Alaska Department of Fish & Game Division of Wildlife Conservation

.

٩

Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Research Progress Report

1 July 1993 - 30 June 1994

Development and Testing of a General Predator-Prey Computer Model for Use in Making Management Decisions

by

Mark E. McNay



Grant W-24-2 Study 1.46

STATE OF ALASKA Tony Knowles, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME Carl L. Rosier, Commissioner

DIVISION OF WILDLIFE CONSERVATION Wayne L. Regelin, Acting Director

Persons intending to cite this material should receive permission from the author(s) and/or the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Because most reports deal with preliminary results of continuing studies, conclusions are tentative and should be identified as such. Please give authors due credit.

Additional copies of this report and other Division of Wildlife Conservation publications are available from:

> Publications Specialist ADF&G, Wildlife Conservation P.O. Box 25526 Juneau, AK 99802 (907) 465-4190

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game conducts all programs and activities free from discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. For information on alternative formats for this and other department publications, please contact the department ADA Coordinator at (voice) 907-465-4120, (TDD) 1-800-478-3648, or FAX 907-586-6595. Any person who believes she/he has been discriminated against should write to: ADF&G, PO Box 25526, Juneau, AK 99802-5526 or O.E.O., U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington DC 20240.

Ł

PROGRESS REPORT (RESEARCH)

State:	<u>Alaska</u>		
Cooperator:	Layne Adams, National Park Service, Anchorage		
Project No.:	<u>W-24-2</u>	Project Title:	Wildlife Research and Management
Study No.:	<u>1.46</u>	Study Title:	Development and Testing of a General Predator- Prey Computer Model for Use in Making Management Decisions

Period Covered: <u>1 July 1993-30 June 1994</u>

BACKGROUND

In 1991, a comprehensive wolf management planning process stimulated increased public involvement in management of Alaska's big game species. Public requests to intensively manage for sustained high harvests of moose, caribou, and sheep from manipulated predator-prey systems were countered by public requests for lower, "natural" yields of big game from unmanipulated predator-prey systems. Those conflicting public values placed additional responsibilities on managers to more clearly predict consequences of proposed management programs.

In response to past controversy over predator-prey management, biologists in Alaska, other northern states, and the Yukon have conducted significant research into the general behavior of large ungulate-large carnivore ecological systems. Those advances in large prey-predator ecological research, and the wide availability and use of personal computers, have created an opportunity to develop additional tools for management decisions.

Many of the predator-prey relationships investigated in intensive predator-prey studies have proven to be consistent throughout Alaska and northern Canada (McNay 1993). Those relationships can be combined to model wolf predation rates, wolf population response to changing ungulate densities, and conversely, ungulate population responses to changing wolf densities. Predation rates by bears on ungulates, while not as predictable as those by wolves, can be estimated from predation rates observed in studies of systems similar to those being modeled. In addition, responses of ungulate populations to extreme weather can be modeled using data describing thresholds of critical weather such as described for moose by Coady (1974). Historical weather records could be used to produce probability estimates for the occurrence of severe weather events.

STUDY OBJECTIVES

To develop a computer model to assist Alaskan wildlife managers in making annual management decisions regarding big game predator-prey systems, and to verify the effectiveness and sensitivity of that model by modeling predator-prey systems that have been intensively studied and/or manipulated.

1

ł

- 1. Review literature of predator-prey studies to identify basic relationships of Alaskan predator-prey systems.
- 2. Construct a general predator-prey model using Lotus 1-2-3 software.
- 3. Write a manual describing model function and basis for model assumptions, including guidelines for model use.
- 4. Compile and analyze predator-prey data for western Unit 20B for the period 1984-89. Prepare a report describing predator-prey dynamics in western Unit 20B.
- 5. Validate and refine model functions to simulate known dynamics of intensively studied predator-prey systems.
- 6. Train area biologists using the model to apply it to current management problems.
- 7. Write final report and prepare presentations for public and scientific meetings.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A prototype of a general predator-prey model using Lotus 1-2-3 software was completed. The model uses inputs estimated from routine survey and inventory activities, or extrapolated from intensive predator-prey studies. The model will be useful to management biologists to estimate 1) trends in ungulate and wolf population size, 2) annual allowable ungulate harvests to meet management objectives, 3) efficacy of proposed predator reduction programs, 4) potential interactive effects of severe weather and predation on ungulate populations, and 5) population dynamics of ungulate-predator systems containing more than one ungulate prey species and predator species.

During July and August 1993, an initial draft of the user's manual was prepared and a progress report detailing work accomplished during the 1 July 1992-30 June 1993 period was completed and submitted (McNay 1993). The principle investigator was assigned to other projects beginning in September 1993 and did not make further progress on refinement of the prototype model or on the draft user's manual. A revised Study Plan was submitted in June 1994, and the completion date of this project was changed to September 30, 1995. A final report will be submitted at that time.

LITERATURE CITED

Coady, J.W. 1974. Influence of snow on behavior of moose. Nat. Can. 101:417-436.

McNay, M.E. 1993. Development and testing of a general predator-prey computer model for use in making management decisions. Alaska Dep. Fish and Game. Fed. Aid Wildl. Restor. Progress Rep. Proj. W-24-1. Juneau. 26pp.

PREPARED BY:

Mark E. McNay

Wildlife Biologist III

1

APPROVED BY:

Wayne L. Regelin, Acting Director Division of Wildlife Conservation

SUBMITTED BY:

Daniel J. Reed Regional Research Coordinator

Steven R. Peterson, Senior Staff Biologist Division of Wildlife Conservation

Alaska's Game Management Units



ĩ

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility, or if you desire further information please write to ADF&G, P.O. Box 25526, Juneau, AK 99802-5526; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 300 Webb, Arlington, VA 22203 or O.E.O., U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington DC 20240.

For information on alternative formats for this and other department publications, please contact the department ADA Coordinator at (voice) 907-465-6077, (TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078.