PROJECT TITLE: Age-specific natural mortality rates of male vs. female moose

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS: Rodney D. Boertje, Donald D. Young, C. Tom Seaton, and Kalin A. Kellie

COOPERATORS: Layne G. Adams (USGS) and Brad Griffith (University of Alaska Fairbanks)

FEDERAL AID GRANT PROGRAM: Wildlife Restoration

GRANT AND SEGMENT NO. W-33-7

PROJECT NO. 1.65

WORK LOCATION: Game Management Unit 20A

STATE: Alaska

PERIOD: 1 July 2008–30 June 2009

I. PROGRESS ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES SINCE PROJECT INCEPTION

OBJECTIVE 1: Continue literature review on (1) moose biology and ecology at high densities; (2) indices to nutritional status of ungulates; (3) models of ungulate population dynamics; (4) predator-prey ratios in relation to population dynamics of moose, caribou, sheep, wolves, and grizzly bears; (5) predator-prey relationships in multi-prey, multi-predator systems; and (6) population and harvest data on moose, caribou, sheep, wolves, and bears in Unit 20A.

We reviewed weekly citations from the ISI Web of Knowledge website through an Internet subscription with Thomson Scientific, Inc.

OBJECTIVE 2: Estimate causes and age-specific rates of mortality among radiocollared male and female moose in Unit 20A. These data are expected to be useful in determining whether male moose have high survival rates in the 2- through 6-year age-classes as documented among females. These data will also be useful in evaluating when and why changes occur in population density.

Most results and the implications were published during this reporting period (Boertje et al. 2009). Calves had the highest annual mortality rates (49%), followed by male yearlings (28%), and female yearlings (17%). Male and female moose 2–5 years of age had minimal annual mortality rates (0–3%). Older females had moderate annual mortality rates of 4–5% among 6- to 8-year-old females and 7–14% among 9- to 12-year-old females. Based on a pre-calving modeled population of about 12,500 moose, we estimated numbers of moose that died annually during 1996–2004 when the moose population was increasing. Hunters, grizzly bears, and black bears each killed about 800

Project No. 1.65 - Age-specific natural mortality rates of male vs. female moose FY09 Final Performance Report

moose annually. In contrast, wolves killed about 1800 moose annually. Disease, malnutrition, and accidents accounted for about 500 total deaths annually. Most predator-killed moose (75%) were calves.

OBJECTIVE 3: Continue to estimate and evaluate the usefulness of several reproductive and condition indices for moose in Unit 20A. In particular we need to complete agespecific reproductive rates for moose older than 9 years old and evaluate whether male and female short-yearlings have similar masses. These data will also be useful in determining when and why changes occur in population density.

We published data from 1996–2007 (Boertje et al. 2007, 2009). We visually examined known-age radiocollared female moose during 11 May–mid June each year to determine the presence of a calf or calves. Individual moose were located at 24- or 48-hour intervals until about 6 May and less frequently until mid May. Annual parturition rates averaged 30% among 3 year olds (n = 5 yr). Cows did not twin until 5 years of age. Cows aged 4, 5, and \geq 14 years of age produced fewer calves than moose 6–13 years of age. Age classes \geq 48 months of age (n = 11 age classes, 814 moose) produced an average of 84 calves/100 cows annually during 1996–2007. Cows \geq 36 months of age produced a weighted average of 75 calves/100 cows annually based on age-specific production rates of radiocollared cows and proportions of age classes in the population. Our total sample sizes remain low (\leq 26) for moose in age classes \geq 13 years old. Data continue to indicate that moose are nutritionally stressed relative to other wild, non-insular moose populations in North America, but less stressed than the island moose populations on Newfoundland and several penned moose populations (Boertje et al. 2007).

During this 12-year study of weights in early March, 135 males and 224 females each averaged 165 kg. We weighed 24–29 male short-yearlings during 2–4 March 2007 and 2008 to compare weights among study areas and to examine sexual and annual differences (Boertje et al. 2007). We also weighed 25 female calves and 23 male calves during 10–14 October 2006. Male calves were noticeably heavier than females in October, but differences were minimal among surviving individuals reweighed during 12–15 March 2007.

OBJECTIVE 4: Review literature, write annual progress reports, write final project report, and publish results in peer-reviewed journals.

To date, we have written 3 of 5 annual reports due on this project. The final report is due 1 September 2011. We published 2 papers recently and the citations are listed below. We collected data for these papers during 1996–2007, so data were primarily from earlier contiguous projects 1.51 and 1.57 in the study area.

II. SUMMARY OF WORK COMPLETED ON JOBS IDENTIFIED IN ANNUAL PLAN THIS PERIOD

OBJECTIVE 1: Continue literature review on (1) moose biology and ecology at high densities; (2) indices to nutritional status of ungulates; (3) models of ungulate population dynamics; (4) predator-prey ratios in relation to population dynamics of moose, caribou, sheep, wolves, and grizzly bears; (5) predator-prey relationships in multi-prey and multi-predator systems; and (6) population and harvest data on moose, caribou, sheep, wolves, and bears in Unit 20A.

Project No. 1.65 - Age-specific natural mortality rates of male vs. female moose FY09 Final Performance Report

I routinely reviewed new abstracts using a weekly Thomson Internet bibliographic service (ISI Discovery Agent). Desired references were retrieved through ARLIS or the UAF library. I estimated that 25 person-days were spent on this job during this reporting period.

OBJECTIVE 2: Estimate causes and age-specific rates of mortality among radiocollared male and female moose in Unit 20A. These data are expected to be useful in determining whether male moose have high survival rates in the 2- through 6-year age-classes as documented among females. These data will also be useful in evaluating when and why changes occur in population density.

We captured 24 adult moose during this reporting period (21 males and 3 females) to renew aging collars. All these moose were initially collared 48 to 96 months prior to March 2009. No capture-related deaths occurred. We radiotracked all moose (n = 130–150) at least monthly in winter and 2 or 3 times monthly in summer with fixed-wing aircraft. We deployed a helicopter to recover collars and to investigate causes of death. All data were entered into age-specific, sex-specific, and summary Excel[®] spreadsheets using Kaplan-Meier staggered-entry design for mortality studies. No unusual mortality occurred during this reporting period. Adequate sample sizes (n = >25) now exist to the age of 13 years for females and 5 years for males. The oldest radiocollared female was 17 years old and the oldest male was 9 years old. To date, male and female moose 2 to 7 years of age rarely died except from harvest.

OBJECTIVE 3: Continue to estimate and evaluate the usefulness of several reproductive and condition indices for moose in Unit 20A. In particular we need to complete agespecific reproductive rates for moose older than 9 years old and evaluate whether male and female short-yearlings have similar masses. These data will also be useful in determining when and why changes occur in population density.

JOB/ACTIVITY 3A: <u>Determine birth and twinning rates of known-age moose</u>, particularly those older than 9 years old to complete data through the age of 14.

Aerial observations of 63 adult cows \geq 5 years of age in May 2009 indicated a parturition rate of 79%. Twinning rate was 8% (n = 60) during late May transect surveys of all females (no telemetry). Twinning rate was also 8% based on observations (48-hr intervals from mid May through mid Jun) of 49 parturient radioed females \geq 5 years of age.

JOB/ACTIVITY 3B: Weigh male short-yearlings to determine if male weights are significantly different than previously collected female weights.

This job was terminated after March 2008, but 83 female calves were weighed in Unit 20A in March 2009 as part of Project 1.67.

OBJECTIVE 4: Review literature, write annual progress reports, write final project report, and publish results in peer-reviewed journals.

This report is the third of 5 annual reports due on this project. One paper was published during this reporting period and the citation is listed below. We currently envision 4 future papers using data from this and past study plans. Preliminary titles include:

Project No. 1.65 - Age-specific natural mortality rates of male vs. female moose FY09 Final Performance Report

- (1) Comparative mortality rates of male versus female moose,
- (2) Reproductive characteristics of nutritionally-stressed female moose,
- (3) Body weights of short-yearling moose in a nutritionally-stressed population, and
- (4) Accuracy of age estimation in moose from counting cementum annuli.

III. ADDITIONAL FEDERAL AID-FUNDED WORK NOT DESCRIBED ABOVE THAT WAS ACCOMPLISHED ON THIS PROJECT DURING THIS SEGMENT PERIOD

We collected known-age teeth from moose carcasses and sent these to Matson's Laboratory to evaluate the accuracy rate of counting cementum annuli. We published results of similar, previous data in Boertje et al. (2009).

IV. PUBLICATIONS

BOERTJE, R.D., M.A. KEECH, D.D. YOUNG, K.A. KELLIE, AND C.T. SEATON. 2009. Managing for elevated yield of moose in Interior Alaska. Journal of Wildlife Management 73:314–327.

LITERATURE CITED:

BOERTJE, R.D., K.A. KELLIE, C.T. SEATON, M.A. KEECH, D.D. YOUNG, B.W. DALE, L.G. ADAMS, AND A.R. ADERMAN. 2007. Ranking Alaska moose nutrition: Signals to begin liberal anterless harvests. Journal of Wildlife Management 71:1494–1506.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THIS PROJECT

As recommended in 2003, we changed the emphasis from females to males when collaring short-yearlings. This allowed us to investigate age-specific natural mortality rates of males, as we continue to investigate these rates for old females. Prior to this study, no data existed on predation rates of adult male moose in Interior Alaska. Area biologists have frequently requested this information to see if predation is very low, as documented among 2- through 6-year-old females in our previous work. If predation is low among 2- through 6-year-old males, then antler-restricted hunting seasons will not strongly lower the long-term numbers of adult bull moose available to hunters.

We used the large numbers of radioed moose in our study area to test the sightability of moose during 3 recent population estimates (early winter 2004–2006; Boertje et al. 2009). We recommend that this work be expanded.

VI. APPENDIX

Publication of a paper in the *Journal of Wildlife Management* occurred during this reporting period. The abstract follows:

Managing for elevated yield of moose in Interior Alaska

RODNEY D. BOERTJE, MARK A. KEECH, DONALD D. YOUNG, KALIN A. KELLIE, AND C. TOM SEATON

ABSTRACT: Given recent actions to increase sustained yield of moose (*Alces alces*) in Alaska, USA, we examined factors affecting yield and moose demographics and discussed related management. Prior studies concluded that yield and density of moose

Project No. 1.65 - Age-specific natural mortality rates of male vs. female moose FY09 Final Performance Report

remain low in much of Interior Alaska and Yukon, Canada, despite high moose reproductive rates, because of predation from lightly harvested grizzly (Ursus arctos) and black bear (U. americanus) and wolf (Canis lupus) populations. Our study area, Game Management Unit (GMU) 20A, was also in Interior Alaska, but we describe elevated vield and density of moose. Prior to our study, a wolf control program (1976–1982) helped reverse a decline in the moose population. Subsequent to 1975, moose numbers continued a 28-year, 7-fold increase through the initial 8 years of our study ($\lambda_{B1} = 1.05$ during 1996–2004, peak density = $1,299 \text{ moose}/1,000 \text{ km}^2$). During these initial 8 hunting seasons, reported harvest was composed primarily of males ($\overline{x} = 88\%$). Total harvest averaged 5% of the prehunt population and 57 moose/1,000 km², the highest sustained harvest density recorded in Interior Alaska for similar-sized areas. In contrast, sustained total harvests of <10 moose/1,000 km² existed among low density, predatorlimited moose populations in Interior Alaska (\leq 417 moose/1,000 km²). During the final 3 vears of our study (2004–2006), moose numbers declined ($\lambda_{B2} = 0.96$) as intended using liberal harvests of female and male moose ($\bar{x} = 47\%$) that averaged 7% of the prehunt population and 97 moose/1.000 km^2 . We intentionally reduced high densities in the central half of GMU 20A (up to 1,741 moose/1,000 km² in Nov) because moose were reproducing at the lowest rate measured among wild, noninsular North American populations. Calf survival was uniquely high in GMU 20A compared with 7 similar radiocollaring studies in Alaska and Yukon. Low predation was the proximate factor that allowed moose in GMU 20A to increase in density and sustain elevated yields. Bears killed only 9% of the modeled postcalving moose population annually in GMU 20A during 1996–2004, in contrast to 18–27% in 3 studies of low-density moose populations. Thus, outside GMU 20A, higher bear predation rates can create challenges for those desiring rapid increases in sustained yield of moose. Wolves killed 8–15% of the 4 postcalving moose populations annually (10% in GMU 20A), hunters killed 2–6%, and other factors killed 1-6%. Annually during the increase phase in GMU 20A, calf moose constituted 75% of the predator-killed moose and predators killed 4 times more moose than hunters killed. Wolf predation on calves remained largely additive at the high moose densities studied in GMU 20A. Sustainable harvest densities of moose can be increased several-fold in most areas of Interior Alaska where moose density and moose:predator ratios are lower than in GMU 20A and nutritional status is higher. Steps include 1) reducing predation sufficient to allow the moose population to grow, and 2) initiating harvest of female moose to halt population growth and maximize harvest after densitydependent moose nutritional indices reach or approach the thresholds we previously published.

Journal of Wildlife Management 73:314–327 (2009)

Project No. 1.65 - Age-specific natural mortality rates of male vs. female moose FY09 Final Performance Report

PREPARED BY:

APPROVED BY:

Rodney D. Boertje Wildlife Biologist III

SUBMITTED BY:

Scott M. Brainerd Research Coordinator

Laura A. McCarthy Publications Technician II Clayton R. Hawkes Federal Assistance Coordinator Division of Wildlife Conservation

Douglas N. Larsen, Director Division of Wildlife Conservation

APPROVAL DATE: _____