W. GASAWAY #241

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME JUNEAU, ALASKA

STATE OF ALASKA William A. Egan, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME James W. Brooks, Commissioner

> DIVISION OF GAME Frank Jones, Director

THE HISTORY OF PREDATOR CONTROL IN ALASKA

by

Donald E. McKnight

This report was compiled for interdepartmental use only.

(Printed February, 1973)

PREDATOR CONTROL IN ALASKA

The History of Organized Predator Control Programs in Territorial Alaska

Alaska has a history of intermittent control of predatory animals by the Fish and Wildlife Service and its predecessor agency, the Bureau of Biological Survey, that dates back some 40 years. In 1927, appropriations were made by the territorial legislature to hire a man to make general investigations and to teach trappers how to trap wolves and coyotes.¹ This program was renewed in the mid 1930's on a similar basis, and in the late 1930's another agent was assigned to do wolf control work on the reindeer ranges of Northwestern Alaska.

During the early 1940's, as a result of increasing concern over predation on game animals, reindeer and domestic stock by wolves, effort was expended by the Fish and Wildlife Service on investigations into control methods suitable for use under Alaska conditions. By 1946, the matter of wolf predation became a prime concern of the Alaska Game Commission, nonresident sportsmen hunting in Alaska, and several national conservation organizations. As a result of the efforts of these groups, Congress in 1948 made an appropriation of \$100,000 to the Fish and Wildlife Service for the purpose of inaugurating a predatory animal control program in the Territory of Alaska. In September, 1948, an agent of the Branch of Predator and Rodent Control was assigned to Alaska to organize and direct the program.

The territorial legislature in 1953 enacted legislation providing for a cooperative control program between the Territory of Alaska and the Fish and Wildlife Service, and appropriated funds for this purpose.² Originally the cooperating agency was the territorial treasurer, but in

1957, responsibility for territorial cooperation was shifted to the newly formed Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Although wolf control operations received greatest attention during territorial times, control of other predators was also extensive. Sheep ranchers on Umnak and Unalaska islands demanded control of foxes in the late 1950's, and considerable effort was expended to aid these individuals. The brown bear-cattle conflict on Kodiak Island required the assistance of predator control agents throughout the 1950's and has recurred since statehood.

In addition to the previously mentioned predator control activities of federal and territorial agencies, the 1951 territorial legislature appropriated \$50,000 to the Alaska Department of Fisheries for the control of hair seals, sea lions and other predators on fish during the 1951-53 biennium.³ The Stikine and Copper River districts were selected for initial experiments on hair seal control. Expert hunters were hired in each area during 1951; and at the Copper River area, seal control using dynamite "bombs" was started. In 1952, the seal control program was expanded to include the Taku River district. From 1951 to 1958, approximately 36,000 seals and 90 sea lions were killed by personnel of the program.⁴

In the Department's 1954 and 1955 Annual Reports a statement of policy was formulated regarding predator control. It was stated that no animal would be hunted to a point that threatened it with extinction. It was recognized that predators provide benefits to their prey as well as being detrimental and that an overenthusiastic control program could easily result in unfavorable circumstances for the species being protected. Since control programs lack residual benefits, it is necessary that the

most efficient methods are used. Thus, rather than widespread control programs or bounties, an intensive program localized in time and space to where the depredations occur should be used.

In 1954, a joint control-biological investigation program was initiated with beluga whales in Bristol Bay.⁵ Several hundred of these marine mammals were killed during the summers of 1954 and 1955, but this program's direction was changed when it was determined that salmon depredations by belugas were inconsequential to overall salmon populations. Research has been done recently that indicates that belugas can be kept out of rivers by the playing of tapes of killer whale vocalizations.⁶

The History of Bounty Payments in Territorial Alaska

In 1915, the first territorial legislature established a \$10 bounty on wolves.⁷ Subsequent to that time, eight other species of birds, mammals and fish were placed on the bounty list. In 1917, the bald eagle was included on this list.⁸ But in 1953, public sentiment resulted in federal legislation which made killing of eagles unlawful.⁹ During the bounty period approximately 93,000 eagles were killed.

Hair seals were placed on the bounty list in 1927,¹⁰ coyotes in 1929,¹¹ Dolly Varden char in 1931¹² and wolverines in 1953. Bounties on Dolly Varden were removed in 1941, when it was determined that many salmon were being bountied as Dolly Varden. The total cost of bounties from the time of their establishment to statehood in 1959 was nearly \$3,000,000 apportioned to the various species as shown in Table 1.

and the second second		61 500 7/0
Wolf and coyote		\$1,530,743
Bald eagle*		164,561
Hair seals		1,174,084
Dolly Varden char*		96,344
Wolverine	 -	 31,875

Table 1. Expenditures for bounties from their establishment to 1959.

*Bounties on eagles and Dolly Varden were repealed before statehood.

Data in Table 2 show bounty appropriations and claims for coyotes and wolves in Alaska from 1915 to 1958. This information was published in the 1958 Annual Report of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

Policies of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in regard to predator control with the bounty system were initially reported in the Annual Report for 1958. In this report, Calvin Lensink, a biologist for the Department, stated: "Predator control is a necessary and valuable tool of wildlife and fisheries management. To be most useful, this tool should be applied at the right place, at the right time, and in the most efficient way possible. <u>All of these requirements can be met by a care-</u> <u>fully designed program, but none of them is achieved with the bounty</u> system."

Predator Control in Alaska Since Statehood

Formal Predator Control Programs

The Fish and Wildlife Service formally ended its programs to control wolves in Alaska in early 1960. In 1963, however, federal personnel accompanied by state technicians reinstituted a wolf control program on

			Bour	nty Rate		Regular	Deficien	CV.	Tot	al	No.	Wolves	No. Coyotes
Biennium	-1		Wolf	Coyote		ropriation	Appropria			riation		untied	Bountied
1915-16 <u>1</u> /	м		\$10	None	\$	20,000	\$		\$ 2	0,000			
1917-18	1		15	None	Ŷ	10,000	Ŷ			0,000			
1919-20			15			7,500				7,500			
1921-22	1.1		15			5,000	2,000	3		7,000		467	
1923-24			15			8,000	2,500			0,500		700	
1925-26			15			12,000	10,000			2,000	1	,467	
1927-28			15			30,000	12,000			2,000		,800	
1929-302/			10	\$ 5		25,000	,			5,000	- 7	,	*
1931-32	12		15	15		40,000				0,000 .		1.2	
1933-34	100		15	15	2	25,000	4,000)		9,000			
935-36	2		20	20		40,000	45,000			5,000			
937-38	1.0	2.5	20	20		80,000	. 85,000			5,000			
939-40	*		20	20		165,000				5,000			
941-42	5	13	20	17.50		165,000				5,000			
943-44	100	11	20	17.50		75,000				5,000			
945-46			30	25		60,000	60,000)		0,000	1	,906	1,733
947-48		12	30	25		60,000	1,213			1,213	2	,356	2,342
949-503/		1	50	30		125,000	15,345	5	14	0,345	1	,229	. 765
951-52		8.	50	30		100,000	1,185	5	10	1,185	1	,360	844
953-54			50	30		75,000	12,500)	8	7,500		239	738
955-56			50	30		75,000	22,500)	9	7,500	1	,531	922
1957–58	(*	0	50	30		77,288	55,000		13:	2,288			
TOTALS	1	1		61,911,	\$1,	202,500	\$327,243		\$1,53	0,743			

Table 2. Bounty appropriations and claims for wolves and coyotes, 1915-1958.

 $\frac{1}{Chapter}$ 3, SLA 1915. Bounty on wolves established. $\frac{2}{Chapter}$ 117, SLA 1929. Bounty on coyotes established. $\frac{3}{Chapter}$ 18, SLA 1949. Present bounty law for wolves and coyotes.

1.4

S

the Seward Peninsula in response to reported depredations on domestic reindeer. This activity was started without prior state approval but was monitored in part by state personnel.

In 1961, the commissioner of the Department of Fish and Game issued a permit for the use of poison to Mr. William Waugaman of Fairbanks. The permit provided for the use of poison in killing wolves in drainages of the Wood River.

In 1963 and 1964, local wolf control programs were carried out in the Neets Bay and Chickamin River areas in Southeast Alaska concurrent with the release of elk and moose calves in experimental introductions.

In 1967, the Alaska State Legislature House Finance Committee directed the Division of Game to conduct wolf control programs in three areas and provided \$13,400 for this specific purpose. This program was initiated in the vicinities of Petersburg and Wrangell in the spring of 1968 by animal control agents and biologists of the Department staff. Both steel traps and strychnine were employed to take wolves. Nine wolves and two wolverines were removed in this operation; but, since poisoned animals do not always die near enough poisoning sites to be located, more wolves may, in fact, have been killed. In addition, in 1967 an animal control agent was hired to work out of Fairbanks; several wolves were taken by aerial gunning and considerable study of wolves was undertaken in the Interior area.

In 1959, harbor seal control operations at the mouths of the Stikine and Copper rivers, originally initiated by the Territorial Department of Fisheries in 1951, were continued by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Approximately 1,500 seals were killed that year in the Stikine

area and 975 were removed from the Copper River area. Formal seal control programs were discontinued after 1959.

Other marine mammal control programs active since statehood have been a sea lion reduction of 4,000 animals on Sugarloaf Island in 1963, and a combination control-research program on beluga whales which resulted in the removal of 20 of these animals from the Kvichak River in 1958-59 and seven in 1965.

The Kodiak bear-cattle conflict, which started prior to statehood, continued after the Alaska Department of Fish and Game assumed regulatory and management jurisdiction of the state's wildlife. In 1963, Department personnel killed 35 bears on Kodiak Island in response to demands by cattlemen. This program was continued annually with five bears being removed by Department personnel in 1964, 18 in 1965, 5 in 1966, 9 in 1967, 5 in 1968 and one in 1969, the last year of Department involvement. In all instances, only bears actually thought to be involved in cattle depredations were destroyed.¹³ After 1969 it was left up to the ranchers to control the bears. They are allowed to kill the bears only after exhausting all other means of protecting their cattle.

In 1961 and 1962, the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife conducted limited control of black bears in the upper Little Susitna River valley. This control, designed to remove bears preying on cattle, was supported in part by the state.

A very limited control program on foxes at Kotzebue was initiated in 1968 by the Department as a result of a rabies scare in that area. The removal of less than 10 foxes resulted in the alleviation of the problem.

Bounty Policies

Current Alaska Statutes (Title 16, Chapter 35, Article 2) designate bounties on wolverines, wolves and coyotes of \$15, \$50 and \$30, respectively. Article 3 of the same statute specifies that there is a bounty of \$3 on every hair seal inhabiting the inland and coastal waters of Alaska west of 159 degrees west longitude or north of 69 degrees north latitude, except the waters south of 58 degrees north latitude.

The Alaska Board of Fish and Game has been delegated the authority to make rules and regulations it considers advisable in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (A.S. Title 16, Chapter 05, Article 2). Included in this authority is the designation of game management units or parts of game management units in which bounties for predatory animals shall be paid.

As far back as 1957 the Alaska Fish and Game Commission went on record as opposing the bounty system.¹⁴ Since then there has been a gradual reduction in the number of game management units where bounties are paid. In 1967 Southeast and Southcentral Alaska were removed from the area where bounties are paid for seals. Table 3 presents seal bounty records from 1964 to the present and shows the effect of the 1967 restrictions.

In 1959 a "bounty information form" was made part of the certifying procedure for wolf, coyote and wolverine bounty claims. Resultant information concerning the annual harvest of these species was increased in quality and quantity by this means. Table 4 summarizes the number of wolves and wolverines bountied from 1959 to the present.

In 1968, the state legislature amended the bounty laws to specify that only wolves taken in the game management unit where a hunter lives

			* ·	No. Seals
Fiscal Year	Вс	ounty Payments		Bountied
1964-65		\$155,025		51,675
1965-66		211,386		70,462
	to restrict bounty - July, 1967)	area to Bering	and Chukchi seas	and the
1966-67		40,902	×.	13,634
1967-68		21,442		7,147
1968-69		14,905		4,968
1970-71		17,328		5,776
1971-72	2	9,087		3,029

Table 3.	Seal bounty	records	showing	the	effect	of	restricting bounty	
	payments in	1967.						

Table 4. Summary of wolves and wolverines bountied in Alaska - 1959 to present.

Year	Number Wolves		Number Wolverine
1959	. 227		213
1960	520		420
1961	725		. 441
1962	869		383
1963	757		445
1964	818		551
1965	825		420*
1966	1,360		659
1967	1,679		694
1968	1,714		578
1969	1,008*		242*
1970*		150	1116 M B
1971	179		
1972	179	×	

*Bounty records incomplete.

9

• 1

could be bountied.

In 1969 bounty payments for coyotes and wolverine were discontinued in all units. At this time wolf bounty payments were discontinued in eight of the 26 units.

Currently wolf payments are available in theory in Game Management Units 1, 2 and 3; however, the legislature made no money available for bounty payments of any type so no bounties are being paid out.

Literature Cited

¹1927 Territorial Legislature Session Laws, Chapter 33 (HB 69). ²1953 Territorial Legislature Session Laws, Chapter 87 (SB 93). ³1951 Territorial Legislature Session Laws, Chapter 127 (SB 43). ⁴Annual Report. 1958. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. ⁵Annual Report. 1954. Alaska Department of Fisheries. ⁶Vania, John. 1971. Sea lion and beluga report. Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration, Projects W-17-3 and W-17-2, Job Nos. 8.1R and 8.2R. ⁷1915 Territorial Legislature Session Laws, Chapter 3 (SB 11). ⁸1917 Territorial Legislature Session Laws, Chapter 12 (HB 39). ⁹Public Laws 86-70 and 87-884. ¹⁰1927 Territorial Legislature Session Laws, Chapter 48 (SB 36). 111929 Territorial Legislature Session Laws, Chapter 74 (HB 116). 121931 Territorial Legislature Session Laws, Chapter 117. 13 Eide, Sterling H. 1965. The nature of brown bear predation on cattle, Kodiak Island, Alaska. Presented at 45th Annual Conference of Western Association of State Game and Fish Commissioners, Anchorage,

¹⁴Alaska Fish and Game Commission Resolution No. 2. November 22, 1957.

Alaska.