Domestic/Wildlife Interactions: Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae

in Alaska

Alaska Farm Bureau

2018

Bob Gerlach Alaska State Veterinarian **Kimberlee Beckmen** Alaska Dept. Fish & Game

Alaska Situation

- Proposals to Board of Game // Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game (ADF&G)
 - Remove Sheep and Goats from the "Clean List"
 - Permit required by ADF&G:
 - To import sheep or goats into the State of Alaska
 - To own sheep or goats within 15 air mile of wild sheep habitat
 - Health certification free of Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae
 - Containment of sheep and goats in an "approved facility"

Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae (M. ovi)

- Sporadic Pneumonia outbreaks; some large dieoffs (75-90% mortality) of bighorn sheep (BHS)
- Some reports of reduced BHS lamb survival following the pneumonia outbreaks - <u>sustainability</u>
- Wild sheep reports of *low resistance* to some respiratory pathogens of domestic sheep/goats



M. ovi typically causes relatively mild disease in domestic sheep

- 'Coughing syndrome' in young lambs
- Reduced growth rate and weight gains
- Severe pneumonia has been reported, especially in young goats
- NAHMS Sheep study:
 60 -80 % of large farms had
 M. ovi detected
 USDA Pack Goat Study:
 < 4% of farms (+) detected



Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae (M. ovi)

- Illness sheep and goat species (Caprinae) Reported that clinical illness may be more severe in wildlife
- "Carrier status" in sheep and goats
- Diagnostic testing challenging

Bacteria difficult to culture

Pathology by disrupting lower airway function



Directed to the Pharynx

Yukon Order 10-30-18

- No farms above 1,000 meters elevation
- Premises registration, annual inspection
- Fencing requirements
- Animal ID, inventory and records

 Any births, purchases, imports, deaths recorded
- Annual testing for pathogens of concern

 Animals that are (+) or carriers > destroyed
- Movement by permit only
- Immediately report any escapes

Impacts of Pathogen Exposure

- Ecosystem Approach "One Health"
- Science based evaluation

 Need for an <u>objective</u> approach
 <u>Defensible data</u>
- Risk Analysis: after gathering the facts
 Risk vs benefit and Cost of proposed action
- Do we really know enough to make a sound decision?

- Can you afford to delay taking action?

Respiratory Disease

- Pneumonia Outbreak :<u>Multifactorial</u> and involve <u>Multiple Pathogens</u>
- Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae (Movi)
- Pasteurella bacteria
 - Pasteurella haemolytica
 - P. haemolytica
 - P. trehalosi
- Fusobacterium necrophorum
- Other bacteria (Truperella pyogenes)
- Respiratory viruses

Disease is Multifactorial

- Presence of the Pathogen dose, virulence
- Combination of many factors are involved:
 - Health stressors other parasites, pathogens
 - Population density -
 - Nutrition protein, energy, mineral, water
 - Quality of graze/browse carrying capacity
 - Fragmentation and restriction of range/habitat -
 - Wildlife may be more susceptible to clinical disease
 - Human interaction: *competition for resources*
 - tourism, hunting, urban expansion
 - Interaction with livestock
- Not a simple issue, no easy solution

What is the situation in the Western States?

- Bighorn sheep: Population Decline 15,000 -18,000 estimated in 1960....
- Urban expansion = Habitat loss, hunting pressure, competition with domestic livestock
- Morbidity/Mortality events: respiratory disease
- Focus on wildlife conservation: Recovery

 habitat preservation, reintroductions, focused management efforts



Alaska: Unique Situation

- Not comparable to Western U.S. or Canada
 <u>No history of morbidity/mortality events</u>
- Minimal fragmentation or loss of habitat
- Smaller number of farms and livestock
 - <u>~110</u> Sheep/Goat farms (NASS statistics)
 - Average <13 animals/farm (~2,000 sheep, goats)</p>
 - Low density: probability for interaction
- Fewer importations/year
- No free grazing, animals are contained /fenced, some degree of separation



Wild Sheep Working Group

- Organized by the Alaska Farm Bureau and the Wild Sheep Foundation
- Discuss options and strategies for prevention of wildlife / livestock interaction
 - Regulations
 - Separation no contact
 - "M. ovi free status" must be defined
- Need for Alaskan data. Evaluate prevalence of M. ovi in domestic sheep and goats

Livestock Study Protocol

- Using USDA, NASS statistics develop a sampling plan to evaluate AK farms
- Domestic livestock sample collection:
 - Veterinarians to collect samples
 - Client/patient confidentiality used farm code
 - Follow protocol established protocols
 - Nasal, conjunctival swabs and serum
 - <u>Nasal Swabs submitted to 2 Laboratories</u>:
 - Animal Disease Research, (ARS-USDA) Lab
 - Washington State Animal Diagnostic Lab: WADDL

USDA NASS 2012 Farm Census

	Aleutian -Kodiak Islands	Southcentral	Kenai Peninsula	Interior/ Fairbanks	Southeast	
# Farms		Anchorage- MatSu-Valdez- Cordova		Delta - Yukon to Canada		Total farms
Sheep	2	25	7	14	2	50
Goat	1	27	10	15	3	56
Total	3	52	17	29	5	106
# Animals						Total animals
Sheep	42	326	147	216	42	773
Goat	6	343	52	177	18	595
Total	48	669	199	393	60	1,368

General Dall Sheep Distribution in Alaska



Study Protocol

Voluntary participation

 Evaluate farms in each region



- Survey completed by farmer
 - Focus on management and husbandry practices
- All animals tested on the farm, repeated (3x) sampling at ~ 4 and then 8 weeks later
 Duplicate Nasal Swabs ~ 20% of animals on farm
- Data returned to the Veterinarian/client and summary data to State Veterinarian

Acknowledge the Collaborative Partnership

- Office of the State Veterinarian
- Department of Fish and Game
 Kimberlee Beckmen
- USDA-ARS

 Maggie Highland
- Washington State Animal Diseases Diagnostic Laboratory

 Jim Evermann, David De Avila

Current Results for the Study

- 43 farms: (samples from 656 animals)
 - -7 of 43 were sheep farms
 - 4 of 43 had both sheep and goats
 - 32 of 43 were goat farms
- 11 of 43 farms (26 %) M. ovi was detected
 sheep farms 4/7 (57%)
 - goat farms 4/32 (12%)
 - consistent with finding of some other studies
- 32 of 43 (74%) had no Movi detected

M. ovi: Summary Data

	#	Non - Detect	Detected	% Prevalence
Total # Animals	656	631	25	3.8%
Goats	485	473	12	2.5%
Sheep	171	158	13	7.6%

Comparison of Laboratory Data

- Study <u>not</u> an inter-laboratory comparison
 Duplicate samples not identical**
 Test (PCR) Methodology was not identical
 - Test (PCR) Methodology was not identical
- Total of 573 duplicate samples:

	Detected	Non-Detect	% detection
USDA-ARS	33	540	5.8 %
WADDL	19	555	3.3 %

Results were extraordinarily similar

- 95% concordance or agreement

ADF&G Wildlife Study Goals (2017-2020)

- Determine the distribution of M. ovi and other respiratory mycoplasma in Alaskan wildlife ungulates
 - Evaluate proximity to domestic animals
 - Culture and isolate as possible, genotype and then compare genotype (strains) of Mycoplasma spp. found in Alaskan wildlife

ADF&G Wildlife Study Goals

- Evaluate the impact of *Mycoplasma* spp. on herd health of wild ungulates
 - Herd performance and survival over time
- Evaluate the interaction of different wildlife species and the threat of pathogen spread
- Establish a sound study design for future surveillance:
 - <u>Laboratory concordance study</u>
 - Evaluate factors influencing detection probabilities

ADF&G Wildlife Study

- Nasal swabs, blood samples collected:
 - Routine wildlife population surveys
 - (Dall sheep, Mtn Goat, Moose, Caribou, Bison, Muskox)
 - Morbidity/Mortality investigations
 - Evaluation of captive wildlife populations
- Nasal swabs collected from hunter harvest

Summer to Fall 2018: (collection continuing)
 -> 800 samples to USDA ARS
 -> 500 samples to WADDL

2018 Hunter Harvested Sampling: Priority Species

- Dall's sheep: (>331 sampled)
 Sheep were collected 2017
- Mountain goats: (>113 sampled)
- Caribou: (>120 sampled)

Collaboration with Canadian colleagues

• Moose: (> 94 sampled) - includes road kills

**** All samples must meet collection guidelines

ADF&G Wildlife Data

- <u>Results were unexpected and surprising</u>
- M. ovi detected initially in southcentral:
 - Mountain Goats
 - Dall's Sheep
 - Then detected in other ungulate species:
 - Moose
 - Caribou
- (+) Dall's Sheep herds across entire State: Kenai Peninsula to North of the Brooks Range
- 1 Caribou respiratory mortality: (+) detection

In Press (Emerging Infectious Disease, online Oct, in print Dec 2018)

Emergence of *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* in wildlife species beyond the subfamily *Caprinae*

Highland, Herndon, Bender, Hansen, Gerlach, Beckmen

"Elucidating the emergence of *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae*associated respiratory disease in ruminants requires identification of pathogen host range. Preliminarily concluded to be host restricted to *Caprinae* subfamily species, we describe identification of this bacterium in moose, caribou, mule deer, and diseased mule and white-tailed deer."

Comparison of Preliminary Results Domestic and Wildlife

	Dom. Sheep	Dom. Goat	Dall Sheep	Mtn. Goat	Caribou	Moose
# Tested	171	485	184	43	421	362
# M. ovi Detection	13	12	12	5	21	9
% (+) Detection	7.6%	2.5%	3.4%	11.6%	5%	2.5%

General Dall Sheep Distribution in Alaska





In Review (JWD)

Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae associated with polymicrobial pneumonia in a free-ranging yearling caribou (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*) from Alaska, USA

Rovani, Beckmen, Highland

"*Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* has to date been reported in association with respiratory disease only in members of the taxonomic subfamily *Caprinae*. This report represents the identification of *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* in a free-ranging caribou (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*) calf with polymicrobial bronchopneumonia. "

 Detected by universal PCR at WADDL, then on M. ovi PCR, confirmed and cultured by USDA.

New Dynamic

- M. ovi : Present in Livestock & Wildlife
 Prevalence ~ 4% in both groups
- M. ovi present in non-Caprinae Species

 Changes the epidemiology dynamics significantly
- Environmental stressors no change

 Healthy, well managed habitat, fragmentation
 Nutrition, population density, genetics
- Livestock / Wildlife interaction very minimal
 - Farmers are controlling this factor and Improved guidelines are being developed to further risk

Next Steps in Alaska

- Dependent on the study results
 - Await results wildlife study (spring 2019)
 - Continue to collect samples from livestock (12/18)
 - Use data for RA and Science Based Decision
- Evaluate options for mitigation action:
 - No action What are the costs?
 - "M. ovi free status"
 - Management of livestock to decrease wildlife interaction or separation

Use a "One Health or Ecosystem Approach"

In Summary

- Domestic/Wildlife interactions may pose a threat to both wildlife and domestic animals
- Alaskan Collaborative Partnership:
 - Producers, wildlife biologist, veterinarians, Farm Bureau, State, Federal Agencies
- Acknowledge collaborative management strategies to reduce / mitigate Livestock/Wildlife interactions – the Agricultural community must <u>continue</u> to take the lead on this issue now

Management Strategies

- Herd Health Plan / Biosecurity Plan written
 - Veterinary Oversight: nutrition, vaccine, wormer
 - Animal ID
 - Report Morbidity / Mortalities treatment plan
 Disease Testing / Herd Certification
- Manage Fencing: clear both sides of fence
- Storage Feeds, isolate feed/water troughs
- Use of Livestock Guardian Dogs
- Reporting Escapees, strays inventory
- Reporting Wildlife Sightings

Ecosystem approach: consider <u>All</u> impacts and consequences

Questions