

OK

# ANADROMOUS WATERS CATALOG/ATLAS CORRECTION FORM

CORRECTION TO: ATLAS X CATALOG X

REGION: SEA

MAP: Ketchikan B-5

WATERWAY NUMBER: 101-47-10250-2007

DESCRIBE CHANGE(S): Stream extension & addition of CTR  
in error change hydrography to <sup>match</sup> 1998 map

CHANGE REQUESTED BY: Mark Minillo /MJ 11/9/04

DRAFTED/DIGITIZED BY: 04 525 DATE

REVISION CODE: C-8 E-7 DATE

NOMINATION NUMBER: 1

\*\* ATTACH THIS FORM TO EXISTING NOMINATION FORM IN THE FILE \*\*

FISH DISTRIBUTION DATABASE CATALOG/ATLAS  
CORRECTION FORM

CORRECTION TO: ATLAS   V   CATALOG   V  

REGION:                   SEA                  

MAP:           Ketchikan B-5          

WATERWAY NUMBER:           101-47-10250-2007-3009          

3013  
3015

DESCRIBE CHANGE(S):           Stream numbers were changed          

          to reflect stream order. - 3015 became 3013;

          3013 became 3009; 3009 became 3015          

CHANGE REQUESTED BY:           J. Irone          

          3/29/05            
DATE

DRAFTED/DIGITIZED BY:           J. Irone          

          3/29/05            
DATE

REVISION CODE:           C-1          

NOMINATION NUMBER:           04-525          

\*\* ATTACH THIS FORM TO EXISTING NOMINATION FORM IN FILE \*\*



## JD Johnson

---

**From:** Ed Weiss [ed\_weiss@dnr.state.ak.us]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 21, 2004 7:09 PM  
**To:** 'Mark Minnillo (E-mail)'  
**Cc:** j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us; Stewart J Seaberg; Scott H Maclean  
**Subject:** RE: 101-47-10250-2007



83-629.pdf



83-630.pdf



01-531.pdf



01-533.pdf

Mark / All,

Sorry this got so long but wanted to provide as much background info as possible.

I'm not sure of the original question because I didn't get original email string or call. Judging from J's email it appears the issue is how CT got added to 2007 and extended to the end of 2007 and 10250. I won't be in tomorrow so I'll just respond based on the above assumption.

Both 2007 and 3006 were originally added in 1983, per the attached nomination 83-629 by Don House. Shortly thereafter, probably as a result of the in house or public review, the mapping was altered to more accurately present the streams location. This was accomplished thru nomination 83-630 by Don Cornelius and Durand Cook (then AWC project manager).

During the 2001 revisions Jack Gustafson submitted nominations 01-531 & 01-533 for the addition of tributaries now numbered 2007-3015 and 2007-3013. The nominations included capture of rearing Coho, Cutthroat and Dolly Varden. While only the coho were checked as being anadromous, it had been a long-standing unwritten guideline / policy of the program that all coastal cutthroat in SE were considered anadromous. This was one of those verbal things in place in 90 when I started and I continued with it. There may in fact be some written justification buried in the files somewhere but I'm not sure where to put my hands on it at this time. Anyway the addition of cutthroat was a call I made, as evidenced by nominations 01-531. The fact that I did not make the same call on nomination 01-533 for stream 3013 may speak to the consistency with which this was done. I can say I usually tried to incorporate this if I caught it.

I must admit that my directions to the cartography staff on this nomination were somewhat in conflict. I noted "add stream with COr and CTr" but then only noted the COr on the species upper limit arrow. Bottom line the addition was for the addition of 3015 with COr and CTr. This should be documented in the specific listing published for the public review as it is in the edits database.

Under this addition, and the guideline that we only added things like spawning and rearing down to the mouth of the stream or where they could be reasonably extrapolated downstream to, the "rearing" designation only applied on the stream that was sampled and added. Below that point the designation would have been CTP. "Presence" indicating that the species was there and using the waters for spawning, migration or rearing but that the observation did not allow for determination of what the downstream habitat was being used for. This "presence" designation can apply to either adults or juveniles. The original nomination or data needs to be consulted to determine which was observed. The CTP should have only applied to 2007 downstream of 3015, in the mainstem 101-47-10250 downstream of the confluence of 2007.

In looking at what is currently portrayed on the FDD (AWC) IMS site I'm

guessing that the problem / question is how did CTP get extended up to the upper limits of 10250 and 2007. I can assure you that was never the intent and that there were no personal communications in terms of extending the limit based on topography.

I can almost guarantee this was a data entry error that was not previously caught in the review of the data and maps by the cartographers, project biologist and OHMP staff. When I say that I mean all of us, myself included as the old project biologist, I'm not trying to single out DFG staff. Who missed it isn't really pertinent since we probably all looked at it and missed it at one time or another. I can tell you we have all spent many hours checking and double checking to make sure this didn't happen. Apparently we missed one. I'm glad you finally caught it.

Anyway, the programs that Carol was using to autocheck the data was looking for beginning and ending data for the points. In this case it would have been looking for a CTP beginning (the mouth) and an end point (either UPPER or MIDEND) for each stream and species string match. Which in this case, should have been a CTP MIDEND on 10250 at its confluence with 2007. And a CTP MIDEND on 2007 at it's confluence with 3015. Apparently the CTP endpoint was mistakenly attached to the UPPER point of these streams during data entry. This may have been a relic of the automated process that was being used for addition and checking of these data. It was often times easier to add the stream with all the species data initially attached to the LOWER and UPPER points as you added the stream and then the process was to go in manually and move species (CTP in this case) that only ascended part way, down to a MIDEND point.

The data entry programs and error checking were to be upgraded and revised under a contract to be let under the SEASIMS AWC database improvements project. I'm assuming this contract or improvements is one of the things that fell apart under the new administration, maybe J can provide more on the status of this. If this is still on the table this should be a reminder of the types of data checking rules that need to be incorporated.

How to fix it? I'd say it can be corrected in the data at any time because that change was never intended or added officially, nor public noticed. And if we had not just had the Deputy Commissioner adopt and sign and sent to law the maps etc. we would have been able to make that change on the maps per the public / agency review according to the Administrative Procedures Act. Since those "documents" (Atlas & Catalog) actually have not yet been published and this change was not public noticed we may still be able to make the corrections in the final documents if DFG is willing. However, we need to get confirmation on this from Dept. of Law.

Boy, I'm sorry that this went on so long. There are just so many aspects and history that this touched on.

While I've attached the nominations, I like to remind you that OHMP staff have access to the Sportfish intranet to review the historic changes and nominations, etc. under the programs and web pages that Andy, Rob and myself initiated. You can still access this via the info below. PLEASE REMEMBER THAT THIS USERNAME AND PASSWORD ARE FOR OHMP STAFF ONLY. WE SHOULD NOT GIVE THIS OUT TO OTHER ENTITIES. THIS WAS PART OF OUR AGREEMENT WITH DFG AND DOING SO WOULD COMPROMISE OUR ABILITY TO ACCESS THE INFORMATION.

Well thanks for listening, hope it's been helpful.

You can access the AWC intranet site from your DNR address using the following address, username and password. Moira had previously tested this in SE so it should work for you.

<http://intradev.habitat.adfg.state.ak.us/home.cfm>

username: habitat

password: smolt

Edward W. Weiss  
Habitat Biologist  
Office of Habitat Management & Permitting  
Alaska Department of Natural Resources  
550 W. 7th Ave. Suite 1420  
Anchorage, AK 99501  
Phone: (907)-269-5901  
FAX: (907)-269-5673  
ed\_weiss@dnr.state.ak.us

-----Original Message-----

From: JD Johnson [mailto:j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us]  
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2004 11:00 AM  
To: Mark Minnillo (E-mail)  
Cc: Edward Weiss (E-mail)  
Subject: 101-47-10250-2007

Mark

After checking every source possible, I have been unable to locate much to document the extension & addition of CTP to the creek. However, looking at the nominations for tribs to 2007, (if you want to see nominations let me know) it appears that the extent of the creek was lengthened because Ed increased upper limits based on updated topography.

I further suspect that some per. comm. Between Ed. W. and Jack Gustafson may have resulted in the addition of CTP maybe based on the presence of CTR downstream. Ed W. might be able to provide addition info.

We will continue to look into this, but that's about the best I can do.

J. Johnson  
Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
FDD Project Biologist  
333 Raspberry Rd  
Anchorage, AK 99518  
907-267-2337 office  
907-267-2464 FAX  
j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us

## JD Johnson

---

**From:** Mark J. Minnillo [mark\_minnillo@dnr.state.ak.us]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 22, 2004 11:50 AM  
**To:** ed\_weiss@dnr.state.ak.us  
**Cc:** j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us; 'Stewart J Seaberg'; 'Scott H Maclean'  
**Subject:** RE: 101-47-10250-2007

After reviewing the the attached 01-533.pdf document it is clear that the stream, as depicted on the current, updated atlas is incorrect. Stream 2007, depicted in purple on Jack G's. map is what I walked yesterday. Anadromous habitat in this stream terminates at the end of the purple line at a waterfall. The updated catalog shows this stream being connected to the lake system at the upper end of stream 3015 and I now believe that this is not incorrect.

Before conducting my inspection yesterday I talked to a guy who lives along the lower portion of Schoenbar Creek. This person told me that he had been to the lake (at the upper end of 3015) and that he saw pink and coho salmon there, as well as Dolly Varden and what he described as brook trout. To me, this verifies that the lake is connected to stream 2007 via a tributary (3015) as shown on the map on attachment 01-533.pdf.

I will submit a map to J depicting my thoughts on how the atlas should be adjusted.

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Weiss [mailto:ed\_weiss@dnr.state.ak.us]  
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2004 7:09 PM  
To: 'Mark Minnillo (E-mail)'  
Cc: j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us; Stewart J Seaberg; Scott H Maclean  
Subject: RE: 101-47-10250-2007

Mark / All,

Sorry this got so long but wanted to provide as much background info as possible.

I'm not sure of the original question because I didn't get original email string or call. Judging from J's email it appears the issue is how CT got added to 2007 and extended to the end of 2007 and 10250. I won't be in tomorrow so I'll just respond based on the above assumption.

Both 2007 and 3006 were originally added in 1983, per the attached nomination 83-629 by Don House. Shortly thereafter, probably as a result of the in house or public review, the mapping was altered to more accurately present the streams location. This was accomplished thru nomination 83-630 by Don Cornelius and Durand Cook (then AWC project manager).

During the 2001 revisions Jack Gustafson submitted nominations 01-531 & 01-533 for the addition of tributaries now numbered 2007-3015 and 2007-3013. The nominations included capture of rearing Coho, Cutthroat and Dolly Varden. While only the coho were checked as being anadromous, it had been a long-standing unwritten guideline / policy of the program that all coastal cutthroat in SE were considered anadromous. This was one of those verbal things in place in 90 when I started and I continued with it. There may in fact be some written justification buried in the files somewhere but I'm not sure where to put my hands on it at this time. Anyway the addition of cutthroat was a call I made, as evidenced by nominations 01-531. The fact

that I did not make the same call on nomination 01-533 for stream 3013 may speak to the consistency with which this was done. I can say I usually tried to incorporate this if I caught it.

I must admit that my directions to the cartography staff on this nomination were somewhat in conflict. I noted "add stream with COr and CTr" but then only noted the COr on the species upper limit arrow. Bottom line the addition was for the addition of 3015 with COr and CTr. This should be documented in the specific listing published for the public review as it is in the edits database.

Under this addition, and the guideline that we only added things like spawning and rearing down to the mouth of the stream or where they could be reasonably extrapolated downstream to, the "rearing" designation only applied on the stream that was sampled and added. Below that point the designation would have been CTP. "Presence" indicating that the species was there and using the waters for spawning, migration or rearing but that the observation did not allow for determination of what the downstream habitat was being used for. This "presence" designation can apply to either adults or juveniles. The original nomination or data needs to be consulted to determine which was observed. The CTP should have only applied to 2007 downstream of 3015, in the mainstem 101-47-10250 downstream of the confluence of 2007.

In looking at what is currently portrayed on the FDD (AWC) IMS site I'm guessing that the problem / question is how did CTP get extended up to the upper limits of 10250 and 2007. I can assure you that was never the intent and that there were no personal communications in terms of extending the limit based on topography.

I can almost guarantee this was a data entry error that was not previously caught in the review of the data and maps by the cartographers, project biologist and OHMP staff. When I say that I mean all of us, myself included as the old project biologist, I'm not trying to single out DFG staff. Who missed it isn't really pertinent since we probably all looked at it and missed it at one time or another. I can tell you we have all spent many hours checking and double checking to make sure this didn't happen. Apparently we missed one. I'm glad you finally caught it.

Anyway, the programs that Carol was using to autocheck the data was looking for beginning and ending data for the points. In this case it would have been looking for a CTP beginning (the mouth) and an end point (either UPPER or MIDEND) for each stream and species string match. Which in this case, should have been a CTP MIDEND on 10250 at its confluence with 2007. And a CTP MIDEND on 2007 at its confluence with 3015. Apparently the CTP endpoint was mistakenly attached to the UPPER point of these streams during data entry. This may have been a relic of the automated process that was being used for addition and checking of these data. It was often times easier to add the stream with all the species data initially attached to the LOWER and UPPER points as you added the stream and then the process was to go in manually and move species (CTP in this case) that only ascended part way, down to a MIDEND point.

The data entry programs and error checking were to be upgraded and revised under a contract to be let under the SEASIMS AWC database improvements project. I'm assuming this contract or improvements is one of the things that fell apart under the new administration, maybe J can provide more on the status of this. If this is still on the table this should be a reminder of the types of data checking rules that need to be incorporated.

How to fix it? I'd say it can be corrected in the data at any time because that change was never intended or added officially, nor public noticed. And if we had not just had the Deputy Commissioner adopt and sign and sent to law the maps etc. we would have been able to make that change on the maps per the public / agency review according to the Administrative Procedures Act. Since those "documents" (Atlas & Catalog) actually have not yet been published and this change was not public noticed we may still be able to

make the corrections in the final documents if DFG is willing. However, we need to get confirmation on this from Dept. of Law.

Boy, I'm sorry that this went on so long. There are just so many aspects and history that this touched on.

While I've attached the nominations, I like to remind you that OHMP staff have access to the Sportfish intranet to review the historic changes and nominations, etc. under the programs and web pages that Andy, Rob and myself initiated. You can still access this via the info below. PLEASE REMEMBER THAT THIS USERNAME AND PASSWORD ARE FOR OHMP STAFF ONLY. WE SHOULD NOT GIVE THIS OUT TO OTHER ENTITIES. THIS WAS PART OF OUR AGREEMENT WITH DFG AND DOING SO WOULD COMPROMISE OUR ABILITY TO ACCESS THE INFORMATION.

Well thanks for listening, hope it's been helpful.

You can access the AWC intranet site from your DNR address using the following address, username and password. Moira had previously tested this in SE so it should work for you.

<http://intradev.habitat.adfg.state.ak.us/home.cfm>

username: habitat  
password: smolt

Edward W. Weiss  
Habitat Biologist  
Office of Habitat Management & Permitting  
Alaska Department of Natural Resources  
550 W. 7th Ave. Suite 1420  
Anchorage, AK 99501  
Phone: (907)-269-5901  
FAX: (907)-269-5673  
ed\_weiss@dnr.state.ak.us

-----Original Message-----

From: JD Johnson [mailto:j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us]  
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2004 11:00 AM  
To: Mark Minnillo (E-mail)  
Cc: Edward Weiss (E-mail)  
Subject: 101-47-10250-2007

Mark

After checking every source possible, I have been unable to locate much to document the extension & addition of CTP to the creek  
However, looking at the nominations for tribs to 2007, (if you want to see nominations let me know) it appears that the extent of the creek was lengthened  
because Ed increased upper limits based on updated topography.

I further suspect that some per. comm. Between Ed. W. and Jack Gustafson may have resulted in the addition of CTP maybe based on the presence of CTR downstream. Ed W. might be able to provide addition info.

We will continue to look into this, but that's about the best I can do.

J. Johnson  
Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
FDD Project Biologist  
333 Raspberry Rd  
Anchorage, AK 99518  
907-267-2337 office  
907-267-2464 FAX

j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us

## JD Johnson

---

**From:** Mark J. Minnillo [mark\_minnillo@dnr.state.ak.us]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 22, 2004 9:43 AM  
**To:** j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us  
**Subject:** RE: 101-47-10250-2007

Thanks J! After my field inspection yesterday, I discovered a waterfall barrier located at N 55 21 25.3, W 131 38 20.7 NAD 27. This location is approximately halfway between the upper trib added in 2002 and the lake. Sounds straight forward except I talked to a guy who lives along the lower portion of Schoenbar Creek and he told me he has seen pinks and cohos in the lake???? Please let me know if you find anything else on this one. Thanks,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: JD Johnson [mailto:j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us]  
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2004 11:00 AM  
To: Mark Minnillo (E-mail)  
Cc: Edward Weiss (E-mail)  
Subject: 101-47-10250-2007

Mark

After checking every source possible, I have been unable to locate much to document the extension & addition of CTp to the creek. However, looking at the nominations for tribs to 2007, (if you want to see nominations let me know) it appears that the extent of the creek was lengthened because Ed increased upper limits based on updated topography.

I further suspect that some per. comm. Between Ed. W. and Jack Gustafson may have resulted in the addition of CTp maybe based on the presence of CTr downstream. Ed W. might be able to provide addition info.

We will continue to look into this, but that's about the best I can do.

J. Johnson  
Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
FDD Project Biologist  
333 Raspberry Rd  
Anchorage, AK 99518  
907-267-2337 office  
907-267-2464 FAX  
j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us

## JD Johnson

---

**From:** Ed Weiss [ed\_weiss@dnr.state.ak.us]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 21, 2004 7:09 PM  
**To:** 'Mark Minnillo (E-mail)'  
**Cc:** j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us; Stewart J Seaberg; Scott H Maclean  
**Subject:** RE: 101-47-10250-2007



83-629.pdf



83-630.pdf



01-531.pdf



01-533.pdf

Mark / All,

Sorry this got so long but wanted to provide as much background info as possible.

I'm not sure of the original question because I didn't get original email string or call. Judging from J's email it appears the issue is how CT got added to 2007 and extended to the end of 2007 and 10250. I won't be in tomorrow so I'll just respond based on the above assumption.

Both 2007 and 3006 were originally added in 1983, per the attached nomination 83-629 by Don House. Shortly thereafter, probably as a result of the in house or public review, the mapping was altered to more accurately present the streams location. This was accomplished thru nomination 83-630 by Don Cornelius and Durand Cook (then AWC project manager).

During the 2001 revisions Jack Gustafson submitted nominations 01-531 & 01-533 for the addition of tributaries now numbered 2007-3015 and 2007-3013. The nominations included capture of rearing Coho, Cutthroat and Dolly Varden. While only the coho were checked as being anadromous, it had been a long-standing unwritten guideline / policy of the program that all coastal cutthroat in SE were considered anadromous. This was one of those verbal things in place in 90 when I started and I continued with it. There may in fact be some written justification buried in the files somewhere but I'm not sure where to put my hands on it at this time. Anyway the addition of cutthroat was a call I made, as evidenced by nominations 01-531. The fact that I did not make the same call on nomination 01-533 for stream 3013 may speak to the consistency with which this was done. I can say I usually tried to incorporate this if I caught it.

I must admit that my directions to the cartography staff on this nomination were somewhat in conflict. I noted "add stream with COr and CTr" but then only noted the COr on the species upper limit arrow. Bottom line the addition was for the addition of 3015 with COr and CTr. This should be documented in the specific listing published for the public review as it is in the edits database.

Under this addition, and the guideline that we only added things like spawning and rearing down to the mouth of the stream or where they could be reasonably extrapolated downstream to, the "rearing" designation only applied on the stream that was sampled and added. Below that point the designation would have been CTP. "Presence" indicating that the species was there and using the waters for spawning, migration or rearing but that the observation did not allow for determination of what the downstream habitat was being used for. This "presence" designation can apply to either adults or juveniles. The original nomination or data needs to be consulted to determine which was observed. The CTP should have only applied to 2007 downstream of 3015, in the mainstem 101-47-10250 downstream of the confluence of 2007.

In looking at what is currently portrayed on the FDD (AWC) IMS site I'm

guessing that the problem / question is how did CTP get extended up to the upper limits of 10250 and 2007. I can assure you that was never the intent and that there were no personal communications in terms of extending the limit based on topography.

I can almost guarantee this was a data entry error that was not previously caught in the review of the data and maps by the cartographers, project biologist and OHMP staff. When I say that I mean all of us, myself included as the old project biologist, I'm not trying to single out DFG staff. Who missed it isn't really pertinent since we probably all looked at it and missed it at one time or another. I can tell you we have all spent many hours checking and double checking to make sure this didn't happen. Apparently we missed one. I'm glad you finally caught it.

Anyway, the programs that Carol was using to autocheck the data was looking for beginning and ending data for the points. In this case it would have been looking for a CTP beginning (the mouth) and an end point (either UPPER or MIDEND) for each stream and species string match. Which in this case, should have been a CTP MIDEND on 10250 at its confluence with 2007. And a CTP MIDEND on 2007 at it's confluence with 3015. Apparently the CTP endpoint was mistakenly attached to the UPPER point of these streams during data entry. This may have been a relic of the automated process that was being used for addition and checking of these data. It was often times easier to add the stream with all the species data initially attached to the LOWER and UPPER points as you added the stream and then the process was to go in manually and move species (CTP in this case) that only ascended part way, down to a MIDEND point.

The data entry programs and error checking were to be upgraded and revised under a contract to be let under the SEASIMS AWC database improvements project. I'm assuming this contract or improvements is one of the things that fell apart under the new administration, maybe J can provide more on the status of this. If this is still on the table this should be a reminder of the types of data checking rules that need to be incorporated.

How to fix it? I'd say it can be corrected in the data at any time because that change was never intended or added officially, nor public noticed. And if we had not just had the Deputy Commissioner adopt and sign and sent to law the maps etc. we would have been able to make that change on the maps per the public / agency review according to the Administrative Procedures Act. Since those "documents" (Atlas & Catalog) actually have not yet been published and this change was not public noticed we may still be able to make the corrections in the final documents if DFG is willing. However, we need to get confirmation on this from Dept. of Law.

Boy, I'm sorry that this went on so long. There are just so many aspects and history that this touched on.

While I've attached the nominations, I like to remind you that OHMP staff have access to the Sportfish intranet to review the historic changes and nominations, etc. under the programs and web pages that Andy, Rob and myself initiated. You can still access this via the info below. PLEASE REMEMBER THAT THIS USERNAME AND PASSWORD ARE FOR OHMP STAFF ONLY. WE SHOULD NOT GIVE THIS OUT TO OTHER ENTITIES. THIS WAS PART OF OUR AGREEMENT WITH DFG AND DOING SO WOULD COMPROMISE OUR ABILITY TO ACCESS THE INFORMATION.

Well thanks for listening, hope it's been helpful.

You can access the AWC intranet site from your DNR address using the following address, username and password. Moira had previously tested this in SE so it should work for you.

<http://intradev.habitat.adfg.state.ak.us/home.cfm>

username: habitat

password: smolt

Edward W. Weiss  
Habitat Biologist  
Office of Habitat Management & Permitting  
Alaska Department of Natural Resources  
550 W. 7th Ave. Suite 1420  
Anchorage, AK 99501  
Phone: (907)-269-5901  
FAX: (907)-269-5673  
ed\_weiss@dnr.state.ak.us

-----Original Message-----

From: JD Johnson [mailto:j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us]  
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2004 11:00 AM  
To: Mark Minnillo (E-mail)  
Cc: Edward Weiss (E-mail)  
Subject: 101-47-10250-2007

Mark

After checking every source possible, I have been unable to locate much to document the extension & addition of CTp to the creek. However, looking at the nominations for tribs to 2007, (if you want to see nominations let me know) it appears that the extent of the creek was lengthened because Ed increased upper limits based on updated topography.


I further suspect that some per. comm. Between Ed. W. and Jack Gustafson may have resulted in the addition of CTp maybe based on the presence of CTr downstream. Ed W. might be able to provide addition info.

We will continue to look into this, but that's about the best I can do.


J. Johnson  
Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
FDD Project Biologist  
333 Raspberry Rd  
Anchorage, AK 99518  
907-267-2337 office  
907-267-2464 FAX  
j\_johnson@fishgame.state.ak.us

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Topping of 5/9/01  
= addition to catalog; coho rearing




= addition to catalog  
= previously cataloged.



<p>Date: 5/7/01 10.03</p>	<p><b>PEM</b> RAM ENGINEERING-KETCHIKAN, INC. P.O. BOX 9582 KETCHIKAN, ALASKA 99901</p>	<p>Client: KETCHIKAN GATEWAY BOROUGH 344 FRONT STREET KETCHIKAN, AK 99901</p>	<p>Project: WHITECLIFF REPLACEME PROJECT</p>
-------------------------------	---	---	--




= new nomination  
of 7/12/01



UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Trapping on 7/12/01  
= addition to catalog; color rearing





U.S. GOAST SURVEY STAFF GEOMETRIC PROJECTIONS 11 44  
TANK CHAIN B-61  
MARIANO COVE E.T.

*Clayton  
Location  
of this  
stream*

10310

10070

2011

10005

10010

ANC-W/C-03

*Tributary to Schenker Creek 14-47-1024.6-2007*