ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

JUNEAU, ALASKA

STATE OF ALASKA

Bill Sheffield, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

Don Collinsworth, Commissioner

DIVISION OF GAME

W. Lewis Pamplin, Jr., Director

DISTRIBUTIÓN, ABUNDANCE, AND PRODUCTIVITY OF OSPREYS IN INTERIOR ALASKA

Ъу

Jeffrey Hughes

NONGAME WILDLIFE PROGRAM REPORT

This is a progress report on a survey and inventory project designed to determine the distribution, abundance and productivity of nesting ospreys (*Pandion haliaetus*) in interior Alaska. The objectives of this report are to provide wildlife managers with data gathered during 1985, and summarize the results of the past 3 years. Information regarding the distribution and abundance of nesting ospreys in Alaska is sparse. An historical review of the problem, general strategy and objectives for this project are contained in a problem analysis¹ and will not be reiterated in this paper.

The project was initiated by Nongame Wildlife Program biologists, who have received field assistance from other ADF&G biologists. This ongoing survey involves locating osprey nests and banding nestlings in those nests that are active. The information generated as a result of this project will facilitate management of this raptor.

Areas Surveyed and Methods

Field work in 1985 (as in previous years), was conducted in the Susitna Valley close to Talkeetna (PR), near Tok on the Tetlin Reservation (TR) and adjacent Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), and Shaw Creek (SC) near Delta Junction. The river, lake and marsh habitats in these areas support a variety of fish and wildlife populations. Abundant prey populations of whitefish (*Coregonus* spp.), Arctic grayling (*Thymallus arcticus*) and northern pike (*Esox lucius*) make these areas particularly attractive to nesting ospreys.

Osprey nests were located on foot and by aerial reconnaissance. Nesting success data were collected by climbing into nests and/or aerial surveys. A Lake Amphibian (LA 4-200) aircraft, flown at approximately 60 mph at an altitude varying between 200-500 feet, was used for surveys in the Tok area. There was 1 observer in addition to the pilot. Nests containing young birds were approached on foot to evaluate the possibility of climbing into the nest and banding immature ospreys. The nests at Shaw Creek (40-84) and Petersville Road (16-83) were approached on foot and checked for breeding activity.

Results

Forty-eight osprey nests were located and checked for nestlings during 1985. Fifteen of these nests were observed for the first time during this year's surveys. Two inventoried nests were demolished and 7 other inventoried osprey nests were not relocated and presumed

¹ Survey and Inventory Project: To determine the Distribution, Abundance and Productivity of Nesting Ospreys. On file with ADF&G Nongame Wildlife Program, Anchorage Regional Office. destroyed. Forty-six nests were located in the tops of live conifer trees, 1 nest was atop a microwave tower; and 1 nest was built on a power transmission-line structure. Thirty-nine nests were located on the TR (maps 1 & 6), 6 nests were found on the NWR (map 2), 2 nests were in the PR (map 3) and 1 nest near SC (map 4). The perimeter of numerous lakes (maps 1, 2, & 6) on the TR and NWR were thoroughly examined for osprey nests in approximately 8 hours of aerial surveying. There was no aerial survey for osprey nests in the PR and SC areas.

Nest status* and fledging success for 1985 are summarized in Table 1. Twenty-two of the nests observed (46%) were active, and of these active nests, 9 nests (41%) contained nestlings. Three nests (33%) contained 2 young, while 6 nests (66%) held a single young osprey. The annual productivity** for 1985 was 0.55 (Table 2). In osprey nests that contained fledgings, there were 1.33 birds per nest. Three nests each contained 1 unhatched egg at the time of the survey in early August. Two dead young were discovered beneath an empty nest. Ten young ospreys in 8 nests were banded.

Twenty-six of the nests observed (54%) were inactive. Inactive nests were unattended by an adult osprey during the season and were in a state of disrepair. Five of the inactive nests were classified as supernumerary nest sites (Table 1).

Seven bald eagles nests were observed during the aerial survey of TR and NWR (Map 1). Three nests were active and contained a total of 4 young eagles. We did not band any nestling eagles. Four nests were apparently inactive.

Discussion

This was the third year of an ongoing survey and inventory project to determine the distribution, abundance and productivity of ospreys nesting in interior Alaska. Our efforts to date include 29 young ospreys banded, 1 band recovered, 3 infertile eggs collected for pesticide analysis, and 56 osprey nests located, mapped, and monitored for breeding activity in interior Alaska. Although the progress of this project is encouraging, the results are preliminary and should be interpreted with caution until more information becomes available.

* An active nest is one in which eggs were laid, an adult was seen in an incubating position, or the pair became excited when the nest was closely approached.

** Productivity is the number of young fledged per active nesting pair.

2

Table 1.	Nest status, young produced and fledging success of ospreys
	nesting on the Tetlin Reservation (TR), Tetlin National
	Wildlife Refuge (NWR), Susitna Valley (PR), and Shaw Creek
	(SC) during 1985.

		Young	
Location/Number	Status	Produced	Fledged
TR/01-83	Active	2	0
TR/02-83	Active (nest and		
	tree destroyed)	2	0
TR/03-83	Active	2	. 2
TR/04-83	Inactive	-	-
TR/05-83	Active	1	1
NWR/06-83	Inactive	-	-
TR/07-83	Active	1 egg observed	0
TR/08-83	Inactive (super-		
	numerary nest)	-	-
TR/10-83	Inactive	-	-
TR/11-83	Active	0	0
NWR/12-83	Nest not located;		
	probably destroy	yed -	-
NWR/13-83	Inactive (decrep)	it	
	nest)	-	-
NWR/14-83	Active	0	0
NWR/15-83	Inactive (super-		
-	numerary nest)	-	-
PR/16-83	Active	1 egg recovered	0
TR/17-84	Nest not located		-
TR/18-84	Active	0	0
TR/19-84	Nest not located	-	-
TR/20-84	Inactive	-	-
TR/21-84	Nest not located	-	-
TR/22-84	Active	1	1
TR/23-84	Nest not located	~	-
TR/24-84	Nest not located	-	-
TR/25-84	Active	0	0
TR/26-84	Active	1	1
TR/27-84	Inactive (decrep	it	
	nest)	-	-
TR/28-84	Nest not located	~	-
TR/29-84	Active	1 egg observed	0
TR/30-84	Inactive (super-		
	numerary nest)	*	-
TR/31-84	Active	2	2
TR/32-84	Inactive	-	-
TR/33-84	Active	1	1
TR/34-84	Inactive (decrep	it	
	nest)	-	-
TR/35-84	Active	0	0
TR/36-84	Inactive	-	-

Table 1. (cont'd)

		Young		
Location/Number	Status	Produced	Fledged	
TR/37-84	Inactive (decrepit			
	nest)	-	-	
TR/38-84	Inactive	-	-	
TR/39-84	Inactive	-	-	
SC/40-84	Active (nest			
	destroyed)	0	0	
NWR/41-84	Inactive	-	-	
TR/42-85	Inactive (decrepit			
	nest)	- ,	-	
TR/43-85	Active	2	2	
TR/44-85	Active	0	0	
TR/45-85	Inactive (super-			
	numerary nest)	-	-	
TR/46-85	Inactive	-	-	
TR/47-85	Inactive (super-			
	numerary nest)	-	-	
TR/48-85	Inactive	-	-	
TR/49-85	Inactive	-	-	
TR/50-85	Inactive (decrepit			
	nest)	-	-	
TR/51-85	Active	1	1	
TR/52-85	Inactive (decrepit			
	nest)	-	-	
TR/53-85	Active	0	0	
TR/54-85	Inactive	-	-	
TR/55-85	Inactive	-	-	
PR/56-85	Active	1	1	

In interior Alaska, osprey reproductive success appeared to be reduced during 1985 over the previous 2 years (Table 2). P. Schempf² and D. Roseneau³ (pers. comm.) reported low nesting success in other Alaskan raptors this year. Spring and early summer weather (lingering snow cover and low temperatures) provided poor nesting conditions for ospreys. Local storms disrupted nesting and were probably the ultimate cause of several nesting mortalities.

Three nesting attempts were apparently thwarted by strong winds. The leaning spruce supporting nest 02-83 was toppled by a severe storm in the Tetlin area during July; this nest contained at least 2 young birds (D. Stearns⁴, pers. comm.). Nests 02-83 and 01-83 were located on the same lake and we assumed the 2 young found dead beneath nest 01-83 were probably blown from the nest and perished as a result of the storm that destroyed nest 02-83. Nest 40-84, built atop an electric utility tower, located along Shaw Creek, was destroyed by strong winds on 30 May. The nest was attended by a pair of ospreys and active prior to the storm, however the pair did not attempt to renest.

Forty-five nests (95%) were located on the TR and NWR. The majority of our aerial survey time was spent in these 2 areas and not surprisingly, most of the young were enumerated on TR and NWR. Unfortunately, our survey efforts reflect what we know, to a great extent, and not what we hope to learn, i.e., the <u>distribution</u> of nesting ospreys. However regrettable, we can only afford to conduct aerial surveys in areas of known breeding concentrations.

Productivity is the number of young fledged per active nesting pair. Productivity in 1985, 0.55 fledglings per active nesting pair, was considerably lower, than the figure calculated for 1984, 0.84 fledglings per active nesting pair (Table 2). Over the past 3 years, 3 nests have consistently held young birds (Appendices A and B). Breeding pairs at 3 nests, 01-83, 02-83, and 03-83, have produced nestlings annually, 1983-85. Forty-one percent of the young ospreys in the Tetlin area were raised in these 3 nests. Several explanations (at least 1 per biologist) exist for this observation.

- ² P. Schempf, Project Leader, Raptor Management Studies, USFWS, Box 1287, Juneau, AK 99802.
- ³ D. Roseneau, LGL Alaska, Inc., 3549 College Road, Fairbanks, AK 99701.
- ⁴ D. Stearns, Refuge Manager, Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge, Box 115, Tok, AK 99780.

Sixty-five percent of the fledglings produced on TR and NWR the past 3 years were found in nests adjacent to Island Lake and Fish Lake (Merganser Lake). These bodies of water appear to be medium-aged (well-scalloped edge), cave-in lakes. Island Lake apparently does not contain fish while Fish Lake seasonally supports 2 potential osprey prey, northern pike and whitefish.

Year	Active Nests	Nests with Young	Young Produced	Young Fledged	Productivity
1983	8	4	9	9	1.13
1984	19	9	18	16	0.84
1985	22	9	16	12	0.55

Table 2. Osprey productivity from 1983-85 for interior Alaska.

Three critical assumptions affect the accuracy of the productivity statistic. The first supposition is the classification of nests as active or inactive. This year, as in the past, nests were categorized on the basis of 1 aerial survey flown late in the breeding season. We have been unable to get a thorough survey completed early in the nesting season. This bias leads to an underestimate of the number of active nests and increases the productivity estimate. At least 2 surveys are necessary: an initial one in May to determine the location and number of nesting pairs and another in late July or early August to count the young produced. Supernumerary nest sites also affect the classification of nests as active or inactive. In southeastern Alaska, most osprey pairs build and maintain 2 nests in proximity⁵. Obviously, multiple visits throughout the nesting period improves the accuracy of the data collected.

The second assumption is that we censused all the nests and young produced in the Tok, Susitna Valley and Delta Junction regions. Undiscovered nests affect the abundance estimates and possibly the productivity calculations. This bias can be reduced by sharing information and coordinating activities with the Tetlin Refuge staff and local ADF&G personnel. Osprey nesting information can be gathered, often at no additional cost, during aerial waterfowl or other wildlife surveys.

⁵ Hughes, J. H. 1982. The osprey in southeast Alaska. Pp. 197-204 In Proceedings of a symposium and workshop raptor management and biology in Alaska and western Canada. USDI, USFWS, Anchorage, AK. 335 Pp.

Our productivity estimate is contingent on the third assumption that the nestlings we observed this year in early August would successfully fledge. The young we observed were approximately 14 to 30 davs from fledging. This estimate is based upon feather development. The 10 young banded showed considerable variation in plumage development. One nestling, on 10 August, lacked body contour feathers and its primaries were only about one-third emerged from their follicles. Obviously, fledging will be later this year than in the previous 2 years. At 63° latitude, delays in fledging can be risky. The failure of nestlings to fledge would lower productivity estimates.

An artificial nest platform was erected atop the Alascom radio tower along the Petersville Road. The platform was made of electrical conduit bent into a ring approximately 36 inches in diameter. Steel reinforcing bars were welded like spokes across the ring for support and also around the perimeter the ring in an upright position to support the sides of the nest. ADF&G biologists provided the platform design and Alascom, Inc., provided the materials and labor to build and place the artificial nest structure. The materials cost less than \$20.00 and it took approximately 8 hours to construct and install the platform. Nest 16-83, located on the tower, was placed intact, into this "nest basket" during April. An osprey nesting pair began using the nest in early May, and 1 unhatched egg was collected from the nest in early August.

The addled eggs in nests 07-83, 16-84 and 29-84 could be the result of an infertile egg, death of the embryo through chilling or desiccation of the egg, or pesticide contamination. One egg from nest 16-83, was recovered and forwarded to the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service facilities at Patuxent, Maryland, for pesticide analysis and determination of shell thickness. The results will probably not be available before the end of the year. We will continue our attempts to recover any addled eggs discovered in the future.

We have had one band recovery during the project. An osprey nestling banded at TR (nest 03-83) in August 1983 was found dead near Roseville, California during November of the same year. There have been no additional band recoveries during the past year.

<u>Acknowledgment</u> - I wish to acknowledge and extend credit to Jack Whitman, John Wright, and Nancy Tankersley for field assistance; Dave Kelleyhouse, and Dave Stearns for information on the Tetlin area and help with organizing the survey; C. J. Henny for assistance with the addled egg.

Appendix A.	Nest status, young produced and fledging success of
	ospreys on Tetlin Reservation (TR) Tetlin National
	Wildlife Refuge (NWR), and Susitna Valley (PR),
	during 1983.

		Young	
Location/Number	Status	Produced	Fledged
TR/01-83	Active	3	3
TR/02-83	Active	1	1
TR/03-83	Active	2	2
TR/04-83	Active	3	3
TR/05-83	Active	0	
NWR/06-83	Active	0	
TR/07-83	Active	0	
TR/08-83 through			
TR/11/83	Inactive	0	
NWR/12-83 through			
NWR/15-83	Inactive	0	
PR/16-83	Active	0	

•

Appendix B.	Nest status, young produced and fledging success of ospreys
	nesting on Tetlin Reservation (TR), Tetlin National
	Wildlife Refuge (NWR), Susitna Valley (PR), and Shaw Creek
	(SC) during 1984.

		Young		
Location/Number	Status	Produc	ed <u>F</u>	ledged
TR/01-83	Active	2		2
TR/02-83	Active	2		2
TR/03-83	Active	3		3
TR/04-83	Active	3		3
TR/05-83	Inactive	~		-
NWR/06-83	Active	0		-
TR/07-83	Active	Õ		-
TR/08-83	Inactive	-		-
TR/09-83	Nest not located:			
	probably destroyed	- h		-
TR/10-83	Inactive	-		-
TR/11-83	Active: nest contai	ned 1 i	nfertile egg	
NWR/12-83 & 13-83	Inactive	-		-
NWR/14-83	Active	2		2
NWR/15-83	Active	ō		-
PR/16-83	Active: nest remove	d from	tower	
TR/17-84	Inactive		00001	-
TR/18-84	Active	1	(dead	in nest)
TR/19-84 through		-	(2022	111 11000)
TR/22-84	Inactive	-		_
TR/23-84	Active: nest contain	ned 1 e	99	
TR/24-84	Inactive	-	00	-
TR/25-84	Active	0		
TR/26-84 through		-		
TR/30-84	Inactive	-		· _
TR/31-84	Active	1		0
TR/32-84	Inactive	-		-
TR/33-84	Active	0		-
TR/34-84	Inactive	-		_
TR/35-84	Active	1		1
TR/36-84	Inactive	-		-
TR/37-84	Inactive	-		-
TR/38-84	Active	0		-
TR/39-84	Inactive	-		-
SC/40-84	Active	3 (1	infertile	3
<i>.</i>		ς =	egg)	
NWR/41-84	Active	0		-













MapPrepares By: J. Hughes 8-85