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## 2010 Preliminary Yukon River Summer Season Summary

This informational letter provides a preliminary summer season summary of the 2010 Yukon Area Chinook and summer chum salmon fisheries. Subsistence and personal use harvests for 2010 are not available at this time. For management purposes, the Yukon River is divided into several fishing districts/subdistricts (Figure 1).

## 2010 Preseason Outlook

## Chinook Salmon

The total Yukon River Chinook salmon run can be estimated by applying historical average proportions of Canadian-origin fish in the total run to the outlook estimated for the Canadian component of the run. The average proportion of Canadian-origin fish in the total run is about $50 \%$. Using this method, the expected total Yukon River run size was projected to be 226,200 , based on the averaged value for both Sibling and Ricker models. The low end of this estimate, however, was expected to be as low as 155,600 fish based on low productivity since 2007. There is a lot of uncertainty associated with this methodology and, due to apparent reductions in productivity in recent years, environmental factors and other phenomena not incorporated into the models, the upper end of this 155,600 to 226,200 Chinook salmon outlook was deemed unlikely.

Thus, the 2010 Yukon River Chinook salmon run was projected to be below average to average. It was therefore prudent to enter the 2010 season with the prospect that subsistence conservation measures, much less severe than those used in 2009, would be required in an effort to share the available subsistence harvest and meet escapement goals. It was unlikely that there would be a directed Chinook salmon commercial fishery in 2010 on the mainstem river, but there was a potential opportunity to commercially harvest less than 1,000 Chinook salmon on the Tanana River, as the Tanana River is managed independently as a terminal fishery.

## Summer Chum Salmon

The strength of the summer chum salmon run in 2010 was dependent on production from the 2006 (age-4 fish) and 2005 (age- 5 fish) escapements, as these age classes dominate the run. The total run during 2004 and 2005 was approximately 2.6 and 4.0 million summer chum salmon respectively, though tributary escapements were highly variable.
Yukon River summer chum generally exhibit strong run size correlations among adjacent years, and it was expected that the total run in the Yukon River would be similar to the 2009 run of approximately 1.3 million fish. The high seas Bering Arctic Subarctic Integrated Surveys (BASIS) study indicated a decline in chum salmon in 2004 and 2005, but 2006 and 2007 results showed an increase. Juvenile chum salmon collected in the BASIS study in 2006 and 2007 would correspond to dominant age class returns (age-5 and 4, respectively) in 2010.

The 2010 summer chum salmon run was anticipated to provide for escapements, support a normal subsistence harvest, and a surplus for commercial harvest. Summer chum salmon runs have provided for a harvestable surplus in each of the last 7 years (2003-2009). If inseason indicators of run strength developed as anticipated, the commercially harvestable surplus in Alaska was expected to range from 250,000 to 500,000 summer chum salmon. The actual commercial harvest of summer chum salmon in 2010 will likely be affected by a poor Chinook salmon run, as Chinook salmon are incidentally harvested in chum salmon-directed fisheries.

## 2010 Preseason Management Strategy

Chinook and summer chum salmon management plans guide ADF\&G management actions. Because of recent poor Chinook salmon runs, before the 2010 season, the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association (YRDFA) facilitated a series of regional teleconferences and an in-person meeting to provide managers, fishermen, tribal council representatives, and other stakeholders the opportunity to share information, provide input, and discuss management options. The purpose of the calls and meeting was to work cooperatively to identify options and management strategies for 2010 that would assist in getting adequate numbers of fish to the spawning grounds, particularly to Canada, should the 2010 Chinook salmon run be similar to the unexpected low runs of 2007 and 2008. Based on input from these meetings, a preseason management plan was developed for the Yukon River summer season fishery. The preseason plan included the following key components:

- Initial management would be based on preseason projections and shift to inseason assessment information as runs develop.
- Providing for escapement in both Alaska and Canada would be maintained as the highest management priority. Meeting the one year Canadian Interim Management Escapement Goal (IMEG) of 42,500-55,000 Chinook salmon based on the Eagle sonar program was the highest concern and providing for subsistence fishing would remain as the highest priority use.
- It was unlikely there would be any directed Chinook salmon commercial openings.
- The regulatory subsistence salmon fishing schedule would begin June 7 in District 1 and be implemented chronologically with the upriver migration.
- The Tanana River personal use and sport fisheries would be managed to meet escapement objectives for Chena and Salcha rivers.
- A surplus of summer chum salmon was anticipated above escapement and subsistence needs. However, the extent of a directed chum commercial fishery would be dependent upon the strength of the Chinook salmon run.
If the Chinook salmon run developed below expectations, managers would consider implementing conservation measures, such as no fishing on a portion of the run or a reduction in fishing time, in an effort to meet escapement goals.

Since 2001, the subsistence salmon fishery has operated on a schedule implemented by ADF\&G, which is chronologically consistent with migratory timing as the run progresses upstream. Subsistence fishing is open 7 days per week until the schedule is established. The subsistence salmon fishing schedule is based on current or past fishing schedules and provides reasonable opportunity for subsistence during years of normal to below average runs. The objectives of the schedule are to: 1) reduce harvest early in the run when there is a higher level of uncertainty, 2) spread the harvest throughout the run to reduce harvest impacts on any particular component of the run, and 3) provide subsistence fishing opportunity among all users during years of low salmon runs.

Table 1.-Yukon Area subsistence salmon fishing schedule, 2010.
Note: this schedule was subject to change depending on run str ength.

| Area | Reduced Regulatory Subsistence Fishing Periods | Approximate Schedule to Begin | Days of the Week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coastal District | 7 days/week | All Season | M/T/W/TH/F/SA/SU-24 hours |
| District Y-1 | Two 36-hour periods/week | June 7 | Mon. 8 pm to Wed. 8 am/Thu. 8 pm to Sat. 8 am |
| District Y-2 | Two 36-hour periods/week | June9 | Wed. 8 pm to Fri. $8 \mathrm{am} /$ Sun. 8 pm to Tue. 8 am |
| District Y-3 | Two 36-hour periods/week | June 13 | Wed. 8 pm to Fri. 8 am/Sun. 8 pm to Tue. 8 am |
| Subdistrict Y-4-A | Two 48-hour periods/week | June 16 | Sun. 6 pm to Tue. 6 pm/Wed. 6 pm to Fri. 6 pm |
| Subdistricts Y-4-B, C | Two 48-hour periods/week | June 23 | Sun. 6 pm to Tue. 6 pm/ Wed. 6 pm to Fri. 6 pm |
| Koyukuk and Innoko Rivers | 7 days/week | All Season | M/T/W/TH/F/SA/SU-24 hours |
| Subdistricts Y-5-A, B, C | Two 48-hour periods/week | June 29 | Tue. 6 pm to Thu. 6 pm/Fri. 6 pm to Sun. 6 pm |
| Subdistrict Y-5-D | 7 days/week | All Season | M/T/W/TH/F/SA/SU-24 hours |
| District Y-6 | Two 42-hour periods/week | All Season | Mon. 6 pm to Wed. Noon/Fri. 6 pm to Sun. Noon |
| Old Minto Area | 5 days/week | All Season | Friday 6 pm to Wednesday 6 pm |

Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association (YRDFA) teleconferences are conducted weekly inseason to gather information from the public, disseminate project information, and to discuss run status and management actions. The YRDFA teleconferences have provided an excellent venue for not only distributing information, but also to provide feedback from public participants on potential management actions. This year and in recent years, management decisions have been made with recommendations from these teleconferences.

## 2010 Subsistence Fishery

Inseason run strength assessment of Chinook and summer chum salmon was based on the lower river test fisheries (LYTF) at Emmonak and Mountain Village, the Pilot Station sonar, and subsistence fishermen catch reports. In addition, genetic samples collected in the lower river test fishery and at Pilot Station sonar were analyzed inseason to determine stock contribution and to project abundance of the Canadian Chinook salmon stocks. The summer season began with a near average ice breakup in the lower river. However, shorefast sea ice lingering outside the mouth of the river contributed to the late migration of Chinook salmon. The first pulse of Chinook salmon was observed in the LYTF project on June 16-21, a second pulse on June $23-25$, and a third on June 27-28. The first quarter point, midpoint, and third quarter point were June 19 ( 4 days late), June 25 ( 5 days late), and July 1 (4 days late) respectively. The LYTF finished with a cumulative CPUE of 18.67, approximately $15 \%$ below the historical average. The preliminary Pilot Station sonar estimate was approximately 113,400 Chinook salmon as compared to the 1995-2009 average passage of 141,000 fish. The first quarter point, midpoint, and third quarter point were on June 22, June 26, and June 30 respectively.
Through the month of June, the Chinook salmon run was assessed to be large enough to provide for escapement and subsistence uses based upon the preseason outlook and late run timing. Most subsistence salmon fishermen delayed their fishing effort due to gas prices and low fish abundance early in the season. The regulatory "windowed" subsistence salmon fishing schedule was initiated on June 7 in District 1 and was implemented chronologically upriver as the run progressed upstream. Persistent wet and cold weather conditions around the Yukon Delta led many subsistence fishermen to abstain from harvesting the first pulse of Chinook salmon due to the poor processing conditions. Throughout the drainage there were episodes of high water events with heavy debris loads which preempted subsistence fishing. As the Chinook salmon migration moved upriver, managers considered reducing fishing time in order to conserve salmon, but found that poor fishing conditions coincided with periods when Chinook salmon were passing through areas. Fishing restrictions would have forced fishermen to take high risks during openings or fish less efficiently when the cost of operating was already high. Therefore, additional subsistence restrictions were not imposed.
In Subdistrict 5-D when it became evident that the Chinook salmon run would fall short of the U.S./Canada Yukon Treaty obligation to pass adequate numbers into Canadian escapements and provide for harvest sharing, fishermen were asked to consider conservation measures such as voluntary harvest reductions, shifting harvest to other species, spreading harvest out over the duration of the run, reducing extended sharing, and keeping fish harvested within the village or local area. It was understood that fishing had been difficult this year due to water conditions and high fuel costs. Imposing fishing restriction at the time would have increased hardships. The hope was to provide fishermen the flexibility to work around their own unique fishing conditions to effectively conserve Chinook salmon where they could.

## 2010 Commercial Fishery

## Chinook Salmon

Due to uncertainty concerning the Chinook salmon run strength and the need to fulfill our Canadian border passage obligation, meet Alaska escapement needs, and provide for subsistence uses, management of the Chinook salmon commercial fishery continued to follow the
conservative preseason management strategy and no commercial periods targeting Chinook salmon were allowed in 2010 in the Yukon River mainstem or in the Tanana River.

Chinook salmon were incidentally caught in the summer chum salmon-directed commercial fishery. Since river wide subsistence fishing restrictions beyond the regulatory fishing schedule were not taken, the sale of incidental caught Chinook salmon was allowed. A total of 9,897 Chinook salmon were incidentally harvested and commercially sold in Districts 1 and 2 (Table 3). This range of commercial catch for Chinook salmon is $64 \%$ below the recent 10 -year (2000-2009) average of 27,298 Chinook salmon (Table 4).

## Summer Chum Salmon

Since 2007, there has been a renewed market interest for summer chum salmon in the lower river Districts 1 and 2. The summer chum salmon run strength of 1.3 million fish passed Pilot Station sonar was below the average of 1.6 million for the project. The first quarter point, midpoint, and third quarter point were on June 23, June 28, and July 1, respectively.

Management decisions regarding summer chum salmon were delayed until the third quarter point in the Chinook salmon run at LYTF. At this point, the summer chum salmon run was peaking and a total run size of 1.4 million fish was projected. A short commercial fishing period was announced for June 26 in District 1, with nets restricted to six-inch maximum mesh size, as a test to determine the chum to Chinook catch ratio. At this late point in the Chinook salmon run, it was expected that incidental harvest of Chinook salmon would be low and any Chinook salmon catches would be small and bound for lower river tributaries. However, test fishery information showed an abrupt drop in the summer chum entering the river, so the Department took an unprecedented action, with the cooperation of the primary fish buyer, to cancel the commercial period on short notice to avoid harvesting a significant number of Chinook salmon. The commercial period was delayed until June 28 which landed a catch of 2,109 Chinook and 30,295 chum salmon. Fishing was again delayed until July 1 when the commercial fishery resumed on a more regular schedule for Districts 1 and 2.

The Department scheduled eight commercial fishing periods targeting summer chum in District 1 and seven in District 2. The preliminary cumulative harvest for Districts 1 and 2 combined is 183,215 summer chum salmon (Table 3). The summer chum salmon harvest was $181 \%$ above the 2000-2009 average harvest of 65,143 fish (Table 5).

Additionally, since 2007 there has been renewed market interest for summer chum salmon in Subdistrict 4-A. There were no buyers interested in purchasing salmon from Subdistricts 4-B and 4-C. Management of the summer chum salmon commercial fishery in Subdistrict 4-A was dependent on the available surplus, fishing effort, and buyer input. Based upon preseason contacts with potential buyers, directed commercial fishing for summer chum salmon began July 7. Because of low effort during the first four 12-hour Subdistrict 4-A commercial fishing periods, commercial fishing was allowed to continue for 21 days until the end of the summer fishing season. Subsistence salmon fishing periods were not altered by commercial salmon fishing periods. During concurrent subsistence and commercial openings, Chinook salmon were kept for subsistence use. The preliminary cumulative harvest in $4-\mathrm{A}$ is 44,207 summer chum salmon (Table 3).

District 6 was managed using inseason assessment information provided by projects operated in the Tanana River drainage. Catch information observed at the test fish wheel operated near the
community of Nenana and escapement estimates collected by tower counting projects on the Chena and Salcha rivers were used as indicators of run strength and timing. Based on the available surplus and market interest, the Department scheduled the first commercial fishing period to target chum salmon in District 6 on July 19. The Department scheduled seven commercial fishing periods and the preliminary cumulative harvest was 5,466 summer chum salmon (Table 3).

The total commercial harvest for Districts 1, 2, 6, and Subdistrict 4-A combined was 232,888 summer chum salmon, which is $193 \%$ above the 2000-2009 average harvest of 79,438 fish (Table 5).

## 2010 Fishing Effort and Exvessel Value

A total of 450 permit holders participated in the summer chum salmon fishery, which was approximately $17 \%$ below the 2000-2009 average of 544 permit holders (Table 6). The Lower Yukon Area (Districts 1-3) and Upper Yukon Area (Districts 4-6) are separate Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission (CFEC) permit areas. A total of 440 permit holders fished in the Lower Yukon Area in 2010, which was approximately 16\% below the 2000-2009 average of 527. In the Upper Yukon Area, 10 permit holders fished, which was approximately $50 \%$ below the 2000-2009 average of 20.

Yukon River fishermen in Alaska received an estimated $\$ 1.5$ million for their Chinook and summer chum salmon harvest in 2010, approximately $16 \%$ below the 2000-2009 average of \$1.8 million (Table 7). One buyer-processor operated in the Lower Yukon Area (Districts 1-3). Lower Yukon River fishermen received an estimated average price per pound of $\$ 5.00$ for incidentally harvested Chinook and $\$ 0.70$ for summer chum salmon. The average price paid for Chinook salmon in the Lower Yukon Area was approximately 31\% above the 2000-2009 average of $\$ 3.81$ per pound. The estimated average income for Lower Yukon Area fishermen in 2010 was \$3,325.

Two buyer-processors operated in the Upper Yukon Area (Districts 4-6). Upper Yukon Area fishermen received an estimated average price per pound of $\$ 0.23$ for summer chum salmon sold in the round. The average price paid for summer chum salmon sold in the round in the Upper Yukon Area was approximately $9 \%$ below the 2000-2009 average of $\$ 0.25$ per pound (Table 7 ). No Chinook salmon were sold in the Upper Yukon Area. The average income for Upper Yukon Area fishermen that participated in the 2010 fishery was $\$ 6,153$.

## 2010 Age and Sex Composition

## Test Fisheries

Chinook salmon age composition from the 8.5 -inch mesh set gillnets in the LYTF was $4 \%$ age- 4 , $60 \%$ age- $5,34 \%$ age- 6 , and $2 \%$ age- 7 fish. The sample size was 1,322 fish. Age- 6 percentage was one-half of average and age-5 was twice the average. Females comprised $48 \%$ of the samples; 5 percentage points below average.

Summer chum salmon age composition from the 5.5 -inch mesh drift gillnets in the Hooper Bay Test Fishery was $3 \%$ age-3, $73 \%$ age- $4,23 \%$ age-5, and $<1 \%$ age- 6 fish. The sample size was 501 fish. Females were $48 \%$.

The summer chum salmon age composition from the 5.5 -inch mesh drift gillnets in the LYTF was $4 \%$ age- $3,65 \%$ age- $4,30 \%$ age- 5 , and $1 \%$ age- 6 fish. The sample size was 1,211 fish. The
age-4 percentage was $48 \%$ above average and the age- 5 percentage was $44 \%$ below average. Females were $57 \%$.

## Commercial Harvests

Chinook salmon age composition from the District 1 restricted ( 6 inch or smaller mesh) commercial harvest was $<1 \%$ age- $3,36 \%$ age- $4,47 \%$ age- $5,16 \%$ age- 6 , and less than $1 \%$ age- 7 fish. The sample size was 890 fish and females comprised $30 \%$.

Chinook salmon age composition from the District 2 restricted (6 inch or smaller mesh) commercial harvest was $<1 \%$ age- $3,31 \%$ age- $4,52 \%$ age- $5,16 \%$ age- $6,1 \%$ age- 7 fish. The sample size was 474 fish and females comprised $34 \%$.
Summer chum salmon age composition from the District 1 restricted ( 6 inch or smaller mesh) commercial harvest was $4 \%$ age- $3,67 \%$ age- $4,28 \%$ age- 5 , and $1 \%$ age- 6 fish. The sample size was 1,259 fish and females comprised $42 \%$.

Summer chum salmon age composition from the District 2 restricted (6 inch or smaller mesh) commercial harvest was $5 \%$ age- $3,71 \%$ age- $4,23 \%$ age- 5 , and $1 \%$ age- 6 fish. The sample size was 625 fish and females comprised $42 \%$.

The summer chum salmon age composition from the District 4 commercial harvest is not available at this time. The sample size was 680 fish and females comprised $58 \%$.

The summer chum salmon age and sex composition from the District 6 commercial harvest are not available at this time.

## 2010 Assessment and Escapement

## Chinook Salmon

The first reported subsistence caught Chinook and summer chum salmon were reported near Emmonak and Alukanuk on June 8. The LYTF recorded the first Chinook salmon catches on June 9.

The LYTF concluded operations on July 15 with a cumulative CPUE of 18.67 , which was below the average of 22.76 . The first quarter point, midpoint, and third quarter point are June 19 (4 days late), June 25 ( 5 days late), and July 1 (4 days late) respectively.

The Pilot Station sonar project preliminary cumulative passage estimate from June 1 to August 9 was 113,410 Chinook salmon. The first quarter point, midpoint, and third quarter point were on June 22, June 26, and June 30 respectively.

Inseason management decisions were based on the best available assessment data and the preseason outlook and management plan. The actual 2010 Chinook salmon run was much weaker than the preseason projection and early inseason assessment projects indicated. Consequently, most escapement results were disappointing. Chinook salmon escapement goals for the East Fork Andreafsky, West Fork Andreafsky, and Salcha rivers were achieved. The Anvik and Chena river escapement goals were not achieved. Preliminary Chinook salmon passage at Eagle sonar is 35,128 fish, yielding a border passage of approximately 33,500 fish. These numbers, however, are subject to change. Selected 2010 escapement estimates for tributaries with goals were as follows:

| Stream | Current Goal | Type of Goal | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| East Fork Andreafsky River Weir | $2,100-4,900$ | SEG | 2,413 |
| West Fork Andreafsky River Aerial | $640-1,600$ | SEG | 858 |
| Anvik River Index Aerial | $1,100-1,700$ | SEG | 721 |
| Nulato River Aerial (Forks Combined) | $940-1,900$ | SEG | 711 |
| Chena River Tower | $2,800-5,700$ | BEG | 2,301 |
| Salcha River Tower | $3,300-6,500$ | BEG | 6,048 |
| Canadian Border | $42,500-55,000$ | IMEG $^{1}$ | $33,500^{2}$ |

[^0]
## Summer Chum Salmon

The Pilot Station sonar summer chum passage estimate through July 18 was 1,327,581 fish. The first quarter point, midpoint, and third quarter point were on June 23, June 28, and July 1 respectively.
Summer chum salmon escapements were variable, but most tributaries experienced good escapements. East Fork Andreafsky SEG and Anvik BEG were met. Salcha River escapement, however, was approximately 7,000 fish less than expected for this project. Selected 2010 escapement estimates for tributaries were as follows:

| Stream | Current Goal | Type of Goal | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| East Fork Andreafsky River Weir | $>40,000$ | SEG | 72,893 |
| Anvik River Sonar | $350,000-750,000$ | BEG | 396,173 |
| Gisasa River Weir |  | 47,667 |  |
| Henshaw Creek Weir |  | 100,670 |  |
| Chena River Tower |  | 7,580 |  |
| Salcha River Tower |  | 23,863 |  |

## Canadian Fisheries

The preseason outlook was for a run of approximately 77,800-113,100 Canadian-origin Chinook salmon. Canadian fishery managers conducted Chinook salmon fisheries according to available abundance and international harvest sharing provisions. Due to low border passage estimates, Canadian fisheries were restricted to First Nation harvest only, and approximately 1,705 Chinook salmon have been reported as harvested to date.

## Future Planning

In the upcoming months, fishery managers and stakeholders will be evaluating the management measures used in 2010 and discuss changes that may be necessary in 2011 to meet Yukon River

Treaty obligations. Management planning will begin at meetings of the U.S. Section of the Yukon Panel and Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association will again coordinate preseason meetings and teleconferences to gather management strategy input from fishermen and to distribute management information for the 2011 season.
Several avenues were investigated in 2010 to improve the effectiveness of inseason assessment. At Pilot Station sonar, the Department tested the feasibility of using down-looking sonar further offshore during periods of high silt to attempt to accommodate for the interference that silt causes. Longer drift nets were also tested at Pilot Station sonar to investigate the potential of species specific net avoidance under the current project's administration. Additionally, alternative fishing locations were explored. For the Lower Yukon Test Fishery, staff worked with local fishermen to identify better test fishing sites, and relocated some sites to improve the efficiency of the net sites. These investigations will continue in upcoming seasons to provide more clarity. The Department will continue to explore various ways of improving assessment projects, particularly those critical to inseason assessment and management.


Figure 1.-Yukon Area communities and fishing districts.

Table 2.-2010 Yukon River summer season subsistence fishing schedule.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Koyukuk and Innoko subsistence salmon fishing remained open 7 days per week and Old Minto Area remained open 5 days per week with unrestricted mesh size gillnets.

Table 3.-Preliminary summer season commercial harvest summary, Yukon Area, 2010.

| District 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Starting Time | Start <br> Date | Ending Time | End <br> Date | Hours <br> Fished | Mesh Size | Number of Fishermen | Chinook Salmon |  |  | Summer Chum Salmon |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Pounds | Average <br> Weight | Number | Pounds | Average <br> Weight |
| 1 | 6:00 PM | 28-Jun | 12:00am | 28-Jun | 6 | r | 211 | 2,122 | 25,220 | 11.9 | 30,282 | 197,233 | 6.5 |
| 2 | 8:00 PM | 1-Jul | 2:00 AM | 2-Jul | 6 | r | 216 | 863 | 11,135 | 12.9 | 9,394 | 60,747 | 6.5 |
| 3 | 6:00 PM | 3-Jul | 12:00 AM | 3-Jul | 6 | r | 210 | 865 | 12,038 | 13.9 | 9,560 | 61,635 | 6.4 |
| 4 | 12:00 PM | 6-Jul | 6:00 PM | 6-Jul | 6 | r | 186 | 688 | 8,877 | 12.9 | 18,408 | 120,313 | 6.5 |
| 5 | 6:00 PM | 8 -Jul | 3:00 AM | 9-Jul | 9 | r | 216 | 476 | 7,029 | 14.8 | 10,275 | 67,339 | 6.6 |
| 6 | 12:00 PM | 11-Jul | 9:00 PM | 11-Jul | 9 | r | 171 | 434 | 5,776 | 13.3 | 13,530 | 88,843 | 6.6 |
| 7 | 3:00 PM | 13-Jul | 12:00 AM | 13-Jul | 9 | r | 159 | 228 | 3,496 | 15.3 | 8,688 | 57,414 | 6.6 |
| 8 | 3:00 PM | 15-Jul | 12:00 AM | 15-Jul | 9 | r | 107 | 68 | 985 | 14.5 | 2,130 | 14,008 | 6.6 |
| No chinook salmon sold in the fall season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District 1 Subtotals: |  |  | Unrestric Restric | h Subtotal: h Subtotal: | $60$ |  | $264$ | $5,744$ | $74,556$ | $13.0$ | $102,267$ | 667,532 | $6.5$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 60 |  | 264 | 5,744 | 74,556 | 13.0 | 102,267 | 667,532 | 6.5 |
| District 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hinook Sal |  |  | er Chum Sal |  |
| Period | Starting Time | Start <br> Date | Ending Time | End <br> Date | Hours <br> Fished | Mesh Size | Number of Fishermen | Number | Pounds | Average Weight | Number | Pounds | Average Weight |
| 1 | 10:00 AM | 1-Jul | 2:00 PM | 1-Jul | 4 | r | 145 | 1,215 | 14,183 | 11.7 | 18,631 | 119,658 | 6.4 |
| 2 | 12:00 PM | 4-Jul | 6:00 PM | 4-Jul | 6 | r | 132 | 794 | 9,710 | 12.2 | 10,002 | 62,480 | 6.2 |
| 3 | 12:00 PM | 7-Jul | 6:00 PM | 7-Jul | 6 | r | 136 | 823 | 10,346 | 12.6 | 14,708 | 93,322 | 6.3 |
| 4 | 6:00 PM | 10-Jul | 12:00 AM | 10-Jul | 6 | r | 144 | 524 | 7,062 | 13.5 | 13,324 | 81,328 | 6.1 |
| 5 | 6:00 PM | 12-Jul | 12:00 AM | 12-Jul | 6 | r | 128 | 299 | 4,361 | 14.6 | 6,653 | 41,238 | 6.2 |
| 6 | 6:00 PM | 14-Jul | 12:00 AM | 14-Jul | 6 | r | 129 | 275 | 4,163 | 15.1 | 10,792 | 69,368 | 6.4 |
| 7 | 6:00 PM | 16-Jul | 3:00 AM | 17-Jul | 9 | r | 105 | 223 | 3,465 | 15.5 | 6,838 | 42,170 | 6.2 |
|  | No chinook salmon sold in the fall season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District 2 Subtotals: |  |  | Unrestrict | h Subtotal: | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | Restrict | h Subtotal: | 43 |  | 181 | 4,153 | 53,290 | 12.8 | 80,948 | 509,564 | 6.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 43 |  | 181 | 4,153 | 53,290 | 13 | 80,948 | 509,564 | 6.3 |


-continued-

Table 3.-Page 2 of 2.

| District 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Starting Time | Start <br> Date | Ending <br> Time | End <br> Date | Hours <br> Fished |  | Number of Fishermen | Chinook Salmon |  |  | Summer Chum Salmon |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Pounds | Average <br> Weight | Number | Pounds | Average Weight |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-A | 4-BC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6:00 PM | 7-Jul | 6:00 AM | 8-Jul | 12 | 0 |  | 2 | - | - | - | 1,390 | 7,784 | 5.6 |
| 2 | 6:00 PM | 8-Jul | 6:00 AM | 9 -Jul | 12 | 0 | 3 | - | - | - | 2,305 | 12,908 | 5.6 |
| 3 | 6:00 PM | 9 -Jul | 6:00 AM | 10-Jul | 12 | 0 | 2 | - | - | - | 1,733 | 9,705 | 5.6 |
| 4 | 6:00 PM | 10-Jul | 6:00 AM | 11-Jul | 12 | 0 | 2 | - | - | - | 1,290 | 7,224 | 5.6 |
| 5 | 6:00 PM | 11-Jul | 6:00 PM | 16-Jul | 120 | 0 | 4 | - | - | - | 9,998 | 53,003 | 5.3 |
| 6 | 6:00 PM | 16-Jul | 6:00 PM | 21-Jul | 120 | 0 | 4 | - | - | - | 16,706 | 86,821 | 5.2 |
| 7 | 6:00 PM | 21-Jul | 6:00 PM | 26-Jul | 120 | 0 | 5 | - | - | - | 6,958 | 36,181 | 5.2 |
| 8 | 6:00 PM | 26-Jul | 6:00 PM | 31-Jul | 120 | 0 | 4 | - | - | - | 3,827 | 19,901 | 5.2 |
| District 4 Subtotals: |  |  |  |  | 528 | 0 | 5 | - | - | - | 44,207 | 233,527 | 5.3 |


| District 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Starting Time | Start <br> Date | Ending Time | End <br> Date | Hours <br> Fished | Number of Fishermen | Chinook Salmon |  |  | Summer Chum Salmon |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Pounds | Average Weight | Number | Pounds | Average Weight |
| NO COMMERCIAL FISHING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Subdistricts 6-A, 6-B, and 6-C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Starting Time | Start <br> Date | Ending <br> Time | End <br> Date | Hours <br> Fished |  | Number of Fishermen | Chinook Salmon |  |  | Summer Chum Salmon |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Pounds | Average Weight | Number | Pounds | Average Weight |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6-A | 6-BC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6:00 PM | 19-Jul | 12:00 PM | 21-Jul | 42 | 42 |  | 3 | - | - | - | 625 | 3,750 | 6.0 |
| 2 | 6:00 PM | 23-Jul | 12:00 PM | $25-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 42 | 42 | 5 | - | - | - | 1,695 | 9,324 | 5.5 |
| 3 | 6:00 PM | 26-Jul | 12:00 PM | 28-Jul | 42 | 42 | 4 | - | - | - | 894 | 4,918 | 5.5 |
| 4 | 6:00 PM | 30-Jul | 12:00 PM | 1-Aug | 42 | 42 | 5 | - | - | - | 1,200 | 6,604 | 5.5 |
| 5 | 6:00 PM | 2-Aug | 12:00 PM | 4-Aug | 42 | 42 | 4 | - | - | - | 1,052 | 5,260 | 5.0 |
| 6 | 6:00 PM | 6-Aug | 12:00 PM | 8-Aug | 42 | 42 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| 7 | 6:00 PM | 9-Aug | 12:00 PM | 11-Aug | 42 | 42 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| District 6 Subtotals: |  |  |  |  | 294 | 0 | 5 | - | - | - | 5,466 | 29,856 | 5.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Hours <br> Fished |  | Number of Fishermen | Number | Pounds | Average Weight | Number | Pounds | Average Weight |
| Upper Yuk on Area, Summer Season, Districts 4,5, and 6 Subtotals: |  |  |  |  | 822 |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | - | 49,673 | 263,383 | 5.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | District 4 Guideline Harvest Range: 2,250-2,850 Chinook salmon. Subdistricts 6-A, 6-B, and 6-C Guideline Harvest Range: 600-800 Chinook salmon. |


|  | Hours Fished | Number of Fishermen | Number | Pounds | Average Weight | Number | Pounds | Average Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yukon Area, Summer Season, Districts 1 Through 6 Total: | 925 | 450 | 9,897 | 127,846 | 12.9 | 232,888 | 1,440,479 | 6.2 |

Table 4.-Chinook salmon commercial harvest and escapement comparisons, Yukon River, 2000-2010.

| Chinook Salmon Commercial Harvest a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District/Subdistrict | Guideline <br> Harvest Range | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Comparison of 2010 to $10-\mathrm{Yr}$. Average | ecent 10-Year <br> Average $(2000-2009)$ |
| 1 |  | 4,735 |  | 11,087 | 22,709 | 28,403 | 16,694 | 23,748 | 18,615 | 2,530 | 90 | 5,744 | -60\% | 14,290 |
| 2 |  | 3,783 |  | 11,434 | 14,220 | 24,145 | 13,413 | 19,843 | 13,302 | 2,111 | 226 | 4,153 | -64\% | 11,386 |
| Subtotal 1 \& 2 | 60,000-120,000 | 8,518 |  | 22,521 | 36,929 | 52,548 | 30,107 | 43,591 | 31,917 | 4,641 | 316 | 9,897 | -61\% | 25,676 |
| 3 | 1,800-2,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 315 | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4BC |  |  |  |  | 562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal 4 | 2,250-2,850 |  |  |  | 562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 ABC | 2,400-2,800 |  |  | 564 | 908 | 1,546 | 1,469 | 1,839 | 1,241 |  |  |  |  | 1,261 |
| 5D | 300-500 |  |  | 207 | 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 217 |
| Subtotal 5 |  |  |  | 771 | 1,134 | 1,546 | 1,469 | 1,839 | 1,241 |  |  |  |  | 1,333 |
| 6 | 600-800 |  |  | 836 | 1,813 | 2,057 | 453 | 84 | 281 |  |  |  |  | 921 |
| Total Alaska | 67,350-129,150 | 8,518 |  | 24,128 | 40,438 | 56,151 | 32,029 | 45,829 | 33,629 | 4,641 | 316 | 9,897 | -64\% | 27,298 |
| Canada b |  | 4,829 | 9,769 | 9,069 | 9,443 | 10,946 | 10,977 | 8,758 | 4,794 | 3,399 | 4,297 | $1,705^{\mathrm{m}}$ | -78\% | 7,628 |


| Project | Escapement Goal | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Comparison of 2010 to 5-Yr. Average | Recent 5-Year Average (2005-2009) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Fork Andreafsky River Weir |  | 1,609 | n | 4,123 | 4,336 | 8,045 | 2,239 | 6,463 | 4,504 | 4,242 | 3,004 | 2,413 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | -53\% | 5,099 |
| East Fork Andreafsky River Aerial c | $960-1,700$ SEG $^{\text {j }}$ | 1,018 | 1,065 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,447 | 1,116 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 2,879 | 1,715 | $590{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 1,758 | $278{ }^{\text {g }}$ | $80^{\text {g }}$ | 537 |  | 1,444 |
| West Fork Andreafsky River Aerial c | 640-1,600 SEG ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 427 | $570{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 917 | 1,578 | 1,317 | 1,492 | 824 | 976 | $262{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 1,664 | 858 | -12\% | 974 |
| Pilot Station Sonar |  | 44,428 | 99,403 | 123,213 | 268,537 | 156,606 | 159,441 | 169,403 | 125,553 | 130,643 ${ }^{\text {w }}$ | 122,990 | $113,410^{\mathrm{m}}$ | -24\% | 148,329 |
| Anvik River Index Aerial c | 1,100-1,700 SEG ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 1,394 | $1,172{ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1,329 | $973{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 3,475 | 2,421 | 1,776 | 1,580 | $992{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 590 | 721 | -65\% | 2,049 |
| Henshaw Creek Weir |  | 244 x | 1,103 | 649 | 763 | 1,246 | 1,059 |  | 569 | 779 | 1,157 | $793{ }^{\text {m }}$ | -13\% | 913 |
| Nulato River Tower |  | 908 |  | 2,696 | 1,716 | p | p p | p p | p | p |  | p |  |  |
| Nulato River Aerial c | 940-1,900 SEG ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | g | 1,884 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 1,584 | g | 1,321 | 553 | 1,292 | 2,583 | 922 | 2,251 | 711 | -47\% | 1,334 |
| Gisasa River Weir |  | 2,089 | 3,052 | 2,025 | 1,901 | 1,774 | 3,111 | 3,030 | 1,425 | 1,735 | 1,955 | $1,516^{\mathrm{m}}$ | -32\% | 2,215 |
| Gisasa River Aerial c |  | g | 1,298 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 506 | g | 731 | 958 | 843 | 593 | 487 | 515 | 264 | -63\% | 722 |
| Chena River Tower/MR Tagging | 2,800-5,700 BEG ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | 4,694 f | 9,696 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 6,967 f | f $8,739{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 9,645 |  | 2,936 | 3,806 | 3,208 | 5,250 | 2,301 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | -53\% | 4,899 |
| Salcha River Tower/MR Tagging | $3,300-6,500 \mathrm{BEG}^{\mathrm{k}}$ | 4,595 | 13,328 | 4,644 f | f $15,500{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 15,761 | 5,988 | 10,679 | 6,425 ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | $2,731{ }^{\text {t }}$ | 12,786 | 6,048 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | -27\% | 8,317 |
| Eagle Sonar |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81,528 | 73,691 | 41,697 | 38,097 | 69,957 | 35,128 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | -40\% | 58,753 |
| Canadian Estimated Escapement | IMEG 42,500-55,000 ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 25,870 | 52,564 | 42,359 | 80,594 | 48,469 | 68,551 | 62,933 | 34,903 | 33,630 | 65,278 | 31,823 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | -36\% | 49,697 |
| ESCAPEMENT INDEX h |  | 39,765 | 78,640 | 62,814 | 112,786 | 83,694 | 79,889 | 86,041 | 51,063 | 45,546 | 88,273 | $44,101{ }^{\text {m }}$ | -36\% | 69,247 |

> -continued-

Table 4.-Page 2 of 2.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Commercial harvest includes the estimated harvest of females to produce roe sold.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Total harvest for all fisheries in Canadian mainstem Yukon River.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Aerial surveys rated good to fair unless noted otherwise.
${ }^{f}$ Mark and recapture tagging estimate; tower counts were minimum/incomplete due to late installation and/or early removal of project, or high water events/weather conditions.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Aerial surveys rated as incomplete and/or poor survey conditions; data not comparable to other years.
${ }^{h}$ The escapement index is the summed escapements for East Fork Andreafsky weir, Nulato tower, Gisasa weir, Chena and Salcha towers, and Canada mainstem border passage minus the Canadian catch.
${ }^{j}$ SEG $=$ "Sustainable escapement goal", as defined by the Sustainable Fisheries Policy.
${ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{BEG}=$ "Biological escapement goal", as defined by the Sustainable Fisheries Policy. Range established in 2001.
${ }^{m}$ Data are preliminary.
${ }^{n}$ Weir counts incomplete due to late start-up. On average, missed approximately $75 \%$ of chinook passage. Total counts for 2001 were 1,148 Chinook salmon.
${ }^{\circ}$ No data due to incomplete operations.
${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ Did not operate.
${ }^{r}$ In 2001, the escapement goals were revised.
${ }^{s}$ In 2001, the Nulato River escapement goal was established for both forks combined.
${ }^{t}$ Tower counts were minimum due to high water events/weather conditions.
${ }^{\text {u }}$ In 2008, the escapement goal was revised to an Interim Mananagement Escapment Goal (IMEG) and continued in 2009. In 2010 the escapement goal was revised again.
${ }^{w}$ Due to the large run of pink salmon observed in 2008, species apportionment issues were encountered. After more thorough analysis, sonar estimates have been adjusted post season.
${ }^{y}$ Inseason run assessment was hampered by high water that affected Pilot Station sonar.
${ }^{x}$ Project counts not comparable to other years; incomplete counts due to late start.

Table 5.-Summer chum salmon commercial harvest and escapement comparisions, Yukon River, 2000-2010.


Table 5.-Page 2 of 2.

| Summer Chum Salmon Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project | $\begin{array}{cr} \text { Escapement } & \\ \text { Goal } & 2000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Comparison <br> of 2010 to <br> 5-Yr. Average | Average (20052009) |
| East Fork Andreafsky R. Weir | >40,000 BEGk 22,918 | n | 45,019 | 22,603 | 62,730 | 20,127 | 101,465 | 69,642 | 57,259 | 8,770 | $72,893{ }^{\text {m }}$ | 17\% | 62,245 |
| Pilot Station Sonar | 456,271 | 441,450 | 1,088,463 | 1,168,518 | 1,357,826 | 2,439,616 | 3,767,044 | 1,726,885 | $1,665,667^{\text {s }} 1$ | 1,285,437 | $1,327,581{ }^{\text {m }}$ | -39\% | 2,191,408 |
| Anvik River Sonar | 350,000-700,000 BEG k 196,349 | 224,058 | 462,101 | 251,358 | 365,691 | 525,391 | $992,378{ }^{\text {t }}$ | 459,038 | 374,929 ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 193,099 | 396,173 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | -27\% | 543,485 |
| Henshaw Creek Weir | 27,271 | 35,031 | 25,249 | 22,556 | 85,966 | 237,481 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 31,442 | 97,281 | 156,201 | $100,670{ }^{\text {m }}$ | -11\% | 113,043 |
| Nulato River Tower | 24,308 | c | 72,230 | 17,814 |  | , | ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | r | r | ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |  |  |
| Gisasa River Weir | 14,410 | 17,936 | 32,943 | 24,379 | 37,851 | 172,259 | 225,225 | 46,257 | 36,758 | 25,833 | $47,667{ }^{\text {m }}$ | -54\% | 103,670 |
| Clear Creek Tower | 19,376 | 3,674 | 13,150 | 5,230 | 15,661 | 26,420 | 29,166 ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ | r | r | r |  | 23,749 |
| Chena River Tower | 3,515 c | 4,773 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1,021^{\text {c }}$ | $573{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 15,162 | $16,875{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 35,109 | 4,705 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,333 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 16,516 | $7,580{ }^{\text {m }}$ | -48\% | 14,637 |
| Salcha River Tower | 20,516 | 14,900 | 20,837 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $890^{\text {c }}$ | 47,861 | 193,085 | 111,869 | $11,196^{\text {c }}$ | $1,251^{\text {c }}$ | 30,490 | $23,863{ }^{\text {m }}$ | -67\% | 73,052 |
| ESCAPEMENT INDEX ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 309,287 | 296,698 | 659,400 | 340,173 | 615,261 | 1,165,218 1 | 1,466,046 | 622,280 | 568,811 | 430,909 | 648,846 | -27\% | 887,523 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Commercial harvest includes the estimated harvest of females to produce roe sold, except for Districts 3 and 4 , which also includes the estimated number of males harvested to produce roe sold.
${ }^{c}$ Project counts not comparable to other years; incomplete counts due to early removal of project or high water events/weather conditions.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ The escapement index is the summed escapements for East Fork Andreafsky weir, Anvik sonar, Gisasa weir, Kaltag, Nulato, and Salcha towers.
${ }^{\text {k }} \mathrm{BEG}=$ "Biological escapement goal", as defined by the Sustainable Fisheries Policy. Range established in 2001.
${ }^{m}$ Data are preliminary.
${ }^{n}$ Weir counts incomplete due to late start-up. On average, missed approximately $75 \%$ of Chinook passage. Total counts for 2001 were 2,086 summer chum salmon.
${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ Escapement goal revised in 2001.
${ }^{r}$ Did not operate.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Due to the large run of pink salmon observed in 2008, species apportionment issues were encountered. After more thorough analysis, sonar estimates have been adjusted post season.
${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ HTI and DIDSON sonar equipment used in 2006. Estimates reported is DIDSON derived.
${ }^{u}$ Videography count.

Table 6.-Number of commercial salmon fishing gear permit holders who delivered fish, listed by district and season, Yukon Area, 1971-2010.

| Year | Chinook and Summer Chum Salmon Season |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower Yukon Area |  |  |  | Upper Yukon Area |  |  |  |  |
|  | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | Subtotal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | District 4 | District 5 | District 6 | Subtotal |  |
| 1971 | 405 | 154 | 33 | 592 | - | - | - | - | 592 |
| 1972 | 426 | 153 | 35 | 614 | - | - | - | - | 614 |
| 1973 | 438 | 167 | 38 | 643 | - | - | - | - | 643 |
| 1974 | 396 | 154 | 42 | 592 | 27 | 31 | 20 | 78 | 670 |
| 1975 | 441 | 149 | 37 | 627 | 93 | 52 | 36 | 181 | 808 |
| 1976 | 453 | 189 | 42 | 684 | 80 | 46 | 29 | 155 | 839 |
| 1977 | 392 | 188 | 46 | 626 | 87 | 41 | 18 | 146 | 772 |
| 1978 | 429 | 204 | 22 | 655 | 80 | 45 | 35 | 160 | 815 |
| 1979 | 425 | 210 | 22 | 657 | 87 | 34 | 30 | 151 | 808 |
| 1980 | 407 | 229 | 21 | 657 | 79 | 35 | 33 | 147 | 804 |
| 1981 | 448 | 225 | 23 | 696 | 80 | 43 | 26 | 149 | 845 |
| 1982 | 450 | 225 | 21 | 696 | 74 | 44 | 20 | 138 | 834 |
| 1983 | 455 | 225 | 20 | 700 | 77 | 34 | 25 | 136 | 836 |
| 1984 | 444 | 217 | 20 | 613 | 54 | 31 | 27 | 112 | 725 |
| 1985 | 425 | 223 | 18 | 666 | 74 | 32 | 27 | 133 | 799 |
| 1986 | 441 | 239 | 7 | 672 | 75 | 21 | 27 | 123 | 795 |
| 1987 | 440 | 239 | 13 | 659 | 87 | 30 | 24 | 141 | 800 |
| 1988 | 456 | 250 | 22 | 678 | 95 | 28 | 33 | 156 | 834 |
| 1989 | 445 | 243 | 16 | 687 | 98 | 32 | 29 | 159 | 846 |
| 1990 | 453 | 242 | 15 | 679 | 92 | 27 | 23 | 142 | 821 |
| 1991 | 489 | 253 | 27 | 678 | 85 | 32 | 22 | 139 | 817 |
| 1992 | 438 | 263 | 19 | 679 | 90 | 28 | 19 | 137 | 816 |
| 1993 | 448 | 238 | 6 | 682 | 75 | 30 | 18 | 123 | 805 |
| 1994 | 414 | 250 | 7 | 659 | 55 | 28 | 20 | 103 | 762 |
| 1995 | 439 | 233 | 0 | 661 | 87 | 28 | 21 | 136 | 797 |
| 1996 | 448 | 189 | 9 | 627 | 87 | 23 | 15 | 125 | 752 |
| 1997 | 457 | 188 | 0 | 639 | 39 | 29 | 15 | 83 | 722 |
| 1998 | 434 | 231 | 0 | 643 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 28 | 671 |
| 1999 | 412 | 217 | 5 | 631 | 5 | 26 | 6 | 37 | 668 |
| 2000 | 350 | 214 | - | 562 | - | - | - | - | 562 |
| 2001 b | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2002 | 323 | 223 | c | 540 | c | 14 | 6 | 20 | 560 |
| 2003 | 352 | 217 | c | 556 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 26 | 582 |
| 2004 | 396 | 213 | c | 550 | c | 14 | 6 | 20 | 570 |
| 2005 | 370 | 228 | c | 578 | c | 12 | 5 | 17 | 595 |
| 2006 | 379 | 214 | 6 | 569 | c | 15 | 10 | 25 | 594 |
| 2007 | 359 | 220 | 3 | 564 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 27 | 591 |
| 2008 | 266 | 181 | c | 444 | 8 | c | 5 | 13 | 457 |
| 2009 | 213 | 166 | c | 376 | 6 | c | 5 | 11 | 387 |
| 2010 | 264 | 181 | c | 440 | 5 | c | 5 | 10 | 450 |
| 2000-2009 Avg. | 334 | 208 | 5 | 527 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 20 | 544 |
| 2010 vs. Avg. | -21.0\% | -13.2\% |  | -16.4\% | -9.1\% |  | -25.9\% | -49.7\% | -17.3\% |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Since 1984 the subtotal for the Lower Yukon Area was the unique number of permits fished. Prior to 1984, the subtotals
are additive for District 1, 2, and 3. Some individual fishermen in the Lower Yukon Area may have operated in more than
one district during the season.
b No commercial fishing occurred in 2001.
c No commercial fishing periods in portions or all of Districts 3, 4, and 5.

Table 7.-Value of commercial salmon fishery to Yukon Area fishermen, 1977-2010.

| Year | Chinook |  |  |  |  | Summer Chum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower Yukon |  | Upper Yukon |  |  | Lower Yukon |  |  | Upper Yukon |  |  | Value by Species |  | Value by Area |  | Total |
|  | \$/lb | Value | \$/lb | \$/Roe | Value | \$/1b | \$/Roe | Value | \$/lb | \$/Roe | Value | Chinook | Summer Chum | Lower | Upper |  |
| 1977 | 0.85 | 1,841,033 | 1.37 |  | 148,766 | 0.40 |  | 1,007,280 | 0.27 | 2.66 | 306,481 | 1,989,799 | 1,313,761 | 2,848,313 | 455,247 | 3,303,560 |
| 1978 | 0.90 | 2,048,674 | 0.87 |  | 66,472 | 0.45 |  | 2,071,434 | 0.24 | N/A | 655,738 | 2,115,146 | 2,727,172 | 4,120,108 | 722,210 | 4,842,318 |
| 1979 | 1.09 | 2,763,433 | 1.00 |  | 124,230 | 0.52 |  | 2,242,564 | 0.25 | 3.00 | 444,924 | 2,887,663 | 2,687,488 | 5,005,997 | 569,154 | 5,575,151 |
| 1980 | 1.04 | 3,409,105 | 0.85 |  | 113,662 | 0.20 |  | 1,027,738 | 0.23 | 2.50 | 627,249 | 3,522,767 | 1,654,987 | 4,436,843 | 740,911 | 5,177,754 |
| 1981 | 1.20 | 4,420,669 | 1.00 |  | 206,380 | 0.40 |  | 2,741,178 | 0.20 | 3.00 | 699,876 | 4,627,049 | 3,441,054 | 7,161,847 | 906,256 | 8,068,103 |
| 1982 | 1.41 | 3,768,107 | 1.02 |  | 162,699 | 0.40 |  | 1,237,735 | 0.18 | 2.75 | 452,837 | 3,930,806 | 1,690,572 | 5,005,842 | 615,536 | 5,621,378 |
| 1983 | 1.40 | 4,093,562 | 1.08 |  | 105,584 | 0.34 |  | 1,734,270 | 0.16 | 1.66 | 281,883 | 4,199,146 | 2,016,153 | 5,827,832 | 387,467 | 6,215,299 |
| 1984 | 1.50 | 3,510,923 | 0.95 |  | 102,354 | 0.26 |  | 926,922 | 0.23 | 1.78 | 382,776 | 3,613,277 | 1,309,698 | 4,437,845 | 485,130 | 4,922,975 |
| 1985 | 1.50 | 4,294,432 | 0.86 |  | 82,644 | 0.35 |  | 1,032,700 | 0.23 | 1.94 | 593,801 | 4,377,076 | 1,626,501 | 5,327,132 | 676,445 | 6,003,577 |
| 1986 | 1.63 | 3,165,078 | 0.89 |  | 73,363 | 0.38 |  | 1,746,455 | 0.22 | 2.08 | 634,091 | 3,238,441 | 2,380,546 | 4,911,533 | 707,454 | 5,618,987 |
| 1987 | 1.98 | 5,428,933 | 0.79 |  | 136,196 | 0.48 |  | 1,313,618 | 0.19 | 2.22 | 323,611 | 5,565,129 | 1,637,229 | 6,742,551 | 459,807 | 7,202,358 |
| 1988 | 2.97 | 5,463,800 | 1.04 |  | 142,284 | 0.66 |  | 5,001,100 | 0.23 | 4.33 | 1,213,991 | 5,606,084 | 6,215,091 | 10,464,900 | 1,356,275 | 11,821,175 |
| 1989 | 2.77 | 5,181,700 | 0.84 |  | 108,178 | 0.34 |  | 2,217,700 | 0.24 | 4.41 | 1,377,117 | 5,289,878 | 3,594,817 | 7,399,400 | 1,485,295 | 8,884,695 |
| 1990 | 2.84 | 4,820,859 | 0.72 |  | 105,295 | 0.24 |  | 497,571 | 0.11 | 4.41 | 506,611 | 4,926,154 | 1,004,182 | 5,318,430 | 611,906 | 5,930,336 |
| 1991 | 3.70 | 7,128,300 | 0.70 | 2.92 | 97,140 | 0.36 |  | 782,300 | 0.18 | 4.21 | 627,177 | 7,225,440 | 1,409,477 | 7,910,600 | 724,317 | 8,634,917 |
| 1992 | 4.12 | 9,957,002 | 0.91 | 2.82 | 168,999 | 0.27 |  | 606,976 | 0.30 | 4.53 | 525,204 | 10,126,001 | 1,132,180 | 10,563,978 | 694,203 | 11,258,181 |
| 1993 | 2.70 | 4,884,044 | 1.06 | 5.52 | 113,217 | 0.37 |  | 226,772 | 0.35 | 8.53 | 203,762 | 4,997,261 | 430,534 | 5,110,815 | 316,979 | 5,427,794 |
| 1994 | 2.07 | 4,169,270 | 0.92 | 3.11 | 124,270 | 0.21 |  | 79,206 | 0.20 | 3.77 | 396,685 | 4,293,540 | 475,891 | 4,248,476 | 520,955 | 4,769,431 |
| 1995 | 2.09 | 5,317,508 | 0.77 | 2.64 | 87,059 | 0.16 |  | 241,598 | 0.13 | 3.57 | 1,060,322 | 5,404,567 | 1,301,920 | 5,559,106 | 1,147,381 | 6,706,487 |
| 1996 | 1.95 | 3,491,582 | 0.95 | 2.57 | 47,282 | 0.09 | 2.96 | 89,020 | 0.07 | 3.05 | 966,277 | 3,538,864 | 1,055,297 | 3,580,602 | 1,013,559 | 4,594,161 |
| 1997 | 2.46 | 5,450,433 | 0.97 | 1.62 | 110,713 | 0.10 |  | 56,535 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 96,806 | 5,561,146 | 153,341 | 5,506,968 | 207,519 | 5,714,487 |
| 1998 | 2.51 | 1,911,370 | 0.91 | 2.00 | 17,285 | 0.14 |  | 26,415 | 0.18 | 1.90 | 821 | 1,928,655 | 27,236 | 1,937,785 | 18,106 | 1,955,891 |
| 1999 | 3.80 | 4,950,522 | 1.10 | 2.11 | 74,475 | 0.10 |  | 19,687 | 0.18 | 2.25 | 1,719 | 5,024,997 | 21,406 | 4,970,209 | 76,194 | 5,046,403 |
| 2000 | 4.57 | 725,606 |  |  |  | 0.17 |  | 8,633 |  |  |  | 725,606 | 8,633 | 734,239 |  | 734,239 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 3.77 | 1,691,105 | 0.75 | 1.75 | 20,744 | 0.06 |  | 4,342 | 0.32 | 2.25 | 6,176 | 1,711,849 | 10,518 | 1,695,447 | 26,920 | 1,722,367 |
| 2003 | 2.37 | 1,871,202 | 0.80 |  | 40,957 | 0.05 |  | 1,585 | 0.27 |  | 6,879 | 1,912,159 | 8,464 | 1,872,787 | 47,836 | 1,920,623 |
| 2004 | 2.80 | 3,063,667 | 0.77 |  | 38,290 | 0.05 |  | 8,884 | 0.27 |  | 9,645 | 3,101,957 | 18,529 | 3,072,551 | 47,935 | 3,120,486 |
| 2005 | 3.43 | 1,952,109 | 0.87 |  | 24,415 | 0.05 |  | 11,004 | 0.25 |  | 13,479 | 1,976,524 | 24,483 | 1,963,113 | 37,894 | 2,001,007 |
| 2006 | 3.94 | 3,290,367 | 1.30 |  | 32,631 | 0.05 |  | 23,862 | 0.16 |  | 42,988 | 3,322,998 | 66,850 | 3,314,229 | 75,619 | 3,389,848 |
| 2007 | 3.73 | 1,939,114 | 1.33 |  | 27,190 | 0.19 |  | 220,715 | 0.25 | 2.36 | 34,421 | 1,966,304 | 255,136 | 2,159,829 | 61,611 | 2,221,440 |
| 2008 | 4.64 | 325,470 |  |  |  | 0.40 |  | 326,930 | 0.25 | 3.00 | 65,840 | 325,470 | 392,770 | 656,606 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 65,840 | 718,240 |
| 2009 | 5.00 | 20,970 |  |  |  | 0.50 |  | 514,856 | 0.26 | 3.00 | 20,430 | 20,970 | 535,286 | 535,826 | 20,430 | 556,256 |
| 2010 | 5.00 | 639,230 |  |  |  | 0.70 |  | 823,967 | 0.23 |  | 61,534 | 639,230 | 885,501 | 1,463,197 | 61,534 | 1,524,731 |
| 2000-2009 Avg. | 3.81 | 1,653,290 | 0.97 | 1.75 | 30,705 | 0.17 |  | 124,535 | 0.25 | 2.65 | 24,982 | 1,673,760 | 146,741 | 1,778,292 | 48,011 | 1,820,501 |

${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 4,656$ in sales of pink salmon in Districts 1 and 2.


[^0]:    1 The US/Canada Yukon River Panel agreed to a 1 year Canadian Interim Management Escapement Goal (IMEG) of 42,500-55,000 Chinook salmon based on the Eagle sonar program. In order to meet this goal, the passage at Eagle sonar must include a minimum of 42,500 fish for escapement, provide for a subsistence harvest in the community of Eagle of approximately 2,000 fish, and incorporate the US/Canada Yukon River Panel allowable catch ( $20 \%-26 \%$ of the total allowable catch).
    ${ }^{2}$ Data are preliminary.

