

AVC Volume SE SC SW W AR IN USGS Quad SITKA D-3

Anadromous Water Catalog Number of Waterway 112-17-10180

Name of Waterway Chalky Creek (unnamed) USGS name _____ Local name X DFG observe

Addition Deletion _____ Correction _____ Backup Information _____

For Office Use

Nomination # <u>96 033</u>	<u>Janet Handen</u> Regional Supervisor	<u>11-8-95</u> Date
Revision Year: <u>96</u>	<u>Dean W. Hughes</u>	<u>2/6/96</u>
Revision to: Atlas _____ Catalog _____	<u>Z. Brown</u> Drafted	<u>3/28/96</u> Date
Both <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Revision Code: <u>AZ F-3</u>		

OBSERVATION INFORMATION

Species	Date(s) Observed	Spawning	Rearing	Migration	Anadromous
<u>Pinks</u>	<u>8/08</u>	<u>100</u>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

IMPORTANT: Provide all supporting documentation that this water body is important for the spawning, rearing or migration of anadromous fish, including: number of fish and life stages observed; sampling methods, sampling duration and area sampled; copies of field notes; etc. Attach a copy of a map showing location of mouth and observed upper extent of each species, as well as any other information such as: specific stream reaches observed as spawning or rearing habitat; locations, types, and heights of any barriers; etc.

Comments: Observed by Super link at 500' while doing aerial surveys
in our IFDA data base (NDFAG) ~~changed~~ used the stream number
112-17-018

ALASKA DEPT. OF FISH & GAME

Name of Observer (please print) Joseph Muir
 Date: 3/8 Signature: Joseph Muir
 Address: 4537 Sanna Cir
Tuneau.

NOV 14 1995

REGION II HABITAT AND RESTORATION DIVISION

This certifies that in my best professional judgement and belief the above information is evidence that this waterbody should be included in or deleted from the Catalog of Waters Important for Spawning, Rearing or Migration of Anadromous Fishes per AS 16.05.870.


Signature of Area Biologist: Janet Schimpf
Janet Schimpf 12 Oct 95

C
H
T
A
T
H
T
F
A
M

112-17

S
T
R
A
I
T

ADD STREAM
112-17-10180
for P₃



48 RONE LD

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME
SALMON ESCAPEMENT SURVEYS

Species: 410-Chinook
420-Sockeye
430-Coho
440-Pink
450-Chum

Year 1998

Area Document No. 48

Field 1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
No.	Stream Number	Stream Name	Mo/Day	Length	Type	Mouth	Intertidal	Stream Live	Stream Dead	Species	Obsv	Counted	Remarks
01	112 12	Kahler	8/8	I	A		500	100		4	J M	23	
02	112 17	Ward		I		500	800			1		23	
03	112 17	Below Ward				400						23	
04	112 17	Flenny				∅	∅	∅				23	cant see into stream no jumps off str
05	112 17	Fishy				300	500			→		23	3 small schools on beach no jumps
06	112 17	Murble				500				4			NOT Building very well
07	112 17	Thyge +				∅	∅	∅		4			5 lg whales in chum
08	112 80	Choke				3,000		3000	300	5		23	25 Chum chum - 8y most schools = 13000 Chum VLS 10000 Poor in lower stream
09	112 80	"					3000			4		23	merge mixed w/ chum
10	112 90	W. Miller						4000	100	5		12	
11	112 90	"						2000		4			unsure if they are pinks
12	112 19	Wilson				1000		2000		4			
13	112 19	"				100		1500		5			
14	112 19	Wilson P+				300				5			
15	112 19	"				0	0	0		4			

why
1 AM post
1 AM post
1/4 AM post
1/2 AM Below 1991
1/2 AM below 1991
1/3 AM below 1991

sub
10000

Weiss, Ed

From: Muir, Joe
To: Weiss, Ed
Subject: RE: IFDB stream 112-17-018
Date: Monday, April 15, 1996 09:24

Ed, sorry for the delay, I just returned to the office after being in the field managing a sac roe gillnet fishery for the past 2 weeks. I will look through the rest of me e-mail messages and return a response in a few days. But, off the top of my head, without looking at the form, I believe the fish were observed at the mouth of the stream, NOT in the stream. later, joe

From: Weiss, Ed
To: Muir, Joe
Subject: IFDB stream 112-17-018
Date: Tuesday, April 02, 1996 4:39PM

Joe,

Regarding your nomination for above stream to the Anadromous Waters Catalog. Nomination form notes 100 Pinks spawning. Data sheet line 03 for 112-17-018 indicates 400 at mouth no other notes. Did we have any fish in the stream itself? If so how far up? and how many? Attached map indicates up to 400 ft. elevation, 300 ft. of this in approx. 1/8 mile. Seems pretty steep, is this accurate? We can only add portions of streams which anadromous fish were actually observed using. Shoot me back a note with any further info. you might have. Thanks.

Weiss, Ed

From: Weiss, Ed

Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 1997 9:55 AM

To: Muir, Joe

Subject: Stream 112-17-018, Chatham Straits

Joe,

I'm still holding a nomination you submitted for this stream last year, pending information on the upper extent of fish usage. On last correspondence you were unsure but thought that the fish might have been observed at the mouth. Did you find out any details or get any additional data this year? I can add the stream with a diamond symbol for less than 660' of observed habitat if you don't have any updated info. Let me know. Thanks, Ed.

Weiss, Ed

From: Muir, Joe

Sent: Friday, February 14, 1997 12:16 PM

To: Weiss, Ed

Subject: RE: Stream 112-17-018, Chatham Straits

Ed, sorry for the delay.

No, I didn't find out any more information this year. The fish I saw last year were milling in front of the stream, and were in an area where I have seen fish before, but there are many areas along this shore which have schooling fish, which are not in close proximity to any stream. Therefore, I am hesitant to nominate it at this time. We went ahead and put it into our escapement data base, so we can keep track of where we saw fish. But, for now, I would drop it from your catalog.

Ed, I did submit several other streams this year, and e-mailed you probably sometime in October or November regarding them. I sent the hard copy through Ben Kirkpatrick in the Juneau habitat office. Hopefully, you have seen these by now. I believe the names of the streams were Dahl and Wolverine Creeks.

Thanks.

joe

From: Weiss, Ed

To: Muir, Joe

Subject: Stream 112-17-018, Chatham Straits

Date: Tuesday, February 11, 1997 9:55AM

Joe,

I'm still holding a nomination you submitted for this stream last year, pending information on the upper extent of fish usage. On last correspondence you were unsure but thought that the fish might have been observed at the mouth. Did you find out any details or get any additional data this year? I can add the stream with a diamond symbol for less than 660' of observed habitat if you don't have any updated info. Let me know. Thanks, Ed.