% 15.7%	27.9% 78.1%	19.0% 23.4%	.0%	20.3% 3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	15.9% 24.2%	19.2% 72.6%	16.2% 26.9%	.0%	20.3% 4.7%	50.0% 4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.0% 30.3%	5.5% 69.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.6% 100.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	12.9% 10.0%	5.6% 18.5%	7.7% 62.9%	11.4% 40.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 23.9%	100.0% 59.1%	100.0% 25.9%	.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	12.61 13.84 .00 35.97 6.76	592.38 174.89 100.00 973.11 30.96	449.09 65.27 500.00 565.40 75.03	452.36 99.55 400.00 559.51 31.59	.00 .00 .00 .00	304.03 202.15 .00 449.21 4.94	500.00 499.56 500.00 706.80 2.00	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 239 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 2

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL) (in 1991 dollars)

		- ,,_,	SPEC	IES BAGGED	BY RESPON	IDENT FOR T	HE FIRST 1	IME		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 4.2%	42.1% 20.4%	38.5% 58.8%	38.1% 14.2%	.0%	65.9% 4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	10.7% 69.7%	1.5% 30.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	2.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	11.9% 12.9%	21.0% 71.8%	18.3% 15.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	9.0% 10.5%	21.7% 79.9%	17.3% 15.5%	.0%	34.1% 5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.3% 29.9%	12.1% 70.1%	.0%	.0%	. 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	4.5% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	4.0% 100.0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	17.9% 37.5%	8.0% 52.8%	14.2% 22.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 1.8%	100.0% 20.6%	100.0% 64.9%	100.0% 15.8%	.0%	100.0% 2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00 1.85	550.92 257.44 .00 1081.94 17.66	497.50 86.88 500.00 686.05 62.36	590.79 189.13 500.00 711.86 14.17	.00 .00 .00 .00	340.87 340.64 .00 583.71 2.94	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 239 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 2

Page **A-**294 August, 1994

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR) (in 1991 dollars)

				WILD	LIFE SPECI	ES TARGETE	.D			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	57.3% 14.6%	68.0% 12.3%	51.9% 50.1%	58.7% 60.3%	84.6% 5.9%	58.8% 27.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	62.0% 2.8%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.4%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 68.5%	.0%	2.3% 31.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0% .0%	2.6% 51.4%	3.5% 74.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.3% 35.1%	2.3% 64.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	6.7% 27.4%	.0%	2.3% 34.8%	1.1%	-0% -0%	2.7% 20.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	16.7% 16.4%	13.0% 9.1%	16.9% 62.6%	12.7% 50.4%	.0%	5.4% 9.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	38.0% 100.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.0% 15.6%	.0%	13.0% 77.1%	3.8% 24.1%	.0%	10.1% 28.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 51.1%	.0%	2.6% 48.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	4.2% 53.3%	6.3% 85.6%	.0%	2.3% 14.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	4.3% 32.0%	6.0% 32.0%	1.1% 32.0%	.0%	15.4% 32.0%	4.9% 68.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.0% 50.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	-0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	7.0% 35.1%	1.1% 29.9%	1.1%	.0%	2.7% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	6.0% 10.8%	4.2% 40.0%	5.7% 58.1%	.0%	5.3% 24.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 13.2%	100.0% 9.4%	100.0% 49.9%	100.0% 53.2%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	538.41 234.60 .00 1049.45 20.01	633.55 408.97 .00 1496.90 13.40	426.65 89.88 .00 765.62 72.56	344.53 84.73 .00 739.21 76.11	463.36 510.07 .00 1198.15 5.52	657.14 210.88 .00 1243.85 34.79	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	265.83 213.01 .00 400.98 3.54

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 168 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 3

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR) (in 1991 dollars)

	·			WILDLIFE	SPECIES BA	AGGED BY RE	SPONDENT			<u> </u>
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	46.2% 6.4%	65.9% 3.7%	51.6% 59.3%	49.6% 30.3%	50.0% 1.6%	40.8% 21.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	62.0% 4.2%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 50.0%	.0%	3.2% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	3.3% 69.7%	2.7% 30.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	3.4% 70.1%	5.9% 64.9%	.0%	3.7% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	2.9% 63.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7% 37.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.0% 6.5%	.0% .0%	18.4% 70.6%	11.3% 22.9%	.0%	3.7% 6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	38.0% 100.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.0% 11.2%	.0%	8.5% 56.7%	3.2% 11.2%	.0%	13.7% 41.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2% 51.1%	.0%	3.5% 48.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	5.3% 53.3%	13.0% 69.4%	.0%	3.2% 14.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.9% 32.0%	.0%	1.4% 32.0%	.0%	50.0% 32.0%	6.7% 68.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.0% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	34.1% 35.1%	1.4% 29.9%	2.7% 29.9%	.0%	3.7% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	3.9% 33.3%	5.7% 26.1%	.0%	10.4% 40.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 6.5%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 54.2%	100.0% 28.7%	100.0%	100.0% 24.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	1125.80 599.59 500.00 1604.46 7.16	1704.35 1703.22 .00 2918.55 2.94	444.24 109.59 .00 829.18 57.25	595.37 192.25 .00 1048.68 29.75	1500.00 1787.16 1500.00 2333.22 1.70	936.00 285.88 300.00 1406.70 24.21	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	265.83 213.01 .00 400.98 3.54

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 168 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 3

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR) (in 1991 dollars)

A STATE OF THE STA

				TROPHY S	SPECIES BAG	GED BY RES	PONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	27.8% 3.8%	52.8% 40.3%	40.7% 20.2%	.0%	54.2% 35.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	21.9% 46.0%	.0%	7.1% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	4.0% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	5.4% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	21.5% 55.6%	19.3% 32.4%	.0%	5.4% 12.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	50.0% 19.2%	.0%	10.9% 45.1%	.0%	.0%	10.0% 35.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	24.6% 48.9%	.0%	7.1% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	6.8% 53.0%	.0%	4.6% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	50.0% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	5.4% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	25.7% 35.1%	.0%	6.1% 29.9%	.0%	5.4% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	6.2% 32.7%	12.9% 44.0%	.0%	5.2% 23.3%	.0%	.0% .0%	-0% -0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 3.3%	100.0% 6.5%	100.0% 35.9%	100.0% 23.4%	.0%	100.0% 30.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	2500.00 1498.69 2500.00 2120.39 2.00	1719.66 1181.19 1500.00 2331.31 3.90	260.03 77.57 .00 348.80 20.22	763.48 391.50 300.00 1368.89 12.23	.00 .00 .00 .00	908.89 368.53 .00 1547.36 17.63	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 168 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 3

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR) (in 1991 dollars)

			SPEC	IES HUNTED	BY RESPON	IDENT FOR T	HE FIRST T	IME		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	73.0% 4.7%	81.6% 6.6%	54.5% 54.1%	55.0% 55.1%	100.0% 3.2%	55.0% 24.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 50.0%	.0%	3.4% 50.0%	. 0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	-0% -0%	.0% .0%	1.7% 34.1%	3.2% 65.9%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	3.5% 70.1%	3.2% 64.9%	.0%	4.0% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.5% 50.0%	1.5% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	13.7% 56.9%	11.5% 48.2%	.0%	8.0% 14.6%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	27.0% 10.2%	.0%	10.7% 61.5%	3.6% 21.2%	.0% .0%	14.7% 37.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0% .0%	5.6% 53.3%	8.9% 85.6%	.0% .0%	3.4% 14.4%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.5% 47.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	3.8% 53.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	1.5% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	18.4% 14.4%	3.9% 37.1%	6.3% 61.1%	.0%	3.8% 16.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 3.4%	100.0% 4.3%	100.0% 52.8%	100.0% 53.3%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 23.4%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	270.05 269.89 .00 519.58 3.71	.00 .00 .00 .00 3.77	446.86 115.71 .00 854.57 54.54	427.81 116.42 .00 852.52 53.62	.00 .00 .00 .00 1.85	568.76 209.15 .00 1028.89 24.20	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 168 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 3

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR) (in 1991 dollars)

Company Company (1997) The Compa

		<del></del>	SPE	CIES BAGGE	BY RESPO	DENT FOR 1	HE FIRST	IME	<del></del>	
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	69.7% 4.8%	49.2% 4.7%	51.4% 56.2%	44.2% 28.9%	100.0%	43.9% 21.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2% 50.0%	.0%	4.3% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	69.7%	3.2% 30.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$300 Column Percent Row Percent	-0% -0%	.0%	2.2% 35.1%	6.9% 64.9%	.0%	5.0% 35.1%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	15.5% 72.0%	10.0% 28.0%	.0%	5.0% 10.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	25.4% 14.5%	6.8% 44.2%	3.7% 14.5%	.0%	18.6% 53.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	2.1% 48.9%	3.7% 51.1%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	7.0% 53.3%	15.3% 69.4%	.0%	4.3% 14.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	30.3% 32.0%	.0%	1.9% 32.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1% 68.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	25.4% 54.0%	1.9% 46.0%	3.2% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	3.0% 32.7%	6.7% 44.0%	.0%	4.8% 23.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 4.7%	100.0% 53.3%	100.0% 32.0%	100.0%	100.0% 23.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	909.09 1024.12 .00 1717.45 2.81	1525.30 1207.59 1000.00 2396.11 3.94	517.51 141.80 .00 936.38 43.61	687.97 222.66 200.00 1116.16 25.13	.00 .00 .00 .00	833.72 279.36 200.00 1216.89 18.97	.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 168 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 3

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST) (in 1991 dollars)

				WILC	LIFE SPECI	ES TARGETE	D			·
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	38.0% 31.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	67.1% 66.4%	68.9% 27.7%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	7.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	100.0% 27.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3% 51.3%	14.6% 21.4%	.0%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	23.7% 60.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.6% 39.2%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	7.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	15.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	8.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.5% 100.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	100.0% 40.3%	100.0% 3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 48.6%	100.0% 19.8%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00	1084.39 423.52 1000.00 1459.97 11.88	500.00 .00 500.00 .00 1.08	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	237.98 99.79 .00 377.61 14.32	87.65 96.74 .00 213.31 4.86	.00 .00 .00 .00

IT WAS POSSIBLE TO LIST MORE THAN ONE SPECIES SO ROW PERCENTS MAY NOT SUM TO 100.

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 41 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 4

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST) (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES BA	AGGED BY RE	SPONDENT		<del></del>	
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	42.9% 46.4%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	45.7% 53.6%	.0%	.0%
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	10.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	23.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	10.7% 32.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.9% 68.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	10.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	12.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	12.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	100.0% 48.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 52.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00	1154.45 615.64 100.00 1740.00 7.99	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00	393.16 143.60 500.00 422.77 8.67	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 41 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 4

August, 1994 Page A-301

Section Control of the Control of th

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST) (in 1991 dollars)

	 	TROPHY SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT  BLACK BROWN CARIBOU MOOSE WOLF SHEEP GOAT DEER ELK WATERFOWL										
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL		
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	26.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	16.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	53.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	47.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	16.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	19.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	19.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 51.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 39.5%	.0%	.0%		
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	675.00 .00 675.00 .00 .85	1656.73 913.58 1500.00 2053.42 5.05	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	735.02 147.72 500.00 290.00 3.85	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00		

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 41 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 4

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST) (in 1991 dollars)

	BLACK BEAR		CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL		
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	34.8% 31.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	65.5% 74.6%	54.8% 28.8%	.0%		
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	12.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.5% 70.6%	21.3% 29.4%	.0%		
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	26.5% 65.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.0% 34.1%	.0%	.0%		
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	12.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	14.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.9% 100.0%	.0%		
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	100.0% 41.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 51.1%	100.0% 23.6%	.0%		
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00	1237.55 684.32 1000.00 1808.90 6.99	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	227.75 123.39 .00 363.85 8.70	139.70 156.69 .00 273.65 3.05	.00 .00 .00 .00		

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 41 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 4

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST) (in 1991 dollars)

	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL			
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	39.7% 70.1%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	17.5% 29.9%	.0%	.0%			
\$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	35.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$675 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	14.4% 32.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	31.7% 68.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	17.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	100.0% 50.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 49.2%	.0% .0%	.0%			
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00	1222.89 809.43 100.00 1966.79 5.90	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	597.15 158.56 500.00 378.78 5.71	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00			

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 41 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 4

Page A-304 August, 1994

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN) (in 1991 dollars)

				MIFE	LIFE SPECI	ES TARGETE	D			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	36.4% 10.8%	83.7% 66.9%	76.1% 61.3%	81.1% 14.7%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	19.6% 47.9%	7.9% 52.1%	7.2% 47.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	32.4% 76.7%	4.3% 27.3%	7.9% 50.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	11.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	18.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	4.1% 48.9%	4.2% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 21.4%	100.0% 57.7%	100.0% 58.1%	100.0% 13.1%	100.0% 4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00 2.00	595.50 190.42 500.00 560.85 8.67	86.00 52.02 .00 246.26 22.41	263.95 146.61 .00 696.38 22.56	282.86 282.71 .00 651.31 5.31	.00 .00 .00 .00 2.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 46 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 5

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN) (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES BA	GGED BY RE	SPONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	41.5% 13.8%	80.9% 64.7%	69.4% 26.4%	100.0%	100.0% 8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	10.7% 24.0%	14.1% 76.0%	18.7% 47.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	35.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	12.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	5.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	100.0% 23.9%	100.0% 57.4%	100.0% 27.3%	100.0% 3.0%	100.0% 6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00	594.60 210.36 500.00 593.92 7.97	74.25 42.85 .00 182.89 18.22	450.14 335.66 .00 1013.23 9.11	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00 2.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 46 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 5

Page A-306 August, 1994

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN) (in 1991 dollars)

	TROPHY SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT									
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	26.9% 11.0%	73.3% 40.8%	82.0% 31.9%	.0%	100.0% 16.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	17.0% 33.3%	12.5% 33.3%	18.0% 33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	56.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	14.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	100.0% 25.6%	100.0% 34.8%	100.0% 24.3%	100.0% 5.1%	100.0% 10.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00	646.36 215.39 1000.00 482.13 5.01	73.01 80.28 .00 193.95 5.84	89.75 99.12 .00 215.98 4.75	1500.00 .00 1500.00 .00 1.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 46 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 5

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN) (in 1991 dollars)

	SPECIES HUNTED BY RESPONDENT FOR THE FIRST TIME									
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 4.9%	46.4% 11.3%	93.4% 58.6%	80.0% 49.9%	100.0% 11.5%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	17.2% 50.0%	6.6% 50.0%	13.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	36.5% 68.0%	.0%	6.7% 32.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 4.0%	100.0% 20.0%	100.0% 51.7%	100.0% 51.4%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00	450.34 227.08 500.00 506.18 4.97	33.16 36.15 .00 129.57 12.85	133.50 83.63 .00 298.82 12.77	.00 .00 .00 .00 2.35	.00 .00 .00 .00 1.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 46 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 5

Page A-308 August, 1994

Table A-14
NET ECONOMIC VALUE OF SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN) (in 1991 dollars)

	SPECIES BAGGED BY RESPONDENT FOR THE FIRST TIME									
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	41.5% 15.1%	94.3% 64.9%	64.9% 23.6%	100.0% 4.6%	100.0% 9.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	10.7% 50.0%	5.7% 50.0%	21.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	35.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	12.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
MISSING Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	100.0% 28.0%	100.0% 52.8%	100.0% 28.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	.00 .00 .00 .00	594.60 210.36 500.00 593.92 7.97	28.37 30.89 .00 119.73 15.02	516.15 382.05 .00 1076.98 7.95	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00 2.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 46 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN REGION 5

August, 1994

Expenditures and Economic Impact Directly Attributable to Big Game Hunting

August, 1994 Page A-311

# A Brief Summary of Expenditures and Economic Impact Directly Attributable to Big Game Hunting by Nonresidents

In contrast to net economic value, or consumer surplus, economic impact measures market transactions related to a particular good, service, or activity. Whereas consumer surplus measures value net of expenditures, economic impact measures all transactions related to or stimulated by the expenditures made for the good or activity. Note, at the outset, that it is not correct to add consumer surplus and economic impact and call their sum total value of the activity. Economic impact analysis by its nature contains double counting. The purpose of economic impact analysis is to measure all market transactions related to an activity. To the extent that goods are bought and sold more than once, it is appropriate to count them more than once.

When a good or service is purchased from a retailer, the retailer keeps some of the money as profit and uses the rest to pay workers and other business expenses, and to buy more goods to sell. The retailer buys from a wholesaler who uses the proceeds to pay his workers and costs of doing business, and to buy more goods from manufacturers. Manufacturers pay workers and buy inputs to produce more goods. Each sale--consumers buying from retailers, retailers buying from wholesalers, wholesalers buying from manufacturers, and manufacturers buying inputs from other industries--constitutes a valid transaction and is appropriately counted in the economic impact stimulated by the purchase made by a final consumer. Also counted in the impact stimulated by the consumer's purchase are economic transactions made by workers with wages supported by the final consumer's purchase. That is, the workers who manufacture the good, the workers who transport the good to market, and the workers who sell the good are all paid wages. They, in turn, buy goods and services which transactions are also attributable to the final consumer's purchase. The sum of all those transactions is equal to the economic activity generated by the purchase of the good but is much more than the actual expenditure by the final consumer, and may be more than the value the final consumer places on the good. When the purchase of the good by the final consumer is motivated by an activity such as wildlife viewing, all those economic transactions are attributable to wildlife viewing. The purpose of economic impact analysis is neither to measure the economic value of an activity nor to measure expenditures resulting directly from an activity. Its purpose is to track and measure economic transactions stimulated by an activity as those expenditures flow through the economy.

What, then, do the results of an economic impact analysis reveal? They reveal the amount of economic transactions supported by an activity. They can also reveal the number of jobs supported by those economic transactions. By comparison to the base or total economy, the proportion of total transactions or jobs in the economy attributable to or supported by the activity being studied can be estimated. If expenditures directly related to an activity were hypothesized to increase or decrease by some amount, an economic impact analysis could estimate the change in total economic transactions throughout the economy.

One component of economic impact attributable to hunting is equipment and supplies bought related to hunting, but not attributable to any particular trip. The questionnaire obtained information on those types of expenditures (made in Alaska) from everyone in the sample. Because our sample of 647 is representative (after weighting) of all nonresident hunters, we can estimate total annual expenditures for the population based on our sample. This was done by inflating the equipment and supplies expenditures by our sample by a factor of 10.833 to inflate from our sample of 647 to the population of 7,009 nonresident hunters. Information about equipment and supplies purchased by our respondents is presented in Tables A-15, broken down by several of respondents and trips, and A-16, broken down by expenditure category. The per capita annual expenditure on equipment in Alaska by nonresident hunters, not attributable to any particular trip was \$43.28 (Tables A-15). The estimated annual expenditures in Alaska on equipment related to hunting by nonresidents was \$303,357 in 1991 (Table A-16). Those equipment and supply purchases were made by all nonresident hunters--those who took primary big game hunting trips plus those who only took secondary trips or day trips and some who may have taken no trips at all in 1991. Tables A-17 present the equipment expenditures broken down by expenditure category for each of the 5 regions in the state. The regional totals do not add up to the statewide total because some respondents only told us their purchases were made in Alaska without specifically telling us where in Alaska.

August, 1994 Page A-313

To estimate the total economic impact of primary purpose big game hunting trips by nonresidents, we used the detailed information on expenditures for the "Longest Alaska Hunting Trip" or "Selected Trip" from the survey. To the best of respondents' recollection, those are all the expenditures they made while they were on their Selected Trip. We first inflated the trip expenditure data by a factor of 1.046 (569 primary trips estimated for the entire nonresident hunter sample divided by 544 trips in our random subsample of primary purpose big game hunting trips--544 respondents gave us information on trip expenditures while 551 responded to the questions on trip attributes and characteristics) to estimate trip expenditures for all overnight primary purpose big game hunting trips taken by our total nonresident hunter sample. To estimate trip expenditures for all primary purpose big game hunting trips in Alaska by all nonresident hunters, we further inflated the trip expenditure data by a factor of 10.833 (7,009 nonresident hunters in the state divided by 647 in our sample). Hence, the data in our detailed trip information was inflated by a factor of 11.331 to estimate trip expenditures for all primary purpose big game hunting trips in Alaska by nonresident hunters in 1991.

Information about respondents' total trip expenditures related to their "Selected Trips" is presented in Tables A-18, broken down by several characteristics. Tables A-19 present the same breakdowns for those trip related expenditures actually made in Alaska. Table A-20 shows the trip expenditures made in Alaska broken down by expenditure category. The average total expenditure related to primary purpose big game hunting trips was \$6,331, though some expenditures were outside Alaska (Tables A-18). The average trip related expenditure in Alaska on a primary purpose big game hunting trip was \$3,895 (Table A-19). The Alaskan economy captures about 61% of the trip-related expenditures by nonresident big game hunters. Expenditures in Alaska related to primary big game hunting trips by nonresidents amounted to \$24.2 million in 1991. The average expenditure of \$3,923.95 shown in Table A-20 differs slightly (by 0.7%) from the \$3,894.96 average shown in Tables A-19. That is due to a slight difference in the ways the weights were applied in tabulating the frequencies and estimating the economic impacts. Both numbers are estimates and the difference is inconsequential. Tables A-21 present trip related expenditures in each of the 5 regions of the state. Again, the regional totals do not add up to the statewide total because some respondents only told us that their purchases were made in Alaska without telling us where in Alaska.

A few words are in order to help understand and interpret the economic impact tables (A-22 to A-24). "Final demand" refers to purchases of goods and services by households and other final consumers of goods and services. It does not include purchases by industries for use as intermediate goods or services, i.e., to be used as inputs in another industry's production of goods and services. "Total industry output" (TIO) includes both output consumed as final demand and output used as intermediate products by other industries. Hence, TiO is Final Demand plus demand by industries for use as inputs to their production processes. "Direct effect" refers to the initial expenditure on goods and services related to hunting. All the expenditures reported in the nonresident hunter survey contribute to the direct effect, because they are expenditures made by people related to hunting. Note that direct effect does not equal the sum of all the expenditures reported in Tables A-15 to A-21. It is close to the sum of expenditures, however.1 Because direct effects are the first round of expenditures and are assumed to be made by final consumers, all demand is final demand so total industry output is equal to final demand in the direct effects. "Indirect effect" refers to changes in production within industries necessitated by the direct effects. In order to sell the output demanded and purchased by hunters, industries need to purchase inputs and employ workers to produce those goods and services. All indirect effects are composed of consumption by industries for use as intermediate products or inputs. Because of that, final demand in the indirect effects is equal to 0. "Induced effects" are changes in household spending caused by changes in regional employment generated by the direct and indirect effects. Direct and indirect effects require workers to produce and sell the output that is being sold and consumed. Wages and salaries are paid to those workers who, in turn, spend it on goods and services, housing, and the whole variety of goods people buy. The expenditures by those workers make up the induced effect. "Expenditure multipliers" are the ratio of total effect (total effect total industry output) to direct effect. The multiplier estimates the total amount of economic transactions resulting from 1 dollar of direct effect.

Page A-314 August, 1994

Table A-22 displays information on the total Alaskan economy for 1990 to give some perspective to the numbers reported in Table A-23 on economic impact. That is, Table A-22 presents information on the total statewide economy--independent of anything to do with hunting. Note that the base economy for the state (and for each region) is expressed in 1990 dollars and the impacts are expressed in 1991 dollars. Our assumption is that the economy did not change much between 1990 and 1991. Table A-23 shows the estimated economic activity attributable to hunting by nonresident hunters. The direct effect of nonresident hunters' expenditures (on equipment and primary big game hunting trips) in Alaska in 1991 was \$22.99 million and the total effect was \$32.36 million, which accounted for 0.1% of the Alaskan economy. Of that \$32.36 million of total effect, \$27.69 million was final demand by consumers and \$4.67 million represented consumption by industry as intermediate products or inputs. That is, nonresident hunters spent \$22.99 million in Alaska in 1991 which resulted in \$32.36 million in total economic activity as it circulated through the Alaskan economy. Nonresident hunters' expenditures supported 536 jobs (0.16% of the jobs in the Alaskan economy). The expenditure multiplier was 1.41. Every dollar spent by nonresident hunters contributed another 41 cents (or 41%) in economic activity in Alaska. Tables A-24 present information on the base economy and economic activity attributable to hunting by nonresidents in each of the 5 regions within Alaska.

The economic impact of nonresident hunters' expenditures carries a slightly different significance than the impact of resident hunters' expenditures in that it represents money coming in from outside the Alaskan economy. To illustrate the difference, consider an extreme--though completely unrealistic-example. If all hunting in Alaska were to stop, the money that Alaska residents spend related to hunting would, in many cases, shift to other expenditures--they might buy a new TV set or go to more movies or take up other hobbies or activities or spend more money at the grocery store. That is, if resident hunters in Alaska did not spend their money on hunting in Alaska they would spend at least part of that money on other things in Alaska. Some hunters (maybe a lot of hunters) might go out of state to hunt; but much of the money would not leave the state, it would merely be shifted to other things within the Alaskan economy and the actual net economic impact of the loss of hunting would be nowhere near the level of economic activity and jobs that the model showed were supported by resident hunters' expenditures in the report on resident hunters. If hunting in Alaska were not available to nonresident hunters, most of that money would be lost to Alaska; that money would never come into the state. Indeed, 86% of our sample said big game hunting was the primary purpose of their trip to Alaska. If hunting were not available, those individuals would not have come to Alaska and none of their money would have been spent in Alaska. If nonresident hunting were not available, the actual impact on the Alaskan economy would be much closer to the numbers reported in Table A-23.

#### Endnotes

1. There are two reasons for this difference between direct effect and actual expenditures. First, the scenarios (scenarios are explained further in the input-output model appendix for interested readers) we used to incorporate expenditures into the structural model of the economy were set up on a "commodity basis" rather than an "industry basis." That means we do not require the good or service to be produced by the industry into whose primary product it falls. We explicitly allow for "byproducts" (which are goods and services produced by an industry not in the primary industry group for a particular product). An example of byproducts might be a brewery which produces some quantity of bottled water. Bottled water is not the primary product of the brewery, nor are breweries and bottled water manufacturers in the same industry group, so it is considered a byproduct. Using a commodity basis, the model would recognize that some bottled water in a given region could come from the brewery. Using an industry basis, bottled water from a brewery would be ignored. Implicit in that consideration of byproducts, though, is that some commodities might be produced by "nonindustry production," i.e., some commodities may be produced by households or government or some other institution, which would not be picked up by the model as being from any industry. The commodity basis is more realistic than the industry basis, but using it results in some direct effect being lost.

August, 1994 Page A-315

Second, some small portion of the expenditures leak out of the regional economy immediately. Examples of such leakage might be wages and profits from guide-outfitters paid to nonresident employees or owners, and federal taxes paid for hunting stamps, etc. Also included in this leakage are commodities attributed to industries not present in the regional economy. This is analogous to imports, the margin taken by local wholesalers or retailers are in the direct effect, but some of the expenditure immediately leaks out of the region. The difference between direct effect and actual expenditure due to this second reason is more pronounced as the scale of the model gets smaller. Therefore, the difference between direct effect and actual expenditures is relatively larger for the regional models than for the statewide model.

Page A-316 August, 1994

Technical Notes on Input-Output Analysis and Models for Interested Readers

Input-output (IO) analysis shows how the output of each industry in an economy is distributed among other industries and sectors of the economy. At the same time, it shows the inputs each industry uses from other industries and sectors in the economy. The main input-output table, the core of the system, is called the transactions table. The transactions table covers a specific period of time. In this discussion, assume the table covers one year as is commonly the case. Transactions in the table are generally valued in terms of producer's prices as opposed to consumer prices.

Table N-1 (immediately following this appendix) is a hypothetical transactions table, taken from Miernyk's book on input-output analysis. It will be used to illustrate the basic components of an input-output analysis. The rows in the transactions table show the output sold by each industry or sector along the left side of the table to each industry and sector across the top of the table. For example, Industry A sold \$15 million of output to Industry B and \$5 million to Industry E. The columns show the purchases made by each industry or sector along the top of the table from the industries and sectors along the left side. For example, Industry C bought \$7 million of product from Industry B and \$2 million from Industry D. The intersection of a row and column represents intraindustry or intrasector transactions. For example, firms in Industry A transferred \$10 million of goods among themselves, firms in Industry F transferred \$6 million, and there were \$1 million in intragovernmental transfers. Reading across a row shows the amount of output that a particular industry or sector sold to each industry and sector across the top of the table. Reading down a column shows the amount of input that a particular industry or sector purchased from each industry and sector on the left side of the table.

The transactions table is composed of several parts. Rows and columns 1-6 are set off as a block and labelled the processing sector. This part of the table contains the industries in the economy that produce goods and services. Among them would be agriculture, manufacturing industries, utilities, commercial services, wholesale and retail trade, etc. This part of the table is highly simplified. In practice, one would expect this part of the table to contain 50 or more industries. The concepts are the same though. If one understands this table he or she can understand any transactions table.

Rows 7-11 make up the payments sector. Row 7 is gross inventory depletion. That shows the amount of previously accumulated stocks of raw materials, intermediate goods, or finished products used up during the year--the dollar amount that inventories were drawn down. Row 8 is the value of imports purchased by each industry or sector. Row 9 shows payments to the government. These are various taxes and payments that industries or sectors pay to the government. It may simplify one's thinking if these are considered to represent the value of government services received by each industry or sector--the services government sells. Row 9 shows depreciation allowance. Depreciation allowances are set aside by industries, in theory, to approximate the cost of plant and equipment used up in their production of goods and services. Row 10 is households. This row represents the wages, salaries, dividends, interest, and other payments made to households by each industry or sector across the top of the table.

Columns 7-11 make up the final demand sector. This sector is of special importance because it is the autonomous sector. Changes here are transmitted throughout the rest of the table. The transactions that an economic impact analysis tracks through the economy originate in the final demand sector. Column 7 shows gross inventory accumulation. That is the value of additions to inventories held by each industry or sector on the left side of the table. Retailers and wholesalers keep some stock on hand to provide for immediate delivery. Manufacturers keep some of their output on hand for delivery on short notice. Column 7 shows the value of additions made to inventories during the year, regardless of where they are held--at the factory or by retailers or wholesalers. Column 8 shows the value of exports from each industry or sector during the year. (Note that, in regional models, households can export labor services across regional boundaries (likewise, industries can import labor services); and things like management and technical consulting services can be exported or imported.) Column 9 shows the value of government purchases made by all levels of government. The intersection of the government row (9) and column (also 9) shows intragovernmental transfers or transactions. Column 10 shows gross private capital formation. That is the value of sales from each industry or sector on the left side of

August, 1994 Page A-317

the table to buyers who use their purchase for private capital formation. All entries in the transactions table except those in column 10 are in the "current account." Column 10 represents all purchases by all buyers for replacement of or addition to plant and equipment, and any other purchases entered in the "capital account." In short, column 10 shows the value of replacements of or additions to the capital stock in the region. Column 11 is households. This column shows the purchase of finished goods and services by their ultimate consumers. When a person buys a candy bar or a washing machine, it shows up in this column.

Row 12, total gross outlays, shows the total value of inputs to each industry and sector across the top of the table. It is the sum of the column entries. The total value of purchases by Industry D is \$39 million, for example. Column 12, total gross output, shows the receipts from all outputs. Input-output is essentially a system of double-entry bookkeeping. Within each industry in the processing sector, all of the receipts from sales are paid out for goods and services purchased from other industries and sectors. Thus, in the processing sector, total gross output equals total gross outlays. That is not true in the remaining rows and columns. There is no reason to expect imports and exports to balance in a given year, or for inventory depletion to equal inventory accumulation. But, the differences must cancel out when we view the economy as a whole. Total output equals total outlay for the economy as a whole.

Some examples might be helpful. Consider the inputs side by looking at Column 3. Industry C purchased inputs worth \$1 million from Industry A, \$7 million from Industry B, transferred \$8 million of goods between themselves (Industry C), purchased \$2 million from Industry D, \$1 million from Industry E, and \$7 million from Industry F. They used \$1 million worth of previously accumulated inventory to produce their output, imported \$3 million worth of inputs, paid \$2 million in taxes and other payments to the government, used up \$1 million worth of plant and equipment in their production process, and bought \$7 million worth of services from households for labor, etc. The total outlay of Industry C is \$40 million.

Next, consider the output side by looking at Row 3. Industry C sold \$7 million worth of goods to Industry A, \$2 million to Industry B, transferred \$8 million of goods among members of Industry C, sold \$1 million to Industry D, \$5 million to Industry E, and \$3 million to Industry F. Output of Industry C was added to inventories in the amount of \$2 million, Industry C exported \$3 million worth of their output, sold \$1 million to the government, Industry C output was used to replace or increase the private capital stock in the amount of \$3 million, and the industry sold \$5 million of output directly to households. The total gross output of Industry C is \$40 million, which is equal to their total gross outlays.

Third, consider Row 8. Imports in the amount of \$2 million were sold to Industry A, \$1 million to Industry B, and \$3 million to Industry C. No imports were sold to Industry D, but \$3 million were sold to Industry E, and \$2 million to Industry F. No imports were added to inventories, no imports were exported, and none were bought by government or added to the private capital stock during the year. Households bought \$2 million worth of imported goods and services. Imports accounted for \$13 million in transactions during the year. Column 8 shows that exports totalled \$23 million, so the economy shows a positive trade balance of \$10 million for the year. These examples should illustrate the workings of the transactions table.

The total output shown in a transactions table is not the same as Gross National Product (GNP) or Gross Regional Product (GRP). Essentially this is because GNP strives to eliminate double counting to arrive at the total value of goods and services produced in a year. Input-output strives to account for all transactions. Some goods and services enter into more than one transaction, and so are counted twice. That is not a problem, as long as one remembers what the numbers mean.

A key point about the transactions table is that it is very flexible. The only rules are that there must be one row for each column in the processing sector, and that, in the processing sector, total outlay equals total output. Industries can be aggregated or disaggregated to suit the analysis. There is an advantage to disaggregation (detailed breakdown of industries and sectors) if the table is used for forecasting. For example, a detailed industrial classification would reveal where bottlenecks might occur during an

Page **A-318** August, 1994

expansion of production. It might be useful to aggregate sectors when attention is to be focused on a few particular sectors. As a general rule, however, one should strive for maximum disaggregation when constructing the basic transactions table. Industries and sectors can always be aggregated later.

Likewise, sectors can be moved around within the table. For example, activities in the processing sector can be shifted to final demand if one is interested in analyzing the interindustry effects of changes in a particular industry. If one wanted to know how spending on housing construction affected the economy, the housing construction industry could be moved to final demand. Such alterations would depend on the analytical use for which the model is intended. Once a transactions table is built, it can be altered and used for many different analyses.

Generally, "industries" refer to aggregates of firms producing similar products, and "sectors" refer to the kinds of markets that industries serve. Firms are classified into industries on the basis of their principal product, but overlap and byproducts must be taken into consideration when analyzing particular industries. For example, suppose Firm Q manufactures automobiles as its primary product, but also manufactures TV sets and refrigerators, referred to as byproducts. Firm Q would be classified into the auto industry. If the refrigerator industry were being analyzed, however, that portion of Firm Q's activities devoted to the production of refrigerators must be included in the analysis. Overlapping and byproducts can be a problem encountered when one attempts to measure employment or production in individual industries. It also occurs when looking at production in a particular region. More of a given commodity/product might be produced locally than might appear by looking only at the principal industry. Or a product might be produced locally even though the particular principal industry does not appear in the region. Hence, looking only at principal industries is not enough. An example of byproducts might be a brewery which produces some quantity of bottled water. Bottled water is not the primary product of the brewery, nor are breweries and bottled water manufacturers in the same industry group, so bottled water would be considered a byproduct of the brewery. Even though there are no bottled water manufacturers in a given region some bottled water might be produced locally by the brewery. Data on byproducts and overlap are available and generally incorporated into large scale IO models.

Input-output tables generally use the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) system or the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) Industry Classification System as the basis for aggregation or disaggregation-2-digit SIC industries, 4-digit SIC industries, etc. The SIC codes and BEA codes are related and it is not difficult to crosswalk between them.

After a transactions (or input-output) table has been constructed for a given year, a table of "technical" or "input" coefficients can be developed from it. Input coefficients show the amounts of inputs required from each industry to produce one dollar's worth of output in a given industry. Input coefficients are calculated for processing sector industries only.

Two steps are required to calculate input coefficients. First, gross output is adjusted by subtracting inventory depletion during the period to obtain adjusted gross output. Since gross outlays in the processing sector are equal to gross output in that sector, adjusted gross outputs can be computed by subtracting row 7 from row 12 in Table N-1. Second, all entries in each industry's column (but only those in the processing sector block) are divided by the adjusted gross output of that industry. Table N-2 shows the technical or input coefficients. For example, the adjusted gross output of Industry A is equal to 63 (total gross outlay minus inventory depletion). Each entry in the A column of the processing sector then is divided by 63. Table N-2 shows that each dollar's worth of Industry A output will require direct purchases of 8 cents from Industry B, 11 cents from Industry C, 17 cents from Industry D, 6 cents from Industry E, 3 cents from Industry F, and intraindustry transactions of 16 cents. Inputs from the processing sector make up 61 cents of each dollar of Industry A's output.

If the input coefficients remain constant from year to year or can be adjusted on the basis of new information, one can calculate the amount of direct purchases required from each industry as a result of an increase (or decrease) in the output of one or more industries in the economy.

August, 1994 Page A-319

Table N-2 contains information about direct purchases. That is not the total addition to output resulting from additional sales of one industry's output, however. If final demand for Industry A's output is increased, Industry A must directly purchase more of the output of the other industries (which are inputs to A's production of output). But for those other industries to sell more of their output to A, they must increase their production, so they must buy more of the other industries' outputs that are inputs to their own production, and so on. One could use the input coefficients matrix to iteratively calculate those indirect effects. If demand for the output of Industry A increases by \$1, A will need to boost output by \$1.16 because of the 16 cents in intraindustry transactions needed to produce \$1 worth of output. Sales from B to A increase by 9 cents (\$1.16 x .08), sales from C to A increase by 13 cents (\$1.16 x .11), etc. Going through each industry like that and summing the additions to output would eventually result in the amount of the total effect.

Fortunately, an easier way exists. The method involves taking the difference between an identity matrix and the input coefficient matrix and from that computing a transposed inverse matrix--a tractable problem on a computer. The result is the total requirements matrix, Table N-3, which shows the total dollar production directly and indirectly required from the industries across the top for each dollar of delivery to final demand by the industries at the left. Each time Industry A sells \$1 of output to a final demand sector, its own output increases by that \$1 plus an additional 38 cents, B's output increases by 25 cents, C's output increases by 28 cents, and so on across the row. (These effects are unrealistically large in response to a \$1 increase in final demand. In a real input-output model, the entries in the total requirements matrix will have smaller values and greater variation. The example worked out this way because small numbers and few zeroes were used in the original transactions table.)

An actual total requirements matrix might show, for example, that the output of the agricultural industry depends on the demand for processed foods, tobacco, textiles, leather products, and chemicals. Thus, there will be fairly large entries in the cells where the agriculture column (remember, the total requirements matrix was transposed from the transactions matrix) intersects the rows of those industries (meaning output of agricultural products will go up by a relatively large amount when demand for the output of those industries increases). Most apparel products, on the other hand, are sold directly to final consumers, so the entries in the apparel column will be relatively small (meaning that output of the apparel industry will not go up by much in response to increased demand for other products since apparel is not an input to many other industries). In regional applications of input-output modelling, the effects shown in the total requirements matrix will be smaller if a lot of the inputs used by industries are imported.

The information in the total requirements matrix, when supplemented with information on households, is the basis from which multipliers are calculated. Multipliers summarize the total effect of an increase in demand for the output of a particular industry per dollar of direct effect.

IMPLAN, the input-output model used for the analyses of the Alaska Wildlife User Surveys, is composed of 528 industries and sectors at the 4-digit SIC level. The data comprising the transactions table and associated final demands are based on the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis national input-output tables. The national composition matrices of inputs used in industry production (i.e., the mix of inputs industries use to produce their output) and of commodity outputs (the mix of commodities that industries produce) are adjusted using state level data. That means that while the basic structure and technology used by industries is assumed to be a national average, the output levels and levels of regionally purchased inputs are calibrated at the state level.

The base year of the IMPLAN model is 1990, meaning that we assume the structure of the Alaskan economy was unchanged between 1990 and 1991, the year for which the surveys collected data. Given that changes in economic structure occur relatively slow and that IMPLAN uses "national average" technology relationships, that is not a bad assumption. IMPLAN is a linear model meaning that changes in the level of economic transactions have the same effect regardless of whether they are increases or decreases relative to the status quo. That feature of the model allows us to construct "scenarios" composed of the expenditures related to wildlife activities and estimate the total amount of economic activity those expenditures support.

Page **A-**320 August, 1994

IMPLAN is nothing more than a structural model of an economy--in our case, the Alaskan economy and the five substate regional economies. There is no industry or sector in that model called wildlife viewing or hunting or recreation. Hence, the model itself says nothing about how such pursuits contribute to or affect the economy. The way to estimate those effects or contributions, in IMPLAN, is to set up "Scenarios" which are composed of "Activities" which, in turn, are composed of "Events."

The distinctions between Scenarios, Activities, and Events are somewhat artificial and they can be used however best suits a particular analysis. As an example, though, consider a scenario called "Recreation." Activities included in the recreation scenario might include "Camping," "Fishing," "Bowling," and "Symphony Concerts." Each of those activities is composed of a set of expenditures, or events, that together constitute the activity. The aggregation of the activities, in turn, constitute the scenario called recreation. Events in the camping activity might include renting a tent, buying groceries, travelling to a national park, stopping to buy gas along the way, buying a camping permit, and fixing the flat tire you got on the dirt road in the park. Expenditures for each of those items are broken down to industries or sectors from which they come. Tent rental would be attributed to IMPLAN Industry 488 (Amusement and Recreation Services not elsewhere classified), fixing the flat tire would be attributed to Industry 479 (Automobile Repair and Services). Some expenditures, groceries is one such item, are divided between and attributed to several industries—dairy products (Industry 1), fruits (Industry 16), vegetables (Industry 18), etc. Each of those industries include a set of "margins" that specify how much of the expenditures on those items go to retailers, wholesalers, transportation, etc. so those aspects of bringing a product to market are included in the model.

Attributing expenditures among industries is one area where empirical input-output analysis is an inexact science and different assumptions could result in differences in final estimates of economic activity. There are some data sources that can help in making those allocations, but there is room for individual interpretation. This is a further reminder that economic impact estimates are just that-estimates. We spent considerable effort and think we did a good job allocating expenditures to industries, but our estimates, as are all other estimates of economic activity, are subject to those allocations.

August, 1994 Page A-321

Table N-1 Hypothetical Transaction Table Industry Purchasing

Final Demand

Processing Sector

**fotal** Gross Output (12) œ **484844** 7 32 Ŋ 85 431 **Households** £ 0 0 12 72 (10)
Gross
private
capital
formation 0 0 ~ 0 0 8 Government purchases 6 0 ø 8 Exports to foreign countries 4 N O M O M  $\sim$ 0 0 23 8 (7)
Gross
Inventory
accumula-12 98M4N9 ш ~ 0 7 46 (2) ш ~ 0 9 3 Δ ~ 0 33 S 3 ပ 9 8 m 2 23 29 8 £ ⋖ 9 Š Total Gross Outlays (10) Depreciation allowances Industry A
Industry B
Industry C
Industry C
Industry E
Industry E (11) Kouseholds (9) Payments to government Outputs<sup>1</sup> (7) Gross
Inventory
depletion(-) Inputs<sup>2</sup> (8) Imports (15) 595356

> Processing Sector

Industry Producing Payments Sector Sales to industries and sectors along the top of the table from the industry listed in each row at the left of the table.

<sup>2</sup> Purchases from industries and sectors at the left of the table by the industry listed at the top of each column. New York: Random House. ٥. The Elements of Input-Output Analysis, p. 1965. Source: Miernyk, W.H.

### TABLE N-2 Input Coefficient Matrix

(Direct Purchases per Dollar of Output)

#### Industries Purchasing

Industries Producing

	A	. 8	С	D	E	F
Α	16c	26c	3с	5c	13c	13c
8	8c	7c	18c	3с	8c	18c
С	11c	4c	21c	3с	13c	7c
D	17c	2c	5c	21c	16c	9c
E	6c	0	3с	36c	8c	4c
F	3с	11c	18c	15c	5 <b>c</b>	13c

Source: Miernyk, W.H. 1965. The Elements of Input-Output Analysis, p. 22. New York: Random House.

## TABLE N-3 Total Requirements Matrix

(Direct and Indirect Requirements per Dollar of Final Demand)

#### Industries Purchasing

Industries Producing

	А	В	С	D	E	F
A	\$1.38	.25	.28	.41	.27	.23
В	.45	1.21	.16	. 19	.12	.24
С	.27	.38	1.38	.23	.17	.39
D	.35	.25	.25	1.53	.65	.41
E	.35	.26	.31	.39	1.28	.25
F	.38	.35	.22	.30	.21	1.32

Source: Miernyk, W.H. 1965. The Elements of Input-Output Analysis. p. 26. New York: Random House.

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA

Factor	Value	DF	Significance
LUISH DEGRAVES LIKE DEGELVED	77 /5707	-,	705/5
WHEN RESPONSE WAS RECEIVED GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YES HINTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991	37.65/03	34	
GENDER OF RESPONDENT	23.80287	17	.12489
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	68.53/6/	85	
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	65.78678	80	
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	75.09646	85	.77022
RACE OF RESPONDENT	63.49237	68	.63228
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	16.18985	17	.51042
INS HOMILD IN ALASKA BLICKL 1991	231121434	117	
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	56.88427	51	.26530
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	12.54837	17	.76586
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	177.03513	119	.00045
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	105.3 <b>7</b> 907	85	.06638
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	58.57016	34	.00550
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	108.94324	68	.00119
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	56.18449	68	.84627
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	217.00565	170	.00862
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	65.85385	48	.04441
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP		68	.00019
COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER	37.04904	34	.33011
RESPONDENT USED GUIDE	26,46752	17	.06635
BLACK BEAR TARGETED	9.38093		.92755
BROWN BEAR TARGETED	18.86597		.33628
CARIBOU TARGETED	15.57721		.55398
MOOSE TARGETED	15.99620	17	.52410
WOLF TARGETED	30.83055	17	.02094
SHEEP TARGETED	38.41431	17	.00215
GOAT TARGETED	1.21775		1.00000
DEER TARGETED	38.75862		-00193
ELK TARGETED	4.20306		.99928
WATERFOWL TARGETED	4.61857	17	.99866
THE STATE OF THE S	7,0,037	• • •	500

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-324 August, 1994

and the second s

## Nonresident Hunters: Their Hunting Trip Characteristics and Economics

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPO				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	85.6% 100.0%	85.7% 97.7%	82.7% 2.3%	100.0%	78.2% 10.4%	87.1% 29.1%	85.7% 30.4%	86.9% 18.4%	84.2% 9.4%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	4.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3% 18.2%	5.5% 34.6%	3.1% 20.3%	4.9% 19.5%	3.5% 7.3%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 12.6%	1.4% 11.9%	4.1% 37.4%	3.3% 17.9%	7.0% 20.2%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 13.8%	1.4% 26.1%	1.5% 30.7%	2.5% 29.4%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8%	1.4% 76.4%	17.3% 23.6%	.0%	3.7% 23.6%	.9% 14.9%	2.6% 43.7%	.8% 8.4%	1.8% 9.5%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 46.0%	.5% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 35.6%	1.0% 41.8%	.0%	1.8% 22.6%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 42.1%	.5% 26.6%	.5% 31.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 48.0%	.0%	1.8% 52.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

August, 1994 Page A-325

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	GENDE RESPO				AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0%	100.0% 11.4%	100.0% 28.6%	100.0% 30.3%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 9.5%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	43.50 14.51 .00 364.50 631.33	34.52 19.73 .00 78.11 15.67	.00 .00 .00 .00 13.03	38.58 12.25 .00 105.39 74.03	76.46 47.15 .00 641.25 184.97	31.28 10.36 .00 145.13 196.18	25.60 12.66 .00 136.96 117.04	30.29 14.47 .00 113.70 61.75	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-326 August, 1994

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	85.6% 100.0%	91.9% 2.7%	83.8% 19.1%	85.1% 22.5%	87.3% 13.6%	85.0% 8.6%	85.7% 27.8%	87.4% 5.7%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	8.1% 4.6%	6.1% 25.8%	5.8% 28.7%	2.0%	5.1% 9.7%	4.2% 25.5%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	2.7% 16.0%	6.3% 42.8%	2.3% 9.1%	3.2% 8.5%	2.3% 19.1%	2.7% 4.5%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.4% 18.5%	.9% 13.8%	2.1% 18.9%	1.7% 9.8%	2.1% 39.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 8.7%	.7% 8.7%	1.2% 8.7%	3.3% 16.2%	2.2% 34.0%	7.5% 23.6%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 22.6%	.6% 17.8%	1.2% 20.9%	.0%	1.0% 38.7%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 26.6%	.0%	2.7% 73.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 52.0%	.0%	.6% 48.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%

(continued)

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	. 1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	. 0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0% 19.5%	100.0% 22.6%	100.0%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 5.6%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	2.44 2.08 .00 8.46 16.54	26.30 7.58 .00 85.08 125.91	22.58 11.94 .00 144.45 146.29	35.06 13.38 .00 124.44 86.49	36.59 24.40 .00 182.87 56.16	82.24 48.48 .00 649.77 179.63	41.39 26.87 .00 161.15 35.98

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-328 August, 1994

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	85.6% 100.0%	71.6% 1.8%	91.5% 4.0%	88.1% 23.8%	83.9% 27.3%	86.4% 24.5%	82.8% 16.5%	100.0% 2.1%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	6.8% 3.2%	4.0% 3.2%	4.8% 24.2%	5.2% 31.5%	4.8% 25.6%	3.3% 12.3%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	21.6% 14.2%	4.5% 5.1%	2.0% 13.7%	2.3% 19.4%	3.7% 26.9%	4.0% 20.7%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 19.6%	1.5% 27.2%	2.1% 34.2%	1.7% 18.9%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 24.9%	3.1% 49.2%	.6% 8.7%	1.8% 17.1%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	1.0% 38.7%	.0%	.9% 20.9%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 57.9%	.9% 42.1%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 27.9%	100.0% 24.3%	100.0% 17.1%	100.0% 1.8%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	22.88 11.07 .00 41.55 14.07	4.96 3.55 .00 17.44 24.13	23.65 11.97 .00 146.23 149.33	68.18 44.03 .00 591.32 180.37	25.34 9.99 .00 125.21 157.00	70.20 35.35 .00 371.54 110.46	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-330

# Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	1	I	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	85.6% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	82.0% 2.2%	85.3% 94.2%	76.7% .5%	100.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 3.2%	4.7% 96.8%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 4.0%	3.4% 96.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%
\$251 ~ \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 82.2%	23.3% 17.8%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

August, 1994 Page A-331

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		-	RACE OF RE	SPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.3%	100.0% 94.5%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00 3.66	63.51 62.63 .00 240.63 14.76	43.83 14.91 .00 368.62 611.33	74.42 82.82 .00 158.54 3.66	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-332 August, 1994

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

14 AV 1994-1994	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS RI	ESPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
\$0 Column Percent. Row Percent	85.6% 100.0%	85.5% 54.7%	85.1% 42.9%	100.0%	85.5% 54.7%	82.7% 14.6%	87.3% 22.0%	87.2% 4.5%	86.4% 1.1%	42.7% .2%	100.0%	92.8% 2.5%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent. Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	4.8% 57.4%	4.5% 42.6%	.0%	4.8% 57.4%	7.6% 25.3%	3.0% 14.1%	3.3% 3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent. Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	3.9% 64.3%	2.7% 35.7%	.0%	3.9% 64.3%	1.1%	4.7% 30.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.7% 59.9%	1.4% 40.1%	.0%	1.7% 59.9%	1.0% 10.2%	1.4%	.0%	13.6% 10.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	1.6% 48.2%	2.1% 51.8%	.0%	1.6% 48.2%	3.9% 33.6%	.7% 8.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.2% 9.5%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent. Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent. Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.6% 43.5%	1.0% 56.5%	.0%	.6% 43.5%	.9% 17.8%	.7% 20.9%	3.0% 17.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent. Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	3.0% 26.6%	.0%	57.3% 42.1%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent. Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent. Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 48.0%	.4% 52.0%	.0%	.3% 48.0%	.0%	.8% 52.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

August, 1994 Page A-333

# Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE	YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 199					E 1991		
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent. Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.3% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.7%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0%	100.0% 54.7%	100.0% 15.2%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 2.3%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean. MedianStd. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	28.85 11.02 .00 207.42 354.19	63.69 29.68 .00 495.91 279.24	.00 .00 .00 .00 13.57	28.85 11.02 .00 207.42 354.19	101.87 80.65 .00 798.63 98.07	30.85 12.23 .00 144.42 139.37	85.86 61.55 .00 329.43 28.64	19.76 19.75 .00 53.52 7.35	286.74 213.10 500.00 326.44 2.35	.00 .00 .00 .00 1.96	14.37 13.77 .00 53.45 15.07

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-334 August, 1994

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT !	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLE	TED HUNT COURSE	ER ED.
	 	< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	85.6% 100.0%	87.2% 44.3%	84.4% 33.6%	82.8% 6.5%	82.8% 13.4%	100.0% 2.1%	86.1% 60.6%	83.8% 36.5%	100.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	3.8% 35.9%	6.1% 45.6%	2.0% 2.9%	5.1% 15.6%	.0%	4.2% 55.4%	5.5% 44.6%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	3.2% 42.3%	3.1% 32.0%	4.8% 9.7%	3.8% 16.0%	.0%	3.1% 55.9%	3.9% 44.1%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	2.0% 57.9%	1.3% 28.3%	.0%	1.5% 13.8%	.0%	2.0% 79.5%	.8% 20.5%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.7% 17.5%	1.3% 24.5%	10.5% 39.8%	2.3% 18.2%	.0%	1.5% 49.8%	2.4% 50.2%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3%	.4% 54.0%	.4% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 46.0%	.4% 54.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 38.7%	.0%	.0%	3.3% 61.3%	.0%	.7% 56.5%	.9% 43.5%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 68.7%	.4% 31.3%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 52.0%	.0%	1.1% 48.0%	.0%	.3% 52.0%	.4% 48.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent	.1% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%

(continued)

# Table A-15 ... RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLI	COURSE	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 43.5%	100.0% 34.1%	100.0% 6.7%	100.0% 13.9%	100.0%	100.0% 60.3%	100.0% 37.3%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	33.08 14.05 .00 235.71 281.50	67.11 37.22 .00 552.50 220.32	26.13 9.69 .00 63.94 43.51	30.81 10.79 .00 102.24 89.80	.00 .00 .00 .00 11.88	42.43 20.62 .00 407.24 389.92	47.47 18.24 .00 283.39 241.36	.00 .00 .00 .00 .00 15.72

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-336 August, 1994

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING N/A
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	85.6% 100.0%	83.6% 10.6%	84.4% 36.6%	88.0% 26.3%	86.9% 6.4%	86.6% 7.4%	85.8% 2.2%	79.3% 1.3%	84.1% 4.9%	87.2% 4.4%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	5.4% 12.8%	4.9% 40.1%	5.6% 31.1%	3.3% 4.6%	2.8% 4.6%	.0%	.0%	6.4% 6.9%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	1.4% 4.7%	4.4% 49.4%	1.7% 13.0%	2.7% 5.1%	6.5% 14.4%	.0%	.0%	3.4% 5.1%	6.4% 8.5%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	2.6% 18.9%	1.3% 32.7%	1.8% 29.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.4% 18.5%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	4.3% 26.2%	2.3% 48.5%	.0%	2.1% 7.4%	2.0% 8.4%	.0% .0%	.0%	3.4% 9.5%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 54.0%	.5% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.4% 20.9%	.5% 17.8%	2.7% 22.6%	.0%	7.1% 20.9%	9.1% 17.8%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	. <b>8%</b> 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	2.6% 57.9%	.6% 42.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 48.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.6% 52.0%	.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 100.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2	OTHER MULTI REGION	SPECIFIED	MISSING N/A
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 10.8%	100.0% 37.1%	100.0% 25.5%	100.0% 6.3%	100.0% 7.3%	100.0% 2.2%	100.0%	100.0% 4.9%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	27.54 10.70 .00 89.53 69.97	34.15 15.89 .00 246.26 240.08	60.64 47.80 .00 614.45 165.26	29.79 16.28 .00 103.73 40.57	38.39 28.58 .00 196.99 47.51	142.64 120.91 .00 454.08 14.10	121.72 88.89 .00 271.77 9.35	39.43 29.51 .00 166.76 31.93	14.73 7.68 .00 40.82 28.24

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-338 August, 1994

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			NUMBER (	OF HUNTERS	S IN PARTY	1	
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING/NA
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	85.6% 100.0%	88.3% 16.6%	81.3% 30.3%	87.9% 33.1%	83.3% 10.0%	91.9% 4.7%	100.0%	88.0% 5.1%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	2.6% 9.0%	6.5% 45.0%	3.1% 22.0%	9.2% 20.7%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 3.2%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	1.9% 9.1%	3.5% 33.3%	4.5% 43.9%	2.9% 9.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1% 4.7%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.8% 18.9%	2.9% 62.1%	.9% 18.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	2.8% 25.8%	2.7% 49.2%	1.4% 24.9%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3%	1.0% 54.0%	.0%	.4% 46.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 22.6%	1.0% 41.8%	1.3% 17.8%	.0%	.0%	2.7% 17.8%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	_4% 26.6%	.0%	2.0% 42.1%	.0%	.0%	3.1% 31.3%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3%	.0% .0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			NUMBER (	OF HUNTERS	IN PARTY	1	
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING/NA
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.1%	100.0% 31.9%	100.0% 32.2%	100.0% 10.2%	100.0%	100.0% .3%	100.0% 4.9%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	90.65 76.02 .00 774.89 103.91	37.32 10.67 .00 153.24 206.17	35.68 19.03 .00 274.88 208.58	22.45 10.33 .00 84.07 66.27	36.41 24.02 .00 128.00 28.40	.00 .00 .00 .00	29.03 18.56 .00 104.74 31.85

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	NUN	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASI	KA
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING/NA
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	85.6% 100.0%	89.7% 5.8%	89.0% 53.8%	79.8% 35.7%	90.8% 4.7%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	4.2% 47.8%	6.2% 52.2%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	2.8% 4.7%	3.4% 52.5%	3.4% 38.9%	3.0% 4.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 29.2%	2.1% 52.3%	6.3% 18.5%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 42.7%	2.7% 57. <b>3%</b>	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	3.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	3.8% 42.1%	.3% 31.3%	.3% 26.6%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3%	.0%	.3% 48.0%	.4% 52.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	NUI	BER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASI	CA
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING/NA
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.4% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.5%	100.0% 51.8%	100.0% 38.2%	100.0% 4.5%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	37.58 20.81 .00 124.47 35.79	14.34 3.61 .00 66.06 334.98	87.05 36.46 .00 573.45 247.37	11.09 6.91 .00 37.11 28.87

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		DAYS	S SPENT A	TUALLY HI	JNTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING/NA
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	85.6% 100.0%	76.9% 2.5%	86.0% 12.5%	84.5% 41.7%	86.6% 33.5%	82.4% 5.6%	100.0% 4.2%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	4.6% 2.9%	3.6% 9.8%	4.8% 44.2%	5.5% 39.7%	2.6% 3.4%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	11.1% 9.5%	2.5% 9.3%	4.8% 61.1%	2.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.1% 8.7%	1.4% 37.9%	2.0% 43.6%	2.5% 9.8%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	5.6% 39.4%	1.5% 35.7%	.4% 7.4%	5.3% 17.5%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	1.2% 54.0%	.0%	.4% 46.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 61.3%	4.9% 38.7%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 68.7%	.5% 31.3%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		DAY	S SPENT AC	CTUALLY H	JNTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING/NA
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 100.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.8%	100.0% 12.4%	100.0% 42.2%	100.0% 33.1%	100.0% 5.8%	100.0% 3.6%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	43.19 26.71 .00 114.37 18.33	19.89 6.40 .00 57.38 80.41	51.40 29.24 .00 483.13 273.09	32.78 11.57 .00 169.31 214.33	120.35 98.05 .00 602.79 37.80	.00 .00 .00 .00 23.04

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-344 August, 1994

# Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		HOURS PER	DAY SPEN	IT ACTUALI	Y HUNTIN	G
		1 - 2	<b>3</b> - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING/NA
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	85.6% 100.0%	53.5% .4%	88.6% 9.4%	84.4% 36.3%	86.5% 43.3%	79.0% 6.1%	96.1% 4.5%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	21.9% 2.9%	9.8% 19.5%	3.8% 30.7%	4.2% 39.2%	5.4% 7.8%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	24.6% 4.5%	.0%	3.7% 41.5%	3.3% 43.0%	3.1% 6.3%	3.9% 4.7%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 9.8%	2.0% 47.7%	.7% 18.5%	5.5% 24.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 58.0%	1.4% 33.3%	2.3% 8.7%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 54.0%	.3% 46.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 40.4%	1.0% 59.6%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 42.1%	.7% 57.9%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 52.0%	2.3% 48.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued) STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

August, 1994 Page A-345

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING								
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING/NA			
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 100.0%	.0%			
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	_4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 9.1%	100.0% 36.8%	100.0% 42.9%	100.0% 6.6%	100.0%			
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	35.56 24.55 .00 48.45 3.90	5.82 2.74 .00 20.96 58.67	67.52 36.58 .00 564.44 238.14	29.63 7.72 .00 128.56 277.56	73.40 43.07 .00 281.85 42.83	2.90 2.90 .00 14.74 25.91			

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-346 August, 1994

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED											
	,	JAN.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	ост.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	85.6% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% .4%	92.3% 5.9%	81.3% 6.6%	84.2% .9%	51.4% 1.3%	80.9% 20.0%	89.3% 48.1%	85.9% 10.4%	74.9% 1.8%	100.0%	86.1% 4.1%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.1% 17.0%	.0%	.0%	8.1% 37.6%	3.4% 34.3%	4.9% 11.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 5.1%	15.8% 4.5%	14.6% 9.3%	3.9% 25.0%	3.0% 41.2%	3.5% 11.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 13.8%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 29.8%	1.0%	1.3% 8.7%	.0%	.0% .0%	
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 8.7%	.0%	.0%	19.8% 23.6%	1.4% 16.2%	1.3% 34.0%	.0%	7.6% 8.7%	.0% .0%	
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	6.2% 46.0%	.7% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 17.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 17.8%	.3% 20.9%	3.1% 43.5%	.0%	.0%	
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	2.2% 31.3%	.0%	.0%	.6% 26.6%	.0%	.0% .0%	10.2% 42.1%	.0%	
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3% 100.0%	. 0% . <b>0</b> %	
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	7.9% 52.0%	.0%	.3% 48.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

(continued)

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED										
		JAN.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0% .9%	100.0%	100.0% 21.2%	100.0% 46.1%	100.0% 10.4%	100.0%	100.0%	
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00	37.69 27.93 .00 165.62 35.17	20.41 11.66 .00 78.44 45.24	15.82 16.22 .00 39.94 6.06	132.71 58.96 .00 217.98 13.67	75.28 57.49 .00 672.97 137.02	34.34 14.68 .00 253.50 298.32	20.12 8.11 .00 66.40 67.11	107.56 57.61 .00 209.02 13.16	.00 .00 .00 .00	10.33 .00 53.10

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-348 August, 1994

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCC			TRIP SUCCES	ESS		
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING	
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	85.6% 100.0%	85.6% 8.7%	86.3% 68.9%	81.4% 15.7%	83.7% 2.7%	92.8% 4.0%	
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	1.9% 3.7%	4.8% 71.6%	5.1% 18.6%	5.4% 3.2%	3.6% 2.9%	
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	3.8% 10.1%	3.7% 76.1%	2.8% 13.8%	.0%	.0%	
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	1.5% 8.7%	1.6% 72.8%	1.7% 18.5%	.0%	.0%	
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 59.5%	3.3% 31.1%	6.1% 9.5%	.0%	
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	3.3% 38.7%	.5% 43.5%	.0%	4.8% 17.8%	.0%	
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 73.4%	.8% 26.6%	.0%	.0%	
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 100.0%	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS (continued)

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	TOTAL IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS						
·		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING		
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%		
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 68.3%	100.0% 16.5%	100.0% 2.8%	100.0% 3.7%		
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	87.07 66.00 .00 496.30 56.54	35.55 18.11 .00 380.72 442.02	55.55 21.29 .00 220.08 106.85	31.07 21.01 .00 88.65 17.80	36.83 38.90 .00 189.76 23.79		

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP					
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	85.6% 100.0%	92.0% 1.8%	84.8% 8.4%	86.1% 45.4%	84.9% 37.8%	77.5% 3.7%	100.0% 2.8%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 6.3%	4.8% 47.5%	5.2% 43.3%	3.2% 2.9%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	5.7% 14.8%	3.0% 40.5%	3.5% 40.3%	3.6% 4.5%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 52.7%	1.9% 47.3%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	3.6% 17.5%	1.4% 34.6%	1.4% 31.1%	7.3% 16.9%	.0%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	8.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 54.0%	3.2% 46.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 38.7%	1.2% 61.3%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.1% 100.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 42.1%	.3% 26.6%	-4% 31.3%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	-4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

## Table A-15 RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	OTAL CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP							
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING		
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%		
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 8.5%	100.0% 45.2%	100.0% 38.2%	100.0% 4.1%	100.0% 2.4%		
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	20.01 21.85 .00 71.28 10.64	24.77 11.59 .00 86.03 55.11	60.90 30.30 .00 517.96 292.24	29.88 8.88 .00 139.59 246.89	47.36 20.18 .00 103.71 26.40	.00 .00 .00 .00		

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-352 August, 1994

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	COMPARIS	SON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER PLACES HUNTED			USED GUIDE			
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK		ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING N/A	
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	85.6% 100.0%	87.2% 4.0%	85.5% 77.9%	85.1% 14.3%	87.4% 3.8%	83.9% 48.9%	86.8% 42.7%	89.5% 8.4%	
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	.0%	4.5% 77.1%	7.3% 22.9%	.0%	7.1% 77.4%	2.4% 22.6%	.0%	
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	4.2% 5.1%	3.4% 81.1%	1.1% 4.7%	8.1% 9.1%	2.8% 42.8%	3.8% 48.8%	3.5% 8.5%	
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.8% 91.3%	.9% 8.7%	.0%	1.6% 52.7%	1.0% 28.7%	3.5% 18.5%	
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 73.1%	2.2% 17.5%	4.5% 9.5%	1.2% 34.4%	2.4% 58.2%	1.6% 7.4%	
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 46.0%	1.1% 54.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	3.3% 17.8%	.8% 82.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.5% 100.0%	5.3% 42.1%	.4% 57.9%	.0%	.0%	.7% 68.7%	.0%	1.9% 31.3%	
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.1% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 52.0%	.4% 48.0%	.0%	

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-15
RESPONDENTS EXPENDITURES ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	COMPARISON: HUNTING IN ALASKA TO OTHER PLACES HUNTED				USED GUIDE			
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK		ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING N/A	
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.1%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 4.0%	100.0% 77.9%	100.0% 14.4%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0% 49.9%	100.0% 42.1%	100.0% 8.0%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	43.28 14.16 .00 360.25 647.00	40.92 24.88 .00 125.94 25.63	42.72 16.56 .00 371.84 504.29	53.81 40.17 .00 387.21 92.89	17.05 9.98 .00 49.09 24.20	30.81 8.10 .00 145.47 322.81	62.36 32.21 .00 531.30 272.15	20.89 10.86 .00 78.32 52.04	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 647 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-354 August, 1994

# Table A-16 EXPENDITURES BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN ALASKA STATEWIDE BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Per Capita	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Gun and Accessories	\$3.74	\$26,194
Ammunition	\$0.48	\$3,363
Camera and Accessories	\$0.17	\$1,166
Camping Gear	<b>\$5.51</b>	\$38,636
Clothing	\$9.90	\$69,398
Fishing Equipment	\$1,68	\$11,777
Binoculars and Scope	\$0.24	\$1,701
Other, Unspecified	\$21.56	\$151,122
Total	\$43.28	\$303,357

# Table A-17 EXPENDITURES BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST) BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Ammunition Camping Gear	\$325 \$813
Clothing Fishing Equipment	\$3,834 \$4,796
Other, Unspecified	\$267
Total	\$10,035

# Table A-17 EXPENDITURES BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL) BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Gun and Accessories	\$23,529
Ammunition	\$2,686
Camera and Accessories	\$1,166
Camping Gear	\$19,671
Clothing	\$52,523
Fishing Equipment	\$4,027
Binoculars and Scope	\$1,055
Other, Unspecified	\$150,210
Total	\$254.867

# Table A-17 EXPENDITURES BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR) BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Ammunition Camping Gear Clothing Fishing Equipment Other, Unspecified	\$352 \$2,416 \$3,032 \$462 \$208
Total	\$6,470

## Table A-17 EXPENDITURES BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST) BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Gun and Accessories Camping Gear Clothing Fishing Equipment Binoculars and Scope	\$2,665 \$6,503 \$10,009 \$2,493 \$646
Total	

# Table A-17 EXPENDITURES BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS ON HUNTING RELATED EQUIPMENT IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN) BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Other, Unspecified	\$437
Total	\$437

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

•			
MATE THE NUMBER	46.94630	34	.06883
MAILING NUMBER	11.94195	17	.80364
GENDER OF RESPONDENT		85	.00000
AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	193.66845		
INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT	135.29566	80	.00011
EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT	196.46671	85	.00000
RACE OF RESPONDENT	21.29303	68	1.00000
HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991?	15.54992	17	.55594
HUNIED IN ALASKA BEFUKE 1991:	87.47669	119	.98658
YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFO		51	.11636
AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING	63.26172	- •	
COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE	21.56833	17	.20190
TRIP DESTINATION REGION	87.10978	112	.96077
NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY	115.96483	85	.01443
NUMBER OF NIGHTS IN ALASKA	45.71400	32	.05506
DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING	90.08542	68	.03783
	99.83065	68	.00720
HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING		144	.14880
MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED	161.69274		
IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS	62.83360	48	.07385
CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP	64.50141	68	.59788
USED GUIDE	223.99194	15	.00000
TARGET BLACK BEAR	12.95497	17	.73920
TARGET BROWN BEAR	136.42300	17	.00000
	36.58943	17	.00382
TARGET CARIBOU	21.13317	17	.22039
TARGET MOOSE			.99674
TARGET WOLF	5.31248	17	
TARGET SHEEP	54.80872	17	.00001
TARGET GOAT	7.55917	17	.97509
TARGET DEER	7.30197	17	.97929
TARGET ELK	11.87566	17	.80761
TARGET WATERFOWL	12.72875	17	.75415
BAGGED BLACK BEAR	5.82374	17	.99429
	114.25803	17	.00000
BAGGED BROWN BEAR		17	.00964
BAGGED CARIBOU	33.53422		
BAGGED MOOSE	8.45423	17	.95585
BAGGED WOLF	8.29870	17	.95974
BAGGED SHEEP	48.78142	17	.00007
BAGGED GOAT	5.68860	17	.99505
BAGGED DEER	9.90475	17	.90756
BAGGED ELK	18.76856	17	.34198
	***		.54175
BAGGED WATERFOWL	7 0/454	17	.99953
TROPHY BLACK BEAR	3.94656		
TROPHY BROWN BEAR	80.37453	17	.00000
TROPHY CARIBOU	37.20303	17	.00316
TROPHY MOOSE	19.04490	17	.32596
TROPHY WOLF	12.04661	17	.79731
TROPHY SHEEP	31.87866	17	.01558
TROPHY GOAT	***		
TROPHY DEER	***		
TROPHY ELK	***		
	***		
TROPHY WATERFOWL			

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

August, 1994 Page **A-**357

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	GENDI RESPO	ER OF NDENT			AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	.9% 100.0%	. <b>9%</b> 100.0%	. <b>0%</b> .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.0% 35.9%	.6% 21.1%	1.0% 20.2%	2.3% <b>2</b> 2.8%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	-2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	2.4% 39.2%	.0%	.6% 29.2%	. <b>0%</b> .0%	2.3% 31.6%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 14.4%	2.4% 67.4%	.0%	2.3% 18.2%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	-0% -0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	7.1% 21.1%	2.6% 22.3%	2.4% 20.9%	5.9% <b>30.</b> 1%	2.3% 5.7%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	6.7% 100.0%	-0% -0%	.0% .0%	14.3% 22.5%	5.6% 26.1%	5.9% 27.9%	2.0% 5.3%	14.0% 18.1%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	7.7% 96.0%	13.2% 4.0%	20.0% 5.0%	9.5% 12.5%	8.2% <b>31.</b> 7%	7.7% <b>3</b> 0.2%	6.9% 15.6%	4.7% 5.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5% 12.8%	10.2% 40.5%	7.1% 28.5%	7.8% 18.2%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	14.7% 100.0%	.0%	20.0%	19.0% 13.7%	16.8% <b>3</b> 5.7%	13.6% 29.2%	11.8% 14.6%	7.0% 4.1%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		GENDER OF RESPONDENT		AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT								
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING			
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 5.1%	10.7% 34.0%	9.5% 30.4%	9.8% 18.2%	14.0% 12.3%	.0%			
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	14.8% 95.3%	30.0% 4.7%	.0%	7.1% 4.9%	13.3% 26.7%	18.9% 38.6%	18.6% 22.0%	14.0% 7.8%	.0%			
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	10.7% 97.1%	13.2% 2.9%	20.0% 3.7%	2.4%	12.2% 34.6%	10.1% 28.8%	10.8% 17.8%	16.3% 12.8%	.0%			
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	20.1% 95.0%	43.6% 5.0%	20.0% 1.9%	21.4% 10.7%	17.3% 25.6%	21.3% 31.9%	24.5% 21.2%	20.9% 8.6%	.0%			
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0%	100.0% 10.3%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%			
	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	6247.01 220.20 4460.00 5093.59 535.08	9805.92 1647.95 7610.00 5934.87 12.97	4691.60 1224.60 3175.00 4035.78 10.86	5590.60 773.63 3272.50 5816.19 56.52	6287.21 442.54 4215.00 5720.12 167.07	6348.31 338.77 5093.00 4406.11 169.16	6867.13 516.85 5736.00 5112.76 97.85	6582.36 712.46 5810.00 4862.82 46.59	.00 .00 .0% .00			

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

## Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		******	INCOME CA	TEGORY OF F	RESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	1.1% 22.8%	.0%	1.2% 20.2%	.0%	.5% 18.0%	10.0% 39.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8% 100.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	. 0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.5% 70.8%	.0%	1.3% 29.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.0% .0%	- 0% - 0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.9% 14.4%	.8% 16.9%	2.7% 35.1%	2.1% 16.9%	.6% 16.9%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	12.2% 7.0%	3.7% 19.1%	2.9% 19.6%	7.1% 29.0%	2.0% 5.0%	1.2% 10.2%	10.4% 10.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column PercentRow Percent	6.5% 100.0%	17.6% 5.4%	10.7% 29.6%	5.1% 18.5%	6.0% 12.9%	6.2% 8.3%	5.0% 23.0%	4.6% 2.4%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	26.0% 6.6%	11.1% 25.6%	11.1% 33.6%	10.0% 18.0%	2.0% 2.2%	3.7% 13.9%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	.0%	8.7% 20.5%	11.7% 36.4%	8.5% 15.7%	5.4% 6.1%	4.9% 19.0%	5.2% 2.3%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	15.5% 2.2%	20.6% 25.9%	17.7% 29.2%	14.8% 14.6%	18.2% 11.0%	6.5% 13.5%	15.5% 3.6%

(continued)

## Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000	9.6%	7.7%	10.9%	14.8%	9.6%	6.1%	6.4%	5.4%
Column PercentRow Percent	100.0%	1.6%	20.4%	36.7%	14.1%	5.5%	19.7%	1.9%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	21.0% 2.8%	13.6% 16.3%	12.8% 20.1%	16.3% 15.3%	26.8% 15.4%	14.6% 28.7%	5.9% 1.3%
\$7,501 - \$10,000	10.8%	.0%	6.5%	9.9%	8.5%	10.0%	15.7%	14.7%
Column PercentRow Percent	100.0%		10.9%	21.9%	11.2%	8.1%	43.3%	4.6%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	.0%	8.8% 7.7%	11.6% 13.4%	14.0% 9.6%	21.1% 8.9%	39.9% 57.6%	16.5% 2.7%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	100.0%	2.0%	18.1%	23.8%	14.2%	8.7%	29.8%	3.4%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24	3032.86	4556.46	5030.10	5680.25	6343.85	9049.71	5669.86
	219.45	465.03	346.34	304.15	583.26	545.37	487.50	1553.63
	4520.00	2355.00	3660.00	3866.00	3860.00	5360.00	8350.00	3625.00
	5137.46	1542.11	3447.86	3474.47	5146.55	3767.49	6231.91	6673.17
	548.05	11.00	99.11	130.50	77.86	47.72	163.42	18.45

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	- <b>9%</b> 100.0%	.0% .0%	5.5% 22.8%	.7% 20.2%	.7% 21.1%	.0%	1.8% 35.9%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	21.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$101 - \$150 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8% 70.8%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 29. <b>2</b> %	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%
\$701 - \$800 Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.3% 51.9%	.0%	2.1% 48.1%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	. 0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	9.6% 5.0%	.0%	2.2% 15.1%	3.5% 27.5%	6.0% 42.3%	2.0% 10.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	19.5% 5.4%	10.3% 5. <b>7</b> %	6.2% 2 <b>2.</b> 8%	9.1% 38.4%	4.0% 15.3%	4.6% 12.4%	.0% .0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	- 0% - 0%	20.7% 9.5%	11.2% 34.2%	8.9% 31.3%	5.0% 15.9%	4.0% 9.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	9.6% 2.3%	4.8% 2.3%	7.6% 23.7%	8.5% 30.8%	7.8% 25.2%	6.8% 15. <b>7</b> %	.0% .0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	9.9% 2.5%	13.6% 22.9%	17.6% 34.0%	17.2% 29.6%	9.0% 11.1%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			EDUCAT I	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	.0%	9.2% 3.4%	8.4% 21.1%	10.1% 29.1%	13.2% 34.1%	6.6% 12.2%	. 0% . 0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	19.7% 2.4%	9.9% 2.4%	15.2% 24.2%	15.7% 28.7%	11.5% 18.8%	20.1% 23.5%	. 0% . 0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	9.6% 1.6%	13.7% 4.6%	8.5% 18.9%	10.2% 26.1%	11.3% 26.1%	13.8% 22.7%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	10.1%	16.0% 2.8%	20.9% 24.4%	15.9% 21.4%	21.2% 25.6%	28.1% 24.2%	100 <b>.0</b> % <b>.8</b> %
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 24.1%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases		4355.27 1461.84 1960.00 4610.31 9.95	5328.33 905.99 3910.00 4031.04 19.80	5942.28 395.55 4299.00 4545.91 132.08	6150.90 445.09 4125.00 5489.94 152.14	6131.88 366.23 4460.00 4270.71 135.99	7639.58 617.83 5955.00 6092.51 97.24	27690.0 .00 27690.0 .00 .85

Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		1	RACE OF RE	ESPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 5.0%	3.5% 95.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	6.7% 97.2%	.0%	. <b>0</b> % . 0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 2.2%	7.9% 95.5%	26.2% 2.2%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 2.3%	7.9% 97.7%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	27.3% 1.3%	15.6% 2.9%	14. <b>3</b> % 94.7%	.0%	50.0% 1.1%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-364 August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			RACE OF R	ESPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% 1.6%	12.6% 3.5%	9.6% 94.9%	.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	100.0%	26.2% 1.2%	20.9% 3.7%	14.9% 93.8%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent	10.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	18.9% 4.7%	10.4% 92.4%	46.5% 2.9%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	.0%	23.3%	5.8% .8%	20.9% 96.9%	27.3% .9%	50.0% .8%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0% 2.7%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0% .7%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	7295.00 .00 7295.00 .00 1.08	7513.91 3566.70 4016.00 6827.78 3.66	6132.90 1623.21 4609.00 6236.45 14.76	6281.73 220.68 4498.00 5047.63 523.17	8392.31 2401.34 9630.00 4596.91 3.66	15657.5 14336.0 15657.5 18716.3 1.70

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS R	ESPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	SKA BEFORE 1991		
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25	
\$0 Column Percent. Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.9% 56.1%	.9% 43.9%	.0%	.9% 56.1%	2.2% 43.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0%	.7% 100. <b>0</b> %	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	-0% -0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent. Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.5% 39.2%	.9% 60.8%	.0%	.5% 39.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	4.2% 29.2%	17.1% 31.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.3% 64.9%	.9% 35.1%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	1.7% 35.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent. Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	4.0% 62.6%	2.9% 37.4%	.0%	4.0% 62.6%	3.1% 15.1%	3.6% 22 <b>.3</b> %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	7.9% 65.6%	5.1% 34.4%	.0%	7.9% 65.6%	5.5% 14.3%	5.2% 17.3%	4.2% 2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent. Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	6.7% 46.4%	9.1% 51.4%	12.6% 2.2%	6.7% 46.4%	6.1% 13.1%	12.3% 34.3%	7.2% 4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	16.7% 2.2%	
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	8.6% 60.6%	6.5% 37.4%	11.2% 2.0%	8.6% 60.6%	8.9% 19.7%	5.4% 15.3%	7.8% 4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	14.7% 55.3%	14.0% 43.3%	14.2% 1.4%	14.7% 55.3%	11.6% 13.7%	12.0% 18.3%	23.2% 7.0%	<b>31.</b> 5% 2.5%	100.0% 1.7%	.0%	18.8% 1.4%	

(continued)

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS R	ESPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	11.4% 64.3%	7.7% 35.7%	.0%	11.4% 64.3%	9.1% 16.0%	6.1% 14.0%	8.1% 3.6%	17.1% 2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent. Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	13.6% 48.6%	17.0% 50.2%	13.1%	13.6% 48.6%	20.3% 22.8%	13.8% 20.0%	16.7% 4.8%	34.3% 2.6%	.0%	.0%	17.4% 1.2%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	9.6% 48.1%	12.6% 51.9%	.0%	9.6% 48.1%	11.8% 18.7%	13.9% 28.2%	8.8% 3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 1.4%
over \$10,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	20.6%	18.6% 48.6%	22.3% 48.0%	49.0% 3.3%	18.6% 48.6%	21.5% 17.8%	25.3% 26.9%	19.9% 4.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	32.2% 1.6%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0%	100.0% 1.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean. Median Std. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	219.45 4520.00 5137.46	5992.64 302.23 4190.00 5202.65 296.34	6593.11 312.03 5160.00 4874.86 244.08	11101.3 2958.68 6992.00 8175.39 7.64	5992.64 302.23 4190.00 5202.65 296.34	6774.70 536.16 5160.00 5181.73 93.40	6752.32 446.73 5500.00 4895.72 120.10	6694.57 1201.36 4520.00 5857.47 23.77	4304.05 951.56 4484.00 2396.95 6.35	3524.00 .00 3524.00 .00 1.35	10520.0 .00 10520.0 .00	8445.76 2265.97 7025.00 5432.93 5.75

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLI	ETED HUNT COURSE	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	1.5% 77.2%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 22.8%	.0%	.6% 43.9%	1.3% 56.1%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 60.8%	22.4% 39.2%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.2% 50.6%	1.0% 32.6%	.0%	1.4% 16.9%	.0%	.9% 51.9%	1.4% 48.1%	.0% .0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	2.3% 30.2%	3.5% 35.4%	7.6% 11.5%	6.1% 23.0%	.0%	2.7% 46.8%	4.9% 53.2%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	6.0% 41.5%	8.3% 44.9%	2.9% 2.4%	5.6% 11.3%	.0%	5.8% 54.3%	7.9% 45.7%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	7.6% 43.8%	10.4% 47.1%	6.8% 4.5%	2.7% 4.5%	.0%	8.6% 66.4%	6.9% 33.6%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column PercentRow Percent	7.7% 100.0%	6.7% 39.3%	7.9% 36.6%	15.6% 10.7%	5.2% 9.1%	36.7% 4.3%	9.0% 71.0%	5.4% 26.7%	16.0% 2.3%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	16.3% 51.2%	14.2% 34.9%	3.3% 1.2%	12.3% 11.3%	21.9% 1.4%	16. <b>3</b> % 68.8%	11.3% 30.0%	16.7% 1.3%

(continued)

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPL	ETED HUNT COURSE	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	9.7% 45.5%	7.9% 29.0%	11.9% 6.5%	13.7% 19.0%	.0%	10.1% 63.8%	9.1% 36.2%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	16.4% 48.8%	14.9% 34.9%	16.6% 5.8%	10.8% 9.4%	19.4% 1.2%	15.1% 60.6%	15.2% 38.2%	16.7% 1.2%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	7.1% 29.7%	16.6% 54.5%	12.3% 6.0%	8.0% 9.8%	.0%	11.0% 61.9%	10.4% 36.7%	14.2% 1.4%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	22.4% 49.0%	14.3% 24.5%	23.1% 5.9%	30.4% 19.6%	21.9% 1.0%	19.3% 57.0%	22.9% 42.3%	14.2% .8%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0% 5.3%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	219.45 4520.00 5137.46	6405.11 352.63 4445.00 5543.18 247.11	5863.53 323.05 4515.00 4504.57 194.43	7148.96 1056.07 5135.00 5679.78 28.93	6918.48 572.73 4760.00 4881.61 72.65	7620.96 3563.00 3979.00 7916.76 4.94	6295.07 273.77 4410.00 4999.17 333.45	6352.85 361.68 4920.00 5223.65 208.59	7587.34 3889.27 3630.00 9535.61 6.01

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

## Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	1.8% 20.2%	1.4% 61.8%	.6% 18.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	. <b>0%</b> . 0%	.0%	.0% .0%	13.2% 100.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	. <b>9%</b> 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	1.0% 60. <b>8</b> %	.9% <b>39</b> .2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.6% 14.4%	1.5% 51.9%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 16.9%	.0%	.0%	4.2% 16.9%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	3.3% 35.1%	3.5% 27.5%	3.3% 5.2%	2.5% 5.7%	12.8% 9.5%	.0%	9.6% 12.0%	5.8% 5.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	9.3% 14.0%	5.4% 31.2%	7.9% 33.3%	5.6% 4.8%	9.1% 11.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	4.5% 3.0%	6.1% 2.8%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	16.6% 20.7%	6.4% 30.4%	8.0% 28.1%	3.1% 2.2%	12.3% 12.4%	6.0% 2.0%	10.0% 2.0%	4.2% 2.3%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	8.2% 10.4%	9.7% 47.3%	7.5% 27.2%	3.3% 2.4%	6.2% 6.4%	6.0% 2.0%	.0%	7.5% 4.3%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	8.7% 5.9%	12.2% 31. <b>7</b> %	21.5% 41.3%	20.1% 7.8%	11.1% 6. <b>1</b> %	6.0% 1.1%	10.0% 1.1%	7.7% 2.4%	12. <b>7</b> % 2.6%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

## Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	5.0% 5.1%	13.4% 52. <b>2</b> %	8.0% 23.0%	2.8% 1.6%	9.0% 7.4%	12.8% 3.4%	11.8% 1.9%	8.1% 3.7%	5.2% 1.6%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	12.6% 8.2%	15.2% 37.7%	17.7% 32.4%	9.6% 3.5%	14.2% 7.4%	28.1% 4.8%	11.3% 1.2%	8.7% 2.5%	11.7% 2.3%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	9.4% 8.5%	12.4% 43.1%	6.1% 15.7%	9.8% 5.1%	14.6% 10.7%	13.9% 3.3%	21.3% 3.1%	11.1% 4.5%	21.7% 6.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	24.9% 11.8%	17.4% 31.6%	17.0% 22. <b>8</b> %	42.5% 11.5%	18.7% 7.2%	14.2% 1.8%	35.5% 2.7%	34.5% 7.3%	23.5% <b>3.</b> 4%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0% 4.4%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	6442.76 727.08 4287.00 5322.91 53.60	5977.94 319.50 4498.00 4582.82 205.74	5779.33 386.86 3962.00 4765.19 151.72	8491.46 1010.51 7580.00 5584.44 30.54	5992.54 625.99 4520.00 4122.66 43.37	6438.07 1214.00 5410.00 4559.13 14.10	10142.71 2587.88 9025.00 7542.50 8.49	8740.36 1882.59 7140.00 9236.13 24.07	6775.75 1305.86 6021.00 5289.63 16.41

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			NUMBER O	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		,
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 21.1%	1.5% 56.1%	1.8% 22.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	2.6% 70.8%	.0%	.5% 29.2%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	1.2% 35.1%	2.1% 64. <b>9%</b>	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column PercentRow Percent	3.5% 100.0%	2.0% 9.5%	1.9% 16.7%	6.3% 59.7%	3.0% 9.7%	.0% .0%	.0%	4.5% 4.5%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	2.2% 5. <b>6</b> %	8.6% 40.6%	8-4% 42.9%	5.0% <b>8.</b> 5%	.0% .0%	.0%	4.5% 2.4%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	8.3% 17.8%	8.7% 34.3%	7.5% 31.8%	9.7% 13.8%	.0% .0%	53.0% 2.2%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	3.3% 7.2%	6.0% 24.4%	8.6% 37.1%	15.5% 22.6%	4.2% 2.0%	.0%	14.6% 6.6%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	7.9% 9.3%	13.9% 30.1%	16.5% 38.1%	19.5% 15.1%	23.6% 6.1%	.0%	5.0% 1.2%

(continued)

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		,	NUMBER O	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	7.8% 13.7%	10.3% 33.4%	11.1% 38.2%	9.2% 10.8%	5 <b>.3</b> % 2.1%	.0%	5.0% 1.8%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	13.9% 15.5%	14.1% 29.1%	14.5% 31.8%	24.0% 17.7%	14.8% 3.7%	47.0% 1.0%	5.3% 1.2%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	14.6% 22.9%	11.1% <b>3</b> 2.0%	10.0% 30.9%	4.4% 4.6%	22.9% 8.0%	.0%	5.3% 1.7%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	37.5% 30.7%	21.8% 32.9%	12.0% 19.4%	4.3% 2.4%	29.2% 5.3%	. 0%	55.9% 9.4%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0% 11.2%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0% .3%	100.0% 3.5%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	8235.80 533.22 7943.00 5132.39 92.64	6407.44 373.76 4729.00 4880.74 170.53	5491.52 403.67 3910.00 5448.98 182.21	4269.22 364.30 3430.00 2853.15 61.34	7306.90 690.51 7580.00 3127.01 20.51	3666.06 1761.97 2170.00 2371.46 1.81	10267.7 1589.82 11547.0 6932.91 19.02

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	NUI	MBER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASE	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	3.2% 20.2%	1.0% 61.8%	.4% 18.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 100.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	3.6% 31.6%	.3% 29.2%	.0%	9.2% 39.2%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	6.2% 31.2%	.3% 16.9%	1.5% 51.9%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	_4% 100.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	3.2% 5.0%	3.2% 50.5%	4.3% 44.5%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	7.8% 6.4%	8.4% 70.9%	3.6% 19.9%	6.8% 2.8%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	6.6% 4.5%	9.5% 67.4%	6.1% 28.1%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	12.5% 8.8%	7.8% 56.0%	7.4% 35.2%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	13.6% 5.1%	15.9% 61.4%	11.7% 29.7%	20.0% 3.7%

(continued)
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS
TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-374

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	NU	MBER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASI	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	6.2% 3.5%	11.0% 63.6%	8.3% 31.3%	5.8% 1.6%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	12.7% 4.5%	14.0% 51.4%	17.9% 42.9%	6.5% 1.2%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	13.5% 6.8%	10.4% 53.4%	10.0% 33.8%	24.3% 6.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	10.8% 2.8%	16.9% 45.4%	28.4% 50.1%	12.6% 1.6%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.4%	100.0% 55.5%	100.0% 36.4%	100.0% 2.7%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	5346.61 958.94 3524.00 5227.76 29.72	5589.62 228.74 4175.00 3989.07 304.13	7659.53 447.03 5684.00 6314.38 199.52	5636.11 1337.86 3979.00 5126.97 14.69

Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

The second secon

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HUI	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 20.2%	.4% 18.0%	.5% 21.1%	2.4% 18.0%	9.5% 22.8%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	10.4% 39.2%	1.7% 29.2%	.5% 31.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1%	7.7% 16.9%	4.9% 49.4%	.4% 16.9%	.5% 16.9%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	14.8% 10.0%	5.1% 15.9%	2.8% 34.6%	2.8% 28.0%	6.3% 11.5%	.0% .0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	10.4% 3.8%	7.8% 12.9%	8.2% 53.9%	4.4% 23.6%	.0%	18.2% 5.8%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	8.4% 11.6%	8.5% 46.6%	8.9% 39.8%	2.4% 2.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	6.6% 2.0%	10.1% 14.3%	8.5% 47.7%	6.7% 30.5%	3.9% 3.2%	8.4% 2.3%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	6.6% 1.1%	4.9% 3.7%	17.7% 53.2%	12.7% 30.9%	19.3% 8.6%	17.1% 2.5%

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HU	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	.0%	8.6% 9.7%	10.5% 47.0%	8.4% 30.6%	13.8% 9.1%	16.8% 3.6%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	20.9% 3.3%	11.3% 8.1%	13.7% 39.2%	18.0% 41.9%	17.9% 7.5%	.0% .0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	14.9% 3.3%	16.1% 16.2%	10.1% 40.4%	12.3% 40.2%	.0%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	7.7% .9%	19.5% 10.2%	16.8% 35.2%	23.6% 40.2%	33.9% 10.5%	30.0% 3.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0% 6.4%	100.0% 2.1%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	4344.21 986.69 3962.00 3552.86 12.97	5946.03 592.59 4685.00 4570.09 59.48	5652.05 266.11 4135.00 4094.33 236.73	6755.85 356.37 5260.00 4944.47 192.51	9750.83 1668.56 5052.00 9863.05 34.94	7050.82 2072.40 3910.00 7007.87 11.43

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		HOURS PER	DAY SPENT	T ACTUALL'	Y HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	2.3% 20.2%	.9% <b>39.</b> 0%	.3% 18.0%	.0%	8.1% 22.8%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	-0% -0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	5.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 29.2%	1.2% 70.8%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	4.5% 31.2%	1.6% 51.9%	.4% 16.9%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	100.0% 5.0%	9.2% 19.9%	3.3% 34.4%	3.0% 40.7%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	8.3% 9.6%	5.5% <b>3</b> 0.5%	6.0% 42.5%	5.6% 5.8%	31.0% 11.6%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	7.1% 6.8%	9.8% 45.3%	7.7% 45.9%	2.3%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7. <b>7</b> % 100.0%	.0% .0%	4.4% 4.3%	10.4% 49.0%	5.9% 35.8%	9.8% 8.6%	7.1% 2.3%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	13.3% 7.0%	14.0% 35.4%	16.0% 52.1%	9.0% 4.2%	7.4% 1.3%

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		HOURS PER	DAY SPEN	T ACTUALL	Y HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	.0%	9.2% 7.2%	9.7% 36.7%	10.8% 52.6%	2.3% 1.6%	7.1% 1.8%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	.0%	13.2% 6.6%	11.5% 27.6%	17.4% 53.9%	24.5% 10.9%	6.3% 1.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	.0%	9.6% 6.7%	11.9% 40.0%	10.8% 47.0%	10.0% 6.3%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	.0%	11.5% 4.2%	18.3% 32.2%	21.0% 47.7%	36.4% 11.9%	32.9% 3.9%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0% 6.8%	100.0% 2.5%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	1446.00 .00 1446.00 .00 .96	4813.66 711.30 3712.00 4585.47 41.56	6063.42 367.32 4190.00 5179.04 198.79	6487.87 315.61 4920.00 5052.55 256.27	8302.17 822.92 6945.00 5006.27 37.01	6919.26 1850.37 3910.00 6786.93 13.45

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED										
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	ост.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	3.0% 20.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 79.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 100.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	. 0% . 0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	3.1% 16.9%	2.2% 14.4%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.2% 51. <b>9</b> %	1.7% 16.9%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2% 4.5%	24.6% 5.0%	.0%	3.4% 20.9%	4.7% 64.4%	.0% .0%	7.6% 5.2%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	. 0% . 0%	13.1% 14.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	8.0% 26.3%	6.8% 50.4%	.0%	7.6% 2.8%	.0% .0%	16.0% 6.5%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	5.7% 4.3%	10.1% 8.9%	.0%	.0%	7.0% 19.1%	8.7% 53.2%	7.6% 10.2%	6.5% 2.0%	100.0% 2.2%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	.0%	7.3% 5.6%	4.8% 4.3%	.0%	44.0% 2.0%	8.8% 24.7%	8.7% 55.0%	2.9% 4.0%	7.6% 2.4%	.0% .0%	5.8% 2.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.0% 1.2%	7.5% 3.6%	.0%	.0%	14.7% 21.9%	17.9% 60.2%	8.3% 6.2%	25.4% 4.2%	.0%	14.2% 2.6%

(continued)

and appears to the state of

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED										
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	ост.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	.0%										
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	100.0% 2.4%	11.0% 4.3%									
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	.0%										
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	43.3% 12.3%			56.0% 1.0%						
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% .4%				100.0% -4%		100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0% .2%	100.0% 2.7%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	4520.00	429.62 6230.00	1172.99 9180.00 6659.88	1014.50 9608.00 6262.24	2834.51 4135.00 5594.47	5523.59 13418.0 7684.25	507.56 4498.00	249.21 3945.00 4056.21	751.03 8520.00 5733.76	757.98 3524.00 2750.22	.00 2170.00 .00	1026.58

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS							
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING			
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	3.6% 40.8%	.2% 18.0%	1.4% 20.2%	7.3% 21.1%	.0%			
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%			
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	4.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%			
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	10.9% 100.0%			
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%			
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%			
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	1.9% 16.9%	1.0% 66.3%	1.5% 16.9%	.0%	.0%			
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%			
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	3.4% 9.5%	3.7% 78.5%	3.4% 12.0%	.0%	.0%			
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	8.5% 12.6%	6.8% 75.7%	6.2% 11.7%	.0%	.0%			
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	1.6% 2.0%	9.2% 85.5%	5.3% 8.2%	7.3% 2.3%	6.9% 2.0%			
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	3.8% 4.8%	6.9% 65.9%	14.3% 22.8%	7.0% 2.3%	14.6% 4.3%			
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent	14.4% 100.0%	19.0% 12.8%	13.3% 67.9%	18.2% 15.5%	14.5% 2.5%	7.8% 1.2%			

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS							
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING			
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	14.8% 14.9%	9.9% 75.2%	4.1% 5.3%	.0%	19.6% 4.6%			
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	12.0% 7.7%	15.1% 72.8%	18.7% 15.1%	26.3% 4.4%	.0% .0%			
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	9.0% 8.1%	10.8% 73.3%	10.3% 11.7%	14.8% 3.5%	16.5% 3.5%			
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	18.5% 8.7%	21.6% 76.8%	15.3% 9.1%	22.9% 2.8%	23.7% 2.6%			
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.7%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0% 2.3%			
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	5953.56 687.82 4260.00 5021.17 53.29	6364.81 248.46 4609.00 4977.82 401.38	5978.97 642.86 3979.00 5270.62 67.22	7233.66 1773.75 5768.00 6585.67 13.79	7776.91 2310.80 4498.00 8129.35 12.38			

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWIN	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	-9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 18.0%	1.5% 64.1%	.0%	17.2% 18.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	1.2% 70.8%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	- 0% - 0%	. 0% . 0%	.3%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column PercentRow Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 66.3%	1.0% 33.7%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	3.6% 9.7%	3.3% 46.3%	3.7% 39.0%	8.6% 5.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column PercentRow Percent	6.5% 100.0%	41.2% 11.0%	10.0% 14.6%	5.9% 44.1%	5.4% 30.4%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	4.2% 5.0%	8.6% 53.9%	8.3% 38.8%	9.0% 2.3%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	.0%	13.6% 16.9%	6.2% 39.4%	7.6% 36.1%	21.1% 5.6%	17.2% 2.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column PercentRow Percent	14.4% 100.0%	.0%	9.6% 6.4%	14.5% 49.6%	16.8% 42.8%	9.0% 1.3%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWIN	G TO TRIP	
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	.0%	15.3% 15.1%	9.6% 49.0%	8.5% 32.3%	.0%	38.6% 3.6%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	- 0% - 0%	15.1% 9.5%	14.6% 47.5%	17.8% 43.0%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	8.9% 1.4%	7.9% 7.0%	11.3% 51.5%	10.3% 35.0%	27.0% 5.1%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	49.9% 4.2%	20.8% 9.6%	22.2% 53.0%	16.6% 29.5%	25.3% 2.5%	27.1% 1.2%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	8208.59 1915.00 8454.00 5921.62 9.56	6405.71 668.51 4785.00 4828.64 52.17	6415.77 314.72 4590.00 5170.40 269.89	6053.63 360.69 4250.00 5105.13 200.33	6840.73 1479.14 8240.00 4932.79 11.12	7396.74 3600.53 4520.00 8025.79 4.97

# Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	COMPARIS	ON: HUNTING PLACES	IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK		ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 82.0%	1.1% 18.0%	.0%	.4% 21.1%	1.5% 78.9%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	13.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0% 100.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	5.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	6.3% 16.9%	.9% 66.3%	1.2% 16.9%	.0%	1.5% 64.9%	.8% 35.1%	.0% .0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.3% 100.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0%	4.2% 95.5%	1.1% 4.5%	.0%	5.5% 74.0%	1.0% 13.9%	6.4% 12.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	.0%	4.7% 57.8%	16.2% 36.4%	17.5% 5.8%	12.1% 86.6%	1.1% 8.0%	5.4% 5.5%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column PercentRow Percent	7.9% 100.0%	5.4% 2.0%	7.5% 76.9%	9.2% 17.2%	14.3% 4.0%	15.2% 90.1%	.9% 5.6%	5.1% 4.3%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.7% 100.0%	20.3% 7.6%	7.4% 76.9%	7.0% 13.5%	7.1% 2.0%	12.0% 72.9%	3.3% 20.3%	7.9% 6.8%

(continued)

# Table A-18 RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

Salter of the manufacture of the salter

	TOTAL	COMPARIS		G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER	USED GUIDE			
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK		ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING	
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 100.0%	20.0% 4.0%	14.9% 82.8%	11.5% 11.8%	9.1% 1.4%	21.6% 70.1%	6.4% 20.8%	19.9% 9.2%	
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	5.4% 1.6%	8.3% 69.4%	15.3% 23.5%	24.5% 5.5%	10.5% 50.8%	9.1% 44.1%	7.3% 5.1%	
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	11.7% 2.2%	16.9% 89.4%	8.6% 8.4%	.0%	13.8% 42.7%	16.5% 51.0%	14.5% 6.3%	
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	5.4% 1.4%	12.3% 91.6%	3.7% 5.1%	9.1% 1.8%	3.7% 16.1%	17.6% 76.4%	12.2% 7.5%	
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	20.6% 100.0%	6.3%	20.6% 79.9%	24.1% 17.3%	18.4% 1.9%	1.5% 3.4%	40.8% 92.4%	13.0% 4.2%	
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.9%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0% 2.2%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 6.6%	
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6331.24 219.45 4520.00 5137.46 548.05	3931.35 877.15 2955.00 3485.73 15.79	6410.96 233.27 4990.00 4890.33 439.50	6453.62 726.31 4125.00 6530.19 80.84	5741.39 1435.48 4015.00 4956.94 11.92	3516.91 131.85 3058.00 2108.45 255.71	9277.27 356.58 8915.00 5706.05 256.07	5373.38 750.39 3979.00 4519.12 36.27	

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE S	SPECIES TAR	RGETED BY F	RESPONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 24.6%	1.8% 47.6%	.8% 49.7%	.4% 21.9%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.9% 68.4%	.6% 31.6%	.0%	1.9% 31.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 24.5%	1.0% 26.5%	.4% 24.5%	.5% 24.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 4.7%	1.6% 9.4%	2.0% 27.6%	5.0% <b>53.</b> 4%	. 0% . 0%	3.4% 10.8%	14.0% 5.5%	4.3% 5.5%	.0%	17.5% 7.4%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 24.0%	1.6% 4.9%	5.5% 40.0%	5.2% 29.1%	.0%	4.1% 6.7%	.0% .0%	5.7% 3.9%	29.3% 4.9%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.2% 16.7%	2.2% 5.7%	8.6% 53.0%	7.8% 36.7%	10.3% 4.9%	4.1% 5.7%	.0%	7.7% 4.4%	.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.6% 10.1%	4.3% 11.1%	8.2% 51.0%	8.8% 41.8%	10.0% 4.8%	4.9% 6.7%	18.8% 3.3%	12.1% 6. <b>9</b> %	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	13.3% 13.0%	4.7% 6.5%	17.7% 59.0%	15.0% 37.9%	15.0% 3.8%	1.5% 1.1%	.0%	27.2% 8.3%	37.8% 2.9%	41.5% 4.2%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE OVERNIGHT HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA (continued)

Page **A-**388 August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES TA	RGETED BY	RESPONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.7% 14.3%	1.8% 3.8%	14.8% 74.4%	10.6% 40.3%	5.1% 2.0%	.0%	14.0% 2.0%	7.9% 3.6%	.0%	12.5% 1.9%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	17.5% 16.5%	6.8% 9.0%	15.2% 49.0%	20.8% 51.0%	26.4% 6.5%	12.6% 9.1%	27.4% 2.5%	16.7% 5.0%	33.0% 2.4%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.5% 16.3%	14.8% 29.8%	9.6% 46.6%	9.7% 35.7%	10.0% 3.7%	24.7% 26.8%	.0%	12.8% 5.7%	.0%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	17.2% 12.3%	58.4% 58.8%	16.0% <b>38.9</b> %	15.2% 28.1%	23.1% 4.3%	40.4% 22.0%	25.9% 1.8%	5.7% 1.3%	.0%	28.5% 2.1%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 14.5%	100.0% 20.5%	100.0% 49.4%	100.0% 37.6%	100.0% 3.8%	100.0% 11.1%	100.0% 1.4%	100.0% 4.6%	100.0% 1.1%	100.0% 1.5%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6534.48 750.22 4460.00 6491.19 74.86	10677.18 584.76 11040.00 6012.74 105.73	5988.30 315.64 4295.00 5042.77 255.24	5908.20 345.89 4460.00 4821.23 194.29	8220.62 1595.52 5457.00 7048.87 19.52	9823.30 843.33 9116.00 6373.44 57.12	6648.08 1778.77 6035.00 4759.90 7.16	4933.92 660.32 3525.00 3203.08 23.53	3844.71 872.19 3566.00 2104.29 5.82	6432.16 2201.51 3347.00 6109.64 7.70

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE OVERNIGHT HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES BA	AGGED BY RE	SPONDENT		_	
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	3.0% 20.2%	1.5% 21.1%	1.0% 40.8%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 18.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.1% 51.0%	.5% <b>24.</b> 5%	1.2% 24.5%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% . <b>0%</b>	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 7.0%	.0%	2.1% <b>3</b> 4.1%	3.6% 23.7%	.0%	4.8% 16.1%	.0%	7.9% 8.2%	.0%	24.5% 11.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 19.9%	1.3% 5.3%	3.5% 43.5%	6.0% 30.3%	.0% .0%	2.4% 6.2%	.0%	.0% .0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 7.8%	1.5% 4.2%	8.2% 69.0%	4.5% 15.5%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	14.3% 7.7%	.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.7% 6.7%	3.5% 8.7%	8.7% 63.8%	5.2% 15.5%	.0% .0%	2.3% 3.5%	.0%	14.6% 6.8%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.0% 5.4%	1.4% 1.8%	17.6% 66.1%	13.7% 20.8%	.0%	2.1% 1.6%	.0%	25.2% 6.0%	.0%	<b>58.</b> 1% 6.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE OVERNIGHT HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA (continued)

Page **A-**390 August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES B	AGGED BY R	ESPONDENT		***************************************	
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 8.5%	2.8% 4.4%	15.5% 73.7%	9.4% 18.0%	.0%	.0%	54.0% 2.4%	6.7% 2.0%	-0% -0%	17.4% 2.3%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	12.2% 6.7%	7.4% 8.6%	17.0% 59.5%	20. <b>8</b> % 29.5%	23.0% 1.5%	8.7% 6.2%	.0%	7.6% 1.7%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	12.0% 8.5%	9.8% 14.6%	8.9% 39.9%	15.0% 27.4%	.0%	28.0% 25.8%	.0%	23.7% 6.8%	.0%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	28.2% 9 <b>.9</b> %	66.2% 48.4%	15.5% 34.3%	20.6% 18.4%	77.0% 3.2%	49.6% 22.4%	46.0% .9%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.3%	100.0% 17.5%	100.0% 52.6%	100.0% 21.4%	100.0% 1.0%	100.0% 10.8%	100.0% .5%	100.0% 3.3%	.0% .0%	100.0% 1.5%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	7752.05 1174.96 5206.00 6612.95 31.68	10931.31 699.93 11255.00 5699.64 66.31	6009.29 362.25 4420.00 5119.96 199.77	7172.60 666.52 5616.00 5999.54 81.02	15532.03 4442.65 14165.00 8552.77 3.71	11042.04 921.18 9987.00 5893.82 40.94	8370.48 4130.59 4850.00 5622.92 1.85	4465.19 761.92 3524.00 2712.84 12.68	.00 .00 .00 .00	2998.70 460.24 3250.00 1079.73 5.50

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE OVERNIGHT HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

			TRO	OPHY WILDL	IFE SPECIES	S BAGGED B	Y RESPONDE	NT		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	4.5% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 13.2%	.0%	2.2% <b>28.</b> 1%	3.9% 28.1%	.0% .0%	6.6% 30.5%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.9% 19.9%	1.6% 31.4%	2 <b>.3</b> % 25 <b>.3</b> %	.0% .0%	3.3% 23.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.0% 16.3%	5.9% 23.8%	5.8% 42.6%	4.2% 17.3%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	- 0% - 0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	8.8% 12.6%	.0% .0%	11.7% 67.8%	1.8% 5.9%	100.0% 7.0%	3.2% 6.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	13.2% 9.4%	2.1% 3.3%	21.5% 62.6%	13.2% 21.6%	.0%	2.9% <b>3.</b> 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE OVERNIGHT HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA (continued)

Page **A-**392 August, 1994

Table A-18
RESPONDENTS TOTAL TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

			TR	OPHY WILDL	IFE SPECIE	S BAGGED BY	RESPONDE	NT		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	17.3% 13.4%	1.9% 3.2%	19.7% 62.0%	8.1% 14.3%	.0%	3.3% 3.8%	.0%	22.1% 3.2%	.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	14.4% 9.1%	4.3% 6.0%	16.7% 42.9%	25.1% 36.3%	.0%	6.2% 5.7%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	14.3% 7.4%	12.1% 14.1%	8.8% 18.5%	24.3% 28.7%	.0%	31.3% 23.7%	.0%	77.9% 7.6%	.0%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	18.8% 6.2%	67.5% 49.6%	8.1% 10.8%	17.1% 12.8%	.0%	43.2% 20.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.9%	100.0% 19.9%	100.0% 36.0%	100.0% 20.2%	100.0% .4%	100.0% 12.9%	.0%	100.0% 1.7%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	6831.22 1290.95 4460.00 5849.57 20.53	11732.38 915.08 11815.00 6208.77 46.04	4968.47 347.67 4230.00 3173.37 83.31	7669.08 917.27 6820.00 6273.77 46.78	2700.00 .00 2700.00 .00 1.00	10628.34 1136.16 9630.00 6212.21 29.90	.00 .00 .00 .00	7557.61 1119.82 7580.00 2198.35 3.85	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE OVERNIGHT HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP

MAILING NUMBER GENDER OF RESPONDENT AGE CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT INCOME CATEGORY OF RESPONDENT EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT RACE OF RESPONDENT HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFORE 1991? YRS RESPONDENT HAS HUNTED IN ALASKA BEFO AGE AT WHICH RESPONDENT STARTED HUNTING COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE TRIP DESTINATION REGION NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN PARTY NUMBER OF HUNTERS IN ALASKA DAYS SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING HOURS PER DAY SPENT ACTUALLY HUNTING MONTH IN WHICH TRIP STARTED IMPORTANCE OF BAGGING TO TRIP SUCCESS CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP USED GUIDE TARGET BLACK BEAR TARGET BROWN BEAR TARGET BROWN BEAR TARGET WOLF TARGET WOLF TARGET WOLF TARGET WATERFOWL BAGGED BLACK BEAR BAGGED BLACK BEAR BAGGED BROWN BEAR BROWN BROWN BEAR BROWN BR	60.28644 26.69065 191.51912 164.62505 171.42211 54.17777 25.04310 220.84133 90.41650 25.93996 170.55291 120.47084 104.26960 205.87384 92.75491 191.82223 84.05324 93.79368 170.69341 27.62365 120.09951 37.32165 42.39258 15.96057 41.44411 18.09966 16.65914 62.60265 34.17174 21.12451 89.02722 32.69233 26.44071 13.74860 34.76186 11.01198 14.99700 ***	46 23 115 115 125 161 69 23 161 115 46 92 207 69 92 223 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2	.07690 .26936 .00001 .00166 .00051 .99942 .34807 .00123 .04289 .30371 .28802 .34496 .00000 .00000 .45833 .76792 .10485 .42839 .00000 .23035 .00000 .33008 .00817 .85695 .01053 .75206 .82564 .00002 .06272 .57348 .00000 .08658 .28051 .93384 .05492
BAGGED WATERFOWL TROPHY BLACK BEAR TROPHY BROWN BEAR TROPHY CARIBOU TROPHY MOOSE TROPHY WOLF TROPHY SHEEP TROPHY GOAT	47.37983 15.08967 51.46293 32.99408 22.32130 147.27104 35.75444	23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	.00201 .89139 .00059 .08117 .50093 .00000
TROPHY DEER TROPHY ELK TROPHY WATERFOWL	7.05925 *** ***	23	.99941

PEARSON CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

\*\*\* indicates there were insufficient cases or cells to calculate a test statistic

Page **A**-394 August, 1994

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	GENDI RESPO	ER OF	_		AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.4% 13.5%	1.0% 17.1%	1.2% 20.1%	3.9% 38.5%	2.3% 10.9%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 47.0%	.0%	1.0% 53.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.9% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 44.7%	4.8% 55.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	-4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 47.0%	2.3% 53.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column PercentRow Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 51.1%	1.0% 48.9%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	2.3% 100.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	1.0% 46.0%	1.2% 54.0%	. 0% . 0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 22.3%	1.2% 52.5%	1.0% 25.2%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9%	1.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	20.0% 21.2%	2.4% 13.1%	1.0% 16.6%	1.8% 29.2%	1.0% 9.3%	2.3% 10.5%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 22.9%	3.6% 50.8%	1.2% 17.0%	.0%	2.3% 9.2%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 45.7%	.6% 17.9%	1.0% 17.1%	2. <b>3</b> % 19.3%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	4.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5% 23.2%	4.1% 29.4%	2.4% 17.3%	4.9% 20.7%	4.7% 9.4%	.0%

(continued)

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

August, 1994 Page A-395

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	GEND RESPO	ER OF NDENT			AGE CATE	GORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		MALE	FEMALE	< 21	21 - 30	31 - 40	41 - 50	51 - 60	> 60	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	1.7% 84.1%	13.2% 15.9%	.0%	4.8% 25.0%	3.1% 47.5%	1.2% 18.6%	1.0% 8.9%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	15.4% 100.0%	.0%	20.0%	19.0% 13.1%	10.2% 20.7%	16.6% 34.1%	13.7% 16.3%	23.3% 13.2%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	10.5% 97.0%	13.2% 3.0%	.0%	14.3% 13.9%	13.3% 38.2%	10.7% 31.1%	8.8% 14.9%	2.3% 1.9%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	7.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 6.4%	9.2% 36.7%	8.3% 33.6%	8.8% 20.7%	2.3% 2.6%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	7.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8% 6.8%	9.7% 40.7%	8.9% 37.7%	4.9% 12.1%	2.3% 2.7%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	10.0% 96.1%	16.8% 3.9%	.0%	4.8% 4.8%	11.2% 33.7%	9.5% 28.8%	10.8% 19.0%	16.3% 13.7%	.0%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	5.4% 100.0%	.0%	20.0% 7.5%	7.1% 13.9%	4.1% 23.5%	5.3% 31.1%	4.9% 16.5%	4.7% 7.5%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	8.9% 92.9%	28.1% 7.1%	.0%	.0%	8.7% 28.2%	10.7% 35.0%	12.8% 24.2%	14.0% 12.6%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	9.4% 93.1%	28.7% 6.9%	20.0% 4.0%	4.8% 5.0%	9.7% 30.1%	11.8% 37.2%	10.8% 19.6%	4.7% 4.0%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	8.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5% 12.5%	7.7% 29.6%	6.5% 25.5%	8.8% 20.0%	11.6% 12.5%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 97.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.3%	100.0% 30.5%	100.0% 30.9%	100.0% 17.9%	100.0% 8.5%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	3872.48 163.57 2455.00 3783.76 535.08	4822.43 806.19 5240.00 2903.39 12.97	3200.60 1161.27 1063.00 3827.07 10.86	2974.40 484.64 1518.00 3643.57 56.52	4052.25 321.37 2657.50 4153.86 167.07	3939.21 265.48 2750.00 3452.89 169.16	4116.99 367.78 2780.00 3638.08 97.85	3982.63 558.39 3110.00 3811.21 46.59	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**396 August, 1994

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			INCOME CA	TEGORY OF F	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 20.5%	1.0% 13.5%	1.2% 9.6%	.0%	2.3% 37.8%	10.0% 18.6%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 53.0%	.7% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	- 0% - 0%	.0%	1.0% 27.7%	.0%	.0%	.8% 27.7%	11.8% 44.7%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 51.1%	.6% 48.9%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	- 0% - 0%	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.7% 23.0%	1.3% 27.0%	.0%	1.1% 50.0%	.0% .0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	. 0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	1.7% 73.8%	5.4% 26.2%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	4.1% 39.5%	2.5% 31.7%	1.3% 9.7%	2.1% 9.7%	.6% 9.3%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 26.0%	2.5% 27.9%	.0%	.0%	2.8% 38.8%	4.6% 7.3%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	7.7% 15.2%	1.0% 17.9%	.7% 15.2%	2.6% 36.5%	.0%	.0%	4.6% 15.2%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	20.0% 9.5%	8.0% 34.3%	2.9% 16.5%	2.8% 9.5%	4.1% 8.5%	2.5% 17.6%	5.2% 4.1%

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		·	INCOME CA	TEGORY OF R	ESPONDENT		
		< \$20,001	\$20,001 - \$40,000	\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$60,001 - \$80,000	\$80,001 - \$100,000	>\$100,000	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 7.9%	.0%	7.1% 51.5%	1.8% 7.9%	1.6% 23.8%	5.2% 8.9%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	26.7% 3.6%	12.7% 15.3%	21.8% 34.7%	22.3% 21.1%	12.2% 7.1%	9.2% 18.3%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	21.3% 4.0%	11.9% 20.4%	12.7% 28.6%	9.4% 12.6%	8.0% 6.6%	9.0% 25.5%	7.3% 2.3%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0%	9.6% 22.8%	8.3% 26.0%	6.8% 12.6%	9.9% 11.3%	6.4% 24.9%	5.2% 2.3%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	7.7% 2.1%	8.7% 21.8%	10.1% 33.1%	5.0% 9.7%	7.9% 9.5%	4.1% 16.7%	15.5% 7.2%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	7.7% 1.5%	14.7% 26.2%	13.0% 30.6%	7.3% 10.2%	16.1% 13.8%	6.0% 17.7%	.0%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	5.8% 19.7%	5.1% 22.8%	5.7% 15.3%	2.1% 3.5%	6.9% 38.8%	.0% .0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	8.7% 1.9%	5.8% 11.1%	7.1% 18.0%	14.5% 22.0%	8.4% 7.8%	11.1% 35.2%	11.3% 4.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	3.9% 7.2%	6.9% 16.8%	4.2% 6.1%	21.2% 18.8%	15.8% 47.9%	9.2% 3.2%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	5.8% 13.2%	2.2% 6.6%	6.7% 12.1%	4.1% 4.5%	16.3% 61.6%	4.6% 2.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 23.8%	100.0% 14.2%	100.0% 8.7%	100.0% 29.8%	100.0% 3.4%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	1914.11 426.48 1460.00 1414.27 11.00	3086.38 291.53 2308.00 2902.29 99.11	3066.01 242.61 2090.00 2771.48 130.50	3530.99 402.00 2020.00 3547.12 77.86	4220.80 455.80 3065.00 3148.77 47.72	5280.88 352.43 4091.00 4505.31 163.42	3700.01 1435.16 1778.00 6164.29 18.45

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			EDUCATIO	ON OF RES	PONDENT		······································
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	1.8% 100.0%	9.6% 9.6%	5.5% 10.9%	1.5% 19.2%	.7% 10.0%	.0%	5.2% 50.2%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	21.8% 44.7%	.0%	1.0% 27.7%	.0% .0%	1.0% 27.7%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 53.0%	.0% .0%	1.0% 47.0%	.0% .0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 51.1%	1.0% 48.9%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	1.4% 50.0%	.0% .0%	.6% 23.0%	1.0% 27.0%	.0% .0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	5.1% 26.2%	.0%	.6% 22.3%	.7% 26.2%	1.0% 25.2%	.0% .0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	2.4% 31.2%	2.8% 41.0%	.6% 8.3%	2.1% 19.5%	.0% .0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	2.8% 31.0%	.0% .0%	4.1% 47.2%	2.6%	.0% .0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0%	8.6% 15.2%	4.8% 17.1%	2.2% 52.4%	.6% 15.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	9.6% 4.1%	4.8% 4.1%	4.5% 25.5%	5.4% 35.7%	3.6% 21.2%	2.2% 9.4%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			EDUCATION	ON OF RES	PONDENT		
		EIGHTH GRADE OR LESS	SOME HIGH SCHOOL	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE	SOME COLLEGE	COLLEGE GRADUATE	GRADUATE SCHOOL	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 36.2%	1.8% 25.2%	1.0% 12.5%	2.0% 18.2%	100.0% 7.9%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	20.5% 2.5%	20.7% 5.0%	14.2% 22.8%	21.1% 39.0%	11.0% 18.2%	10.6% 12.6%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	5.5% 1.9%	6.6% 15.1%	16.3% 42.7%	11.4% 26.8%	8.1% 13.6%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	4.8%	9.5% 30.0%	6.2% 22.6%	11.1% 36.0%	3.9% 9.1%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	4.8% 2.4%	7.8% 25.9%	7.9% 30.3%	9.1% 31.2%	4.2% 10.2%	.0% .0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	14.4% 5.1%	12.3% 29.2%	9.7% 26.7%	7.4% 18.2%	11.9% 20.9%	.0%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	5.1% 23.0%	4.1% 21.5%	8.0% 37.3%	5.4% 18.2%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	10.1% 1.9%	14.2% 5.5%	7.2% 18.4%	10.9% 32.2%	9.0% 23.7%	9.7% 18.2%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	19.7% 3.6%	15.2% 5.6%	10.1% 24.9%	4.4% 12.3%	10.9% 27.5%	14.4% 26.1%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	6.9% 21.2%	7.0% 24.5%	7.5% 23.5%	13.7% 30.8%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.8%	100.0% 3.6%	100.0% 24.1%	100.0% 27.8%	100.0% 24.8%	100.0% 17.7%	100.0% .2%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	2531.70 1015.92 1180.00 3203.98 9.95	3331.50 656.89 2168.00 2922.73 19.80	3680.55 298.09 2490.00 3425.89 132.08	3528.78 313.94 2025.00 3872.35 152.14	4017.84 301.80 2630.00 3519.46 135.99	4867.41 447.79 3300.00 4415.76 97.24	930.00 .00 930.00 .00 .85

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			RACE OF RE	ESPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1% 27.7%	.7% <b>72.3%</b>	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	2.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	23.3% 7.3%	.0%	2.1% 92.7%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	1.1% 1 <b>0</b> 0.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			RACE OF RI	ESPONDENT		
		BLACK	HISPANIC	NATIVE AMERICAN	WHITE	OTHER	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9% 92.1%	.0%	50.0% 7.9%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent	15.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	13.3% 2.4%	15.4% 97.6%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5% 1.7%	10.7% 96.7%	26.2% 1.7%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	13.0% 4.6%	7.6% 95.4%	.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	27.3% 2.5%	.0%	7.2% 95.3%	. 0%	50.0% 2.1%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	.0%	26.2% 1.7%	19.3% 5.1%	9.7% 91.3%	27. <b>3</b> % 1.8%	.0%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 2.9%	5.4% 97.1%	.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	100.0%	.0%	21.5% 6.2%	9.0% 91.7%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 1.6%	9 <b>.8%</b> 95.2%	46.5% 3.2%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	23.3% 2.0%	5.8% 2.0%	7.9% 96.1%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0%	100.0% 95.5%	100.0%	100.0% .3%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	6635.00 .00 6635.00 .00 1.08	5200.07 3336.18 2780.00 6386.48 3.66	4964.77 1668.70 3535.00 6411.25 14.76	3845.09 160.01 2455.00 3659.93 523.17	5586.82 1966.52 3415.00 3764.53 3.66	1752.50 979.96 1752.50 1279.38 1.70

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS R	ESPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
	i	NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
\$0 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	2.0% 59.9%	1.6% 40.1%	.0%	2.0% 59.9%	4.3% 40.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent. Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 47.0%	.4% 53.0%	.0%	.3% 47.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	4.0% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent. Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent. Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.3% 47.0%	.4% 53.0%	.0% .0%	.3% 47.0%	.0% .0%	.9% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent. Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent. Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	- <b>0%</b> .0%	.0%	.0%	17.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.3% 100.0%	-0% -0%	. <b>0%</b> .0%	1. <b>3</b> % 100.0%	.0%	.0%	- 0% - 0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent. Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.6% 47.5%	.8% 52.5%	.0%	.6% 47.5%	2.1% 52.5%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	2.8% 80.5%	.8% 19.5%	.0%	2. <b>8</b> % 80.5%	.0%	.8% 9.7%	4.2% 9.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent. Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	2.4% 60.5%	1.9% 39.5%	. 0% . 0%	2.4% 60.5%	.9% 7.3%	3.2% 32.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent. Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.9% 48.3%	1.2% 51.7%	.0% .0%	.9% 48.3%	1.9% 32.3%	.9% 19.3%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0% .0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent. Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	4.2% 53.3%	4.4% 46.7%	.0%	4.2% 53.3%	5.0% 20.0%	4.0% 21.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	100.0% 5.8%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	HUNTED	IN ALASKA 1991?	BEFORE		YRS R	ESPONDENT	HAS HUNT	ED IN ALA	SKA BEFOR	E 1991	
		NO	YES	MISSING	0	1	2 - 5	6 - 10	11 - 15	16 - 20	21 - 25	> 25
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	1.5% 41.0%	2.6% 59.0%	.0%	1.5% 41.0%	.9% 7.9%	4.6% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent. Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	16.8% 60.6%	13.3% 39.4%	.0%	16.8% 60.6%	11.3% 12.8%	14.8% 21.6%	8.8% 2.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	16.7% 1.2%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	10.6% 54.3%	10.5% 44.2%	11.2% 1.5%	10.6% 54.3%	12.1% 19.5%	9.6% 19.8%	15.4% 6.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent. Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	7.9% 56.4%	7.1% 41.3%	12.6% 2.3%	7.9% 56.4%	6.3% 14.2%	6.4% 18.5%	15.0% 8.5%	15.8% 2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	7.6% 56.3%	7.1% 43.7%	.0%	7.6% 56.3%	4.8%	7.4% 22.4%	12.5% 7.4%	15.8% 2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	9.3% 49.4%	11.1% 48.6%	14.2% 1.9%	9.3% 49.4%	10.6% 17.8%	10.0% 21.7%	7.6% 3.3%	51.4% 5.9%	.0%	.0%	18.8% 1.9%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	5.7% 58.7%	4.9% 41.3%	.0%	5.7% 58.7%	7.1% 23.0%	4.4% 18.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent. Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	6.4% 36.7%	12.2% 57.6%	38.4% 5.7%	6.4% 36.7%	15.1% 27.3%	12.1% 28.3%	12.5% 5.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.4% 1.9%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	10.2% 56.2%	9.7% 43.8%	.0%	10.2% 56.2%	9.6% 16.6%	10.6% 23.7%	4.2% 1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 1.6%
over \$10,000 Column Percent. Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	6.1% 41.8%	9.6% 54.0%	23.7% 4.2%	6.1% 41.8%	7.8% 17.0%	10.2% 28.2%	15.9% 8.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	32.2% 4.3%
TOTAL Column Percent. Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 44.5%	100.0% 1.4%	100.0% 54.1%	100.0% 17.0%	100.0% 21.9%	100.0% 4.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% .2%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean. Median Std. Deviation. Nonmissing Case	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	3577.35 209.45 2180.00 3605.62 296.34	4208.84 249.24 2910.00 3893.82 244.08	6188.05 1659.71 5240.00 4586.10 7.64	3577.35 209.45 2180.00 3605.62 296.34	4222.90 422.99 3069.00 4087.94 93.40	4274.84 332.78 2916.00 3646.95 120.10	4615.67 1017.90 2525.00 4962.97 23.77	2612.06 467.16 3125.00 1176.78 6.35	849.00 .00 849.00 .00	1020.00 .00 1020.00 .00	6652.22 1691.89 5850.00 4056.50 5.75

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-404

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPLETED HUNTER ED. COURSE		
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	2.4% 59.9%	.0%	3.3% 9.6%	4.2% 30.5%	.0%	1.3% 44.0%	2.7% 56.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.3% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 53.0%	.0%	.3% 53.0%	.4% 47.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	1.8% 72.3%	4.7% 27.7%	.0%	.0%	.8% 55.3%	1.0% 44.7%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 47.0%	.6% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.4% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 48.9%	.0%	.3% 51.1%	.5% 48.9%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column PercentRow Percent	.2%	.0%	.0%	4.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.2% 77.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	1.2% 23.0%	.0%	.8% 73.0%	.5% 27.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.8% 51.4%	.0%	2.9% 22.3%	1.4% 26.2%	.0%	.3%	1.3% 73.8%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	3.0% 71.8%	1.5% 28.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 39.2%	2.3% 47.7%	22.4% 13.1%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.8% 16.5%	3.0% 49.0%	4.7% 11.5%	3.7% 23.0%	.0% .0%	2.7% 77.0%	1.3% 23.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.3% 15.2%	2.0% 69.6%	.0%	1.2% 15.2%	.0%	1.4% 82.9%	.5% 17.1%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	4.8% 51.5%	2.7% 22. <b>6</b> %	9.4% 11.7%	4.5% 14.2%	.0%	4.3% 61.8%	4.2% 38.2%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	AGE AT	WHICH RE	SPONDENT	STARTED H	UNTING	COMPL	ETED HUNT COURSE	ER ED.
		< 12	12 - 15	16 - 20	21 OR OVER	MISSING	YES	NO	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	2.0% 46.5%	1.8% 33.1%	.0%	3.0% 20.4%	.0%	1.3% 40.9%	2.6% 51.2%	14.2% 7.9%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	15.3% 45.8%	17.6% 41.6%	11.4% 4.0%	8.5% 7.5%	17.3% 1.0%	13.5% 54.7%	16.9% 42.9%	32.6% 2.4%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	12.2% 51.9%	11.5% 38.4%	.0%	6.4% 8.0%	19.4% 1.7%	12.1% 69.4%	8.5% 30.6%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	6.8% 40.2%	8.9% 41.4%	6.3% 4.3%	6.6% 11.4%	21.9% 2.6%	8.1% 65.0%	7.0% 35.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	8.2% 51.1%	5.8% 28.3%	6.3% 4.6%	8.7% 16.0%	.0%	8.2% 68.5%	6.0% 31.5%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	10.3% 45.9%	8.8% 30.8%	10.2% 5.3%	12.3% 16.1%	21.9% 1.9%	12.2% 73.0%	7.2% 27.0%	.0%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	5.3% 45.0%	6.2% 41.5%	6.4% 6.4%	2.9% 7.2%	.0%	5.7% 66.0%	4.7% 34.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent	9.4% 100.0%	6.5% 31.0%	12.2% 46.2%	13.4% 7.5%	9.6% 13.5%	19.4% 1.9%	8.5% 54.8%	10.7% 43.2%	16.7% 1.9%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	8.9% 40.7%	9.2% 33.4%	9.4% 5.0%	15.5% 20.9%	.0%	8.6% 53.3%	11.6% 45.1%	14.2% 1.6%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	9.3% 53.2%	6.5% 29.0%	7.2% 4.8%	7.7% 13.0%	.0%	8.4% 64.7%	7.3% 35.3%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 45.1%	100.0% 35.5%	100.0% 5.3%	100.0% 13.3%	100.0% .9%	100.0% 60.8%	100.0% 38.1%	100.0% 1.1%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	3925.30 259.70 2385.00 4082.43 247.11	3782.60 240.02 2400.00 3346.77 194.43	3697.04 705.03 2700.00 3791.80 28.93	4247.03 451.58 2916.00 3848.95 72.65	2780.34 661.52 2077.00 1469.86 4.94	3974.74 212.44 2645.00 3879.21 333.45	3797.82 249.41 2330.00 3602.24 208.59	2840.48 1306.63 1225.00 3203.55 6.01

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-406

# Table A-19 RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	3.6% 19.2%	1.4% 29.4%	2.1% 31.7%	3.1% 9.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2% 10.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.6% 47.0%	.0%	2.2% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 27.7%	.9% 27.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.2% 44.7%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 10 <b>0.</b> 0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 53.0%	.6% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6% 100.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 23.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 27.0%	6.0% 23.0%	.0%	4.2% 27.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 25.2%	.7% 26.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2% 26.2%	5.2% 22.3%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	1.6% 8.3%	2.4% 47.7%	3.0% 44.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2. <b>1%</b> 100.0%	3.2% 14.5%	2.3% 40.5%	1.5% 20.0%	3.5% 9.2%	2.3% 8.5%	.0% .0%	.0%	3.5% 7.3%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	1.6% 15.2%	1.5% 54. <b>3</b> %	.0% .0%	5.6% 30.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	9.6% 22.3%	3.3% 29.5%	3.6% 23.6%	6.3% 8.4%	4.5% 8.5%	6.0% 3.7%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 4.1%

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				TRIP DE	STINATION	REGION			,
		REGION 1 SOUTH EAST	REGION 2 SOUTH CENTRAL	REGION 3 INTERIOR	REGION 4 SOUTH WEST	REGION 5 ARCTIC WESTERN	REGIONS 2 & 3	OTHER MULTI REGION	UN SPECIFIED	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	2.5% 12.5%	1.9% 36.3%	1.7% 23.7%	3.3% 9.3%	2.3% 9.3%	.0%	.0% .0%	4.0% 8.9%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	27.5% 17.9%	13.2% 33.0%	15.4% 28.4%	10.0% 3.7%	16.8% 8.9%	12.8% 2.2%	.0% .0%	12.6% 3.7%	11.3% 2.3%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	10.6% 9.8%	10.8% 38.4%	11.4% 29.8%	6.1% 3.2%	18.0% 13.5%	.0%	.0% .0%	12.7% 5.3%	.0% .0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	8.0% 10.3%	8.7% 43.0%	7.4% 26.9%	6.1% 4.4%	4.4% 4.6%	13.1% 4.4%	20.1% 4.1%	4.0% 2.3%	.0% .0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	1.8%	6.8% 35.0%	10.4% 39.5%	7.7% 5.9%	6.9% 7.5%	.0%	11.8% 2.5%	4.0% 2.4%	11.3% 4.7%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	5.5% 5.3%	10.3% 38.0%	14.4% 39.3%	.0%	8.7% 6.8%	19.9% 5.1%	.0%	4.2% 1.8%	12.4% 3.7%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	1.8% 3.3%	7.3% 51.9%	4.1% 21.6%	9.6% 10.1%	4.3% 6.4%	6.8% 3.3%	.0% .0%	4.2% 3.5%	.0% .0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	3.9% 4.0%	9.7% 39.0%	8.6% 25.4%	3.1% 1.9%	11.0% 9.3%	21.0% 5.8%	11.3% 1.9%	12.2% 5.7%	22.4% 7.1%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	13.2% 13.1%	10.6% 40.4%	8.8% 24.8%	3.3% 1.9%	10.8% 8.7%	7.1% 1.9%	21.3% 3.4%	9.0% 4.0%	6.1% 1.9%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	5.6% 6.9%	5.7% 27.3%	4.9% 17.1%	32.4% 22.9%	5.4% 5.4%	7.1% 2.3%	35.5% 7.0%	11.6% 6.4%	12.2% 4.6%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.8%	100.0% 37.5%	100.0% 27.7%	100.0% 5.6%	100.0% 7.9%	100.0% 2.6%	100.0% 1.5%	100.0% 4.4%	100.0% 3.0%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	3216.93 467.62 1600.00 3423.44 53.60	3781.02 237.30 2525.00 3403.74 205.74	3536.39 266.57 2645.00 3283.48 151.72	5438.89 862.41 2935.00 4765.99 30.54	3627.98 478.65 2005.00 3152.31 43.37	4683.54 1147.48 3230.00 4309.31 14.10	8236.01 2120.48 7700.00 6180.22 8.49	5080.32 1353.17 1960.00 6638.77 24.07	4022.19 846.63 3069.00 3429.43 16.41

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page A-408 August, 1994

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			NUMBER O	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	1.4% 23.5%	2.5% 46.4%	3.3% 20.5%	.0%	.0%	5.0% 9.6%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0% 53.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 55.3%	3.5% 44.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	1.2% 53.0%	.0%	.5% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100. <b>0</b> %	.0%	.6% 51.1%	.5% 48.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	. 0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 23.0%	.5% 27.0%	1.6% 27.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 23.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	3.2% 77.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 22.3%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	1.5% 13.1%	1.1%	1.6% 28.6%	5.2% 30.9%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 8.3%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	3.3% 26.0%	2.1% 31.0%	2.8% 43.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0%	.0%	1.2% 37.2%	.9% 30.4%	1.4% 15.2%	4.7% 17.1%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	2.9% 11.5%	3.6% 26.3%	5.5% 4 <b>3.</b> 2%	7.2% 19.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL			NUMBER O	F HUNTERS	IN PARTY		
		1	2	3 - 4	5 - 6	7 - 10	> 10	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	3.1% 26.5%	1.5% 23.7%	2.2% 37.3%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	7.1% 12.5%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	10.8% 12.2%	19.0% 39.4%	13.8% 30.7%	17.5% 13.1%	10.2% 2.5%	100.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	7.2% 11.4%	8.4% 24.6%	10.4% 32.6%	16.9% 17.9%	13.0% 4.6%	.0%	27.1% 8.9%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	4.5% 10.0%	6.9% 28.1%	8.7% 38.0%	8.6% 12.6%	13.7% 6.7%	.0%	10.1% 4.6%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	6.0% 13.9%	9.0% 38.4%	6.3% 28.8%	10.7% 16.5%	4.7% 2.4%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	6.2% 10.4%	10.0% 30.7%	15.5% 50.8%	7.4% 8.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	6.6% 21.2%	5.8% 34.0%	5.0% 31.4%	3.0% 6.2%	10.0% 7.0%	.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	13.3% 24.0%	8.4% 27.8%	8.7% 30.9%	8.0% 9.5%	19.5% 7.8%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	14.0% 24.1%	11.5% 36.4%	5.6% 19.1%	4.3% 5.0%	24.3% 9.3%	.0%	17.6% 6.2%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	15.2% 32.5%	8.6% 34.0%	6.0% 25.1%	1.4% 2.0%	.0%	.0%	14.6% 6.4%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 16.9%	100.0% 31.1%	100.0% 33.2%	100.0% 11.2%	100.0% 3.7%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	5172.06 474.18 3875.00 4564.12 92.64	4012.99 273.39 2780.00 3570.09 170.53	3443.12 257.90 2400.00 3481.30 182.21	2518.09 302.86 1830.00 2371.95 61.34	4796.29 714.33 4865.00 3234.89 20.51	1190.02 94.19 1270.00 126.78 1.81	4670.89 1324.30 1925.00 5775.02 19.02

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**410 August, 1994

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	NUI	MBER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASE	CA
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	1.8% 100.0%	3.2% 9.6%	2.4% 72.2%	.9% 18.2%	.0% .0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3% 55.3%	14.8% 44.7%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	6.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	3.4% 51.1%	.3% 48.9%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0% .0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.6% 46.0%	1.0% 54.0%	.0% .0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.6% 51.4%	-5% 26.2%	5.8% 22.3%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	2.9% 8.3%	1.6% 47.7%	1.6% 30.9%	9.2% 13.1%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	2.9% 7.3%	2.7% 71.0%	1.3% 21.8%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	3.4% 17.9%	.9% 47.6%	1.0% 34.6%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	7.8% 9.9%	4.1% 53.3%	4.3% 36.7%	.0%

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	NUI	MBER OF NIG	HTS IN ALASE	(A
		3 - 6	7 - 13	14 OR MORE	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	4.5% 12.5%	1.8% 49.9%	2.0% 37.6%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	13.5% 4.9%	17.1% 63.1%	12.3% 29.7%	12.6% 2.3%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	13.0% 6.6%	11.9% 62.3%	9.0% 31.0%	.0% .0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	9.5% 6.7%	8.5% 61.7%	6.6% 31.6%	.0% .0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	7.3% 56.1%	7.4% 37.1%	18.4% 6.8%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	<b>10.1%</b> 100.0%	9.5% 5.1%	10.1% 55.2%	10.5% 37.7%	7.4% 1.9%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	6.4% 67.6%	4.7% 32.4%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent	9.4% 100.0%	6.5% 3.8%	7.9% 46.6%	11.0% 42.5%	25.0% 7.1%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	6.7% 3.7%	10.9% 61.8%	9.3% 34.5%	.0% .0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	2.9%	4.3% 30.4%	14.1% 65.3%	6.8% 2.3%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.4%	100.0% 55.5%	100.0% 36.4%	100.0% 2.7%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	2457.01 537.82 1400.00 2931.99 29.72	3517.67 178.58 2378.00 3114.34 304.13	4733.05 325.33 2990.00 4595.27 199.52	3232.33 799.31 2780.00 3063.13 14.69

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HU	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 9.6%	1.2%	2.2% 42.8%	2.4% 8.5%	9.5% 10.9%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 47.0%	. 0%	.0%	8.4% 53.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5% 72.3%	.7% 27.7%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	7.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	1.7% 51.1%	.4% 48.9%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9% 100.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100. <b>0</b> %	.0%	.0%	_4% 23.0%	1.5% 77.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 73.8%	.5% 26.2%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	10.4% 13.1%	4.8% 27.8%	1.3% 29.5%	.5% 9.3%	2.9% 9.7%	9.5% 10.5%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	4.5% 23.0%	1.5% 30.3%	2.8% 46.7%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0%	.0%	6.3% 66.9%	.8% 33.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	14.8% 8.3%	.0%	4.1% 41.9%	4.8% 40.3%	6.3% 9.5%	.0%

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		DAYS	SPENT AC	TUALLY HU	NTING	
		1	2 - 3	4 - 7	8 - 14	> 14	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	13.2% 15.9%	.0%	1.2% 26.5%	2.5% 45.1%	.0% .0%	11.8% 12.5%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	17.0% 2.7%	22.6% 16.4%	19.0% 54.6%	9.8% 22.9%	8.2% 3.5%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 3.5%	12.7% 51.9%	11.2% 37.3%	3.9% 2.3%	25.5% 5.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0%	6.3% 9.0%	9.2% 52. <b>3</b> %	7.0% 32.1%	7. <b>7</b> % 6.5%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5% 56.3%	6.5% 31.6%	11.0% 9.7%	8.4% 2.4%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	6.6% 1.5%	8.4% 9.0%	9.6% 40.8%	10.8% 37.2%	15.4% 9.7%	8.8% 1.8%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	1.6% 3. <b>3</b> %	4.7% 38.6%	7.9% 52.2%	4.9% 5.9%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	30.4% 7.6%	16.0% 18.5%	6.6% 30.1%	9.7% 36.4%	10.8% 7.3%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	12.7% 14.1%	11.0% 48.4%	9.5% 33.9%	2.7% 1.8%	8.8% 1.9%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	6.6% 9.0%	3.4% 18.8%	12.0% 53.5%	19.9% 16.1%	9.5% 2.5%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 10.9%	100.0% 43.2%	100.0% 35.1%	100.0%	100.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	2658.49 736.06 1090.00 2650.39 12.97	3928.09 484.96 2038.00 3740.00 59.48	3338.42 194.41 2280.00 2991.11 236.73	4429.57 298.24 2990.00 4138.02 192.51	5430.97 959.54 3065.00 5671.93 34.94	2952.66 1066.73 1780.00 3607.20 11.43

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		HOURS PER	DAY SPEN	T ACTUALL	Y HUNTING	
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	2.3% 9.6%	1.9% 37.8%	1.2% 32.1%	2.6% 9.6%	8.1% 10.9%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1% 53.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	5.2% 44.7%	.0%	1.1% 55.3%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 51.1%	.5% 48 <b>.9%</b>	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 23.0%	.4% 23.0%	-8% 54.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	2.3% 25.2%	.0%	.8% 52.5%	2.3% 22.3%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9%	.0% .0%	4.5% 18.0%	2. <b>7</b> % 51.9%	.4% 9.7%	2.7% 9.7%	8.1% 10.5%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 7.3%	2.5% 43.0%	2.3% 49.7%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0%	. 0% . 0%	2.3% 17.1%	1.0% 37.2%	1.0% 45.7%	. 0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	100.0% 4.1%	4.6% 8.3%	5.3% 45.7%	3.0% 33.2%	2.7% 4.3%	7.4% 4.3%

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		HOURS PER	DAY SPEN	T ACTUALL	Y HUNTING	i
		1 - 2	3 - 5	6 - 8	9 - 12	> 12	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	4.1% 15.9%	2.0% 37.6%	1.0% 24.7%	2.7% 9.3%	10.0% 12.5%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	.0%	31. <b>3</b> % 15.8%	13.9% 33.5%	13.6% 42.4%	15.4% 6.9%	8.1% 1.3%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	4.5% 3.2%	11.3% 38.9%	11.1% 49.0%	8.6% 5.5%	14.6% 3.4%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0%	4.9% 4.9%	7.3% 34.7%	8.7% 53.6%	7.7% 6.8%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	4.5% 4.7%	8.8% 43.8%	7.1% 45.7%	6.3% 5.9%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	.0%	9.2% 6.9%	10.3% 36.7%	10.8% 49.8%	5.0% 3.3%	13.8% 3.3%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0%	2.4% 3.5%	6.0% 40.9%	6.3% 55.6%	.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	.0%	4.7% 3.8%	8.5% 32.6%	10.2% 50.9%	15.0% 10.8%	7.4% 1.9%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0% .0%	4.7% 3.6%	9.5% 35.1%	11.0% 52.3%	10.4% 7.2%	7.4% 1.9%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 2.0%	6.7% 30.7%	8.3% 49.1%	18.4% 15.8%	8.1% 2.5%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 36.3%	100.0% 46.8%	100.0% 6.8%	100.0% 2.5%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Normissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	806.00 .00 806.00 .00	2253.11 405.15 1225.00 2611.85 41.56	3738.72 254.60 2490.00 3589.74 198.79	4171.36 242.56 2855.00 3883.03 256.27	5017.78 736.93 2910.00 4483.18 37.01	3141.82 978.79 1780.00 3590.09 13.45

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				MC	NTH IN V	HICH TR	IP STARTE	D		· <del></del>	
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	3.0% 9.6%	2.5% 9.6%	.0%	.0%	.8% 9.6%	2.3% 61.5%	1.6% 9.6%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 47.0%	.4% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	1.0% 55.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8% 44.7%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	3.4% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.8% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	3.1% 51.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.4% 48.9%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	. 0%	. 0% . 0%	. 0% . 0%	. <b>0%</b> . 0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	. 0%	3.1% 27.0%	2.2% 23.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2% 50.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 52.5%	1.6% 25.2%	.0%	.0%	5.8% 22.3%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 16.6%	.0%	.0%	3.5% 40.7%	1.3% 33.4%	1.6% 9.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5% 14.5%	.0%	.0%	2.9% 29.0%	1.6% 35.8%	1.9% 9.2%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	9.2% 11.5%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	. 0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 17.1%	1.4% 67.7%	.0%	6.5% 15.2%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	.0%	3.1% 4.3%	2.5% 4.1%	24.6% 4.1%	.0% .0%	4.8% 24.3%	5.0% 57. <b>3</b> %	.0%	10.2% 5.8%	.0%	.0%

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL				Mo	ONTH IN	WHICH TR	IP START	ED			
		MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5% 12.5%	.0%	.0%	2.4% 26.1%	2.5% 61.4%	.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	.0%	14.1% 5.5%	25.9% 12.0%	.0%	.0%	13.7% 19.7%	13.3% 42.8%	19 <b>.8</b> % 14.0%	15 <b>.8</b> % 2 <b>.</b> 5%	100.0% 1.2%	12.6% 2.3%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	.0%	5.6% 3.1%	10.1% 6.6%		44.0% 1.5%	13.5% 27.4%	11.7% 53.5%	4.4% 4.4%	15.2% 3.5%	.0%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0%	4.2% 3.2%	2. <b>8</b> % 2.6%	25. <b>7</b> % 2.4%	.0%	6.0% 16.8%	9.7% 61.6%	4.6% 6.5%	7.6% 2.4%	.0%	12.6% 4.4%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	3.0% 2.4%	.0%	.0% .0%	. 0% . 0%	8.4% 25.0%	8.7% 57.7%	5.2% 7. <b>7%</b>	7.6% 2.5%	.0%	12.6% 4.7%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	50.0% 1.8%	2.6% 1.5%	2.6% 1.8%	.0%	.0%	11.8% 25.0%	13.9% 66.1%	.0%	7.6% 1.8%	.0%	7.4% 1.9%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	3.1% 3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	3.4% 14.0%	6.0% 55.0%	10.4% 20.9%	14.9% 6.8%	.0%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	50.0% 1.9%	8.6% 5.4%	.0% .0%	21.9% 1.7%	.0%	13.6% 31.0%	8.1% 41.6%	6.7% 7.6%	14.6% 3.7%	.0% .0%	25.0% 7.1%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	.0%	19.2% 11.5%	21.3% 15.1%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	8.8% 19.2%	7.1% 35.2%	17.6% 19.0%	- 0% - 0%	- 0% - 0%	-0% .0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	23.9% 17.8%	17.5% 15.4%	27.8% 2.5%	56.0% 2.5%	4.0% 11.0%	3.6% 22.0%	21.3% 28.7%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% .4%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 7.0%	100.0% .7%	100.0% .4%	100.0% 21.5%	100.0% 48.3%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0% 2.4%	100.0%	100.0% 2.7%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	160.87	1029.10 4330.00	1116.78	742.07 1640.00	2478.29	4533.95 10593.0 6307.50	302.24 2525.00	188.02 2 <b>3</b> 95.00	587.53	2795.60 501.29 2444.00 1818.87 13.16	.00 1270.00	590.87

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	IM	TRIP SUCCES	RIP SUCCESS		
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	1.8% 100.0%	3.6% 19.4%	1.2% 47.4%	1.4% 9.6%	7.3% 10.0%	10.9% 13.5%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	1.8% 53.0%	.2% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	4.1% 44.7%	.7% 55. <b>3</b> %	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	. 0%	.2% 47.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	8.8% 53.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	5.4% 77.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	1.9% 26.2%	.5% 48. <b>6</b> %	.0%	7.0% 25.2%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1. <b>9</b> % 100.0%	.0%	2.1% 82.0%	2.8% 18.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	1.6% 7.3%	2.3% 77.0%	2.8% 15.8%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	1.6% 15.2%	1.2% 84.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	.0%	4.9% 85.4%	5.0% 14.6%	.0%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	IM	PORTANCE OF	BAGGING TO	TRIP SUCCES	SS
		MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR	IMPORTANT BUT NOT THE ONLY INGREDIENT	REALLY NOT THAT IMPORTANT	REALLY NOT EVEN A FACTOR	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	3.2% 15.9%	1.5% 55.8%	4.5% 28.3%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	15.9% 10.3%	14.8% 72.4%	16.7% 13.7%	7.3% 1.2%	15.8% 2.4%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	13.6% 12.5%	11.0% 76.2%	7.2% 8.4%	.0%	13.8% 2.9%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0%	7.1% 68.7%	12.1% 19.4%	14.2% 4.7%	24.3% 7.2%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	5.4% 7.2%	7.2% 72.5%	10.5% 17.8%	7.3% 2.5%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent	10.1% 100.0%	16.5% 15.8%	9.0% 64.7%	11.1% 13.4%	7.0% 1.7%	19.6% 4.4%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column PercentRow Percent	5.3% 100.0%	3.6% 6.7%	5.7% 79.6%	3.2% 7.5%	13.1% 6.2%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	1.9% 1.9%	10.4% 80.9%	10.3% 13.4%	14.0% 3.8%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	14.2% 14.1%	10.5% 78.4%	6.0% 7.5%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	5.8% 7.1%	7.9% 73.6%	6.4% 10.0%	22.9% 7.3%	6.9% 2.0%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.7%	100.0% 73.2%	100.0% 12.3%	100.0% 2.5%	100.0% 2.3%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	3518.74 519.50 1930.00 3792.42 53.29	3966.08 181.16 2575.00 3629.41 401.38	3477.49 392.81 2432.00 3220.53 67.22	5615.09 1553.85 4322.00 5769.23 13.79	3560.06 1988.57 2075.00 6995.75 12.38

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	CONTRIBUTION OF WILDLIFE VIEWING TO TRIP						
		NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING	
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4% 37.4%	2.7% 54.0%	. 0% . 0%	17.2% 8.5%	
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.3% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	19.3% 53.0%	
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 44.7%	.7% 27.7%	12.1% 27.7%	.0%	
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.4% 51.1%	.5% 48.9%	.0% .0%	.0%	
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	3.6% 50.0%	.4% 27.0%	.4% 23.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100 <b>.0</b> %	.0%	1.6% 22.3%	.7% 52.5%	.5% 25.2%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	2.6% 67.8%	1.7% 32.2%	.0%	.0%	
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0% .0%	1.6% 7.3%	1.5% 35.2%	3.4% 57.5%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	8. <b>9</b> % 15.2%	.0% .0%	1.1% 51.7%	.4% 15.2%	9.0% 17.9%	. 0% . 0%	
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	.0% .0%	7.8% 17.5%	3.4% 40.0%	4.4% 38.4%	8.6% 4.1%	.0%	

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL		CONTRIBUT	ION OF WILD	LIFE VIEWING	G TO TRIP	
	ľ	NOT AT ALL	SOME BUT NOT A LOT	A LOT BUT NOT AS MUCH AS HUNTING	AS MUCH AS HUNTING	MORE THAN HUNTING	MISSING
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0% 51.2%	2.0% 36.3%	.0% .0%	27.1% 12.5%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	53.2% 6.2%	26.3% 16.7%	13.0% 42.5%	14.2% 34.6%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	10.0% 1.7%	8.9% 8.0%	12.5% 58.3%	8.8% 30.3%	9.0% 1.7%	.0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0% .0%	5.6% 7.0%	6.5% 42.2%	10.6% 50.8%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0%	3.8% 5.0%	7.4% 49.9%	8.0% 40.4%	9.0% 2.5%	17.2% 2.1%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	.0%	11.6% 10.9%	10.6% 51.6%	9.9% 35.8%	.0%	19.3% 1.7%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	5.9% 10.6%	6.0% 55.5%	4.4% 30.6%	8.6% 3.3%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	8.9% 1.7%	7.9% 8.0%	8.9% 46.8%	10.2% 39.5%	18.4% 4.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	10.0% 1.8%	5.8% 5.6%	12.8% 64.0%	6.8% 25.2%	16.7% 3.4%	.0% .0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent	7.9% 100.0%	8.9% 2.0%	9.6% 11.6%	6.4% 40.2%	9.5% 44.0%	8.6% 2.2%	.0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 1.7%	100.0% 9.5%	100.0% 49.2%	100.0% 36.6%	100.0% 2.0%	100.0% .9%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	3432.41 1255.38 1300.00 3881.92 9.56	3709.05 528.81 2025.00 3819.55 52.17	3940.92 215.86 2774.00 3546.28 269.89	3922.61 287.57 2480.00 4070.28 200.33	4637.64 1147.02 4910.00 3825.20 11.12	1463.68 744.41 950.00 1659.34 4.97

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	COMPARIS		G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER		USED GUIDE	
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING
\$0 Column PercentRow Percent	1.8% 100.0%	- <b>0%</b> - 0%	2.1% 91.5%	1.1% 8.5%	.0%	1.2% 29.7%	2.7% 70.3%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 47.0%	1.2% 53.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.3% 47.0%	2.6% 53.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.9% 100.0%	22.3% 72.3%	.3% 27.7%	.0%	.0% .0%	.5% 27. <i>7</i> %	.5% 27.7%	6.0% 44.7%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	. 0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.8% 100.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7% 100.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column PercentRow Percent	.2% 100.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.6% 73.0%	1.2% 27.0%	.0% .0%	.4% 27.0%	1.1% 73.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 77.7%	1.1% 22.3%	.0%	_4% 26_2%	.8% 51.4%	2.3% 22.3%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	2.1% <b>89.</b> 5%	.0%	9.1% 10.5%	2.4% 60. <b>8</b> %	.7% 18.0%	6.0% 21.2%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	11.7% 15.8%	1.2%	5.7% <b>39.</b> 4%	.0%	2.3% 50.3%	1.9% 42.5%	2.3% 7.3%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.0% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.9% 69.6%	2.1% 30.4%	.0%	1.8% 80.7%	.4% 19.3%	.0%

(continued)

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

	TOTAL	COMPARIS		G IN ALASKA HUNTED	TO OTHER	USED GUIDE				
		I HAVE NEVER HUNTED BIG GAME OUTSIDE AK	ALASKA IS ONE OF THE BEST	ALASKA IS NOT AS GOOD AS THE BEST	MISSING	NO	YES	MISSING		
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 100.0%	13.9% 9.5%	3.4% 65.0%	6.1% 21.2%	8.4% 4.3%	6.3% 69.3%	2.0% 22.4%	5.3% 8.3%		
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	5.4% 7.9%	1.6% 66.9%	3.4% 25.2%	.0%	1.9% 45.1%	2.3% 54.9%	.0%		
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	15.0% 100.0%	.0%	14.6% 77.7%	20.5% 20.2%	14.3% 2.1%	23.6% 73.2%	7.2% 22.3%	10.2% 4.5%		
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	17.8% 4.9%	10.6% 80.4%	9.5% 13.3%	7.1% 1.5%	16.5% 72.6%	5.5% 24.2%	5.1% 3.2%		
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	.0%	8.7% 91.1%	4.6% 8.9%	.0%	11.3% 69.3%	3.9% 23.9%	7.8% 6.7%		
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 100.0%	.0% .0%	7.3% 81.1%	8.1% 16.4%	8.4% 2.5%	10.5% 67.2%	3.2% 20.6%	13.4% 12.2%		
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	6.3% 1.8%	9.7% 76.7%	11.0% 16.1%	25.2% 5.4%	10.2% 47.0%	10.4% 47.8%	8.0% 5.2%		
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 100.0%	11.7% 6.4%	5.4% 82.1%	4.1% 11.5%	.0%	4.8% 42.1%	6.0% 53.3%	3.7% 4.6%		
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.4% 100.0%	5.4% 1.7%	10.4% 88.7%	4.8% 7.6%	9.1% 2.1%	3.7% 18.4%	14.6% 72.6%	12.9% 9.1%		
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	5.4% 1.6%	11.2% 91.3%	4.7% 7.1%	.0%	.8% 3.6%	19.5% 92.9%	5.1% 3.4%		
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	.0%	7.4% 74.9%	10.7% 20.1%	18.4% 5.1%	.8% 4.5%	15.3% 90.9%	5.5% 4.6%		
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.9%	100.0% 80.2%	100.0% 14.7%	100.0% 2.2%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 46.7%	100.0% 6.6%		
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3894.96 160.87 2485.00 3766.00 548.05	2084.94 604.28 995.00 2401.37 15.79	4024.56 180.24 2645.00 3778.67 439.50	3461.93 414.07 1980.00 3722.80 80.84	4451.18 1322.76 3065.00 4567.68 11.92	2167.28 98.01 1810.00 1567.33 255.71	5739.14 281.99 4920.00 4512.39 256.07	3055.36 467.52 2465.00 2815.60 36.27		

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE BIG GAME HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A-**424 August, 1994

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE S	SPECIES TA	RGETED BY I	RESPONDENT			
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 10.5%	2.7% 30.8%	1.5% 42.7%	1.4% 30.8%	.0%	2.4% 14.8%	.0%	.0%	16.5% 10.5%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.5% 50.0%	.7% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.5% 50.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.5% 100.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 100.0%	.0%	1.9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 50.0%	1.8% 50.0%	.3% 23.0%	.0%	5.1% 27.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.8% 66.2%	.5% 33.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.9% 32.1%	1.7% 45.7%	1.1% 22.1%	.0%	1.8% 10.6%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	5.2% 33.2%	1.0% 9.2%	2.5% 54.5%	1.1% 18.7%	.0% .0%	1.5% 7. <b>3</b> %	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.0% 19.3%	.8% 35.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6% 15.2%	29.3% 30.4%	.0% .0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 20.2%	2.1% 10.3%	3.1% 37.0%	3.7% 33.8%	.0%	1.9% 5.1%	18.8% 6.3%	5.7% 6. <b>3</b> %	14.6% 4.0%	17.5% 6. <b>3</b> %

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES TA	RGETED BY I	RESPONDENT			
i	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 12.5%	3.0% 29.7%	1.0% 23.8%	2.5% 45.1%	4.4% 7.9%	3.2% 17.2%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	26.3% 24.8%	10.5% 14.0%	13.0% 41.9%	9.1% 22.3%	15.2% 3.7%	12.7% 9.1%	39.9% 3.6%	27.2% 8.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 12.5%	3.6% 6.7%	12.3% 54.9%	12.0% 40.8%	22.1% 7.5%	9.4% 9.4%	14.0% 1.8%	11.5% 4.7%	.0%	24.1% 3.2%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 11.8%	5.0% 13.0%	10.5% 66.0%	9.0% 42.8%	10.0% 4.8%	1.5% 2.1%	.0%	11.5% 6.6%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.0% 21.7%	.9% 2.5%	9.3% 62.3%	10.5% 53.7%	4.9% 2.5%	1.7% 2.5%	.0%	14.2% 8.8%	23.1% 3.5%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	8.9% 12.9%	2.8% 5.7%	12.7% 62.5%	15.2% 57.1%	5.1% 1.9%	3.2% 3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5% 1.9%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 3.5%	3.4% 12.2%	6.7% 58.7%	6.2% 41.2%	.0%	5.2% 10.2%	27.4% 6.8%	12.4% 10.1%	16.5% 3.3%	.0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	7.3% 11.7%	8.2% 18.5%	7.5% 41.0%	11.0% 45.5%	18.8% 7.8%	20.8% 25.3%	.0%	8.2% 4.1%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.6% 9.7%	25.9% 53.9%	9.6% 48.3%	8.9% 33.9%	9.5% 3.6%	18.2% 20.4%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	11.1% 1.7%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	6.0% 11.9%	25.3% 70.3%	5.0% 33.2%	4.9% 24.8%	4.9% 2.5%	13.2% 19.8%	.0%	5.7% 3.5%	.0%	17.5% 3.5%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 14.5%	100.0% 20.5%	100.0% 49.4%	100.0% 37.6%	100.0% 3.8%	100.0% 11.1%	100.0% 1.4%	100.0% 4.6%	100.0%	100.0% 1.5%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3392.78 459.68 1974.00 3977.29 74.86	6636.31 461.79 7785.00 4748.37 105.73	3703.44 222.06 2575.00 3547.69 255.24	3791.37 236.87 2835.00 3301.62 194.29	3893.24 777.39 2075.00 3434.43 19.52	5761.96 685.69 5240.00 5182.09 57.12	2137.16 606.43 1285.00 1622.77 7.16	2962.88 496.63 2308.00 2409.08 23.53	1832.19 760.30 900.00 1834.34 5.82	4326.29 1926.89 1732.00 5347.53 7.70

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES B	AGGED BY R	ESPONDENT			<del> </del>
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	3.0% 12.5%	3.0% 25.6%	2.0% 50.8%	1.2% 12.5%	.0%	2.1% 11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	9% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.7% 50.0%	1.7% 50.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	24.5% <b>50.0</b> %
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	1.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% -0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	1.6% 53.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.5% 51.1%	.0%	1.2% 48.9%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 31.5%	1.5% 37.0%	.4% 31.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	1.5% 100.0%	1.2% 33.8%	.0%	2.4% 33.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.3%	2.2% 69.9%	1.2% 16.2%	.0% .0%	2.4% 16.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 28.0%	1.6% 13.8%	1.9% 47.3%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 10.9%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	1.6% 27.8%	1.0% 50.3%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.7% 21.9%	.0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	2.0% 37.8%	3.5% 26.5%	.0% .0%	2.6% 10.2%	.0%	10.6% 12.7%	.0%	24.5% 12.7%

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

				WILDLIFE	SPECIES B	AGGED BY R	ESPONDENT		<del></del>	
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 23.3%	2.0% 23.3%	.9% 29.7%	1.1% 14.8%	23.0% 14.8%	4.5% 32.1%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	28.6% 17.9%	6.0% 7.9%	13.0% 51.1%	9.4% 15.1%	.0%	7.2% 5.9%	46.0% 1.7%	24.0% 6.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	12.2% 8.5%	7.3% 10.6%	13.4% 59.1%	12.2% 21.8%	27.0% 2.2%	7.7% 7.0%	.0% .0%	21.3% 6.0%	.0% .0%	33.7% 4.1%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.7% 11.0%	4.8% 11.4%	8.5% 60.4%	4.7% 13.6%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	14.6% 6.6%	.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 6.7%	1.4% 3.5%	10.5% 76.1%	3.5% 10.2%	.0% .0%	2.3% 3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	3.0% 5.5%	12.0% 66.1%	14.6% 32.4%	.0%	4.4% 5.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	17.4% 2.6%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	7.0% 67.0%	9.4% 36.6%	.0%	2.4% 4.8%	54.0% 4.8%	7.6% 4.6%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.3% 7.9%	8.6% 15.4%	7.2% 38.6%	12.9% 28.3%	23.0% 2.3%	22.5% 24.8%	.0%	15.1% 5.2%	.0%	.0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 4.0%	32.8% 47.2%	8.8% 38.1%	12.9% 22.6%	27.0% 2.2%	20.8% 18.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	11.6% 12.5%	21.8% 49.2%	5.8% 39.4%	8.2% 22.7%	.0% .0%	14.0% <b>19.</b> 5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.3%	100.0% 17.5%	100.0% 52.6%	100.0% 21.4%	100.0% 1.0%	100.0% 10.8%	100.0% .5%	100.0% 3.3%	.0%	100.0% 1.5%
SUMMARY MeanStd. Err. Mean MedianStd. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3820.28 862.22 1640.00 4852.79 31.68	6645.21 553.57 7930.00 4507.79 66.31	3734.63 265.40 2580.00 3751.15 199.77	4604.97 450.37 3350.00 4053.93 81.02	4232.51 1750.85 3905.00 3370.65 3.71	5892.73 728.74 5733.00 4662.52 40.94	2831.26 1624.72 4216.00 2211.71 1.85	2453.09 519.26 1870.00 1848.83 12.68	.00 .00 .00 .00	1328.41 471.58 1575.00 1106.33 5.50

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

			TRO	OPHY WILDLE	FE SPECIES	BAGGED B	r RESPONDEI	NT .		
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$0 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	.0%	2.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.2% 51.1%	.0%	2.1% 48.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 46.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0% 54.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	1.2% 50.0%	2.1% 50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$501 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	1.9% 20.3%	1.6% 32.0%	2.1% 23.8%	.0%	3.3% 23.8%	. <b>0%</b> .0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$601 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	4.2% 25.0%	.0% .0%	2.0% 50.0%	. 0% . 0%	.0%	2.9% 25.0%	.0%	.0%	-0% - <b>0</b> %	.0% .0%
\$701 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	2.4% 53.0%	1.2% 47.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
\$801 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	3.8% 52.2%	3.9% 29.9%	.0%	3.6% 17.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%

Table A-19
RESPONDENTS TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP (in 1991 dollars)

			TRO	OPHY WILDLE	IFE SPECIES	S BAGGED BY	Y RESPONDE	NT	<u> </u>	
	BLACK BEAR	BROWN BEAR	CARIBOU	MOOSE	WOLF	SHEEP	GOAT	DEER	ELK	WATERFOWL
\$901 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0% .0%	2.9% 42.1%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	6.2% 57.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$1,001 - \$1,500 Column Percent Row Percent	31.0% 22.2%	10.5% 16.9%	12.8% 37.2%	6.5% 10.6%	.0% .0%	9.0% 9.4%	.0% .0%	28.1% 3.8%	.0%	.0%
\$1,501 - \$2,000 Column Percent Row Percent	18.8% 14.7%	8.3% 14.5%	13.2% 42.0%	12.2% 21.8%	.0%	3.2% 3.7%	.0%	22.1% 3.3%	.0%	.0% .0%
\$2,001 - \$2,500 Column Percent Row Percent	9.0% 15.5%	4.2% 16.2%	8.7% 60.3%	2.1% 8.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$2,501 - \$3,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.0% 9.9%	2.1% 5.1%	14.8% 65.7%	5.7% 14.2%	.0%	3.2% 5.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$3,001 - \$4,000 Column Percent Row Percent	4.7% 4.4%	4.3% 8.9%	12.6% 47.9%	16.4% 35.0%	.0%	2.9% 3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
\$4,001 - \$5,000 Column Percent Row Percent	.0%	.0%	8.5% 47.5%	10.4% 32.7%	.0%	6.7% 13.4%	.0% .0%	24.9% 6.4%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%
\$5,001 - \$7,500 Column Percent Row Percent	5.3% 4.2%	10.1% 18.2%	5.7% 18.7%	12.4% 22.7%	.0%	27.9% 32.5%	.0%	24.9% 3.7%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%
\$7,501 - \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	4.9% 3.0%	27.8% 38.8%	6.5% 16.4%	15.6% 22.1%	.0%	21.8% 19.7%	.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	.0%
over \$10,000 Column Percent Row Percent	9.0% 9.2%	23.4% 53.1%	2.2% 9.2%	8.5% 19.6%	.0%	6.1% 8.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 8.9%	100.0% 19.9%	100.0% 36.0%	100.0% 20.2%	100.0% .4%	100.0% 12.9%	.0% .0%	100.0% 1.7%	.0%	.0%
SUMMARY Mean Std. Err. Mean Median Std. Deviation Nonmissing Cases	3582.03 1052.67 1974.00 4769.88 20.53	6683.84 666.29 7540.00 4520.73 46.04	3073.30 301.00 2555.00 2747.36 83.31	4932.16 588.38 3805.00 4024.27 46.78	450.00 .00 450.00 .00 1.00	5272.96 661.73 5240.00 3618.16 29.90	.00 .00 .00 .00	3540.12 1159.99 1870.00 2277.22 3.85	.00 .00 .00 .00	.00 .00 .00 .00

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 551 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS TAKING PRIMARY PURPOSE OVERNIGHT HUNTING TRIPS IN ALASKA

Page **A**-430 August, 1994

Table A-20
TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA (STATEWIDE) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING
TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Average Per Trip	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Transportation Commercial Airline Airplane Charter/Air Taxi Vehicle Rental Ferry Boat Charter Trains or Bus	\$62.37 \$300.87 \$35.11 \$1.83 \$14.86 \$0.77	\$384,482 \$1,854,610 \$216,422 \$11,298 \$91,576 \$4,767
Fuel or Oil for Any Vehicle Fuel, Land Vehicle, Boat Fuel, Air Fuel, Unspecified	\$37.37 \$2.97 \$6.75	\$230,372 \$18,309 \$41,577
Other Vehicle Expenses Vehicle Items Vehicle Services	\$12.80 \$2.93	\$78,908 \$18,079
Guide-Outfitter Fees Fees Unspecified	\$1,996.29 \$13.81	\$12,305,276 \$85,136
License, Tags, and Fees Licenses Tags Stamps Licenses,Tags,Stamps,Genera Land Use, Trespass	\$46.87 \$206.37 \$0.17 \$270.11 \$1.00	\$288,880 \$1,272,097 \$1,072 \$1,664,979 \$6,136
Restaurant Meals and Bars Meals Bars Meals/Bars-Both	\$118.69 \$5.38 \$36.03	\$731,633 \$33,137 \$222,121
Groceries and Beverages Groceries Miscellaneous Items	\$82.73 \$1.18	\$509,935 \$7,288
Hotels/Motels/Lodging Motel, Hotel Camp Fees	\$141.53 \$2.39	\$872,404 \$14,701
Hunting Equipment and Supplies Guns, Accessories Ammunition Camping Gear Clothing Fishing Equipment Binoculars, Scope Archery Miscellaneous, Various	\$12.93 \$6.75 \$5.60 \$8.33 \$0.62 \$3.11 \$0.15	\$79,680 \$41,635 \$34,546 \$51,366 \$3,840 \$19,177 \$937 \$45,647
Photographic Supplies Camera and Accessories Film Film Processing	\$4.65 \$5.37 \$1.97	\$28,665 \$33,094 \$12,135

(continued)

# Table A-20 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ALASKA (STATEWIDE) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Average Per Trip	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Equipment Rental Transportation Equipment Camping Equipment Other Equipment Rental	\$10.18 \$0.94 \$0.17	\$62,771 \$5,771 1,077
Meat Butchering, Processing	***************************************	.,,
Freezer Material Butchering Etc. Other	\$7.07 \$20.33 \$1.02	\$43,587 \$125,289 \$6,259
Taxidermy Services Taxidermy	\$68.45	\$421,901
Shipping Shipping, Including bags Shipping Material	\$ <b>86.33</b> \$0.85	\$521,116 \$5,250
Commercial Trips and Tours Wildlife Viewing Fishing Sightseeing Other, Taxi, Unspecified	\$0.92 \$11.79 \$8.08 \$1.00	\$5,656 \$72,656 \$49,785 \$6,179
Souvenirs, Gifts, Etc. Souvenirs, Gifts, Shirts Jewelry, Including Ivory Clothing Not Fur Fur, Fur Clothing Art Gold Unspecified Gifts Food, Salmon, Etc	\$77.74 \$28.10 \$5.94 \$14.00 \$3.95 \$44.50 \$3.95	\$479,176 \$173,197 \$36,593 \$86,325 \$274,273 \$24,347 \$24,347 \$272,339
Entertainment Movies Museums Shows, Clubs, Etc. Other, Unspecified	\$0.79 \$0.14 \$12.31 \$1.22	\$4,898 \$879 \$75,880 \$7,515
Other Items or Unanticipated Medical Vehicle Related, Taxi Other	\$4.21 \$2.22 \$9.30	\$25,961 \$13,711 \$57,341
Total	\$3,923.95	\$24,187,585

# Table A-21 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Transportation Airplane Charter/Air Taxi Vehicle Rental Ferry Boat Charter	\$37,076 \$2,268 \$1,940 \$11,372
Fuel or Oil for Any Vehicle Fuel, Land Vehicle, Boat Fuel, Air Fuel, Unspecified	\$32,716 \$290 \$6,232
Other Vehicle Expenses Vehicle Items	\$1,157
Guide-Outfitter Fees Fees	\$851,794
License, Tags, and Fees Licenses Tags Licenses, Tags, Stamps, General	\$22,066 \$72,398 \$156,585
Restaurant Meals and Bars Meals Bars Meals/Bars-Both	\$5 <b>3</b> ,284 \$2,323 \$35,992
Groceries and Beverages Groceries	\$52,592
Hotels/Motels/Lodging Motel, Hotel Camp Fees	\$79,465 \$8,279
Hunting Equipment and Supplies Guns, Accessories Ammunition Clothing Fishing Equipment Miscellaneous, Various	\$12,356 \$823 \$2,469 \$724 \$2,455
Photographic Supplies Film Film Processing	\$3,766 \$3,875
Equipment Rental Transportation Equipment	\$917
Meat Butchering, Processing Freezer Material Butchering Etc.	\$357 \$48
Taxidermy Services Taxidermy	\$41,142
Shipping Shipping, Including bags Shipping Material	\$13,772 \$522

(continued)

# Table A-21 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Commercial Trips and Tours Fishing	\$7,448
Sightseeing	\$510
Other, Taxi, Unspecified	\$2,552
Souvenirs, Gifts, Etc.	
Souvenirs, Gifts, Shirts	\$37,632
Jewelry, Including Ivory	\$5,511
Clothing Not Fur	\$4,635 \$183
Fur, Fur Clothing Art	\$103 \$5,362
Gold	\$10,007
Unspecified Gifts	\$24,901
Food, Salmon, Etc	\$284
Entertainment	
Museums	\$286
Other, Unspecified	\$57
Other Items or Unanticipated	
Medical	\$21,739
Other	\$6,119
Total	\$1,632,281

and the second s

# Table A-21 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Transportation Commercial Airline Airplane Charter/Air Taxi Vehicle Rental Ferry Boat Charter Trains or Bus	\$312,994 \$762,903 \$173,236 \$9,359 \$55,954 \$3,837
Fuel or Oil for Any Vehicle Fuel, Land Vehicle, Boat Fuel, Air Fuel, Unspecified	\$96,907 \$13,515 \$20,940
Other Vehicle Expenses Vehicle Items Vehicle Services	\$67,108 \$13,095
Guide-Outfitter Fees Fees Unspecified	\$6,729,398 \$85,136
License, Tags, and Fees Licenses Tags Stamps Licenses, Tags, Stamps, General	\$154,238 \$674,496 \$201 \$945,774
Restaurant Meals and Bars Meals Bars Meals/Bars-Both	\$461,662 \$25,957 \$131,424
Groceries and Beverages Groceries Miscellaneous Items	\$303,390 2,627
Hotels/Motels/Lodging Motel, Hotel Camp Fees	\$580,284 \$1,621
Hunting Equipment and Supplies Guns, Accessories Ammunition Camping Gear Clothing Fishing Equipment Binoculars, Scope Archery Miscellaneous, Various	\$39,929 \$34,729 \$21,036 \$36,483 \$2,696 \$12,696 \$937 \$36,274
Photographic Supplies Camera and Accessories Film Film Processing	\$23,449 \$22,877 \$6,791

(continued)

# Table A-21 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Equipment Rental Transportation Equipment Camping Equipment Other Equipment Rental	\$34,281 \$4,297 \$1,077
Meat Butchering, Processing Freezer Material Butchering Etc. Other	\$19,966 \$115,078 \$5,283
Taxidermy Services Taxidermy	\$365,780
Shipping Shipping, Including bags Shipping Material	\$302,744 \$3,463
Commercial Trips and Tours Wildlife Viewing Fishing Sightseeing Other, Taxi, Unspecified	\$762 \$42,900 \$35,849 \$3,518
Souvenirs, Gifts, Etc. Souvenirs, Gifts, Shirts Jewelry, Including Ivory Clothing Not Fur Fur, Fur Clothing Art Gold Unspecified Gifts	\$326,241 \$137,553 \$19,898 \$72,623 \$236,847 \$9,922 \$183,109
Entertainment Movies Museums Shows, Clubs, Etc. Other, Unspecified	\$3,800 \$165 \$70,580 \$7,313
Other Items or Unanticipated Medical Vehicle Related Including Taxi Other	\$1,350 \$9,715 \$36,436
Total	\$13,914,683

# Table A-21 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Transportation Commercial Airline Airplane Charter/Air Taxi Vehicle Rental Boat Charter	\$20,768 \$452,049 \$21,396 \$5,362
Fuel or Oil for Any Vehicle Fuel, Land Vehicle, Boat Fuel, Air Fuel, Unspecified	\$40,198 \$1,244 \$6,214
Other Vehicle Expenses Vehicle Items Vehicle Services	\$10,398 \$2,159
Guide-Outfitter Fees Fees	\$1,665,763
License, Tags, and Fees Licenses Tags Stamps Licenses, Tags, Stamps, General	\$23,871 \$158,070 \$333 \$236,065
Restaurant Meals and Bars Meals Bars Meals/Bars-Both	\$82,645 \$2,295 \$17,074
Groceries and Beverages Groceries Miscellaneous Items	<b>\$69,323</b> <b>\$1,7</b> 50
Hotels/Motels/Lodging Motel, Hotel Camp Fees	\$80,388 \$916
Hunting Equipment and Supplies Guns, Accessories Ammunition Camping Gears Clothing Binoculars, Scope Miscellaneous, Various	\$17,708 \$6,083 \$1,764 \$10,090 \$6,481 \$1,231
Photographic Supplies Camera and Accessories Film Film Processing	\$5,216 \$3,824 \$817
Equipment Rental Transportation Equipment Camping Equipment	\$16,954 \$737

(continued)

# Table A-21 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Meat Butchering, Processing Freezer Material Butchering Etc. Other	\$6,504 \$6,676 \$193
Taxidermy Services Taxidermy	\$1,690
Shipping Shipping, Including bags	\$94,936
Commercial Trips and Tours Sightseeing	\$1,535
Souvenirs, Gifts, Etc. Souvenirs, Gifts, Shirts Jewelry, Including Ivory Clothing Not Fur Fur, Fur Clothing Art Gold Unspecified Gifts Food, Salmon, Etc.	\$51,415 \$17,453 \$6,887 \$13,519 \$10,882 \$4,419 \$22,128
Entertainment Movies Museums Shows, Clubs, Etc. Other, Unspecified	\$1,099 \$187 \$2,810 \$145
Other Items or Unanticipated Medical Vehicle Related Including Taxi Other	\$1,333 \$2,909 \$2,665
Total	\$3,217,890

# Table A-21 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Transportation Commercial Airline Airplane Charter/Air Taxi Vehicle Rental Boat Charter Train or Bus	\$31,939 \$423,600 \$2,057 \$18,888 \$386
Fuel or Oil for Any Vehicle Fuel-Land Vehicle Boat	\$15,152
Other Vehicle Expenses Vehicle Items Vehicle Services	\$245 \$1,570
Guide-Outfitter Fees Fees	\$1,585,292
License, Tags and Fees Licenses Tags Stamps Licenses, Tags, Stamps General Land Use/Trespass, Incl. Launch	\$40,584 \$156,717 \$291 \$186,983 \$6,136
Restaurant Meals and Bars Meals Bars Meals/Bars-Both	\$69,398 \$1,427 \$23,231
Groceries and Beverages Groceries Miscellaneous Items	\$55,239 \$2,912
Hotels/Motels/Lodging/Camping Fees Motel/Hotel Camp Fees/Cabins	\$79,574 \$953
Hunting Equipment and Supplies Guns/Accessories Camping Gear Clothing Fishing Equipment Miscellaneous Supplies	\$2,788 \$405 \$1,928 \$420 \$4,925
Photographic Supplies Film Film Processing	\$1,541 \$652
Equipment Rental Transportation Equipment Camping Equipment	\$5,600 \$737

(continued)

# Table A-21 TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Meat Butchering, Processing/Storage Freezing/Butchering Material Butchering/Processing Other	\$1,195 \$2,531 \$590
Taxidermy Services Taxidermy Services	\$1,701
Shipping Shipping, Including Bags	\$42,252
Commercial Trips and Tours Fishing	\$28,307
Souvenirs, Gifts, Etc Souvenirs, Gifts, Shirts Jewelery, Including Ivory Clothing, Not Fur Art Unspecified Gifts	\$32,050 \$12,114 \$2,758 \$9,933 \$5,989
Entertainment Museums Shows, Clubs, Etc.	\$241 \$1,525
Other Items or Unanticipated Expenses Medical Expenses Vehicle Related, Taxi	\$851 \$1,938
Total	\$2,865,545

Table A-21
TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN) BY NONRESIDENTS ON SELECTED PRIMARY HUNTING TRIP BY EXPENDITURE CATEGORY (in 1991 dollars)

Item Name	Estimated Total for all Nonresident Hunters
Transportation Airplane Charter/Air Taxi	\$81,869
Fuel or Oil for Any Vehicle Fuel-Land Vehicle Boat Fuel-Air Fuel-Unspecified, Other	\$241 \$3,261 \$2,514
Guide-Outfitter Fees Fees	<b>\$</b> 528 <b>,</b> 040
License, Tags, and Fees Licenses Tags Licenses, Tags, Stamps General	\$19,354 \$104,619 \$49,886
Restaurant Meals and Bars Meals Meals/Bars-Both	\$12,529 \$6,522
Groceries and Beverages Groceries	\$20,178
Hotels/Motels/Lodging/Camping Fees Motel/Hotel Camp Fees/Cabins	\$13,154 \$217
Hunting Equipment and Supplies Guns/Accessories	\$6,136
Equipment Rental Transportation Equipment	\$5,019
Meat Butchering, Processing/Storage Freezing/Butchering Material Butchering/Processing Other	\$821 \$869 \$193
Shipping Shipping, Including Bags Shipping Materials	\$36,301 \$1,085
Commercial Trips and Tours Other, Taxi, Unspecified	\$109
Souvenirs, Gifts, Etc Souvenirs, Gifts, Shirts Jewelry, Including Ivory Art	\$10,458 \$567 \$9,800
Other Items or Unanticipated Expenses Medical Expenses Vehicle Related, Taxi	\$3253 \$381
Total	\$918,824

Table A-22 TOTAL BASE ECONOMY--STATE OF ALASKA (in 1990 dollars)

Final Demand \$28,742.7300

(millions of dollars)

\$31,180.0900

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)

326,932

Employment (number of jobs)

Table A-23 ECONOMIC ACTIVITY (STATEWIDE) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONSUMPTIVE WILDLIFE USE BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS (in 1991 dollars) (includes expenditures on equipment and trip purchases)

#### Direct Effects:

Final Demand (millions of dollars) \$22.9911

\$22.9911 Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)

**Employment** 386.09 (number of jobs)

#### Indirect Effects:

Final Demand 0 (millions of dollars)

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$3.9215

**Employment** 49.20 (number of jobs)

#### Induced Effects:

\$4.6957 Final Demand (millions of dollars)

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$5.4469

**Employment** 100.75 (number of jobs)

#### Total Effects:

ffects:	Dollar Amount	As a Proportion of Total Economy
Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$27.6868	0.10%
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$32.3595	0.10%
Employment (number of jobs)	536.04	0.16%

Table A-24 TOTAL BASE ECONOMY--REGION 1, SOUTH EAST ALASKA (in 1990 dollars)

> Final Demand \$3,115.6300

(millions of dollars)

\$3,258.6760

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)

**Employment** 

44,470

(number of jobs)

Table A-24
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN REGION 1 (SOUTH EAST ALASKA) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONSUMPTIVE WILDLIFE USE BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS (in 1991 dollars) (includes expenditures on equipment and trip purchases)

#### Direct Effects:

Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$1.383
Total Industry Output (mīllions of dollars)	\$1.383

**Employment** 26.13 (number of jobs)

#### Indirect Effects:

Final Demand		0
(millions of	dollars)	

Total Industry Output \$0.1706 (millions of dollars)

**Employment** 2.49 (number of jobs)

#### Induced Effects:

Final Demand		\$0.0603
(millions of	dollars)	

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$0.0678

**Employment** 1.42 (number of jobs)

#### Total Effects: As a Proportion Dollar Amount of Total Economy

Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$1.4433	0.05%
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$1.6214	0.05%
Employment (number of jobs)	30.05	0.07%

TOTAL BASE ECONOMY--REGION 2, SOUTH CENTRAL ALASKA (in 1990 dollars)

Final Demand

\$17,935.3100

(millions of dollars)

Total Industry Output

\$19,724.2000

(millions of dollars)

**Employment** (number of jobs) 205,021

Table A-24
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN REGION 2 (SOUTH CENTRAL ALASKA) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONSUMPTIVE WILDLIFE USE BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS (in 1991 dollars) (includes expenditures on equipment and trip purchases)

#### Direct Effects:

Final Dema	nd	\$13.3681
(millions	of dollars	)

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$13.3861

226.63 **Employment** (number of jobs)

#### Indirect Effects:

Final Demand		0
(millions of	dollars)	

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$2.3006

Employment (number of jobs) 29.36

# Induced Effects:

Final Demand		\$3.1356
(millions of	dollars)	

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$3.6686

66.44 **Employment** (number of jobs)

# Total Effects:

	Dollar Amount	of Total Economy
Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$16.5217	0.09%
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$19.3553	0.10%
Employment (number of jobs)	322.43	0.16%

As a Proportion

### Nonresident Hunters: Their Hunting Trip Characteristics and Economics

Table A-24 TOTAL BASE ECONOMY--REGION 3, INTERIOR ALASKA (in 1990 dollars)

Final Demand (millions of dollars) \$2,931.1430

Total Industry Output

\$3,133.7580

(millions of dollars)

**Employment** 

(number of jobs)

46,653

Table A-24
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN REGION 3 (INTERIOR ALASKA) ATTRIBUTABLE TO
CONSUMPTIVE WILDLIFE USE BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS (in 1991 dollars)
(includes expenditures on equipment and trip purchases)

#### Direct Effects:

\$2.9872 Final Demand (millions of dollars) Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$2.9872

**Employment** 49.70 (number of jobs)

#### Indirect Effects:

0 (millions of dollars)

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$0.5364

**Employment** 6.49 (number of jobs)

#### Induced Effects:

Final Demand \$0.1442 (millions of dollars) Total Industry Output \$0.1653 (millions of dollars)

**Employment** 3.08 (number of jobs)

#### Total Effects:

As a Proportion Dollar Amount of Total Economy Final Demand \$3.1314 0.11% (millions of dollars) Total Industry Output (millions of dollars) \$3.6889 0.12% **Employment** 59.27 0.13%

Expenditure Multiplier: 1.23

(number of jobs)

Table A-24 TOTAL BASE ECONOMY -- REGION 4, SOUTH WEST ALASKA (in 1990 dollars)

Final Demand (millions of dollars) \$616.6368

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)

\$694.3776

**Employment** (number of jobs) 9,686

Table A-24 ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN REGION 4 (SOUTH WEST ALASKA) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONSUMPTIVE WILDLIFE USE BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS (in 1991 dollars) (includes expenditures on equipment and trip purchases)

#### Direct Effects:

Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$2.4015
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$2.4015
Employment (number of jobs)	42.89
Indirect Effects:	
Final Demand (millions of dollars)	0

Total Industry Output	\$0.2678
(millions of dollars)	<b>\$0.2070</b>
Employment (number of jobs)	4.27

### Induced Effects:

Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$0.0582
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$0.0642
Employment	1.42

Total Effects:	Dollar Amount	As a Proportion of Total Economy
Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$2.4597	0.4%
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$2.7335	0.4%
Employment (number of jobs)	48.57	0.5%

Expenditure Multiplier: 1.14

(number of jobs)

Table A-24
TOTAL BASE ECONOMY--REGION 5, ARCTIC & WESTERN ALASKA (in 1990 dollars)

Final Demand (millions of dollars) \$4,448.3430

Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)

\$4,427.9170

**Employment** (number of jobs) 20,820

Table A-24
ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN REGION 5 (ARCTIC & WESTERN ALASKA) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONSUMPTIVE WILDLIFE USE BY NONRESIDENT HUNTERS (in 1991 dollars)

(includes expenditures on equipment and trip purchases)

#### Direct Effects:

Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$0.7499
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$0.7499
Employment (number of jobs)	12.38

#### Indirect Effects:

Final Demand (millions of dollars)	0
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$0.0534
Employment (number of jobs)	0.46

#### Induced Effects:

Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$0.2024
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$0.2167
Employment (number of jobs)	3.07

#### Total Effects:

fects:	Dollar Amount	As a Proportion of Total Economy
Final Demand (millions of dollars)	\$0.9523	0.02%
Total Industry Output (millions of dollars)	\$1.0199	0.02%
Employment	15.91	0.08%

Potential Demand by Nonresident Hunters for Wildlife Viewing

# Exploring Nonresident Hunters' Potential Demand for New Wildlife Viewing Sites

A somewhat unique part of the Alaska Wildlife User Surveys were the scenarios describing, in very basic terms, several hypothetical wildlife viewing sites aimed at different species. (The actual descriptions can be seen in the survey instrument presented at the end of this report.) Respondents were asked how likely they thought they would be to include sites where they could see specified species of wildlife if it cost a specified amount if they were to plan another trip to Alaska. One must be careful in interpreting the information derived from these scenarios because: (1) the scenarios are only loosely specified in terms of site characteristics and access, and there is room for individual interpretation between respondents as to the actual viewing experience, (2) they imply a guaranteed level of wildlife viewing--a herd of caribou, several Dall sheep, a large concentration of eagles--that is, again, open to differences in interpretation between respondents, (3) they are hypothetical sites, which may or may not be believable to respondents. With these caveats in mind, though, the scenarios can provide useful information on potential demand for new or enhanced/expanded wildlife viewing sites, and on the relative values that nonresident hunters place on different species for viewing.

Based on prior information, we excluded Native Americans from these tables. The literature on contingent valuation surveys, which these scenarios fall into, applied to other cultural groups--i.e. non-Western industrialized societies--is very much in its infancy. A few studies have been done (Shyamsundar and Kramer 1993, Whittington et al. 1990) but the issues are far from resolved. It is our feeling that people from other cultural groups are likely to have trouble answering the kinds of contingent valuation questions typically posed. The questions and contingent valuation mechanisms used to date are not robust to changes in the underlying cultural mindset of the group to which they are posed. Hence, Native Americans were excluded from the tables in this section. Based on our survey, about 96% of nonresident hunters (6,729 hunters) are in this non-Native American subsample.

Tables A-25 present the distribution of responses for each of the scenarios. The dollar amounts used in the scenarios (which we will refer to as bids) were randomly assigned from a selected distribution of values, and different in each questionnaire and scenario. For ease of presentation, they are collapsed into \$50 increments in Tables A-25. The range of values differed between scenarios, as is evident from looking at how high the range of values extends for each scenario. The column percentages in the TOTAL column for each scenario show the proportion of bids falling into each of the \$50 increments across the sample. The distributions were set up to have more bids in the lower regions of the range and fewer at the higher regions. The general trend for each of the scenarios is an increasing proportion of "unlikely" or "not very likely" responses as the dollar bid amount increases, while the proportion of "very likely" or "somewhat likely" generally decreases. That is as expected from basic economic theory.

One way to interpret and use Tables A-25 is to look at a particular scenario and consider the potential demand at any given cost level to access the site. For example, if a site were opened at which a herd of caribou could be viewed and it cost \$51 to visit the site, we would expect about 32.3% of nonresident non-Native American hunters (2,173 hunters given that we estimate a total of 6,729 non-Native American nonresident hunters) to visit the site on a return trip to Alaska--9.4% thought they were very likely to visit the site and 22.9% thought they were somewhat likely to visit. If it cost \$101 to visit the site, we would expect about 28.2% of nonresident non-Native American hunters (1,898) to visit the site on a return trip to Alaska. If it cost \$501 to visit the site, about 7.5% of nonresident non-Native American hunters (505 hunters) would be expected to visit the site on a return trip. Using the tables in this way, one would need to consider the trend of responses as the dollar amount increased. The 4.8%, in the \$301 - \$350 bid range, who thought they were very likely or somewhat likely to visit the site on a return trip might be an aberration considering that 17.3% and 13.9% responded with one of the "likely" responses in the \$251 - \$300 and the \$351 - \$400 ranges, respectively. Likewise, the 17.2% responding very likely or somewhat likely in the \$451 - \$500 range might be somewhat of an aberration. The point is that one must not focus on one specific range and lose sight of the full picture.

The responses in Tables A-25 are based on all the responses to all the bids in the designated range. That is, the "very likely" responses were tallied from all bids ranging from \$51 to \$100 (and all integer values within the range were equally likely to be selected) to arrive at the row percent of 9.4 for the

August, 1994 Page A-451

caribou site. Likewise, the "somewhat likely" bids were tallied to arrive at the row percent of 22.9 for bids ranging from \$51 to \$100, and the same for the 46.3% reported for the "unlikely" response. Hence, we expect the reported row percents to be conservative estimates at the lower end of the designated range, and somewhat overestimated at the upper end of the range. They are likely to be most accurate in the middle of the range. Based on this line of reasoning, the expected visitation described in the previous paragraph could be thought of as a conservative estimate because we picked values at the bottom of the range in each case. Another approach might be to consider the row percents for each range of bids to be applicable to the midpoint of the range and base visitation estimates on those cost amounts and percentages.

A caveat to the uses illustrated above is to consider the sample size at each bid range. The \$51 to \$100 range of bids contained 9.0% of the responses for the caribou site, so the row percents are based on a subsample of 55 hunters (9.0% of 615 respondents in the total nonresident hunter sample who were not Native American). Responses in the \$501 to \$550 range of bids, on the other hand, are based on a subsample of about 14 people (2.2% of 615). In the range of sample sizes we are dealing with, larger subsamples imply greater reliability of results.

Distributions of values, based on the responses to the scenarios, were estimated for each of the wildlife viewing sites. The "very likely" and "somewhat likely" responses were collapsed into a single "yes" category. The "unlikely" and "not very likely" responses were collapsed into a single "no" category. The "yes" and "no" responses were then used as the dependent variable in a logistic regression with bid dollar amount and a constant term as the independent variables. The estimated distributions are the probabilities of a "yes" response to the question of whether the respondent would visit the specified site on a return trip to Alaska as a function of bid amount and a constant term. They represent cumulative distribution functions for the gross values of the different sites represented in the scenarios.

Means were calculated from those estimated distributions and are displayed in Table A-26 for each scenario. Calculation of the mean was carried out to the dollar amount at which the probability of a "yes" response was 1%, at which point the distribution was truncated. The interpretation of those mean values is that they represent the average gross value placed on one trip to a site at which the respondent could view the specified wildlife species if he/she were to visit Alaska again. The values are gross because they represent, on average, the maximum amount an individual would be willing to pay to visit the site--they contain both the trip expenses and consumer surplus amount that were discussed in the Net Economic Value of Primary Big Game Hunting Trips section.

The top row of Table A-26 shows the estimated mean value for each type of viewing site based on the complete subsample of non-Native American nonresident hunters. They range from \$38 for a trip to a site where a large concentration of sea birds could be seen to \$364 for a trip to a site at which a large concentration of grizzly bears could be seen. Based on the estimated distributions, the sites for viewing grizzly bears, wolves, and Dall sheep would provide the highest level of benefits, while those for sea birds and eagles would provide the least benefit to nonresident non-Native American hunters. The remaining rows in Table A-26 are mean values estimated for several sample breakdowns. In each case, mean values were estimated for each condition separately (gender = male, gender = female, etc.) and for all nonmissing responses for the combined conditions (gender = male or female, etc.). Again, Native Americans are excluded from all the results.

Table A-27 shows the results of likelihood ratio tests performed using the estimated distribution functions for the different subsample restrictions. Such a procedure tests for differences between subsets of the sample by testing the hypothesis that the distributions estimated for each restricted subsample are indistinguishable from that estimated for the unrestricted sample. For example, a likelihood ratio test performed on "income of respondent" will estimate separate distribution functions for each income level as well as a distribution function for all income levels combined. Because maximum likelihood methods were used for the estimation, if the null hypothesis of no difference between people with different income levels is true, the log likelihoods of the separate regressions will sum to the log likelihood of the combined regression. The test can be used to indicate whether people at each income level place the same value on the specified wildlife viewing site. If the null hypothesis that the distribution functions estimated separately for each income level are statistically

Page A-452 August, 1994

indistinguishable from that estimated for all income levels together cannot be rejected, we conclude that people at each income level place the same value on the site--i.e., we assert that the means of statistically indistinguishable distribution functions are also statistically indistinguishable.

The first test shown in Table A-27 is based on income of respondent. For the caribou site, -2 log likelihood for the distribution functions estimated for each income level separately are 137.031, 99.04, 67.951, 52.504, and 160.761 for the categories below \$40,000, \$40,001 to \$60,000, \$60,001 to \$80,000, \$80,001 to \$100,000, and over \$100,000, respectively. The sum of those log likelihoods is 517.287. For the distribution function estimated using the combined data for all 5 income levels, -2 log likelihood is 532.736. The difference between that and the sum of those from the separate distribution functions, 15.449, is used as a test statistic. The test statistic follows a chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the difference between the number of parameters estimated for all the separate distributions and the number of parameters estimated in the combined distribution, in this example 8 (10, coming from 5 distributions with 2 parameters each, minus 2). The critical chi-square value at the 5% level with 8 degrees of freedom is 15.507, which is greater than the test statistic of 15.449 so we cannot reject the null hypothesis that the distributions estimated for each income level separately are the same as that estimated for all the income levels together. The test statistic for the moose site, 21.028, is greater than the critical chi-square value so we reject the null hypothesis that the income level distribution functions are the same for the moose viewing site. The null hypothesis is also rejected for income levels with the wolf, sea bird, and eagles sites. The differences in mean value between people with different income levels are significant for those species.

Significant differences are found for the wolf, whale, grizzly bear, and sea bird viewing sites based on gender of respondent (critical chi-square value for 5% with 2 degrees of freedom is 5.991). One problem with the tests based on gender, though, is that the number of female nonresident hunters is very small so it was not possible to estimate models for females for all of the sites, and those that could be estimated were not very powerful. Age of respondent is a significant differentiating factor for value placed on the viewing sites for wolves and grizzly bears. Education of respondent is not a significant differentiating factor for any of the wildlife viewing sites. The distribution functions based on years the respondent has hunted in Alaska are significantly different (critical chi-square for 5% with 6 degrees of freedom is 12.592) only for the moose site.

August, 1994

Table A-25
IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A HERD OF CARIBOU IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR CARIBOU				
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	7. <b>3</b> % 100.0%	22.7% 23.7%	10.9% 16.0%	8.4% 27.7%	4.2% 30.5%	3.2% 2.1%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	9.0% 100.0%	11.1% 9.4%	19.3% 22.9%	7.4% 19.8%	7.9% 46.3%	2.9% 1.5%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	9.2% 100.0%	19.4% 16.0%	10.5% 12.2%	8.0% 20.8%	8.6% 49.1%	3.4% 1.8%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	8.3% 5.6%	11.0% 10.5%	15.4% 32.8%	9.9% 46.3%	10.9% 4.7%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	10.0% 100.0%	4.2% 3.2%	15.6% 16.8%	7.3% 17.4%	10.8% 57.0%	11.5% 5.6%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	9.6% 100.0%	8.8% 7.0%	9.2% 10.3%	9.7% 24.3%	9.3% 51.2%	14.2% 7.2%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	8.6% 100.0%	. 0% . 0%	3.9% 4.8%	9.8% 27.2%	9.8% 60.0%	14.3% 8.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100.0%	6.2% 7.4%	3.9% 6.5%	5.3% 20.0%	7.7% 63.6%	3.2% 2.4%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	6.8% 100.0%	4.4% 5.0%	6.1% 9.6%	5.0% 17. <b>7</b> %	8.1% 63.2%	6.5% 4.6%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	6.4% 100. <b>0</b> %	7.9% 9.4%	4.7% 7.8%	8.4% 31.3%	6.0% 49.4%	2.9% 2.1%
\$501 - \$550 Column Percent Row Percent	2.2% 100.0%	2.1% 7.5%	.0%	1.9% 20.9%	2.9% 71.6%	.0%
\$551 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	2.3% 100.0%	2.0% 6.8%	.0%	5.2% 54.7%	1.3% 30.8%	3.6% 7.6%
\$601 - \$650 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	. <b>7%</b> 10.2%	2.7% 89.8%	.0%
\$651 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	2.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0% 26.8%	3.4% 68.1%	2.9% 5.2%

(continued)

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-454 August, 1994

Table A-25
IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A HERD OF CARIBOU IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR CARIBOU				
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING
\$701 - \$750 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	3.5% 20.5%	1.6% 20.5%	1.7% 50.4%	3.2% 8.5%
\$751 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	2.9% 11.9%	.0%	.7% 8.9%	2.1% 61.8%	6.6% 17.4%
\$801 - \$850 Column Percent Row Percent	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.6% 31.1%	1.2% 54.3%	3.6% 14.6%
\$851 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 9.2%	.7% 9.2%	2.1% 61.6%	7.3% 20.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0%	100.0% 7.6%	100.0% 10.7%	100.0% 24.0%	100.0% 52.8%	100.0% 4.8%

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-25

IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE MOOSE IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR MOOSE				
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	13.9% 100.0%	38.9% 29.6%	20.9% 18.9%	7.7% 12.0%	9.2% 33.4%	17.6% 6.1%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	13.9% 100.0%	10.5% 8.0%	18.0% 16.2%	18.9% 29.4%	11.6% 42.0%	12.9% 4.5%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	17.1% 100.0%	16.4% 10.1%	24.2% 17.8%	16.6% 21.0%	14.9% 43.9%	25.2% 7.1%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	12.8% 8.9%	13.4% 11.2%	15.9% 22.7%	16.5% 54.9%	7.0% 2.2%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	7.6% 100.0%	4.5% 6.3%	5.5% 9.1%	5.6% 15.7%	9.8% 64.5%	6.9% 4.3%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	5.9% 100.0%	2.8% 4.9%	5.5% 11.7%	7.0% 25.4%	5.8% 49.3%	10.6% 8.6%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	5.4% 100.0%	5.6% 10.9%	3.5% 8.2%	6.4% 25.8%	5.6% 52.3%	3.2% 2.9%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	6.1% 100.0%	4.4% 7.5%	2.7% 5.5%	7.6% 26.9%	7.0% 57.1%	3.6% 2.9%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	3.7% 100.0%	1.3% 3.7%	2.3% 7.8%	4.3% 25.0%	4.7% 63.5%	.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.9% 100.0%	1.3% 3.6%	.0%	5.6% 31.3%	4.3% 56.0%	7.3% 9.1%
\$501 - \$550 Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	1.5% 6.4%	3.0% 14.9%	1.0% 8.6%	3.5% 70.1%	.0%
\$551 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	4.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.1% 2.9%	3.4% 15.5%	7.1% 75.8%	5.7% 5.8%
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 10.6%	100.0% 12.6%	100.0% 21.6%	100.0% 50.4%	100.0% 4.8%

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-25

IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A PACK OF WOLVES EITHER FROM THE GROUND OR FROM AN AIRPLANE IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP?

(by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	AL WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR WOLVES				
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	11.3% 100.0%	37.2% 45.3%	12.6% 21.9%	6.1% 9.0%	4.8% 19.3%	10.9% 4.5%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	8.3% 100.0%	10.4% 17.1%	12.3% 29.0%	8.0% 16.0%	5.8% 31.9%	10.8% 6.1%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	9.8% 100.0%	10.4% 14.4%	17.4% 34.6%	12.1% 20.3%	6.3% 28.9%	3.7% 1.8%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	10.8% 100.0%	16.5% 21.0%	15.5% 28.2%	7.8% 11.9%	8.5% 35.9%	7.0% 3.1%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	9.9% 100.0%	3.6% 5.0%	11.3% 22.3%	11.0% 18.4%	11.2% 51.2%	6.4% 3.0%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	12.0% 100.0%	8.8% 10.1%	13.4% 21.9%	16.0% 22.1%	11.3% 42.9%	7.6% 3.0%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	2.9% 100.0%	2.8% 13.3%	.8% 5.4%	2.0% 11.3%	3.2% 50.8%	11.7% 19.2%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	3.4% 100.0%	1.0% 4.1%	1.5% 8.8%	5.4% 26.6%	4.2% 56.5%	2.9% 4.1%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	4.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	2.0% 9.0%	2.9% 10.8%	7.4% 76.7%	3.3% 3.5%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.4% 100.0%	1.0% 4.1%	1.6% 9.2%	4.1% 20.4%	4.2% 57.3%	6.4% 8.9%
\$501 - \$550 Column Percent Row Percent	3.5% 100.0%	.0% .0%	5.7% 32.2%	3.6% 17.3%	2.4% 31.4%	14.1% 19.1%
\$551 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	4.4% 100.0%	2.3% 7.2%	.0%	7.5% 28.1%	6.3% 64.7%	.0%
\$601 - \$650 Column Percent Row Percent	3.0% 100.0%	1.2% 5.4%	.7% 4.6%	1.8% 10.0%	5.3% 80.0%	.0%
\$651 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	.7% 100.0%	.0%	1.9% 54.0%	1.0% 23.5%	.0%	3.3% 22.5%

(continued)

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-25

IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A PACK OF WOLVES EITHER FROM THE GROUND OR FROM AN AIRPLANE IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP?

(by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILLI	NG TO PAY A	DDITIONAL A	OUNT FOR W	OLVES
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING
\$701 - \$750 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 7.6%	4.0% 30.6%	2.9% 61.9%	. 0%
\$751 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	1.2% 6.5%	.8% 6.5%	2.8% 18.7%	3.4% 61.9%	3.5% 6.5%
\$801 - \$850 Column Percent Row Percent	2.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	- 0% - 0%	5.7% 92.2%	4.6% 7.8%
\$851 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	2.3% 17.4%	.8% 8.6%	- 0% - 0%	2.5% 64.2%	3.7% 9.7%
\$901 - \$950 Column Percent Row Percent	1.3% 100.0%	.0%	.8% 11.7%	2.0% 24.3%	1.9% 64.0%	.0% .0%
\$951 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	1.3% 10.1%	.0%	2.0% 19.1%	2.7% 70.8%	.0% .0%
TOTAL Column PercentRow Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 13.7%	100.0% 19.6%	100.0% 16.6%	100.0% 45.4%	100.0% 4.7%

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-25

IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE SEVERAL DALL SHEEP IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

And the state of t

	TOTAL	WILL	WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL			AMOUNT FOR SHEEP		
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING		
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	21.9% 26.7%	13.9% 27.0%	5.7% 16.2%	4.7% 28.4%	2.9% 1.7%		
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	7.9% 100.0%	20.9% 25.6%	12.3% 24.1%	6.4% 18.3%	4.8% 29.2%	4.6% 2.8%		
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	9.3% 100.0%	7.6% 7.9%	7.4% 12.2%	11.9% 28.8%	8.2% 41.9%	18.3% 9.3%		
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	11.7% 100.0%	13.1% 10.8%	14.4% 19.0%	12.8% 24.7%	9.7% 39.6%	14.4% 5.8%		
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	10.2% 100.0%	9.2% 8.8%	10.8% 16.4%	12.9% 28.6%	9.3% 43.4%	5.8% 2.7%		
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	12.0% 100.0%	4.5% 3.7%	14.4% 18.5%	8.3% 15.6%	15.2% 60.5%	4.6% 1.8%		
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	.0%	8.1% 20.2%	6.2% 22.8%	7.0% 54.2%	3.7% 2.8%		
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	6.6% 100.0%	1.7% 2.4%	6.7% 15.6%	8.2% 27.9%	7.2% 52.0%	2.9% 2.1%		
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	6.2% 100.0%	6.3% 9.8%	6.0% 14.8%	1.3% 4.7%	8.6% 65.9%	6.2% 4.7%		
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	6.3% 100.0%	2.9% 4.4%	3.1% 7.6%	6.4% 23.0%	7.9% 59.7%	7.0% 5.3%		
\$501 - \$550 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	.0% .0%	3.6% 52.2%	1.6% 47.8%	.0%		
\$551 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	5.3% 21.3%	1.1% 6.7%	1.4% 13.4%	2.6% 51.2%	3.7% 7.3%		
\$601 - \$650 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	3.5% 16.6%	. 0% . 0%	1.4% 15.3%	2.9% 68.0%	.0%		
\$651 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1% 34.2%	1.6% 54.8%	3.3% 11.1%		

(continued)

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-25
IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE SEVERAL DALL SHEEP IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILL	ING TO PAY	ADDITIONAL /	AMOUNT FOR	SHEEP
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING
\$701 - \$750 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	1.7% 7.9%	.9% 6.8%	4.3% 47.7%	.9% 21.5%	7.0% 16.2%
\$751 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	2.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.1% 6.5%	2.5% 22.4%	2.5% 48.1%	12.0% 23.0%
\$801 - \$850 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	1.4% 6.8%	.0%	3.7% 41.2%	1.9% 44.1%	3.4% 7.9%
\$851 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.7% 9.6%	3.2% 90.4%	.0%
TOTAL Column Percent Row Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 9.7%	100.0% 15.4%	100.0% 22.6%	100.0% 47.6%	100.0% 4.7%

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-460 August, 1994

Table A-25

IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE TYPICAL MARINE LIFE AND ABOUT HALF THE TIME YOU WOULD SEE WHALES IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP?

(by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR WHALES					
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING	
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	17.2% 100.0%	41.5% 27.9%	22.2% 23.5%	12.3% 15.5%	11.3% 28.1%	15.6% 5.0%	
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	15.9% 100.0%	20.4% 15.0%	19.6% 22.5%	11.1% 15.1%	14.1% 38.2%	26.5% 9.2%	
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	12.5% 100.0%	9.9% 9.2%	19.0% 27.7%	18.3% 31.6%	8.1% 27.9%	8.3% 3.6%	
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	15.1% 100.0%	14.7% 11.3%	14.4% 17.4%	13.3% 19.1%	16.0% 45.5%	18.5% 6.7%	
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	5.8% 100.0%	2.7% 5.5%	8.2% 25.9%	8.9% 33.4%	4.7% 35.2%	.0%	
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	6.5% 100.0%	3.3% 5.8%	3.2% 9.0%	6.1% 20.2%	9.4% 62.2%	3.2% 2.7%	
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	6.7% 100.0%	4.2% 7.3%	8.3% 22.4%	8.4% 26.9%	6.5% 41.2%	2.5% 2.0%	
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	6.7% 100.0%	1.4% 2.4%	2.5% 6.9%	7.5% 24.2%	9.0% 57.7%	10.8% 8.8%	
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	1.6% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 17.2%	1.8% 23.7%	2.2% 59.1%	.0%	
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	3.3% 100.0%	.0%	.9% 4.7%	6.1% 39.7%	3.6% 46.3%	5.7% 9.4%	
\$501 - \$550 Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2% 13.4%	6.5% 77.5%	6.0% 9.1%	
\$551 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	5.0% 100.0%	1.9% 4.4%	.0%	3.9% 17.0%	8.7% 75.5%	2.8% 3.1%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 11.6%	100.0% 18.2%	100.0% 21.6%	100.0% 43.1%	100.0% 5.5%	

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-25

IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A LARGE CONCENTRATION OF GRIZZLY BEARS IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILL	ING TO PAY	ADDITIONAL	AMOUNT FOR I	BEARS
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	11.0% 100.0%	27.1% 53.3%	7.7% 13.4%	5.3% 8.8%	6.9% 23.0%	3.8% 1.6%
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	11.8% 100.0%	19.2% 35.1%	16.5% 26.6%	4.6% 7.0%	8.3% 25.8%	13.8% 5.5%
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	8.6% 100.0%	10.4% 26.0%	9.1% 20.0%	9.6% 20.1%	7.1% 30.1%	7.1% 3.8%
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	8.7% 100.0%	13.2% 32.8%	9.9% 21.7%	7.7% 16.0%	5.3% 22.1%	13.8% 7.4%
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	13.4% 100.0%	11.2% 18.0%	16.8% 23.9%	14.1% 19.0%	12.1% 32.7%	18.5% 6.4%
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	10.1% 100.0%	4.6% 9.9%	8.7% 16.4%	11.8% 21.2%	14.0% 50.9%	3.3% 1.5%
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	4.0% 100.0%	1.5% 8.0%	4.6% 22.2%	3.2% 14.7%	6.0% 55.1%	.0%
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	3.4% 100.0%	.7% 4.7%	4.3% 24.1%	3.7% 19.6%	4.9% 51.6%	.0%
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	1.4% 8.2%	3.4% 17.8%	4.3% 21.6%	3.8% 38.4%	10.9% 14.0%
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	4.0% 100.0%	.7% 3.9%	2.3% 11.0%	5.0% 22.9%	5.7% 52.6%	8.1% 9.5%
\$501 - \$550 Column Percent Row Percent	3.6% 100.0%	1.5% 8.7%	4.1% 21.2%	5.3% 26.2%	4.4% 43.8%	.0%
\$551 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	3.1% 100.0%	1.5% 10.4%	2.7% 16.9%	7.5% 44.4%	1.9% 23.0%	3.5% 5.3%
\$601 - \$650 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	1.0% 10.6%	2.3% 21.3%	2.7% 24.0%	2.5% 44.1%	.0% .0%
\$651 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0%	.7% 9.6%	3.6% 45.8%	1.4% 34.9%	3.0% 9.6%

(continued)

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page A-462

Table A-25

IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A LARGE CONCENTRATION OF GRIZZLY BEARS IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	DTAL WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR BEARS					
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING	
\$701 - \$750 Column Percent Row Percent	2.3% 100.0%	3.5% 32.9%	.8% 6.7%	1.8% 13.9%	2.5% 39.0%	3.8% 7.5%	
\$751 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	. 0%	3.0% 28.0%	2.6% <b>2</b> 3.4%	2.7% 48.6%	.0%	
\$801 - \$850 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.7% 15.4%	.7% 13.1%	1.7% 28.4%	.8% 27.8%	3.5% 15.4%	
\$851 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	1.9% 100.0%	1.0% 11.2%	.8% 8.0%	1.8% 16.7%	3.4% 64.2%	.0%	
\$901 - \$950 Column Percent Row Percent	1.5% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 18.1%	-0% -0%	3.0% 70.5%	3.8% 11.4%	
\$951 - \$1,000 Column Percent Row Percent	2.1% 100.0%	.7% 7.6%	.0%	3.6% 30.6%	3.2% 54.5%	3.3% 7.3%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 21.6%	100.0% 19.1%	100.0% 18.1%	100.0% 36.5%	100.0% 4.7%	

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-25

IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A LARGE CONCENTRATION OF SEA BIRDS IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILLING TO PAY AMOUNT FOR SEABIRDS					
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING	
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	16.1% 100.0%	51.4% 7.3%	16.2% 4.0%	17.2% 22.5%	13.6% 57.0%	26.5% 9.2%	
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	15.5% 100.0%	16.6% 2.5%	19.9% 5.1%	21.6% 29.3%	13.6% 58.8%	12.4% 4.5%	
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	14.2% 100.0%	6.0% 1.0%	7.6% 2.1%	14.1% 21.0%	14.9% 70.7%	13.0% 5.1%	
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	13.2% 100.0%	.0%	17.0% 5.1%	12.9% 20.6%	13.7% 69.5%	11.3% 4.8%	
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	5.7% 100.0%	6.8% 2.7%	3.5% 2.4%	5.0% 18.5%	6.0% 70.8%	5.7% 5.6%	
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	7.5% 100.0%	7.1% 2.2%	7.6% 4.0%	4.7% 13.2%	8.7% 77.7%	3.9% 2.9%	
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	5.6% 100.0%	.0%	12.9% 9.1%	5.5% 20.8%	5.1% 61.1%	9.2% 9.1%	
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	6.6% 100.0%	.0%	15.2% 9.0%	6.3% 20.0%	6.3% 63.4%	9.0% 7.5%	
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	2.4% 100.0%	12.1% 11.5%	.0%	2.2% 19.0%	2.5% 69.5%	.0%	
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	5. <b>3</b> % 100.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1% 12.3%	6.5% 81.5%	6.0% 6.2%	
\$501 - \$550 Column Percent Row Percent	2.8% 100.0%	. 0% . <b>0%</b>	.0%	2.8% 21.2%	3.3% 78.8%	.0%	
\$551 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	5.1% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	4.6% 19.0%	5.9% 77.8%	2.9% 3.2%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 2.3%	100.0% 4.0%	100.0% 21.1%	100.0% 67.1%	100.0% 5.6%	

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

#### of Fish and Game

Nonresident Hunters: Their Hunting Trip Characteristics and Economics

Table A-25
IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A LARGE CONCENTRATION OF EAGLES IF IT COST \$X TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR EAGLES					
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY LIKELY	UNLIKELY	MISSING	
\$1 - \$50 Column Percent Row Percent	9. <b>8</b> % 100.0%	38.8% 23.4%	18.1% 17.2%	9.7% 22.0%	5.1% 29.7%	14.5% 7.8%	
\$51 - \$100 Column Percent Row Percent	11.2% 100.0%	12.8% 6.7%	29.9% 24.8%	9.8% 19.4%	9.6% 49.1%	.0%	
\$101 - \$150 Column Percent Row Percent	11.0% 100.0%	11.1% 5.9%	15.3% 13.0%	10.9% 22.0%	9.1% 47.3%	24.5% 11.8%	
\$151 - \$200 Column Percent Row Percent	10.7% 100.0%	9.0% 5.0%	4.7% 4.1%	13.6% 28.1%	10.9% 58.0%	9.7% 4.8%	
\$201 - \$250 Column Percent Row Percent	10.7% 100.0%	.0%	8.4% 7.3%	10.3% 21.4%	11.9% 63.7%	15.2% 7.6%	
\$251 - \$300 Column Percent Row Percent	10.6% 100.0%	6.0% 3.4%	8.2% 7.3%	9.3% 19.5%	12.4% 67.1%	5.5% 2.8%	
\$301 - \$350 Column Percent Row Percent	6.9% 100.0%	.0%	6.6% 9.0%	5.3% 17.0%	8.1% 67.4%	8.5% 6.6%	
\$351 - \$400 Column Percent Row Percent	4.6% 100.0%	5.0% 6.4%	1.7% 3.5%	4.0% 19.2%	5.7% 70.9%	.0%	
\$401 - \$450 Column Percent Row Percent	5.4% 100.0%	3.7% 4.0%	.0%	5.8% 23.9%	6.3% 66.3%	6.0% 5.8%	
\$451 - \$500 Column Percent Row Percent	4.4% 100.0%	5.0% 6.6%	3.2% 6.8%	5.6% 28.3%	4.2% 54.7%	2.9% 3.5%	
\$501 - \$550 Column Percent Row Percent	1.7% 100.0%	.0% .0%	2.3% 13.1%	1.4% 19.0%	2.0% 67.9%	.0% .0%	
\$551 - \$600 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	3.7% 11.0%	.0% .0%	2.1% 23.8%	1.9% 56.3%	3.3% 8.9%	
\$601 - \$650 Column Percent Row Percent	1.1% 100.0%	.0%	. 0% . 0%	1.2% 25.5%	1.2% 61.7%	2.6% 12.8%	
\$651 - \$700 Column Percent Row Percent	1.4% 100.0%	.0% .0%	.0% .0%	1.4% 21.2%	2.0% 78.8%	.0%	

(continued)

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS

STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Table A-25
IF YOU WERE PLANNING ANOTHER TRIP TO ALASKA, HOW LIKELY DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD BE TO INCLUDE A SITE WHERE YOU COULD EXPECT TO SEE A LARGE CONCENTRATION OF EAGLES IF IT COST \$x TO MAKE THE TRIP? (by 1991 dollar amount)

	TOTAL	TOTAL WILLING TO PAY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT FOR EAGLES					
		VERY LIKELY	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	NOT VERY	UNLIKELY	MISSING	
\$701 - \$750 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	1.5% 7.5%	.7% 8.8%	2.7% 83.7%	.0% .0%	
\$751 - \$800 Column Percent Row Percent	2. <b>8</b> % 100.0%	2.3% 4.9%	.0%	6.0% 47.2%	2.0% 40.1%	4.1% 7.7%	
\$801 - \$850 Column Percent Row Percent	2.0% 100.0%	2.7% 8.1%	.0%	2.2% 24.2%	2.4% 67.8%	.0%	
\$851 - \$900 Column Percent Row Percent	1.8% 100.0%	.0%	.0%	.6% 7.7%	2.6% 83.2%	3.1% 9.0%	
TOTAL Column Percent	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 5.9%	100.0% 9.3%	100.0% 22.2%	100.0% 57.2%	100.0% 5. <b>3</b> %	

AS EXPLAINED IN THE TEXT, NATIVE AMERICANS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THIS ANALYSIS
STATEWIDE BREAKDOWN OF 615 WEIGHTED RESPONSES FROM NONRESIDENT HUNTERS

Page **A-4**66 August, 1994

# Table A-26 AVERAGE GROSS VALUE FOR DAY TRIP TO EASILY ACCESSIBLE WILDLIFE HUNTING SITE FOR DESIGNATED SPECIES BY SELECTED SUBGROUP

	Caribou	Moose	Wolves	Dall Sheep
Total Sample (excludes Native Americans)	\$142	\$122	\$247	\$193
Income of Respondent				
< \$40,000	\$197	\$218	\$267	\$181
\$40,001 - \$60,000	\$78	\$82	\$150	\$120
\$60,001 - \$80,000	*	\$102	\$258	\$172
\$80,001 - \$100,000	\$178	\$111 \$157	\$213	\$199
> \$100,000	\$146	\$153	\$365	\$293
All Income categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$143	\$123	\$253	\$187
Gender of Respondent				
Male	\$139	\$121	\$247	\$190
Female	\$214	\$113	\$185	\$301
Both Gender categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$142	\$122	\$247	\$193
Age Category of Respondent				
< 30 Years	\$171	\$160	\$373	\$213
31 - 40 Years	\$123	\$127	\$176	\$179
41 - 50 Years	\$147	<b>\$13</b> 3	\$259	\$249
51 - 60 Years	\$13 <u>3</u>	\$89	\$268	<b>\$15</b> 4
> 60 Years	\$167	\$82	*	\$165
All Age categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$142	\$122	\$247	\$193
Education of Respondent				
Some high school or less	\$140	\$154	\$254	\$263
High school graduate	\$162	\$125	\$265	\$193
Some college	\$162	\$98	\$206	\$214
College graduate	\$125	\$144	\$258	\$162
Graduate school	\$113	\$118	\$284	\$256
All Education categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$142	<b>\$12</b> 0	\$247	\$193
Years Hunted in Alaska				
Never before	\$157	\$138	\$250	\$172
1 Year	\$112	\$101	\$197	\$167
2 <u>-</u> 5 Years	\$162	\$102	\$291	\$563
> 5 Years	\$125	\$143	\$263	<b>\$22</b> 5
All categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$142	\$122	\$247	\$193

(continued)

<sup>\*:</sup> A statistically significant model could not be estimated for this species and condition pair.

# Table A-26 AVERAGE GROSS VALUE FOR DAY TRIP TO EASILY ACCESSIBLE WILDLIFE HUNTING SITE FOR DESIGNATED SPECIES BY SELECTED SUBGROUP

	Whales	Grizzly Bears	Sea Birds	Eagles
Total Sample (excludes Native Americans)	\$147	\$364	\$38	\$95
Income of Respondent				
< \$40,000 \$40,001 - \$60,000	\$137	\$695	*	\$97
\$40,001 - \$60,000 \$60,001 - \$80,000	\$248 \$194	\$237 \$590	\$32	\$59
\$80,001 - \$80,000 \$80,001 - \$100,000	\$194 \$110	\$386	\$2 <u>2</u>	\$159 \$112
> \$100,000	\$195	\$308	\$55	\$114
All Income categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$146	\$368	\$39	\$94
Gender of Respondent				
Male	\$143	\$341	\$33	\$96
Female	*	*	*	\$50
Both Gender categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$147	\$364	\$38	\$95
Age Category of Respondent				
< 30 Years	\$163	\$867	*	\$187
31 - 40 Years	\$159	\$363	\$63	\$104
41 - 50 Years	<b>\$13</b> 5	\$279	\$36	\$92
51 - 60 Years	\$167	\$305	\$28	\$90
> 60 Years	\$96	\$194	\$7	\$23
All Age categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$147	\$364	\$38	\$95
Education of Respondent				
Some high school or less	<b>\$13</b> 0	*	*	\$60
High school graduate	\$124	\$305	<b>\$3</b> 2	\$87
Some college	\$120	\$336	\$37	\$102
College graduate Graduate school	\$198 \$163	\$4 <b>3</b> 6 \$345	\$30	<b>\$98</b> \$106
All Education categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$147	\$367	\$38	\$95
Years Hunted in Alaska				
Never before	\$159	\$413	\$34	\$105
1 Year	\$192	\$372	\$24	\$81
2 - 5 Years	\$111	\$282	*	\$91
> 5 Years	\$121	\$246	*	\$73
All categories (all nonmissing cases)	\$147	\$364	\$38	\$95

<sup>\*:</sup> A statistically significant model could not be estimated for this species and condition pair.

# Table A-27 LIKELIHOOD RATIO TESTS FOR DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SUBSAMPLES IN MODELS RELATED TO WILDLIFE HUNTING SITES FOR DESIGNATED SPECIES

	Carib	ou	l Woo	se	į '	Wolf
Subsample Criterion	-2 Log Likelihood	Degrees of Freedom	-2 Log Likelihood	Degrees of Freedom	-2 Log Likelihood	Degrees of Freedom
Income of Respondent < \$40,001 \$40,001 - \$60,000 \$60,001 - \$80,000 \$80,001 - \$100,000 > \$100,000 Sum:	137.031 99.040 67.951 52.504 160.761 517.287	2 2 2 2 2 2 10	159.505 117.939 71.890 50.347 168.695 568.376	2 2 2 2 2 2	150.129 115.438 96.256 55.987 206.709 624.519	2 2 2 2 2 2 10
All Income categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	532.736 15.449	2	589.404 21.028	2 8	954.496 29.977	2 8
Gender of Respondent Male Female Sum:	535.349 13.701	2 2 4	595.564 16.083	2 2 4	663.775 9.792	2 2 2 4
Both Genders (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	549.050 550.453 1.403	2	611.647 611.707 .060	2	673.567 679.787 6.220	2
Age of Respondent < 31 Years 31 - 40 Years 41 - 50 Years 51 - 60 Years > 60 Years Sum: All Age categories	78.249 157.811 171.339 92.726 47.206 547.331 550.453	2 2 2 2 2 10	94.497 182.271 200.873 80.694 41.727 600.062	2 2 2 2 2 10	102.082 178.752 211.373 119.756 45.594 657.557	2 2 2 2 2 2 10
(all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	3.122	8	11.645	8	22.230	8
Education of Respondent Some high school or less High school graduate Some college College graduate Graduate school Sum:	31.428 131.503 165.679 133.788 85.867 548.265	2 2 2 2 2 10	38.442 146.887 160.707 152.683 106.907 605.626	2 2 2 2 2 10	35.640 162.090 185.854 167.077 122.815 673.476	2 2 2 2 2 10
All Education categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	550,15 <b>3</b> 1.888	2 8	610.747 5.121	2 8	679.453 5.977	2 8
Years Hunting in Alaska Never before 1 Year 2 - 5 Years > 5 Years Sum:	329.003 75.564 102.655 38.124 545.361	2 2 2 8 8	361.951 86.945 111.499 38.640 599.035	2 2 2 2 8	379.109 97.445 150.521 47.440 647.515	2 2 2 2 2 8
All categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	550.453 5.092	2 6	611.707 12.672	2 6	679.787 5.272	2 6

(continued)



# Table A-27 LIKELIHOOD RATIO TESTS FOR DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SUBSAMPLES IN MODELS RELATED TO WILDLIFE HUNTING SITES FOR DESIGNATED SPECIES

		Dall Sheep Whale			izzly ears	
Subsample Criterion	-2 Log Likelihood	Degrees of Freedom	-2 Log Likelihood	Degrees of Freedom	-2 Log Likelihood	Degrees of Freedom
Income of Respondent < \$40,001 \$40,001 - \$60,000 \$60,001 - \$80,000 \$80,001 - \$100,000 > \$100,000 Sum:	131.332 128.075 85.150 56.413 197.500 598.470	2 2 2 2 2 2	135.969 134.253 95.000 49.896 205.811 620.929	2 2 2 2 2 2 10	176.099 157.423 109.574 67.522 199.967 710.585	2 2 2 2 2 2 10
All Income categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	611.354 12.884	2 8	635.679 14.750	2 8	724.496 13.911	2 8
Gender of Respondent Male Female Sum: Both Genders	620.867 17.447 638.314 640.645	2 2 4	638.271 * * 656.227	2 * *	722.347 18.440 740.787	2 2 2 2
(all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	2.331	2	*	*	11.067	2
Age of Respondent < 31 Years 31 - 40 Years 41 - 50 Years 51 - 60 Years > 60 Years Sum:	89.653 187.115 213.795 98.455 47.005 636.023	2 2 2 2 2 10	92.093 198.510 198.362 117.272 42.096 648.333	2 2 2 2 2 10	107.471 220.133 222.816 124.704 56.683 731.807	2 2 2 2 2 2 10
All Age categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	640.645 4.622	2 8	656.227 7.894	2 8	751.854 20.047	2 <b>8</b>
Education of Respondent Some high school or less High school graduate Some college College graduate Graduate school Sum:	38.234 148.878 180.906 149.733 116.622 634.373	2 2 2 2 2 2 10	31.293 148.890 169.610 174.165 122.671 646.629	2 2 2 2 2 2	42.929 171.095 210.922 186.945 133.908 745.799	2 2 2 2 2 2 10
All Education categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	640.090 5.717	8	655.782 9.153	2 8	749.794 3.995	2 8
Years Hunting in Alaska Never before 1 Year 2 - 5 Years > 5 Years Sum:	347.955 100.216 142.608 38.189 628.968	2 2 2 2 8	382.580 111.881 111.491 43.423 649.375	2 2 2 2 8	429.858 118.303 149.115 50.218 747.494	2 2 2 2 2 8
All categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	940.645 11.677	2 6	656.227 6.852	2 6	751.854 4.360	2 6

<sup>\*:</sup> No test was performed. A model for the condition gender=female could not be estimated because of small sample size and insufficient variation in the dependent variable, rendering a test involving that condition impossible

(continued)

### Table A-27 LIKELIHOOD RATIO TESTS FOR DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SUBSAMPLES IN MODELS RELATED TO WILDLIFE HUNTING SITES FOR DESIGNATED SPECIES

	Sea Bi	<b>rd</b> s	Eag	Eagle			
Subsample Criterion	-2 Log Likelihood	Degrees of Freedom	-2 Log Likelihood	Degrees of Freedom			
Income of Respondent < \$40,001 \$40,001 - \$60,000 \$60,001 - \$80,000 \$80,001 - \$100,000 > \$100,000 Sum:	65.088 58.794 25.352 2.886 103.911 256.031	2 2 2 2 2 2 10	101.815 66.333 85.308 39.110 143.803 436.369	2 2 2 2 2 2			
All Income categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	275.361 19.330	2 8	457.233 20.864	2 8			
Gender of Respondent Male Female Sum: Both Genders (all nonmissing cases)	264.611 6.872 271.483 278.411	2 2 4 2	459.584 12.124 471.708 475.392	2 2 4 2			
Test statistic	6.928	2	3.684	2			
Age of Respondent < 31 Years 31 - 40 Years 41 - 50 Years 51 - 60 Years > 60 Years Sum:	31.787 94.426 94.945 43.025 8.684 272.867	2 2 2 2 2 10	75.170 140.874 146.162 84.162 16.654 463.022	2 2 2 2 2 2 10			
All Age categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	278.411	2 8	475.392 12.370	2 8			
Education of Respondent Some high school or less High school graduate Some college College graduate Graduate school Sum:	14.758 64.770 80.813 61.098 50.650 272.089	2 2 2 2 2 2	22.428 105.949 133.165 119.633 90.148 471.323	2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
All Education categories (all nonmissing cases) Test statistic	2 <b>78.</b> 253 6.164	2 8	475.279 3.956	2 8			
Years Hunted in Alaska Never before 1 Year 2 - 5 Years > 5 Years Sum:	159.423 39.013 50.060 24.989 273.485	2 2 2 2 8	277.594 73.373 94.893 26.852 472.712	2 2 2 2 2 8			
All categories (all nonmissing cases)	278.411	2	475.392	2			
Test statistic	4.926	6	2.680	6			

**Literature Cited** 

#### Literature Cited

and the second s

Loomis, J.B. and M.H. Thomas. 1992. Pricing and Revenue Capture: Converting Willingness to Pay Into State and Private Revenue. Chapter 8 in: Peterson, G.L., C.S. Swanson, D.W. McCollum, M.H. Thomas (eds.), <u>Valuing Wildlife Resources in Alaska</u>, pp. 255-274. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

McCollum, D.W., G.L. Peterson, and C.S. Swanson. 1992. A Manager's Guide to the Valuation of Nonmarket Resources: What Do You Really Want to Know? Chapter 2 in: Peterson, G.L., C.S. Swanson, D.W. McCollum, M.H. Thomas (eds.), <u>Valuing Wildlife Resources in Alaska</u>, pp. 25-52. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Miernyk, W.H. 1965. The Elements of Input-Output Analysis. New York: Random House.

Shyamsundar, P. and R.A. Kramer. 1993. Does Contingent Valuation Work in Non-market Economies? In: Bergstrom, J.C. (ed.), Western Regional Research Project W-133, Benefits and Costs in Natural Resources Planning, Sixth Interim Report, pp. 64-78. Athens, GA: Dept. of Agricultural Economics, University of Georgia.

Taylor, C, S. Winter, G. Alward, E. Siverts. 1993. Micro IMPLAN User's Guide. USDA Forest Service, Land Management Planning Systems Group, 3825 E. Mulberry, Fort Collins, CO 80524-8597.

USDA Forest Service. 1993. 1990 IMPLAN Database Documentation. A report by the Minnesota IMPLAN Group to USDA Forest Service, Land Management Planning Systems Group, 3825 E. Mulberry, Fort Collins, CO 80524-8597.

Whittington, D., J. Briscoe, X. Mu, and W. Barron. 1990. Estimating the Willingness to Pay for Water Services in Developing Countries: A Case Study of the Use of Contingent Valuation Surveys in Southern Haiti. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 38:293-311.

August, 1994

Part B

Original Questionnaire Completed by 647 Nonresident Hunters

# **HUNTING IN ALASKA** What does it mean to you? It is very important that this questionnaire be completed by the person to whom it is addressed. Please try to answer what you believe to be true for you; don't ask others for their opinions. The best answers are the ones which most closely reflect your own feelings, beliefs, experiences, and knowledge. If you have any problems filling out the questionnaire please call toll-free 1-800-770-9172 (inside Alaska) or 1-800-777-9172 (outside Alaska) from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Alaska time, Monday through Friday, and we will be happy to help you. Thank You!

August, 1994 Page B-1

Did you ever h	unt in Alaska <u>before 1991</u> ? <i>(CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND FILL</i>
IN THE BLANK	<i>)</i>
YES	How many different years?
Where do you country. (FILL	usually hunt for big game? Please tell us the state, province, or IN THE BLANKS)
competitors? I	game hunting, what places do you think are Alaska's f you could not hunt in Alaska, where would you consider going me? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)
In general, how	v does big game hunting in Alaska compare to big game hunting ces you have hunted big game? (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)
1	I have never hunted big game outside Alaska.
2	Alaska is one of the best places I have hunted big game.
3	Alaska is not as good as the best places I have hunted big game.

The next series of questions are about your 1991 big game hunting trips to Alaska. Before we start, we need to tell you what we mean by some terms.

- \* By trip, we mean the time from when you entered Alaska until the time you left, including all the time you were in Alaska. You might have hunted in several locations while you were in Alaska, or engaged in business or other activities, but that would still count as one trip to Alaska.
- \* By wildlife, we mean all wild animals except fish.
- \* By wildlife viewing, we mean all activities involving wildlife, except hunting or trapping. Activities such as watching, photographing, tracking, painting, or listening to wildlife are included in the term wildlife viewing.
- 1. How many big game hunting trips did you take in Alaska <u>during 1991</u>?

big game hunting trips in Alaska during 1991.

#### IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

We are going to ask you a lot of questions about one of your big game hunting trips to Alaska so we can estimate the economic importance of big game hunting in Alaska, and find out what kinds of hunting trips nonresident hunters take in Alaska.

- \* If you took more than one big game hunting trip in Alaska during 1991, pick out your longest hunting trip. By longest hunting trip we mean the trip on which you spent the most nights in Alaska, even if some of that time was spent doing things besides hunting. We will refer to that trip as your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP.
- \* If you took only one big game hunting trip in Alaska during 1991, that one trip will be your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP.

Please answer the following questions based on your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP.

August, 1994 Page B-3

<b>2</b> .	What month(s) were you in Alaska on your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP
	in 1991? (CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY)

1	January	5	May	9	September
2	February	6	June	10	October
3	August	7	July	11	November
4	April	8	August	12	December

3.	How many nights were you away from home in total on your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING
	TRIP, even if some were outside Alaska? (FILL IN THE BLANK)

nights

4. How many nights were you in Alaska on your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP? (FILL IN THE BLANK)

nights

- 5. Please tell us where you hunted and what animals you hunted on your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP. If you hunted in more than one place, please tell us about each place.
  - \* Tell us the Game Management Unit(s) where you hunted (use the enclosed map if you need it). If you do not know the Game Management Unit, please tell us a landmark like a town, lake, river, or road segment you were near or a lodge you stayed at--anything to help us figure out where you were.
  - \* Tell us what animals you hunted at each place, even if some were not big game animals.
  - \* For some species, Alaska law requires nonresident U. S. citizens to hunt with a guideoutfitter or certain Alaska relatives. Non U. S. citizens must hunt with a guide-outfitter for all big game species.

In the last column, please tell us if you hunted with a guide-outfitter or with a relative within second degree of kindred, who is an Alaska resident. By second degree of kindred we mean father, mother, brother, sister, child, spouse, grandparent, grandchild, brother- or sister-in-law, son- or daughter-in-law, father- or mother-in-law. Please circle **G** (for guide-outfitter), **R** (for relative within second degree of kindred), or **N** (for neither) whenever they apply.

		MENT UNIT(S) OR YOU HUNTED	ANIMALS YOU HUNTED		E/RE	LATIVE, IER
				(	R	N
		-		_ (	R	N
				_ (	R	N
		- 14-07		_ (	R	N
				_ (	R	N
<b>6</b> .	Was your LONGE any of the species SPECIES)	EST ALASKA HUNTING To syou just listed? (CIRCL	TRIP the <u>first</u> time you E YOUR ANSWER AN	u ever hu VD LIST	inted THE	
	NO					
	YES	Which ones?				<del></del>
<b>7</b> .	Did you or any of ALASKA HUNTIN	your partners bag an ar G TRIP? (CIRCLE YOUR	nimal or animals on your ANSWER AND LIST	our LON THE SPE	GES CIES	r ;)
	NO	Skip to Question 12				
	YES	l bagged				
		My partner(s) bagg	jed	·		<del></del>
8.	Was your LONGE partners ever bag ANSWER AND LIS	EST ALASKA HUNTING T ged any of the species y ST THE SPECIES)	TRIP the <u>first</u> time you you bagged? (CIRCL)	or any E YOUR	of yo	ur
	МО					
	YES	For the first time I I	bagged a			

		E ALL THẤT APPLY AND ESTIMATE THE POUNDS ÓF MEÁT)
	1	We took about pounds of meat home.
	2	We gave about pounds of meat to people in a local Alaska town or village.
	3	We gave about pounds of meat to someone else in Alaska.
	4	Our guide-outfitter disposed of aboutpounds of meat for us.
	5	Something else (Please specify and estimate the pounds)
_		
Н	id you or any of UNTING TRIP t PECIES)	f your partners bag an animal or animals on your LONGEST ALASKA that you consider to be trophy quality? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND LIST THE
Н	UNTING TRÍP t	f your partners bag an animal or animals on your LONGEST ALASKA that you consider to be trophy quality? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND LIST THE Skip to Question 12
Н	UNTING TRÍP t PECIES)	that you consider to be trophy quality? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND LIST THE
Н	UÑTING TRÍP t PECIES) <b>NO</b>	that you consider to be trophy quality? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND LIST THE  Skip to Question 12
H Si	UNTING TRIP t PECIES)  NO YES  id you or your t	that you consider to be trophy quality? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND LIST THE  Skip to Question 12  I bagged a trophy
H Si	UNTING TRIP t PECIES)  NO YES  id you or your t	Skip to Question 12 I bagged a trophy My partner(s) bagged a trophy  Dartners take any of the following parts from the animals you bagged home
H Si	UNTING TRIP t PECIES)  NO YES  id you or your p ith you? (CIRCL	Inat you consider to be trophy quality? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND LIST THE  Skip to Question 12  I bagged a trophy  My partner(s) bagged a trophy  partners take any of the following parts from the animals you bagged home  LE ALL THAT APPLY)
H Si	UNTING TRIP t PECIES)  NO YES  id you or your p ith you? (CIRCL)	Inat you consider to be trophy quality? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND LIST THE  Skip to Question 12  I bagged a trophy  My partner(s) bagged a trophy  partners take any of the following parts from the animals you bagged home  LE ALL THAT APPLY)  None
H Si	UNTING TRIP t PECIES)  NO YES  id you or your p ith you? (CIRCL 1	I bagged a trophy  My partner(s) bagged a trophy  partners take any of the following parts from the animals you bagged home  LE ALL THAT APPLY)  None  Antlers or horns
H Si	UNTING TRIP t PECIES)  NO YES  id you or your p ith you? (CIRCL 1 2 3	I bagged a trophy  My partner(s) bagged a trophy  partners take any of the following parts from the animals you bagged home  LE ALL THAT APPLY)  None  Antiers or horns  Cape

- 12. How important to the success of your trip do you consider bagging whatever game you are hunting? (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)
  - Bagging an animal is the <u>most important factor</u> in whether I consider my trip a success.
  - 2 Bagging an animal is <u>important but not the only</u> ingredient in a successful trip.
  - Bagging an animal is <u>really not that important</u>. I can have a successful trip without bagging an animal.
  - Bagging an animal is <u>really not even a factor</u> in whether I consider my trip a success.
- 13. If you did not use a guide-outfitter on your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP, please skip to Question 14. If you did use a guide-outfitter, please continue on with this question.

Were you required by regulations to use a guide-outfitter for the species you were hunting? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER)

NO

YES.

If you had not been required to use a guide-outfitter, would you still have used one? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER)

NO

YES

Below are some statements that hunters might make about their experience with a guide-outfitter. Please tell us how much you agree or disagree with each statement. (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER FOR EACH STATEMENT)

	Strongly Agree	Moderately Agree	Moderately Disagree		on't Know/ No Opinion
My guide-outfitter generally provided all the facilit and services I contracted with him for.	ties 1	2	3	4	5
The facilities provided by my guide-outfitter were generally of acceptable quality.	1	2	3	4	5
The services provided by my guide-outfitter were generally of acceptable quality.	1	2	3	4	5
I felt safe while travelling to and from the hunting with my guide-outfitter.	area 1	2	3	4	5
I felt safer being with a guide-outfitter in the field twould have felt without him.	than I1	2	3	4	5
I was satisfied with my guide-outfitter's knowledg where to find the kinds (species, size, etc.) of big game I wanted to hunt.	e of 1	2	3	4	5
I was satisfied with my guide-outfitter's ability to ome within good shooting range of the animals I whunting.		2	3	4	5
My guide-outfitter delivered what I expected from talking to him and reading his advertising brochu and literature.		2	3	4	5
Overall, the service I received from my guide-out was worth the money I paid.	fitter 1	2	3	4	5
I would use the same guide-outfitter again or recommend him to friends.	1	2	3	4	5

Page B-8 August, 1994

	Would FILL IN	you have wa I THE BLANK	nted to take that same hunt wi	thout a guid	e-outfitter? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND
		YES			
		NO	Why not?		1107
4.	is an A	laska resider	requiring nonresidents to hunt of the state	u from hunti	outfitter, or a close relative who ng any big game species in
		NO			
		YES	Which one(s)?		
<b>5</b> .	Did you APPLY	u do other th )	ings on your LONGEST ALASK	(A HUNTING	TRIP besides hunt? (CIRCLE ALL THAT
	1	Fished		5	Business activities
	2	Camped		6	Other activities
	3	Viewed or	photographed wildlife	7	Nothing, I just hunted
	4	Visited frie	ends or relatives		
6.	primar	v reason we	ing the primary reason for you mean you would not have take (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND	n your trip to	Alaska had big game hunting
		YES	Big game hunting	was the pri	mary reason for my
		NO	Big game hunting was LONGEST ALASKA HUNTII came to Alaska on my LON	NG TRIP. TI	ne main reason i

h	unters including myself were in the party
How did ALASKA APPROI	d you get to your hunting area from where you entered Alaska on your LONGEST A HUNTING TRIP? <i>(CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY AND FILL IN THE TIME WHERE</i> PRIATE)
	1 Car or truck; please estimate the total time you drove:
	2 Private boat; please estimate the total time you boated:
	3 Private airplane; please estimate the total time you flew:
	4 Commercial airline
	5 Chartered airplane/Air taxi
	6 Train or Bus
	7 Ferry
	8 Chartered boat
	9 Other (please specify)
On how or part	many different days during your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP did you spend all of the day actually hunting?
	days actually hunting
On thos	se days, how many hours per day on average did you actually hunt? Please give us you imate.
	hours per day actually hunting

20.	To what extent did wildlife viewing contribute to the enjoyment of your LONGEST ALASKA
	HUNTING TRIP? As before, wildlife viewing means activities like watching, photographing,
	studying, painting, or tracking wildlifeany wildlife-related activity other than hunting or
	trapping. (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)

- 1 Wildlife viewing did not contribute to the enjoyment of my trip at all.
- Wildlife viewing contributed some enjoyment to my trip, but not a lot.
- Wildlife viewing contributed a lot of enjoyment to my trip, but not as much as hunting.
- Wildlife viewing contributed as much to the enjoyment of my trip as hunting.
- Wildlife viewing contributed more to the enjoyment of my trip than hunting.
- 21. Did you use frequent flyer benefits for any part of the transportation on your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER AND FILL IN THE BLANKS)

NO

YES Please give us your best estimate of how much money those frequent flyer benefits saved you.

\$\_\_\_\_\_

Would you still have taken your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP had you not been able to use frequent flyer benefits? (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)

- 1 Definitely No
- 2 Probably No
- 3 Probably Yes
- 4 Definitely Yes

#### IMPORTANT INSTRUCTIONS PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

To allow us to estimate the economic importance of big game hunting, please answer the following questions and list the expenses <u>for your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP</u> as best you can remember.

- \* Please tell us what you purchased on your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP.
- \* Then tell us the nearest <u>Alaska town or community where you purchased the item</u>. If you bought it outside Alaska or from a catalog, just write "OUTSIDE".
- \* Tell us the total amount you paid for the item (not just the price for each unit).

  Report all money that came out of your pocket, even if you paid someone else's expenses. Do not include expenses someone else paid for you.
- \* Include expenses that occurred before your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP that were made in preparation for your trip (like ammunition) and expenses that occurred after your trip, but were directly related to your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP (like meat processing and taxidermy).
- \* <u>Give us your best estimate</u> if you don't remember exactly how much you paid for something or where you bought it.
- \* Please <u>list the items you bought in Alaska first</u>. If you run out of room in any of the categories, just give us an estimate of the total cost of the items you were not able to list in that category after the last line and label it UNLISTED TOTAL.

1.	Round Trip Transportation Expenses For each of the following that apply, please fill in the
	town where purchased (or OUTSIDE, if purchased outside Alaska) and the cost. (Do not
	include expenses that were part of a guided hunt or tour package. We will ask you about those
	expenses in Questions 4 and 15.)

	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
Commercial airline		\$
		\$
Airplane charter/Air taxi		\$
		\$
Rental of Car, Truck, Motorhome, etc.		\$

		Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
Ferry		Alaska Town(s) of COTSIDE	\$
Boat	charter		\$
Train	or Bus		\$
	Was some or all of your tr package? (CIRCLE YOUR)	ransportation included in a guided ht ANSWER)	ınt or tour
	NO		
	YES		
2.	Fuel or Oil for Any Vehicle	e (car, truck, plane, boat, off-road vehic	ele, etc.).
(9	<b>Items</b> as for truck, oil for boat, etc.)	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
3.	Other Vehicle Expenses (i you bought on or in prepar	include things like tires, tune ups, repai ation for your LONGEST ALASKA HUN	rs, etc. that TING TRIP).
	Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
			\$

Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
	Alaska Town(s) of Colonia	•
<u></u>		\$ e
		Ψ
License, Tags, and Fores, etc.)	ees (include hunting license, tags, duck star	nps, land use or trespass
Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
		\$
		\$
		\$
Restaurant Meals and	d Bars (including fast food)	
Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
		\$
		\$
		\$
		\$
		\$
		\$ \$
		\$
		1

Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
		\$
		\$
		\$
		\$
		\$
		\$
		\$
Hotels/Motels/Lodgii	ng/Camping Fees (not included in a guide Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
,	Where Purchased	Cost to You
	Where Purchased	Cost to You
•	Where Purchased	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)  \$
,	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)  \$
	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)  \$ \$ \$ \$
	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

	TRIP)	c. bought on or in preparation for your LONG	GEST ALASKA HUNTING
	Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
		No.	\$
			\$
0.	Photographic Supplie	s (like film, film processing, lens cleaning su	ipplies, video tape, etc.)
	Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
			\$
			\$
1.	Equipment Rental (like equipment included in	e video camera, horses, canoes, kayaks, etc a guided hunt or tour package)	c., other than
	Items	Where Rented Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
			\$

	items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
		•	\$
			\$
3.	Taxidermy Services		
	Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
	······································		\$
4.	Shipping (equipment, r	meat, trophies to and from Alaska or to and	from the field, etc.)
	items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
	ltems	Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	
		Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	(Best Estimate)
5.		Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE  Tours (include day trips and multiple day)	(Best Estimate) \$\$
5.	Commercial Trips and	Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE  Tours (include day trips and multiple day)	(Best Estimate) \$\$
5.	Commercial Trips and fishing and wildlife view	Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE  Tours (include day trips and multiple day ing trips or charters)  Where Purchased	(Best Estimate)  \$  \$rrips, flightseeing, cruise  Cost to You
5.	Commercial Trips and fishing and wildlife view	Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE  Tours (include day trips and multiple day ing trips or charters)  Where Purchased	(Best Estimate)  \$trips, flightseeing, cruise  Cost to You (Best Estimate)

	items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
			\$
17.	Entertainment (include movi	es, shows, exhibitions, etc.)	
	Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
			\$
			\$
18.	Other Items or Unanticipate categories above, including u	d Expenses (anything not covered in unanticipated medical expenses for in	n the juries, etc.)
	Items	Where Purchased Alaska Town(s) or OUTSIDE	Cost to You (Best Estimate)
			\$
			\$
			\$

19.	purchased in Alaska LONGEST ALASKA (the nearest Alaska things like guns, an clothing, camping e	a during 1991 THUNTING TR town or comm nmunition, sco equipment, etc or how much	uipment, special clothing, or suother than those already listed other than those already listed of the work of the	l for your did you buy it ost? Include nent, special tly where you	
	1	items I alre	rchase anything in Alaska of ady told you about for my LC UNTING TRIP.		
	2	l purchased	d the following:		
	ltems		Alaska Town(s) Where Purchased	Cost to You (Best Estimate)	
				\$	
				\$	
				\$	
				\$	

The next set of questions will ask you how satisfied you were with your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP and the money you paid for it.

1.	All things consideredthe expenses, the wildlife, whether you bagged an animal, the overall
	quality of the tripdo you feel that your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP was worth the
	money you paid? If you had it to do all over again, would you take that exact same hunting trip
	for the same cost? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER)

NO

Please skip to Question 4

YES

Please go on to Question 2

2. The expenses for your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP could have been higher or lower. For example, transportation and other expenses rise and fall over time. Suppose your costs had been higher. How much could the cost of your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP have increased before you would have decided it was just not worth it and you would not have taken your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP?

In other words: Up to how much more, in addition to what you actually paid for your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP, would you have paid to take your LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP?

I would have paid as much as \$ more than I actually paid to take my LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP.

- 3. If you answered \$0 to the previous question, please tell us what that means. (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)
  - I would not have paid any more to take my LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP. That trip was worth exactly what I paid and no more.
  - I answered \$0 because I could not put a number on how much more I would have paid for my LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP.
  - 3 l answered \$0 for other reasons. Please specify

4. Suppose an easily accessible <u>wildlife viewing site</u> were available that offered good views of wildlife in natural surroundings from a close, but safe, distance. Please tell us how likely you think you would be to include sites like the following in your visit if you were to plan another trip to Alaska.

Assume the cost in each question is the <u>cost per person</u> that a visit to the site would <u>add to the cost of your trip to Alaska</u>. The cost figures are different in every questionnaire. Please give us your answer even if the amount seems ridiculously high or low so that we can consider a full range of values. (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER FOR EACH STATEMENT)

	Very Likely	Somewhat Likely	Not Very Likely	Unlikely
If you were planning another trip to Alaska, how likely do you think you would be to visit a site where you could expect to see	1	2	3	4
A <u>herd of caribou</u> if it added \$ A to the cost of your trip?	1	2	3	4
Moose if it added \$ B to the cost of your trip?	1	2	3	4
A pack of wolves either from the ground or from an airplane if it added \$ C to the cost of your trip?	1	2	3	4
Several Dall sheep if it added \$ D to the cost of your trip?	1	2	3	4
Typical marine life, and about half the time you would see whales, if it added \$ E to the cost of your trip?	1	2	3	4
A large concentration of grizzly bears if it added \$ F to the cost of your trip?	1	2	3	4
A large concentration of sea birds if it added \$ G to the cost of your trip?	1	2	3	4
A large concentration of eagles if it added  \$ H to the cost of your trip?	1	2	3	4

This section will ask you about where you got your information on hunting in Alaska, and how well that information prepared you for your trip.

- 1. Where did you get your information about hunting in Alaska? (CIRCLE ALL THAT APPLY)
  - 1 Alaska Department of Fish and Game
  - 2 A hunting magazine or newsletter
  - 3 Other wildlife magazine or newsletter
  - 4 Magazine other than a hunting or wildlife magazine
  - 5 Newspaper
  - 6 Sportsmen's club or organization
  - 7 Sportsmen's show or exhibition
  - 8 Friends or hunting partners
  - 9 Alaska Department of Tourism
  - 10 Travel agent
  - 11 Guide-outfitter
  - 12 Brochures from lodge, tour operators, etc.
  - 13 Other (please specify)\_

2. Below are some statements nonresident hunters might make about their LONGEST ALASKA HUNTING TRIP. Please tell us how much you agree or disagree with each statement. (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER FOR EACH STATEMENT)

	Strongly Agree	Moderatelyi Agree	Moderately Disagree		on't Know/ No Opinion
I had trouble finding adequate information about big game hunting in Alaska.	1	2	3	4	5
I was adequately prepared for the weather conditions I encountered in Alaska.	1	2	3	4	5
I was adequately prepared for the terrain I encountered in Alaska.	1	2	3	4	5
I brought the right hunting equipment for the conditions I encountered in Alaska.	1	2	3	4	5
I would have liked more information on field dressing large animals or caring for a large quantity of meat.	1	2	3	4	5
Big game hunting in Alaska was as good as I expected it would be.	1	2	3	4	5
I would consider going back to Alaska to hunt.	1	2	3	4	5
I would consider going back to Alaska to do other things besides hunt.	1	2	3	4	5

3. Below are some statements that nonresident hunters might make about their experience with wildlife management agencies and the regulatory system in Alaska. Please tell us how much you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER FOR EACH STATEMENT)

	Strongly Agree	Moderatelyl Agree	Moderately Disagree		on't Know/ No Opinion
The rules and regulations in the Alaska State Hunting Regulations book were generally clear and understandable.	1	2	3	4	5
I had to go to both state and federal agencies to get all the information I needed on hunting regulations in Alaska.	1	2	3	4	5
I had little trouble finding out where to get all the information I needed on hunting regulations in Alaska.	1	2	3	4	5
If nonresident big game hunting license and tag fees were less, I would hunt in Alaska more often.	1	2	3	4	5
I used my big game tag for a species of lesser tag value.	1	2	3	4	5
It is reasonable to require nonresidents to hunt with a guide-outfitter, or a close Alaska relative, for brown/grizzly bear, Dall sheep, and Mountain Goats.	1	2	3	4	5
Nonresident hunters should be required to hunt with a guide-outfitter, or a close Alaska relative, for <u>all</u> big game species in Alaska (moose, caribou, bear, etc.).	1	2	3	4	5

This last section will ask you for some personal information so we can learn about what kinds of people come to Alaska to hunt. Your answers will be confidential. This information will only be use to report comparisons among groups.  1. Where do you live now? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)  Nearest city or town	4.	Did any of the big gar enjoyment of your Ala	ne hunting rules and regulations in Alaska detract from the overall uska big game hunting trip?
This last section will ask you for some personal information so we can learn about what kinds of people come to Alaska to hunt. Your answers will be confidential. This information will only be use to report comparisons among groups.  1. Where do you live now? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)  Nearest city or townState  2. Are you? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER)  Male  Female  3. How old are you?years old  4. How many people of your immediate family live with you in your house (do not include yourself), and what are their ages? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)  people live with me in my house,		NO	
people come to Alaska to hunt. Your answers will be confidential. This information will only be use to report comparisons among groups.  1. Where do you live now? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)  Nearest city or town		YES	Which ones?
Nearest city or townState  2. Are you? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER)  Male Female  3. How old are you?years old  4. How many people of your immediate family live with you in your house (do not include yourself), and what are their ages? (FILL IN THE BLANKS) people live with me in my house,	peop	le come to Alaska to hu	int. Your answers will be confidential. This information will only be used
2. Are you? (CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER)  Male  Female  3. How old are you?	1.	Where do you live no	n? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)
Male  Female  3. How old are you?		Nearest city or town	State
4. How many people of your immediate family live with you in your house (do not include yourself), and what are their ages? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)  people live with me in my house,	2.	• •	OUR ANSWER)
4. How many people of your immediate family live with you in your house (do not include yourself), and what are their ages? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)  people live with me in my house,		Female	
include yourself), and what are their ages? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)  people live with me in my house,	3.	How old are you?	years old
and their ages are	<b>4</b> .	include yourself), and	what are their ages? (FILL IN THE BLANKS)
and then ages are		and their ages are	• •
		and then ages are	

1	Eighth grad	le or less	4	Some College
2	Some high	school	5	College graduate
3	High schoo	l graduate	6	Graduate school
Ha Ala	ve you ever su ska or anothe	accessfully completer state? (CIRCLE YC	ed a cen OUR ANS	tified hunter education course in SWER)
	YES			
	NO			
Wh	at do you con	sider you <b>r</b> self to be	? (CIRC	LE ONE NUMBER)
	1	Asian		
	2	Black		
	3	Hispanic		
	4	Native Americ	an	
	5	White		
	6	Other (please	specify	
Wh prir	at do you cur nary occupati	rently do for a living ion? (FILL IN THE E	? If retire  SLANK)	ed or unemployed, what was your

9. What was the total 1991 annual income, before taxes, of all members of your immediate family living in your household? (CIRCLE ONE NUMBER)

1	Less that \$5,000	10	\$45,000 - \$49,999
2	\$5,000 - \$9,999	11	\$50,000 - \$59,999
3	\$10,000 - \$14,999	12	\$60,000 - \$69,999
4	\$15,000 - \$19,999	13	\$70,000 - \$79,999
5	\$20,000 - \$24,999	14	\$80,000 - \$89,999
6	\$25,000 - \$29,999	15	\$90,000 - \$99,999
7	\$30,000 - \$34,999	16	\$100,000 - \$124,999
8	\$35,000 - \$39,999	17	\$125,000 - \$149,999
9	\$40,000 - \$44,999	18	\$150,000 or more