# FIRST INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC MEETING ON THE POLAR BEAR ПЕРВОЕ МЕЖДУНАРОДНОЕ НАУЧНОЕ СОВЕЩАНИЕ ПО БЕЛЫМ МЕДВЕДЯМ



FAIRBANKS, ALASKA · SEPTEMBER 6-11, 1965 ФЕРФЕНКС, АЛЯСКА · 6-11 СЕНТЯФРЬ, 1965 Г

> Doc/3 September 6, 1965

#### THE POLAR BEAR

A Review of Management and Research Activities in Alaska with Recommendations for Coordinated International Studies

#### Introduction

Fortunately, public interest in the conservation of polar bears appears to be keeping pace with the exploitation of these animals, which has, at least in Alaska, increased greatly in recent years. To avoid destruction of the polar bear stocks, it is now clear that harvests must be regulated in accordance with bear productivity. Procedures and mechanics for influencing harvesting activities by humans pose no real problem, but knowing the extent to which restrictions on harvest should be imposed in order to meet, as far as possible, human need or desire to harvest without exceeding sustained yield limits of the bear stocks does present serious and urgent problems. We have in Alaska observed a three-fold increase in harvest intensity during the past two decades. Measures have already been taken to control harvests while seeking to gain a better understanding of their effects on the bear stocks.

We decided to confine this presentation mainly to current information and activities relating to polar bears in Alaska with the expectation that delegates from other countries would emphasize information relating to their geographic regions of responsibility. It was decided, furthermore, to omit a recapitulation of the literature which deals with evolution, taxonomy, morphology, physiology, etc., although references to these subjects are cited in the attached bibliography. This approach is dictated by the realization that much reference to polar bears in the literature consists of repeated reviews with very little in the way of new contributions. A thorough synthesis of information already available in the literature would be of great value. We feel however, that the inadequacies of our present knowledge are apparent and that the pressing current need is for concretely identifying what we know in relation to what we must know if our ultimate objective is to use and still perpetuate the polar bear throughout its range.

# Population Size

While estimates of the numbers of polar bears occurring in areas adjacent to Alaska's coasts have been made, all have been based on tenuous assumptions and extrapolation of fragmentary data. Certainly they do not provide a confident basis for guiding management efforts. Two things, however, can be stated.

First, bears observed on the ice pack adjacent to Alaska do not represent a cross-section of a typical population, at least during the season that bears are frequently observed or harvested. Thus, in the Chukchi Sea, sows with newborn cubs are rare in relation to the occurrence of adult bears or sows with yearling or older off-spring. Along the Arctic Coast of Alaska, the younger cubs are seen more frequently, but certainly not in sufficient abundance to account for the observed recruitment of yearlings.

Second, no decline in the abundance of polar bears adjacent to Alaska has been noted except in the immediate vicinity of certain coastal villages. This is not to say that present levels of harvest can be sustained indefinitely, but rather that if a general decline in the population is being experienced, our methods of observation are too crude to detect it at this point. Methods of attempting to assess population changes are mainly indirect, being based on guide and hunter reports and analyses of harvest data.

## Distribution

Bears are common on the sea ice of the Chukchi Sea, excepting Kotzebue Sound, and the Arctic Ocean adjacent to Alaska's Arctic Coast. During late winter, they occur, though in much smaller numbers, south of the Bering Straits as far as St. Lawrence Island and rarely beyond. They were once common on St. Matthew Island in the Bering Sea and were even rare visitors to the Pribilof Islands, though they have been absent from these southerly locations in the present century.

During the summer months when the southern extremity of the Arctic ice pack moves northward to or beyond the latitude of Pt. Barrow, the distribution of polar bears keeps pace. Bears do not come ashore in Alaska with any regularity. At times, when the ice pack moves to the Arctic Coast during the summertime and then retreats northward, bears will be stranded ashore for varying periods of time. We are not, however, aware of any common or traditional use of the Alaska mainland by bears for denning or foraging purposes.

It has been speculated that the former occurrence of bears on St. Matthew and Hall Islands indicated a larger population of bears in the last century. It is also possible that oceanographic conditions, particularly the extension and duration of the Arctic ice pack to and beyond the latitude of St. Matthew Island, differed considerably a century ago as compared to the present. A similar contraction of the distribution of Pacific walrus has been observed with the reasons being likewise in question. Figure 1 depicts the present distribution and relative abundance of polar bears as indicated by harvest data and guide and hunter reports.

## Value

The polar bear has always been important in the subsistence economies of many Alaskan Eskimos. Polar bear meat is relished by Eskimos as food. From the advent of whalers in the Alaskan Arctic in the 1850's, prime polar bear skins have also been of economic value. The bears not only provided food and valuable hides that could be sold or bartered, but they were also a significant cultural element in the lives of Eskimos. Ceremonies and dances were related to the harvest of bears, and a man's prestige was enhanced considerably by his success in taking bears. An example of this was related to Brooks by the Reverend Percy Ipalook of the Presbyterian Church at Wales in 1948. One of the native hunters, Bob Tokienna, who was an elder in the church and an unusually successful bear hunter, insisted on doing a polar bear dance and staging a feast in the "Kosgi" after killing a bear. The Reverend Ipalook advised him that the ceremonies were hardly in keeping with his station as a church elder and suggested that he might forego them. Tokienna, who apparently attributed some of his success to these rituals, acknowledged that perhaps the minister had a point but that he, Tokienna, had a large family and couldn't afford to take any chances.

In the late 1940's the hunting of polar bear by use of aircraft began. At first only one or two guides engaged in this type of hunting, but gradually more and more guides acquired the necessary know-how and offered polar bear hunts to sportsmen and trophy hunters. During the past 15 years there has been almost a complete shift from Eskimo hunters utilizing dog teams to white hunters utilizing aircraft. In consequence, there has been considerable change in the economic returns from the bears taken. At the present time, practically no meat is salvaged from the polar bear harvest aside from the relatively few taken by Eskimos. The Eskimos continue to benefit from the polar bear harvest, however, in that they provide many of the services associated with aircraft hunting in the Arctic. Fleshing of bear hides is done almost exclusively by Eskimo women for which they receive \$25 per skin or more. Guide fees range from about \$500 to \$2,000. Air travel to and from the hunting base, hotel and restaurant charges, special clothing, cameras, guns and personal gear, all contribute to the exchange of money in connection with bear hunting. It would undoubtedly be realistic to say that each polar bear harvested in Alaska at the present time contributes at least \$1,500 to the economy of the State in one way or another. If one considered only the bears taken by nonresident hunters who must, in addition to other expenses, purchase a \$10 license and a \$150 polar bear tag, the value per animal would undoubtedly approach or exceed \$2,000. By this manner of reckoning, the 1965 polar bear harvest of approximately 300 bears directly resulted in the expenditure of about \$450,000 within the State. Considering that a significant part of this money is expended in relatively small Arctic villages, its importance to the economies of these places is substantial. This economic importance of polar bears at the present time is sufficiently great to become a weighty element in management deliberations. Indications are that the demand for polar bears by sportsmen and trophy hunters will continue to increase with corresponding effects on the economics of polar bear hunting.

## Harvest

# Hunting methods

Aeriel hunting as developed by Alaskan guides and bush pilots allows sportsmen to bag trophy animals with a relatively small expenditure of time. This hunting method characteristically involves the use of two light, ski-equipped aircraft working together for reasons of safety. On long flights, as from Kotzebue to beyond the International Dateline, one aircraft will simply fly cover for the other and perhaps carry extra gasoline. Commonly, however, both aircraft carry gasoline reserves and each may hold a guide and a hunter. The guides typically look for bear tracks on the snow and then judge whether the animal that made the track is of trophy size. If so, and if snow and light conditions are good, the track is followed until the bear is found. This may be within a few miles or in excess of 50 miles. One aircraft will then land as close to the bear as possible and the hunter will stalk it. If an ordinary stalk is impossible, it is commonly reported to us that the cover plane will herd or attempt to herd the bear back within range of the hunter. While many conservationists and sportsmen condemn this type of hunting as not being sportsmanlike or ethical, still some of the most prominent sportsmen in the country have done it and will defend it. Mail received by the Department of Fish and Game indicates a very strong public feeling against aerial hunting, and there is no question that those engaged in it are strongly motivated by either the monetary returns (guides) or the ease with which a rare trophy may be obtained (trophy hunters).

The major bases of aerial hunting operations include the villages of Teller on the Seward Peninsula, Kotzebue, Point Hope and Barrow. Records compiled during the past five years based on guide and hunter reports indicate that the average distance from shore bases at which bears are taken is about 85 miles. Hunters operating from Kotzebue fly the longest distances (average 130 miles), and those from Barrow fly the shortest distances (average 55 miles). Of course, native hunters on foot or with dog teams seldom get more than a few miles off shore.

A few guides have attempted to offer dog team hunts to sportsmen but they have been unsuccessful in developing this type of hunting. The physical exertion and time required are much greater as compared to aircraft hunting, and the trophies taken are usually smaller. Hunting of bears on foot or by dog team as practiced by Eskimos is usually done in association with seal hunting. Bears are shot whenever encountered and no special hunting techniques, such as trained dogs, are involved.

Some bears are taken nearly every summer by the residents of Barrow while hunting walrus and bearded seals from boats along the edge of the ice pack. While the hides of these bears are of little value, the meat is completely utilized for human food. Sport hunting by boat has not developed because there are regulations specifically designed to prevent it.

## Distribution of harvest by area

Figure 1 indicates the distribution of the polar bear harvest taken by Alaska based hunters. To some extent the areas of harvest reflect the distribution of polar bears. This is particularly true of the area north of the Bering Straits where it is evident that bears are concentrated during the principal hunting months of March and April. Hunters from Teller, Kotzebue and Point Hope all operate in this region. The absence of any significant number of bear kills south of the Bering Straits and in Kotzebue Sound reflects scarcity of bears in these areas. But, north and east of Pt. Hope, the harvest distribution probably reflects location of hunting bases rather than concentration of bears.

In the Chukchi Sea and Bering Straits area the abundance of bears is associated with constant fracturing of the ice floes that results in the formation of open water leads. Such areas are favored by seals which are the sole food of polar bears during the winter season. It seems probable that the availability of seals is an element in promoting the concentration of bears in the southcentral Chukchi Sea just north and northwest of the Bering Straits. While little hunting is done between Pt. Hope and Wainwright, this is in part due to the lack of shore facilities along this coast.

# Distribution of harvest by time

The major portion of the Alaska polar bear harvest is taken during the months of March and April. During this time daylight hours are increasing rapidly, ice pack formation and southern extension are near maximum and the availability and quality of bears are optimum.

Furthermore, present regulations prohibit the killing of polar bears between April 20 and October 15 with the exception that residents may take bears without the aid of aircraft for food during the summer period. Actually, fewer than a dozen bears are killed annually during the summertime in an average year. Eskimo hunters do kill bears throughout the winter and have traditionally done so. However, in recent years the harvest by Eskimos has been extremely small (see Table 1).

		1925-65 <u>+</u> /		
·····	Non-	Resident	Resident	
Year	resident	White	Native	Total
1925-53	Few	Very Few	Majority	117 (average)
1954	?	?	?	100
1955	?	?	?	128
1956	?	?	?	135
1957	75	53	78	206
1958	69	19	240	128
1959	?	?	53	250
1960	?	?	62	162
1961	70	59	23	152
1962	78	103	16	201 <sup>2</sup> /
1963	106	57	22	1892/
1964	142	88	23	253
19653/	159	116	17	292

# TABLE 1. THE ESTIMATED AND KNOWN ALASKA POLAR BEAR HARVEST ACCORDING TO HUNTER TYPE,

L/ Data Sources

1925-56 Annual reports of Alaska Game Commission

1957 Tovey and Scott, 1957

1958 Scott et al., 1959

1959-65 Unpublished data in Alaska Department of Fish and Game files.

/ Includes four bears for which hunter type is unknown.

3/ Data incomplete.

# Distribution of harvest by class of hunter

Table 1 illustrates the increasing harvest of polar bears by resident and nonresident trophy hunters in recent years and the sharp decline in harvest by Eskimos. The cause of the recent increase in trophy hunting involves the development and acquisition in large numbers of safe, high performance small aircraft, the increasing ability and experience of guides and bush pilots to hunt successfully with these aircraft, and the great attraction of a polar bear trophy to affluent sportsmen. The decline in harvest by Eskimos is a result of their being unable to compete with aircraft hunters. The taking of polar bear by Eskimos no longer carries the great and traditional prestige that it formerly did. This significance is lost by witnessing the ease with which white men are able to take bears. Then, too, aircraft activity in the vicinity of villages undoubtedly has a disturbing effect on bear movements, making them less available in accessible close-in hunting areas. In addition, the Eskimos are experiencing a transition in their economies and way of life with a lessening dependence on game resources for subsistence.

# Composition of harvest

The sex composition of polar bears harvested in Alaska during the past five years is shown in Table 2. It will be noted that Eskimo hunters are apparently nonselective with respect to sex or, in reality, to size. Resident white hunters show a degree of selectivity for larger bears and, therefore, take a greater percentage of males. Nonresident hunters, all of whom are guided, are highly selective, favoring large bears and therefore taking predominantly males. A noteworthy point here is that the larger harvest of polar bears in recent years has not accounted for a proportionately large take of females, and therefore probably has a lesser influence on the reproductive performance of the bear population than one might judge from the total harvest figures.

	Nonresident		Resident White		Resident native		All Hunters			
Year	No.	%Male	No.	%Male	No.	% Male	No.	% Male		
1961	70	93	59	57	23	52	152	73		
1962	78	85	103	60	16	50	201 <sup>1</sup> /	69		
1963	106	88	57	68	22	68	189Ī/	79		
1964	142	89	88	60	23	69	253	77		
1965	159	89	1162/	64	17	56	292	78		

TABLE 2. SEX COMPOSITION OF POLAR BEARS TAKEN BY ALASKAN HUNTERS, 1961-65

 $\frac{1}{1}$  Includes four bears for which hunter type is unknown.

2/ Includes five bears collected for scientific purposes.

The size of polar bears taken by various classes of hunters is indicated in Table 3. While these data confirm the varying selectivity characteristic of different classes of hunters, we realize that the measurements employed are too coarse to reveal what might be significant changes in the age of bears taken from year to year. This condition results from the fact that bears attain something near their ultimate gross size in from five to seven years, while we are probably harvesting age classes extending through 20 years or more. In the future we will be obtaining tooth specimens from most polar bears harvested and this will provide a much better indication of changes that may be occurring in the polar bear population through exploitation.

# TABLE 3. AVERAGE HIDE SIZE (LENGTH PLUS WIDTH PLUS FLAP IN FEET) AND AVERAGE SKULL SIZE (LENGTH PLUS WIDTH IN INCHES) OF POLAR BEARS HARVESTED BY ALASKA HUNTERS, 1961-65

	Nonresident		Resident White		Resident native		All Hunters			
Year	Skull	Hide	Skull	Hide	Skull	Hide	Skull	Hide		
1961	24.9	17.5	22.7	15.8	21.7	14.6	23.8	16.4		
1962	24.8	17.5	22.7	15.6	20.0	15.0	23.8	16.5		
1963	25.2	18.1	24.1	16.8	21.5	15.2	24.8	17.4		
1964	25.4	18.1	23.7	16.5		15.5	24.9	17.2		
1965	25.3	17.6	22.7	15.9	21.2	15.2	24.4	16.8		

# Population characteristics

# Litter size

A program of systematically querying guides and hunters after flights over the ice has resulted in a compilation of data relating to litter size presented in Table 4.

	Sows wit	h l cub	Scws with	1 2 cubs	Sows wi	th 3 cubs	Average	litter	size
	Cubs of	11 year	Cubs of	1 year	Cubs of	l year .	Cubs of	l year	
Year	year	plus	year	plus	year	plus	year	plus	
1958		12	1	22				1.65	
1960	1 1	39	ł	34				1.47	
1961	2	20	3	11			1.60	1.35	
1962	1	39	2	43			1.67	1.52	
1963		70	2	76		1 <sub>4</sub> .	2.00	1.56	
1964	1	69	1	113	2	1	2.25	1.64	
1965		38		71		1	3.00	1.66	
Total	4	287	8	370	2	6	1.86	1.58	

TABLE 4. NUMBER AND SIZE OF POLAR BEAR LITTERS AS REPORTED BY ALASKAN GUIDES DURING MARCH AND APRIL, 1958-65

While observations of sows with "cubs of the year" are too few to have real meaning, data relating to the class of "one year plus" are significant. While it is possible, and even probable (see below), that the "one year plus" class is composed of both yearlings and two year olds, it is nevertheless indicated that an average of 1.6 cubs per litter survive at least 14 or 15 months after birth.

## Population composition

Data have also been gathered from guides and hunters relating to their observations of the types of animals observed on hunting flights. This information is presented in Table 5. Because these observations mainly were made in March and April when some or most sows with newborn cubs should be out of hibernation, it is apparent that this class of animal is extremely scarce in the areas being hunted. Guides believe that the newborn cubs they do observe are born on the ice pack for they are seen at considerable distances from shore. Furthermore, it is known that denning on the Alaska mainland takes place but rarely.

	So	ws with	cubs	Sows	with cu	bs				
		of yea	r	_l y	ear plus			Othe:	r bea	ar
Year	l cub	2 cubs	3 cubs	l cub	2 cubs	3 cubs	Sm.	Med.	Lg.	Undet.
1958				12	22		26	71	22	
1960				20	34		27	95	37	9
1961	2	3		20	יר.		32	73	25	
1962	1	2		39	43		33	113	42	19
1963	_	2		70	76	4	69	9	51	54
1964	l	1	2	69	113	1	105	207	59	85
1965				38	7Ī	l	51	96	47	3 <sup>1</sup> 4
				Composit	e Summar	У				
		Popul	ation El	ement	<u>No.</u>	Perce	nt			
		Cubs	of vear		26	1				
		Cubs	l year p	lus	1045	31				
		Sows	with cub	s of		U				
		yea	r		14	Tr.				
		Sows	with cub	s one						
		yea	r plus		663	20				
		Other	bears		1581	47				

# TABLE 5. POPULATION COMPOSITION OF POLAR BEARS OBSERVED BY GUIDES OFF ALASKAN COAST DURING MARCH AND APRIL, 1958-65

The composite summary in Table 5 reveals a surprisingly large proportion of sows with yearling or older cubs. We believe that the frequent sighting of such animals indicates a true relative abundance, in that hunters will usually bypass the tracks of sows with cubs and hence will not see as many as would be the case with large bear which are tracked from the aircraft. We interpret this relative abundance of sows with older cubs as evidence that cubs frequently remain with the sow for slightly over two years. Further evidence of this extended parental-cub attachment comes from guides and hunters who report two sizes of cubs larger than cubs of the year. In addition, individual sows in estrous have been harvested which were capable of lactating slightly but which were not accompanied by cubs.

## Regulations

Table 6 lists regulations and regulation changes relating to the harvesting of polar bears in Alaska (including offshore areas).

Date	Closed Season	Bag Limit
Prior to 1948	None	None
1948-49	None	2
1953-54	None	3
1955-56	None	Resident - 3
		Nonresident - 1
1957-58	None	l, excepting females
		accompanied by cubs
1959-60	None	l, excepting cubs or fe-
- /		males accompanied by cubs
1960-611/	May 2 - Oct. 14	1, excepting cubs or females
	·	accompanied by cubs <sup>2</sup> /
1961-62	May 8 - Oct. 14	l a year, except cubs and
	-	females accompanied by
		cubs 3/
1962-63	May 1 - Oct. 14	Same as above
1963-64	May 11 - Oct. 14	Same as above
1964-65	May 11 - Oct. 14	Same as above
1965-66	April 21 - Oct. 14	Same as above

# TABLE 6. SUMMARY OF ALASKA POLAR BEAR REGULATIONS

1/ First year of state jurisdiction.

2/ Provided that residents may take polar bear without limit at any time for food.

3/ Provided that residents may take polar bear (except cubs and females accompanied by cubs) without limit at any time for food; polar bears so taken shall not be taken by means or use of aircraft.

After July 1, 1960, all nonresidents were required to hire a registered guide to hunt polar bear provided that residents of the Arctic could serve as guides without possessing a registered guide license. This mandatory guide requirement has since been rescinded.

Prior to 1960 the polar bear was classified as a fur bearing animal in Alaska. In 1960, the Alaska Board of Fish and Game classified it as a big game animal, although sale or barter of the hides is still permitted.

In 1961 the Alaska Board of Fish and Game required by regulation that the hide of each polar bear taken be sealed by a representative of the Department of Fish and Game. This regulation provided opportunity for interviewing all hunters and for sexing and measuring the bear hides. In 1965 the Alaska Board of Fish and Game further required that the skulls of polar bears accompany the hides until they have been sealed. Opportunity is, therefore, afforded for accurately measuring the skulls and in most cases obtaining a tooth specimen. The State of Alaska exercises jurisdiction over Alaskan based polar bear hunters when they operate in international waters. Furthermore, through regulations governing possession and transportation within Alaska's territorial limits, this authority extends to nonresidents of the state as well. Other than hunting that may be based in Siberia and Canada, all polar bear harvesting on the seas adjacent to Alaska is done by Alaskan based hunters. While disagreements have occurred among Alaskans regarding the specific nature of regulations, problems of enforcement based on lack of jurisdiction have not arisen.

No polar bear reserves now exist in Alaska, and the rarity of these animals on land seems to preclude the need for them.

## Research

The goal of research at this time should be to provide information required as an immediate basis for polar bear management. Because their habitat is intact and relatively undisturbed by man, the principal threat to the animals would seem to be exploitation by man. Thus, determining the influence on the bear stocks of known levels of exploitation is vital. Priority should be given to investigating polar bear abundance throughout its range, discreteness of local populations, and productivity in relation to harvests. Techniques or methods for obtaining such information must be decided upon or developed, with direct methods being favored wherever possible over indirect methods. Beyond satisfying these crucial needs, research should be oriented toward population dynamics, life history, ecology, physiology and other extremely important, but less urgent, subjects.

#### Current research

In Alaska, research and management activities are integrated. Harvest characteristics are being obtained through a well established program. Data which are collected for each bear harvested include the size of hide and skull, sex, date and location of kill and type of hunter.

Guides and hunters report numbers, locations and, when possible, the population component of bears seen.

Reproductive tracts are being collected and will be examined to obtain information on breeding biology and productivity.

Teeth are being collected and will be sectioned to obtain a fairly precise indication of age.

The feasibility of aerial consusing for obtaining a statistically valid population estimate is being explored.

## General recommendations

1. Research should be broadened geographically to encompass all areas of polar bear occurrence.

2. Each country should be responsible for research within its territorial limits and immediately adjacent international waters, though exchange of scientists on a visiting basis should be encouraged to promote liaison and standardization.

3. Standardized methods and techniques of data gathering should be agreed upon.

4. Assignment of research responsibilities to research agencies or groups within each country should be governed by their material means to execute them, the special scientific skills of individual workers, as well as statutory obligations for resource management or welfare.

5. Accord should be reached between countries as to:

- a) type and magnitude of research to be conducted by each, and
- b) periodic exchange of information.

# Specific research recommendations

1. Assessment of harvests--Information derived from bear harvests will always be essential to bear management and conservation efforts. It can provide basic data relating to movements, abundance, population dynamics, effects of exploitation, taxonomy, morphology and other types of studies. Each country should collect at least the following information and specimens from polar bears taken by their nationals:

a. Number, sex, size of bears harvested and the date and location of each kill.

b. As many skulls as possible, but in any case a tooth specimen and the reproductive organs from each bear harvested.

c. Parasite and tissue specimens that may be pertinent to disease studies.

2. Seasonal distribution and abundance--Each country should, within its means, conduct aerial or other surveys appropriate to conditions for the purpose of establishing seasonal distribution and abundance of bears. In addition, a systematic program of querying hunters or other arctic residents relative to polar bear observations ought to be inaugurated.

3. Denning studies--Areas of denning should be defined and efforts made to determine the number of bears utilizing them. Attention should be directed toward the extent of maternal denning on the ice pack as well as on land. Such information will be essential to determining the degree to which discreetness of populations may exist as well as giving basis for productivity estimates.

4. Breeding biology and productivity--Specimens and fundamental data relating to reproduction will be acquired as indicated above. Nevertheless, laboratory analysis and careful theoretical interpretation of all related information will be required to gain confident knowledge of potential and realized productivity. 5. Ecology and life history--The relationship of polar bears to oceanographic and ice conditions, food supplies, land areas of different types and similar ecological elements should be studied. Mortality factors such as intra-specific strife and cannibalism, accidents, diseases, parasites and similar factors warrant attention. Much information bearing on these subjects can be obtained in connection with other activities and will not initially require a great amount of extra field work.

- 12 -

#### Summary

Increasing harvests of polar bear in the seas adjacent to Alaska have not resulted in an apparent reduction in numbers. The observed population does not contain all age classes; the population element consisting of sows with newborn cubs must exist outside of the areas visited by Alaskan based hunters. Bears are common on the ice adjacent to Alaska north of the Bering Straits. A late winter or early spring concentration is apparent just north and west of the Straits. There is no common or regular use of the Alaskan mainland for denning or foraging purposes.

Prior to the advent of aircraft hunting, most harvesting was by Eskimos for subsistence purposes and hides. Since the late 1940's, aircraft hunting by sportsmen has developed and now accounts for most of the bears taken. The 1965 harvest of approximately 300 bears contributed about \$450,000 to the economy of Alaska.

Current harvests contain more than 70 percent male bears as a result of selective hunting for large animals. Observations of bears by guides and hunters indicate an average litter size of 1.6.

Regulations limit the take of bear to one per hunter per year, extend complete protection to cubs and sows accompanied by cubs and prohibit hunting during the summer season except for Eskimo food purposes.

Present research involves the collection and analysis of harvest data and specimens. A systematic program of recording guide and hunter observations is also established.

Specific recommendations regarding research include broadening investigations geographically and undertaking new studies to provide an adequate foundation for proper bear management. Close cooperation between countries is urged.

# - i3 -

#### PARTIAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

The following bibliography is neither complete nor confined strictly to polar bear. It may, however, contribute to the later compilation of a more comprehensive bibliography.

- Abs, Otto, and Hans Walter Schmidt. 1954. Die Arktische Trichinose and ihr Verbreitungsweg. Norsk Polarinstitutt Skrifter 105. 34 pp.
- Allen, J. 1880. History of North American pinnipeds, a monograph of the walruses, sea-lions, sea-bears and seals of North America. U.S. Geol. and Geogr. Surv. of the Territory. Misc. Bull. 12.
- Anthony, H.E. 1928. Field Book of North American Mammals. G. P. Putnam's Sons., New York. 625 pp.
- Bailey, A. M. and R. W. Hendee, 1926. Notes on the mammals of Northwestern Alaska. J. of Mamm. 7:9-28.
- Bee, J. and R. Hall. 1956. Mammals of Northern Alaska on the Arctic Slope. Mus. of Nat. Hist. Univ. of Kas. Misc. Pub. 8. 309 pp.
- Bodfish, W. and J. L. Minner. 1947. Nine polar bears. Alaska Sportsman. 13:22-23, 41-42.
- Brandly, P. and R. L. Rausch, 1950. A preliminary note on trichinosis investigations in Alaska. Arctic. 3:105-107.
- Brooks, J. W. 1963. The management and status of marine mammals in Alaska. Trans. N. A. Wildl. Conf., 28:314-326.
- Burt, W. H. and R. P. Grossenheider. 1952. A field guide to the mammals. Haughton Mifflin Co., Boston. 200 pp.
- Calahane, Victor H. 1947. Mammals of North America. The MacMillian Co., New York. pp. 134-155.
- Colyer, F. 1936. Variations and diseases of the teeth of animals. John Bale, Sons and Danielson, London. 750 pp.
- Connell, Frank H. 1949. Trichinosis in the Arctic: A review. Arctic 2 (2):98-107.
- Cottam, C. and H. C. Hanson. 1938. Food habits of some Arctic Birds and mammals. Field Mus. of Nat. Hist. Chicago pub. Zoo. Series 20:405-426.

Cowan, I. 1938. Geographic distribution of color phases of the red fox and black bear in the Pacific Northwest. J. of Mamml. 19 (2):202-206.

Davis, M. 1950. Hybrids of the polar and Kodiak bear. J. of Mamm. 31 (4):449-450.

- Dufresne, Frank. 1946. Alaska's Animals and Fishes. A. S. Barnes and Co., New York. 297 pp.
- Eicher, G. J. 1943. Bears of Alaska. Alaska Life 6 (4):44-47.
- Ellsworth, L. 1927. North to 88 and the first crossing of the polar sea. Nat. Hist., 27:(3) 275-289.
- Erickson, A. W. 1957. Techniques for live-trapping and handling black bears. Trans. N.A. Wildl. Conf., 22:250-543.
  - . 1962. Bear Investigations. Annual project Segment Report, Fed. Aid in Wildl. Restor. Project W-6-R-3, Alaska. 48 pp. mimeo.
    - . and J. E. Nellor. 1964. The Breeding Biol. of the black bear. In the "Black Bear in Michigan." Tech. Bul. #4, Agricult. Exp. Station, Mich. State Univ.
    - \_\_\_\_\_. and R. A. Rausch. 1964. Black bear attacks on man. J. Mamm. In press.
      - . and W. G. Youatt. 1961. Seasonal variations in the hematology and physiology of black bears. J. Mamm., 42:198-203.
- Foote, D. C. 1960. A Human Geographer Looks at Polar Bear Hunting. Alaska Conserv. Soc. News Bull. 1 (2) p. 5.
- Geist, O. W. 1934. Brown bear seen on St. Lawrence Island. J. of Mamm. 15:316-317.
- Goodwin, George G. 1952. Monarch of the Arctic Wastes. Anim. Kingdom 55 (6):182-187.
- Hall, E. R. and K. R. Kelson. 1959. The mammals of North America. Ronald Press Co., New York. 536 pp.
- Harrington, C. R. 1961. Some data on the polar bear and its utilization in the Canadian Arctic. Special numbered report of the Canadian Wildlife Service. 33 pp. mimeo.
- Hock, Raymond J. 1957. Hibernation. Fifth Conf. on Cold Injury. pp. 61-133.
- Illingworth, F. 1952. Wildlife beyond the north. Charles Scribner Sons, New York.
- Irving, L. and J. Krog. 1954. Body temperatures of Arctic and sub-arctic birds and mammals. J. Applied Physiol. 6:667-680.
- Jackson, Harley, H. T. 1932. The polar bear, nomad of the ice fields. Home Geographic Monthly. 2(1):19-24.
- Klein, D. R. 1959. Saint Matthew Island Reindeer Range Study. U.S.F.W.S. Special Scientific Report No. 43. 48 pp.

1

Leopold, A. S. and F. F. Darling. 1953. Wildlife in Alaska. New York Ronald Press Co., 129 pp.

- 15 -

- MacGinitie, G. E. 1955. Distribution and ecology of the marine invertebrates of Point Barrow, Alaska. Smithsonian Misc. Coll. 128 (9):1-201.
- Maynard, James. Trichinosis in Alaska. American J. of Hygiene.
- Merriam, C. H. 1896. Preliminary synopsis of the American bears. Proc. of the Biol. Soc. of Wash. 10:65-83.
- Minner, J. L. 1952. The polar bear, friend of the Eskimo. Nat. Mag. 45 (7):348-350.
- Novikov, G. A. 1956. Carnivorous Mammals of the Fauna of the U.S.S.R. Acad. of Sciences of the U.S.S.R. No. 62. as trans by Israel Program of Scient. translations 1962. 284 pp.
- Olson, Sigurd T. 1959. Report of Field Observations, Polar Bear. Project No. W-3-R-13. Unpubl. U. S. Fish & Wildl. Service P-R Report. 5 pp.
- Pedersen, Alwin. 1945. Der Eisbar; Verbreiturg and Lebenweise. E. Bruun and Co., Copenhagen. 166 pp.
- Pedersen, Alwin, 1957. Der Eisbar. A. Ziemsen Verl., Die Neve Brehm Bucherei, Witterberg. 64 pp.
- Pohle, H. 1923. Uber den Zohnwechsel der Baren. Zool. Anzeiger, 55:266-277.
- Preble, E. A. and W. L. McAtee. 1923. A biological survey of the Pribilof Islands, Alaska. N. Am. Fauna No. 46. Gov't. Printing Office, Wash., D. C.
- Quay, W. B. 1951. Observations on mammals of the Seward Peninsula, Alaska. J. of Mamm. 32 (1):88-99.
- Rausch, R. L. 1950. Notes on the distribution of some arctic mammals. J. Mamm., 31:464-466.
  - . 1953. On the land mammals of St. Lawrence Island, Alaska. Murrelet 34:18-26.

\_\_\_\_\_, B. B. Babero, R. V. Rausch and E. L. Schiller. 1956. Studies on the helminth fauna of Alaska. J. Parasit., 42-259-271.

- Rodahl, K. and T. Moore. 1943. The vitamin A content and toxicity of bear and seal liver. Biochem. Journ. 37:166-168.
- Roth, Hans. 1949. Trichinosis in Arctic Animals. Nature 163 (4151):805-806.
- Scholander, P. F., Raymond Hock, Vladimir Walters and Laurence Irving. 1950. Adaptation to cold in Arctic and Tropical mammals and birds in relation to body temperature, insulation, and basal metabolic rate. Bio. Bull. 99 (2):259-271.

., Raymond Hock, Vladimir Walters, Fred Johnson and Laurence Irving. 1950. Heat regulation in some Arctic and Tropical mammals and birds. Bio. Bull. 99 (2):236-258.

., Vladimir Walters, Raymond Hock and Laurence Irving. 1950. Body insulation of some Arctic and Tropical mammals and birds. Bio. Bull. 99 (2):225-236.

- Scott, Robert F., Karl W. Kenyon, John L. Buckley and Sigurd T. Olson, 1959. Status and management of the polar bear and pacific walrus. Trans. N. Am. Wildl. Conf. 24:366-374.
- Sdobnikov, V. M. 1956. On the protection and efficient utilization of Arctic animals Okhrana priorody i zapovednoe delo v S. S. S. R., Biulleten' (Moskva), 1:96-104. Cited from Scott et al (1959).
- Seton, E. T. 1929. Polar bears. Lives of Game Animals. New York, Doubleday, Doran and Co., Inc. pp. 196-228.
- Simpson, G. G. 1945. The principles of classification and a classification of mammals. Bull. of the Am. Mus. of Nat. Hist. 85.
- Sparck, R. 1956. Some remarks on the status of the polar bear (<u>Thalarctos</u> <u>maritimus</u>) in Greenland. Proc. and papers, 5th technical meeting, Copenhagen, <u>1954.</u> I.V.P.N., Brussels. 85 pp.
- Steudel, W. and E. Von Martens. 1877. Bastard vom braunen and vom Eisbaer in Stuttgart. D. Zool. Garten, 18:401-402.
- Thorshaug and A. Fr. Rosted. 1956. Researches into the prevalence of Trichinosis in animals in arctic and antarctic waters. Meddelelser Number 80. Saertryk of Nord. Vet.-med., bd. 8 (2):115-129.
- Tovey, Paul E. and Robert F. Scott. 1957. A preliminary Report on the Status of Polar Bear in Alaska. Alaska Sci. Conf. 8:
- Troyer, W. A. and R. J. Hensel. 1962. Cannibalism in brown bear. Animal behavior. 10:231.
- Volf, Von Jiri. 1963. Bemerkungen zur Fortpflazungs biologie der Eisbären Thalarctos maritimus (Phipps) in Gefavgenschaft. Zeit. Fur Säug., 28:163-166.

Williams, R. B. 1946. Bears and Trichinosis. Alaska's Health. 4.

\* \* \*

