Dear Reviewer,

August 2014

The Alaska Board of Game will consider the attached book of regulatory proposals at its meetings scheduled for **January through March 2015**. The proposals generally concern changes to the hunting and trapping regulations for the Southeast, Central/Southwest, and Southcentral Regions. The board will also consider a number of sheep hunting proposals for the Interior Region which were deferred from the previous cycle. Members of the public, organizations, advisory committees, and Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) staff submitted these proposals. The proposals are published essentially as they were received.

The proposals are presented as brief statements summarizing the intended regulatory changes. In cases where confusion might arise or where the regulation is complex, proposed changes are also indicated in legal format. In this format, bolded and underlined words are *additions* to the regulation text, and capitalized words or letters in square brackets [XXX] are deletions from the regulation text.

You are encouraged to read all proposals presented in this book. Some regulations may have statewide application and affect other regions of the state so please read all the proposals presented in this book. Proposals are grouped by the meeting to which they pertain (see *Proposal Index* for each meeting). Within each meeting the proposals are then organized by area. The proposals are listed in tentative order for which they are intended to be considered during the meetings. The final order of proposals to be deliberated by the board, also known as the “roadmap,” will be made available approximately two weeks prior to each meeting. However, the roadmap may be changed up to and during the meeting.

Before taking action on these proposed changes to the regulations, **the board would like your written comments and/or oral testimony on any effects the proposed changes would have on your activities.**

After reviewing the proposals, please send written comments to:

**ATTN: Board of Game Comments**  
Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
Boards Support Section  
P.O. Box 115526  
Juneau, AK 99811-5526  
Fax: 907-465-6094  
Online: [www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov](http://www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov)

Public comment, in combination with Advisory Committee comments ADF&G staff presentations, provide the Board of Game with useful biological and socioeconomic data to form decisions. Written comments become public documents. The following are recommendations for providing written comments:

**Timely Submission:** Submit written comments by fax or mail at least two weeks prior to the meeting for which the topic will be considered (see tentative meeting schedule on page vi). Written comments received after the two-week period will be accepted but will not be included in board member workbooks until the beginning of each meeting. If you provide written comments during a board
meeting, submit 20 copies to Board Support Section staff, who will distribute your written comments to board members. If including graphs or charts, please indicate the source.

**Length:** Prior to the two-week deadline, the board will accept written comment of up to 100 single sided pages in length from any one individual or group related to proposals at any one meeting. After the two week deadline and during the meetings, written comment will be limited to 10 single sided pages in length.

**List the Proposal Number:** Written comments should indicate the proposal number(s) to which the comments apply. Written comments should specifically state “support” or “opposition” to the proposal(s). If the comments support a modification in the proposal, please indicate “support as amended” and provide your preferred amendment in writing. This will help ensure written comments are correctly noted for the board members. You do not need to list the Alaska Administrative Code (AAC) number.

**Do Not Use Separate Pages When Commenting on Separate Proposals:** If making comments on more than one proposal, please do not use separate pieces of paper. Simply begin the next set of written comments by listing the next proposal number.

**Provide an Explanation:** Please briefly explain why you are in support of or opposition to the proposal. Board actions are based on a complete review of the facts involved in each proposal, not a mere calculation of comments for or against a proposal. Advisory committees and other groups also need to explain the rationale behind recommendations. Minority viewpoints from an advisory committee should be noted in advisory committee minutes along with the majority recommendation. The board benefits greatly from understanding the pros and cons of each issue. A brief description consisting of a couple of sentences is sufficient.

**Write Clearly:** Comments will be scanned and photocopied so please use 8 1/2" x 11" paper and leave reasonable margins on all sides to allow room for insertion into the board workbooks. Whether typed or handwritten, use dark ink and write legibly.

**Advisory Committees:** In addition to the above, please make sure the Advisory Committee meeting minutes reflect why the committee voted as it did. If the vote was split, include the minority opinion. A brief description consisting of a couple of sentences is sufficient. Detail the number of members in attendance (e.g., 12 of 15 members) and indicate represented interests such as subsistence, guides, trappers, hunters, wildlife viewers, etc.

Tentative agendas for each meeting are included in each regional section. Meeting information, documents, and a link to the audio are available through the Boards of Game website at: [http://boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov](http://boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov). Board actions will also be posted on the website shortly after the meeting.

Persons with a disability needing special accommodations in order to comment on the proposed regulations should contact the Boards Support Section at (907) 465-4110 at least two weeks prior to the schedule meeting to make any necessary arrangements.

Kristy Tibbles, Executive Director
Alaska Board of Game
(907) 465-4110
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Persons planning to testify before Board of Game hearings must fill out a blue PUBLIC TESTIMONY SIGN-UP CARD and turn it in to the board’s staff. Persons providing written material for the board members must provide at least 20 copies to the staff; and submit with your blue testimony card. Do not wait until it is your turn to testify to submit written material, as it may not be distributed to the board in time for your testimony. Provide a name and date on the first page of written material and identify the source of graphs or tables, if included in materials.

When the chairman calls your name, please go to the microphone; state your name and whom you represent. At the front table, a green light will come on when you begin speaking. A yellow light will come on when you have one minute remaining. A red light will indicate that your time is up. When you are finished speaking, please stay seated and wait for any questions board members may have regarding your comments.

If you wish to give testimony for more than one group (i.e., yourself plus an organization, or advisory committee), you only need to turn in one sign-up card, listing each group you will be representing. When you begin your testimony, state for the record the group you are representing. Keep your comments separate for each group. For example: give comments for the first group you are representing, then after stating clearly that you are now testifying for the second group, give comments for that group.

Please be aware that when you testify you may not ask questions of board members or of department staff. This is your chance to make comments on proposals before the board. If board members and/or department staff need clarification, they will ask you questions. A person using derogatory or threatening language to the board will not be allowed to continue speaking.

Generally, the board allows five minutes for oral testimony, whether you testify for yourself or on behalf of an organization. The board chairman will announce the length of time for testimony at the beginning of the meeting.

Advisory Committee representatives are usually allowed 15 minutes to testify, and should restrict testimony to relating what occurred at the advisory committee meeting(s). Testimony should be a brief summary of the minutes of the meeting, and copies of the minutes should be available for the board members. An Advisory Committee representative’s personal opinions should not be addressed during Advisory Committee testimony.

PLEASE NOTE: The time limit on testimony does not include questions the board members may have for you.
# ALASKA BOARD OF GAME
## Tentative Meeting Dates & Locations
### 2014/2015 Meeting Cycle

Southeast, Central/Southwest, and Southcentral Regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Dates</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Comment Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 8, 2015</td>
<td>Work Session</td>
<td>Juneau</td>
<td>Dec. 26, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Westmark Baranof Hotel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Westmark Baranof Hotel</td>
<td>[ACR Deadline: Nov. 10, 2014]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Best Western Lake Lucille Inn</td>
<td>[ACR Deadline: Dec. 15, 2014]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 13 – 17, 2015</td>
<td>Southcentral Region</td>
<td>Anchorage</td>
<td>Feb. 27, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UAA Student Union</td>
<td>[ACR Deadline: Jan. 12, 2015]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Meeting Days: 19  
Agenda Change Request Deadline: 60 days prior to each meeting.

The proposal deadline for the 2015/2016 meeting cycle will be May 1, 2015 at 5:00 pm.

************************************************************************************

Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
Boards Support Section  
P.O. Box 115526  
Juneau, AK 99811-5526  
Phone: (907) 465-4110  
Fax: (907) 465-6094  
www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov
ALASKA BOARD OF GAME
MEETING CYCLE

The board regulations meeting cycle generally occurs from January through March. The board considers changes to regulations on a region-based schedule. Each region will be discussed on a two-year cycle. When the regional area is before the board, the following regulations are open for consideration within that region:

- Trapping Seasons and Bag Limits -- All species
- General and Subsistence Hunting Seasons and Bag Limits -- All species (Except antlerless moose hunts as noted below)
- Wolf Control Implementation Plans
- Bag Limit for Brown Bears
- Areas Closed To Hunting
- Closures and Restrictions in State Game Refuges
- Management Areas; Controlled Use Areas, and Areas Closed To Trapping

Regulations specific to an area (e.g., Permits for Access to Round Island) will be taken up when the board is scheduled to consider regulations in that Region. Proposals for changes to regulations pertaining to reauthorization of all antlerless moose hunts, 5 AAC 85.045, and all brown bear tag fee exemptions, 5 AAC 92.015, will be taken up annually.

The Board of Game does not consider proposals to statewide regulations in every meeting cycle. Instead, the Board of Game reviews statewide regulations on a four-year cycle, distributed between meetings, every other year. The list of statewide regulations and the associated “Cycle A” and “Cycle B” meeting schedule is set forth on the next page of this publication.

The proposal deadline is May 1 every year. If May 1 falls on a weekend, the proposal deadline is the Friday preceding that weekend.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Meeting Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Region (Region I)</td>
<td>2014/2015, 2016/2017, 2018/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Management Units: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southcentral Region (Region II)</td>
<td>2014/2015, 2016/2017, 2018/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Management Units: 6, 7, 8, 14C, 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central/Southwest Region (Region IV)</td>
<td>2014/2015, 2016/2017, 2018/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Management Units: 9, 10, 11, 13, 14A, 14B, 16, 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic/Western Region (Region V)</td>
<td>2015/2016, 2017/2018, 2019/2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Management Units: 18, 22, 23, 26A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Management Units: 12, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26B, 26C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ALASKA BOARD OF GAME
STATEWIDE REGULATIONS SCHEDULE

CYCLE “A” 2018, 2022, 2026

5 AAC Chapter 92 Statewide Provisions:
.001 Application of this Chapter
.002 Liability for Violations
.003 Hunter Education and Orientation Requirements
.004 Policy for Off-Road Vehicle Use for Hunting and Transporting Game
.005 Policy for Changing Board Agenda
.010 Harvest Tickets and Reports
.011 Taking of Game by Proxy
.012 Licenses and Tags
.013 Migratory Bird Hunting Guide Services
.018 Waterfowl Conservation Tag
.019 Taking of Big Game for Certain Religious Ceremonies
.020 Application of Permit Regulations and Permit Reports
.025 Permit for Exporting a Raw Skin
.026 Permit for Possessing Live Game
.030 Possession of Wolf Hybrid Prohibited
.031 Permit for Selling Skins, Skulls, and Trophies
.033 Permit for Science, Education, Propagative, or Public Safety Purposes
.034 Permit to Take and Use Game for Cultural Purposes
.039 Permit for Taking Wolves Using Aircraft
.042 Permit to Take Foxes for Protection and Enhancement of Migratory Birds
.047 Permit for Using Radio Telemetry Equipment
.050 Authorization for Methods and Means Disability Exemptions
.0106 Intensive Management of Identified Big Game Prey Populations
.110 Control of Predation by Wolves
.115 Control of Predation by Bears
.116 Special Provisions in Predation Control Areas
.141 Transport, Harboring, or Release of Live Muridae Rodents Prohibited
.165 Sealing of Bear Skins and Skulls
.170 Sealing of Marten, Lynx, Beaver, Otter, Wolf, and Wolverine
.171 Sealing of Dall sheep horns
.210 Game as Animal Food or Bait
.220 Salvage of Game Meat, Furs, and Hides
.230 Feeding of Game
.250 Transfer of Musk oxen for Science and Education Purposes
.450 Description of Game Management Units
.990 Definitions

CYCLE “B” 2016, 2020, 2024

5 AAC Chapter 92 Statewide Provisions:
.009 Obstruction or Hindrance of Lawful Hunting or Trapping
.035 Permit for Temporary Commercial Use of Live Game
.036 Permit for taking a Child Hunting
.037 Permit for Falconry
.040 Permit for Taking of Furbearers with Game Meat
.041 Permit to Take Beavers to Control Damage to Property
.043 Permit for Capturing Wild Furbearers for Fur Farming
.044 Permit for Hunting Black Bear with the Use of Bait or Scent Lures
.049 Permits, Permit Procedures, and Permit Conditions
.050 Required Permit Hunt Conditions and Procedures
.051 Discretionary Trapping Permit Conditions & Procedures
.052 Discretionary Permit Hunt Conditions and Procedures
.057 Special Provisions for Dall Sheep and Mountain Goat Drawing Permit Hunts
.062 Priority for Subsistence Hunting; Tier II Permits
.068 Permit Conditions for Hunting Black Bear with Dogs
.069 Special Provisions for Moose Drawing Permit Hunts
.070 Tier II Subsistence Hunting Permit Point System
.075 Lawful Methods of Taking Game
.080 Unlawful Methods of Taking Game; Exceptions
.085 Unlawful Methods of Taking Big Game; Exceptions
.090 Unlawful Methods of Taking Fur Animals
.095 Unlawful Methods of Taking Furbearers; Exceptions
.100 Unlawful Methods of Hunting Waterfowl, Snipe, Crane
.130 Restriction to Bag Limit
.135 Transfer of Possession
.140 Unlawful Possession or Transportation of Game
.150 Evidence of Sex and Identity
.160 Marked or Tagged Game
.260 Taking Cub Bears & Female Bears with Cubs Prohibited
.400 Emergency Taking of Game
.410 Taking of Game in Defense of Life or Property
.420 Taking Nuisance Wildlife
.98.005 Areas of Jurisdiction for Antlerless Moose Seasons.
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Alaska Board of Game members may also be reached through:

**ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME**
Boards Support Section
P.O. Box 115526
Juneau, AK 99811-5526
(907) 465-4110 PHONE
(907) 465-6094 FAX

Board of Game website: [www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov](http://www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov)
Kristy Tibbles, Executive Director, Alaska Board of Game
e-mail: [kristy.tibbles@alaska.gov](mailto:kristy.tibbles@alaska.gov)
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Additional Information on Sheep Hunting Proposals

Attention Sheep Hunters:

During the last few board meetings, the Board of Game received several proposals requesting changes to sheep seasons statewide. Hunters are expressing dissatisfaction with Dall sheep harvest opportunities. Many of the concerns expressed have noted increasing conflict among Alaska resident sheep hunters, hunting guides, transporters, and nonresident sheep hunters. The board deferred Interior Region proposals until the March 2015 board meeting in Anchorage. However, given the need for lengthy discussions to address the various complexities, the Board of Game has chosen to address these proposals at the February 2015 board meeting in Wasilla. At that time, the board will consider changes to all aspects of sheep hunting seasons and bag limits for the Central/Southwest and Interior Regions. The Southcentral Region sheep proposals will remain scheduled for the March 2015 board meeting in Anchorage.

The Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) has contracted with the University of Alaska to survey the public that may be impacted by potential changes, including sheep hunters, guides, transporters and air taxi operators. The survey will attempt to learn more about sheep hunter characteristics, behaviors and preferences, to quantify the extent of hunter satisfaction or dissatisfaction with current sheep hunting opportunities, and to quantify the extent of hunter approval or disapproval of potential changes to sheep hunting regulations and management.

The survey was distributed during the summer of 2014, and results will be available in late October or early November. The results will be available on the Board of Game website at www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov. In addition, the results of the hunter survey and summaries of trends in sheep populations, harvest, and allocation will be presented to the public by ADF&G at venues in Fairbanks and Anchorage. The Fairbanks meeting will be held at the Murie Auditorium on the UAF campus on November 19, 2014 from 6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m. The Anchorage meeting will be held at the Loussac Library on November 21, 2014 from 6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m. These meetings are purely informational and are in no way Board of Game meetings or board sub-committee meetings.

At the February and March 2015 meetings, the board will consider potential changes including, but not limited to:

- Earlier seasons for residents
- Change all general season hunts to registration or draw permits
- Shorter seasons for nonresidents
- Drawing permits required for nonresidents
- Reduce permits for nonresidents
- Limit nonresidents hunting with a next-of-kin relative
- Resident only hunts
- Limit hunters to hunting only one area
- Smaller hunt areas with limited participation
- Require training or orientation for all hunters
• Changes to sealing requirement
• Changes to full-curl bag limit
• Reduce bag limit, (for example one sheep every three years, etc.)
• Changes to same-day-airborne restriction
• Restrict transportation used in some areas
• Youth, restricted weapons, walk-in only hunts

The public is encouraged to review the results of the survey and provide comments to the board by January 30, 2015 for the Central/Southwest meeting and February 27, 2015 for the Southcentral meeting.
Southeast Region

(Region I)

Proposal Index

(Note: Please review the “Regional and Multiple Units” section, which also affect regulations for other units).

Petersburg & Wrangell Area – Units 1B & 3

1. Open a fall brown bear season for residents in Unit 3.
2. Open a fall brown bear season for residents in Unit 3, Mitkof Island.
3. Open a fall brown bear season for residents in Unit 3.
4. Clarify the boundaries of the Petersburg Closed Area and the Petersburg Management Area due to changing city boundaries.
5. Clarify the boundaries of the Wrangell Road System Closed Area.
6. Redefine "broken antler" for Units 1 and 3.
7. Define “points” for forked moose antlers for the RM038 registration hunt in Unit 1C.

Sitka Area – Unit 4

8. Establish a resident drawing hunt for goats in Unit 4, Sitka area.
9. Increase the resident bag limit for deer in Unit 4 Remainder to six deer, of which the last two must be bucks.
10. Change "any deer" resident season to October 15 - December 31 for portions of Unit 1C and all of Unit 4.
11. Establish separate brown bear registration hunts for nonresident relatives within second-degree of kindred in Unit 4.

Ketchikan Area – Unit 2

12. Reduce the bag limit for trapping and hunting wolves in Unit 2, require locking tags and implement registration requirements.
13 Change the management level for wolves in Unit 2 to include all causes of mortality for wolves.
14 Establish regulations in Unit 2 to allow for appropriate harvest levels and account for unrecovered harvest.
15 Allow trappers to take beaver in Unit 2 with a firearm.

**Juneau, Haines/Skagway, and Yakutat Areas – Units 1C, 1D, & 5**

16 Modify the amount reasonably necessary for subsistence uses for deer in Unit 5.
17 Increase the resident bag limit for deer in Unit 1C (Lincoln, Shelter & Sullivan Islands) to six deer, of which the last two must be bucks.
18 Establish a resident, archery drawing hunt for goats in Unit 1C, Juneau area.
19 Create a resident youth hunt for goats in Unit 1D, Tukghahgo Mountain area.
20 Expand the resident goat season dates for registration permit hunts RGO23 and RGO24 in Unit 1D.
21 Delay the use of black bear bait stations in Unit 1D until after the brown bear season is closed.
22 Shift the resident moose season two weeks earlier in Unit 5B, Mamby Shore area.
23 Reauthorize antlerless moose hunts in Unit 1C.
24 Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in Unit 5A, Nunatak Bench.
25 Move the resident duck season two weeks earlier in Unit 5.

**Regional and Multiple Units**

26 Modify deer population and harvest objectives, or exempt the Southeast Region from objectives.
27 Establish deer hunting seasons for elder hunters and individuals with disabilities in Units 1 - 5.
28 Extend the wolverine trapping season in Units 1 - 5.
29 Require a time limit for checking traps in Units 1 - 5.
30 Remove the reporting requirement for GPS coordinates for bear bait stations in Units 1 - 5.
31 Change the bag limit restriction for black and brown bear in Units 1 - 5.
32 Allow the transfer of resident harvest tickets to a relative within second-degree of kindred.
33 Remove the restriction against using felt sole waders while hunting in Southeast Region Units.

34 Require harvest reporting of migratory birds by species in Southeast Region Units.

35 Require certification for big game hunters using crossbows.

36 Remove the requirement to clean up contaminated soil from bear bait stations for Southeast Region Units.

37 Add five days to all resident hunting seasons and allocate 75% of the drawing permits to residents in the Southeast Region.

38 Allocate 90% of big game drawing permits to residents for Southeast Region Units.
NOTE: This Tentative Agenda is subject to change throughout the course of the meeting. This tentative Agenda is provided to give a general idea of the board’s anticipated schedule. The board will attempt to hold to this schedule; however, the board is not constrained by this tentative Agenda. Persons wishing to testify must sign-up by the deadline. Public testimony will continue until those present at the meeting are heard; the board will continue working through its agenda immediately upon conclusion of public testimony. The following time blocks are only an estimate.

Friday, January 9, 8:30 AM
OPENING BUSINESS
   Call to Order
   Introductions of Board Members and Staff
   Board Member Ethics Disclosures
   Purpose of Meeting (overview)
STAFF AND OTHER REPORTS
PUBLIC AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE TESTIMONY (upon conclusion of staff reports)

THE DEADLINE TO SIGN-UP TO TESTIFY will be announced prior to the meeting. Public testimony will continue until persons who have signed up before the deadline and who are present when called by the Chairman to testify, are heard.

Saturday, January 10, 8:30 AM
PUBLIC AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE TESTIMONY Continued
BOARD DELIBERATIONS (Upon conclusion of public testimony)

Sunday, January 11 – Monday, January 12, 8:30 AM
BOARD DELIBERATIONS Continued

Tuesday, January 13, 8:30 AM
BOARD DELIBERATIONS Concludes
MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, including petitions, findings and policies, letters, and other business (Upon conclusion of deliberations)
ADJOURN

Special Notes
A. This agenda is TENTATIVE and subject to change during the meeting. A list of staff reports and a roadmap will be available at the meeting. Scheduled updates will be available on the Board of Game website.
B. Meeting materials are available at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo or by contacting the ADF&G Boards Support Office in Juneau at 465-4110.
C. A live audio stream for the meeting is intended to be available at: www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov
D. The State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA). Individuals with disabilities who may need auxiliary aids, services, and/or special modifications to participate in this hearing and public meeting should contact 465-4110 no later than December 26, 2014 to make any necessary arrangements.
PROPOSAL 1 - 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear. Open a fall brown bear season for residents in Unit 3 as follows:

For residents only: One bear (spring or fall) every four regulatory years by permit available in Douglas, Ketchikan, Petersburg, Sitka, and Wrangell, or online or by mail from Petersburg beginning August 17. (September 15 – December 31 season dates.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Add a fall brown/grizzly bear hunting season for Unit 3. Hunters will not have an opportunity to hunt brown/grizzly bear in the fall when other hunts (i.e.: deer, bear, moose) are ongoing. Hunters who would prefer hunting in the fall would benefit, possibly in conjunction with a deer or moose hunt. A fall hunt may not improve quality of the resource unless it reduces rubbing, common with spring hunts.

PROPOSED BY: Petersburg Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-194)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 2 - 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear. Open a fall brown bear season for residents in Unit 3, Mitkof Island as follows:

September 15 - December 31, Mitkof Island only:
For residents only one brown bear spring or fall, every four regulatory years, by permit available in Douglas, Ketchikan, Petersburg, Sitka and Wrangell, or online or by mail from Petersburg beginning August 14.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Provide an opportunity for hunters to take a brown bear in the fall when other hunts (i.e. deer or moose) are ongoing. A fall hunt may provide a superior hide as rubbing can be a problem in the spring.

PROPOSED BY: Petersburg Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-248)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 3 - 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear. Open a fall brown bear season for residents in Unit 3 as follows:

Add a fall hunt that reflects the dates of the nearby game management units (September 15-December 31). This would provide more opportunity at a time of year when bear habitat is more accessible.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Currently, the brown bear season in Unit 3 runs from March 15 to May 31. This time frame provides a very small window of opportunity because access is limited and hunters wishing to pursue bear off of the road systems aren't able to do so due to snow accumulation. Since the season began in 2006, only four
brown bear have been harvested in all of Unit 3. The bears are a resource and also pose an increasing threat to people recreating on the islands.

PROPOSED BY: Wrangell Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-257)

PROPOSAL 4 - 5 AAC 92.510(5)(B). Areas closed to hunting, and 92.530(24)(A). Management Areas. Clarify the boundaries of the Petersburg Closed Area and the Petersburg Management Area due to changing city boundaries as follows:

5 AAC 92.510. Areas closed to hunting.
(5) Unit 3:

(B) in the Petersburg vicinity, a strip one-fourth mile wide on each side of the Mitkof Highway from mile marker X.X of Mitkof Highway [THE PETERSBURG CITY LIMITS] to the Crystal Lake campground is closed to the taking of big game, except wolves;

5 AAC 92.530. Management areas.

(24) the Petersburg Management Area:

(A) the area consists of that portion of Unit 3 on Mitkof Island north and west of a line from Frederick Point to the highest point in Section 8, T59S, R90E, to the highest point in Section 7, T59S, R80E, to the highest point in Section 13, T59S, R79E, to the highest point in Section 23, T59S, R79E, then due south to 56°42’30”N [THE PETERSBURG CITY BOUNDARY]; and at least one-quarter mile from any airport property, dwellings, businesses, highways, roads or streets within the corporate city limits;

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Borough of Petersburg’s recent transition from city limits to expanded borough boundaries has resulted in the need to revise the boundary descriptions for the Petersburg Road System Closed Area and the Petersburg Management Area. The actual physical location of the closed area will not change with this proposal.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-324)

PROPOSAL 5 - 5 AAC 92.510(5)(A). Areas closed to hunting. Clarify the boundaries of the Wrangell Road System Closed Area as follows:

5 AAC 92.510. Areas closed to hunting.
(5) Unit 3:

(A) a strip one-fourth mile wide on each side of the Stikine (Zimovia) Highway from mile-marker xx.x of the Stikine (Zimovia) Highway [THE WRANGELL CITY LIMITS] to the Tongass National Forest Boundary is closed to the taking of big game;
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The City and Borough of Wrangell’s recent transition from city limits to expanded borough boundaries has resulted in the need to revise the boundary description for the Wrangell Road System Closed Area. The actual physical location of the closed area will not change with this proposal.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-325)
*****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 6 - 5 AAC 92.150(c). Evidence of sex and identity. Redefine "broken antler" for Units 1 and 3 as follows:

An antler that was broken while growing and is visibly grown around or over is not considered illegal by the broken antler regulation.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The broken antler regulation was put in to stop hunters from making illegal moose antlers legal by breaking antlers. This regulation went too far and protection is taking moose that have a barely noticeable healed or grown-over break.

PROPOSED BY: Wrangell Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-256)
*****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 7 - 5 AAC 92.990. Definitions. Define “points” for forked moose antlers for the RM038 registration hunt in Unit 1C as follows:

Small points originating from the antler base shorter than the ear will not be counted in making a forked antler moose illegal for the registration hunt, RM038.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Small points that grow from the antler base on moose are almost impossible to see and should not make an antler illegal.

PROPOSED BY: Wrangell Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-255)
PROPOSAL 8 - 5AAC 85.040 hunting seasons and bag limits for goats. Establish a resident drawing hunt for goats in Unit 4, Sitka area as follows:

Unit 4: One goat by drawing permit, August 1-December 31; residents only.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? I propose a drawing hunting season for goats in the immediate area behind Sitka. Unfortunately Sitka does not have a growing population of goat. There has been a decrease in number of goats in the last few years in the Sitka area. Currently, they only allow a few goats to be taken each year because of this. A drawing hunt for the area directly behind Sitka would not increase the harvest, but would increase the experience of the hunt by taking away the derby atmosphere we currently have. The drawing would give ADF&G better control of the harvest number of sheep by controlling the number of hunters in the unit. It is my understanding that this area has not been opened because of the potential for over-harvesting. A bow hunt would also be safer with the number of hiking trails in the area.

PROPOSED BY: Michael Ashton (EG-C14-238)
****************************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 9 - 5 AAC 85.030. Hunting seasons and bag limits for deer. Increase the resident bag limit for deer in Unit 4 Remainder to six deer, of which the last two must be bucks as follows:

Remainder of Unit 4: Six deer total, the last two of which must be bucks.

Retain the current regulations for harvest tickets to be validated in sequential order, and unused tickets must be carried when you hunt, and evidence of sex must remain naturally attached to the meat or antlers must remain naturally attached to the entire carcass, with or without viscera.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? For the Remainder of Unit 4 (that portion that is not Chichagof Island east of Port Frederick and north of Tenakee Inlet including all drainages into Tenakee Inlet), the limit should be increased to six deer total, the last two of which must be bucks.

ADF&G literature states that deer population mortality in the ABC Islands (Admiralty-Baranof-Chichagof) is significantly influenced by how much snow the region receives. In contrast, hunters have very little impact on the population given the size and rugged terrain of the unit. As such, hunters should be allowed to harvest two more deer per hunter (though it is likely most hunters will not harvest these additional deer given the current deer harvest per person is ~2.2). Such a change would also bring this portion of the unit into alignment with federal subsistence regulations.

Additional harvest of bucks will not impact the population and will provide for increased
targeted harvest of bucks in years where heavy snow pushes deer to the beaches. This proposal would have no additional cost to implement due to hunters currently being issued six tags.

PROPOSED BY: Nicholas Orr

****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 10 - 5 AAC 85.030. Hunting seasons and bag limits for deer. Change the "any deer" resident season to October 15-December 31 for portions of Unit 1C and all of Unit 4 as follows:

Change the start date for Unit 4 and parts of Unit 1C (open season) of killing “any deer.” The “any deer” open season to run from October 15-December 31. The taking of a doe with fawns is not encouraged. If you do take a doe that has fawns it is encouraged that you take the fawn(s) also. Every other deer hunting area in the State of Alaska has a “bucks only” season or the any deer season starts later than September 15.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Stop the legal killing of fawns that still have spots. Hunters can legally kill a doe that has a fawn that still has spots (a very young deer). A fawn whose mother has been shot will not suffer as much. The deer populations will continue to be lower than they should be. It will help the populations of deer recover faster after a bad winter.

The law that makes it legal to shoot a fawn that still has spots is just morally wrong. I have pictures taken of fawns that still have their spots after the September 15 opening of the season. I have pictures and other information to back up what I have said here. I have lived remotely on Shelter Island for over ten years and have hunted deer in Southeast Alaska since the late 1960's.

PROPOSED BY: Jay Beedle

****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 11 - 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear. Establish separate brown bear registration hunts for nonresident relatives within second-degree of kindred in Unit 4 as follows:

In order to bring the second-degree of kindred hunts back into the Unit 4 Brown Bear Management Strategy (BBMS) framework, establish separate registration hunts for second-degree of kindred nonresident brown bear hunters in Unit 4, as follows:

Spring hunt inside drainages - 2 permits annually
Spring hunt outside drainages - 2 permits annually
Fall hunt - 3 permits annually

The total number of second-degree kindred, nonresident brown bear permits to be seven annually. Although the BBMS suggests four annually, no allowance was made for Chichagof Island in that analysis. Recommend three additional permits to take that island into account.
Recommend that permits be made available online so that individuals have equal opportunity.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Brown bears are managed in Unit 4 under the Unit 4 Brown Bear Management Strategy (BBMS). The BBMS recommended four nonresidents within second-degree of kindred be allowed to hunt brown bears in Unit 4 each year.

Since 2006 the number of second-degree of kindred hunters registering for this type of hunt has increased significantly and ranged from 16 to 30 per year. Brown bear harvest from these hunters has ranged from four to 11 bears per year. Sealing records indicate a high percentage of females and small bears are harvested.

The number of second-degree of kindred hunters in Unit 4, although important to the success of the management system, is currently only a suggestion in the BBMS rather than a regulatory limit. Other nonresident hunters, i.e. those guided by licensed guides, are very strictly controlled. The second-degree of kindred component is the only nonresident group not currently controlled.

**PROPOSED BY:** Brad Dennison

******************************************************************************

EG-C14-211
**PROPOSAL 12 - 5 AAC 84.270. Trapping seasons and bag limits, and 85.056. Hunting seasons and bag limits for wolf.** Reduce the bag limit for trapping and hunting wolves in Unit 2, require locking tags and implement registration requirements as follows:

1. Issue locking tags that must be placed on the animal at the kill site.

2. When taken by trap or snare, only the person whose name is on the trap or snare may tag that animal, and they must be present at the time when the animal is taken.

3. All trap site locations must be registered with the state. (Similar to bear bait stations. This will allow law enforcement a chance to enforce the rules).

I feel that a total personal bag limit of about five wolves taken by hunting and trapping combined would be appropriate although the state could use past harvest data to come up with a number that would likely hit the season quota with a full season of participation time.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** To impose a personal bag limit on wolves. Current plans are to reduce even further the total harvest limit for Unit 2. This will likely result in a very short season. Many of the hunters and trappers in this unit enjoy pursuing wolves as a winter activity and are satisfied if they only take a couple of wolves per year. To them, it's more about the time spent out pursuing these animals. With a few trappers taking the majority of the quota this enjoyable winter activity will not likely last all winter for most of the recreational hunters and trappers.

**PROPOSED BY:** James Bauers (EG-C14-287)

**PROPOSAL 13 - 5 AAC 92.008. Harvest guideline levels.** Change the management level for wolves in Unit 2 to include all causes of mortality for wolves as follows:

Change 5 AAC 92.008(1) to read: “**wolves: (A) the department shall manage the take of Unit 2 wolves so that the total annual human take from all causes (reported, illegal and wounding loss) does not exceed 30% of the Department’s minimum estimate of the unit-wide fall population; and (B) a natural mortality of up to 8% is already accounted for in the 30% limit, but if the department determines that natural mortality may be exceeding that level, it shall adjust its management accordingly.**

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** This proposal is specific to Unit 2 and concerns Alexander Archipelago wolves, an endemic subspecies (*Canis lupus ligoni*) in Southeast Alaska and the only wolf subspecies known to be found or reproduce there. 5 AAC 92.008(1) states that for Unit 2 wolves, "annual harvest ... should not exceed 30%" of the ADF&G’s pre-season population estimate. (Emphasis added).
Mortality of Unit 2 wolves from all causes and their substantially declining population have been ongoing issues. In managing wolf populations that are in decline it is important that all causes of mortality be taken into account, including natural mortality, reported harvest, illegal take, and wounding loss. In combination, regulation 5 AAC 92.008 itself and the ADF&G’s application of that regulation in managing Unit 2 wolves take into account only the number of legally harvested wolves and the natural mortality. This management has, to date, ignored illegal take and wounding mortality. Past radio collar studies in Unit 2 indicate that illegal take is likely on par with reported legal harvest; that is roughly 50% of the total take. (“The Alexander Archipelago wolf: a conservation assessment”, Person et al. 1996; “Correlates of mortality in an exploited wolf population”, Person & Russell 2008; “Developing a method to estimate abundance of wolves in Southeast Alaska, progress report”, Person & Larson 2013). Recent research by ADF&G indicates that total annual mortality may now have reached 80% of the population, at least in the central part of Unit 2 (Person & Larson 2013).

For Alexander Archipelago wolf populations it has been estimated that if total mortality exceeds 30-35%, a population decline will result (Person et al. 1996), more recently revised to an estimated threshold of 38% (Person & Russell 2008). Natural mortality is estimated at 5-8% of the population (Person et al. 1996). Therefore, the 30% harvest cap in 5 AAC 92.008 has allowed adequately for natural mortality, 8%.

However, in setting its harvest cap for Unit 2 wolves (60 wolves in recent years) ADF&G has failed entirely to take into account the known substantial illegal take or any wounding loss. For 2013-2014 ADF&G based its management on a population of 200, arbitrarily picked from ADF&G’s estimated range of 150-250. It picked 200 simply because it is the midpoint of the range (Personal communication with ADF&G). Then, ADF&G applied the 30% factor in establishing a “harvest” cap of 60 wolves, as measured by the number of sealed skins. An emergency order closing the season two weeks early was issued on March 13, 2014 when the reported harvest (sealed skins) had reached 52 wolves. The closure was effective six days later, at which time it was anticipated the reported harvest would reach 60.

This means that ADF&G construed the 30% cap in 5 AAC 92.008 to apply only to reported “harvest,” while ignoring entirely the substantial telemetry-estimated illegal take. The arithmetic that demonstrates this is incontrovertible (200 x 0.30 = 60), and ADF&G has admitted this was the case.

Moreover, the Unit 2 population ADF&G used in its calculation (200) may have been an overestimate of the actual population, which ADF&G has estimated could be as low as 150. It is possible, however, that the population was less than 150 – no one knows, and ADF&G’s field observations have shown scant trace of wolves in the substantial part of the unit it has investigated. (Recordings of the November 2010 Board of Game meeting; Person & Larson 2013; several other documents).

The word "harvest" in 5 AAC 92.008(1) has misled ADF&G concerning the crucial need to include illegal take and wounding loss in setting the harvest cap of Unit 2 wolves. Further, the regulation does not require management based on a conservative estimate of population. In short, the regulation is incapable of assuring sustainable management of a wolf population that is in
notable decline, and as written the regulation is misleading to the public as well as the ADF&G. Both shortcomings in the regulation (not explicitly incorporating illegal take and wounding loss, and not basing management on the minimum estimated population) need to be remedied.

PROPOSED BY: Greenpeace, Center for Biological Diversity and The Boat Company

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 14 - 5 AAC 92.008. Harvest guideline levels and 5 AAC 92.130. Restrictions to bag limit. Establish regulations in Unit 2 to allow for appropriate harvest levels and account for unrecovered harvest as follows:

5 AAC 92.008. Harvest guideline levels.
   (1) wolves: the annual harvest of wolves in Unit 2 should not exceed 20 \[30\] percent of the unitwide, preseason population as estimated by the department;

5 AAC 92.130. Restriction to bag limit.
   (h) In Unit 2, a wolf wounded by a person counts against that person’s bag limit for the regulatory year in which the wolf is taken.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? In Unit 2, ADF&G currently manages wolf harvest at 30% of the estimated fall wolf population; emergency order authority is used to close the season when the harvest reaches the guideline harvest level (e.g., 60 wolves in RY2013). Currently, only wolves presented for sealing are applied to the annual guideline harvest level. This harvest level was the result of research conducted on Prince of Wales Island during 1991-1995 and 2000-2004.

In studies of numerous wolf populations, human-caused mortality of approximately 28% has been shown to be sustainable. On Prince of Wales, it has been suggested that unreported harvest may be substantial. The ADF&G believes that reduced harvest is appropriate until updated population and harvest information become available and is therefore proposing to reduce the harvest rate in 5 AAC 92.008 from 30% to 20% of the most recent population estimate.

ADF&G will also seek to evaluate unreported human-caused mortality. Numbers of wounded, lost, or otherwise unaccounted wolves are difficult to estimate but have an effect on overall harvest. While it may be impossible to account for 100% of the previously unreported take, ADF&G believes that a more realistic number than is currently available can be attained through the cooperation of local trappers and hunters and with a mandatory reporting requirement for unrecovered wolves. In the past these animals have not been included in harvest totals because there was no mechanism to account for them (unlike those reported through the department's Furbearer Sealing Certificates).

When a trapper or hunter believes he/she has wounded a wolf with a firearm used for hunting; or detects evidence indicating a wolf has escaped from a trap, or removed a trap from a set location, the trapper/hunter shall report this animal to the department. Where there is an annual bag limit, wounded/lost wolves will count against the trapper and/or hunter’s bag limit.
PROPOSAL 15 - 5 AAC 92.095. Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions. Allow trappers to take beaver in Unit 2 with a firearm as follows:

In means and methods, insert “the use of firearms” to take beaver in Unit 2.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Allow the taking of beaver with a firearm. There are several of us in this unit that pursue river otter by shooting. Allowing shooting for beaver would allow those who prefer this method to trapping a chance to harvest beaver. Beaver populations are high enough that this additional take would not be significant. It would also aid in controlling beavers causing road damage.

PROPOSED BY: James Bauers (EG-C14-288)
PROPOSAL 16 - 5 AAC 99.025. Customary and traditional uses of game populations. Modify the amount reasonably necessary for subsistence uses for deer in Unit 5 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species &amp; Unit</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Amount Reasonably Necessary for Subsistence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(5) Deer</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>[100 PERCENT OF THE ALLOWABLE HARVEST] xx-xx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? There is a positive customary and traditional use finding for deer in Unit 5 and the current amount reasonably necessary for subsistence (ANS) is 100% of the allowable harvest. However, there is currently a nonresident hunt opportunity in Unit 5A for one buck from November 1–30 (there is no state or federal open season in Unit 5B). The department has no conservation concerns for deer in Unit 5, and so this proposal provides the Alaska Board of Game an opportunity to re-evaluate the ANS range. The recent ten-year average harvest by residents of Unit 5A is 25 deer and by all Alaska residents is 31 deer.
PROPOSAL 17 - 5 AAC 85.030. Hunting seasons and bag limits for deer. Increase the
resident bag limit for deer in Unit 1C (Lincoln, Shelter & Sullivan Islands) to six deer, of which
the last two must be bucks as follows:

Lincoln, Shelter and Sullivan Islands: Six deer total, the last two of which must be bucks.

Retain the current regulations for harvest tickets to be validated in sequential order, and unused
tickets must be carried when you hunt, and evidence of sex must remain naturally attached to the
meat or antlers must remain naturally attached to the entire carcass, with or without viscera.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? For the portion of Unit 1C
that consists of Lincoln, Shelter and Sullivan Islands, the limit should be increased to 6 deer
total, the last 2 of which must be bucks.

ADF&G literature states that deer population mortality in the ABC islands (Admiralty-Baranof-
Chichagof) as well as other maritime influenced islands such as Lincoln, Shelter and Sullivan
Islands, is significantly influenced by how much snow the region receives. In contrast, hunters
have very little impact on the population given the brushy and rugged terrain of the unit. In 2008
and 2009, 13 and 23 deer were harvested on Lincoln and Shelter Islands. These are not large
numbers and it's unlikely that allowing hunters to harvest two more bucks will have any
significant impact. Such a change would also bring this portion of the unit into alignment with
federal subsistence regulations.

Additional harvest of bucks will not impact the population and will provide for increased
targeted harvest of bucks in years where heavy snow pushes deer to the beaches. This proposal
would have no additional cost to implement due to hunters currently being issued six tags.

PROPOSED BY: Nicholas Orr

PROPOSAL 18 - 5 AAC 85.040. Hunting season bag limits for goat. Establish a resident,
archery drawing hunt for goats in Unit 1C, Juneau area as follows:

Unit 1C, Juneau area: One goat by drawing permit August 1 - December 31, residents only.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? I propose the Board of Game adopt an archery drawing hunt for goats for the area directly around Juneau, similar to the
drawing hunt in Ketchikan (except that hunt is open to rifle hunting).

The justification for this hunt is the close proximity to the population center of Juneau, and the
high number of hiking trails with recreational climbers makes the use of a bow much safer to the
public. The high number of goats in this area supports some kind of harvest. An archery drawing
hunt seems like the next step in keeping with the ADF&G’s mandate of providing hunting opportunities for the residents where a harvestable population of animals exist.

**PROPOSED BY:** Michael Ashton (EG-C14-237)

PROPOSAL 19 - 5 AAC 85.040. Hunting seasons and bag limits for goat. Create a resident youth hunt for goats in Unit 1D, Tukghahgo Mountain area as follows:

We would like to reserve the Tukghahgo Mountain goat hunt area for youth only by creating a youth goat hunt. The Tukghahgo Mountain area is close to Haines and can be accessed by trail thus accessible to youth. We will defer to ADF&G to decide the details of this hunt such as drawing versus registration, dates, number of goats and points.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Upper Lynn Canal Advisory Board requests a youth goat hunt in Game Unit 1D to encourage youth to hunt. We would like to maximize youth hunting opportunity. Youth continue to compete with adults for goats in an area that is relatively easy to access from Haines.

Another solution we considered was outlining the hunt as a registration and have only one goat every four regulatory years so the same youth doesn’t get the goat every year. We decided to defer such details to ADF&G since they are more familiar with the design of successful youth hunts.

**PROPOSED BY:** Upper Lynn Canal Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-45)

PROPOSAL 20 - 5 AAC 85.040. Hunting seasons and bag limits for goat. Expand the resident goat season dates for registration permit hunts RGO23 and RGO24 in Unit 1D as follows:

The Upper Lynn Canal Advisory Board is requesting the Board of Game to include registration permit hunts RGO23 and RGO24 into RGO26 so that the goat hunting season in Unit 1D will be open from August 1 - December 31. This will allow hunters more time harvest the quota of goats allowed by ADF&G through the established point system.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? We would like to expand dates for the RGO23 and RGO24 goat hunt to allow for more goat hunting opportunity. RGO23 and RGO24 are divided into approximately eight areas that are assigned a certain number of allowed harvest points. A billie killed counts as one point and Nanny as two. A point is allocated for every 100 adult goats that are sighted on aerial survey. This excellent management system of points has prevented too many goats from being harvested from a certain area or herd. Our goat population appears healthy and stable in RGO23 and RGO24. This regulation change will not affect areas from being closed early once the number of allotted points is obtained. We feel that extension of these dates will not adversely affect the goat population, yet will allow more hunting opportunities.
What will happen if not changed: Areas will continue to close before the number of allowable points is obtained.

What other solutions: We could include either RGO23 or RGO24 into RGO26 but not both. We could simply change the open season dates of RGO23 and RGO24.

PROPOSED BY: Upper Lynn Canal Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-46)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 21 - 5 AAC 85.015. Hunting seasons and bag limits for black bear. Delay the use of black bear bait stations in Unit 1D until after the brown bear season is closed as follows:

This proposal recognizes that the conflict only exits in subunit 1D and not the rest of Unit 1. The brown bear spring hunting season in subunit 1D ends on May 31. The black bear spring hunting season extends through the month of June. The simplest solution to a huge problem is to separate the two seasons, by restricting the placing of bait at a registered black bear bait station that is located in subunit 1D until after the brown bear hunting season is closed.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Subunit 1D surrounds the town of Haines, where three separate guiding companies, as well as a local population base of approximately 2,700 people intensely compete for the same game animals in easily accessible, relatively narrow river-bottom corridors.

Haines is actually one of the most rugged and mountainous hunting areas of the state, and almost all of the upper reaches of the local drainages are inaccessible by boat or even airplane.

Brown bears are concentrated in specific areas relative to game trails which parallel the rivers in subunit 1D and almost all access for hunting bears is gained by short hops with river boats, or driving the few roads that parallel the rivers for short distances relative to the size of subunit 1D. This concentrates all bear hunters to small areas and the competition between some hunting guides and between some locals is intense and often problematic.

The local spring brown bear hunting season is presently concurrent with the black bear baiting season. Black bear bait stations have been historically problematic in subunit 1D because they are all located where intense spring brown bear hunting takes place by both locals and guides. Black bear bait stations near Haines have historically been abused by some locals and some disreputable guides, and it is well documented by wildlife troopers that many brown bears have been illegally taken over local bait stations for a very long time.

A small portion of the past and recent abuse of black bear bait stations proximate to Haines, and the illegal taking of brown bears at bait stations, can be best appreciated by viewing internet information related to: "Operation Bruin, Haines, Alaska." Or: "International Wildlife Investigation, Haines, Alaska". Operation Bruin was a joint three-year-long investigation between the State of Alaska, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Canadian authorities. In November of 2011 it culminated in one of the largest busts in the history of wildlife law enforcement in Alaska, and it is presently on-going. To date, two past Haines hunting guides
have been convicted of felony charges as a result of Operation Bruin, and an assistant hunting
guide escaped charges by testifying against his employers.

The Haines Advisory Committee voted to separate the black bear bait season from the brown
bear hunting season, but the proposal was poorly worded and therefore did not properly identify
the depth of the problem.

Additionally, the proposal was mistakenly submitted by a past member of the local advisory
committee during the board’s statewide cycle for proposals, as opposed to being relative to
subunit 1D, which is only a very small portion of Unit 1 in Southeast Alaska. It was not
surprising that the past Board of Game previously declined the proposal as it was written and in
the manner it was submitted.

One local hunter publically and locally vehemently opposed changing the baiting season for
black bears during the comment period on the above mentioned proposal on the grounds that
baiting black bears is a form of subsistence hunting, and that it also easily allows the mentoring
of young hunters. Changing the season for baiting black bears does not close the black bear
hunting season, and such a proposal is not designed to be a back-door to stopping the baiting of
black bears.

If the black bear baiting season in subunit 1D was restricted to the month of June, hunting black
bears would still be allowed through the entire months of May and June, which is ample time to
mentor a youth while hunting black bears for two complete months, the second of which could
be over bait.

When bait stations exist, either legal or illegally, dominant brown bears will naturally gravitate to
the bait stations where a legal hunter cannot hunt them, and in a congested, geographically
restricted hunt area such as Haines, the problem is compounded for obvious reasons of terrain
and accessibility. That situation then becomes even more problematic for a legitimate
commercial guiding company to legally conduct business, because of the question regarding if a
brown bear was accidently or purposefully killed over bait, or how close the brown bear was to a
bait station, no matter who the bait station was registered to.

As a Master Guide operating in the Haines area for well over 20 years, I can truthfully say that
black bear bait stations, both legal and illegal, have very nearly put me out of business during the
spring guiding season. Consider that a black bear bait station can legally be registered at any
time leading to or during the spring hunting season. Also, a bait station can be registered next to
a commercial registered base camp for a guide/outfitter, at his spike-camp location, or right in
the middle of his historic guiding area...and everyone is competing for the best turf.

Additionally, it has been noted by a wildlife trooper and also, (to my understanding, relayed by
them to biologist), that some Haines individuals have likely historically abused their bait permits
by registering a black bear bait station in order to gain an exclusive brown bear hunting location
by not actually putting out bait.
All legal bait stations in subunit 1D are identified on a large public wall-map at the local Haines ADF&G office, and in all cases bait stations are located proximate to where commercial brown bear guiding operations take place. The black bear bait station map at the Haines Fish and Game office has therefore inadvertently evolved into a declaration of areas open or closed to hunting brown bears by anyone who first registers a black bear bait site, even if they have no intention of ever hunting there during the brown bear season.

This proposal has nothing to do with being against baiting black bears, but it does have a lot to do with the common-sense management of our hunting resources while supporting the guiding industry. Therefore it should not be lumped together with, or confused with, any anti-bear baiting sentiments or any anti-bear baiting proposals.

PROPOSED BY: Al Gilliam
(EG-C14-251)

PROPOSAL 22 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Shift the resident moose season two weeks earlier in Unit 5B, Mamby Shore area as follows:

Change the open season for moose in Unit 5B (Mamby Shore area) from September 1-December 15 to August 15-December 1.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? We feel that moving the season back 2 weeks on both ends will allow for a safer, more productive hunting experience. August hunts are not unheard of throughout the state. We do not expect that this will adversely affect anyone, or any game. It is a harder area to get to, (boat or small plane only) so the historic harvest numbers are fairly low. We don't expect there will be that many people who want to hunt in August. We considered moving it back a full month, but thought 2 weeks would be better.

PROPOSED BY: Yakutat Fish and Game Advisory Committee
(EG-C14-88)

PROPOSAL 23 - 5 AAC 85.045(1). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Reauthorize antlerless moose hunts in Unit 1C as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 1(C), Berners Bay</td>
<td>Sept. 15 - Oct. 15 (General hunt only)</td>
<td>Sept. 15 - Oct. 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20
1 moose by drawing permit only; up to 30 permits may be issued

... Unit 1(C), that portion west of Excursion Inlet and north of Icy Passage

1 moose per regulatory year, only as follows:

... 1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; (General hunt only) up to 100 permits may be issued

Nov. 10 – Dec. 10

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?

Berners Bay

The Berners Bay strategic moose management plan calls for a post-hunt count of 90 moose, based on the estimated moose carrying capacity of this area. ADF&G has been very successful at maintaining this population level through the harvest of both bull and cow moose.

During 1998–2006, the number of drawing permits issued by the department for this herd ranged from ten bull permits and ten antlerless permits to seven bull permits and no antlerless permits. Harvest of bull moose averaged seven during this period, and in years where antlerless permits were issued, an average of four cows were harvested. Although we have the latitude of issuing up to 30 permits annually, we haven’t issued more than 20 permits annually during any of the past 10 years; and no permits were issued during the period 2007-2013.

The number of moose counted during the fall aerial surveys determines the number of drawing permits issued. The mean number of moose observed during aerial counts from 1990 to 2006 was 77 moose (range: 59-108). Severe winter weather in 2006, 2007 and 2008 resulted in this population decreasing. The number of moose counted in replicate aerial surveys between 2007 and 2009 ranged between 33-62 moose. Surveys conducted in 2010 and 2011 detected 73 moose including 10 calves each year. In 2012, under excellent survey conditions, 102 moose were detected (21 bulls, 81 cows, and 14 calves). Based on the 2012 survey and sightability data from collared moose, the Berners Bay moose population is estimated to be 113 ± 11 moose. In 2013, under fair to good survey conditions, 73 were detected (18 bulls, 47 cows, and 8 calves). Based on the 2013 survey data and sightability data from collared moose, the Berners Bay moose population is estimated to be 90±13 moose. While the Berners Bay moose population is not
increasing rapidly the population has reached management objectives for overall number of moose and bull to cow ratios.

As a result of the severe winters and the impacts they have had on this moose herd, we did not issue any permits between 2007 and 2013. Five bull only permits have been issued for fall 2014. We would prefer to keep the antlerless hunt available so we have this tool in the future if needed.

**Gustavus**

The Gustavus moose population (Unit 1C) increased rapidly from just a few animals during the 1980’s and 1990’s peaking at 404 moose observed in 2003. By 2002 ADF&G estimated the winter range moose density at Gustavus exceeded 5 moose per km², with only a small portion of that area being productive winter range as identified by abundant stands of willow. Because of concerns with these high moose numbers, ADF&G biologists began conducting spring browse utilization surveys in 1999, and documented 85–95% of the current annual growth of willow twigs available to moose had been consumed.

Based on the browse utilization data and overall moose densities at Gustavus, an antlerless hunt was first authorized for the Gustavus area by the BOG in fall 2000. Between 2002 and 2008, hunters harvested 11 to 67 antlerless moose annually depending on the number of permits made available. A hunt was not held in fall 2007 due to high winter-related moose mortalities. Antlerless hunts have not been held in the Gustavus area between 2009 and 2014.

A goal of the Gustavus antlerless moose hunts is to control the number of moose on the available winter range to ensure the available habitat is adequate to support the animals utilizing it. Based on aerial survey data and the use of collared moose to determine sightability estimates it appears this strategy is working. During the period 2000-2009 aerial survey counts ranged from 207-404 moose; surveys in 2010, 2011 and 2012 counted 165, 136 and 274 moose, respectively. In 2012, the Gustavus area moose population was estimated to be 317 ± 37 moose. In 2013, under good survey conditions, 186 moose were detected (25 bulls, 121 cows, and 40 calves). Based on the survey and sightability data from marked moose the 2013 moose population estimate is 323 ± 87.

In 2008, Gustavus calf survival decreased significantly to >10%. Surveys in 2009 through 2011 indicate calf survival is increasing (range: 18%-37%). The moose calf survival estimate for 2012 was 28% (2013 data not yet available). The annual adult female survival estimate is 90% for the period 2004-2012. Improving calf numbers and stable adult female survival suggests the Gustavus moose population has the potential to increase.

The population is now at a level the department believes is sustainable with the available habitat. Although an antlerless hunt will not be held in 2014, biologists believe it is important to keep this tool available to implement should the moose population increase to a point where there is detrimental impacts to available habitat.
PROPOSAL 24 - 5 AAC 85.045(3). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in Unit 5A, Nunatak Bench as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 5(A), that portion south of Wrangell - Saint Elias National Park, north and east of Russell and Nunatak Fiords, and east of the east side of East Nunatak Glacier to the Canadian Border (Nunatak Bench)</td>
<td>Nov. 15 - Feb. 15</td>
<td>Nov. 15 - Feb. 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 moose by registration permit only; up to 5 moose may be taken …

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Antlerless moose hunts must be reauthorized annually by the Board. The Nunatak Bench (Unit 5A) hunt area is separated from adjacent moose habitat by fiords and glaciers, allowing for little immigration or emigration by moose. Therefore we manage this population separately from the remainder of Unit 5A, with a much longer and later running hunting season that spans the period of November 15-February 15. Because of the isolated nature of Nunatak Bench and the limited amount of moose habitat, we have traditionally allowed maximum hunter opportunity through an either sex hunt, thereby aiding in our goal of limiting herd growth to stay within the carrying capacity of this area. The either sex hunt strategy accommodates the timing of this hunt given that much of the hunt period occurs post antler drop making sex differentiation difficult.

The Nunatak Bench strategic moose management plan calls for a post-hunt population of a maximum of 50 moose. A high of 52 moose were counted on the Nunatak Bench in 2001, after which a decline in moose numbers was observed. During the period 2005-2011 (no survey in 2010, 2012 and 2013) the number of moose counted has ranged from 11 to 14, with only one to two calves observed on each flight. The decline in moose numbers may be related to the 68 foot rise in water level that flooded this area in 2003 when the advancing Hubbard Glacier created a dam flooding, and killing, preferred willow browse stands. Moose are able to emigrate from the area and have largely abandoned Nunatak Bench due to the lack of forage. Local hunters also suggest the presence of wolves is a factor keeping moose numbers low in the area.
During 1997-2004 hunting seasons an average of 12 permits were issued, with only four people actually hunted each season. An average of 8 days of hunting was expended each year to kill 0–4 moose, with an average annual harvest of about two moose. Six cows and nine bulls made up the total harvest during this period. No moose have been harvested since 2004 and ADF&G has not issued any permits for this area since then.

Although the moose numbers at this time do not support a harvest, ADF&G would like to keep this antlerless authorization active should the moose numbers again reach a harvestable level (25 moose). To date, moose have not re-colonized the area as quickly as they did in the past, and it is unknown if moose numbers will increase sufficiently to provide hunting opportunity. ADF&G will continue to monitor this moose population and again allow a harvest when the survey counts reach or exceed 25 moose.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game

PROPOSAL 25 - 5 AAC 85.065. Hunting seasons and bag limits for small game. Move the resident duck season two weeks earlier in Unit 5 as follows:

Adjust the season duck hunting in Unit 5A from September 1 - December 16, to August 15 - December 1.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The thought of moving the season back two weeks is, some years the cold gets the birds moving south at different times. An early frost pushes a lot of the ducks passed Unit 5A before September 1, amounting to lost hunting opportunity for hunters. Adoption of this proposal would benefit hunters by having the season open ahead of the migration. We don't feel anyone will be negatively impacted, or there would be any harm to the resource.

We considered other times, but decided that two weeks should be adequate. We considered just moving it back two weeks and leaving it open until December 16, we like the idea, but didn't want to appear to be asking for more of a season, just an adjustment of when it takes place. If the board decided a season from August 15 - December 16 was acceptable, we don't feel the first two weeks of December are going to add that much extra harvest of waterfowl in Unit 5A, the pressure seems pretty light. We would be agreeable to this as well.

PROPOSED BY: Yakutat Fish and Game Advisory Committee
PROPOSAL 26 - 5 AAC 92.108. Identified big game prey populations and objectives.
Modify deer population and harvest objectives, or exempt the Southeast Region from objectives as follows:

5 AAC 92.108 sets deer population and harvest objectives for Units 1 through 5, for each unit individually. We recommend that at this meeting the Board of Game take a two-step solution to the problems we have described. (1) Vote to invalidate all of these objectives, because they are outdated and spurious; (2) then deliberate on what range or specific value should be put into the regulations for each objective; and (3) decide whether to recommend to the legislature that it exempt Unit 1 through 5 deer from the requirement that the board set population and harvest objectives.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Board of Game set population objectives and harvest objectives for deer in Units 1 through 5 in 2000, and those objectives need to be revised downward.

Audio tapes of that board meeting establish clearly that the understanding of both ADF&G’s staff and the board was that the objectives would be reviewed and reconsidered every one or two board cycles. Nonetheless, 14 years later that has never been done. Moreover, the current objectives are excessively high and can be expected to lead to regulatory decisions by the board and management decisions by ADF&G that are non-optimal at best and in some cases harmful. This situation can lead to outcomes that are contrary to the obligations of the board and AFG&G under Article VIII of the Alaska State Constitution.

The audio tapes also reveal that at the 2000 board meeting the board considered the setting of objectives to be a pro-forma, useless exercise that could have no consequences – an exercise it was undertaking only because the Intensive Management Act (IM Act) required it to enact objectives as regulations. The board believed Southeast deer were a poor fit for intensive management and should have been excluded from the IM Act. But the board was stuck, and was required by law to pick objective numbers, even though it had to do so blindly. If fact, the board believed that the lack it was confronted with even precluded it from picking a range for the objectives – a situation unique among Alaska Game Management Units – so single values were set, and they were set at high levels.

However, those levels were even higher than the 2000 board recognized at the time. As is now known, the actual then-current deer populations and harvest figures were at a substantial peak. Also, the U.S. Forest Service modeling for deer habitat carrying capacity that the 2000 board relied upon in part in making its decisions on objectives was based on 1997 methods that substantially overestimated carrying capacity, in comparison to the Forest Service’s current modeling. This is in part due to the misapplication of a conversion factor, corrected in 2007, that the modeling uses. This error resulted in an automatic 30% overestimation of carrying capacity. In addition, the forest quality dataset used in the 1997 method was later found to be uncorrelated to habitat quality, giving spurious results that almost always caused further overestimation of
carrying capacity. As examples, the modeled carrying capacity relied upon by the board in 2000 was too high by 40% in Unit 1A and by 20% in Unit 3.

Because of the IM Act, deer population and harvest objectives in Units 1 through 5 have become keystone drivers of deer and predator management, so far in certain places and perhaps in the future in others. If the objectives are set too high, regulations and management decisions that are adverse to deer and predator stocks and the users of those stocks will be made. The current objectives are unrealistic and excessive, and are long past their shelf-life. An immediate downsizing of the objectives is necessary, and that should be done at this meeting.

Further, as a 2000 board member noted after much discussion by the board among itself and with ADF&G staff, the IM Act “was framed for conditions that are totally different than Southeast Alaska.” Therefore we believe that in addition to deliberating on new objectives, the board should recommend that the Alaska Legislature exempt Units 1 through 5 deer from the requirement that the board set population and harvest objectives.

PROPOSED BY: Greenpeace, Center for Biological Diversity and The Boat Company
(EG-C14-321)
****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 27 - 5 AAC 85.030. Hunting seasons and bag limits for deer. Establish deer hunting seasons for elder hunters and individuals with disabilities in Units 1-5 as follows:

Persons 60 years or older and or persons with a debilitating disability or disabilities, which are recognized by any government board of compensation or doctor, can hunt for deer starting June 15 and ending July 31. Identification showing age and forms or prescription showing disability must be carried by hunters during the hunt.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? I would like for the board to approve an elder and disability hunt for deer in Units 1 - 5 starting on June 15 and ending July 31. I should point out that bucks have antlers then and are easily identifiable.

This hunt should make it possible for disadvantaged people to more easily get their harvest level. The deer are at lower elevations and allow a person who has disabilities or is of age, that don't allow them to walk far to get deer. This hunt would allow them better means of a successful hunt and by them providing for their family will give them a sense of pride.

During this time of year the deer seem to be more relaxed and not so skittish like they are when identifying their area, choosing their mating partners and defending them. The deer appear to be in better shape as they are in a grazing state and have less fat.

PROPOSED BY: Ronald Leighton
(EG020713735)
****************************************************************************
**PROPOSAL 28 - 5 AAC 84.270. Trapping seasons and bag limits.** Extend the wolverine trapping season in Units 1 - 5 as follows:

Extend the wolverine trapping season in Units 1 - 5 to align with the wolf season, November 10 to April 30.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Trappers are catching wolverine in wolf sets November 10 to April 30, so may as well make it legal instead of turning a cripple loose or having to kill it to get it out of the trap.

**PROPOSED BY:** Nick Yurko

**************************************************************************

**PROPOSAL 29 - 5 AAC 92.095. Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions.** Require a time limit for checking traps in Units 1 – 5 as follows:

A trapper will check his/her traps within a specified time frame of three to five days.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Currently there are no limits in time between checking traps or limits to numbers of traps. Animals may unnecessarily suffer and fur will be ruined. The animals, the fur trapper and fur buyer will all benefit. Some trappers may need to work harder.

As the law now stands, a trapper can have unlimited traps and can leave said traps forever without checking them. I propose that a set time limit for checking traps be considered based on the environment and challenges of any particular area of Alaska. This proposal would do a number of positive things. Not only would animals suffer less in traps but their fur would not be ruined by time and predators. The only downside of this would be that trappers would be in the field more often and therefore be present to harvest the fur that they are there to get. A little more field time for more profit, that is what Americans stand for. We're a hardworking country, full of hardworking people. In Alaska we are blessed with fur, fish and fowl.

In Haines there were incidents of animals being caught in traps, three dogs and a moose. The moose, whose nose was caught, was put down. Two of the dogs were rescued right away. One of the dogs was my three-month-old puppy, Taffy. She survived for 12 days in temperatures of seven to 14 below zero and only came home from this wire trap when the trapper, after 12-plus days of not checking, finally checked his traps. I am sure this man was horrified that he trapped a puppy and did not intend for that to happen. However, it did, and illustrates the flaw in the trapping laws now on the books. The wildlife trooper could not find this person so this trapper was not well regulated since he could not be found based on the markings on his traps.

Please consider this proposal for the many positive aspects of changing the law and almost no downside to this change. Not only would the land and people of Alaska benefit, you as board members would only appear as reasonable, caring people with no one mad at you for your actions. I am a bush woman in Porcupine, Alaska. I look forward to hearing on my local public
radio station that board members are considering this valid and humane proposal. Thank you for your time.

**PROPOSED BY:** Anne Robbins-Shuder (EG-C14-246)

PROPOSAL 30 - 5 AAC 92.044. Permit for hunting black bear with the use of bait or scent lures. Remove the reporting requirement for GPS coordinates for bear bait stations in Units 1 - 5 as follows:

Eliminate 5 ACC 92.044(12): “In Units 1 – 5, before a person establishes a black bear baiting station and laces bait at the baiting station, that person shall, at the time of registration, provide the department the location, in a global position system (GPS) format of the latitude and longitude of the baiting station on a form provided by the department.”

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Anyone wishing to bait bear in Units 1-5 must first provide, at a designated ADF&G office, the GPS coordinates of the bait location before a baiting permit will be issued. This creates an undue hardship for hunters wishing to bait. In order to scout and establish a bait station a hunter will have to travel to the hunting area, locate a bait site, obtain GPS coordinates, then travel to the designated ADF&G office, apply for a bait permit during office hours, and then travel back to the bait location before a bait can be established. Please understand, Units 1-5 are large, remote and hard to access. Much of the area is accessible only by boat or airplane.

Hunters are being subjected to unreasonable expense and time. The multiple trips between the hunting area and an ADF&G office may cost upwards of $2000 or more and two to three travel days. Also, the hunter will have to repeat the process if they wish to change bait site locations. There was a case where hunters made the trip into Craig during business hours for their permits only to find the office closed due to a sick employee.

Since this regulation was implemented four years ago, bear baiting and baiting permits have dropped to a fraction of the historic averages, thus reducing hunting opportunities. There is no biological reason to support such an action.

The GPS regulation was first implemented at the request of the Alaska State Troopers largely due to nonresident baiting issues on Prince of Wales Island. Since then, hunting pressure has dropped dramatically, due in part to draw hunt requirements. While enforcement issues must be considered, wildlife enforcement issues are no more difficult in Southeast than other remote parts of Alaska.

**PROPOSED BY:** Michael Ashton (EG-C14-236)
PROPOSAL 31 - 5 AAC 92.130. Restrictions to bag limit. Change the bag limit restriction for black and brown bear in Units 1 - 5 as follows:

Two possible solutions either one would be acceptable:

1) Simply eliminate the regulation that states in Units 1-5, bear wounded by a hunter must count as the bag limit for the year; or 2) Insert the word "mortally" in front of wounded in the regulation. Where mortally could be defined as "any big game animal hit with a hunting projectile which dies or is reasonably expected to die as a result of the wound."

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The regulation which applies only to Units 1-5 & 8 that any bear showing any sign of being hit with a hunting projectile must be considered "taken" as part of a hunter's bag limit is not a good one. It is an attempt to legislate ethics and to assist guides who do not want to put maximum effort into a hunt. It is very difficult to enforce. It discriminates against ethical hunters, who knowing the law will abide by it. It does not limit unethical hunters and guides who may continue to hunt after superficially wounding an animal because they know that their chances of being caught are very remote. There is no other state which has this regulation. Several dilemmas are created by this regulation:

1) If a hunter wounds an animal this year and counts it against his bag limit but the animal survives and that hunter kills the same animal in a subsequent year does he NOT need to count it against his bag limit since he already did in the first year?

2) If a hunter superficially wounds an animal and considers it taken can he be charged with wanton waste because he was unable to salvage the meat?

3) Will a guide be tempted to tell a hunter to shoot a reasonable shot while a hunter wants to wait until he has a 100% certain shot. Thus being at odds with each other in their final goal and reducing the enjoyment of the hunt.

4) Will a guide allow a hunter who has superficially wounded an animal to keep hunting for only that animal AND use every resource at his disposal to recover the wounded animal as is required by the guide regulations? Keeping in mind that every resource at his disposal may include bringing other assistant guides and their hunters in to help look for the wounded animal.

PROPOSED BY: John Frost  (EG-C14-297)

*****************************************************************************
**PROPOSAL 32 - 5 AAC 92.010. Harvest tickets and reports.** Allow the transfer of resident harvest tickets to a relative within second-degree of kindred as follows:

In Units 1, 2, and 3 allow resident black bear hunters to transfer one of their two harvest tickets to a relative within second-degree of kindred. Only one bear of which may be a glacier bear. The resident hunter who transferred the harvest ticket would be required to accompany the nonresident relative in the field.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** At the November 2010 Board of Game meeting in Ketchikan, a new management system for Southeast Alaska black bears was established which requires nonresident hunters who are not accompanied by a registered guide to draw a permit. The system was put into place to control the significant growth in numbers of unguided nonresident black bear hunters in Southeast with attendant overharvest of black bears and other issues involving conflicts with other user groups. Numbers of resident black bear hunters and guided nonresident black bear hunters had held steady during this time period and were not seen as the problem. In order to make the new system work, however, guides would be held to their 2007-2009 use levels.

There was not a special provision made for second degree of kindred nonresident hunters in the new system. Black bear is not a "guide required" species and so such a provision was not discussed. Since that time, however, there have been resident hunters express unhappiness with their inability to take relatives within second-degree of kindred on a black bear hunt in Southeast without them first drawing a permit.

**PROPOSED BY:** Alaska Professional Hunter’s Association (EG-C14-210)

******************************************************************************

**PROPOSAL 33 - 5 AAC 92.080. Unlawful taking of game; exceptions.** Remove the restriction against using felt sole waders while hunting in Southeast Region Units as follows:

People hunting in Alaska can legally wear whatever they want while pursuing game, including their choice of foot wear and/or any other personal protective equipment that they deem will make their hunt more safe.

*(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)*

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** The Board of Game's (board) ban on wearing felt soles while hunting, making wading and rafting while hunting unsafe and dangerous for people. Anyone who has spent much time in the field—or worse, had unexpected “swims”—knows how dangerous our cold waters are and how quickly one could lose their life. Even a quick dunk can be unforgiving and have dire consequences. The difference between wearing felt and wearing rubber or caulked boots is like night and day. Unfortunately, there are no alternatives, regardless of what you are told. If you personally are unaware of this fact, then you have little experience wading rivers or streams and need to better educate yourself on the issue. Safety wise, it is the same as driving without a seat belt, or running a chainsaw...
without a pair of chaps. Sure you may get by without them, but do you want to get into an accident without your seat belt on? In essence, that’s what the board’s (and the Board of Fisheries) ban does. The ban states loud and clear that our safety, our lives and that of our children and loved ones, is unimportant.

If you do not lift this ban, people will die, drown and perish while hunting, due to our cold water temperatures. It’s as simple as that. While the attempt to thwart the spread of invasive plants and animals is noteworthy, the board’s lack of adequate analysis of the scientific data on this subject is both troubling and reckless. Can felt soles transport invasive plants and animals? Unfortunately, yes they can. But please look at the research—which is extremely limited at best. This small amount of research, much which has not been peer reviewed, has indicated that felt soles can spread such invasive species as Didymo (rock snot), possibly whirling disease, and one New Zealand mudsnail was proven to be transported by a felt boot. One! Research has also proven that these invasive species can be carried and transported to other waters on shoe laces, socks, inside the wading boots themselves, on the wading material itself and even on rubber wading boots. Furthermore, research has also proven invasive species can be transported from one water body to another by boat trailers and through bilge water of boats and float planes traveling to and from different water bodies. Even Darwin wrote many years ago, about migrating waterfowl transporting plants and animals from one water body to another, both internally and externally. Why not ban all of these vectors then?

PROPOSED BY: Jake Sprankle

PROPOSAL 34 – 5 AAC 92.010. Harvest tickets and reports. Require harvest reporting of migratory birds by species in Southeast Region Units as follows:

For more accurate data, create a harvest ticket with opportunity of reporting harvest by species for migratory birds to gain information presently limited to ADF&G.

Use the system already in place for other species like deer, moose etc.

If reported electronically:

- Once filed online a certified receipt for your report will be returned by email. This receipt is proof that report has been filed.
- Harvest tickets and registration permits are good for a regulatory year, not a calendar year. For example, a harvest ticket for 2013 would be valid from July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2014.
- If ADF&G does not receive a hunt report, hunters will not be eligible for future hunts. Online reporting allows hunters to determine which reports have filed and which you have not.

If reported by mail:

Hunt reports will come with harvest tickets attached to them. The report portion need not be carried in the field but must be completed and returned within 15 days of the close of the season even if you did not hunt or did not take an animal. Reports of personal harvest location are confidential.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Electronic online or mail in harvest tickets and reporting required for migratory birds by species.

PROPOSED BY: Sea Ducks Unlimited (EG-C14-235)

PROPOSAL 35 - 5 AAC 92.003, Hunter education and orientation requirements. Require certification for big game hunters using crossbows in the Southeast Region as follows:

All hunters pursuing big game with a crossbow in Southeast Region units must have passed a certification course presented by ADF&G and carry their certification card in the field. This regulation to be effective starting July 2016.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Board of Game passed a regulation stating that all hunters hunting with bow and arrow for big game must pass the IBEP Certification and carry their certification card while hunting starting in July 2016. The board did NOT include hunters who hunt with a crossbow in this regulation. There are safety issues involved with use of a crossbow which are unique to crossbows and do not apply to regular archery equipment or firearms. A crossbow is a different implement than bow and arrow but kills in the same fashion with sharp cutting of vital structures rather than shock as with a firearm. Firearms hunters who pick up a crossbow need to learn the limitations of the weapon, acceptable shot angles and target anatomy. In addition they need to learn appropriate follow-up and recovery techniques which may differ significantly from their experience with firearms. Crossbow hunters who wound animals may leave a visible projectile in the animal which can reflect poorly on not only crossbow hunters but also on bowhunters and impact on all hunters. I believe that all hunters who use a crossbow for hunting big game in Alaska should be required to pass a crossbow certification course developed and presented by ADF&G and should be required to carry their certification card while hunting big game with crossbow. The course should include a field day in which the student demonstrates knowledge of safe use of the crossbow and a minimum level of shooting proficiency.

Nothing in this should be interpreted to imply that crossbows are the same as archery equipment. The course must be separate from the IBEP Certification and taught by instructors knowledgeable in use of crossbows and certified to teach the course. Also, nothing in this proposal should imply that crossbows are acceptable for use in special archery only areas or hunts.

This proposal is to cover all regions open for proposals for consideration by the Board of Game during their 2015 meetings. It is my intention to make the same proposal next year to apply to the Interior and Arctic/ Western Regions. This proposal is to be effective starting in July 2016.
which would align it statewide with the recently passed regulation for bowhunters and would also give ADF&G time to implement the education programs.

PROPOSED BY: John Frost  (EG-C14-293)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 36 - 5 AAC 92.044. Permit for hunting bear with the use of bait or scent lures.
Remove the requirement to clean up contaminated soil from bear bait stations for Southeast Region Units as follows:

Eliminate the requirement for the Southeast Region to "remove all contaminated soil" from a bear bait site at the conclusion of the baiting season.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? At bear bait stations the requirement to remove all soil contaminated by the baiting at the end of the season is an excessive nuisance and is perceived by hunters to be a form of harassment by regulators who may be personally opposed to baiting bear in spite of the fact that bear baiting is a legal and accepted means of hunting bear and is often the only practical means of hunting bear in certain areas. This, combined with the requirement to provide accurate GPS locations before a permit is obtained, sends a message to hunters that they are potentially subject to prosecution if an enforcement officer comes into the bait site with a trowel and samples some dirt which may have an increased sugar or fat content by lab analysis. From a practical perspective it is virtually impossible to remove all contaminated soil. Anything that is used for bait is biodegradable and will rapidly be removed by organisms from bacteria to bears. Anything not removed will go to fertilize the soil. There is no guidance with what should be done with the "contaminated soil". Alternatives would be to spread it out, carry it any given distance and throw it out, transport it back to town, and take it to a public dump.

Nothing in this discussion should be interpreted as wanting to change the regulation requiring removal of all trash, litter, bait barrels and other artificial structures at the end of the baiting season.

PROPOSED BY: John Frost  (EG-C14-299)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 37 - 5 AAC Chapter 85. Seasons and bag limits.
Add five days to all resident hunting seasons and allocate 75% of the drawing permits to residents in the Southeast Region as follows:

For the benefit of all Alaska residents change current regulations in all Southeast units so the residents of the State of Alaska receive preference in regard to all hunting opportunities.
For all harvest ticket hunts: Allow state residents to start the hunting seasons five days early, or allow state residents to hunt for five additional days after the season has closed for nonresident hunters.

For drawing hunts: change allocation systems to ensure Alaskan residents have been allocated 75% of any drawing hunt opportunity. If state residents do not use that percentage of the pool, then the unallocated portion may be used by nonresident hunters.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?
I am hopeful that the board will address the inequalities in resident hunter preference. For many years a significant portion of the large game species has been taken by nonresidents. This is due to several factors, as managers of a state resource, the Board of Game should be morally and ethically obligated to ensure that resident hunting opportunities and resident hunting preference are a priority.

PROPOSED BY: Kevin Secor (EG-C14-227)

PROPOSAL 38 - 5 AAC Chapter 85. Seasons and bag limits. Allocate 90% of big game drawing permits to residents for Southeast Region Units as follows:

I'd like to see the Board of Game adopt a new allocation schedule for all big game draw permits in the Southeast Region: 90% to residents and the remainder plus any undersubscribed to nonresidents.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?
At issue is allocation of big game draw permits. Currently a nonresident hunter has equal chance in the lottery as resident meat hunters. Nonresidents, albeit supporting the guide industry, have historically much better success rates no doubt due to the work of their guides. The end result is that more of the real harvest goes to nonresidents, many of whom don’t even want the meat; rather than Alaskans who will respect the harvested animal all year long every tasty bite of the way. This is in direct contradiction to our mandate by Alaska’s Constitution Article 8 section 3 stating that meat belongs to the residents. Most other states currently allocate only 10% of their draw permits to nonresidents, and guiding is still big business there. A real tragedy to the continuation of hunting tradition will befall us as our kids potentially will lose future opportunities to hunt this great land.

Considered was action to establish a point/preference system like most states to more directly and effectively tackle the issue, but this appears to be a legislative issue and would also take many years to implement. Also considered was a shorter season for nonresidents, but to be fair
especially to mountain hunters, an equal number of weather windows should be provided for both. This would also promote mad-dash boating and bush flying, a dangerous and disrespectful practice. If nothing is done about this issue, meat will continue to be mis-allocated, Alaskan youth may see future opportunities lost, and game resources will decline.

Thank you for considering this 90/10 draw permit allocation, Alaskans appreciate it.

PROPOSED BY: Douglas Malone (EG-C14-240)
Central/Southwest Region
(Region IV)

Proposal Index
(Note: Please review the sections for “Regional and Multiple Units” and “Sheep Hunting Proposals” which also affect regulations for other units).

Arctic/Western Region - Reauthorization Proposals
39 Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in the Remainder of Unit 18.
40 Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Unit 22C and the remainder of Unit 22D.
41 Placeholder proposal for reauthorized antlerless moose seasons in Unit 23.
42 Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in western portion of Unit 26A.
43 Reauthorize the current resident tag fee exemptions for brown bear in Units 18, 22, 23 and 26A.

King Salmon Area – Units 9 & 10
44 Remove the antler restriction for the resident winter moose season in Unit 9B.
45 Increase the resident bag limit for brown bear in Unit 9B.
46 Extend the brown bear season in Unit 10, Unimak Island.

Dillingham Area – Unit 17
47 Extend the caribou season in Unit 17 to March 31, with options for early closures.
48 Extend the resident caribou season in Units 9B and 17B.
49 Extend the winter moose season by one month for Unit 17A, restrict the taking of males to antlered bulls, and reauthorize the antlerless hunt.
50 Change the locations for obtaining nonresident moose registration permits for hunting in Unit 17.
51 Extend the season dates for the winter moose in Units 17B and 17C with options for early closures.
52 Adjust the nonresident closed area in Togiak National Wildlife Refuge in Unit 17A and extend the season
53 Allow the use of bait and lures along rivers for taking brown bear in Unit 17 and change the bag limit.
54 Allow the sale of brown bear hides and skulls in Unit 17 by resident hunters.
55 Remove the bag limit and salvage requirements for harvesting beaver with a firearm during the trapping season in Unit 17, and allow the harvest with bow and arrow.
56 Remove the bag limit and the requirement to salvage meat for human consumption for beaver taken with a firearm during trapping season in Unit 17.
57 Modify the trapping season dates and bag limit for beaver in Unit 17, and prohibit the taking of beaver with firearm.

**Glennallen Area – Units 11 & 13**

58 Change the wolf population trigger for intensive management in Unit 13.
59 Reduce the population and harvest objectives for moose in Unit 13B.
60 Reauthorize the antlerless moose drawing permit hunts in Unit 13.
61 Change the Unit 13D moose drawing hunts (DM335-DM339) to registration hunts.
62 Open a nonresident caribou drawing hunt in Unit 13.
63 Limit the drawing permits for Nelchina caribou (DC480-483) to two permits per household.
64 Divide the resident fall caribou hunt (RC566) into late and early seasons.
65 Bring the community subsistence hunt and general season hunts into closer alignment.
66 Require participants in the community subsistence harvest (CSH) program to commit to participation for a period of two years or more.
67 Limit the areas where community subsistence harvest hunters may hunt outside of Unit 11, 12 and 13.
68 Change the CSH group size definition to “25 or more households”.
69 Include “individuals, households, or families” in the moose subsistence hunt when the harvestable surplus exceeds the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS), and change the hunt start date to September 1 (from August 10).
70 Add definitions of “Community” and “Individuals, Families, or “Other Social Groups” to 5 AAC 92.072.
71 Implement a system to ensure communities or groups approved to participate in the moose and caribou CSH meet the intent of the Board of Game findings for the CSH program.
72 Follow same basic guidelines as old Tier II system (points to each community based on past use of resource).
Require hunters to be engaged in a pattern of subsistence uses of Nelchina caribou for the Tier I hunt.

Require direct approval by the Board of Game of groups applying to join the Copper Basin Community Hunt.

Implement reporting and antler destruction requirements for community subsistence hunt harvested moose in the Unit 13 area hunt.

Require that antlers taken through the CSH hunt be turned in to ADF&G.

Establish a quota for each subarea in Unit 13 for the "any bull" moose hunt.

Require more rapid harvest reporting of moose taken under the “any bull” hunt in Unit 13.

Direct ADF&G to open and close the “any bull” moose season on certain days in Unit 13.

Modify the community subsistence moose hunt season dates and restrict all hunters from using motorized vehicles Units 13, 11, and portions of 12, during the periods August 18-22 and August 25-28.

Restrict community subsistence harvest hunters in Units 13, 11 and portions of Unit 12 from hunting within 24 hours of using off-road vehicles.

Manage the Unit 13 community subsistence harvest caribou hunt through the set season as long as the overall harvest quota is not exceeded.

Discontinue the community subsistence harvest (CSH) program when the harvestable surplus exceeds the minimum Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS), and replace it with a weighted drawing permit for Alaska residents only.

Change the “any bull” hunt in Unit 13 to a drawing hunt.

Modify the community subsistence harvest permit system.

Divide the annual harvest quota for Nelchina caribou.

Remove the exclusive hunting restriction for caribou in Unit 13.

Change the method of determining the Amount Necessary for Subsistence in Unit 13.

Change the eligibility criteria for all Tier II subsistence hunts in Unit 13.

Change Unit 13D goat drawing hunt (DG720) to a registration hunt.

Add all of Unit 13D to mountain goat registration hunt RG580.

Lengthen the goat permit season in Unit 13D to align with the registration hunt.

Allow the taking of brown bear over bait in Unit 11 and 13.

Open a fall season for hunting brown and black bear over bait in Unit 13D.
95 Reduce the bag limit for ptarmigan, shorten the season, and add a no-hunt corridor along the roads in Unit 13B.

**Palmer Area – Units 14A, 14B & 16**

96 Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunts in Units 14A and 14B

97 Establish a resident, late-season archery hunt for moose in Units 14A and 14B.

98 Open a late-season archery-only hunt for moose in Unit 16.

99 Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunt on Kalgin Island in Unit 16B.

100 Allow brown bear to be taken over bait in Unit 14B.

101 Review and modify the Unit 16 predation control plan.

102 Establish a fall season for hunting black and brown bear over bait in Unit 16

103 Establish the Hatcher’s Pass Youth Management Area in Unit 14A, for small game hunting.

104 Open a general hunt with no closed season and no bag limit for beaver in Unit 16B.

105 Expand the Palmer Hay Flats State Game Refuge.

**Sheep Hunting for the Central/Southwest and Interior Regions**

106 Change the Dall sheep hunts in Units 13D and 14A to full curl rams with limited permits.

107 Change the bag limit for Dall sheep in Units 13D and 14A to full curl.

108 Reinstate language regarding proof of guide-client contract for Dall sheep, mountain goat and moose drawing hunts.

109 Allow a maximum of 10% of sheep hunt participation for nonresidents in the Central/Southwest Region.

110 Limit sheep harvest by nonresidents to 10% of total harvest in Units 11, 13, 14, and 16.

111 Change the nonresident general sheep hunts to draw hunts for the Interior region, and cap the number of permits based on sheep density.

112 Change the nonresident sheep hunts to drawing permit hunts and limit permit distribution to 10% of the annual ten-year average for the Interior Region.

113 Open a bowhunting-only season for Dall sheep in the Interior Region.

114 Change all nonresident general season Dall sheep hunts in Unit 20 with a 75% distribution of nonresident permits.
115 Create a youth Dall sheep hunt in Unit 20 Remainder.

116 Limit nonresident sheep hunting in the Central/Southwest Region by having shorter seasons, drawing permits, or other methods.

117 Limit allocation of nonresident sheep permits to 10% for the Central/Southwest Region Units.

118 Begin resident sheep hunting seven days earlier than nonresidents in Units 9, 11, 13, 14, and 16.

119 Establish earlier season date openings for resident sheep hunting in the Central/Southwest Region.

120 Open a nine day, resident archery season in August for Dall sheep in the Central/Southwest Region.

121 Modify the season dates for Dall sheep in the Interior Region.

122 Open resident sheep hunting seasons seven days before nonresident seasons in the Interior Region.

123 Open resident sheep hunting seasons ten days before nonresident seasons in the Interior Region.

124 Open resident sheep seasons one week prior to nonresidents in Units 25 and 26.

**Regional and Multiple Units**

125 Open a seven day moose season for bowhunting following the general season hunts in Units 11, 13, 14A, 14B, 16, and 17.

126 Reauthorize the brown bear tag fee exemptions for the Central/Southwest Region.

127 Remove the meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait in the Central/Southwest Region.

128 Remove meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait in the Central/Southwest Region.

129 Remove the requirement to clean up contaminated soil from bear bait stations in the Central/Southwest Region.

130 Allow same-day airborne hunting of wolves and coyotes.

131 Lower the bag limit for goldeneye for the Central/Southwest Region.

132 Require harvest reporting of migratory birds by species in Central/Southwest Region Units.
133 Remove the restriction against using felt sole waders while hunting in Central/Southwest Region Units.

134 Require certification for big game hunters using crossbows in the Central/Southwest Region.

135 Add five days to all resident hunting seasons and allocate 75% of the drawing permits to residents for the Central/Southwest Region.

136 Allocate 90% of big game drawing permits to residents for Central/Southwest Region Units

137 Allocate 10% sheep harvest for nonresidents in Central/Southwest Region Units

**Interior Region - Reauthorization Proposals**

138 Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Units 20A, 20B, and 20D.

139 Reauthorize resident grizzly bear tag fee exemptions throughout Interior and Eastern Arctic Alaska.
NOTE: This Tentative Agenda is subject to change throughout the course of the meeting. This Tentative Agenda is provided to give a general idea of the board’s anticipated schedule. The board will attempt to hold to this schedule; however, the board is not constrained by this Tentative Agenda. Persons wishing to testify must sign-up by the deadline. Public testimony will continue until those present at the meeting are heard; the board will continue working through its agenda immediately upon conclusion of public testimony. The following time blocks are only an estimate.

Friday, February 13, 8:30 AM
OPENING BUSINESS
   Call to Order
   Introductions of Board Members and Staff
   Board Member Ethics Disclosures
   Purpose of Meeting (overview)

AGENCY REPORTS
PUBLIC AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE TESTIMONY (upon conclusion of staff reports)

THE DEADLINE TO SIGN-UP TO TESTIFY will be announced prior to the meeting. Public testimony will continue until persons who have signed up before the deadline and who are present when called by the Chairman to testify, are heard.

Saturday, February 14, 8:30 AM
PUBLIC AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE TESTIMONY Continued
BOARD DELIBERATIONS (Upon conclusion of public testimony)

Sunday, February 15 – Thursday, February 19, 8:30 AM
BOARD DELIBERATIONS Continued

Friday, February 20, 8:30 AM
BOARD DELIBERATIONS Concludes
MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, including petitions, findings and policies, letters, and other business (Upon conclusion of deliberations)

ADJOURN

Special Notes
A. This agenda is TENTATIVE and subject to change during the meeting. A list of staff reports and a roadmap will be available at the meeting. Scheduled updates will be available on the Board of Game website.
B. Meeting materials are available at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo or by contacting the ADF&G Boards Support Office in Juneau at 465-4110.
C. A live audio stream for the meeting is intended to be available at: www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov
D. The State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA). Individuals with disabilities who may need auxiliary aids, services, and/or special modifications to participate in this hearing and public meeting should contact 465-4110 no later than January 30, 2015 to make any necessary arrangements.
**Arctic/Western Region – Reauthorization Proposals**

**PROPOSAL 39** - 5 AAC 85.045(a)(16). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in the Remainder of Unit 18 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

... Remainder of Unit 18

**RESIDENT HUNTERS:**

- 2 moose; of which only 1 may be an antlered bull; a person may not take a calf or a cow accompanied by a calf; or
- 2 antlerless moose; or
- 2 moose

**NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:**

- 1 antlered bull  
- 1 antlerless moose

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** To be retained, the antlerless moose seasons in the Remainder of Unit 18 must be reauthorized annually. The current antlerless hunts were adopted at the January 2014 Board of Game meeting in Kotzebue, effective in RY2014.

Implementation of antlerless hunts began in 2007 and has continued each year due to increased moose abundance, productivity, and population growth along the Yukon River drainage in Unit...
18. Based on the steady trend of growth in moose populations and productivity, ADF&G proposes continued antlerless moose hunts in the Remainder of Unit 18.

Within the hunt areas near the Yukon River, the moose population is estimated at a minimum of 12,000 animals with calf:cow ratios ranging from 37:100 to 69:100, and twinning rates close to 50% for all areas. Population growth has been very strong in this colonizing and expanding population and anecdotal evidence suggests that calf survival rates remain high. The population is expected to continue to grow as animals move into under-utilized habitat.

Although the current year harvest data in the Remainder of Unit 18 has not been finalized due to the early proposal deadline, we expect harvest to be similar to the past 3 years and well within sustained yield for this robust population. Allowing antlerless harvest will benefit hunters through increased opportunity, and any increases in harvest may help slow the growth rate of the population in this portion of Unit 18.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-344)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 40 - 5 AAC 85.045(a)(20). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Unit 22C and the remainder of Unit 22D, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident</th>
<th>Nonresident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</td>
<td>Open Season</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(20)

Unit 22(C)

RESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull by registration permit only, or
1 antlerless moose by registration permit only; or
1 antlered bull by registration permit only; during the period Jan. 1 – Jan. 31, a season may be announced by emergency order

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow

Sept. 1-Sept. 14

Sept. 15-Sept. 30

Jan. 1 – Jan. 31 (to be announced)

Sept. 1-Sept. 14
tines on one side by registration permit only

…

Remainder of Unit 22(D)

RESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 moose; however, antlerless moose may be taken only from Dec. 1—Dec. 31; a person may not take a calf or a cow accompanied by a calf; only antlered moose may be taken from Jan. 1—Jan. 31

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow tines on one side, by registration permit only.

…

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? To be retained, antlerless moose seasons must be reauthorized annually. Two areas in Unit 22 require reauthorization: Unit 22C, and the Remainder of Unit 22D.

In October 1999, the board authorized a registration hunt for antlerless moose in Unit 22C and ADF&G has managed this hunt with a quota of up to 33 permits annually. During the period from Regulatory Year (RY) 2001 through RY2012, the Unit 22C population was above its management objective of 450-525 moose and believed to be at or near winter range carrying capacity with populations of 620 and 660 moose, respectively in RY2007 and RY2011. Lowering the population through additional bull harvest was ill-advised due to low bull:cow ratios, ranging from 10-20 bulls:100 cows. Instead, issuing antlerless permits was used to yield harvests of 8-24 antlerless moose per year over the period since RY2001 to achieve population reduction and stabilization. This approach successfully reduced the population to the current estimate of 429 moose in February 2013. Although ADF&G has the latitude of issuing antlerless permits, no permits are planned to be issued in RY2014 because the population has been lowered to management guidelines. We will consider antlerless hunts when factors suggest the population is increasing above carrying capacity. Retaining the antlerless authorization gives flexibility to ADF&G in future hunt management.

In most other parts of Unit 22, low recruitment rates are believed to be causing low moose populations and declines. However, in the Remainder of Unit 22D we recommend continued authorization of antlerless moose hunting where moose populations are increasing and hunting...
pressure is low. This portion of Unit 22D is relatively remote with difficult access and these factors contribute to limited hunting pressure in the area.

In the Remainder of Unit 22D, the moose population has grown 1% annually during the period 1997-2011 and the estimated number of moose has increased from 578 in 1997 to 700 in 2011. This area typically shows higher calf:cow and calf:adult ratios than other parts of Unit 22, annually ranging from 14-35ca:100ad with an average of 23ca:100ad since 1988. The reported cow harvest in this area has been low, averaging 1 cow moose per year since 2000. Village harvest survey data (collected only in 2000-2001) shows 5 cow moose were harvested from Unit 22D Remainder, which is a more realistic estimate of annual cow harvest compared to harvest ticket reports. Low harvest rates of antlerless moose support our recommendation to reauthorize antlerless moose seasons in the Remainder of Unit 22D.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-346)

PROPOSAL 41 - 5 AAC 85.045(a)(21). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Placeholder proposal for reauthorizing antlerless moose seasons in Unit 23.

At this time, analysis of March/April 2014 population surveys in Unit 23 has not been completed. If recommended, an amended proposal will be provided with department analysis and recommendations.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? To be retained, antlerless moose seasons must be reauthorized annually. This proposal serves as a placeholder for antlerless hunts in Unit 23 and will be amended through the analysis and recommendations submitted by ADF&G prior to the public comment period. As described below, recent population data needs to be analyzed to determine the potential impact on antlerless moose hunts in Unit 23, and whether that opportunity should be retained.

In general, moose density has been low in large portions of Unit 23 for an extended period and this situation prompted the development and use of registration hunt RM880 for resident hunters, beginning in Regulatory Year (RY) 2004. The resident registration hunt was implemented as a way to retain antlerless opportunity through substantially shortened seasons limiting antlerless harvest to the months of November and December. The seasons and bag limits in Unit 23 have not changed since RY2004 and the reported harvest of antlerless moose has been low throughout this period.

Analysis of moose abundance surveys on the Kobuk River has not been possible due to conclusion of the surveys in April 2014. When population assessments are completed this proposal will be updated with antlerless season recommendations.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-347)
PROPOSAL 42 - 5 AAC 85.045(a)(24). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.
Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in western portion of Unit 26A as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...)

Unit 26(A), that portion west of 156° 00’ W. longitude and excluding the Colville River drainage.

1 moose; a person may not take a calf or a cow accompanied by a calf.

July 1 – Sept. 14

No open season.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? To be retained, the antlerless moose season in the portion of Unit 26A west of 156° 00’ W longitude and excluding the Colville drainage must be reauthorized annually. All other portions of Unit 26A with previous antlerless seasons are not recommended for reauthorization because a population survey in April 2014 shows numbers have been reduced approximately 50% since the last count in 2011.

The western portion of Unit 26A north of the Colville drainage is somewhat unique in relation to the presence of moose and the unit-wide population. The distribution in this area is very sparse due to minimal habitat in the coastal plain to attract moose. However, each year a small number of bulls and cows without calves arrive in this area as they disperse away from the major river drainages in the central and southern parts of the unit. So far, the marginal habitat in this portion of Unit 26A has not allowed moose to colonize or become established in this area. The only moose found in this area appear to have originated from distant locations as they exhibit seasonal movements. The low numbers of moose that disperse to this hunt area provide the only opportunities for harvest in the northwestern portion of Unit 26A.

Even though the Unit 26A moose population is currently low and has experienced a substantial decline since 2011, the number of dispersing cow moose that could be harvested under the proposed antlerless reauthorization is very small and will have very little impact on the size and status of the Unit 26A population. To date, after several years of hunting opportunity in this area, two antlerless moose have been harvested: one cow in 2006, and one in 2008. Due to low harvest rates, we recommend reauthorization of the antlerless moose season in this area.
PROPOSAL 43 - 5 AAC 92.015. Brown bear tag fee exemptions. Reauthorize the current resident tag fee exemptions for brown bear in Units 18, 22, 23 and 26A.

(a) A resident tag is not required for taking a brown bear in the following units:

…
(4) Units… 26;
…
(8) Unit 22;
(9) Unit 23;
…
(13) Unit 18;
…

(b) In addition to the units as specified in (a) of this section, if a hunter obtains a subsistence registration permit before hunting, that hunter is not required to obtain a resident tag to take a brown bear in the following units:

…
(4) Unit 18;
…
(7) Unit 22;
(8) Unit 23;
…
(10) Unit 26(A).

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The board must reauthorize brown bear tag fee exemptions annually or the fee automatically becomes reinstated. We recommend continuing resident tag fee exemptions for the general season and subsistence season hunts in Region V (Units 18, 22, 23, and 26A).

General Season Hunts: Reauthorizations are needed for: Unit 18, where the tag fee has been exempted for 3 years; Unit 22, where the tag fee has been exempted for 13 years; Unit 23, where the tag fee has been exempted for 8 years; and Unit 26A, where the tag fee has been exempted for 3 years. Tag fee exemptions are desired to allow: 1) incremental increase in annual harvest, 2) opportunistic harvest by resident hunters, and 3) harvest by a wide range of users. Increased harvest is allowable because portions of these units have high bear populations.

General season brown bear harvest rates are within sustained yield limits and previous exemptions of the resident tag fee have not caused dramatic or unexpected increases in overall harvest. In Units 18 and 26A, tag exemptions were authorized for RY2012 and harvest has remained within sustained yield and continues to be similar to the preceding 10-year period. In Unit 22, the 13-year tag-free period for residents has had an average annual harvest of 50 brown bears (range 41-63 bears). In Unit 23, general harvests have been increasing slowly since 1961 primarily in response to increases in human population rather than regulatory changes, although
harvests are annually quite variable due to effects of weather on hunting conditions. Harvest data for Unit 23 show no trend in the sex ratio, age or size of bears harvested under all types of hunts.

**Subsistence Season Hunts:** Reauthorizations are needed for Units 18, 22, 23, and 26A where brown bear subsistence hunt requirements include: 1) registration permit, 2) tag fee exemption, 3) salvaging meat for human consumption, 4) no use of aircraft in Units 22, 23 and 26A, 5) no sealing requirement unless hide and skull are removed from subsistence hunt area, and 6) if sealing is required, the skin of the head and front claws must be removed and retained by ADF&G at the time of sealing. Continuing the tag fee exemption helps facilitate participation in the associated brown bear harvest programs maintained by ADF&G for subsistence hunts.

In all units, subsistence brown bear harvest rates are low and well within sustained yield limits and exempting the resident tag fee has not caused an increase in subsistence harvest. In Unit 18, we estimate 1-3 bears are taken annually in subsistence hunts. In Unit 22, subsistence harvest by permit is quite low, averaging less than 1 bear per year (less than 1 % of the total brown bear harvest). In Unit 23, subsistence permit harvest is less than five bears annually since 1992 (less than 10 % of the total brown bear harvest). In Unit 26A, between 1 and 5 bears are taken annually by subsistence hunters.

**PROPOSED BY:** Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-350)
King Salmon Area – Units 9 & 10

**PROPOSAL 44 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.** Remove the antler restriction for the resident winter moose season in Unit 9B as follows:

During the December 15 - January 15 moose hunt, eliminate the antlered regulation. The regulation would read: "1 bull, December 15 - January 15."

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** It has been more difficult to harvest a bull moose in the winter season. This was changed a few years ago from one bull to one antlered bull. Change moose season in Unit 9B back to one bull moose during winter season from one antlered moose, December 15-January 15.

**PROPOSED BY:** Lake Illiamna Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-198)

**PROPOSAL 45- 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear.** Increase the resident bag limit for brown bear in Unit 9B as follows:

Change the brown bear season in Unit 9B from one bear every four years to one bear every year, with the season open every spring and fall.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Unit 9B will continue to have an excess of brown bears and a declining moose population. Villages and moose calves are likely to suffer.

**PROPOSED BY:** Lake Illiamna Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-199)

**PROPOSAL 46 - 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear.** Extend the brown bear season in Unit 10, Unimak Island as follows:

Brown/grizzly bear - Unit 10, Unimak Island: May 10-31.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Extend the spring brown bear season in Unit 10 from May 25 to May 31 to coincide with Unit 9. The same circumstances exist for lengthening the season in Unit 10 as was addressed when the Unit 9 spring season was lengthened. In addition, the permit allocation on Unimak Island has been increased from seven to 12 permits for the spring season, thus creating a potential crowding of hunters due to the limited amount of optimum spring hunting locations on Unimak Island. Lengthening the season would spread hunters over a longer season, thus helping to minimize the overcrowding potential.

**PROPOSED BY:** Rod Schuh (EG-C14-27)
Dillingham Area – Unit 17

PROPOSAL 47 - 5 AAC 85.025(12). Hunting seasons and bag limits for caribou. Extend the caribou season in Unit 17 to March 31, with options for early closures as follows:

Broaden the "book season dates" to have the official book closure March 31, with the permit language stating that in most seasons ADF&G will close the season on March 15. In the event of extremely low harvests, poor weather and hunting conditions and unavailability of animals (or other circumstances to be listed), ADF&G will be allowed to extend the season by Emergency Order (EO) to as late as March 31. Duration of the extension to be determined by ADF&G in consultation with the Nushagak Fish and Game Advisory Committee and other stakeholders, and may be less than the remaining 31 days.

This season would be established for the Alaska resident only registration hunt RC503 for the range of the Mulchatna caribou herd. This may require an Agenda Change Request for the other units where the Mulchatna herd resides (Units 9, 18, 19).

The August 1 opening date is to remain.

Since this is a registration hunt, ADF&G should have better in-season harvest information than before, on which to base their decision.

Adoption of this regulation is expected to reduce or eliminate the constant stream of season extension petitions to the Board of Game that has occurred during the past ten years or more.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Some years the caribou season in Units 17B and portions of 17A and 17C closes too early. Nushagak area hunters want the option of having the season extended to the end of March under certain conditions. Some years poor weather and terrible travel conditions prevent hunters from using the full August 1 to March 15 season; and sometimes caribou are only available in the last few days of March. For many years now, members of Nushagak River villages have requested ADF&G to extend the caribou season by emergency order from March 15 to March 30. They have been denied every year in part because ADF&G does not have the EO authority to extend beyond the season date established by the board. March caribou harvests are a highly valued food source for Nushagak area villages. Refer to hunting regulations (2013-2014) public summary book, page 89.

PROPOSED BY: Nushagak Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-218)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 48 - 5 AAC 85.025. Hunting seasons and bag limits for caribou. Extend the resident caribou season in Units 9B and 17B as follows:

Open caribou hunting in Units 9B and 17B through March 30.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? With caribou migrating out of the area and the bag limit at two caribou, when caribou migrate down, we need more opportunity to harvest. Quality would improve because it would increase opportunity. Residents would benefit.

PROPOSED BY: Igiugig Village Council (EG-C14-197)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 49 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Extend the winter moose season by one month for Unit 17A, restrict the taking of males to antlered bulls, and reauthorize the antlerless hunt as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident (Subsistence hunt only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unit 17(A)

Up to 2 moose per regulatory year only as follows:

RESIDENT HUNTERS:

1 bull by registration permit only; or

1 antlered bull by registration permit; during the period Dec. 1 –Feb. 28 a season up to 31 days may be announced by emergency order; or

1 antlerless moose by registration permit; during the period Dec. 1 –Feb. 28 a season up to 31 days may be announced by emergency order;

[UP TO 2 MOOSE BY REGISTRATION PERMIT; DURING THE PERIOD DEC. 1–JAN.31, A SEASON UP TO 31 DAYS MAY BE]

Winter Season to be Announced by Emergency Order (Subsistence hunt only)
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This proposal recommends two changes to the present season and bag limit for the winter moose hunt in Unit 17A. The first change is to extend the winter season by one month to provide hunters with more opportunity to harvest moose. In recent years, marginal snow conditions due to warm weather patterns have prevented hunters from accessing hunt areas with snow machines which is the main form of travel during the winter in this region. Extending the season through February will help hunters by giving managers an additional month within which to open the moose season during those years where snow conditions are not adequate for travel until late winter.

The second change we recommend is revising the hunt structure for the winter moose hunt in Unit 17A. The current bag limit for this winter hunt is stated as up to two moose by registration permit. We manage this hunt with two permits, RM575 for one bull moose, and RM576 for one antlerless moose. When these hunts are running concurrently hunters will often have both permits, and can harvest either a cow or a bull legally. However, when/if the antlerless season closes (only 10-20 moose are allowed under the RM576 hunt), hunters are limited to a bull moose and may take one without antlers. We would like to see the late season bull moose hunt changed to “one antlered bull” for several reasons, 1) by allowing the harvest of antlerless bulls, hunters might accidentally take a cow moose through mis-identification which is problematic for the hunter; and increases the harvest of cow moose above our objectives, 2) identifying an antlerless bull can be difficult and may require hunters to approach groups of moose closely, which disturbs moose that are often already stressed during the winter, and 3) we have not been able to acquire bull:100 cow ratios in this area and an “any bull” hunt exposes all bulls to harvest, while an “antlered bull” season would protect a portion of the bull population from harvest, while still providing opportunity for hunters to take bulls that retain their antlers later into the season. We therefore recommend that we retain the two permit system with suggested changes to RM575 but provide the bag limit of two moose through two separate hunts, RM575 for “one antlered bull” and RM576 for “one antlerless moose”.

This proposal also reauthorizes the antlerless portion of the hunt.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-333)

PROPOSAL 50 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Change the locations for obtaining nonresident moose registration permits for hunting in Unit 17 as follows:

For the nonresident registration moose permit for Unit 17, hunters can obtain the permits in the following places, Dillingham, Illiamna, King Salmon. Hunters can pick up the permits from September 1 to September 10.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? I would like the board to change the locations that the nonresident moose hunt registration permits can be picked up. I
would like to see this changed to where they are available in Illiamna, Port Alsworth, and the
Villages of Unit 17. I would like this changed because hunters are having to fly all the way to
Dillingham to get the permits when a lot of the unit is closer to Illiamna than Port Alsworth.

PROPOSED BY: Phil Byrd
******************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 51 - 5 AAC 85.045 (15). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Extend the
season dates for the winter moose in Units 17B and 17C with options for early closures as
follows:

Change the season dates for (RM585) winter moose hunt in Unit 17.

We request the board to broaden the "official book season dates" to start December 1 and run to
January 31. On the registration permit, the normal moose season would open December 1 and
close December 31; however the ADF&G is authorized to extend the season closure by
Emergency Order (EO) to as late as January 31 under certain "special conditions". Extension
duration shall be determined by ADF&G in consultation with Nushagak Fish and Game
Advisory Committee and other stakeholders. Criteria for special conditions must be specified to
include: a) unusual extreme weather preventing travel and hunting activities; b) extremely low
harvest rates in spite of sufficient moose abundance; c) sufficient harvest reporting (other criteria
may be needed). The decision to extend the season will require good communications among
area users, the Advisory Committee and ADF&G staff. The Advisory Committee recognizes that
conditions may vary widely across the area of this hunt.

This season would be established for the Alaska residents only, registration moose hunt RM585.

Adopting this regulation is expected to reduce or eliminate the constant stream of season
extension petitions to the Board of Game that has occurred during the past eight to ten years.

Since this is a registration hunt, ADF&G should be able to obtain good in-season harvest
information on which to base their decisions. Prompt harvest reporting may have to be required.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Hunting regulations (2013-
2014) public summary book page 90. Units 17B and 17C resident winter moose RM585. More
and more often the winter moose hunt in Units 17B and 17C is plagued by weather conditions
that make moose hunting impossible for several weeks. Nushagak area hunters want ADF&G to
have the option of extending the season to compensate for bad weather and travel conditions and
to improve harvest opportunity. Recently the board adopted an adjustable season for the winter
moose hunt in Unit 17A. The Nushagak Advisory Committee would like a similar system
adopted for Units 17B and 17C. Currently EO policy prevents the ADF&G from being more
flexible. The winter of 2013/14 was a prime example of poor hunting conditions for an extended
period of time and success rate was extremely low.

PROPOSED BY: Nushagak Fish and Game Advisory Committee
******************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 52 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Adjust the nonresident closed area in Togiak National Wildlife Refuge in Unit 17A and extend the season as follows:

5 AAC 85.045 (15) Unit 17A: Up to two moose per regulatory year, only as follows:

RESIDENT HUNTERS: 1 bull by registration permit August 25-September 20 only; or (Subsistence hunt only) Up to two moose by registration permit December 1-January 31; (Subsistence hunt only) during the period (To be announced) December 1-January 31, a season of up to 31 days may be announced by emergency order.

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS: 1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with four or more brow tines on one side September 5 - September [15] 20, by drawing permit only; up to 50 permits may be issued.

Nonresident hunters may not hunt moose within two miles on either side of the main-stem of the Togiak River, Togiak Lake, the Isavenik River and Upper Togiak Lake

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Moose populations in the Togiak National Wildlife Refuge have been increasing and resident hunting seasons and bag limits are the most liberal in Alaska. There are many remote areas of the Togiak Refuge that are used very little, if at all by local residents. After a discussion with the Togiak Fish and Game Advisory Committee Chair, Togiak Refuge staff and ADF&G local staff in 2013, there was an agreement reached to open Unit 17A to nonresident moose hunting under a drawing permit with a closed area that included two miles on either side of the mainstem of the Togiak River and Lakes as well as the Isavenik River.

This agreement was in place when I left the meeting and I thought was passed by the board. When the drawing came out I noticed that the closed area included all tributaries of the Togiak River.

I assume this extra expansion of the closed area was done by local staff because it is not specified in codified. I would like to have it specified in the codified language so there is no further conflict on the issue.

The original agreement was made to eliminate conflicts with resident hunters that regularly use the Togiak River, Togiak Lake, and occasionally use the Isavenik and Upper Togiak Lake for moose hunting. The smaller tributaries are seldom used by Togiak residents because they are very small streams that are only passable with power boats at very high water levels.

This closed area effectively eliminates all float hunts in the area and virtually all nonresident moose hunting because most of the lakes that are large enough to land on are included in these drainages. There is very little wheel plane access in the area and what does exist is on the river within the closed area.
This is an unreasonable approach to manage the fastest growing, most dynamic moose herd in Alaska. Anywhere else in the state with this type of moose population the season would be open and long without any restrictions other than bag limit.

During the last two board cycles it was explained that even though the moose population has exploded in this area, nonresidents will be virtually excluded until moose in the area exceed their carrying capacity, which they are theoretically quickly approaching. I suspect this situation is no different. The season has been liberalized to include any-bull hunts, two moose bag limits, winter hunts, and cow hunts in past years, all methods to slow the growth of a herd.

PROPOSED BY: Aaron Bloomquist

PROPOSAL 53 - 5 AAC 92.044. Permit for hunting bear with use of bait or scent lures.
Allow the use of bait and lures along rivers for taking brown bear in Unit 17 and change the bag limit as follows:

I propose that the board allow us in Unit 17 to bait and use lures and to move naturally dead carcasses down river to the next available inlet or to secondary creeks getting them out of the main river. This would result in a higher percentage of hunters taking a mature boar as most of the bears that are on the system during this time of the year are mature bears. The sows along with their cubs stay out on the outer fringes of the system as they know that the big boars are hunting along the banks after coming out of hibernation. If a smaller boar gets to the carcass first one only has to wait 24 hours and a larger boar will claim it from that bear resulting in the taking of a mature bear. I propose that when the carcass has been placed in a more effective area that we post the site with a site number along with the coordinates to our local ADF&G office.

Baiting and using lure is not in any way a sure thing, in fact it is kind of a long shot in getting a bear, however it would give us another tool to use that may help. The utilization of winter moose kills is a very effective way to remove mature boars. I can only see positive things for a program like this and don’t see any problems that it would cause, and it would affect no one negatively. I would also propose that we do away with the two bear regulation as it only hurts the overall health of the bear population and does nothing to treat the real problem.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Brown bear - two bear issue. For years ADF&G along with guides and hunters have been trying to figure out a way to manage the brown bear numbers along the Nushagak River and all its tributaries including the Mulchatna River. The bears that live along these rivers are more of a forest dwelling bear, catching a glimpse of them is usually the only sign you see of them. The "common" way to hunt bears is spotting them from a distance then plan a stalk after glassing miles of country. However, here on the river system the bears rely heavily on their trails that go throughout the forest system rarely coming out along the banks. This obviously poses a problem for effectively managing their numbers and removing the older more mature boars. Increasing the number of bears one can shoot along with longer hunting season’s doesn’t change the way that one hunts them, that problem stays the same. Increasing the number of bears a hunter can shoot results in clients and other hunters shooting smaller bears and does very little in taking the older more mature boars.
that have lived on the river for at least ten years and know how to effectively kill adult moose and have honed their skills in catching moose calves during the calving season. The techniques are quite different. One effective way to remove the older boars from this area is to be able to utilize the winter kill moose carcasses that get washed down the system during the spring floods.

The method and means is the same for a forest dwelling bear as a bear on Kodiak or the Peninsula that carcasses get deposited on sand bars out in the middle of the river. I have watched carcasses stay for weeks on an island with no bears finding them; eventually only the birds clean up the animal.

PROPOSED BY:  Jason Mogen (EG-C14-247)
PROPOSAL 54 - 5 AAC 92.220. Salvage of game meat, furs, and hides. Allow the sale of brown bear hides and skulls in Unit 17 by resident hunters as follows:

Allow resident hunters to sell the hides with claws and/or skulls of legally taken brown bears harvested in Unit 17.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?  Refer to hunting regulations (2013-2014) public summary book page 17. Use of game: Buying and selling of brown bear parts.

The abundant population of brown bears in Unit 17 needs reduction to reduce predation on moose and caribou and reduce bear hazards around area communities. The current two per year bag limit is generous but individuals are limited in their ability to use brown bear hides and skulls. We need economic incentives to encourage the harvest of more brown bears. It has been too difficult to get brown bears included in local predator control programs and this might be a helpful alternative.

PROPOSED BY:  Nushagak Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-219)
PROPOSAL 55 - 5 AAC 84.270. Trapping seasons and bag limits, and 92.095(3). Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions. Remove the bag limit and modify the salvage requirements for harvesting beaver with a firearm during the trapping season in Unit 17, and allow the harvest with bow and arrow as follows:

In Unit 17 under beaver trapping regulations, allow the use of firearms or bow and arrow for beaver trapping October 10 to May 31 with no daily or seasonal limit. No requirement to salvage meat for human consumption. Meat or hide must be salvaged for use.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?  Trapping regulations (2013-2014), public summary book page 31, Beaver trapping. Nushagak area trappers want to be able to use firearms or bow and arrow to take beaver the whole trapping season from October 10 to May 31 with no daily or season limit. Requiring salvage of meat for human consumption when
firearms are used is too burdensome especially if firearms are allowed all season. Meat should be allowed to be used for trap bait and other nonhuman uses as well. With more and more of the season having open water, and fewer and fewer active beaver trappers, the remaining trappers should be allowed to use firearms all season.

PROPOSED BY: Nushagak Fish and Game Advisory Committee

********************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 56 - 5 AAC 84.270(1). Trapping seasons and bag limits, and 92.095(3). Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions. Remove the bag limit and the requirement to salvage meat for human consumption for beaver taken with a firearm during trapping season in Unit 17 as follows:

It is against the law to take beaver by any means other than a steel trap or snare except: **In Unit 17, a firearm may be used to take beaver during the established season and with no bag limits. [IN UNIT 17, A FIREARM MAYBE USED TO TAKE 2 BEAVER PER DAY PROVIDED THAT THE MEAT IS SALVAGED FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION]**

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Allow the use of a firearm to take beaver throughout the trapping season. Currently we can take two beaver per day with a firearm for a small portion of the season and I would like this changed to an unlimited amount of beaver a day through the entire season. This would allow for the harvest of beaver that are digging out of their houses mid-winter due to starving and would not require us to consume them if they are taken by a firearm vs. trapping. It would also clear up a somewhat conflicting regulation where I can trap as many beaver as I want in a day but can only harvest two with a firearm and must consume the two I shoot. This seems to currently be almost unenforceable as I do not see how it can be certain that a shot beaver is eventually consumed or if it is disposed of in some other manner. This would also allow us to harvest beaver with a firearm that we see while trapping in the fall.

PROPOSED BY: Todd Fritze (EG-C14-217)

********************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 57 - 5 AAC 84.270. Trapping seasons and bag limits, and 92.095(3). Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions. Modify the trapping season dates and bag limit for beaver in Unit 17, and prohibit the taking of beaver with firearm as follows:

The solution I recommend is to go back to the seasons start and bag limit as it was in past years season would open November 10 (as all other furbearers start date is in Unit 17) close March 31 with a bag limit of 40 beavers and prohibit the taking of beaver with the use of a fire arm.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? I would like to have the board address the over harvesting of beaver in unit 17C, the lower part of the Nushagak River. Due to the current regulations the beaver population on the lower part of the Nushagak have fallen to almost nothing, one is hard pressed to find a beaver house anywhere a boat can go.
Glennallen Area – Units 11 & 13

PROPOSAL 58 - 5 AAC 92.110. Control of predation by wolves. Change the wolf population trigger for intensive management in Unit 13 as follows:

The present wolf population Intensive Management (IM) trigger should be closer to the mid-point of the population range. The wolf population count that triggers IM shut-off should be confined to the IM area, not unit-wide. Wolves counted in Unit 13D rarely, if ever, range into the IM area.

Our committee favors a "pulse management" protocol that would control the predator population closer to the mid-point of their population range rather than at the low end of it. The population number of 135 wolves is acceptable to us as long as the count was contained within the IM boundaries. Wolf population is not a stand-alone item. Predator populations must be tied to the health of their prey species.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The IM program for Unit 13. This program needs a reliable base based on the best data available. Low wolf numbers in Unit 13 are not necessarily a positive. Our advisory committee feels that a certain number of predators are necessary for the health of the ecosystem. Increasing coyote numbers and ravens abandoning traditional habitat are two of the more obvious indicators that something may be amiss. While neither of these two things may be cause for alarm in themselves they are a sign that something has changed the local balance. The current IM triggers at the extreme high end of the moose population or the very low end of the wolf population. The present wolf population in the central portion of Unit 13 is extremely low.

We feel that a few more predators are necessary to keep the health of the moose population. This IM proposal is tied to the overall population objective of the moose population, especially in Unit 13B. An indicator of moose population health is the recent drop in twinning rates to under the 20% threshold.

PROPOSED BY: Paxson Fish and Game Advisory Committee

PROPOSAL 59 - 5 AAC 92.108. Identified big game prey populations and objectives. Reduce the population and harvest objectives for moose in Unit 13B as follows:

Our advisory committee recommends a population objective of 4000-5000 moose (presently 5000-6300) for Unit 13B. Our harvest objective, (once in that range) would be 240-280 (presently 310-620).

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Unit 13B has a population estimate of 1.7 moose per square mile. That number is too high to support over the long term. The deep snow of 2012-13 and the badly crusted conditions of 2013-14 have strained carrying capacities of winter browse in many areas. An indication of moose population near its peak is
twinning rates below 20%. Unit 13B is there now. Our committee has been aware of this issue for several years and has suggested solutions. We are now at a critical point. We believe that current ongoing habitat studies by ADF&G will bear out our observations. The potential for a population crash is very real (as in 1970-71 and 1971-72).

PROPOSED BY: Paxson Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-203)

PROPOSAL 60 - 5 AAC 84.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Reauthorize the antlerless moose drawing permit hunts in Unit 13 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident</th>
<th>Nonresident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</td>
<td>Open Season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 13</td>
<td>1 moose per regulatory year, only as follows:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 200 permits may be issued; a person may not take a calf or a cow accompanied by a calf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct. 1 – Oct. 31 Mar. 1 – Mar. 31 (General hunt only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No open season</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Antlerless moose hunts must be reauthorized annually by the board. This regulation allows hunters to take a limited number of cows in specific areas to keep the population within objectives.

This Unit 13 antlerless hunt was initially adopted in March 2011, and the first Unit 13 antlerless hunt under this regulation took place in September 2012. Ten permits were issued for a western portion of Unit 13A, and four cow moose were harvested. In regulatory year 2013, ten permits will be issued for the same area with an October and March season based on new season dates adopted by the board in February 2013.

Moose in Unit 13 have generally increased at a rate of 3-5% per year in the intensive wolf management area during the past ten years. The population objective for Unit 13A is 3,500 – 4,200; the population estimate was 3,530 moose in 2009, 3,490 in 2010, and 3,890 in 2011. These numbers are based on conservative extrapolation of count area data and estimates of sightability.
The number of cows in western Unit 13A is expected to continue increasing given reduced predation. To maintain a healthy density and balance of moose in this area, a limited antlerless harvest opportunity in western Unit 13A may be necessary to slow the growth of this population as it approaches a level that will be sustainable in the long-term. Providing an antlerless hunt opportunity will maximize the annual sustained yield.

Based on this analysis, we intend to continue offering a limited antlerless hunt in portions of Unit 13A. No additional antlerless opportunities in other portions of Unit 13 are recommended at this time.

If this antlerless moose hunting opportunity is not reauthorized in Unit 13, the intensive management program and objectives will likely need to be restructured to maintain the moose population within a population size range that does not result in nutritional limitations for the moose and to achieve the harvest objectives recommended by the public, Advisory Committees, and the board.

**PROPOSED BY:** Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-337)  
**********************************************************************************

**PROPOSAL 61 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.** Change the Unit 13D moose drawing hunts (DM335-DM339) to registration hunts as follows:

Propose to have drawing permits DM335-DM339 reallocated to registration permits.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** The participation and harvest in moose drawing hunts DM335-DM339 have been very low since these areas have been put on a drawing permit.

**PROPOSED BY:** Clint Mayeur (EG-C14-225)  
**********************************************************************************

**PROPOSAL 62 - 5 AAC 85.025. Hunting seasons and bag limits for caribou.** Open a nonresident caribou drawing hunt in Unit 13 as follows:

The new regulation would say that in Unit 13, 100 (or whatever management number works best) caribou tags will be allowed by drawing only.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** With the additional tags and the strength of the Nelchina caribou herd, it would be beneficial to add nonresident caribou drawing tags for Unit 13. It is doubtful that the current system will harvest enough caribou to meet their management goal. Allowing even 100 nonresident Unit 13 caribou tags would not adversely affect the herd, but would be a huge boost to local services providers in the unit. Additional revenue from people applying for tags and additional opportunities for guides would be made. If nothing is done, nonresidents will continue to be locked out of hunting Nelchina caribou. It will improve herd quality by managing the herd to its management goals. The only
suffering will be by the 100 nonresident tags that won't go to a resident. I doubt we are seeing a 60% hunt rate by folks who draw the caribou tags anyhow.

PROPOSED BY: Claude Bondy (EG-C14-250)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 63 - 5 AAC 85.025. Hunting seasons and bag limits for caribou.

Limit the drawing permits for caribou (DC480-483) to two permits per household as follows:

Recommend drawing permits for Nelchina caribou be limited to two permits per household (DC 480-DC483).

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This is a limited resource with other resources available; limiting the number of permits to two per household will provide increased opportunity for hunting as there will be more permits available. Some households will continue to receive multiple permits and fewer permits will be available for other hunters desiring an opportunity to hunt. More hunters will have an opportunity to provide meat for their families.

In an effort to be fair and increase opportunity I considered one permit per household. In reviewing permits awarded it appears that some households have received as many as five permits and I believe this is excessive since the resource is so limited and there are other species available to hunt. I settled on two as a fair number per household.

PROPOSED BY: David Luke (EG083112723)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 64 - 5 AAC 85.025. Hunting seasons and bag limits for caribou.

Divide the resident fall caribou hunt (RC566) into late and early seasons as follows:

Split the existing RC566 fall hunt (August 10-September 20) into early and late seasons (August 10-31 and September 1-20) and divide the harvest quota evenly between these two fall seasons. If the harvest quota is not met during either fall season, the winter season (October 21-March 31) will be open to all RC566 applicants until the quota is filled. Making hunters choose between an early or late fall season during the application period will spread the harvest out in time and space and alleviate a mad dash concentration of hunters in August at higher elevations and provide equal opportunity to those who can only hunt in September or at lower elevations.

One caribou by permit per household RC566 August 10-August 31 available only by application. October 21-March 31

or

One caribou by permit per household RC567 September 1-September 20 available only by application. October 21-March 31

or

One caribou by permit per household CC001 Aug 10-Sep 20
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The bulk of the Unit 13 caribou herd is at higher elevations in the early season and usually does not migrate to lower elevations until September. When the Unit 13 caribou harvest quota is low (<2,000), the fall hunts (RC566, CC001, and DC480-483) are likely to close early, favoring early season hunters and those who can access higher elevations. During recent years, hunting Unit 13 caribou during September has not been a problem because the quotas were relatively large, but now that RC566 has become a popular hunt, there is more hunting pressure in the early season, and thus more potential to close early and deny those that can only hunt in September or at lower elevations the opportunity to hunt. Considering that RC566 applicants are not allowed to hunt in other units, this bias toward early season and high elevation hunters seems unnecessary and unfair.

PROPOSED BY: George Esslinger (EG-C14-304)

PROPOSAL 65 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Bring the community subsistence harvest (CSH) season and general season hunts into closer alignment as follows:

Bring the community subsistence harvest season and general hunt seasons into closer alignment, provided that there is still some extended season for the community subsistence hunt as follows:

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? CSH participation in the moose hunt increased dramatically from one group (246 households) in 2009 to 45 groups (995 households) in 2013, with a slight decline to 43 groups (910 households) in 2014. This increase in participation in the CSH has caused concerns that the original intent of the CSH program is not being met. Approximately one-third of the CSH participants hunt moose each year (841 CSH hunters in 2013) and compete for the limited “any bull” quota. The increasing number of CSH hunters has resulted in conflicts within the program, as the more hunters participate, the less chance each hunter has to take one of the 100 “any bulls” in the quota. Reducing the early start for the CSH hunt would be expected to reduce the impacts that have been experienced due to increasing participation in the CSH.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is
Results of the April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Passed
Yea – 6    Nay – 5    (one member absent)

(NOTE: The committee initially considered a version of this proposal that would have set both seasons and bag limits for the community subsistence hunt for moose to match the general hunt. During consideration by the committee, the proposal was substantially amended to eliminate the words “and bag limits”, resulting in no change to the “any bull” provision of the current CSH program regulations. It was also amended to acknowledge that the board may want to bring the CSH and general hunt seasons into closer alignment, but recommends that there continue to be some extended CSH season.)

Key Points in Discussion:

In Support

• The intent of this recommendation is to acknowledge that the Board of Game may wish to shorten the extended Copper Basin CSH season to reduce the attraction to the CSH hunt and to address equity concerns expressed by other hunters. However, if the seasons are brought into closer alignment, the majority of the Committee recommends that the board provide some extended season for the CSH, to meet the intent to provide meat for communities’ subsistence needs.

• One Committee member preferred staggered hunt starts, rather than having multiple types of hunts start on the same day.

In Opposition

• Setting the CSH hunt start date to match the general hunt season start would make access to the resource more equitable and would also reduce the attraction of participants to the CSH.

• Prefer CSH season start to match general hunt season (September 1) to avoid potential for meat waste during warmer weather.

• One member expressed concern that the early start and ability to harvest “any bull” seems to have caused reduction in bulls in Unit 13, per his observations during the season. (In response, the department indicated that if the bull:cow ratio was at risk due to the “any bull” hunt, it would bring a proposal to the board to change it.)

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-274)
PROPOSAL 66 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Require participants in the community subsistence harvest (CSH) program to commit to participation for a period of two years or more as follows:

5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions.

…

(c) (1) (F) in the community harvest hunt area described in 5 AAC 92.074(d), participants in the community harvest permit must commit to participation for a period of two years or more.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? CSH participation in the moose hunt increased dramatically from one group (246 households) in 2009 to 45 groups (995 households) in 2013, with a slight decline to 43 groups (910 households) in 2014. This increase in participation in the CSH has caused concerns that the original intent of the CSH program is not being met. Approximately one-third of the CSH participants hunt moose each year (841 CSH hunters in 2013) and compete for the limited “any bull” quota. The increasing number of CSH hunters has resulted in conflicts within the program, as the more hunters participate, the less chance each hunter has to take one of the 100 “any bulls” in the quota.

Requiring participants to commit to the terms of the CSH for two or more years, including the regulatory requirement under 5 AAC 92.072(2)(A) that they “may not hold a harvest ticket or other state hunt permit for the same species where the bag limit is the same or for fewer animals during the same regulatory year”, may reduce the participation of hunters who may typically hunt along a road system and could readily hunt in other units. This could have the effect of reducing the impacts that have been experienced due to increasing participation in the CSH.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Passed
Yea – 6 Nay – 4 (two members absent)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support
• Support the requirement for a longer-term commitment, since establishing and maintaining a long-term pattern of subsistence use is key element of the Board of Game findings relevant to this CSH.

• Noted that if the board were interested in requiring a longer-term commitment to the CSH, implementation details would need to be crafted. For example, exceptions may be needed for specific situations that prevent participation (such as health problems or a change in the head of household) and appeal procedures would be needed.

• Suggested that if a community or group, or an individual within the group, decided to not fulfill the multi-year commitment, that party could not come back into the CSH during that time period, but could participate in the Tier I hunt.

In Opposition

• Concerns that this requirement would be very difficult to implement. For example, if a community or group decided after one year that it no longer wanted to participate in the CSH, how would they be held to the two-year commitment? What if just some individuals in a group were unwilling or unable to fulfill the two-year commitment? What would be the penalty and the mechanism for applying it?

• Concern that if animal populations decline and opportunity for a successful harvest is restricted or reduced in the CSH area, CSH participants could face a season or more without access to moose or caribou.

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-275)

****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 67 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Limit the areas where community subsistence harvest (CSH) hunters may hunt outside of Unit 11, 12 and 13 as follows:

Any member of a Unit 11, 12, or 13 moose and/or caribou community subsistence hunt group shall only hunt for caribou and moose in the aforesaid unit(s) for a period of two years, and shall not be eligible to hunt these species in other parts of the state during the two year period.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? These units include some of the most accessible hunting areas of the state. All of the trail systems have seen an increase in traffic because of regulatory provisions such as requiring Tier I caribou hunters to only hunt moose in Unit 13. In addition the popularity of the community subsistence harvest hunts has also increased. This is designed to reduce increasing demand for the CSH permits.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the
committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: NO ACTION was taken on this proposal, given action on the previous proposal
(Vote for No Action = Yea – 11, Nay – 0, one member absent)

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-276)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 68 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Change the community subsistence harvest (CSH) group size definition to “25 or more households,” as follows:

(c)(1) a person representing a group of 25 or more residents or members, or for the community harvest hunt area described in 5 AAC 92.074(d) a group representing 25 or more households, may apply to the department for a community harvest permit .

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? CSH participation in the moose hunt increased dramatically from one group (246 households) in 2009 to 45 groups (995 households) in 2013, with a slight decline to 43 groups (910 households) in 2014. This increase in participation in the CSH has caused concerns that the original intent of the CSH program is not being met. Approximately one-third of the CSH participants hunt moose each year (841 CSH hunters in 2013) and compete for the limited “any bull” quota. The increasing number of CSH hunters has resulted in conflicts within the program, as the more hunters participate, the less chance each hunter has to take one of the 100 “any bulls” in the quota.

Changing the definition of what constitutes a “group” to “25 or more households” would be expected to reduce participation in the CSH. Because there are other CSH programs in Alaska, any such regulatory change to address this issue should be limited to the community harvest hunt area described in 5 AAC 92.074(d), which describes the Gulkana, Cantwell, Chistochina, Gakona, Mentasta, Tazlina, Chitina, and Kluti Kaah Community Harvest Area.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest
Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Passed  
Yea – 6 Nay – 4 (two members absent)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support

- Changing the requirement to “25 or more households” would make it more likely that CSH groups represent the subsistence use pattern identified in the board’s findings. This change would increase the size of CSH groups, but may reduce the total number of groups and participants and lessen the impacts that have been associated with increasing participation.

In Opposition

- Do not support efforts to reduce participation by individuals, families and social groups that meet the board’s findings (2011-184-BOG) for participation in the Copper Basin CSH. Concerned that the change to 25 or more households would discourage or hinder their participation in the CSH. Also concerned that others in a household are prevented from hunting elsewhere

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-277)

PROPOSAL 69 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Include “individuals, households, or families” (recognized as a subsistence use pattern in the 2011 Board of Game Findings) in the moose subsistence hunt when the harvestable surplus exceeds the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS), and change the hunt start date to September 1 (from August 10) as follows.

5 AAC 85.045(a)(11): 1 moose per regulatory year, only as follows:

RESIDENT HUNTERS:
One bull per harvest report by community harvest [AUG 10] Sept. 1
Sept. 20 (Subsistence hunt only)

Permit [ONLY], individual, household, or family:
however, no more than 100 bulls that do not meet antler restrictions for other resident hunts in the same area may be taken in Unit 13
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Findings of the Alaska Board of Game, 2011-184-BOG; Game Management Unit 13, Caribou and Moose Uses, identify two specific patterns of subsistence uses of moose.

(www.adfg.alaska.gov/static/regulations/regprocess/gameboard/pdfs/findings/11-184-bog.pdf.)

“One pattern of communities of indigenous Athna Athabaskan inhabitants of the Copper River basin and another subsistence use pattern developed as individuals, families, and other social groups, both within and outside the local area, adapted to changing economic, demographic, and cultural conditions related to harvesting moose in Unit 13”.

As long as the harvestable surplus of moose is above the maximum number necessary to meet subsistence uses the subsistence hunt is regulated under AS16.05.258(b)(1-2). There is no legal authority to differentiate among subsistence users at this harvest level. The board must legally accommodate all subsistence use patterns.

Legally the board may only differentiate between subsistence use patterns when the harvestable surplus falls below the minimum amount reasonably necessary for subsistence (ANS), AS 16.05.258(b)(4). Should the board choose to give a priority to the community based subsistence use pattern in GMU13 for moose they are legally allowed to do so only when the harvest falls below the number necessary to meet the minimum ANS.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Failed
Yea – 5 Nay – 6 (one member absent)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support
• This change would include all of the subsistence users referenced in the 2006 and 2011 Board Findings that describe parties eligible to participate in the CSH. Noted, however, that the Committee unanimously recommended adoption of proposal I-E, which also addresses this purpose.
• Some Committee members support changing the start date for the CSH to September 1, to match the general hunt.

In Opposition

• Some Committee members oppose changing the start date for the CSH to September 1, noting the merits of having an extended season for this hunt. (See also Proposal [65]).

• The rationale for this proposal indicates that the Board of Game can only differentiate among uses when the ANS is not being met. One Committee member stated that they did not support the proposal because they believe that the board does have authority to recognize specific uses, even when the harvestable surplus is above the ANS.

**PROPOSED BY:** The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations  
(EG-C14-279)

PROPOSAL 70 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Provide definitions for “community” and “individuals, families, or “other social groups” as follows:

Add definitions of the terms “community” and “individuals, families or other social groups” to the community subsistence harvest (CSH) regulations, both of which are recognized subsistence use patterns in Board of Game Findings #2006-170-BOG and #2011-184-BOG.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Provide definitions in regulation that would ensure participants meet the intent of the Board of Game Findings relevant to the community subsistence hunts for caribou and moose in the Gulkana, Cantwell, Chistochina, Gakona, Mentasta, Tazlina, Chitina, and Kluti Kaah Community Harvest Area.

**Additional Information:** This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: [www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo](http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo).

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

**Committee vote:** Passed

Yea – 10  Nay– 0  (two members absent)
Key Points in Discussion

In Support

- Unanimous support for providing these definitions for terms used in the Board of Game Findings to define the two patterns of subsistence use.

PROPOSED BY: Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations

PROPOSAL 71 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Implement a system to ensure communities or groups approved to participate in the moose and caribou community subsistence harvest (CSH) program meet the intent of the Board of Game findings for the program as follows:

Implement a reporting and point system for helping communities and groups make efforts to observe the Alaska Board of Game’s (board’s) customary and traditional use pattern found for the community subsistence hunts for caribou and moose in the Gulkana, Cantwell, Chistochina, Gakona, Mentasta, Tazlina, Chitina, and Kluti Kaah Community Harvest Area, as follows:

92.072 (c)(1)(D) make efforts to ensure that the applicable customary and traditional use pattern described by the board and included by the department as a permit condition, if any, is observed by subscribers including meat sharing...

(E) In accordance with the provisions of this subsection, the department may require written reports from administrators of and participants in Gulkana, Cantwell, Chistochina, Gakona, Mentasta, Tazlina, Chitina, and Kluti Kaah Community Harvest Area community harvest permit hunts which describe efforts by households to observe the customary and traditional use pattern described by board findings for the game population(s) to be hunted under the conditions of this community harvest permit.

(i) The department will evaluate each report submitted under (E), and will measure compliance of the communities or groups formed under 5 AAC 92.072(c) with the customary and traditional use pattern of the game population(s), as follows:

(1) Element 1, participation in a long-term, consistent pattern of noncommercial taking, use, and reliance on the game population, may provide up to 12.5% of available points as measured by the following indicators: the number of years of taking and use of the game population;
and involvement of multiple generations in the taking and use of the game population; and use of areas other than the community subsistence hunt area for harvest activities; and

(2) Element 2, participation in the pattern of taking or use of the game population that follows a seasonal use pattern of harvest effort in the hunt area, may provide up to 12.5% of available points as measured by the following indicator: the months and/or seasons in which noncommercial harvest activities occur in the hunt area; and

(3) Element 3, participation in a pattern of taking or use of wild resources in the hunt area that includes methods and means of harvest characterized by efficiency and economy of effort and cost, may provide up to 12.5% of available points as measured by the following indicators: costs associated with harvests; and methods used to reduce costs and improve efficiency of harvest; and number of species harvested during hunting activities; and

(4) Element 4, participation in a pattern of taking or use of wild resources that occurs in the hunt area due to close ties to the area, may provide up to 12.5% of available points as measured by the following indicators: number of years of taking and use of the game population; and involvement of multiple generations in the taking and use of the game population; and variety of harvesting activities that take place in the hunt area; and evidence of other areas used for harvest activities; and

(5) Element 5, use of means of processing and preserving wild resources from the hunt area that have been traditionally been used by past generations, may provide up to 12.5% of available points as measured by the following indicators: complete listing of the parts of the harvested game that are used; and preservation methods of that game; and types of foods and other products produced from that harvest; and

(6) Element 6, participation in a pattern of taking or use of wild resources from the hunt area that includes the handing down of knowledge of hunting skills, values, and lore about the hunt area from generation to generation, may provide up to 12.5% of available points as measured by the following indicators: involvement of multiple generations in the taking and use of the game population; and evidence of instruction and training; and

(7) Element 7, participation in a pattern of taking of wild resources from the hunt area in which the harvest is shared throughout the community, may provide up to 12.5% of available points as measured by the following indicators: amount of harvest of the game population that is shared; and evidence of a communal sharing event; and support of those in need through sharing of the harvest of the game population; and

(8) Element 8, participation in a pattern that includes taking, use, and reliance on a wide variety of wild resources from the hunt area, may provide up to 12.5% of available points as measured by the following indicators: the
variety of resource harvest activities engaged in within the hunt area; and evidence of other areas used for harvest activities.

(ii) failure to report under this subsection, or under 5 AAC 92.072(f), will result in denial to a household of a Gulkana, Cantwell, Chistochina, Gakona, Mentasta, Tazlina, Chitina, and Kluti Kaah Community Harvest Area community subsistence harvest permit.

[E](F) beginning July 1, 2014, in the community harvest hunt area…

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The goal of the annual report evaluation process - as outlined in this proposal, the draft questionnaires, and in the draft scoring system (presented at the March 7, 2014 committee meeting) - is to provide feedback to communities and groups regarding their efforts to observe the customary and traditional use (C&T) pattern described in board finding 2006-170-BOG.

Efforts to observe the pattern are required by 5 AAC 92.072 and by the board. As background, during the December 2013 meeting of the board’s Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Committee, ADF&G was asked to investigate methods to better assess if households with a Copper Basin community subsistence hunt permit were observing (or were making attempts to observe) the C&T pattern described in 2006-170-BOG. In response, the department developed a draft questionnaire and scoring system that hunt administrators and participating households would be required to submit after the caribou and moose hunting seasons. The committee discussed the questionnaire and scoring system during its meetings on March 7, 2014, and April 18, 2014, and requested the concept in a draft proposal for consideration to forward to the board.

The draft proposal outlines how each element in the board findings could be measured by a set of indicators that are in turn closely linked to those findings.

A group’s score would be based on combined responses from participating households and the group administrator. A group’s score on each element would eventually be specified in regulation as based on a percentage of the total points available, rather than a fixed number. Using a percentage for each element allows for refinement of the set of questions for that element. It also provides a way to compare patterns among households and among groups. Furthermore, using a percentage for each element would allow the board to decide which element it would like to have the most weight. The board may choose to weigh responses on one element more than responses on another, for example.

It is recommended that this evaluation process be in place a minimum of three years before the board considers using the scores in a regulatory context. For example, after three years’ of data are collected, the Board might wish to consider establishing in regulation 1) a minimum score for a conditional approval of efforts to observe this pattern, and 2) a minimum score necessary to demonstrate full observation of the C&T pattern.

Also after three years, the board could consider adopting in regulation a process for a person or group to appeal a score assigned by the department to a report submitted under (E) that resulted in denial of an application for a community subsistence hunt permit.
Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Passed
Yea – 6 Nay – 5 (one member absent)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support

• ADF&G Division of Subsistence indicated that they plan to transfer current capacity (staff, resources) toward implementing the proposed system, as they already invest substantial time in reviewing reports currently required of CSH participants. This proposal would provide a more quantified way to review reports and, in some ways, would simplify the review and analysis.

• Supporters indicated this is the “single most important change” the committee could recommend to address the impacts of increased CSH participation.

• Noted that it is similar in intent to proposal submitted to the committee by Paxson Advisory Committee (see Proposal I-H), but the majority of the committee prefers the criteria and system in this proposal.

• The proposed system would make the determination of who may be adhering to the pattern in the CSH less subjective.

In Opposition

• Concerned that the process would be burdensome on hunt administrators, group members, and ADF&G.

• Believes that this type of regulatory process is more appropriate and warranted in a Tier II situation. Since the Copper Basin caribou and moose hunts are in a “Tier I-plus” status, do not support this requirement.

PROPOSED BY: Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-280)

******************************************************************************
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PROPOSAL 72 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Follow the same basic guidelines as the old Tier II system (points to each community based on past use of resource) as follows:

The community hunt protocol should follow along the same basic guidelines as the old Tier II system. Points should be given to each community as a whole depending on its past use of the resource. There should be a point total baseline. Above the baseline, the community may participate in the hunt. Below the baseline, the community would not qualify for participation. Points should be awarded to each community based on an average number of points for each individual member of the community. Communities should be composed of a minimum of 20 members (this to allow for hunters in the smaller area communities to participate without needing members from outside of their immediate area).

The criteria/questions suggested below is a starting point and should be open to discussion. The proposal is that communities that average 22 points or better should be eligible to participate in the community subsistence harvest (CSH) hunt.

Criteria / Questions are as follows:

1. How many years have you used the resource (game population) that you are applying for?
   1-5 years = 1 point
   5-10 years = 2 points
   10-15 years = 3 points
   15-20 years = 4 points
   > 20 years = 5 points

2. How many days during each year do you spend hunting/fishing/gathering within the (general) hunt area?
   1-10 days = 1 point
   10-20 days = 2 points
   20-30 days = 3 points
   30-40 days = 4 points
   > 40 days = 5 points

3. How far do you have to travel to reach the hunt area?
   > 300 miles = 1 point
   200-300 miles = 2 points
   150-200 miles = 3 points
   75-150 miles = 4 points
   < 75 miles = 5 points

4. In which community do purchase most of your fuel? (Note: May have missed a few communities on these lists and propose using the old Tier II community point list).
   Paxson, Glennallen, Cantwell, Gakona, Gulkana, Chistochina, Copper Center, Mendeltna, Chickaloon, Lake Louise., Kenny Lake Dot Lake, Tanacross, Mentasta = 5 points
   Delta Junction, Palmer, Valdez, Denali Park, Healy, Talkeetna, Tok, Chicken = 4 points
   Fairbanks/North Pole, Anchorage, Palmer, Wasilla, Nenana, Ester, = 3 points
   Kenai/Soldotna, Homer, Seward, Eagle, Wiseman, Circle City, Central= 2 points
All other communities off of the highway system = 1 point

5. In which community do you purchase most of your food?
   Glennallen, Copper Center, Tok, Delta Junction, Cantwell = 5 points
   Valdez, Seward, Homer, Talkeetna, Healy, Nenana = 4 points
   Kenai/Soldotna, Wasilla/Palmer, Fairbanks/N. Pole, Anchorage = 3 points
   Communities off of the highway system = 2 points

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? On March 26 our [Paxson] Advisory Committee (AC) met and discussed the community hunt. Like most others, our members felt that the community hunt, as structured, does not serve the purpose for which it was created. Our [Paxson AC] committee feels that the present subsistence seasons, both federal and state, do meet the needs of our area communities and that a separate community hunt is unnecessary.

That said, we [Paxson AC] are aware that once a program is implemented, it is very difficult to remove it, as there are many special interest groups who feel that a specific program may benefit them in particular. Our stance as an advisory committee is that competition among user groups for a limited amount of game or fish is detrimental to the basic premise of having a healthy, balanced eco-system that serves all user groups; both consumptive and non-consumptive.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote:   Failed
                  Yea – 1   Nay – 10   (one member absent)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support

• No specific points were articulated by the committee in support of this proposal.

In Opposition

• Some committee members preferred the criteria and system in proposal [71].
• Other committee members do not support either proposal [71 or 72], stating that this type of evaluation should not be required in a Tier I-plus situation

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-281)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 73 - 5 AAC 92.071. Tier I subsistence permits. Require hunters to be engaged in a pattern of subsistence uses of Nelchina caribou for the Tier I hunt as follows:

The Board of Game needs to take the next step in defining the pattern of subsistence use for the Tier I household caribou hunt in Unit 13. It needs to institute an administrative process similar to that being considered for the Tier I community hunt. Like the yearly application for the community hunt, the household Tier I application should specify the pattern of subsistence use a household is expected to conform to in order to participate in the Tier I hunt. A signature should be required to verify the intent of those in the household to engage in this pattern. Additionally, the Tier I permits already include a reporting requirement for harvest. A short set of questions should be added verifying that the household engaged in the pattern of use defined in the application with a signature attesting to the truth of the answers given.

The Tier I household permit hunt conditions, or required pattern of customary and traditional (C&T) subsistence use, should be developed by the Division of Subsistence and approved by the Board. The post-season permit report, in addition to harvest information, could include some of the same elements that the Division has developed to verify participation in the pattern of use for the community hunt, although the number of questions and their depth would likely be less given the differences between the household and community patterns of subsistence uses.

Important elements that should be included as hunt conditions and reporting requirements include use of a wide diversity of resources from the area; a pattern of use that spans several seasons; close ties and familiarity with the area including the existence or development of a long-term pattern of use; some degree of sharing outside the household; and the incorporation of handing down knowledge through the generations.

A scoring system should be developed that results in the disqualification of an applicant after some for failing to comply with the hunt conditions or for failing to report. For example, if an applicant household hunted and failed to meet the minimum score for the year, or failed to report, the persons in that household would be foreclosed from applying for the next year. If an applicant household failed to meet the minimum score for after applying and receiving a permit twice, thus demonstrating a pattern of non-compliance, the household members would be foreclosed from applying for a Tier I permit for three years. A household that applies for a permit and fails to hunt for two consecutive years would be foreclosed from applying for a permit the next year barring some medical or other justification. Those disqualified from applying for the household Tier I permit would also be barred from applying for the community hunt. Applicants made a conscious choice of the pattern of use to apply for, the household or community Tier I hunt. Those that failed to comply with the condition for the pattern of use selected should not be permitted to game the system by jumping between Tier I hunts.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The board currently requires that those issued Tier I caribou permits in Unit 13 are restricted to hunting moose in Unit 13. The reason the board adopted this hunt condition was twofold: 1) to reflect the C&T pattern of subsistence uses that includes the taking a wide diversity of resources for the area used for subsistence hunting; and 2) to limit the number of persons applying for the Tier I hunt to those genuinely willing to engage in this pattern of use. This hunt condition has not achieved its purpose.

The number of Tier I caribou hunters remains very high, far above the 600-1000 ANS set by the board for the Nelchina caribou herd. Data from the past several years since the board adopted the ANS and the above described hunt condition demonstrates that there continues to be a high number of Tier I applications and a large participation and harvest in the Tier I hunt. This data seriously undermines the basis, and thus legality for the ANS determination. Given the data over the last several years, a strong case exists for arguing that the ANS remains the total available Nelchina harvest, or close to it, thus putting the hunt back into the Tier II regime.

At the same time that the hunt condition restricting moose hunting in Unit 13 has failed to significantly reduce the number of Tier II participants, it has caused hardships to those who genuinely depend on the Unit 13 moose and caribou populations for subsistence uses. It is flooding the area with moose and caribou hunters. Many of those with many years of moose and caribou hunting in this area are not meeting their subsistence needs because of the large number of hunters all concentrated in the same time and area. The caribou hunt has closed early over the last several years. Many of those who are undoubtedly engaged in a subsistence pattern of use are not being afforded a reasonable opportunity or meeting their subsistence needs for moose or caribou.

Defining subsistence uses and instituting an application and scoring system as proposed would benefit all Alaskans who wish to hunt the Nelchina caribou herd. Over a relatively short period of time those who participate in the subsistence hunt will be genuinely engaged in subsistence uses. This will reduce the number of applicants and participants because many who now apply for the Tier I hunt are not engaged in a pattern of use that is subsistence. The 600-1000 ANS would likely be supported by the data generated by the proposed permit reporting and scoring system. This means that many more general hunt permits could be issued that do not have such conditions. If more general hunt opportunity were available, many hunters would choose to participate in a general hunt.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is
Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Failed
Yea – 5   Nay – 4   Abstain – 1 (one member absent)

Key Points in Discussion
The Committee tabled this proposal until the end of the meeting, as it relates to the individual Tier I caribou hunt, rather than the CSH. As a result, there was limited time for discussion. The following points were made in brief discussion:

- Should not impose an eligibility test / criteria on individual hunters when the caribou hunt is above a Tier I level.
- There may be merit to rescinding the requirement that participants in the Tier I caribou hunt must only hunt moose in Unit 13. However, the committee felt there was not sufficient time for them to consider the ramifications of this change, or of other effects of the proposal.

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations
EG-C14-282

PROPOSAL 74 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Require direct approval by the Board of Game of groups applying to join the Copper Basin community subsistence hunt as follows:

A group application should be developed by the Division of Subsistence for any group that seeks to join the Copper Basin community subsistence hunt. The Division should review the applications with comments as to completeness and content. The board could review and approve or reject these applications yearly at its work session with each group coordinator given a limited opportunity for public comment.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Abuse of the intent and hunt conditions for the community subsistence hunt by groups of people who are not genuinely engaged in the pattern of community subsistence use identified by the board for the Copper Basin community subsistence hunt.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.
and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Passed
Yea – 7 Nay – 4 (one member absent)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support:
- Would ensure that all groups demonstrate to the board that they meet the CSH requirements.
- It is appropriate for board to assume this role, as it ultimately is an allocation decision (affects who qualifies for participation in the CSH).
- One committee member stated support for this idea, but was also satisfied with the solution of providing a definition of “community” (see Proposal [70]).

In Opposition:
- Concern that this would place an undue burden on the board and whether they would have time to review all applicants.
- Concern this places board in an “administrative” rather than policy and allocation role. Board should delegate this type of responsibility to the Division of Subsistence (such as in Proposal [71]) and then require an annual report on any problems, etc.
- Concern that the board would not have the time to critically review applications and it would be a “rubber stamp” exercise, taking time without much merit.

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-283)

PROPOSAL 75 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Implement reporting and antler destruction requirements for community subsistence harvested moose in the Unit 13 area hunt as follows:

Require that community subsistence harvest (CSH) hunters bring the harvested moose to the Cantwell or Glenallen ADF&G offices as soon as they come out of the field; require antler destruction for any community subsistence hunt harvested moose.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This proposal may address the impacts of increased CSH participation by reducing the interest in participation in the CSH and the impacts of the increase in participation in this hunt, and would tie the harvest more directly to subsistence use by requiring antler destruction.
Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Passed
Yea – 6    Nay – 5    (one member absent)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support:
• May help reduce impacts from high participation in the CSH hunt, by reducing interest in participating in this hunt.

In Opposition:
• The Glennallen and Cantwell ADF&G offices would not be convenient locations for all CSH participants to report.
• Concern about burden on ADF&G staff to receive all hunters and confirm salvage/antler destruction, and to store meat for sharing or arrange for its distribution.

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-284)

PROPOSAL 76 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Require antlers taken through the community subsistence harvest (CSH) hunt be turned in to ADF&G as follows.

Require that antlers taken through the CSH hunt be turned in to ADF&G, to allow them to get additional information about the harvest and to sell the antlers at auction with revenues used to help cover the added costs of CSH hunt management as follows:

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This proposal may address the impacts of increased community subsistence harvest (CSH) participation by reducing the interest in participation in the CSH and the impacts of the increase in participation in this hunt. It would also provide revenue to ADF&G to help cover the cost of administering the hunt.
Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: NO ACTION was taken on this proposal  
(Vote for No Action = Yea – 11, Nay – 0, one member absent)

Key Points in Discussion

- It would not be legal to dedicate the funds received by ADF&G through auction to administration of the CSH. Given this, the committee favored no action on this proposal.

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations  
(EG-C14-285)

PROPOSAL 77 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Establish a quota for each subarea in Unit 13 for the "any bull" moose hunt as follows:

Establish a firm “any bull” quota per subarea in Unit 13

(NOTE: This would not require a regulatory change by the board since ADF&G has discretionary authority to implement this change under existing regulations for Unit 13, but ADF&F recommends that it be presented to the board for their information and to invite direction.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? In Unit 13, the high harvest of “any bulls” in high use subareas (e.g. Unit 13A) during the first day or few days of the community subsistence harvest opening on August 10 has caused ADF&G to close the “any bull” hunt in all of Unit 13 by emergency order very early in the season, significantly reducing the opportunity for hunters to take “any bull” in other subareas. Establishing a quota for each subarea (either by the board or ADF&G) would reduce the potential for overharvest in heavily used areas, keep the harvest within the allocation specified in regulation (5AAC 85.045(11)(B)), and maintain the opportunity to harvest “any bull” in all subareas. This would spread opportunity and reduce social conflicts.
**Additional Information:** This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: [www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo](http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo).

**Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting**

**Committee vote:** Passed  
**Yea – 8**  
**Nay – 2**  
*(two members absent)*

**Key Points in Discussion**

**In Support**

- Recommend ADF&G look at past harvest patterns and allocate the subarea quotas proportionally.
- Establishing an “any bull” quota per subarea would spread opportunity throughout the area, avoiding having the quota taken rapidly and predominantly in accessible subunits, such as Unit 13A.
- Recognize that harvest may exceed the 100 “any bulls” in some years and the department would then reduce the total below 100 in subsequent years to achieve a longer-term balance. (ADF&G noted that this should be addressed in the board’s findings on this topic.)

**In Opposition**

- This type of micromanagement would make it more difficult for ADF&G to manage the “any bull” harvest in Unit 13.

**PROPOSED BY:** The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations  
(EG-C14-266)

**PROPOSAL 78 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.** Require more rapid harvest reporting of moose taken under the “any bull” hunt in Unit 13 as follows:

Provide more rapid harvest reporting and more responsive in-season management during the “any bull” harvest in Unit 13.

*(NOTE: This would not require a regulatory change by the board since ADF&G has discretionary authority to implement this under 5 AAC 92.052 (discretionary permit conditions)*
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? In Unit 13, the high harvest of “any bulls” in high use subareas (e.g. Unit 13A) during the first day or few days of the community subsistence harvest opening on August 10 has caused ADF&G to close the “any bull” hunt for all of Unit 13 by emergency order very early in the season, significantly reducing the opportunity for hunters to take “any bull” in other subareas. Requiring harvest reporting sooner (e.g., within 12 or 24 hours of killing a moose) by phone or internet would give the department more current information about the “any bull” harvest so it can be managed to meet biological objectives while providing opportunity throughout Unit 13 to the extent possible.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Passed
Yea – 10 Nay – 0 (two members absent)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support
• Beneficial to have information as soon as possible about “any bull” harvest to assist ADF&G in meeting biological objectives while providing harvest opportunity throughout Unit 13.
• Ask ADF&G to consider and implement feasible requirements for more rapid harvest reporting and in-season management notifications.

Considerations
• Recognize that there are limitations on cell phone coverage in some areas of Unit 13.
• Recognize that hunters whose practice is to remain in the field for longer periods may have difficulty responding within a 12- or 24-hour post-harvest report timeframe.
• Will likely require some additional department staff time on heavy use weekends, although this has been required in the past as well.
The committee discussed potential use of checkpoints in the field, but ADF&G did not recommend this, indicating that staffing costs would be too high.

**PROPOSED BY:** The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-267)

PROPOSAL 79 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Direct ADF&G to open and close the “any bull” moose season on certain days in Unit 13 as follows.

Recommend ADF&G use its existing management discretion, in all subunits throughout the community subsistence harvest (CSH) area, to open and close the CSH on certain days (including weekend days) if necessary for conservation and/or to not exceed the “any bull” quota as follows:

Note that this would not require a regulatory change by the board since ADF&G has discretionary authority to implement this under 5 AAC 92.052 (discretionary permit conditions and procedures), but ADF&G recommends that it be presented to the board for their information and to invite direction.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** In Unit 13, the high harvest of “any bulls” in high use subareas (e.g. Unit 13A) during the first day or few days of the CSH opening on August 10 has caused ADF&G to close the “any bull” hunt for all of Unit 13 by emergency order very early in the season, significantly reducing the opportunity for hunters to take “any bull” in other subareas. Because the “any bull” harvest quotas are relatively small compared to the number of CSH hunters, harvest quotas can be reached quickly in heavily hunted areas. Communication and reporting delays can result in harvests that greatly exceeded the quota before an Emergency Order can be issued. Judicious use of in-season closures would give ADF&G the chance to catch up with harvest monitoring and reassess progress toward the “any bull” and overall harvest quotas in-season, ensuring appropriate management to the targets while maximizing participation throughout the units.

**Additional Information:** This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: [www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo](http://www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo).

**Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting**
Committee vote: Passed
Yea – 7    Nay – 4  (one member absent)

(Note: This proposal was substantially amended from an earlier version that would have limited the “any bull” hunt to Monday-Friday)

**Key Points in Discussion**

**In Support**
- While ADF&G already has the discretion to use this management tool, an affirmative vote on this recommendation by the Board of Game would give the department greater assurance that it is an acceptable management tool to the board.

**In Opposition**
- ADF&G already has the discretionary authority to open and close the “any bull” season as necessary to manage for the quota and the overall harvest target. This proposal is unnecessary micromanagement.
- Do not want ADF&G to use this as a tool to limit participation in the hunt or to provide different treatment to different populations of hunters (such as closing the hunts on weekend days).
- Concern that information about closures may be difficult to communicate to hunters in the backcountry and difficult to enforce

**PROPOSED BY:** The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-268)

---------------------------------------------------------------

**PROPOSAL 80 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose; and 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions.** Modify the community subsistence moose hunt season dates and restrict all hunters from using motorized vehicles in Units 13, 11, and portions of 12, during the periods August 18-22 and August 25-28 as follows:

The community subsistence harvest (CSH) is open for moose from August 18-September 20.

Unit 13 and 11 (and portions of 12) are closed to anyone using a motorized vehicle for moose hunting including the transportation of moose hunters, their gear and/or harvested meat hunts from August 18-August 22, and from August 25-28.

However this does not apply to the use of a motorized vehicle on a state, borough or locally maintained highway or Lake Louise Road, and does not apply to use of a driveway to access a residence or business.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** This proposal is patterned after the moose hunt in Unit 15C on the Kenai Peninsula. It has been a successful model there. The intent is to reduce the pressure on the early season hunt and give local residents a level
playing field to harvest an animal. This proposal includes a provision to allow the transport of harvested game, personnel and gear in the middle of this prescribed season by motor vehicles.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Failed
              Yea – 1 Nay – 7 Abstain – 3 (one member absent)

(NOTE: During consideration by the board’s committee, this proposal was amended from an earlier version to eliminate the words “except an aircraft or a boat”.)

Key Points in Discussion

The committee member who submitted this proposal noted that he brought forward this proposal to start conversation about reducing hunting pressure during the early moose season and that he did not have an opinion on it.

In Support

- Recommend that the board consider limitations on motorized vehicle use during the CSH early season to help reduce hunting pressure on the early season “any bull” hunt and to reduce impacts to the land (e.g., proliferation of motorized trails).

In Opposition

- The use of motorized vehicles to access and transport game is customary and meets the intent of the board’s findings for the CSH. This would be an unnecessary restriction on customary use.
- Concern that this would be very difficult to enforce

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-272)
PROPOSAL 81 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Restrict community subsistence harvest hunters in Units 13, 11, and portions of Unit 12 from hunting within 24 hours of using off-road vehicles as follows:

From August 18 to August 31, participants in the community subsistence harvest hunt for moose and caribou may not hunt for one day following the use of an off-road motorized vehicle. For the purpose of this regulation, a motorized use day ends at midnight of the day than an off-road motorized vehicle was used. If animal is harvested after the prohibition on a motorized use has passed, an off-road motorized vehicle can be used to transport the meat of a harvested animal. (Note, this is similar to the restriction in the Cordova bull moose hunt.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The intent is to reduce hunting pressure on the early season hunt and level the playing. It allows hunters to access the backcountry, yet provide for fair chase and reduce the rate of harvest, which will lengthen the season. This is similar to the way hunting using an aircraft for access is regulated.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Failed
Yea – 0    Nay – 9    Abstain – 2    (one member absent)

Key Points in Discussion
The committee member who submitted this proposal noted that he brought forward this proposal to start conversation about reducing hunting pressure during the early moose season and that he did not have an opinion on the proposal.

In Opposition
- The use of motorized vehicles to access and transport game is customary and meets the intent of the board’s findings for the CSH. This would be an unnecessary restriction on customary use.
- Concern that this would difficult to enforce.
- Noted that the Cordova bull moose hunt (referenced in the description of the proposal) occurs in a much smaller geographic area and is accessed by airboats. While the restriction on
motorized use may be a useful tool in the Cordova hunt, its utility is not transferable to the Copper Basin CSH hunt.

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-273)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 82 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Manage the Unit 13 community subsistence harvest caribou hunt through the set season as long as the overall harvest quota is not exceeded.

Manage the Unit 13 community subsistence harvest (CSH) program for caribou to continue the community hunt through the season established in regulation (August 10 – September 20, and October 21 – March 31), as long as the CSH allocation of 300 caribou and the overall harvest quota are not exceeded.

(NOTE: This would not require a regulatory change by the board since ADF&G has discretionary authority to implement this change under existing regulations for Unit 13, but ADF&G recommends that it be presented to the board for their information and to invite direction.)

WHAT IS THE ISSUE YOU WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO ADDRESS AND WHY?
In Unit 13, regulations provide that “up to 300 caribou may be taken” in the CSH hunt (August 10 – September 20, and October 21 – March 31). However, in the past, ADF&G has closed the CSH caribou hunt in Unit 13, or not reopened the hunt for the fall/winter season, when the overall reported state harvest combined with the anticipated federal harvest for the Nelchina herd has approached the overall harvest quota, even though 300 caribou were not harvested in the CSH hunt. Managing the 300 caribou CSH allocation as a quota that should be met in Unit 13 (provided there is no conservation concern) would increase opportunity for caribou harvest through the CSH program, including likely extending the hunt into the fall/winter season.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.
Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote: Passed
Yea – 10    Nay – 0   (two members absent)

(During consideration by the board’s committee, this proposal was amended to add, “and the overall harvest quota are” not exceeded, to avoid any conservation concern.)

Key Points in Discussion

In Support

• Committee members unanimously supported this change in management of the CSH caribou hunt.

• Added language to ensure that the hunt would be managed to ensure the overall harvest quota is not exceeded, to avoid any potential conservation concern.

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations
EG-C14-355

**************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 83 - 5 AAC 92.072. Community subsistence harvest hunt area and permit conditions. Discontinue the community subsistence harvest (CSH) program when the harvestable surplus exceeds the minimum Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS), and replace it with a weighted drawing permit for Alaska residents only.

Unit 13
1 moose per regulatory year, only as follows:

RESIDENTS HUNTERS:
1 moose by weighted drawing permit only; Sept. 1 - Sept. 20 (General hunt only)
up to 100 permits for bulls and up to 25 permits for antlerless moose may be issued; or

One bull with spike-fork antlers or 50 inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow tines on one side; or Sept 1 - Sept. 20 (Subsistence hunt only)

One bull by registration permit only. Dec. 1 - Dec. 31 (Subsistence hunt only)

NONRESIDENTS HUNTERS:
1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow tines on one side by drawing permit only; Sept. 1 – Sept. 20 (General hunt only)
up to 250 permits maybe issue.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? When the harvestable surplus is greater than the maximum ANS, the board has the least legal obligation to provide a
priority for subsistence uses. With harvestable surplus above the ANS (maximum), AS 16.05.258(b)(1)(A), ADF&G may issue subsistence registration permits and apply discretionary conditions to the hunt consistent with the customary and traditional (C&T) use pattern, and issue general drawing permits to harvest game.

AS 16.05.255(d) allows a preference for resident moose hunters without the hunt being determined to be for subsistence use only. In the past the Alaska courts have determined that drawing hunts did not provide a reasonable opportunity for subsistence use, so just call it a weighted drawing hunt for residents only.

A weighted drawing permit system means that those drawn in previous years for the same drawing permit hunt are not drawn again until all other applicants who keep applying for the specific drawing hunt have been drawn. New drawing applicants rise up in preference by accumulating years of consistent applying.

When determining if a reasonable opportunity for subsistence uses is being met under harvestable surplus conditions found consistent with AS16.05.258(b)(1) the board may integrate opportunities offered under both state and federal regulation, 5 AAC 99.025(b), to meet subsistence uses. Federal registration permit (FM1301) - 1 antlered bull moose may be harvested on 4.1 million acres of federal lands in Unit 13, August 1 – September 20.

The board is not legally obligated to create a community-based subsistence harvest program in the Copper River basin for moose as long as the harvestable surplus is above the maximum ANS, it's just that the board chose to do so.

I would recommend that the board’s committee on the Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations oppose continuing the CSH program for moose and adopt these regulations, as long as the harvestable surplus is above the maximum ANS for moose in Unit13.

Additional Information: This proposal was considered by the Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (committee) which held three meetings prior to the proposal deadline. The 12 member committee was established by the Board of Game and included board members, advisory committee members, and members of the public. At their last meeting, the committee reviewed 19 proposals that were drafted at the request of the committee or were submitted by committee members. All of the proposals considered by the committee have been submitted to the Board of Game for consideration at the Central/Southwest Region Board of Game meeting scheduled for February 2015. The committee recommendation and discussion on this proposal is summarized below. Committee action on all 19 proposals is available in the meeting summary that can be accessed on the committee meeting website located at:  www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo.

Results of April 18, 2014 Committee Meeting

Committee vote:    Failed
 Yea – 4   Nay – 7   (one member absent)
Key Points in Discussion

In Support:

• This proposal provides a more equitable opportunity for all Alaskans to have the opportunity to participate in the moose hunt in this area.

• A weighted drawing hunt can also provide a reasonable opportunity for subsistence users.

• Need to consider that subsistence needs are also addressed by subsistence hunt opportunities provided on federal lands.

In Opposition:

• Support the intent of the community subsistence hunt and do not want it eliminated.

• This proposal would not provide the “any bull” hunt that is important to meet subsistence needs of communities.

• Drawing hunts do not provide certainty of participation or stability in hunting over the long-term, which are important elements of the communities’ subsistence hunt.

• The committee has helped identify a number of options to improve the CSH for the board’s consideration. Recommend that the board apply some of these tools to fine-tune the CSH and let those work for several years, rather than eliminating the CSH and replacing it with a weighted drawing hunt.

PROPOSED BY: The Board of Game Committee on Copper Basin Area Subsistence Hunting Regulations (EG-C14-286)

PROPOSAL 84 - 5 AAC 85.045(a)(11). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Change the “any bull” hunt in Unit 13 to a drawing hunt as follows:

The new regulation would read:

RESIDENT HUNTERS: 1 bull or antlerless moose by weighted drawing permit.

Define "weighted" as: Once you are drawn you go to the bottom of the applicant list for the drawing hunt and cycle back to the top over years of continued applying.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Unequal opportunity for Alaskans to reap the rewards of active predator/prey management on state public domain. The statutory authority creating the community subsistence harvest, AS 16.05.330(c) in Unit 13 does not trump the state subsistence law, AS 16.05.258, when hunt regulations can distinguish among subsistence users, AS 16.05.258(b)(4)(B).

The "any bull" component of the moose population in Unit 13 is the most sought after. When the harvestable surplus of moose in Unit 13 is greater than the Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS) the harvest is allocated in accordance with its statutory authority, AS 16.05.258(b)(3). The board may differentiate between patterns of subsistence users only when the harvestable
surplus of moose in Unit 13 falls below the ANS, AS 16.05.258(b)(4). That is not the case now for moose in Unit 13.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Outdoor Council

PROPOSAL 85 - 5 AAC 85.025. Hunting seasons and bag limits for caribou. Modify the community subsistence harvest permit system as follows:

Eliminate the community subsistence harvest permit (CHP) as a separate permit from individual hunting permits.

After individual Tier I or Tier II permits are awarded to an individual, then the individual hunter permits have the option of pooling individual permits into CHP, with the same regulatory conditions of the individual permits for season lengths, bag limits, horn restrictions, application sign up dates, reporting success requirements and proxy hunters. Customs and traditions imposed after pooling individual permits must be approved by ADF&G. The designated harvest quota of 300 Nelchina caribou for CHP is eliminated and the total annual harvest quota applies equally to all individuals and CHP.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Eliminate the CHP as a separate permit from individual hunting permits. Go back to awarding individual hunter permits with the option of pooling individual permits into CHP. Do not give any priority preference to CHP that individual hunters do not also enjoy, including time to file applications, length of seasons, relaxed horn restrictions, time to report success, local hunter preference or location of residency, and eliminate eligibility requirements to exercise Ahtna racial customs and traditions for all CHPs. Granting a racial preference based on customs and traditions, and location of residency, violates the Public Trust Doctrine to provide state game resources equally to all the residents of Alaska (see McDowell v. State, 785 P.2d 1 (Alaska 1989) [rural preference unconstitutional] and Owsichek v. State, 763 P.2d 488 (Alaska 1988) [Public Trust Doctrine upheld].

Whether a Tier I level (sport/general) hunt, or a Tier II level subsistence hunt, pooling of individual permits into CHPs will allow all residents to continue their customs and traditions, without denying permits to those that have different customs and traditions, and without granting an unconstitutional racial rural preference for “community” (CHP) eligibility criteria to get a hunting permit (see McDowell; Manning-I). Only the individual eligibility criteria (not community) should control awarding an individual permit and subsequent pooling of individual permits into CHPs.

This will prevent ADF&G’s and the board’s blatant unconstitutional actions of designating and requiring particular racial customs and traditions in order to get a CHP hunting permit (a violation of Alaska Constitution Article 1 Section 1 equal rights, Article VIII Section 3 “common use” equal subsistence rights for all Alaskans, per McDowell v. State, 785 P.2d 1 (Alaska 1989) and State ADFG v. Manning, 161 P.3d 1215 (2007) (“Manning-I”) [denial of
equal protections]. This will also avoid continued equal rights litigation against ADF&G and the board for violations of statutory and constitutional equal protection mandates.

**PROPOSED BY:** Kenneth Manning

****************************************************************************

**PROPOSAL 86 - 5 AAC 85.025. Hunting seasons and bag limits for caribou.** Divide the annual harvest quota for Nelchina caribou as follows:

Unit 13: Nelchina caribou herd annual hunt (whether Tier I or Tier II level hunt), divide the annual harvest quota for all hunts (Tier I, household, drawing, community harvest/subsistence permits or Tier II), into thirds with one-third limited to August 10-September 20, one third annual harvest quota to October 20-December 31, and one-third for January 1-March 31.

When the one-third quota is achieved, the Nelchina hunt will be closed until the start of the next one-third quota season.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** For the Nelchina caribou herd annual hunt, divide the annual harvest quota for all hunts (Tier I household, drawing, community harvest/subsistence permits, or Tier II) into thirds with one-third limited to August 10-September 20, one third annual harvest quota to October 20-December 31, and one-third to January 1-March 31. When the one-third quota is achieved, the Nelchina hunt will be closed until the start of the next one-third quota season.

This will allow a full length hunting season, allow customs and traditions for winter hunts acquiring winter meat harvest, and will spread out the hunters over the season to avoid a mad rush on opening day and an early season harvest quota closure. It will also improve public safety concerns of too many hunters forced in the field for the first few days of hunting, and all in the early season before closed by emergency order that eliminates winter hunting. One-third harvest quotas, divided over the full hunting season, will assure, improve, and protect sustained yield management of the Nelchina caribou herd, and prevent over harvest, while providing for customs and traditions for both summer and winter hunts.

**PROPOSED BY:** Kenneth Manning

****************************************************************************

**PROPOSAL 87 - 5 AAC 92.050(I). Required permit hunt conditions and procedures**

Remove the exclusive hunting restriction for caribou in Unit 13 as follows:

Eliminate the requirement that Unit 13 Nelchina caribou hunters cannot hunt moose elsewhere in the state, only in Unit 13.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Eliminate the requirement for all applicants who apply for a Unit 13 Nelchina caribou permit, to hunt moose only in Unit 13.

96
This will allow the 10,000 to 15,000 Nelchina caribou hunters to hunt moose anywhere in the state, and not force 10,000 to 15,000 hunters upon the Unit 13 moose hunts. This will avoid an overabundance of moose hunters and harvest in Unit 13, will provide more harvestable moose for local Unit 13 hunters, will improve and protect sustained yield management, and will comply with statutory and constitutional equal protections of the law.

Denying Nelchina caribou hunters the right to hunt moose anywhere else in the state except Unit 13 is arbitrary and capricious, unreasonable, and violates the equal protection guarantees of Article VIII Section 3 “common use” rights.

There is no legal nexus between hunting Unit 13 Nelchina caribou and denying moose hunting elsewhere in the state, and there is no management objective other than discouraging residents from applying for a Nelchina caribou permit and exercising their constitutionally protected “common use” rights. This denies Nelchina caribou hunters equal protections of the law. See State v. Manning, 161 P.3d 1215 (Alaska Supreme Court 2007) [Manning-1, violations of equal protections], and Manning v. State ADFG, et al, Alaska Supreme Court, case no. S-15121 (Manning-IV, pending)

PROPOSED BY: Kenneth Manning

**************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 88 - 5 AAC 99.010. Boards of fisheries and game subsistence procedures.
Change the method of determining the Amount Necessary for Subsistence in Unit 13 as follows:

Calculate the number of subsistence users for determining Amount Necessary for Subsistence (ANS) with data based on individual verifiable data from each resident hunter applicant including: (1) years hunted, received, and eaten fish and wildlife for human food for each big game species; (2) the total amount of subsistence resources acquired over each year for up to the last sixty years, including big game, small game, waterfowl, freshwater fish, saltwater fish, and trapping resources harvested; and (3) the number of family members and friends that shared your annual subsistence harvest over the last year three years.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Change the method of determining "Amount Necessary for Subsistence" (ANS) for all hunts, to use only individual past dependency on subsistence resources; eliminate consideration of criteria of location of residency, racial customs and traditions, community socioeconomics, and individual income. Repeal the Board of Game "ANS experiment" that pre-classifies residents without individual criteria data by eliminating thousands as "not real subsistence users," without any questions or data from the individual concerning subsistence use. (See Judge Bauman's decision in Manning v. State ADFG, Ahtna, 3KN-09-178CI July 2010).

Who will benefit? The individual subsistence user with the most reliance on subsistence will benefit, no matter what their race or location of residency. All individual Alaskans who rely on subsistence will have equal protection and priority qualification over those that do not depend on subsistence resources as a mainstay of livelihood from their subsistence harvest abilities, all without consideration of and regardless of their location of residency in the state, their racial
customs & traditions, their proximity of domicile to the subsistence resource, their community socio-economics (costs of gas & groceries, income levels), their community level of a primary cash-economy, or the individual’s monetary income. Protections afforded by Alaska subsistence law AS 16.05.258(b)(4) for those who rely on long-time subsistence dependency for human food sustenance as well as customs and traditions, will be upheld and allowed to continue for future generations of all Alaskans.

This will help unify all the people of the State of Alaska, will stop the growing racial-rural-urban divide of subsistence use, will uphold the Alaska Constitution Article VIII Section 3 “common use “ equal rights for all defined as subsistence as highest priority, then sport, then commercial use of fish & wildlife resources. Also will comply with judicial (McDowell), statutory (Alaska subsistence law AS 16.05.258), the Public Trust Doctrine, and constitutional mandates (Article VIII Section 3 “common use” equal rights).

Unifying all the Alaska people by equal subsistence rights under the Alaska Constitution Article VIII Section 3 “common use,” upholding the statutes Alaska subsistence law AS 16.05.258(b), and in conjunction with judicial case precedents, will strengthen Alaska’s sovereign statehood rights to manage its fish & wildlife resources for the benefit of all the people of the state of Alaska, and will strengthen and protect our statehood sovereign rights against continued federal demands to take over management of state resources for a select racial rural few. This will also counter recent claims from racial groups that allege the ADF&G and the Board of Game have failed to protect subsistence and who now demand they as a private party have “exclusive management authority” over the entire migration route of the Nelchina caribou, and over all state, private, public, and federal fish, wildlife, and water resources that encompass their traditional hunting territory (see proposed “Alaska Natives [Ahtna] Co-Management Demonstration Act of 2014,” proposed by Ahtna and introduced by Congressman Don Young to U.S. Congress, March 2014.).

Who will be disappointed? Racial groups that oppose equal subsistence use for all Alaskans, and those who seek an unconstitutional racial rural preference and priorities based on dubious claims of necessary racial priority preference as essential to protect their racial customs & traditions, and those that continue to seek federal management to replace sovereign statehood management of state fish & wildlife resources (See, e.g., Katie John II).

The ADF&G and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Bureau of Land Management already require hunter reports for harvest success, thus the existing data can be easily used to verify past subsistence user harvests.

PROPOSED BY: Kenneth Manning (EG-C14-228)

***************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 89 - 5 AAC 99.070. Tier II subsistence hunting permit points system Change the eligibility criteria for all Tier II subsistence hunts in Unit 13 as follows:

Where Tier II level subsistence hunting permits are required, for all big game species, Tier II eligibility be based on individual verifiable data from each resident hunter applicant for each big
game species applied for including: (1) years hunted, received, and eaten the big game species for human food; (2) the total amount of subsistence resources acquired over each year for up to the last sixty years, including big game, small game, waterfowl, freshwater fish, saltwater fish, and trapping resources harvested; and (3) the number of family members and friends that shared your annual subsistence harvest over the last year three years.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Change the eligibility criteria for all Tier II level subsistence hunts, to use only individual past dependency on subsistence resources, eliminate consideration of eligibility criteria on location of residency, racial customs and traditions, community socio-economics, and individual income.

Repeal the Board of Game "ANS experiment" that pre-classifies residents without individual subsistence harvest criteria data, that eliminates thousands as "not real subsistence users" just to reduce ANS and get out of Tier-II level subsistence hunt. Pre-classification of who is or is not a "real subsistence user" based on racial customs and traditions, without any questions or data from the individual concerning subsistence use, violates statutory and constitutional equal protections. (See Judge Bauman's decision in Manning v. State ADFG, Ahtna, 3KN-09-178CI July 2010).

Eliminate the present unconstitutional "rural preference" eligibility criteria of community costs of fuel, community cost of groceries, and number of days spent hunting and fishing in the area of the hunt applied for, and use the total amount of hunting and fishing from anywhere in the state. This will eliminate the unconstitutional "rural preference" priority.

Who will benefit? All individual subsistence users with the most reliance on subsistence will benefit, no matter what their racial customs and traditions, location of residency, community socio-economics, or individual income. All individual Alaskans who rely on subsistence will have equal protection and priority qualification over those that do not depend on subsistence resources as a mainstay of livelihood from their subsistence harvest abilities, and all without consideration of and regardless of their location of residency in the state, their racial customs & traditions, their proximity of domicile to the subsistence resource, their community socio-economics (costs of gas & groceries, income levels), their community level as a primary cash-economy, or the individual’s monetary income. Protections afforded by Alaska subsistence law AS 16.05.258(b)(4) [Tier-II level hunt] for those individuals who rely on long-time subsistence dependency for human food sustenance as well as customs and traditions, will be upheld and allowed to continue for future generations of all Alaskans.

This will help unify all the people of the State of Alaska, will stop the growing racial-rural-urban divide of subsistence use rights, will uphold the Alaska Constitution Article VIII Section 3 “common use “ equal rights for all - defined as subsistence as highest priority - then sport - then commercial use of fish & wildlife resources. And will comply with judicial holdings (McDowell v. State), statutory requirements (Alaska Subsistence Law AS 16.05.258(b)(4) [Tier-II level hunt]), the Public Trust Doctrine, and constitutional mandates ( Article VIII Section 3 “common use” equal rights)..
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Unifying all the Alaska people by equal Tier-II level subsistence based on individual subsistence dependency, upholding equal rights under the Alaska Constitution Article VIII Section 3 “common use,” upholding the statutes Alaska subsistence law AS 16.05.258(b), and in conjunction with judicial case precedents, will strengthen Alaska’s sovereign statehood rights to manage its fish & wildlife resources for the benefit of all the people of the state of Alaska, and will strengthen and protect our statehood sovereign rights against continued federal demands to take over management of state subsistence resources for the benefit of a select racial rural few. This will also counter recent claims from racial groups that allege the ADF&G and Board of Game have failed to protect subsistence and who now unlawfully demand they as a private party have “exclusive management authority” over the entire migration route of the Nelchina caribou, and over all state, private, public, and federal lands for fish, wildlife, and water resources that encompass their alleged traditional hunting territory (see proposed “Alaska Natives [Ahtna] Co-Management Demonstration Act of 2014,” proposed by Ahtna and introduced by Congressman Don Young to U.S. Congress, March 2014.).

Who will be disappointed? Racial and rural groups that oppose equal "common use" subsistence rights for all Alaskans; those who seek an unconstitutional racial and/or rural preference priority for subsistence use of limited state subsistence resources, and those that continue to seek federal management to replace sovereign statehood management of state fish & wildlife resources (See, e.g., Katie John II).

The ADF&G and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Bureau of Land Management already require hunter reports for harvest success for fish and wildlife, big game and small game, thus the existing data can be easily used to verify individual past subsistence user harvests.

PROPOSED BY: Kenneth Manning

PROPOSAL 90 - 5 AAC 85.040. Hunting seasons and bag limits for goat. Change the Unit 13D goat drawing hunt (DG720) to registration hunt as follows:

Propose to have goat drawing hunt DG720 made into registration hunt.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? There has been very low participation and success in goat drawing hunt DG720 within Unit 13D, due to very limited and remote access.

PROPOSED BY: Clint Mayeur

PROPOSAL 91 - 5 AAC 85.040. Hunting seasons and bag limits for goat. Add all of Unit 13D to mountain goat registration hunt RG580 as follows:

Unit 11
September 1-November 30; September 1-November 30 (General hunt only)
1 goat by registration permit only; the taking of nannies with kids is prohibited
(6) Unit 13(D)

[THAT PORTION SOUTH OF THE TIEKEL RIVER AND EAST OF A LINE BEGINNING AT THE CONFLUENCE OF THE TIEKEL AND TSINA RIVERS 1 GOAT BY REGISTRATION]

September 1-November 30; September 1-November 30 (General hunt only)

**1 goat by registration permit only; the taking of nannies with kids is prohibited**

[OF NANNIES WITH KIDS IS PROHIBITED

REMAINDER OF UNIT 13(D) AUG. 10 - SEPT. 20 (GENERAL HUNT ONLY) 1 GOAT BY DRAWING PERMIT ONLY, UP TO 50 PERMITS WILL BE ISSUED; THE TAKING OF NANNIES WITH KIDS IS PROHIBITED]

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?**

Unit 13D has traditionally been managed under draw tag (DG719) although there is a healthy population. This area is very difficult to access and mostly tough fly-in country. The current drawing was necessary when sheep hunting was allowed under a general hunt. Now sheep hunting is on a drawing permit system and the goat drawing is no longer necessary.

At the 2007 spring board meeting proposal 95 was passed to add an area in southeastern Unit 13D to the RG580 goat hunt. The new area added in 2007 has proven to be mildly popular and has produced one-three goats annually.

Goat hunting has been managed successfully on a registration permit system in neighboring parts of Unit 14C for years. Unit 14C is much closer to population centers and has easier access than Unit 13D. With growing goat populations in Unit 8 with a two goat limit, and stable populations in most other areas, goat hunting opportunities are at an all-time high in other areas of Alaska. This means the demand for goats in these tough areas is lower.

Other solutions considered? Status quo; the worst option, the resource will continue to be untapped. Consider a quota with a five-day reporting period, an emergency closure could be made if the quota is met. Consider breaking the area into several registration units.

**PROPOSED BY:** Aaron Bloomquist

*****************************************************************************

**PROPOSAL 92 - 5 AAC 85.040. Hunting seasons and bag limits for goat.** Lengthen the goat permit season in Unit 13D to align with the registration hunt as follows:

The season dates for the DG720 goat hunt could be changed to August 10–November 30 or August 10–October 31.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** I would like to see the DG720 mountain goat season in Unit 13D to coincide with the Unit 13D mountain goat registration hunt RG580. Portions of the hunt area between DG720 and RG580 are separated by small drainages, and the RG580 hunt remains open until November 30 while the DG720 hunt
closes September 20. With only 35 DG720 permits awarded for all of Unit 13D what would be the harm in having a little longer season? Most of the other drawing goat hunts in the state stay open between mid-October through December. The DG720 hunt area is very large and in the last three years of data since this hunt area was established by combining DG718 and DG719 these are the statistics: In 2011 there were 14 hunters that hunted (25 permits awarded) that harvested eight male goats for 57% success, and in 2012 there were 15 hunters that hunted (35 permits awarded) that harvested two male goats, and one female goat for 20% success, and in 2013 there were 22 hunters that hunted (35 permits awarded) that harvested nine male goats and one female goat for 45% success rate. I have considered keeping the season the same, but what harm would come from a longer season? For the last two years they have awarded ten more permits than in previous years so overall population should be stable if more permits are being awarded. If nothing is done and the season dates remain the same it is just giving hunters less opportunity to hunt.

PROPOSED BY: Randy Anderson

PROPOSAL 93 - 5 AAC 92.044. Permit for hunting black bear with the use of bait or scent lures. Allow brown bears to be taken over bait in all of Units 11 and 13 as follows:

5 AAC 92.044 (a) In addition to any condition that ADF&G may require under 5 AAC 92.052, a permit issued under this section is subject to the following provisions:
(1) a person may establish a black bear bait station, or a black and brown bear bait station in Units 7, 11, 12, 13[(D)], 15, 16, 20(C), 20(E), and 21(D), only if that person obtains a permit under this section;

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? There are two issues: 1) There has been an administrative interpretation by ADF&G that has eliminated Unit 13 as an area that a guide may apply for as an extra guide use area. This will drastically reduce the take of brown bears in Unit 13. ADF&G staff in Glennallen have closely studied this high harvest rate and deemed it to be sustainable. Allowing the use of bait will replace some of the take eliminated by this interpretation.

2) Unit 11 is quite remote and gets very little pressure from brown bear hunters. Well over 90% of the unit is federal lands that will likely be off limits to baiting under this regulation. This will give a small opportunity, mostly on native lands along the Copper River to take brown bears that are otherwise very difficult to hunt due to dense forest. Most of the area surrounding Unit 11 is already open to brown bear baiting (Units 12 and 13D).

Brown bear take will decline in Unit 13. Nothing will happen in Unit 11, it will be the status quo.

PROPOSED BY: Aaron Bloomquist
PROPOSAL 94 - 5 AAC 85.015. Hunting seasons and bag limits for black bear, and 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear. Open a fall season for hunting brown and black bear over bait in Unit 13D as follows:

Brown and black bear may be taken over bait in Unit 13D from August 25 to October 15.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? We would like to see a fall season for hunting brown and black bear over bait in Unit 13D. There is an overabundance of both brown and black bears in this area, and they have been known to take up to 70% of moose calves in other areas (No study has been done in this area). This area is heavily timbered with difficult access and this would be a good tool to get an increase in the harvest of brown and black bears.

PROPOSED BY: Mat-Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-213)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 95 - 5 AAC 85.065. Hunting seasons and bag limits for small game. Reduce the bag limit for ptarmigan, shorten the season, and add a no-hunt corridor along the roads in Unit 13B as follows:

Unit 13B: five ptarmigan per day, 10 in possession [10 per day, 20 in possession] August 20-March 31; except that from January 1-March 31 there will be a five mile (2.5 miles either side of the road) no-hunting corridor along both the Denali and Richardson Highways.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The ptarmigan season in Unit 13B. The present season does not address nor adequately protect the ptarmigan populations in our subunit, especially along the roadside. Ptarmigan densities off of the Denali and Richardson highways are healthy, while those along the road are not. The August 10 opening date does not protect juvenile birds. The early closure (November 30) does not allow for late season hunting which is more likely to target populations farther from the road system. The present bag limit (ten per day, 20 in possession) is too liberal if we couple it with extended season dates. Our regulation is more complicated than most bird regulations, but we are addressing harvest in one of the most heavily hunted areas of the state. If we are to continue to offer hunting opportunities for as many hunters as possible without negatively impacting our bird populations, then the hunting public must, by necessity, become more educated.

PROPOSED BY: Paxson Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-201)
******************************************************************************
**Palmer Area – Units 14A, 14B, & 16**

**PROPOSAL 96 - 5 AAC 84.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.** Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunts in Units 14A and 14B as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unit 14(A)</strong></td>
<td>1 moose per regulatory year, only as follows:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 1000 antlerless moose permits may be issued</td>
<td>Aug. 20-Sept. 25 (General hunt only)</td>
<td>No open season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter season to be announced (General hunt only)</td>
<td>No open season</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unit 14(B)**

1 moose per regulatory year, only as follows:

1 moose by targeted permit only; and by shotgun or archery only; up to 200 permits may be issued

Winter season to be announced (General hunt only)

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Antlerless moose hunts must be reauthorized annually by the Board. There are two types of antlerless moose hunts in the Mat-Su Valley, a drawing permit hunt used to regulate growth of the moose population in Unit 14A and targeted hunts used to mitigate public safety concerns in Units 14A and 14B.
Moose surveys conducted in November 2013 resulted in an estimate of 8,500 moose in Unit 14A with a bull ratio of 21 bulls:100 cows and a calf ratio of 45 calves:100 cows. This estimate was greater than the post-hunt objective of 6,000 – 6,500 moose and is an increase from the results of the 2011 survey that produced an estimate of 8,000 moose.

Based on current projections, the Unit 14A moose population is expected to grow and continue to exceed population objectives. If the density of moose is allowed to increase, we anticipate an increase in the number of moose-human conflicts, and moose may experience nutritional stress as the population nears carrying capacity. Cow harvests are warranted to control the moose population’s growth and recommended as a way to provide additional moose hunting opportunity in the Mat-Su Valley.

The targeted moose hunts in Units 14A and 14B are an effective tool to address public safety concerns related to moose-vehicle collision and nuisance management issues. Moose-vehicle collisions result in property damage and may result in human injury or death. An average of 266 moose per year were killed in the Mat-Su Valley area during the last few years of average snowfall and substantially more were killed during higher snowfall years. ADF&G also receives periodic complaints from the public about crop depredation and aggressive behavior that can be mitigated by this hunt structure.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game  
(EG-C14-338)

PROPOSAL 97 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Establish a resident, late-season archery hunt for moose in Units 14A and 14B as follows:

For Units 14A and 14B, one bull with spike-fork antlers or 50-inch antlers or antlers with three or more brow tines on at least one side, by bow and arrow only, residents with a regular harvest ticket.

Season date would start five days after the firearm season closed and will last ten days. Example: if the firearm season closes September 25, October 1-10 for a late season archery moose hunt.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? A "late" season archery moose hunt in Unit 14 for residents only. This late season hunt would begin five days after the firearm season closes, and would be for bulls only with the same antler requirements as firearm season, and would last for ten days.

This late season archery-only moose hunt would benefit resident bowhunters, who will need to complete an International Bowhunter Education Program course, including demonstrating shooting proficiency to utilize their skills outside the firearms season.

A late season hunt benefits these resident hunters because the weather conditions are cooler and drier, which is conducive to better meat care and less potential for spoilage. There will be less foliage which will allow for greater shot accuracy and will also aid in the locating and recovery of downed animals.
PROPOSAL 98 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Open a late-season archery-only hunt for moose in Unit 16 as follows:

For both Units 16A and 16B, one bull with spike-fork antlers or 50-inch antlers or antlers with three or more brown tines as at least one side, by bow and arrow only, residents and nonresidents with a regular harvest ticket.

Season date would start five days after the firearm season closed and will last ten days. Using the example from the 2013 moose season for Unit 16, the dates would be October 1-10 for a late season archery moose hunt. In 2013, the moose season was from August 20-September 25.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? A late season archery only moose hunt in Unit 16 for both residents and nonresidents. The late season archery only moose hunt would occur five days after the firearm season closed and would be for bulls with the same requirements as the firearm season, and would last for ten days.

A late season archery-only moose season would benefit bowhunters, who by July 2016 will need to have completed an International Bowhunter Education Program and have their certification in their possession to hunt big game in Alaska with a bow and arrow, demonstrate their ethics and utilize their skills outside of the firearm season. Additionally, the moose population in Unit 16 has rebounded significantly since the inception of the predator control permit program for black bear.

A late season archery-only moose hunt would benefit both moose, and bowhunters, because the weather would be cooler and drier and there would be fewer insects, and therefore less potential for meat spoilage. There would be less vegetation (foliage) and less ground cover which would aid in the recovery of downed game. Bowhunters need to be much closer to the game than hunters using a firearm, and the reduced foliage has the potential to allow for greater accuracy using bow and arrow.

PROPOSAL 99 - 5 AAC 84.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Reauthorize the antlerless moose hunt on Kalglin Island in Unit 16B as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident</th>
<th>Nonresident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</td>
<td>Open Season</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unit 16(B), Kalgin Island
1 moose per regulatory year, Aug. 20 - Sept. 20 by registration permit only

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Antlerless moose hunts must be reauthorized annually. The population objective for this predator-free, 23-mi² island is 20-40 moose, a density of 1-1.75 moose/mi². During a December 2012 survey, ADF&G staff counted 104 moose, approximately 4.5 moose/mi².

Because of concerns of over-population and deteriorating habitat conditions, a drawing permit hunt for cows was initiated in 1995. In an attempt to reduce the population quickly, the board established a registration hunt for any moose for the fall 1999 season. The population of moose on Kalgin Island is high at this time and remains above the objective of 20-40.

The "any moose" registration hunt is recommended to provide additional mortality on this predator-free island population. A registration hunt also allows ADF&G to continue gathering biological information from specimens provided by successful hunters. The difficult hunting conditions and limited access will minimize the danger of over-harvest.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game

PROPOSAL 100 - 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear. Allow brown bear to be taken over bait in Unit 14B as follows:

I recommend allowing grizzlies to be taken over bait one every regulatory year. All salvage requirements as black bear are now for this unit.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? I would like the board to address the number of grizzly bears in Unit 14B. I think the numbers are very high and it would benefit moose population if we lowered the numbers

PROPOSED BY: Austin Bulawa

PROPOSAL 101 - 5AAC 92.108 Identified big game prey populations and objectives and 92.122. Intensive Management Plan VI. Review and modify the Unit 16 predation control plan as follows:

5AAC 92.108. Identified big game prey populations and objectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Finding</th>
<th>Population Objective</th>
<th>Harvest Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

...
Moose
...

GMU 16(B) Positive 6,500 – 7,000 310 – 600 (mainland)
...

5 AAC 92.122. Intensive Management Plan VI. (a) is entirely deleted and replaced by the following.
...
(a) Plan established. The intensive management plan for the Unit 16 Predation Control Area is established in this section.

(b) Unit 16 Predation Control Area: the Unit 16 Predation Control Area is established, which is focused primarily on mainland Unit 16(B), and consists of all lands within the mainland portion of Unit 16(B) and that portion of Unit 16(A) west of a line beginning at the confluence of the Yentna and Susitna Rivers, then northerly along the western bank of the Susitna River to the confluence with the Deshka River, then northerly to 61° 48.80' N. lat., 150° 21.77' W. long., then west to 62° 01.47' N. lat., 150° 24.06' W. long., then north to the northern end of Trapper Lake at 62° 01.47' N. lat., 150° 16.67' W. long., then west to 62° 01.47' N. lat., 150° 24.06' W. long., then north to 62° 09.65' N. lat., 150° 24.06' W. long., then west to the southwestern end of Amber Lake at 62° 09.65' N. lat., 150° 33.42' W. long., then north to 62° 18.03' N. lat., 150° 33.42' W. long., then west to 62° 27.97' N. lat., 150° 51.04' W. long., then west to the Denali National Park boundary at 62° 27.97' N. lat., 151° 09.22' W. long., encompassing approximately 11,105 square miles; notwithstanding any other provision in this title, and based on the following information contained in this section, the commissioner or the commissioner's designee may conduct a wolf and black bear and brown bear population reduction or wolf and black bear and brown bear population regulation program in the Unit 16 Predation Control Area.

(1) This is a continuing control program that was first authorized by the board in 2004 for wolf control and was modified by the board to authorize black bear control in 2007 and brown bear control in 2011; it is currently designed to increase moose numbers and harvest by reducing predation on moose and is expected to make a contribution to achieving the intensive management (IM) objectives in Unit 16.

(2) Moose, wolf, black bear and brown bear objectives are as follows:

(A) Moose IM objectives established by the board for Unit 16(B) are 6,500 – 7,500 moose with a sustainable annual harvest of 310 – 600 moose; This population is composed of subpopulations that reside within in the unit; however, a subpopulation from the flanks of Mount Yenlo and in the upper Lake Creek drainage mixes in winter with moose from Unit 16(A) in the Kahiltna River drainage, and a subpopulation from the flanks of Mount Susitna and the
drainages of Alexander Creek and lower Yentna River winters with moose from Units 14(A), 14(B), and 16(A) in the lower Yentna and Susitna Rivers;

(B) the wolf control objective is to reduce the population to between 22 and 45 wolves in mainland portion of Unit 16(B);

(C) the black bear control objective is to reduce the population to 600 black bears in the mainland portion of Unit 16(B);

(D) the brown bear control objective is to reduce the population to a minimum of 250 brown bears in the mainland portion of Unit 16(B);

(3) Board findings concerning populations and humans use are as follows:

(A) the population objectives for moose in mainland Unit 16(B) are not being met, largely due to high predator numbers and the inability of the moose population to recover given the high predation rates;

(B) predation by wolves and bears is an important cause of the failure to achieve population and harvest objectives;

(C) a reduction in wolf and bear predation in the mainland portion of Unit 16(B) and portions of Unit 16(A) can reasonably be expected to make progress towards achieving the Unit 16(B) intensive management objectives for moose;

(D) reducing predation is likely to be effective and feasible using recognized and prudent active management techniques and based on scientific information;

(E) reducing predation is likely to be effective given land ownership patterns;

(4) Authorized methods and means are as follows:

(A) hunting and trapping of wolves by the public in the Unit 16 Predation Control Area during the term of the program will occur as provided in the hunting and trapping regulations set out elsewhere in this title, including use of motorized vehicles;

(B) not withstanding any other provisions in this title, the commissioner may issue public aerial shooting permits or public land and shoot permits as a method of wolf removal under AS 16.05.783;

(C) hunting of black and brown bears by the public in the Unit 16 Predation Control Area during the term of the program will occur as provided in the hunting regulations set out elsewhere in this title;
the commissioner may issue public bear control permits to reduce the black bear and brown bear populations within the Unit 16 Predation Control Area by the following methods and means:

(i) legal animal is any black bear, including sows and cubs, and any brown bear, except sows with cubs of the year, and cubs of the year;

(ii) no bag limit;

(iii) same-day-airborne taking of black bears if the permittee is at least 300 feet from the airplane;

(iv) same-day-airborne taking of bears if the permittee is at least 300 feet from the aircraft, including the use of any type of aircraft, such as fixed-wing aircraft or helicopter, to access bear baiting stations from April 15 through October 15, except that helicopters may not be used from August 5 through September 25;

(v) April 15 through October 15 baiting season for bears; up to four bear bait stations per permittee;

(vi) same-day-airborne taking of bears if the permittee is at least 300 feet from the aircraft, including the use of any type of aircraft, such as fixed-wing aircraft and helicopter, from April 15 through October 15, except that a helicopter may not be used from August 5 through September 25; a helicopter may be used only to transport resident permittees, gear, and harvested bears and parts of bears directly to and from a foot-snaring camp; up to 10 helicopter permits may be issued to helicopter pilots; helicopter permits may be issued at the discretion of the department and a permittee must attend a department-approved orientation course;

(vii) taking of bears by foot snaring by permit only from April 15 through October 15; permittees must be accompanied by another person, age 16 or older, when conducting foot snaring activities in the field; foot snaring permits will be issued at the discretion of the department based on previous trapping experience, ability to help train other participants, and length of time available for participation in a snaring program; a selected foot snaring permittee must successfully complete a department-approved training program, must be a resident 16 years of age or older, and report all animals taken by the permittee to the department within 48 hours of taking;

(viii) foot snares may only be placed on the ground directly under the bucket snare, or in buckets, and must be checked by the permittee at least once each day;

(ix) all brown bears that are cubs of the year or a sow accompanied by cubs of the year incidentally snared must be immediately reported to the department; if
practicable, an incidentally snared brown bear will be released by department staff; hides and skulls of incidentally snared brown bears are the property of the state and must be salvaged and delivered to the department;

(5) Time frame is as follows:

(A) through July 1, 2021, the commissioner may authorize the removal of wolves, black bears and brown bears in the Unit 16 Predation Control Area;

(B) annually, the department shall, to the extent practicable, provide to the board at the a report of program activities conducted during the preceding 12 months, including implementation activities, the status of moose, wolf, and bear populations, and recommendations for changes, if necessary, to achieve the objectives of the plan;

(6) The commissioner will review, modify or suspend program activities as follows:

(A) when the mid-point of intensive management objectives for the moose population are achieved;

(B) when wolf population surveys or accumulated information from permittees indicate the need to avoid reducing wolf numbers below the midpoint of the intensive management objective of 22 – 45 wolves specified in this subsection;

(C) when black bear population inventories or accumulated information from permittees indicate the need to avoid reducing black bear numbers below the management objective of 600 black bears specified in this subsection;

(D) when brown bear population inventories or accumulated information from permittees indicate the need to avoid reducing brown bear numbers below the management objective of 250 brown bears specified in this subsection;

(E) if after 3 years if the harvest of predators is not sufficient to make progress towards the intensive management population objectives for wolves, black bears or brown bears; the program may be temporarily suspended for one or more of the predator species;

(F) predator control activities may be terminated:

(i) if after 3 years, fall calf-to-cow ratios show no appreciable increase;

(ii) if after 3 years, there is no detectable increase in the total number of moose in the control area;

(iii) when the moose population and harvest objectives within the Unit 16(B) predator control area have been met.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? To comply with protocol for intensive management plans, ADF&G is introducing new regulatory language for the intensive management plan for the Unit 16B predator control area and will present an operational plan to guide the implementation of the program during the February 2015 Board of Game meeting. These documents incorporate guidance the board gave to ADF&G after the board reviewed the intensive management plan for the Unit 16B predator control area during the February 2013 Board of Game meeting. This proposal also allows the board to modify the programs objectives and give further guidance to ADF&G if warranted.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-343)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 102 - 5 AAC 85.015. Hunting seasons and bag limits for black bear, and 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear. Establish a fall season for hunting black and brown bear over bait in Unit 16 as follows:

Brown and black bear may be taken over bait by residents and nonresidents in all of Unit 16 except for Denali State Park, August 25- October 15.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? We would like to see a fall season for hunting brown and black bear over bait in all of Unit 16, except for Denali State Park. There is an overabundance of both brown and black bears in this area that are taking up to 70% of moose calves. This area is heavily timbered with difficult access and this would be a good way to get an increase in the harvest of brown and black bears.

PROPOSED BY: Mat-Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-215)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 103 - 5 AAC 92.530. Management areas. Establish the Hatcher’s Pass Youth Management Area in Unit 14A, for small game hunting as follows:

Hatcher’s Pass Youth Management Area:

(A) the area consists of that portion of Unit 14A within the upper Little Susitna River drainage upstream of mile 13.6 on Hatcher Pass Road (Gold Mint Trail parking area) and ¼ mile away from the road including Archangel and Fishhook creeks, and upper Little Susitna River drainages. Also, portions of the upper Willow Creek drainage upstream of the confluence of Willow and Craigie creeks, including Grubstake Gulch and Homestake creeks, Summit Lake, and Bullion and Skyscraper mountains. There is no discharge of firearms allowed within ¼ mile of the road.

(B) from August 10 to August 25, the area is closed to small game hunting except that small game may be taken by youth hunters 16 years old or younger accompanied by a licensed hunter 18 years old or older who has successfully completed a certified hunter education course if the
youth has not successfully completed a certified hunter education course. Youth hunters and accompanying adults must wear hunter orange vests while in the field.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? As the Matanuska-Susitna (Mat-Su) Valley has grown over the past 10-15 years so has the number of families and adults hoping to introduce young hunters to small game (grouse, ptarmigan, and hare) hunting. However, the dramatic increase in human density there has increased hunting pressure placed on local small game populations, specifically grouse and ptarmigan. As a result, overall abundance of grouse and ptarmigan populations has declined within the road accessible portions of the Mat-Su Valley. Youth hunters and those that are accompanying them continue to face increased competition for this declining resource. A youth hunting location in the heavily populated Mat-Su Valley would provide youth hunters and those that accompany them an easily accessible opportunity to hunt small game before the school year begins, while the weather is relatively warm, and populations of grouse and ptarmigan are accessible.

PROPOSED BY: Mat-Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-244)

PROPOSAL 104 - 5 AAC 85.060. Hunting seasons and bag limits for fur animals. Open a general hunt with no closed season and no bag limit for beaver in Unit 16B as follows:

Open beaver for a general hunting season in Unit 16B. Under the fur animals section for beaver of the hunting regulations, move the Unit 16B beaver to the section with the other units that have beaver open for no limit and no closed season.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? To open beaver for a general hunting season in Unit 16B. The beaver numbers are very overpopulated for the area. Every pond has at least one beaver lodge and several lakes have four or more lodges. Each lodge could have as many as 12 beaver living there. The beavers are starting to dam the salmon streams and flood out habitat vital to the other species living there. Very few, if any, beavers are harvested by trappers in Unit 16B during the trapping season, it's simply too difficult to have an impact when there's three to four feet of ice. A hunting season will slowly bring the beaver numbers back to a healthy population. If beaver numbers are not lowered then more habitat will be destroyed, salmon will be impacted on their travels upstream, private property in the unit will be damaged, and there is always a greater risk of disease spreading within and outside of Unit 16B; diseases such as Giardiasis, Hepatitis A, Salmonella, Campylobacter and Cryptosporidium which can all be caused by beaver.

PROPOSED BY: Steven Perrins II (EG-C14-265)
PROPOSAL 105 - 5 AAC 95.505. Palmer Hay Flats State Game Refuge. Expand the Palmer Hay Flats State Game Refuge as follows:

AS 16.05.255(a)(1) states that the Board of Game may "set apart" game reserves and refuges subject to legislative approval. the Board of Fisheries has a similar authority under AS 16.05.251(a)(1). I propose the following action:

The Board(s) of Game (and Fisheries) hereby sets aside lands described below, acquired and transferred to the State for addition to Palmer Hay Flats State Game Refuge, for enhancement of fish and wildlife habitat and related public uses of the refuge.

Additions to include the formerly named M'Karzel, Williams, Fritzler, Cassity, and Cope parcels, and any other similarly situated acquisitions in state ownership.

In addition, the board(s) requests the Alaska Legislature to approve this action by amending AS 16.20.032 to include the additions.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Since 1990, ADF&G has collaborated with public and private partners to acquire inholdings and parcels adjacent to Palmer Hay Flats State Game Refuge from willing sellers to consolidate and add land to the refuge for fish and wildlife habitat, hunting and fishing, secure public access and facilitate outdoor education and other compatible uses. Five primary parcels, comprising over 1,360 acres have been purchased for over $310,000 of public and private funds and transferred to the Alaska Department of Natural Resources with conservation easements for incorporation into Palmer Hay Flats State Game Refuge. Over the past 20 years, no legislative action has affirmatively added these parcels to the refuge, either because ADF&G and past administrations have not been successful in moving a bill or because no sponsors have acted.

It is important, if only for completing valuable good faith conservation actions, to request addition of these parcels to the refuge so ADF&G can manage these lands consistent with refuge goals and management plans and address current issues.

PROPOSED BY: Thomas Rothe (EG-C14-301)
**PROPOSAL 106 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep.** Change the Dall sheep hunts in Units 13D and 14A to full curl rams with limited permits as follows:

All Unit 13D and Unit 14A sheep drawing permit hunts should be conducted under the current full curl regulations. All sheep hunts in Units 13D and Unit 14A should be managed for a high quality hunting experience with a goal of not harvesting more than 50% of the known full curl rams.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** At present draw sheep hunts in part of Unit 13D (DS160 and DS260) and all of Unit 14A are any ram hunts. This regulation has been in place since 2008. To date that is six full seasons. The thinking at the time when these regulations were adopted was there would be fewer permits issued and less hunting pressure on the old mature rams and that would lead to a population with more mature (full curl) rams in it. Neither of these areas has increased the number of mature rams by much, if any. There is a high number of permits being issued in Unit 14A with a corresponding high number of sub-full curl rams harvested. You can't get more mature rams with this large harvest of young rams. Continued harvest of young rams before breeding age will mean their genetics are not reaching the gene pool. These sheep populations have declined significantly in the last ten years and we need to manage them conservatively. These hunts should be changed to the harvest of full curl with a limited number of permits issued so that no more than 50% of the known number of full curl rams are harvested in any given year. Both Unit 13D and Unit 14A should be managed as trophy areas (that is what a full curl is) with a high quality hunting experience as the goal.

**PROPOSED BY:** Dan Montgomery (EG-C14-306)

---

**PROPOSAL 107- 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep.** Change the bag limit for Dall sheep in Units 13D and 14A to full curl as follows:

Change the current language to read: A legal ram is a full curl or larger, or a ram with the tips of both horns broken, or a ram at least 8 years old.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Change the current any ram bag limit for Units 13D and 14A, for draw hunts DS160, DS170, DS175, DS180, DS185, DS190, DS195, DS260, DS270, DS275, DS280, DS285, DS290 and DS295. This would align the legal horn size with all the other areas of the state. Our advisory committee is unanimously opposed to the taking of sub-legal rams.

**PROPOSED BY:** Anchorage Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-319)
PROPOSAL 108 - 5AAC 92.057. Special provisions for Dall sheep and mountain goat drawing permit hunts, and 92.069. Special provisions for moose drawing permit hunts. Reinstate language regarding proof of guide-client contract for Dall sheep, mountain goat and moose drawing hunts as follows:

For the Central/Southwest Region, reinstate the language in 5AAC 92.057 (regarding sheep and mountain goat drawing permit hunts) and in 5AAC 92.069 (regarding specific nonresident moose drawing permit hunts) as it exists now prior to changes that will take effect July 1, 2015.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? In 2014 the Board of Game passed Proposal 146, to eliminate the requirement for a guide-client contract and proof of guide use area registration at the time of application for drawing permit hunts, and amended it to be effective July 1, 2015. The board stated that its intent was not to eliminate the guide-client contract, and there was some confusion as to what this action would actually do. In reality it eliminated the need for the contract as these sections were the only ones mentioned in the regulations of the guide-client contract. I think this issue needs to be reconsidered and there is work currently being done by the ADF&G, the Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development and the Big Game Commercial Services Board to maintain the requirement for the guide-client contract for these draw areas and place the responsibility on the guides and the Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development to be sure the guides are compliant.

PROPOSED BY: Daniel Montgomery (EG-C14-212)
*****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 109 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Allow a maximum of 10% of sheep hunt participation for nonresidents in the Central/Southwest Region as follows:

Sheep proposal for all of the Central/Southwest Region:

A maximum of 10% (10% is not guaranteed) nonresident participation in all sheep hunts for all of the Central/Southwest Region. This includes guided general and permit hunts and next of kin hunts.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This proposal addresses overcrowding, lack of opportunity, a stressed legal ram population and a diminished hunting experience for Alaska residents. All of these issues can be addressed by reducing the number of hunters in the field and that must start by reducing nonresident participation.

Alaska residents have an approximately 23% success rate. Nonresidents harvest over 40% of the sheep taken in Alaska, which is an allocation that no other state would tolerate. Most states allocate a maximum of 10% of the tags to nonresidents, which is less than 10% of the harvested resource.

Much of the sheep hunting in the Central/Southwest Region is regulated by permit hunts and nonresident participation should be reduced to a maximum of 10% (10% is not guaranteed,
whether guided or next of kin). The 10% number for nonresident permits should be calculated
from the entire region and not micromanaged with each subunit. General hunts should have a
maximum of 10% nonresident participation, which would be taken from a five-year average of
nonresident license applications.

The only other solution considered should be the elimination of nonresident sheep tags until the
Board of Game addresses this issue on a statewide basis and creates a better experience for
Alaskans.

**PROPOSED BY:** Tom Lamal (EG-C14-260)

**PROPOSAL 110 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep.** Limit
sheep harvest by nonresidents to 10% of total harvest in Units 11, 13, 14, and 16 as follows:

Nonresident harvest of legal sheep, whether by draw or general harvest tag, will only be 10% of
the total harvest. (Empirical data exists to determine the limitation of both draw hunts and
general harvest hunts to determine the actual number of tags that should be allowed to ensure
that total harvest by nonresidents does not exceed 10%.)

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March
2015.)

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** The Board of Game needs
to address the lack of full curl legal rams available to Alaska residents and the disparity of
success between resident and nonresident hunters. While sheep populations have been stable to
slightly declining, the availability of legal rams, much less trophy rams, has been significantly
reduced and is in serious decline. 40% of legal rams harvested are by nonresidents. While
residents may harvest the other 60%, less than one in four resident hunters are actually
successful. Understand that fact--over 75% of resident hunters are unsuccessful every year.
Nonresident hunters are significantly more successful than resident hunters because of their
guides who can spend tens of thousands of dollars locating rams in the offseason by fixed wing
aircraft. Most residents can't afford a plane to locate rams, much less the fuel. The current
structure has successful sheep hunting becoming a rich man's sport. That's not right and by not
limiting nonresident tags (draw and general), the board has created a very sad state of affairs
with our sheep population, and especially our population of legal rams.

**PROPOSED BY:** Jake Sprankle (EG-C14-295)
(The Board of Game deferred this proposal from the 2014 Interior Region meeting. It was previously listed as proposal 44.)

**PROPOSAL 111 - 5 AAC 85.055.** Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep and 92.057. Special provisions for Dall sheep and mountain goat drawing permit hunts. Change the nonresident general sheep hunts to draw hunts for the Interior Region, and cap the number of permits based on sheep density as follows:

All nonresident sheep hunts in Region III (Interior Region), where we have general open season hunts for nonresidents (excluding subunits within U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and National Park Service (NPS) lands) become draw only, and the total number of permits is capped based on sheep density and population estimates and/or recent historical sheep harvest data for each subunit, to try to achieve a balance whereby nonresident guided sheep hunter harvest rates are lowered, more full curl rams are left on the mountain, and the conflicts afield greatly reduced.

(If the Board of Game (board) prefers, this regulation if passed could have a sunset clause added should the proposed Department of Natural Resources (DNR) guide concession program ever be implemented.)

We recognize that not all areas in Region III are experiencing the problems outlined in this proposal. However, if the board only works to “fix” the problem areas, that presents the real possibility that some guides will shift to areas still open to general season nonresident sheep hunting where the same type of problems will occur.

There are various ways the board could decide permit allocation levels. One way would be to look at the sheep harvest statistics for federal lands where the federal guide concession program is in place. It has been widely promoted that the proposed DNR guide concession program the board favors as a solution to these issues was supposed to mirror or be similar to the federal concession program. Just using the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge as an example, nonresident sheep harvest rates have tended to average between 25-30%. Below are the statistics from 2011 for subunits 26B and 26C within the Refuge:

**Interim Reports GS000 Sheep - Year 2011 Unit 26B, 26C**  
**Current File Statistics (110)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful</th>
<th>Unsuccessful</th>
<th>Did Hunt</th>
<th>Not Hunt</th>
<th>Total Hunters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>of all overlays</td>
<td>63.2%</td>
<td>of all overlays</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Res</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>of all overlays</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>of all overlays</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58.8%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nonresident guided hunters took 37 of 139 total sheep for a 27% harvest rate. Nonresident guided hunters comprised 15% of the total hunters.

**ISSUE:** Unlimited nonresident sheep hunting opportunities and unlimited guide numbers in parts of Region III.

In many parts of Region III (excluding USFWS and NPS lands) where we have open general season sheep hunts, there are no limits on the number of nonresident hunters or the guides they are required to hire to hunt sheep. Because nonresident guided hunters have such a higher success rate than resident hunters, this has led to concerns of localized diminished populations and future restrictions on resident general open season sheep hunting opportunities. Some areas are also experiencing crowding, conflicts between guides and resident hunters and conflicts between guides licensed for the same area.

Our primary concerns are sheep conservation and continued resident general season sheep hunting opportunities. We firmly believe that we can’t allow any areas to have nearly every full-curl ram harvested each season, which is what we fear may happen in some areas if we continue to allow unlimited guiding and nonresident sheep hunting opportunities. We also believe, just on a matter of fairness to Alaskan resident sheep hunters, that nonresident sheep harvest rates of 40% across much of Region III, and 50-80% in some subunits, is unacceptable.

For example, below are the 2011 statistics for two subunits (2012 data not yet available):

**Interim Reports Sheep - Year 2011 Unit 19C**
**Current File Statistics (110)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful</th>
<th>Unsuccessful</th>
<th>Did Hunt</th>
<th>Not Total Hunters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Pct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Res</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82.5%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82.5%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For subunit 19C, there were 80 confirmed nonresident guided hunters and 60 resident hunters who hunted Dall sheep in 2011. Guided nonresident hunters took 66 of 81 total sheep, resulting in 81% of the total overall harvest.

Interim Reports GS000 Sheep - Year 2011 Unit 20A
Current File Statistics (110)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful</th>
<th>Unsuccessful</th>
<th>Did Hunt</th>
<th>Not Hunt</th>
<th>Total Hunters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25.2% of all overlays</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>74.8% of all overlays</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Res</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>72.1% of all overlays</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27.9% of all overlays</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7% of all overlays</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83.3% of all overlays</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Overlay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100% of all tickets</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0% of all tickets</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Unit 20A, a subunit known to have the type of crowding and conflicts described in this proposal, in 2011 there were 86 confirmed nonresident guided sheep hunters and 159 resident hunters. Guided nonresident sheep hunters took 62 of 104 total sheep, resulting in 60% of the total harvest. (Note that even though resident sheep hunters were nearly double the nonresidents, guided nonresident hunters still took 60% of the sheep)

While we certainly support and respect the guiding profession and encourage nonresident hunting and want to share our wildlife resources with our nonresident hunting brethren, we believe there needs to be new limits applied to nonresident sheep hunting opportunity.

This proposal is similar to the one we put before the board in 2012, and at that time the board expressed great displeasure when the Department of Fish and Game presented data on the high nonresident sheep harvest rates in some subunits, like those above. The board is well aware of
these ongoing problems, but has put off acting on them using the rationale that the proposed DNR Guide Concession Program that would limit guides (and thus their nonresident clients) would be implemented on state and Bureau of Land Management lands. But as of this writing, the proposed DNR guide concession program has not been funded and has been declared “dead” by DNR sources. Even if it were to be revived and be implemented, the earliest implementation keeps getting pushed farther and farther ahead on the calendar.

With all due respect to the board, we feel strongly it is well past time to act now in ways that will better conserve our sheep populations, prevent the loss of resident general open season sheep hunting opportunities, and curb the ongoing conflicts that surround nonresident guided sheep hunting in much of Region III.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE? Continued localized diminished populations of full-curl rams that threaten population sustainability and resident general open season sheep hunting opportunities, continued user conflicts and crowding, and continued inequitable nonresident sheep harvest rates of 40% annually in much of Region III, and 50-80% in some subunits.

WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED? Yes. By limiting nonresident sheep hunting opportunities in much of Region III we thus limit the number of guides they must hire, thereby reducing total sheep harvests, better conserving sheep populations, as well as improving the quality of sheep hunts for both guided and unguided hunters by reducing crowding and conflicts afield.

WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT? All those who put the resource first and wish to see our Region III sheep populations conserved and sustained. All resident hunters who want to see their general open season sheep hunting opportunities retained, and their success rates go up. All guided nonresident hunters who don’t want to compete with so many other guided hunters and who favor a more quality sheep hunt.

WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER? Some guides would suffer monetarily because of the lower number of nonresident clients. Division of Wildlife Conservation funding would decreases because of a decrease in nonresident sheep tags being sold, and some local economies could see a decrease in nonresident hunting-related tourism, but it’s important to emphasize that these same things would happen if the DNR proposed guide concession program, which the board supports, was implemented.

Nonresident sheep hunters would lose the guarantee to be able to hunt Dall sheep in parts of Region III, and would have to take their chances with a draw-only hunt.

OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED? 1) Waiting for the DNR proposed guide concession program to be implemented. Rejected because we have already waited too long for this proposed concession program to be implemented, and it now appears it will never come about. 2) Only trying to “fix” the known problem areas in Region III, not making all of Region III draw-only for nonresident sheep hunters. Rejected because it has the potential to spread the problems to the areas still open to general season nonresident sheep hunting. 3) Including Region III registration
sheep hunts for residents in all general (non-draw) open season areas, mandatory harvest reporting period, Alaska Department of Fish and Games discretionary authority to close some sheep hunts based on harvest reports, in conjunction with our proposed solution. Rejected because we don’t believe we need that at this time, but our concern is to conserve sheep so that sheep hunting by all can continue, and we do believe it is important that resident sheep hunters are fully cognizant this may be necessary down the line and preferable to a draw-only hunt.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Chapter Backcountry Hunters & Anglers EG050113878

**************************************************************************

(The Board of Game deferred this proposal from the 2014 Interior Region meeting. It was previously listed as proposal 46.)

**PROPOSAL 112 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep.** Change nonresident sheep hunts to drawing permit hunts and limit the permit distribution to 10% of the annual ten year average for the Interior Region as follows:

Nonresidents wanting to participate in sheep hunting in Alaska will have to enter by drawing permit. The drawing permit will be limited to a maximum of 10% of the annual ten year historical average sheep harvest.

**ISSUE:** Because of our decreasing resident hunter success and falling sheep populations, I would like the Board of Game to limit, by drawing permit, all nonresident hunters of Alaska's Dall sheep to a maximum of 10% of the preceding ten year average historical harvest.

**WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE?** Alaskans limited natural resources will continue to be taken by increasing number of nonresidents. Ignoring this fact, and the fact of our shrinking sheep population, will soon force drawing permits on Alaska residents.

**WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED?** Yes, this proposal not only protects our natural resources from the ever increasing pressure of the guide industry, but also places Alaska on EQUAL footing with ALL western states who have long ago, limited the nonresident hunters to a maximum of 10% of the sheep permits.

**WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT?** All Alaska residents, and the natural resources we are in-trusted with.

**WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER?** Some nonresident hunters and some in the guide industry.

**OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED?** Trying, yet again, to express to the Board of Game that we have a statewide problem with our Dall sheep populations. I am not blaming the guide industry for the decreasing sheep populations, I am saying that the increased percentage nonresident harvest, shows an increased pressure on our sheep.

The first step in restricting access of our limited game resources, should be to place our nonresident hunters on EQUAL footing with the nonresident hunters in other states.
PROPOSED BY: Vern Fiehler
****************************************************************************
(The Board of Game deferred this proposal from the 2014 Interior Region meeting. It was previously listed as proposal 47.)

PROPOSAL 113 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Open a bowhunting only season for Dall sheep in Interior Region as follows:

Add a new bowhunting only sheep season in all northern and north-central units where there is a current general sheep season. Dates would be August 1-9; the bag limit would be one full curl ram. Open only to International Bowhunter Education Program (IBEP) certified bowhunters.

ISSUE: Overcrowding of hunters seeking Dall sheep is reducing the quality of the sheep hunting experience.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE? The problem will continue to increase and eventually all sheep hunting will need to be by drawing permit.

WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED? It would improve the quality of the outdoor experience which qualifies as a resource. It would not improve the quality of the products produced.

WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT? Bowhunters by being given a chance to hunt sheep with less direct competition from rifle hunters. Firearm sheep hunters by not seeing quite as many hunters in the field when they were actually hunting because the bowhunters who wanted to hunt sheep would probably utilize the bow season.

WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER? No one really. Bowhunters for Dall sheep have a very low success rate even when they are allowed to take any sheep. This hunt would be much more difficult because it would be for full curl rams only. This proposal would serve to spread out utilization with very little effect on the sheep population. Multiple parties on the mountain at the same time significantly reduces the quality and enjoyment of the hunting experience for all involved.

OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED? Have the new archery season be after the regular sheep season, September 21-30. This is the model which has been in effect for over 30 years in Unit 14C. However the northern units (especially the Brooks Range) have very short cold days in late September and weather could be a safety issue. Long warm days are important to bowhunters who must be patient waiting for an opportunity to get close to sheep.

PROPOSED BY: Alaskan Bowhunters Association
****************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 114 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep and 92.057. Special provisions for Dall sheep drawing permit hunts. Change all nonresident sheep hunts to drawing permit hunts in Unit 20 with a 75% distribution of nonresident permits as follows:

Turn all nonresident Dall sheep tags in Unit 20 to drawing only and limit the number to 75% of the number of nonresident tags based on historic average.

ISSUE: The overcrowding/overlapping of guides in Unit 20, conflicts between guides, their clients and residents and also an overharvest of rams that have not yet reached their full potential trophy value.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE? If this issue is not addressed, a conflict will continue to exist and potentially increase between guides who are currently overcrowding one another during the current Dall sheep season. This overcrowding during Dall sheep season has also lead to reports to the Big Game Commercial Services Board of registered guides violating Alaska Statute 08.54.720 as well as more disturbing conflicts between guides and resident hunters.

The overcrowding of guides in Unit 20 has also led to an overharvest of rams in a means that does not allow them to reach their full potential trophy value. This is a major issue especially in Unit 20 where recent genetics have shown many rams take over eight years to reach the full curl requirement. If this issue is not addressed, we as a committee fear resident and nonresident hunters will never again have the opportunity to harvest a true trophy ram, which Unit 20 has been historically known to hold. As of 2011, over 70% of the Dall sheep harvested in Unit 20 have been by nonresidents, who are all required to have a registered guide accompany them. By simply reducing this number by a small fraction, harvest will in turn drop and many more rams will have the opportunity to reach older age. Nonresident hunters will still have the opportunity to hunt in other less pressured portions of Alaska, which is the only state in the United States to not require all nonresidents to apply for a drawing tag for wild sheep.

WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED? Yes. By reducing the number of hunters in the field, the overall harvest numbers would decrease while at the same time give hunters the opportunity to disperse. This would in turn lessen the impact of harvest on individual herds and allow for rams to reach greater trophy value. The smaller harvest would also allow these higher trophy value rams to breed more ewes, spreading their genes while also increasing the overall Dall sheep population in Unit 20.

WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT? Both resident and nonresident hunters alike as well as law-abiding guides.

WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER? A small percentage of nonresident hunters as well as a portion of the guiding industry.
OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED? Turn all nonresident sheep tags in Unit 20 to drawing only tags and reduce the number of tags to 80% of average. We believe as an Advisory Committee that this is not a sufficient enough percentage to reduce the overall harvest and guide conflicts in the unit.

PROPOSED BY: Middle Nenana Fish and Game Advisory Committee  
EG050113877

******************************************************************************
(The Board of Game deferred this proposal from the 2014 Interior Region meeting. It was previously listed as proposal 113.)

PROPOSAL 115 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Create a youth Dall sheep hunt in Unit 20 remainder as follows:

Sheep, remainder of Unit 20
One sheep, drawing: July 20-August 5
Qualified youth hunters, one drawing permit per lifetime of hunter.
Youth hunters must have successfully completed an Alaska Department of Fish and Game approved Hunter Education Course and must be accompanied by a licensed resident adult that is at least 21 years of age or older. Proxy hunting is not allowed during this hunt. Biologists to determine the appropriate amount of permits to be offered.

ISSUE: Create an interior of Alaska youth sheep hunt. Currently youths can participate in regular season sheep hunts, but often are unable to compete in the field with older more seasoned adults.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE? Our hunting tradition will decline over time as our youths interest moves to other activities.

WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED? No, only provides a quality hunting experience without heavy competition from adults for our resident youth.

WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT? Youths ages 10 to 17, who draw a permit and are given this opportunity to hunt with an adult, often a parent, for several days above tree line.

WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER? Youths turning 18 or older would not eligible for drawing or the hunt.

OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED? Considered asking for a ram only hunt, but creation of a ram or ewe only hunt has the potential to make their ultimate youth hunt into a horrible experience should they accidentally take ram on a ewe hunt or if ram is one inch short. We can avoid the possibility of that happening with an any sheep hunt. Also considered starting the season later, but Yukon Territory starts their sheep hunt July 15, why not in Alaska?
PROPOSAL 116 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Limit nonresident sheep hunting in the Central/Southwest Region by having shorter seasons, drawing permits, or other methods as follows:

Nonresident hunting should be reduced with either shorter season, draw permit only, or some other method which limits their numbers.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Resident hunters do not have adequate access to sheep hunting opportunities. With the increased pressure from guides and nonresident hunters, chances for a successful hunt for a resident are slim to none. Nonresident hunting should be reduced with either shorter season, draw permit only or some other method which limits their numbers. The guide industry shouldn’t suffer, because economic forces will prevail and they will charge more per hunt to ensure an enjoyable Alaskan quality experience.

PROPOSED BY: Keenan Zerkel (EG-C14-307)
PROPOSAL 118 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Begin resident sheep hunting seven days earlier than nonresidents in Units 9, 11, 13, 14, and 16 as follows:

Resident hunting season for Dall sheep shall be August 3 to September 20. Nonresident hunting season shall be from August 10 to September 20. Drawing permit areas will start seven days earlier for Alaska residents and if there is a split season, the second half will be shortened by seven days for nonresidents such that residents can start the second half seven days prior to nonresidents.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Board needs to address the lack of full curl legal rams available to Alaska residents. While sheep populations have been stable to slightly declining, the availability of legal rams, much less trophy rams, has been significantly reduced and is in serious decline. Success rates for resident sheep hunters will never be on par with nonresidents if not allowed an earlier jump from the efficiency of their guides. If this problem is not addressed, Alaskan resident hunters will continue to suffer from the mismanagement of this species by the Board of Game. Alaskan youth will never have the opportunity afforded to their parents and grandparents to successfully hunt healthy populations of Dall sheep with ample populations of large rams. Alaska wildlife belongs to all Alaskans and these resources should be managed as such.

An earlier start for residents would have several benefits: Resident hunters will have an improved quality of hunt and avoid conflicts with guides and their clients. Nonresident hunters will have an improved quality of hunt by avoiding conflicts with resident hunters. Transport services associated with sheep hunting will improve as this will lessen the bottleneck on transporters seen at the beginning of each season, especially during poor weather. This may also increase the safety of hunters and transporters by spreading out the season and users more. Current Alaska residents and future Alaskans may be more interested in hunting Dall sheep, its management, and the future of Dall sheep hunting if they had greater opportunity to locate and harvest a legal ram, much less, quality rams.

PROPOSED BY: Jake Sprankle

PROPOSAL 119 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Establish earlier season date openings for resident sheep hunting in the Central/Southwest Region as follows:

Sheep hunting dates for all of the Central/Southwest Region:

Residents: August 3 – September 20
Nonresidents: August 10 – September 20

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** This proposal addresses overcrowding, lack of opportunity, a stressed legal ram population, and a diminished hunting experience for Alaska residents. All of these issues can be addressed by reducing the number of hunters in the field at the same time.

Alaska residents have an approximately 23% success rate. Nonresidents harvest over 40% of the sheep taken in Alaska, which is an allocation that no other state would tolerate. Most states allocate a maximum of 10% of the tags to nonresidents, which is less than 10% of the harvested resource.

If sheep season started seven days earlier for residents, much of the overcrowding in the field would be eliminated. Air traffic would be spread out over a longer period and many of the residents would be returning from their hunt when the nonresidents were heading out. This will not reduce stress on the sheep population but it will create opportunity for residents and give them a better hunting experience. The Board of Game needs to limit nonresident participation but this will help in the Central/Southwest Region until we have a statewide meeting in 2016.

The only other solution considered would be to limit nonresident sheep season to 14 days (August 10-August 24) and resident sheep season would be August 10- September 20.

**PROPOSED BY:** Tom Lamal

PROPOSAL 120 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Open a nine day, resident archery season in August for Dall sheep in the Central/Southwest Region as follows:

Create a new hunting season for bow and arrow only for Dall sheep from August 1-9, in Units 9, 11, 13, 14A, 14B, and 16 that currently have general sheep hunts by harvest ticket only.

These seasons would be for full curl, broomed both tips or 8+ year old rams only. Twenty years of bowhunting harvest statistics from Unit 14C indicate that only 1.7% of bowhunters are successful at taking full curl rams. IBEP certification would be required (it will be required for all bowhunting after July 2016 anyway).

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Overcrowding and limitation of opportunity for hunting Dall sheep. We suggest a method to increase hunting opportunity, spread out participation and reduce crowding with minimal impact on the species.

By creating a new hunt by bow and arrow only before the regular sheep season it would reduce crowding that occurs August 10 at the opening of the general sheep season. Hunters choosing to use bow and arrow would gain an advantage of being first into the mountains. They would be
enjoying a higher quality sheep hunting experience because of less crowding. At the same time they would be markedly limiting their chances of actually killing a ram because of the need to get so much closer to their quarry than if using a rifle. There is no biologic reason to wait until August 10 to open sheep season as sheep seasons in Canada open as early as July 15.

PROPOSED BY: Alaskan Bowhunters Association

(EG-C14-243)

*****************************************************************************

(The Board of Game deferred this proposal from the 2014 Interior Region meeting. It was previously listed as proposal 41.)

PROPOSAL 121 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Modify season dates for Dall sheep in the Interior Region as follows:

Resident hunting season for Dall sheep shall be August 3rd to September 20th. Nonresident hunting season shall be from August 10th to September 20th. Drawing permit areas will start seven days earlier for Alaska residents and if there is a split season, the second half will be shortened by seven days for nonresidents such that residents can start the second half seven days prior to nonresidents.

ISSUE: The Board of Game (board) needs to address the lack of full curl legal rams available to Alaska residents. While sheep populations have been stable to slightly declining, the availability of legal rams, much less trophy rams, has been significantly reduced and is in serious decline. Success rates for resident sheep hunters will never be on par with nonresidents if not allowed an earlier jump from the efficiency of their guides.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE? Alaskan resident hunters will continue to suffer from the mismanagement of this species by the Board of Game. Alaskan youth will never have the opportunity afforded to their parents and grandparents to successfully hunt healthy populations of Dall sheep with ample populations of large rams. Alaska wildlife belongs to all Alaskans and these resources should be managed as such.

WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED? Yes. Resident hunters will have an improved quality of hunt and avoid conflicts with guides and their clients. Nonresident hunters will have an improved quality of hunt by avoiding conflicts with resident hunters. Transport services associated with sheep hunting will improve as this will lessen the bottleneck on transporters seen at the beginning of each season, especially during poor weather. This may also increase the safety of hunters and transporters by spreading out the season and users more. Current Alaska residents and future Alaskans may be more interested in hunting Dall sheep, its management, and the future of Dall sheep hunting if they had greater opportunity to locate and harvest a legal ram, much less quality rams.

WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT? Alaska residents, Alaska youth, and future Alaskans. Dall sheep populations may also improve as more people may feel like they have a chance at successfully harvesting a quality ram and will be more interested in the management of the
resource. This benefits Alaska, all of Alaska’s game resources, and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

**WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER?** No one, though some will say nonresident hunters, nonresident guides, and resident guides may suffer. Harvest records, however, show that resident sheep hunters are much less successful than nonresidents because of their guides. This is largely due to time guides can give to pre-season scouting, which is done mostly by fixed wing aircraft. While success rates for resident hunters will hopefully increase, there is little reason to think that nonresident success rates will decline significantly. Pre-season scouting will still be available to guides. Sheep populations will not suffer directly, again because resident hunter success rates are consistently low. Future sheep populations, however, may suffer and receive little support or interest, if today’s youth and future generations cannot hunt healthy populations of sheep, as is currently the case. You will be hard pressed to find any Alaska resident who is not a guide or associated with a guiding business who does not favor this proposal. If not sure whether to favor Alaska residents over nonresidents, please look at any other state and how they manage their resources for the benefit of their residents and not for the financial benefit of a few.

**OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED?** Close nonresident hunting of Dall sheep for five years or until healthy populations of sheep with sufficient populations of legal rams is re-established. Charge resident hunters nonresident harvest fees during this interim to offset any loss of funding from loss of nonresident tags. This would be the best management practice the board could do, as it would best serve current and future Alaska residents, and most of all best serve the Dall sheep population as a whole. I rejected this solution based on past performance of the board where political and financial interests of a few, trumped the best interests of Alaska residents, Alaska game resources, and Alaska itself.

**PROPOSED BY:** Jake Sprankle

EG042913813

******************************************************************

(The Board of Game deferred this proposal from the 2014 Interior Region meeting. It was previously listed as proposal 42.)

**PROPOSAL 122 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep.** Open resident sheep hunting seasons seven days before nonresident seasons in the Interior Region as follows:

Sheep season dates in Region III:

- **Alaska residents:** August 5 – September 20
- **Nonresidents:** August 12 – September 20

Alaska residents may only hunt sheep in regions with similar start dates. This will keep hunters from trying to get an early start in one region (which would cause overcrowding) and then shift to another area. If a resident hunter picks Region III or V those are the only regions they may hunt sheep for that season. If the Board of Game would have passed the early start dates at the last statewide meeting we wouldn’t have to make more rules. We need to start this region by region so Alaskans will have this statewide preference by 2016. A different colored sheep tag for
Region III & V would make it easy to see if the resident hunter is in the appropriate area and legal.

ISSUE: Overcrowding, lack of quality experiences, and low allocations of sheep for Alaska residents. Nonresidents harvest over 40% of Alaska’s sheep and that number keeps increasing.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE? The quality of the hunt has been diminished by overcrowding and this is the best way to separate the number of hunters in the field. This is not a new idea and resident sheep hunters have supported this concept in the past. The resident has had to compete against the infrastructure of the guiding industry and everyone is trying to enter the mountains at the same time. Air services could spread out their charters and many residents would be returning when the nonresident hunters would be heading out to hunt with their chosen guide. Getting a legal ram is a difficult task and this would give our young Alaska resident hunters a much better opportunity to be successful. This should be a statewide proposal but the Board of Game failed to pass any of the 23 proposals presented to them requesting some preferences for Alaska residents. This statewide issue won’t come up again until 2016 so now we need to adjust the dates in different regions.

The only other solution is to put all nonresidents on permits. The number of permits given to nonresidents would be 15% of the total sheep harvest of the previous year. Example: 1000 sheep harvested = 150 permits for nonresidents.

WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED? This will make it much easier to get hunters in and out of the field – both resident and nonresident. Both groups will have a better experience due to less crowded conditions. Guides want people to think that nonresidents will quit coming to Alaska to hunt if any preference is given to Alaska residents and this is not the case. Nonresidents can come to Alaska and buy over the counter tags for most species cheaper than a deer tag can be purchased in many of the western states. The nonresident tag fees are a big boost to ADF&G but the Board of Game and the Alaska Legislature needs to keep resident Alaskans as their number one priority.

WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT? Alaska resident sheep hunters and nonresident sheep hunters.

WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER? Commercial operators (guides) will complain but they are making money off a public resource we (all Alaskans) own and many of these guides are nonresidents who can’t legally harvest sheep, goats, or brown bears but they can guide other nonresidents. The price of the tag is cheap but the cost of a guided hunt is expensive.

OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED? None.

PROPOSED BY: Tom Lamal EG042913829
PROPOSAL 123 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Open resident sheep seasons ten days before nonresident seasons in the Interior Region as follows:

In Region III (Interior Region), change the dates for sheep hunting to:

- Alaska residents: August 1 to September 20
- Nonresidents: August 10 to September 20

ISSUE: The quality, safety and crowded conditions of sheep hunting at the start of the season.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE? The overall quality and safety of sheep hunting in Alaska will continue to deteriorate due to the large number of people trying to get into the field at the start of the season. This will put a big strain on all of the resources and parties involved.

WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED? This proposal would increase the overall quality and safety of sheep hunting for both the residents and nonresidents by eliminating overcrowding and the competition for available resources.

WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT? Both the residents and nonresidents would benefit.

WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER? No one, although the guides and some nonresidents may disagree.

OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED? There is no other solution to this problem. I think that the guiding industry is doing a good job for their customers using a public resource to make a very good living. I welcome nonresident to come to Alaska and hunt but a quality hunt is very important for everyone and unless something is done this will not be the case.

PROPOSED BY: Leonard Jewkes EG043013842

PROPOSAL 124 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Open resident sheep seasons one week prior to nonresidents in Units 25 and 26 as follows:

Residents have the first week of sheep season without the presence of guides and their hunters.

ISSUE: Open sheep season one week for residents only in Units 25 and 26.
WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF NOTHING IS DONE? Guides think that they own the areas I know of one in particular in Unit 25 that comes over with his whole crew to try to scare off a resident hunter.

WILL THE QUALITY OF THE RESOURCE HARVESTED OR THE PRODUCTS PRODUCED BE IMPROVED? This helps separate the competition that guides have with hunters. The guides charge such a high price these days that it puts a lot of pressure on them to give there hunters a quality hunt. It would be better for all hunters because a lot of resident sheep hunters would be done hunting by the time the guides arrive.

WHO IS LIKELY TO BENEFIT? I think everybody would.

WHO IS LIKELY TO SUFFER? No one would because the experience is what counts less competition is a better experience.

OTHER SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED? None.

PROPOSED BY: Chris Gossen EG042813811
***************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 125 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Open a seven day moose season for bowhunting following the general season hunts in Units 11, 13, 14A, 14B, 16, and 17 as follows:

In each of the units listed for moose hunts where only a harvest ticket is required there would be a separate season "by bow and arrow only" starting the day following the general season and extending for an additional seven days. These hunts would have the same antler restrictions as currently listed for each unit. They would be limited to IBEP certified bowhunters only. Note that starting in July 2016 anyone hunting big game anywhere in Alaska will be required to be IBEP certified.

(This proposal was also submitted for the Southcentral Region meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? We request additional hunting opportunity for moose for IBEP certified bowhunters. We would like to see seven days added to the end of the general moose season with a season for bowhunting only. This would be for moose hunts in these units currently available by harvest ticket and would not include areas open only by drawing permit.

The primary reason for this request is to increase hunter opportunity at a time when the bulls are moving more because of the rut and meat care is easier because of cooler weather. The early archery season in Unit 15 has had very low participation and success because of dense foliage, limited moose movement and warm temperatures which make meat salvage difficult. We believe that this would result in a slightly higher harvest rate but would still be easily within the biologic surplus of animals available to be harvested without affecting the overall moose population.

PROPOSED BY: Alaskan Bowhunters Association

*****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 126 - 5 AAC 92.015 Brown bear tag fee exemption. Reauthorize the brown bear tag fee exemptions for the Central/Southwest Region as follows:

a) A resident tag is not required for taking a brown bear in the following units:
   (1) Unit 11;
   (2) Units 13 and 16(A);
   (3) Unit 16(B) and 17;
   …
   (11) Unit 9, within the following areas, unless a smaller area is defined by the department in an applicable permit:
       (A) Unit 9(B), within five miles of the communities of Port Alsworth, Nondalton, Iliamna, Newhalen, Pile Bay, Pedro Bay, Pope Vanoy Landing, Kakhonak, Igiugig, and Levelock;
(B) Unit 9(C), within five miles of the communities of King Salmon, Naknek, and South Naknek;
(C) Unit 9(D), within five miles of the communities of Cold Bay, King Cove, Sand Point, and Nelson Lagoon;
(D) Unit 9(E), within five miles of the communities of Egegik, Pilot Point, Ugashik, Port Heiden, Port Moller, Chignik Lake, Chignik Lagoon, Chignik Bay, Perryville, and Ivanof Bay;
(12) Unit 10, within three miles of the community of False Pass, unless a smaller area is defined by the department in an applicable permit.
(b) In addition to the units as specified in (a) of this section, if a hunter obtains a subsistence registration permit before hunting, that hunter is not required to obtain a resident tag to take a brown bear in the following units:
(1) Unit 9(B);
(2) Unit 9(E), that portion including all drainages that drain into the Pacific Ocean between Cape Kumliun and the border of Unit 9(D) and Unit 9(E);
(3) Unit 17;
...
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Brown bear tag fee exemptions must be reauthorized annually or the fee will be automatically reinstated.

General Season Hunts: The board liberalized brown bear hunting regulations including the tag fee exemption to increase the harvest of brown bears in Units 11, 13, and 16 during the March 2003 board meeting and in Unit 17 during the March 2011 board meeting. The tag fee exemption in these units provides greater opportunity to harvest brown bears by allowing opportunistic taking.

The board also exempted brown bear tag fees for bear hunts near communities in Unit 9 to address public safety concerns in communities during the board meeting in March 2011. Brown bears are abundant in Unit 9 and are managed as a trophy species. Brown bears are frequently observed in communities where they destroy property in search of food or garbage and occasionally kill pets. The liberalized bear seasons and bag limits adopted along with the elimination of the tag fee were intended to allow people to take bears before they destroy property, to promote a greater acceptance of the unit’s bear population, and to resolve some of the compliance issues associated with the take of defense of life and property (DLP) bears.

Subsistence Brown Bear Hunts: The Board waived the brown bear tag fee requirement for subsistence brown bear hunts in Unit 17 and portions of Unit 9. Subsistence brown bear harvest rates are low and well within sustainable limits. Exempting the resident tag fee has not caused an increase in subsistence harvest in these units. Continuation of the exemption accommodates cultural and traditional uses of brown bears in these units and provides an alternative for hunters who take brown bears primarily for their meat.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-349)
**PROPOSAL 127 - 5 AAC 92.220. Salvage of game meat, furs, and hides.** Remove the meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait in the Central/Southwest Region as follows:

Remove all meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait for the Central/Southwest Region.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Brown bear salvage requirements that force hunters to salvage meat that may be inedible. Why force hunters to salvage meat that may not be fit to eat?

**PROPOSED BY:** Anchorage Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-318)

**PROPOSAL 128 - 5 AAC 92.220. Salvage of game meat, furs, and hides.** Remove meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait in the Central/Southwest Region Units as follows:

Align salvage requirements for brown bear with black bear, for bears taken over a bait station for the Central/Southwest Region.

*(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)*

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Salvage of brown bear meat taken over bait. Remove salvage requirement.

**PROPOSED BY:** Joel Doner (EG-C14-314)

**PROPOSAL 129 - 5 AAC 92.044. Permit for hunting bear with the use of bait or scent lures.** Remove the requirement to clean up contaminated soil from bear bait stations in the Central/Southwest Region Units as follows:

Eliminate the requirement to "remove all contaminated soil" from a bear bait site at the conclusion of the baiting season.

*(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)*

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** At bear bait stations the requirement to remove all soil contaminated by the baiting at the end of the season is an excessive nuisance and is perceived by hunters to be a form of harassment by regulators who may be personally opposed to baiting bear in spite of the fact that bear baiting is a legal and accepted means of hunting bear and is often the only practical means of hunting bear in certain areas. This combined with the requirement to provide accurate GPS locations before a permit is obtained sends a message to hunters that they are potentially subject to prosecution if an
enforcement officer comes into the bait site with a trowel and samples some dirt which may have an increased sugar or fat content by lab analysis. From a practical perspective it is virtually impossible to remove all contaminated soil. Anything that is used for bait is biodegradable and will rapidly be removed by organisms from bacteria to bears. Anything not removed will go to fertilize the soil. There is no guidance with what should be done with the "contaminated soil". Alternatives would be to spread it out, carry it any given distance and throw it out, transport it back to town, and take it to a public dump.

Nothing in this discussion should be interpreted as wanting to change the regulation requiring removal of all trash, litter, bait barrels and other artificial structures at the end of the baiting season.

PROPOSED BY: John Frost

Note: AS 16.05.783 only allows same day airborne for taking of wolves as part of a predator control program authorized by the board. The same restriction does not apply to coyotes.

PROPOSAL 130 - 5 AAC 92.080. Unlawful methods of taking game; exceptions. Allow same-day airborne hunting of wolves and coyotes as follows:

Wolves and coyotes may be taken the same day you are airborne in all of the Central/Southwest Region during any open season. A person must be at least 300 feet from the aircraft before shooting and cannot use the aircraft to herd, position or harass the animals in any way before landing.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? We would like to see same day airborne hunting of wolves and coyotes for residents and nonresidents in all of the Central/Southwest Region. There is or has been active predator control in most of this region and this would be a good tool to take some additional wolves and coyotes. Chances to take wolves and coyotes are rare and having to pass up a chance at one because you have flown that day seems like a waste.

PROPOSED BY: Mat-Valley Fish and Game Advisory Committee

PROPOSAL 131 - 5 AAC 85.065. Hunting seasons and bag limits for small game. Lower the bag limit for goldeneye for the Central/Southwest Region as follows:

Lower goldeneye to allow no more than three goldeneye in the general bag.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? A low abundance of this limited range bird; Barrow’s goldeneye are a sea duck with sensitive lifestage histories, behavior and reproductive strategies below long-term averages. They are mistakenly placed in with the dabbler general bag limit, with those birds counting in the millions above long term averages.
PROPOSAL 132 – 5 AAC 92.010. Harvest tickets and reports. Require harvest reporting of migratory birds by species in Central/Southwest Region Units as follows:

For more accurate data, create a harvest ticket with opportunity of reporting harvest by species for migratory birds to gain information presently limited to ADF&G.

Use the system already in place for other species like deer, moose etc.

If reported electronically:

• Once filed online a certified receipt for your report will be returned by email. This receipt is proof that report has been filed.
• Harvest tickets and registration permits are good for a regulatory year, not a calendar year. For example, a harvest ticket for 2013 would be valid from July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2014.
• If ADF&G does not receive a hunt report, hunters will not be eligible for future hunts. Online reporting allows hunters to determine which reports have filed and which you have not.

If reported by mail:

Hunt reports will come with harvest tickets attached to them. The report portion need not be carried in the field but must be completed and returned within 15 days of the close of the season even if you did not hunt or did not take an animal. Reports of personal harvest location are confidential.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Electronic online or mail in harvest tickets and reporting required for migratory birds by species.

PROPOSAL 133 - 5 AAC 92.080. Unlawful taking of game; exceptions. Remove the restriction against using felt sole waders while hunting in Central/Southwest Region Units as follows:

People hunting in Alaska can legally wear whatever they want while pursuing game, including their choice of foot wear and/or any other personal protective equipment that they deem will make their hunt more safe.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Board of Game's (board) ban on wearing felt soles while hunting, making wading and rafting while hunting unsafe and dangerous for people. Anyone who has spent much time in the field—or worse, had unexpected “swims”—knows how dangerous our cold waters are and how quickly one could lose their life. Even a quick dunk can be unforgiving and have dire consequences. The difference between wearing felt and wearing rubber or caulked boots is like night and day. Unfortunately, there are no alternatives, regardless of what you are told. If you personally are unaware of this fact, then you have little experience wading rivers or streams and need to better educate yourself on the issue. Safety wise, it is the same as driving without a seat belt, or running a chainsaw without a pair of chaps. Sure you may get by without them, but do you want to get into an accident without your seat belt on? In essence, that’s what the board’s (and the Board of Fisheries) ban does. The ban states loud and clear that our safety, our lives and that of our children and loved ones, is unimportant.

If you do not lift this ban, people will die, drown and perish while hunting, due to our cold water temperatures. It’s as simple as that. While the attempt to thwart the spread of invasive plants and animals is noteworthy, the board’s lack of adequate analysis of the scientific data on this subject is both troubling and reckless. Can felt soles transport invasive plants and animals? Unfortunately, yes they can. But please look at the research—which is extremely limited at best. This small amount of research, much which has not been peer reviewed, has indicated that felt soles can spread such invasive species as Didymo (rock snot), possibly whirling disease, and one New Zealand mudsnail was proven to be transported by a felt boot. One! Research has also proven that these invasive species can be carried and transported to other waters on shoe laces, socks, inside the wading boots themselves, on the wading material itself and even on rubber wading boots. Furthermore, research has also proven invasive species can be transported from one water body to another by boat trailers and through bilge water of boats and float planes traveling to and from different water bodies. Even Darwin wrote many years ago, about migrating waterfowl transporting plants and animals from one water body to another, both internally and externally. Why not ban all of these vectors then?

PROPOSED BY: Jake Sprankle

PROPOSAL 134 - 5 AAC 92.003. Hunter education and orientation requirements. Require certification for big game hunters using crossbows in the Central/Southwest Region as follows:

All hunters pursuing big game with a crossbow in the Central/Southwest Region must have passed a certification course presented by ADF&G and carry their certification card in the field. This regulation to be effective starting July 2016.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Board of Game passed a regulation stating that all hunters hunting with bow and arrow for big game must pass the IBEP certification and carry their certification card while hunting starting in July 2016. The board did
NOT include hunters who hunt with a crossbow in this regulation. There are safety issues involved with use of a crossbow which are unique to crossbows and do not apply to regular archery equipment or firearms. A crossbow is a different implement than bow and arrow but kills in the same fashion with sharp cutting of vital structures rather than shock as with a firearm. Firearms hunters who pick up a crossbow need to learn the limitations of the weapon, acceptable shot angles and target anatomy. In addition they need to learn appropriate follow-up and recovery techniques which may differ significantly from their experience with firearms. Crossbow hunters who wound animals may leave a visible projectile in the animal which can reflect poorly on not only crossbow hunters but also on bowhunters and intact on all hunters. I believe that all hunters who use a crossbow for hunting big game in Alaska should be required to pass a crossbow certification course developed and presented by ADF&G and should be required to carry their certification card while hunting big game with crossbow. The course should include a field day in which the student demonstrates knowledge of safe use of the crossbow and a minimum level of shooting proficiency.

Nothing in this should be interpreted to imply that crossbows are the same as archery equipment. the course must be separate from the IBEP certification and taught by instructors knowledgeable in use of crossbows and certified to teach the course. Also, nothing in this proposal should imply that crossbows are acceptable for use in special archery only areas or hunts.

This proposal is to cover all regions open for proposals for consideration by the Board of Game during their 2015 meetings. It is my intention to make the same proposal next year to apply to the Interior and Arctic/Western Region. This proposal is to be effective starting in July 2016 which would align it statewide with the recently passed regulation for bowhunters and would also give ADF&G time to implement the education programs.

PROPOSED BY: John Frost (EG-C14-293)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 135 - 5 AAC Chapter 85. Seasons and bag limits. Add five days to all resident hunting seasons and allocate 75% of the drawing permits to residents for the Central/Southwest Region as follows:

For the benefit of all Alaska residents change current regulations in all Central/Southwest units so the residents of the State of Alaska receive preference in regard to all hunting opportunities.

For all harvest ticket hunts: Allow state residents to start the hunting seasons five days early or allow state residents to hunt for five additional days after the season has closed for nonresident hunters.

For drawing hunts: change allocation systems to ensure Alaskan residents have been allocated to receive 75% of any drawing hunt opportunity. If state residents do not use that percentage of the pool, then the unallocated portion may be used by nonresident hunters.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? For the benefit of all Alaskan residents change current regulations in all Units so the residents of the State of Alaska receive preference in regards to all hunting opportunities.

I am hopeful that the board will address the inequalities in resident hunter preference. For many years a significant portion of the large game species has been taken by nonresidents. This is due to several factors, as managers of a state resource, the board should be morally and ethically obligated to ensure that resident hunting opportunities and resident hunting preference are a priority.

PROPOSED BY: Kevin Secor (EG-C14-227)

PROPOSAL 136 - 5 AAC Chapter 85. Seasons and bag limits. Allocate 90% of big game drawing permits to residents for Central/Southwest Region Units as follows:

I'd like to see the Board of Game adopt a new allocation schedule for all big game draw permits in the Central/Southwest Region: 90% to residents and the remainder plus any undersubscribed to nonresidents.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? At issue is allocation of big game draw permits. Currently a nonresident hunter has equal chance in the lottery as resident meat hunters. Nonresidents, albeit supporting the guide industry, have historically much better success rates no doubt due to the work of their guides. The end result is that more of the real harvest goes to nonresidents, many of whom don’t even want the meat; rather than Alaskans who will respect the harvested animal all year long every tasty bite of the way. This is in direct contradiction to our mandate by Alaska’s Constitution Article8 section3 stating that meat belongs to the residents. Most other states currently allocate only 10% of their draw permits to nonresidents, and guiding is still big business there. A real tragedy to the continuation of hunting tradition will befall us as our kids potentially will lose future opportunities to hunt this great land.

Considered was action to establish a point/preference system like most states to more directly and effectively tackle the issue, but this appears to be a legislative issue and would also take many years to implement. Also considered was a shorter season for nonresidents, but to be fair especially to mountain hunters, an equal number of weather windows should be provided for both. This would also promote mad-dash boating and bush flying, a dangerous and disrespectful practice. If nothing is done about this issue, meat will continue to be mis-allocated, Alaskan youth may see future opportunities lost, and game resources will decline.

Thank you for considering this 90/10 draw permit allocation, Alaskans appreciate it.
Note: The board does not have authority to place restrictions on the transportation of hunters.

**PROPOSAL 137 - 5 AAC Chapter 85. Seasons and bag limits.** Allocate 10% sheep harvest for nonresidents in Central/Southwest Region Units as follows:

Allow nonresidents only 10% maximum of harvest. This should include second degree of kindred. Also restrict the number of drop off hunts that air charters can perform. Stacking hunters is not good for anybody.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** This proposal is in regards to overcrowding. Residents only harvest about 23% of the total harvest per year, while nonresidents harvest 40% of the sheep taken in Alaska. This is total that is totally out of control. The board needs to follow the Alaska constitution. It is clearly stated that the Alaskan residents come first.

**PROPOSED BY: Chris Gossen**

(EG-C14-270)
## Interior Region – Reauthorization Proposals

**PROPOSAL 138 - 5 AAC 85.045(a)(18). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.**
Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Units 20A, 20B, and 20D as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 20(A), the Ferry Trail Management Area, the Wood River Controlled Use Area, and the Yanert Controlled Use Area</td>
<td>RESIDENT HUNTERS: 1 bull with spike-fork antlers or 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow tines on one side; or  1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 2,000 permits may be issued in combination with the Remainder of Unit 20(A); a person may not take a cow accompanied by a calf; or  1 antlerless moose by registration permit only; a person may not take a cow accompanied by a calf; or  1 bull by drawing permit</td>
<td>Sept. 1–Sept. 25 (General hunt only)  Aug. 15–Nov. 15 (General hunt only)  Oct. 1–Feb. 28 (General hunt only)  Sept. 1–Sept. 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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only; up to 1,000 permits may be issued in combination with the Remainder of Unit 20(A); or

1 bull by drawing permit only; by muzzleloader only; up to 75 permits may be issued in combination with nonresidents in Unit 20(A); or

1 moose by targeted permit only; by shotgun or bow and arrow only; up to 100 permits may be issued

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow tines on one side; or

1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow tines on one side by drawing permit only; by muzzleloader only; up to 75 permits may be issued in combination with residents in Unit 20(A);

Remainder of Unit 20(A)

RESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull with spike-fork antlers or 50-inch antlers or antlers with 3 or more brow tines on one side; or

(General hunt only)

Nov. 1–Nov. 30

(General hunt only)

Season to be announced by emergency order

(General hunt only)

Sept. 1–Sept. 25

Nov. 1–Nov. 30
1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 2,000 permits may be issued in combination with Unit 20(A), the Ferry Trail Management Area, Wood River Controlled Use Area, and the Yanert Controlled Use Area; a person may not take a cow accompanied by a calf; or

1 antlerless moose by registration permit only; a person may not take a cow accompanied by a calf; or

1 bull by drawing permit only; up to 1,000 permits may be issued in combination with Unit 20(A), the Ferry Trail Management Area, Wood River Controlled Use Area, and the Yanert Controlled Use Area; or

1 moose by targeted permit only; by shotgun or bow and arrow only; up to 100 permits may be issued

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow tines on one side

Unit 20(B), that portion within Creamer’s refuge

Aug. 15–Nov. 15 (General hunt only)
Aug. 25–Feb. 28
Sept. 1–Sept. 25
Season to be announced by emergency order (General hunt only)
Sept. 1–Sept. 25
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wildlife</th>
<th>Sept. 1–Sept. 30</th>
<th>Sept. 1–Sept. 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wildlife</th>
<th>Sept. 1–Nov. 27</th>
<th>Sept. 1–Nov. 27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wildlife</th>
<th>Dec. 1–Jan 31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Unit 20(B), remainder of the Fairbanks Management Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wildlife</th>
<th>Sept. 1–Sept. 30</th>
<th>Sept. 1–Sept. 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wildlife</th>
<th>Sept. 1–Nov. 27</th>
<th>Sept. 1–Nov. 27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
<td>(General hunt only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
permit is prohibited from taking an antlered bull moose in the Fairbanks Management Area; or

1 moose by targeted permit only; up to 100 permits may be issued

Unit 20(B), that portion within the Minto Flats Management Area

RESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull; or

Aug. 21–Aug. 27
(Subsistence hunt only)

1 bull with spike-fork antlers or 50-inch antlers or antlers with 3 or more brow tines on one side; or

Sept. 8–Sept. 25
No open season.

1 antlerless moose by registration permit only

Oct. 15–Feb. 28
(Subsistence hunt only)

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or more brow tines on one side by drawing permit only; up to 8 permits may be issued

Sept. 8–Sept. 25
No open season.

Unit 20(B), the drainage of the Middle Fork of the Chena River

1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 300 permits may be issued; a person may not take

Aug. 15–Nov. 15
(General hunt only)
No open season.
a cow accompanied by a calf; or

1 antlerless moose by registration permit only; a person may not take a cow accompanied by a calf; or

1 bull; or

1 bull, by bow and arrow only; or

1 bull by registration permit only; by muzzleloader only

Unit 20(B), that portion of the Salcha River drainage upstream from and including Goose Creek

1 bull; or

1 bull, by bow and arrow only;

1 bull by registration permit only; by muzzleloader only

Unit 20(B), that portion of the Salcha River drainage downstream of Goose Creek and upstream from and including Butte Creek

1 bull; or

1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 1,500 permits may be issued in
combination with the
hunt in the Remainder
of Unit 20(B); a person
may not take a cow
accompanied by a calf; or

1 antlerless moose by
registration permit only;
a person may not take
a cow accompanied by
a calf; or

1 moose by targeted
permit only; by shotgun or
bow and arrow only; up
to 100 permits may be
issued; or

1 bull by registration
permit only; by
muzzleloader only

Unit 20(B), that
portion southeast
of the Moose
Creek dike within
one-half mile of
each side of the
Richardson highway

1 bull; or

1 moose by drawing
permit only; by
bow and arrow or
muzzleloader only;
up to 100 permits
may be issued; or

1 moose by targeted
permit only; by shotgun or
bow and arrow only; up
to 100 permits may be
issued

Remainder of Unit 20(B)

Oct. 1–Feb. 28
Season to be announced
Nov. 10–Dec. 10
Sept. 1–Sept. 20
Sept. 16–Feb. 28
Season to be announced
No open Season
No open season.
No open season.
1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; by youth hunt only; up to 200 permits may be issued; or

1 bull; or

1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 1,500 permits may be issued in the Remainder of Unit 20(B); a person may not take a cow accompanied by a calf; or

1 antlerless moose by registration permit only; a person may not take a cow accompanied by a calf; or

1 moose by targeted permit only; by shotgun or bow and arrow only; up to 100 permits may be issued

... 

Unit 20(D), that portion lying west of the west bank of the Johnson River and south of the north bank of the Tanana River, except the Delta Junction Management Area and the Bison Range Youth Hunt Management Area

RESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull with spike-fork or 50-inch antlers or antlers  
Sept. 1–Sept. 15  (General hunt only)
with 4 or more brow
tines on one side; or

1 bull by drawing Sept. 1–Sept. 15
permit; or (General hunt only)

1 antlerless moose by Oct. 10–Nov. 25
drawing permit only;
(General hunt only)
up to 1,000 permits may
be issued in combination
with that portion in the
Delta Junction Management
Area; a person may not
take a calf or a cow
accompanied by a calf; or

1 antlerless moose by Oct. 10–Nov. 25
registration permit only;
(General hunt only)
a person may not take a
calf or a cow accompanied
by a calf

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 bull with 50-inch Sept. 5–Sept. 15
antlers or antlers with
4 or more brow tines
on one side

Unit 20(D), that portion
within the Bison Range
Youth Hunt Management
Area

1 bull with spike-fork or Sept. 1–Sept. 30
50-inch antlers or antlers (General hunt only)
with 4 or more brow
tines on one side; or 1
antlerless moose, per
lifetime of a hunter, by
drawing permit only; up
to 10 permits may be issued;
a person may not take a
calf or a cow accompanied
by a calf

Unit 20(D), that portion within
the Delta Junction Management Area

RESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 moose every four regulatory years by drawing permit only, Sept. 1–Sept. 15
a person may not take a calf or a cow accompanied by a calf; or (General hunt only)

1 bull with spike-fork or 50-inch antlers or antlers Sept. 1–Sept. 15
with 4 or more brow tines on one side by drawing permit (General hunt only)
only; up to 30 permits may be issued; or

1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 1,000 permits Oct. 10–Nov. 25
may be issued in combination with that portion lying west (General hunt only)
of the west bank of the Johnson River and south of the north bank of the Tanana River; a person may
not take a calf or a cow accompanied by a calf; or

1 antlerless moose by registration permit only; Oct. 10–Nov. 25
a person may not take a calf or a cow accompanied (General hunt only)
by a calf

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 moose every four regulatory years by drawing permit only, Sept. 1–Sept. 15
a person may not take a calf or a cow accompanied by a calf; or (General hunt only)

1 bull with 50-inch antlers or antlers with 4 or Sept. 1–Sept. 15
more brow tines on one side by drawing permit (General hunt only)
only; up to 30 permits may be issued
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Antlerless moose hunting seasons must be reauthorized annually. Our goal is to provide for a wide range of public uses and benefits, and to protect the health and habitat of moose populations. Antlerless hunts are important for improving or maintaining the ability of moose habitat to support current populations. They also help regulate moose population growth, help to meet Intensive Management (IM) objectives for high levels of harvest, and provide subsistence hunters with a reasonable opportunity to pursue moose for subsistence uses without reducing bull-to-cow ratios.

If antlerless moose hunts are not reauthorized, the moose population may increase to unaccepteble levels or may need reduction when new data is available and analyzed. Opportunity to hunt a harvestable surplus of cow moose will be lost, and our ability to meet intensive management harvest objectives will be compromised. Subsistence hunters in the portion of Unit 20A outside the Fairbanks Nonsubsistence Area (part of the western Tanana Flats) may not have a reasonable opportunity to pursue moose for subsistence uses.

This reauthorization of antlerless moose hunts will likely improve or maintain the ability of moose habitat to support the current moose population. Hunting opportunity and harvest will increase and allow ADF&G to manage these moose populations at optimum levels. The additional harvest will help in meeting intensive management harvest objectives. It will also allow hunters to harvest moose toward meeting the intensive management harvest objective without reducing bull-to-cow ratios to low levels. Meat and subsistence hunters will benefit from the opportunity to harvest cow moose. Moose populations will benefit by having moose densities compatible with their habitat. Motorists and residents may benefit from reduced moose–vehicle collisions and moose–human conflicts.

Unit 20A: The purpose of antlerless moose hunts in Unit 20A is to regulate population growth, to meet the IM mandate for high levels of harvest, and to provide subsistence hunters with a reasonable opportunity for success in obtaining moose for subsistence uses in Unit 20A outside the Fairbanks Non-subsistence Area (part of the western Tanana Flats). The goal is to protect the health and habitat of the moose population and to provide for a wide range of public uses and benefits.

The number of moose in Unit 20A was estimated at 17,768 in 2003. Research indicated this high-density moose population was experiencing density-dependent effects, including low productivity, relatively light calf weights, and high removal rates of winter forage. The objective beginning in regulatory year 2004–2005 (RY04) was to reduce moose numbers to the population objective of 10,000–12,000 unless indicators of moose condition showed signs of improvement at higher densities. The Unit 20A population was estimated at 10,272–14,115 moose (90% confidence interval) in 2012.

The current objective is to maintain moose numbers within the population objective of 12,000–15,000 moose (the IM population objective adopted by the board in 2012) while monitoring indicators of moose and habitat condition for density-dependent responses. The Unit 20A population was estimated at 8,678–11,633 moose (90% confidence interval) in 2013. As a result,
the antlerless hunts will be suspended during RY14. However, if the November 2014 population estimate exceeds 12,000 moose the antlerless hunts may need to be reinstated in RY15 to regulate population growth.

**Unit 20B: Fairbanks Management Area (FMA)** — The purpose of this antlerless hunt is to regulate population growth in the FMA and potentially reduce moose–vehicle collisions and nuisance moose problems.

The number of moose–vehicle collisions in the FMA are high and pose significant safety risks to motorists. In addition, moose nuisance issues continue to place significant demands on property owners. To increase hunting opportunity and harvest and reduce moose–vehicle collisions, ADF&G incrementally increased the number of drawing permits for antlerless moose in the FMA during RY99–RY10. Moose–vehicle collisions and moose nuisance problems have declined during RY06–RY13, presumably, in part due to the consistent antlerless moose harvests of 27 to 52 during RY09–RY13.

**Minto Flats Management Area (MFMA)** — The primary purpose of this antlerless hunt is to provide a reasonable opportunity for subsistence uses and to regulate the moose population in MFMA.

The MFMA moose density was high in 2010 (4.1 moose/ mi²). In order to reduce the moose population, harvest of antlerless moose during RY12 and RY13 was about 2.5% of the population. The fall 2013 estimate shows a more appropriate density in the MFMA (2013 = 2.6 moose/ mi²). Therefore, to stabilize this population, antlerless harvest will be reduced to approximately 1–1.5% of the total population to maintain the current population.

**Unit 20B, drainage of the Middle Fork of the Chena River and the Remainder of Unit 20B** — The antlerless moose harvest in this area is designed to regulate the moose population in this portion of Unit 20B and to help meet the IM harvest objectives for Unit 20B. The 2013 population estimate (14,057 moose) indicates the population declined from the 2009 estimate (20,173 moose) and is now at a more appropriate level for the habitat. The antlerless harvest will be approximately 1–1.5% of the total population to maintain the level of the current population estimate.

To mitigate hunter conflicts, we spread hunters out over space and time. Each of 16 hunt areas has permits in three time periods: one before the general hunt, one during, and one after. This maintains a few hunters at a time in each permit area, yet is expected to achieve a harvest of approximately 140 cows.

Finally, extensive burns in northcentral Unit 20B will provide excellent habitat in the future. With improving habitat, continued high predator harvest, and relatively mild winters, we can expect continued high productivity and survival of moose, along with increased yield.

**Unit 20D:** The moose population in Unit 20D reached the IM objective of 8,000–10,000 in 2006. The highest density (5.6 moose/ mi²) was in southwestern Unit 20D where the population was exhibiting effects of increased competition for food, including a moderately low level 2-year
average twinning rate of 14%. Antlerless moose hunts during RY06–RY09 contributed to a decreased moose density in southwestern Unit 20D. The goals of the Unit 20D antlerless moose hunts were to stabilize population growth and to address concerns about range degradation, reduced nutritional condition, and reduced reproductive success. Most of these goals have been met. Moose density was reduced from 5.6 moose/ mi² (pre-antlerless hunts) to 3.2 moose/ mi² (post-antlerless hunts). Overwinter browse utilization decreased from 25% in 2007 to 15% in 2010. The average weight of 9 month old calves increased from 340 lbs. in 2010 to 366 lbs. in 2012 (K. Seaton, ADF&G, unpublished data, Fairbanks).

With the exception of the Bison Range Youth Moose Hunt, antlerless permits have not been issued since fall 2009. However, antlerless moose will be part of the bag limit for the Delta Junction Management Area Disabled Veterans Moose Hunt, beginning in fall 2015. Future antlerless hunts are likely needed to maintain the population at the optimal density and will contribute toward meeting the IM harvest objective of 500–700. However, registration permits will be issued only if additional harvest is needed in specific areas to maintain optimal moose densities.

Antlerless moose hunts in Unit 20D and their effect on moose density and population growth will continue to be evaluated. Future antlerless moose hunts will be implemented as needed based on evaluation of three indices of density-dependent moose nutritional conditions in relation to changes in moose density: biomass removal of current annual growth on winter browse, proportion of females with twin calves, and late-winter calf weights. There are currently no plans to issue permits for antlerless moose hunting in Unit 20D during the 2015 season, with the exception that an antlerless moose (except a cow accompanied by a calf or a calf) is part of the legal bag limit in the Bison Range Youth Moose Hunt and the Disabled Veteran Moose Hunt.

**PROPOSED BY:** Alaska Department of Fish and Game

PROPOSAL 139 - 5 AAC 5 AAC 92.015(a)(4). Brown bear tag fee exemptions. Reauthorize resident grizzly bear tag fee exemptions throughout Interior and Eastern Arctic Alaska as follows:

(a) A resident tag is not required for taking a brown bear in the following units:

(4) Units 12, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26(B), and 26(C)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Resident brown bear tag fees were put in place statewide during the mid-1970s to discourage incidental harvest, elevate the status of brown and grizzly bears to trophies, and to provide revenue. Today, Region III populations are healthy, grizzly bears are highly regarded as trophies, and revenue can be generated from non-tag fee sources. The board must annually reauthorize all resident tag fee exemptions. Reauthorizing these tag fee exemptions will allow residents who are unable to purchase the $25 tag before hunting, due to lack of vendors or economic reasons, to opportunistically and legally harvest grizzly bears.
Eliminating all resident grizzly bear tag fees throughout Interior and Eastern Arctic Alaska (Region III) simplifies regulations, increases resident hunter opportunity, and is not likely to cause declines in these grizzly bear populations. This reauthorization would assist with our objective of managing Region III grizzly bear populations for hunter opportunity and would continue to allow hunters to take grizzlies opportunistically. During regulatory years 2006–2009, 35% of grizzlies harvested by resident hunters in Region III were taken incidentally to other activities (compared to 4% incidental take in regions I and II and 17% statewide).

We estimate that a kill rate of at least 6 percent, composed primarily of males, is sustainable. Human-caused mortality in most of Region III has been consistently less than 6% of the population. Where harvests are elevated (i.e. Units 20D, 20B, 20A, and portions of 26B), grizzly populations are managed through changes in seasons and bag limits. Resident tag fees that were in place prior to 2010 appeared to have no effect on harvest in these areas.

As part of this request to reauthorize exemption of grizzly tag fee throughout Region III, we recommend that the board, at a minimum, continue to reauthorize the tag fee exemptions for subsistence registration permit hunts in Units 19A and 19B (downstream of and including the Aniak River drainage), 21D, and 24.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-353)
Proposal Index

(Note: Please review the “Regional and Multiple Units” section, which also affect regulations for other units).

Cordova Area – Unit 6

140 Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in Unit 6C.

141 Restrict the taking of goat for five years when a nanny is taken in Unit 6.

Kodiak Area – Unit 8

142 Reduce the nonresident bag limit for deer in Unit 8.

143 Change bag limit restriction for brown bear in Unit 8.

144 Modify the resident and nonresident hunting regulations for Unit 8 to include Arctic fox.

145 Modify the resident and nonresident trapping regulations for Unit 8 to include Arctic fox.

146 Modify the resident and nonresident hunting regulations for Unit 8 to remove coyote and lynx.

147 Modify the resident and nonresident trapping regulations for Unit 8 to remove lynx and wolverine from current trapping regulations.

Anchorage Area – Unit 14C

148 Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Unit 14C.

149 Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in the Twentymile/Portage/Placer hunt area in Units 7 and 14C.

150 Establish an antlerless moose drawing permit hunt for physically disabled residents in Kincaid Park, Unit 14C.
151  Change the any sheep bag limit for Unit 14C to any ram, for draw hunts DS140, 
    DS141, DS240 and DS241.
152  Establish a resident only sheep hunt area in Chugach State Park, Unit 14C.
153  Limit the number of next of kin nonresident sheep tags in Unit 14C.
154  Increase the number of nonresident goat drawing permits on Unit 14C.
155  Add a drawing permit hunt for black bears within the JBER Management Area in Unit 
    14C.

**Kenai Peninsula Area – Units 7 & 15**

156  Shorten the moose seasons in Unit 15.
157  Change the general bull moose season dates in Unit 15 to September 1-30.
158  Open a nonresident moose season in Unit 15C.
159  Open nonresident moose drawing hunt in Unit 15.
160  Open a nonresident moose season in Unit 15C or implement predator control.
161  Change the bag limit for moose in Units 7 and 15 to 50-inch antlers with three or more 
    brow tines.
162  Eliminate all early and late season start dates for archery, muzzleloader, and shotgun hunts 
    in Unit 15.
163  Eliminate the early archery season for moose in Units 15A and 15B.
164  Open seven day archery seasons for moose following the general seasons in Units 7 and 15
165  Open an archery moose season for residents and nonresidents in Unit 15C.
166  Change the resident, antlerless moose season in Unit 15C to November 1-30.
167  Issue resident moose permits for problem areas near roads during the winter in Unit 
    15C.
168  Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in a portion of Unit 15C.
169  Open a nine day, resident archery season in August for Dall sheep in Units 7, 14C and 
    15.
Modify the harvest management strategy for Kenai Peninsula brown bears, Units 7 and 15.

Modify the brown bear harvest management strategy on the Kenai Peninsula, Units 7 and 15.

Lengthen the brown bear season in Units 7 and 15 and remove the meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait.

Remove meat salvage requirements for taking brown bear over bait in the Southcentral Region.

Remove meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait in the Southcentral Region.

Shorten the season and reduce the bag limit for ptarmigan in Unit 15C, north of Kachemak Bay and Fox River.

Reduce the bag limit for ptarmigan in Unit 15C, north of Kachemak Bay and Fox River.

Shift to an earlier opening date for beaver trapping in Units 7 and 15.

Require trap identification in Units 7 and 15.

Establish a trapper identification numbering system for Units 7 and 15.

Restrict trapping in the Cooper Landing area.

Restrict trapping in the Seward and Moose Pass areas.

Prohibit the taking of big game from boats in Units 7 and 15 in coastal or estuarine waters, with an exception for persons with disabilities.

Create a management area for Kachemak Bay in Unit 15C.

Regional & Multiple Units

Begin resident sheep seasons seven days earlier than nonresident seasons in the Southcentral Region.

Begin resident sheep seasons seven days earlier than nonresidents in Units 7, 14, and 15.

Limit nonresident sheep hunts in the Southcentral Region with shorter seasons, drawing permits, or other methods.
187  Allow a maximum of 10% of nonresident sheep hunt participation for the Southcentral Region.

188  Limit sheep harvest by nonresidents to 10% of total harvest in Units 7, 14, and 15.

189  Limit allocation of nonresident sheep permits to 10% in the Southcentral Region Units.

190  Allocate 10% sheep harvest for nonresidents in Southcentral Region Units

191  Remove the restriction against using felt sole waders while hunting in Southcentral Region Units.

192  Require harvest reporting of migratory birds by species in Southcentral Region Units.

193  Require certification for big game hunters using crossbows in Southcentral Region Units.

194  Prohibit the use of hunting dogs for taking upland game birds after October 31, in Southcentral Region Units.

195  Remove the requirement to clean up contaminated soil from bear bait stations in Southcentral Region Units.

196  Add five days to all resident hunting seasons and allocate 75% of the drawing permits to residents in the Southcentral Region.

197  Allocate 90% of big game drawing permits to residents for Southcentral Region Units.
NOTE: This Tentative Agenda is subject to change throughout the course of the meeting. This tentative Agenda is provided to give a general idea of the board’s anticipated schedule. The board will attempt to hold to this schedule; however, the board is not constrained by this tentative Agenda. Persons wishing to testify must sign-up by the deadline. Public testimony will continue until those present at the meeting are heard; the board will continue working through its agenda immediately upon conclusion of public testimony. The following time blocks are only an estimate.

Friday, March 13, 8:30 AM
OPENING BUSINESS
Call to Order
Introductions of Board Members and Staff
Board Member Ethics Disclosures
Purpose of Meeting (overview)
STAFF AND OTHER REPORTS
PUBLIC AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE TESTIMONY (upon conclusion of staff reports)

THE DEADLINE TO SIGN-UP TO TESTIFY will be announced prior to the meeting. Public testimony will continue until persons who have signed up before the deadline and who are present when called by the Chairman to testify, are heard.

Saturday, March 14, 8:30 AM
PUBLIC AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE TESTIMONY Continued
BOARD DELIBERATIONS (Upon conclusion of public testimony)

Sunday, March 15 – Monday, March 16, 8:30 AM
BOARD DELIBERATIONS Continued

Tuesday, March 17, 8:30 AM
BOARD DELIBERATIONS Concludes
MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS, including petitions, findings and policies, letters, and other business
(Upon conclusion of deliberations)
ADJOURN

Special Notes
A. This agenda is TENTATIVE and subject to change during the meeting. A list of staff reports and a roadmap will be available at the meeting. Scheduled updates will be available on the Board of Game website.
B. Meeting materials are available at: www.adfg.alaska.gov/index.cfm?adfg=gameboard.meetinginfo or by contacting the ADF&G Boards Support Office in Juneau at 465-4110.
C. A live audio stream for the meeting is intended to be available at: www.boardofgame.adfg.alaska.gov
D. The State of Alaska Department of Fish and Game complies with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA). Individuals with disabilities who may need auxiliary aids, services, and/or special modifications to participate in this hearing and public meeting should contact 465-4110 no later than December 26, 2014 to make any necessary arrangements.
PROPOSAL 140 - 5 AAC 085.045(4). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in Unit 6C as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasons and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unit 6(C)

1 moose by drawing permit only; up to 40 permits for bulls and up to 20 permits for antlerless moose may be issued; or

1 moose by registration permit only;          Nov. 1-Dec. 31 No open season.
...                                        (General hunt only)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? We recommend reauthorizing the state antlerless hunt. Antlerless moose seasons must be reauthorized annually. The population objective is 400-500 moose. A population estimate completed during February 2014 yielded an estimate of 600 moose, 25% of which were calves. Because the available antlerless harvest quota in Unit 6C is currently harvested under a federal subsistence season administered by the U. S. Forest Service, we have not held the antlerless hunt since the 1999-2000 season. However, if the available harvest is not taken under the federal system or there is a change in the management strategy (allocation between state and federal permits) this hunt will be needed. Continuation of the antlerless hunts will be necessary to restrain the growth of this population beyond what the habitat can support.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-336)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 141 - 5 AAC 85.040. Hunting seasons and bag limits for goat. Restrict the taking of goat for five years when a nanny is taken in Unit 6, as follows:

Add language to the Unit 6 goat regulation for units and bag limits to read: "However, if a nanny is taken, the hunter is prohibited from taking a goat in Unit 6 for five regulatory years.” We used
similar language adopted by the board for Units 7 and 15 remainder. Options of less than five year prohibitions would be considered.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** Harvest of nannies (females) in Unit 6. Recent studies have shown the sensitivity of small goat populations to the harvesting of breeding-age females. Observed kid production in some subunits has been less than 20:100 kids to adults (K:A) in summer/fall surveys, with the average being 16K:100A. Harvest of females has been recorded in subunits as high as 50% over a ten-year period. Coastal goat populations are exposed to extremely challenging weather, and because of the lower elevations at which this occurs predation has a significant impact on population recovery. The mixture of the natural conditions and human manipulation will ultimately prove not sustainable if not addressed. The board took action by adopting exactly the same language in Units 7 and 15 remainder several years ago. Both units are coastal, having similar climates, but very different harvest patterns. Unit 6 goat harvest is split between nonresident guided hunters and local residents primarily. The subunits with the high nanny harvest are those targeted by local hunters, many of whom are habitually harvesting females.

Several hunting areas in Unit 6 have been closed down completely, or closed early by Emergency Order due to high level of female harvest. Hunters, wildlife viewers and, most importantly, the species are likely to benefit. The object is stable, long-term population growth.

**PROPOSED BY:** Copper River/Prince William Sound Fish and Game Advisory Committee  
(EG-C14-196)
Kodiak Area – Unit 8

PROPOSAL 142 - 5 AAC 85.030. Hunting seasons and bag limits for deer. Reduce the nonresident bag limit for deer in Unit 8 as follows:

Unit 8, Kodiak Deer

Bag limit (nonresidents of Alaska): Two antlered deer
Season: August 1- December 31

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Recently (winter 2011-12) there was a significant die off of deer on Kodiak Island. While the subsequent two winters have not been as harsh and the deer are starting to slowly recover, the population is still well below its normal levels. Elimination of all harvest of doe (antlerless deer) for a period of time would speed up the recovery of the population. However there is utilization of females for resident hunters’ subsistence needs so this solution politically is not tenable. Reduction of the nonresident bag limit from three deer (bucks only before October 1) to two bucks should reduce some of the pressure on the deer herd and allow it to recover a little bit faster. The vast majority of nonresident hunters come for the experience of the hunt and to try to harvest a nice buck. Very few nonresidents of Alaska come because they want the meat. Allowing a bag limit of two bucks (only) probably will not result in any fewer nonresidents coming to Alaska to hunt deer, so it should not affect guide and air taxi business.

PROPOSED BY: John Frost (EG-C14-253)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 143- 5 AAC 92.130. Restrictions to bag limit. Change the bag limit restriction for brown bear in Unit 8 as follows:

Two possible solutions, either one would be acceptable:

1) Simply eliminate the regulation that states in Unit 8, bear wounded by a hunter must count as the bag limit for the year.

or

2) Insert the word "mortally" in front of wounded in the regulation. Where mortally could be defined as "any big game animal hit with a hunting projectile which dies or is reasonably expected to die as a result of the wound."

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The regulation which applies only to Units 1-5 and 8 that any bear showing any sign of being hit with a hunting projectile must be considered "taken" as part of a hunter's bag limit is not a good one. It is an attempt to legislate ethics and to assist guides who do not want to put maximum effort into a
hunt. It is very difficult to enforce. It discriminates against ethical hunters, who knowing the law will abide by it. It does not limit unethical hunters and guides who may continue to hunt after superficially wounding an animal because they know that their chances of being caught are very remote. There is no other state which has this regulation. Several dilemmas are created by this regulation:

1) If a hunter wounds an animal this year and counts it against his bag limit but the animal survives and that hunter kills the same animal in a subsequent year does he NOT need to count it against his bag limit since he already did in the first year?

2) If a hunter superficially wounds an animal and considers it taken, can he be charged with wanton waste because he was unable to salvage the meat?

3) Will a guide be tempted to tell a hunter to shoot a reasonable shot while a hunter wants to wait until he has a 100% certain shot? Thus being at odds with each other in their final goal and reducing the enjoyment of the hunt.

4) Will a guide allow a hunter who has superficially wounded an animal to keep hunting for only that animal and use every resource at his disposal to recover the wounded animal as is required by the guide regulations? Keeping in mind that every resource at his disposal may include bringing other assistant guides and their hunters in to help look for the wounded animal.

PROPOSED BY: John Frost (EG-C14-297)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 144 - 5 AAC 85.060. Hunting seasons and bag limits for fur animals. Modify the resident and nonresident hunting regulations for Unit 8 to include Arctic fox (\textit{Vulpes lagopus}) as follows:

5 AAC 85.060. Hunting seasons and bag limits for fur animals (a) ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2) Arctic fox (including white and blue phases)</td>
<td>Unit 8</td>
<td>Sept. 1 - Feb. 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 foxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Arctic fox (*Vulpes lagopus*) and/or Arctic fox hybrids exist in harvestable numbers in certain areas within Unit 8, specifically on Chirikof Island. ADF&G would like to add Arctic fox to the hunting regulations to provide hunters a harvest opportunity. We recommend a season identical to the season for red fox in Unit 8 which extends from September 1 to February 15 and a bag limit of two for residents and nonresidents.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-326)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 145 - 5 AAC 84.270. Furbearer trapping. Modify the resident and nonresident trapping regulations for Unit 8 to include Arctic fox (*Vulpes lagopus*) as follows:

5 AAC 84.270. Furbearer trapping. Trapping seasons and bag limits for furbearers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species and Units</th>
<th>Open Season</th>
<th>Bag Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3) Fox, arctic, white, or blue</td>
<td>Nov. 10 – Mar. 31</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Arctic fox (*Vulpes lagopus*) and/or Arctic fox hybrids exist in harvestable numbers in certain areas within Unit 8, specifically on Chirikof Island. ADF&G would like to add Arctic fox to the trapping regulations to provide trappers a harvest opportunity. We recommend a season identical to that for red fox in Unit 8 which extends from November 10 to March 31 with no bag limit for residents and nonresidents.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-330)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 146 - 5 AAC 85.060. Hunting seasons and bag limits for fur animals. Modify the resident and nonresident hunting regulations for Unit 8 to remove coyote (*Canis latrans*) and lynx (*Lynx canadensis*) from current hunting regulations as follows:

Unit 8 would not be referenced under coyote or lynx in the hunting regulations.

5 AAC 85.060. Hunting seasons and bag limits for fur animals (a) ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident</th>
<th>Nonresident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Subsistence and General Hunts)</td>
<td>Open Season</td>
<td>Open Season</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Coyote
Units 6, 7, 9 – 17, [6 – 17], 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26(B), and 26(C)  
July 1 – June 30  
July 1 – June 30

No limit

(4) Lynx

Units 1 - 5, [8,] and 10  
No open season.  
No open season.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Remove coyote (*Canis latrans*) and lynx (*Lynx canadensis*) from current hunting regulations. Neither coyote nor lynx exists within Unit 8.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-327)

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 147 - 5 AAC 84.270. Furbearer trapping. Modify the resident and nonresident trapping regulations for Unit 8 to remove lynx (*Lynx canadensis*) and wolverine (*Gulo gulo*) from current trapping regulations as follows:

Unit 8 would not be referenced under lynx or wolverine in the trapping regulations.

5 AAC 84.270. Furbearer trapping. Trapping seasons and bag limits for furbearers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species and Units</th>
<th>Open Season</th>
<th>Bag Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(5) Lynx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unit 10 [UNITS 8 AND 10]**  
No open season.

(14) Wolverine

Units 6, 7 [6 - 8], 9(A), 9(C), 9(D), 9(E), 15, and 16(B)  
Nov. 10 - Last day of Feb.  
No limit

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Remove lynx (*Lynx canadensis*) and wolverine (*Gulo gulo*) from current trapping regulations. Neither lynx nor wolverine exists within Unit 8.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-329)

******************************************************************************
Anchorage Area – Unit 14C

PROPOSAL 148 - 5 AAC 85.045(12). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.
Reauthorize the antlerless moose seasons in Unit 14C as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 14(C), Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson (JBER) Management Area</td>
<td>Day after Labor Day — Mar 31 (General hunt only)</td>
<td>Day after Labor Day — Mar 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 moose by regulatory year by drawing permit, and by muzzleloading blackpowder rifle or bow and arrow only; up to 185 permits may be issued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 14(C), that portion known as the Birchwood Management Area</td>
<td>Day after Labor Day — Sept. 30 (General hunt only)</td>
<td>Day after Labor Day — Sept. 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 moose by drawing permit, by bow and arrow only; up to 25 permits may be issued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 14(C), that portion known as the Anchorage Management Area</td>
<td>Day after Labor Day — Nov. 30 (General hunt only)</td>
<td>No open season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only, and by bow and arrow, shotgun, or muzzleloader only; up to 50 permits may be issued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 14(C), that portion of the Ship Creek drainage upstream of the Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson (JBER) Management Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 moose by drawing permit only; up to 50 permits may be issued; or

1 bull by registration permit only

Remainder of Unit 14(C)

1 moose per regulatory year, only as follows:

1 bull with spike-fork antlers or 50-inch antlers or antlers with 3 or more brow tines on one side; or

1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; up to 60 permits may be issued; or

1 bull by drawing permit only, by bow and arrow only; up to 10 permits may be issued

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The harvest of antlerless moose provides ADF&G with a management tool to maintain the number of moose in Unit 14C at the low end of the population objective (1,500 moose). At this population level there will be reductions in over-browsing of winter habitat, moose-vehicle collisions, moose-human conflicts in urban areas, and starvation during severe winters. In addition, the moose population will be healthier and more productive due to decreased stress levels associated with winter food shortages.

Moose in Unit 14C are managed intensively for a population objective of 1,500-1,800 moose and an annual harvest objective of 90-270 moose. In 2013, we estimated a moose population of approximately 1,533 moose. At this population level, we have experienced a dramatic decline in human-moose conflicts and decreased winter mortalities. Harvesting cow moose is paramount to maintaining the population at the low end of the objective while providing adequate harvest opportunity.
Antlerless moose hunts must be reauthorized annually. During summer, an estimated 200-300 moose inhabit the Anchorage metropolitan area. This number can increase up to 700-1,000 moose during the winter, when moose move into the metropolitan area from the surrounding mountain valleys. As a result, high moose densities cause severe over-browsing in some areas and lead to an increased incidence of moose-vehicle collisions and conflicts with humans. The number of antlerless permits we issue depends on the current population estimate and bull:cow ratios, as well as estimated winter mortality (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulatory Year</th>
<th>Either Sex Permits</th>
<th>Antlerless Permits</th>
<th>Cows Harvested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-339)

PROPOSAL 149 - 5 AAC 085.045(12). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in the Twentymile/Portage/Placer hunt area in Units 7 and 14C as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

... Unit 7, the Placer River drainages, and that portion of the Placer Creek (Bear Valley) drainage outside the Portage Glacier Closed Area, and that portion of Unit 14(C) within the Twentymile River drainage

RESIDENT HUNTERS:
1 moose by drawing permit only; up to 60 permits for bulls will be issued in combination with nonresident hunts, and up to 70 permits for antlerless moose will be issued.

NONRESIDENT HUNTERS:

1 bull by drawing permit only; up to 60 permits for bulls will be issued in combination with resident hunts.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Antlerless moose seasons must be reauthorized annually. A November 2013 aerial composition count of moose in the Twentymile, Portage, and Placer river drainages found 155 moose with a bull:cow ratio of 23 bulls per 100 cows and a calf:cow ratio of 27 calves per 100 cows. This population has a history of rapid increase following mild winters; consequently, in 2009, antlerless permits were issued for the first time since 2004. Thirty antlerless permits were issued for 2009, 2010, and 2011, and twenty antlerless permits were issued for 2012 and 2013. Harvests for 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 were 25 bulls and 17 cows, 15 bulls and 15 cows, 19 bulls and 8 cows, 12 bulls and 7 cows, and 10 bulls and 7 cows respectively.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game

PROPOSAL 150 - 5 AAC 85.045 Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Establish an antlerless moose drawing permit hunt for physically disabled residents in Kincaid Park, Unit 14C as follows:

The solution is an annual drawing hunt for up to ten antlerless moose during the month of October (lowest amount of park use). Eligible hunters will be those with a physical disability of 70% or greater as noted under AS 16.05.940(25), and require a wheelchair or other mobility device, who have taken a valid hunter's education course and passed a hunt orientation and marksmanship test provided by ADF&G. The intent of this hunt is to aid in increasing public safety among recreational users and to give mobility impaired individuals an option to participate in the Alaskan tradition of hunting. Legal means will be a shotgun or muzzleloading firearm. The entire moose will be removed from the kill site. The disabled hunter will be allowed to shoot from the trail from a motorized vehicle. ADF&G may require that another licensed hunter with valid hunter's education accompany the permitted hunter. A safety orange vest provided by ADF&G will be worn at all times by all hunt participants.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? With increased facility development and corresponding increased year-round use of Kincaid Park in west Anchorage, there has been a significant increase of negative human-moose interactions in the park. Many of these conflicts have ended with human injuries and property damage as a result. Youth ski and bicycle groups have been charged and attacked recently. This is causing a public safety concern for the many recreational users of the park. ADF&G has the ability to issue more antlerless moose permits in the Anchorage management unit.

PROPOSED BY: Ira Edwards (EG-C14-291)

PROPOSAL 151- 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Change the any sheep bag limit for Unit 14C to any ram, for draw hunts DS140, DS141, DS240 and DS241 as follows:

Change the current language to read: A legal ram is, any ram.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Change the current any sheep bag limit rule for Unit 14C to any ram, on draw hunts DS140, DS141, DS240 and DS241. The Anchorage Advisory Committee is opposed to the taking of ewes. With the current ongoing declining sheep population, we need every lamb-producing ewe to stay on the mountain.

PROPOSED BY: Anchorage Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-316)

PROPOSAL 152 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Establish a resident only sheep hunt area in Chugach State Park, Unit 14C as follows:

Unit 14C: Resident only sheep hunting, with the exception of one Governor's tag hunt.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Establish a resident only sheep hunt area in Chugach State Park, Unit 14C, with the exception of one Governor's tag hunt. This area is one of the few in the state that residents have easy and affordable access to hunt Dall sheep. I would like to see the Board of Game set aside an area for residents only to hunt Dall sheep. With Unit 14C falling within the boundaries of Chugach State Park lands, it is a logical choice.

PROPOSED BY: Frank Neumann (EG-C14-315)

PROPOSAL 153 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Limit the number of next of kin nonresident sheep tags in Unit 14C as follows:

The allocation of one nonresident rifle sheep tag and one nonresident archery sheep tag to next of kin. These hunts would have a separate DS permit numbers and would rotate between the rifle and archery sheep hunts within Unit 14C.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The increase in the percentage of nonresident sheep permits that are being drawn by next of kin nonresidents within the drawing areas. The increase in the Alaskan population has put a much larger number of next of kin nonresidents drawing sheep permits over the guided nonresident sheep hunters.

PROPOSED BY: Lance Kronberger (EG-C14-222)
*****************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 154 - 5 AAC 85.040. Hunting seasons and bag limits for goat. Increase the number of nonresident goat drawing permits in Unit 14C as follows:

I propose one additional guided nonresident drawing permit in the following Unit 14C drawing goat hunt permit areas: DG852, DG854, and DG858. These hunts would have a separate DG number and would only be eligible to hunters who are guided by a licensed guide for Unit 14C.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The hunter participation and success in Unit 14C drawing goat hunts is low. These hunts are very physical and the country that the goats live in is very inaccessible to the average permit holder.

PROPOSED BY: Lance Kronberger (EG-C14-224)
*****************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 155 - 5 AAC 85.015. Hunting seasons and bag limits for black bear. Add a drawing permit hunt for black bears within the JBER Management Area in Unit 14C as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unit 14(C), JBER Management Area

1 bear every regulatory year, by drawing permit only; by shotgun only; up to 25 permits may be issued April 15-June 15 (General hunt only) April 15 – June 15

Unit 14(C), remainder No closed season No closed season

1 bear

…
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The majority of Unit 14C is open to black bear hunting, either by harvest ticket or by registration permit, with a bag limit of one bear per year. Black bear hunting in Chugach State Park is restricted to the day after Labor Day to June 15 to avoid potential conflicts with other park users, while the Remainder of Unit 14C has no closed season. Areas closed to bear hunting include the densely populated areas of the Anchorage Bowl and Eagle River. While the Joint Base Elmendorf Richardson (JBER) Management Area is open to big game hunting by permit only, no bear hunting has been permitted on the military lands to date. Since hunting is prohibited in city parks by municipal regulation, the remaining large portion of bear habitat that is currently not being utilized for hunting opportunity is located on JBER. We propose to create a shotgun only drawing permit hunt for black bears in the JBER Management Area of Unit 14C.

Black bear hunting is a popular activity within Unit 14C, and black bear harvest has increased in the last 20 years. Total annual mortality, including hunter harvest, as well as defense of life and property and road kills, averaged 70 bears per year from 2008 to 2012. In 2013 overall mortality of black bears declined to 36 bears, which included six road kills, five defense of life and property kills, and 25 harvested bears.

Overall in Unit 14C, the percent of females in the harvest has shown an increasing trend since 1994. In the last three years, the percentage of females in the harvest was 41% in both 2011 and 2012 and 52% in 2013. Regardless of the somewhat high take of female bears recently in Unit 14C, we do not believe that creating a drawing permit hunt on JBER will have a dramatic impact on overall bear harvest or contribute to a population decline in black bears in Unit 14C. It is probable that any harvest on JBER will be compensatory to current mortality, as bears on JBER also use areas of Unit 14C that are currently open to bear hunting. The intent of this proposal is to create a hunting opportunity where there is currently none. In addition, harvesting a limited number of black bears on JBER may address some human-bear conflicts on base and in adjacent Anchorage and Eagle River/Chugiak neighborhoods.

The management of this hunt will be a joint effort between ADF&G and JBER Natural Resources personnel and operated using the same process for base moose hunters. In addition to Basic Hunter Education certification, hunters will be required to attend an orientation, pass a proficiency test with the weapon with which they intend to hunt, and check in and out daily using the base recreational access system.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-332)
PROPOSAL 156 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Shorten the moose seasons in Unit 15 as follows:

Go back to a September season, with the archery season starting on September 1, running for one week with a two week rifle season following.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Moose hunting starts too early. The weather is too warm. Antler restrictions due to lack of bulls. Shorten the season. Three weeks rather than six is plenty of moose hunting opportunity considering the lack of mature bulls. There will be better meat and less spoilage. Antlers are still growing in archery season. Hunters will need to butcher moose the day they are harvested.

PROPOSED BY: Joseph Ross (EG-C14-193)

PROPOSAL 157 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Change the general bull moose season dates in Unit 15 to September 1-30 as follows:

Move the season forward ten days, changing the Unit 15 general bull moose harvest dates to September 1-30, so a much higher percentage of harvested meat will make it back to hunters' homes to be good table fare.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? At issue is the preservation of moose meat in Unit 15. It is so warm and humid during the season that moose harvests can turn into monumental struggles to properly care for fresh meat. The culprits are heat, moisture, and flies, each singularly able to ruin a harvest in a matter of a day or two. Current season dates are August 20-September 20. Historical weather data from the Kenai Peninsula in August shows temperatures in the 50-60 degree range with plenty of rain. Most hunters are in the field, far from the controlled environments of freezers and fly-proof hanging areas of their homes. Even with ATVs or horses, it can take a couple of days to move a moose from the areas that most people hunt. This is a travesty and is the intent of neither the hunter nor the game managers.

In September the weather tends to be cooler and sometimes drier, which in turn lowers the fly count. The temperature is lower, even starting to frost at night then, which does a world of good in cooling the 800 pounds of meat from a moose. The frost kills the flies also. During the same time, it tends to be a bit drier as well, also a great help in averting moisture spoilage in meat under field care. Hunters will be able to more easily and safely care for the meat in the field and transport it home, leaving more energy to deal with other inherent travails such as the sheer mass of a large moose, or the birds, or of course bears.

If nothing is changed in the regulations, much meat will continue to be unfairly wasted due to environmental conditions.
In defense of potential overharvest due to this season date change proposal: Some would say that allowing hunters in the field during the last week of September would give unfair advantage to hunters, as the bulls are closer to rut and therefore more active. I feel that the current ADF&G regulations specifying antler size and configuration in the general bull hunt, and the opportunity limit of 50 for the drawing cow hunt DM549, positively and correctly identify and limit that class of animals that are targeted by ADF&G for the harvest. Most other units have their moose season in September. The brush is much thicker and taller in Unit 15, no shortage of moose cover. There will be no more or less moose harvested by this season shift.

Considered was the idea instead to shorten the season to September 1-20. It was rejected due to hunter opportunity loss. Remember how thick the brush is and how inclement the weather can be. Note that years ago, the season dates in Unit 15 were September 1-30, same as most of the state.

Please consider the above date change to the Unit 15 moose hunt. Peninsula meat hunters thank you.

PROPOSED BY: Douglas Malone

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 158 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Open a nonresident moose season in Unit 15C as follows:

Unit 15C Nonresidents:

1 bull 50-inch antlers or antlers with four or more brow tines on one side; September 1-20 (General hunt only).

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Currently Unit 15C is closed to nonresident moose hunters. Unit 15C has been closed to nonresident moose hunting since intensive management was put in place in 2011. Current population levels (2013 estimate 3,204) are within population objectives of 2,500-3,500 moose. Bull to cow ratios have increased significantly and are currently within objectives of 15 to 20 bulls:100 cows. Nonresident hunters took an average of 4% of the harvest before the closure during the general moose season. Moose harvest in Unit 15C during 2013 was 98 animals; this would equate to an additional four bulls being harvested by nonresident hunters at past harvest levels. Currently, several other management units under intensive management are open to nonresident hunting such as Units 12, 20B, 20D, 20E, and 25C.

PROPOSED BY: Bruce Willard

******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 159 – 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Open a nonresident moose drawing hunt in Unit 15 as follows:

Before opening the hunt to a spike/fork in Unit 15, consider making it a drawing for nonresidents for 50-inch bulls, similar to areas in Unit 13.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Increased pressure on moose numbers, not enough mature bulls. More bulls make it to maturity, I would have a chance to hunt around my house with family or friends from out of state. All hunters would have a chance at bigger bulls and nonresidents could hunt and make some money for the state by applying.

PROPOSED BY: Frank Casey
EG031213737

PROPOSAL 160 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Open a nonresident moose season in Unit 15C or implement predator control as follows:

We recommend to either implement predator control, which is why nonresident moose hunting has been stopped, or open nonresident moose hunting.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Closing of nonresident moose hunting in Unit 15C due to the implementation of predator control as a result of the declined moose population. ADF&G has estimated the moose population at 3,200 last year and the population objective is 2,500 to 3,500. The moose harvest last year was between 80 to 90 moose with a 200 to 350 moose objective. Please reinstate the nonresident moose hunting for Unit 15C. Thank you for your consideration.

PROPOSED BY: Derek and Bunny Alexander
EG-C14-216

PROPOSAL 161 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Change the bag limit for moose in Units 7 and 15 to 50-inch antlers with three or more brow tines as follows:

No spike hunting for bull moose. Legal bull to be 50-inches wide and at least three brow tines on one antler. Keep wolf and brown bear regulations in place.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The low numbers of moose on the Kenai Peninsula, especially the low number of mature bull moose, and lost hunter opportunity.

PROPOSED BY: Thomas and Helen Netschert
EG-C14-313

PROPOSAL 162 - 5 AAC 85.XXX. Hunting seasons and bag limits. Eliminate all early and late season start dates for archery, muzzleloader, and shotgun hunts in Unit 15 as follows:

Eliminate all special privilege seasons in Unit 15.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Special pre- or post-season bowhunting, muzzleloader and shotgun hunts. If you choose to hunt with a bow and arrow, that's fine with me but you shouldn't be given special time to do so. With today's antler restrictions, to
be a responsible hunter you need to stalk and get close to an animal to be sure it is legal, therefore you shouldn't be penalized for hunting with a rifle.

**PROPOSED BY:** Chris Garcia (EG-C14-245)

**PROPOSAL 163 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.** Eliminate the early archery season for moose in Units 15A and 15B as follows:

Remove the early archery only season for moose from August 10-17 in Units 15A and 15B.

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** The early archery only season (August 10-17) for moose in Units 15A and 15B. There is no justification for this early season. This would align the moose seasons in Units 15A, 15B, and 15C, simplifying regulations for the hunting community.

**PROPOSED BY:** Homer Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-208)

**PROPOSAL 164 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.** Open seven day archery seasons for moose following the general seasons in Units 7 and 15 as follows:

In each of the units listed for moose hunts where only a harvest ticket is required there would be a separate season "by bow and arrow only" starting the day following the general season and extending for an additional seven days. These hunts would have the same antler restrictions as currently listed for each unit. They would be limited to IBEP certified bowhunters only. (Note that starting in July 2016 anyone hunting big game anywhere in Alaska will be required to be IBEP certified.) This would apply for units 7 and 15.

(This proposal was also submitted for the February 2015 Central/Southwest Region meeting.)

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** We request additional hunting opportunity for moose for IBEP certified bowhunters. We would like to see seven days added to the end of the general moose season with a season for bowhunting only. This would be for moose hunts in these units currently available by harvest ticket and would not include areas open only by drawing permit. In the case of Units 15A and 15B which have seven day, mid-August archery moose seasons, we would recommend elimination of those seasons in return for an archery season at the end of the regulatory moose season.

The primary reason for this request is to increase hunter opportunity at a time when the bulls are moving more because of the rut and meat care is easier because of cooler weather. The early archery season in Unit 15 has had very low participation and success because of dense foliage, limited moose movement and warm temperatures which make meat salvage difficult. We believe that this would result in a slightly higher harvest rate but would still be easily within the biologic surplus of animals available to be harvested without affecting the overall moose population.
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PROPOSED BY: Alaskan Bowhunters Association (EG-C14-242)
*****************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 165 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Open an
archery moose season for residents and nonresidents in Unit 15C as follows:

Add Unit 15C to the archery season August 10-17, in Units 15A and 15B.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Biologists tell me there are
more moose in Unit 15C. Spread the archers out over a wider area to reduce congestion, making
it safer to hunt. Just include Unit 15C in the same regulation as Units 15A and 15B.

PROPOSED BY: David Hanrahan (EG-C14-195)
*****************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 166 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Change the
resident, antlerless moose season in Unit 15C to November 1-30 as follows:

Unit 15C: 1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; the taking of calves, and females
accompanied by calves is prohibited; up to 100 permits may be issued; November 1-30.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Troopers in Unit 15C are
often called to investigate cow moose killed legally under DM549 permits during the general
hunting season. This impedes their ability to pursue true hunting violations at a crucial time of
year. The Homer antlerless hunt was originally in November; we would like to move it back. It is
a meat hunt, and November is a better month in which to hunt for ease of meat preservation.

PROPOSED BY: Homer Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-209)
*****************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 167 - 5 AAC 85.045. Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose. Issue resident
moose permits for problem areas near roads during the winter in Unit 15C as follows:

I recommend that the ADF&G be authorized to issue a limited number of permit tags for
problem areas for winter harvest of moose near roadways. Hunts will be opened at the discretion
of ADF&G.

Unit 15C: 1 cow or calf moose within one mile of the Sterling Highway by permit only; by
shotgun or muzzleloader; November 15-February 28. Up to 100 permits may be issued.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? An average of 247 moose
die each year by vehicle collision in Unit 15 (25% of which occur in subunit 15C). Additionally,
approximately 71 moose are hit annually by vehicles that are not recovered. This equals 318
moose dying each year by vehicle collision or 25% more than are taken by hunters. These
vehicle/moose collisions represent a serious concern for human safety, property damage, and loss
of hunting opportunity. Although the salvageable portion of these animals is currently distributed
through a “roadkill list”, a large portion of each moose is often unsalvageable for human consumption. We believe that the dangers and other negative effects of vehicle/moose collision can be lessened by having targeted roadside hunts in problem areas. Other actions have been taken in the past to try to reduce moose vehicle collisions including raising driver awareness through better signage, increasing right of way visibility through vegetation clearing, and reducing speed limits. Even with these measures in place, the number of collisions per year remains high. If no action is taken life and property damage will continue to occur at high rates, hunters will continue to loose opportunity, and our wildlife resources will continue to be wasted.

According to recent surveys, population levels (2013: 3,204±650) in Unit 15C are currently at the upper end of management objectives (2,500-3,500) for moose. Should population levels continue to increase moose numbers may soon exceed carrying capacity leading to a population decline as wintering habitat becomes over-browsed.

PROPOSED BY: Thomas Hagberg (EG-C14-249)

PROPOSAL 168 - 5 AAC 85.045(13). Hunting seasons and bag limits for moose.
Reauthorize the antlerless moose season in a portion of Unit 15C as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aug. 20—Sept. 20 (General Hunt only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 bull with spike antlers or 50-inch antlers or with 4 or more brow tines on one side</td>
<td>Aug. 20—Sept. 20</td>
<td>Aug. 20-Sept. 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 antlerless moose by drawing permit only; the taking of calves, and females accompanied by calves, is prohibited; up to 100 permits may be issued</td>
<td>Aug. 20—Sept. 20</td>
<td>Aug. 20-Sept. 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Antlerless moose seasons must be reauthorized annually. The Homer benchland in Unit 15C often holds high moose
densities in winters when deep snow pushes the moose down into human populated areas. Even without deep snow, a high number of moose die due to malnutrition and negative interactions with humans are common as moose become more aggressive in their search for food around human residences.

In February 2013, 1,218 moose were counted during a population survey in the northern portion of Unit 15C, of which 13.7% were calves (19 calves:100 cows). November 2013 composition counts for the area affected by this hunt provided ratios of 19 bulls:100 cows and 44 calves:100 cows. Fifty permits were issued in each of the last 11 years resulting in an average harvest of 23 cows per year. We recommend reauthorization of the antlerless hunt.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-341)

PROPOSAL 169 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Open a nine day, resident archery season in August for Dall sheep in Units 7, 14C and 15 as follows:

Create a new resident hunting season for bow and arrow only for Dall sheep from August 1-9, in Units 7, 14C, & 15, that currently have general sheep hunts by harvest ticket only.

These seasons would be for full curl, broomed both tips or 8+ year old rams only. Twenty years of bowhunting harvest statistics from Unit 14C indicate that only 1.7% of bowhunters are successful at taking full curl rams. IBEP certification would be required (it will be required for all bowhunting after July 2016 anyway).

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Overcrowding and limitation of opportunity for hunting Dall sheep. We suggest a method to increase hunting opportunity, spread out participation and reduce crowding with minimal impact on the species.

By creating a new hunt by bow and arrow only before the regular sheep season it would reduce crowding that occurs August 10th at the opening of the general sheep season. Hunters choosing to use bow and arrow would gain an advantage of being first into the mountains. They would be enjoying a higher quality sheep hunting experience because of less crowding. At the same time they would be markedly limiting their chances of actually killing a ram because of the need to get so much closer to their quarry than if using a rifle. There is no biologic reason to wait until August 10th to open sheep season as sheep seasons in Canada open as early as July 15th.

PROPOSED BY: Alaskan Bowhunters Association (EG-C14-243)
PROPOSAL 170 - 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bear. Modify the current harvest management strategy for Kenai Peninsula brown bears, Units 7 and 15 as follows:

This proposal calls for a modification of the current harvest management strategy for Kenai brown bears by: 1) establishing an annual cap on human-caused mortalities (HCMs) of reproductive age female bears (preliminary modeling indicates an annual cap of 12 HCMs of adult female bears) on the Kenai Peninsula; 2) amending current hunting season dates to decrease both the overall number and the proportion of adult female sows in the harvest; and 3) delineating "front country" and "back country" areas of the Kenai Peninsula and applying differential season dates and in-season management using HCM caps for adult female bears in these areas.

Season dates for the "back country" would be October 15 to November 30 and April 1 to April 30. Season dates for the "front country" would be September 1 to November 30 and April 1 to May 31.

Annual caps on human-caused mortalities would be four adult females in the "back country", at which point the hunting season in this area would be administratively closed; and 8 adult females for the front country, at which point the hunting season in this area would be administratively closed.

Proposed modifications of the harvest management strategy for Kenai brown bears involving establishment of HCM caps for adult female bears, in-season management, and delineation of front and back country areas could be accomplished under discretionary authority of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Amendment of season dates would require regulatory change.

Harvest management efforts to reduce negative-human bear interactions would be augmented through expanded educational and enforcement efforts aimed at reducing human-generated attractants in communities and on public lands. Educational efforts to help hunters identify and differentiate adult male and female bears in the field should be initiated. Harvest of brown bears over bait will continue to be disallowed by federal regulation on the Refuge.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The issue being addressed in this proposal is the impact of recent high levels of human caused-mortality on the Kenai Peninsula brown bear population. The purpose of this proposal is to ensure the long-term conservation of a healthy brown bear population on the Kenai Peninsula in a manner which provides for public interests, protects public safety, and which best meets the missions and legal mandates of the several state and federal agencies with management responsibilities in the region. Recognizing the complexities of managing the free-ranging Kenai brown bear population, the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge (Refuge) is committed to working toward a strategic and coordinated approach with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, the Alaska Board of Game, other federal agencies and affected publics in meeting these goals.
Beginning with the 2012-13 hunting season, the Alaska Board of Game liberalized hunting regulations for Kenai brown bears. The current regulations allow for a 9-month season (September 1- May 31) with a bag limit of one bear every regulatory year.

In 2013, 70 total known human-caused mortalities of brown bears occurred on the Kenai Peninsula; estimates of unreported mortality generated from radio-collared bears totaled an additional 28 bears. Of known mortalities, 34 (50%) were females, and 24 were adult reproductive-age females. Radio telemetry data suggest that 17% of the adult sows in the Kenai brown bear population were killed by humans in 2013; demographic data (stable age distribution analysis) indicated an 18% decline in the number of adult females in the population due to the 2013 kill (Alaska Department of Fish and Game data).

These levels of mortality, especially of reproductive-age female bears, resulted in an immediate decline in the Kenai brown bear population. Even when considered as a one-time event, modeling indicates this high loss of adult females, in combination with high overall mortality, will continue to impact the population in coming years. The potential for continued high mortality levels under the current harvest management strategy, particularly of adult females, is high and is of concern, as are resulting population level impacts.

The Refuge is considered core brown bear habitat on the Kenai Peninsula, and provides for a substantial proportion of the brown bear hunting opportunity in the region. Of the 70 total known 2013 human-caused mortalities, 44 bears were taken during the fall hunt. Of these 44, 31 (70%) were taken on federal lands, including 20 brown bears taken on the Refuge (45% of harvested bears), and 11 bears (25%) taken on Chugach National Forest.

The Kenai National Wildlife Refuge is legally mandated to conserve all fish, wildlife and habitats on the Refuge in their natural diversity, as well as to provide for both consumptive and non-consumptive uses of fish and wildlife resources in a manner consistent with meeting its other establishment purposes. Sport hunting of brown bears on the Refuge must be administered in manner compatible with its establishment purposes and the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System. In meeting this mandate, our current goal is to maintain brown bear densities on the Refuge at levels estimated in 2010 (approximately 42 bears per 1000 km², US Fish and Wildlife Service and US Forest Service data).

The specific objectives of this proposal are to: 1) manage brown bear harvests and limit overall human-caused mortality of brown bears on the Kenai Peninsula to levels which will maintain a healthy and relatively stable brown bear population; 2) provide consistent, long-term opportunities for both consumptive and non-consumptive uses of this important wildlife resource; and 3) to the degree possible and consistent with sound conservation practices, increase harvest opportunities proximal to communities as part of an overall strategic approach to decreasing the potential for negative human-bear interactions.

PROPOSED BY: US Fish and Wildlife Service, Kenai National Wildlife Refuge (EG-C14-305)
PROPOSAL 171 - 5 AAC 85.020. Hunting seasons and bag limits for brown bears. Modify the brown bear harvest management strategy on the Kenai Peninsula, Units 7 and 15, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units and Bag Limits</th>
<th>Resident Open Season (Subsistence and General Hunts)</th>
<th>Nonresident Open Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 bear every regulatory year by registration permit only</td>
<td>Sept. 1 – May 31 (General hunt only)</td>
<td>Sept. 1 – May 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 bear every regulatory year by registration permit only</td>
<td>Sept. 1 – May 31 (General hunt only)</td>
<td>Sept. 1 – May 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The purpose of this proposal is to ensure public input on brown bear harvest management strategies on the Kenai Peninsula. ADF&G is proposing two significant changes that do not require change in regulation and can be done under permit conditions. These include managing two portions of the peninsula with different season lengths and different caps on the amount of allowable human cause mortality of adult females.

Management strategies regarding hunting opportunities for Kenai Peninsula brown bears have been controversial for decades. During the 1990’s, conservative regulations were adopted due to concerns for the long term viability of the Kenai Peninsula brown bear population. These actions led to reduced hunting opportunity and coincided with a period of time where reported Defense of Life or Property (DLP) killings of brown bears increased. This was also a period of time when the human population continued to increase on the Kenai. Consequently, the construction of residences and seasonal cabins expanded into areas previously unoccupied by man-made structures as did the human generated food attractants for brown bears.

During recent years, brown bear hunting opportunities have been liberalized. The current regulations allow for a nine month season (September 1 – May 31) and a bag limit of one brown bear per regulatory year (RY, July 1 – June 30). The hunt is administered through a registration permit and the number of permits available is unlimited. The board also stipulated that the three year running average of human caused mortality of brown bears, not exceed 70 total bears based on a calendar year, and the “cap” of 70 bears apply to the entire Kenai Peninsula. During the fall (September 1 – December 31) of the first year of hunting opportunity under these new
regulations, hunters reported killing 43 brown bear, of which 14 were adult females. When spring 2013 harvests and reported non-hunting human caused mortalities are added, we have documentation for 70 human caused brown bear mortalities (including 24 adult females). In 2014, ADF&G informed the board that it will institute an annual total human caused mortality cap of 17 adult female bears given concerned about the rate of total adult female mortality.

Another concern for ADF&G is the number of DLP killings, the majority of which occur near residences. In order to address this concern, ADF&G will continue its’ efforts to provide information to the public regarding methods available to minimize bear attractants in residential areas. ADF&G also proposes an alternative harvest strategy in an attempt to focus harvest near human settlements. This strategy involves more conservative regulations in the “back country” (areas away from human settlement) and more liberal regulations in the “front country” (within 5 miles of significant human settlement). This strategy provides a “refugia” approach intended to provide flexibility in reducing bear-human conflicts while ensuring appropriate levels of harvest from the population.

For the “front country” we propose season dates of September 1 – May 31. This area will have a bag limit of one brown bear per regulatory year and be administered using a registration permit system. ADF&G will close this season by emergency order if 12 adult female, human caused mortalities (from all causes) occur during a regulatory year.

For the “back country” ADF&G will close this season by emergency order if five adult female, human caused mortalities (from all causes) occur during a regulatory year.

As now, ADF&G will close the season if human caused mortality exceeds 70 bears on the peninsula. All of these changes can be accomplished under discretionary authority and do not require regulatory change. Given the allocative implications of this management approach, ADF&G is seeking input from the public and direction from the board.

PROPOSED BY: Alaska Department of Fish and Game (EG-C14-331)

PROPOSAL 172 - 5 AAC 85.020. Seasons and bag limits for brown bear, and 92.220. Salvage of game meat, furs and hides. Lengthen the brown bear season in Units 7 and 15 and remove the meat salvage requirement for brown bear taken over bait as follows:

Bag limit - One bear every regulatory year;
Season - September 1-June 30, and may be taken over black bear bait station (April 15-June 30, no salvage of meat required.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Units 7 and 15 brown bear population explosion. Brown bear predation on moose is not sustainable. Management has been constantly delaying any harvest and doing everything it can to halt any harvest.

Brown bear history on the Kenai has always been a small number and only elevated by ADF&G efforts or mismanagement. History; native kills, miners, homesteaders (the original defense of
life and property) and market hunters hunting hides for profit kept the brown bear numbers down. Moose do need better habitat but don't have much of a chance against wolves all winter and adding brown bear come spring until hibernation.

PROPOSED BY: Robert White (EG-C14-19)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 173 - 5 AAC 92.220. Salvage of game meat, furs, and hides. Remove meat salvage requirements for taking brown bear over bait in the Southcentral Region as follows:

Remove all meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait for the Southcentral Region.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Brown bear salvage requirements that force hunters to salvage meat that may be inedible. Why force hunters to salvage meat that may not be fit to eat?

PROPOSED BY: Anchorage Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-317)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 174 - 5 AAC 92.220. Salvage of game meat, furs, and hides. Remove meat salvage requirements for brown bear taken over bait in the Southcentral Region.

Align salvage requirements for brown bear with black bear, for bears taken over a bait station for Southcentral.

(This proposal was also submitted for the February 2015 Central/Southwest Region meeting.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Salvage of brown bear meat taken over bait. Remove salvage requirement.

PROPOSED BY: Joel Doner (EG-C14-314)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 175 - 5 AAC 85.065. Hunting seasons and bag limits for small game. Shorten the season and reduce the bag limit for ptarmigan north of Kachemak Bay and Fox River, in Unit 15C as follows:

Unit 15C north of Kachemak Bay and the Fox River, August 10-February 1, five birds per day, 20 in possession, resident and nonresident. The remainder of Unit 15C, August 10-March 31, ten birds per day, 20 in possession.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? A significant decline in ptarmigan numbers has occurred in Unit 15C north of Kachemak Bay compared to historic levels. This decline appears to be associated with ease of access, increased hunting pressure, and limited habitat. The majority of the access and increased hunting pressure is via snowmobile.
Extensive trails now cover this entire region and human population density has increased significantly. To reduce pressure I suggest shortening the season dates to August 10 - February 1.

PROPOSED BY: Bruce Willard (EG-C14-112)

PROPOSAL 176 - 5 AAC 85.065. Hunting seasons and bag limits for small game. Reduce the bag limit for ptarmigan in Unit 15C, north of Kachemak Bay and Fox River, as follows:

Unit 15C north of Kachemak Bay and the Fox River, August 10 - March 31, five birds per day, 20 in possession, resident and nonresident.

The remainder of Unit 15C, August 10 - March 31, ten birds per day, 20 in possession, resident and nonresident.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? At issue is a serious decline in the ptarmigan population in Unit 15C, due to limited habitat and easy access to that habitat.

I propose to lower the daily bag limit for ptarmigan in Unit 15C, from the northern boundary with Unit 15B south to Fox River and Kachemak Bay from ten/day to five/day, with possession limits remaining at 20. The habitat that is suitable for these birds within this area consists of only Ptarmigan Head, the Boxcar Hills, and to some degree Bald Mountain. Ptarmigan have not been observed in any numbers for many years on other seemingly suitable habitat areas of Lookout, Epperson, Ohlsen Mountains or the Ninilchik Domes. I believe this is largely due to proximity to large population of hunters enjoying easy access via snogo. We can help the ptarmigan population in Unit 15C by lowering the daily limit, while still providing plenty of hunter opportunity in the area, as well as keeping the larger daily limit in areas that are both flush with birds and involve more difficult access, namely the Kenai Range.

PROPOSED BY: Douglas Malone (EG-C14-239)

PROPOSAL 177 - 5 AAC 84.270. Trapping seasons and bag limits. Shift to an earlier opening date for beaver trapping in Units 7 and 15 as follows:

Beaver trapping opens October 20 and closes March 31 in Units 7 and 15.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The current opening date for beaver trapping is currently too late for dependable open water sets. The current season opening date for beaver should begin October 20 rather than November 10. The season also currently runs too late as pelts are coming out of prime and severely rubbed in April and travel on water ways is often becoming unpredictable and dangerous. Trappers will continue to be unable to reliably trap beavers in open water and the season will continue to be open when conditions are more dangerous for trapping. By having an earlier open date, snowmachine trappers who trap only on Kenai National Wildlife Refuge Lands and must wait for appropriate snow conditions to
access trapping areas will benefit. Beaver seasons currently open in many other units across the state as early as September 25.

PROPOSED BY: John Dahman (EG12172729)
******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 178 - 5 AAC 92.095. Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions. Require trap identification in Units 7 and 15 as follows:

Adopt the wording on page 21 of the 2013-2014 trapping regulations that dictates trapper ID requirements in Units 1-5. "Trappers are prohibited from using a trap or snare unless the trap or snare has been individually marked with a permanent metal tag upon which is stamped or permanently etched the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number, or is set within 50 yards of a sign that lists the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number; if a trapper chooses to place a sign at a snaring site rather than tagging individual snares, the sign must be at least three inches by five inches in size, be clearly visible and have numbers and letters that are at least one-half inch high and one-eighth inch wide in a color that contrasts with the color of the sign."

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Due to the popularity of trapping and increased user conflict as well as the illegal removal of legal traps, a trap identification requirement such as currently exists in Units 1-5 should be adopted for trapper use in Units 7 and 15.

PROPOSED BY: Homer Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-205)******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 179 - 5 AAC 92.095. Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions. Establish a trapper identification numbering system for Units 7 and 15 as follows:

The Department of Fish and Game will issue an ID number much like a hunter ID or archery certification number to any trapper requesting one. This would fulfill any trapper ID requirement for trappers who wish to identify their traps, but prefer not to use their name. This would also alleviate the issue of trappers that do not have a current driver’s license.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? A method for trappers to anonymously identify and register traps with ADF&G and law enforcement agencies is needed.

PROPOSED BY: Homer Fish and Game Advisory Committee (EG-C14-204)******************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 180 - 5 AAC 92.095. Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions. Restrict trapping in the Cooper Landing area as follows:

Proposal for Trapping Restrictions in Cooper Landing as enumerated by roads, multi-use trails and campgrounds, and specific special area closures.
Road Restrictions: Trapping is prohibited (with the exception of small size leg-hold traps to be determined), within 250 feet of any roads that lead to public or private property, and the boundaries of all private property, in the Cooper Landing Area, as defined below:

The Cooper Landing Area is defined as public roads that serve as arteries to private properties and public use areas from the Sterling Highway mile 37 to the Sterling Highway mile 55, inclusive.

Multi-Use Trail and Campground Restrictions: Trapping is prohibited (with the exception of small size leg-hold traps to be determined), within 250 feet of specific multi-use trails, their trailheads, and campgrounds, as defined below:

Resurrection Pass Trail (Cooper Landing to Hope)
Lower Russian Lake Trail to Barber Cabin and to Russian River Falls
Crescent Creek Trail (Trailhead at Quartz Creek Road to bridge over Crescent Creek at outlet of Crescent Lake)
Crescent Creek Campground
Russian River Campground
Old Sterling Highway (Quartz Creek Road to Tern Lake)
Quartz Creek Campground
Bean Creek Trail (from Slaughter Ridge Road to where the Bean Creek Trail intersects the Resurrection Pass Trail).

Sunrise East Track Trail that starts 50 feet up Russian Gap Road from where Russian Gap Road intersects the Sterling Highway, to the end of the Sunrise East Track Trail. (Sunrise East Track Trail travels from its intersection with Russian Gap Road, directly behind the Russian Gap Subdivision, and continues easterly for about four miles along the Bench below Russian Gap).

West Juneau Creek Road. This gated Chugach National Forest Service Road starts near the broad parking lot that fronts the Cooper Landing Trailhead for the Resurrection Pass Trail, along the Sterling Highway. It travels north and easterly, on Juneau Creek Bench, for about three miles.

Stetson Creek Trail to the Stetson Creek Falls.

Special Area Closures

1) Kenai Lake Beach Closure. South side of Kenai Lake, from Snug Harbor Road/Sterling Highway intersection, to mile 6.5 of Snug Harbor Road. The area from the north side and west side of Snug Harbor Road on the Cecil Rhode Mountain side – the right hand side of the road when traveling towards Cooper Lake - to Kenai Lake inclusive of the shoreline is closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

2) Kenai Lake Beach Closure. North side of Kenai Lake, from the bridge at Kenai Lake, along the Sterling Highway, to the Sterling Highway/Quartz Creek Road intersection. The area from the south side of the Sterling Highway to Kenai Lake inclusive of the shoreline is closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).
3) Kenai Lake Beach Closure. North side of Kenai Lake, from the Quartz Creek/Sterling Highway intersection, to the end of Williams road. The area from the north side of the above named roads to Kenai Lake inclusive of the shoreline is closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

4) Kenai Lake Beach Closure. North side of Kenai Lake, from the end of Williams Road, heading south east along the shore line of Kenai Lake, will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten), for 2 miles within 250 feet of Kenai Lake.

5) Cooper Landing “Organic Dump/Old Borough Gravel Pit” Closure. The Cooper Landing Organic Dump, which is located in an old KPB gravel pit located at approximately mile 2.5 of Snug Harbor Road (just prior to where the large power line crosses over Kenai Lake), is closed to trapping (with the exception of small size leg-hold traps to be determined), 250 feet outside of the perimeter of the old KPB gravel pit.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Purpose of our proposal:
The community of Cooper Landing is the location of many of the most important, heavily used trailheads and jumping off points into the Chugach National Forest and Kenai National Wildlife Refuge on the Central Kenai Peninsula. It is also the home to a number of populated neighborhoods spread throughout the length and breadth of the community. As such, it is a magnet for outdoor enthusiasts of all kinds including resident and visiting hikers, bikers, skiers, snowshoe-ers, ski joure-ers, mushers, berry pickers, birders, dog walkers, fishermen, hunters and trappers. Conflicts between trappers and other user groups have led to the formation of a cross-community group we call the “Safe Public Lands Coalition” to put forth the following regulatory proposal.

The purpose of this proposal is to ensure equitable access, during the trapping season, to trails and other areas where the presence of physically dangerous traps and snares endangers pets and small children and discourages other users from accessing these areas. Specifically, worries about trapping hazards in key, multi-use areas around our community severely restricts the ability of bird hunters to hunt with their dogs, of responsible dog owners to safely walk with their dogs even when leashed, of ski joure-ers and mushers to ski and sled with their dogs, and of families with small children to use trails without the worry of injury, as traps may, at present, be legally set in and directly adjacent to these multi-use areas. Beside resident trappers, who typically support the ethical principles of the Alaska Trappers Association and who work to trap in a manner that is cooperative with other user groups, our community sees many trappers arriving from distant communities and setting traps directly along roads, in and along trails, and not checking their sets on a regular basis. The danger created by unethically set traps has prompted the need for this regulatory proposal. Our proposal is aimed at minimizing or ending the risk of injury, mutilation and death to pet animals, notably dogs and puppies, and to small children.

Clearly definable, easily recognizable, coherent, reasonable and not excessive regulations are needed. Our objective is to make these regulations for the Cooper Landing area obvious enough to satisfy the stipulation of being "enforceable" areas. This simply means that a wildlife trooper would recognize that there are boundaries and trappers, pet owners and family groups would
know without uncertainty where all users can go and avoid run ins with set traps. Our concept is
to include exceptions for possible small size (to be determined) non-lethal leg hold traps and
game management special concerns in specific areas that affect residents of Cooper Landing.

We submit our proposal with the understanding that it is amendable and can be adjusted before
the Board of Game meeting to include our concerns and those of trappers and game managers
that we may have overlooked. We haven’t considered any other options for solving this trapping
issue to be realistic, but we have rejected offers to make informal agreements with the Alaska
Trappers Association. We believe we need formal arrangements as based on our proposal.
Unless we establish our proposal, we see that user conflicts will continue and become more
frequent as the dynamics of our rural Cooper Landing community change and a greater number
younger people settle and families grow.

PROPOSED BY: Ken Green (EG-C14-252)
*****************************************************************************
PROPOSAL 181 - 5 AAC 92.095. Unlawful methods of taking furbearers; exceptions.
Restrict trapping in the Seward and Moose Pass areas as follows:

Proposal for trapping restrictions in Seward and Moose Pass areas as enumerated by roads,
multi-use trails and campgrounds, and specific special area closures.

(For the purpose of this proposal, the Seward and Moose Pass area is defined as the corridor
from Tern Lake to Tonsina Creek including a portion of the Resurrection River Valley.)

Road Restrictions: Trapping is prohibited (with the exception of small size leg-hold traps to be
determined), within 250 feet of any roads (public roads that serve as arteries to private properties
and public use areas from the junction of the Seward Highway and the Sterling Highway south to
mile zero in Seward) that lead to public or private property, and the boundaries of all private
property, in the Seward and Moose Pass areas.

Multi-Use Trail and Campground Restrictions: Trapping is prohibited (with the exception of
small size leg-hold traps to be determined), within 250 feet of specific multi-use trails, their
trailheads, and campgrounds, as defined below:

The mile 12/divide ski area
Tonsina Trail from the Lowell Point State Recreational Site upper parking lot to the southern
stream of Tonsina Creek within Caines Head State Recreation Area
Spruce Creek drainage from the junction with Resurrection Bay upstream for ½ mile
Grouse Lake
Iditarod trail corridor from Nash Road to Vagt Lake
Alaska Railroad corridor from Seward to the ARR bridge over Trail Creek
City of Seward powerline beginning at Grouse Lake to Moose Pass
Chugach Electric Association powerline beginning at Moose Pass to Tern Lake
From the north end of Rainforest Circle upstream in unnamed creek for 1/2 mile
Lost Creek Trail
Resurrection River Trail
Mount Alice Trail
From the north end of Spruce Drive upstream in unnamed creek for 1/2 mile
Bear Lake
Lost Lake Trail
Troop Lake Trail
Grayling Lake Trail
Meridian Lake Trail
Primrose Campground
Primrose Trail
Victor Creek Trail
Ptarmigan Campground
Ptarmigan Lake Trail
Trail River Campground
Lower Trail Lake
Upper Trail Lake
Vagt Lake Trail
Grant Lake Trail (Trail to Case Mine)
Grant Lake Portage Trail
Johnson Pass Trail
Carter and Crescent Lakes Trail
Crescent Creek Trail
Tern Lake Day Use Area
Tern Lake

Special Area Closures

1. Herman Leirer Road to NPS Boundary Closure. North side of the Herman Leirer Road to the north edge of the Resurrection River Valley will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

2. Box Canyon Drainage Closure. Entire drainage as defined as from the bridge on the Herman Leirer Road over Box Canyon Creek upstream for 1.5 miles will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

3. South of Bear Lake Closure. The area south of the south shore of Bear Lake to the north side of Glacier Creek will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

4. Afognak Beach (local name) Closure. The shoreline of the northeast corner of Resurrection Bay will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).
5. A portion of the Shoreline of Resurrection Bay. The shoreline of Resurrection Bay restricted by the south end of Fourth of July drainage and the north end of the Spring Creek drainage will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

6. Fourth of July Drainage Closure. From the junction of Fourth of July Creek with the shoreline of Resurrection Bay 2 miles upstream will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

7. Head of Resurrection Bay Closure. The area bounded by Nash Road on the north and east to the shoreline of Resurrection Bay on the south, the DOT Seward airport and a portion of the Seward Highway on the west will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

8. A portion of the Shoreline of Kenai Lake. The shoreline of Kenai Lake from the Primrose Campground south, east and then north to Schilter Creek will be closed to trapping (with the exception of size 3 leg-hold traps for marten).

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?

Purpose of our proposal: The communities of Seward and Moose Pass enjoy access to many heavily used trailheads of the Chugach National Forest and lands owned by the Kenai Peninsula Borough and the State of Alaska. Enthusiasts of all kinds including resident and visiting hikers, bikers, skiers, snowshoers, skijore-ers, mushers, berry pickers, birders, dog walkers, fishermen, hunters and trappers enjoy easy access to the outdoors. Conflicts between trappers and other user groups have led to the formation of a cross-community group we call the “Safe Public Lands Coalition” to put forth the following regulatory proposal.

The purpose of this proposal is to ensure equitable access, during the trapping season, to trails and other areas where the presence of physically dangerous traps and snares endangers pets and small children and discourages other users from accessing these areas. Specifically, worries about trapping hazards in key, multi-use areas around our communities severely restrict the ability of responsible dog owners to safely walk with their dogs even when leashed, of skijore-ers and mushers to ski and sled with their dogs, and of families with small children to use trails without the worry of injury or witnessing pets caught in traps, as traps may, at present, be legally set in and directly adjacent to these multi-use areas. The danger created by unethically set traps has prompted the need for this regulatory proposal. Our proposal is aimed at minimizing or ending the risk of injury, mutilation and death to pet animals, notably dogs, and to small children.

Clearly definable, easily recognizable, coherent, reasonable and not excessive regulations are needed. Our objective is to make these regulations for the Seward and Moose Pass areas obvious enough to satisfy the stipulation of being "enforceable" areas. This simply means that a wildlife trooper would recognize that there are boundaries and trappers, pet owners and family groups would know without uncertainty where all users can go and avoid set traps. Our concept is to include exceptions for possible small size (to be determined) non-lethal leg-hold traps and game management special concerns in specific areas that affect residents of Seward and Moose Pass.
We submit our proposal with the understanding that it is amendable and can be adjusted before the Board of Game meeting to include our concerns and those of trappers and game managers that we may have overlooked. We haven’t considered any other options for solving this trapping issue to be realistic, but we have rejected offers to make informal agreements with the Alaska Trappers Association. We believe we need formal arrangements as based on our proposal. Unless this proposal is established, we anticipate that user conflicts will continue.

PROPOSED BY: Mark Luttrell  
(EG-C14-271)

PROPOSAL 182 - 5 AAC 92.085. Unlawful methods of taking big game; exceptions.  
Prohibit the taking of big game from boats in Units 7 and 15 in coastal or estuarine waters, with an exception for persons with disabilities as follows:

The following methods and means of taking big game are prohibited in addition to prohibitions in 5 AAC 92.080: from a boat in coastal or estuarine waters in Units 7 and 15; however a person with physical disabilities as defined in AS 16.05.940, may hunt from a boat under authority of a permit issued by the department.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Black bear harvest in Unit 15C is at the upper end of sustainable limits and big game, particularly mountain goats and black bear in Units 7 and 15, are being shot at from boats and animals are being wounded and lost.

PROPOSED BY: Homer Fish and Game Advisory Committee  
(EG-C14-206)

PROPOSAL 183 - 5 AAC 92.530. Management areas. Create a management area for Kachemak Bay in Unit 15C as follows:

The following management areas are subject to special restrictions:

1. The Kachemak Bay Management Area:
   (A) the area consists of the land as designated as the Kachemak Bay State Park
   (B) the area is open to hunting under regulations governing Unit 15(C), except as follows:
      i. Restrictions will be considered under an open public process and submitted to the board to be included in this Special Management Area.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This is a place holder to create a special management area consisting of the statutory boundaries designated as the Kachemak Bay State Park 41.21.131. This proposal creates a special management area to create consistent long range guidance to assist involved agencies in cooperatively managing the area of overlap of their legislative mandated responsibilities within the Kachemak Bay State Park (KBSP) AS 41.21.130-143 and the Kachemak Bay Critical Habitat Area (KBCHA) AS 16.20.590.
This special management area will be designed to address and promote the significance of this accessible habitat with its wildlife and resources; to uphold the goals and policies pertaining to management, activities, biological considerations and the importance of wildlife in these parks from both management plans of the KBSP and KBCHA and; and for the safety of the tens of thousands of multiple users who visit this Park each year.

Recent surveys by the ADF&G quantified wildlife’s economic importance to Alaska and the United States citizens. These surveys produced data to measure direct and indirect spending, jobs, and associated economic activity of wildlife participants who contribute to our economic health. Strong healthy wildlife populations are economic generators to local municipalities and state coffers spent by all citizens who share the wildlife resource. This area has unique essential significance to the State of Alaska requiring management under the guidance and cooperation of a special management area.

PROPOSED BY: Kachemak Bay State Park Citizens Advisory Board (EG-C14-289)
PROPOSAL 184 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Begin resident sheep seasons seven days earlier than nonresident seasons in the Southcentral Region as follows:

Sheep hunting dates for all Units in the Southcentral Region:
   Residents: August 3-September 20
   Nonresidents: August 10-September 20

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This proposal addresses overcrowding, lack of opportunity, a stressed legal ram population, and a diminished hunting experience for Alaska residents. All of these issues can be addressed by reducing the number of hunters in the field at the same time.

Alaska residents have an approximate success rate of 23%. Nonresidents harvest over 40% of the sheep taken in Alaska, which is an allocation that no other state would tolerate. Most states allocate a maximum of 10% of the tags to nonresidents, which is less than 10% of the harvested resource.

If sheep seasons started seven days earlier for residents, much of the overcrowding in the field would be eliminated. Air traffic would be spread out over a longer period and many of the residents would be returning from their hunt when the nonresidents were heading out. This will not reduce stress on the sheep population but it will create opportunity for residents and give them a better hunting experience. The Board of Game needs to limit nonresident participation but this will help in the Southcentral Region until we have a statewide meeting in 2016.

The only other solution considered would be to limit nonresident sheep season to 14 days (August 10-August 24) and resident sheep season would be August 10-September 20.

PROPOSED BY: Tom Lamal (EG-C14-261)

PROPOSAL 185 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Begin resident sheep seasons seven days earlier than nonresident seasons in Units 7, 14, and 15 as follows:

Resident hunting season for Dall sheep shall be August 3 to September 20. Nonresident hunting season shall be from August 10 to September 20. Drawing permit areas will start seven days earlier for Alaska residents and if there is a split season, the second half will be shortened by seven days for nonresidents such that residents can start the second half seven days prior to nonresidents.
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Board needs to address the lack of full curl legal rams available to Alaska residents. While sheep populations have been stable to slightly declining, the availability of legal rams, much less trophy rams, has been significantly reduced and is in serious decline. Success rates for resident sheep hunters will never be on par with nonresidents if not allowed an earlier jump from the efficiency of their guides. If this problem is not addressed, Alaskan resident hunters will continue to suffer from the mismanagement of this species by the Board of Game. Alaskan youth will never have the opportunity afforded to their parents and grandparents to successfully hunt healthy populations of Dall sheep with ample populations of large rams. Alaska wildlife belongs to all Alaskans and these resources should be managed as such.

An earlier start for residents would have several benefits: Resident hunters will have an improved quality of hunt and avoid conflicts with guides and their clients. Nonresident hunters will have an improved quality of hunt by avoiding conflicts with resident hunters. Transport services associated with sheep hunting will improve as this will lessen the bottleneck on transporters seen at the beginning of each season, especially during poor weather. This may also increase the safety of hunters and transporters by spreading out the season and users more. Current Alaska residents and future Alaskans may be more interested in hunting Dall sheep, its management, and the future of Dall sheep hunting if they had greater opportunity to locate and harvest a legal ram, much less, quality rams.

**PROPOSED BY:** Jake Sprankle (EG-C14-292)

---

**PROPOSAL 186 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep.** Limit nonresident sheep hunting in the Southcentral Region with shorter seasons, drawing permits, or other methods.

Nonresident hunting should be reduced with either shorter season, draw permit only, or some other method which limits their numbers.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Resident hunters do not have adequate access to sheep hunting opportunities. With the increased pressure from guides and nonresident hunters, chances for a successful hunt for a resident are slim to none. Nonresident hunting should be reduced with either shorter seasons, draw permit only, or some other method which limits their numbers. The guide industry shouldn’t suffer, because economic forces will prevail and they will charge more per hunt to ensure an enjoyable Alaskan quality experience.
PROPOSAL 187 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Allow a maximum of 10% of nonresident sheep hunt participation for the Southcentral Region as follows:

A maximum of 10% (10% is not guaranteed) nonresident participation in all sheep hunts for all of the Southcentral Region. This includes guided general and permit hunts and next of kin hunts.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This proposal addresses overcrowding, lack of opportunity, a stressed legal ram population, and a diminished hunting experience for Alaska residents. All of these issues can be addressed by reducing the number of hunters in the field and that must start by reducing nonresident participation.

Alaska residents have an approximate success rate of 23%. Nonresidents harvest over 40% of the sheep taken in Alaska, which is an allocation that no other state would tolerate. Most states allocate a maximum of 10% of the tags to nonresidents, which is less than 10% of the harvested resource.

Much of the sheep hunting in the Southcentral Region is regulated by permit hunts and nonresident participation should be reduced to a maximum of 10% (10% is not guaranteed whether guided or next of kin). The 10% number for nonresidents permits should be calculated from the entire the Southcentral Region and not micro managed with each subunit. General hunts should have a maximum of 10% nonresident participation, which would be taken from a five year average of nonresident license applications.

The only other solution considered should be the elimination of nonresidents sheep tags until the Board of Game addresses this issue on a statewide basis and creates a better experience for Alaskans.

PROPOSAL 188 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Limit sheep harvest by nonresidents to 10% of total harvest in Units 7, 14, and 15 as follows:

Nonresident harvest of legal sheep, whether by draw or general harvest tag, will only be 10% of the total harvest. (Empirical data exists to determine the limitation of both draw hunts and general harvest hunts to determine the actual number of tags that should be allowed to ensure that total harvest by nonresidents does not exceed 10%.)

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015.)
What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The board needs to address the lack of full curl legal rams available to Alaska residents and the disparity of success between resident and nonresident hunters. While sheep populations have been stable to slightly declining, the availability of legal rams, much less trophy rams, has been significantly reduced and is in serious decline. 40% of legal rams harvested are by nonresidents. While residents may harvest the other 60%, less than one in four resident hunters are actually successful. Understand that fact--over 75% of resident hunters are unsuccessful every year. Nonresident hunters are significantly more successful than resident hunters because of their guides who can spend tens of thousands of dollars locating rams in the offseason by fixed wing aircraft. Most residents can't afford a plane to locate rams, much less the fuel. The current structure has sheep hunting--with success--becoming a rich man's sport. That's not right and by not limiting nonresident tags (draw and general), the board has created a very sad state of affairs with our sheep population, and especially our population of legal rams.

PROPOSED BY: Jake Sprankle (EG-C14-295)

PROPOSAL 189 - 5 AAC 85.055. Hunting seasons and bag limits for Dall sheep. Limit allocation of nonresident sheep permits to 10% in the Southcentral Region Units as follows:

Limit nonresident permit sheep hunts to 10%. Once drawn, one cannot apply again for that specific draw permit (both residents and nonresidents).

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? My proposal addresses the lack of preference and opportunity given to residents for sheep hunting draw permits. Residents on average are only 20-25% successful in harvesting a legal ram whereas nonresidents, who harvest > 40% of all the rams annually in the state, are much more successful. A nonresident has an equal chance of being drawn for a permit sheep hunt as does a resident in our state. In most every western state, nonresidents are limited to 5-15% of permits awarded for big game hunting opportunities. Also, many big game permits out of state are "once in a lifetime" hunts, not to be applied for again by either resident or nonresident. This allows for greater opportunity in subsequent years for those not initially drawn.

PROPOSED BY: Paul Ferucci (EG-C14-254)

Note: The board does not have authority to place restrictions on the transportation of hunters.

PROPOSAL 190 - 5 AAC Chapter 85. Seasons and bag limits. Allocate 10% sheep harvest for nonresidents in Southcentral Region Units as follows:

Allow nonresidents only 10% maximum of harvest. This should include second degree of kindred.
Also restrict the number of drop off hunts that air charters can perform. Stacking hunters is not good for anybody.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? This proposal is in regards to overcrowding. Residents only harvest about 23% of the total harvest per year, while nonresidents harvest 40% of the sheep taken in Alaska. This is total that is totally out of control. The board needs to follow the Alaska constitution. It is clearly stated that the Alaskan residents come first.

PROPOSED BY: Chris Gossen (EG-C14-270)
****************************************************************************

PROPOSAL 191 - 5 AAC 92.080. Unlawful taking of game; exceptions. Remove the restriction against using felt sole waders while hunting in Southcentral Region Units as follows:

People hunting in Alaska can legally wear whatever they want while pursuing game, including their choice of foot wear and/or any other personal protective equipment that they deem will make their hunt more safe.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? The Board of Game's (board) ban on wearing felt soles while hunting, making wading and rafting while hunting unsafe and dangerous for people. Anyone who has spent much time in the field—or worse, had unexpected “swims”—knows how dangerous our cold waters are and how quickly one could lose their life. Even a quick dunk can be unforgiving and have dire consequences. The difference between wearing felt and wearing rubber or caulked boots is like night and day. Unfortunately, there are no alternatives, regardless of what you are told. If you personally are unaware of this fact, then you have little experience wading rivers or streams and need to better educate yourself on the issue. Safety wise, it is the same as driving without a seat belt, or running a chainsaw without a pair of chaps. Sure you may get by without them, but do you want to get into an accident without your seat belt on? In essence, that’s what the board’s (and the Board of Fisheries) ban does. The ban states loud and clear that our safety, our lives and that of our children and loved ones, is unimportant.

If you do not lift this ban, people will die, drown and perish while hunting, due to our cold water temperatures. It’s as simple as that. While the attempt to thwart the spread of invasive plants and animals is noteworthy, the board’s lack of adequate analysis of the scientific data on this subject is both troubling and reckless. Can felt soles transport invasive plants and animals? Unfortunately, yes they can. But please look at the research—which is extremely limited at best. This small amount of research, much which has not been peer reviewed, has indicated that felt soles can spread such invasive species as Didymo (rock snot), possibly whirling disease, and one
New Zealand mudsnail was proven to be transported by a felt boot. One! Research has also proven that these invasive species can be carried and transported to other waters on shoelaces, socks, inside the wading boots themselves, on the wading material itself and even on rubber wading boots. Furthermore, research has also proven invasive species can be transported from one water body to another by boat trailers and through bilge water of boats and float planes traveling to and from different water bodies. Even Darwin wrote many years ago, about migrating waterfowl transporting plants and animals from one water body to another, both internally and externally. Why not ban all of these vectors then?

PROPOSED BY: Jake Sprankle (EG-C14-298)

PROPOSAL 192 – 5 AAC 92.010. Harvest tickets and reports. Require harvest reporting of migratory birds by species in Southcentral Region Units as follows:

For more accurate data, create a harvest ticket with opportunity of reporting harvest by species for migratory birds to gain information presently limited to ADF&G.

Use the system already in place for other species like deer, moose etc.

If reported electronically:

• Once filed online a certified receipt for your report will be returned by email. This receipt is proof that report has been filed.
• Harvest tickets and registration permits are good for a regulatory year, not a calendar year. For example, a harvest ticket for 2013 would be valid from July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2014.
• If ADF&G does not receive a hunt report, hunters will not be eligible for future hunts. Online reporting allows hunters to determine which reports have filed and which you have not.

If reported by mail:

Hunt reports will come with harvest tickets attached to them. The report portion need not be carried in the field but must be completed and returned within 15 days of the close of the season even if you did not hunt or did not take an animal. Reports of personal harvest location are confidential.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015 and the Southcentral meeting scheduled for March 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Electronic online or mail in harvest tickets and reporting required for migratory birds by species.

PROPOSED BY: Sea Ducks Unlimited (EG-C14-235)
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**PROPOSAL 193 - 5 AAC 92.003. Hunter education and orientation requirements.** Require certification for big game hunters using crossbows in the Southcentral Region as follows:

All hunters pursuing big game with a crossbow in the Southcentral Region must have passed a certification course presented by ADF&G and carry their certification card in the field. This regulation to be effective starting July 2016.

*(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015.)*

**What is the issue you would like the board to address and why?** The Board of Game passed a regulation stating that all hunters hunting with bow and arrow for big game must pass the IBEP certification and carry their certification card while hunting starting in July 2016. The board did not include hunters who hunt with a crossbow in this regulation. There are safety issues involved with use of a crossbow which are unique to crossbows and do not apply to regular archery equipment or firearms. A crossbow is a different implement than bow and arrow but kills in the same fashion with sharp cutting of vital structures rather than shock as with a firearm. Firearms hunters who pick up a crossbow need to learn the limitations of the weapon, acceptable shot angles and target anatomy. In addition they need to learn appropriate follow-up and recovery techniques which may differ significantly from their experience with firearms. Crossbow hunters who wound animals may leave a visible projectile in the animal which can reflect poorly on not only crossbow hunters but also on bowhunters and impact on all hunters. I believe that ALL hunters who use a crossbow for hunting big game in Alaska should be required to pass a crossbow certification course developed and presented by ADF&G and should be required to carry their certification card while hunting big game with crossbow. The course should include a field day in which the student demonstrates knowledge of safe use of the crossbow and a minimum level of shooting proficiency.

Nothing in this should be interpreted to imply that crossbows are the same as archery equipment. the course must be separate from the IBEP certification and taught by instructors knowledgeable in use of crossbows and certified to teach the course. Also, nothing in this proposal should imply that crossbows are acceptable for use in special archery only areas or hunts.

This proposal is to cover all regions open for proposals for consideration by the Board of Game during their 2015 meetings. It is my intention to make the same proposal next year to apply to the Interior and Arctic/Western Region. This proposal is to be effective starting in July 2016 which would align it statewide with the recently passed regulation for bowhunters and would also give ADF&G time to implement the education programs.

**PROPOSED BY:** John Frost  
(EG-C14-293)
PROPOSAL 194 - 5 AAC 92.080. Unlawful taking of game; exceptions. Prohibit the use of hunting dogs for taking upland game birds after October 31, for the Southcentral Region as follows:

Add a new line to 5 AAC 92.080

The following methods of taking game are prohibited:

(X). Use of a hunting dog after October 31 for the taking of upland game birds in the Southcentral Region.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? Hunting dogs being caught in lawful traps, (during trapping season) while they are being used for hunting upland game birds.

PROPOSED BY: Al Barrette

PROPOSAL 195 - 5 AAC 92.044. Permit for hunting bear with the use of bait or scent lures. Remove the requirement to clean up contaminated soil from bear bait stations in the Southcentral Region Units as follows:

Eliminate the requirement to "remove all contaminated soil" from a bear bait site at the conclusion of the baiting season.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? At bear bait stations the requirement to remove all soil contaminated by the baiting at the end of the season is an excessive nuisance and is perceived by hunters to be a form of harassment by regulators who may be personally opposed to baiting bear in spite of the fact that bear baiting is a legal and accepted means of hunting bear and is often the only practical means of hunting bear in certain areas. This combined with the requirement to provide accurate GPS locations before a permit is obtained sends a message to hunters that they are potentially subject to prosecution if an enforcement officer comes into the bait site with a trowel and samples some dirt which may have an increased sugar or fat content by lab analysis. From a practical perspective it is virtually impossible to remove all contaminated soil. Anything that is used for bait is biodegradable and will rapidly be removed by organisms from bacteria to bears. Anything not removed will go to fertilize the soil. There is no guidance with what should be done with the "contaminated soil". Alternatives would be to spread it out, carry it any given distance and throw it out, transport it back to town, and take it to a public dump.

Nothing in this discussion should be interpreted as wanting to change the regulation requiring removal of all trash, litter, bait barrels and other artificial structures at the end of the baiting season.
PROPOSAL 196 - 5 AAC Chapter 85. Seasons and bag limits. Add five days to all resident hunting seasons and allocate 75% of the drawing permits to residents for the Southcentral Region as follows:

For the benefit of all Alaska residents change current regulations in all Southcentral units so the residents of the State of Alaska receive preference in regard to all hunting opportunities.

For all harvest ticket hunts: Allow state residents to start the hunting seasons five days early or allow state residents to hunt for five additional days after the season has closed for nonresident hunters.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015.)

For drawing hunts: change allocation systems to ensure Alaskan residents have been allocated to receive 75% of any drawing hunt opportunity. If state residents do not use that percentage of the pool, then the unallocated portion may be used by nonresident hunters.

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? For the benefit of all Alaskan residents change current regulations in all Units so the residents of the State of Alaska receive preference in regards to all hunting opportunities.

I am hopeful that the board will address the inequalities in resident hunter preference. For many years a significant portion of the large game species has been taken by nonresidents. This is due to several factors, as managers of a state resource, the board should be morally and ethically obligated to ensure that resident hunting opportunities and resident hunting preference are a priority.

PROPOSED BY: John Frost (EG-C14-299)

PROPOSAL 197 - 5 AAC Chapter 85. Seasons and bag limits. Allocate 90% of big game drawing permits to residents for Southcentral Region Units as follows:

I'd like to see the Board of Game adopt a new allocation schedule for all big game draw permits in the Southcentral Region: 90% to residents and the remainder plus any undersubscribed to nonresidents.

(Note: This proposal was also submitted for the Southeast meeting scheduled for January 2015 and the Central/Southwest meeting scheduled for February 2015.)

What is the issue you would like the board to address and why? At issue is allocation of big game draw permits. Currently a nonresident hunter has equal chance in the lottery as resident...
meat hunters. Nonresidents, albeit supporting the guide industry, have historically much better success rates no doubt due to the work of their guides. The end result is that more of the real harvest goes to nonresidents, many of whom don’t even want the meat; rather than Alaskans who will respect the harvested animal all year long every tasty bite of the way. This is in direct contradiction to our mandate by Alaska’s Constitution Article8 section3 stating that meat belongs to the residents. Most other states currently allocate only 10% of their draw permits to nonresidents, and guiding is still big business there. A real tragedy to the continuation of hunting tradition will befall us as our kids potentially will lose future opportunities to hunt this great land.

Considered was action to establish a point/preference system like most states to more directly and effectively tackle the issue, but this appears to be a legislative issue and would also take many years to implement. Also considered was a shorter season for nonresidents, but to be fair especially to mountain hunters, an equal number of weather windows should be provided for both. This would also promote mad-dash boating and bush flying, a dangerous and disrespectful practice. If nothing is done about this issue, meat will continue to be mis-allocated, Alaskan youth may see future opportunities lost, and game resources will decline.

Thank you for considering this 90/10 draw permit allocation, Alaskans appreciate it.

PROPOSED BY: Douglas Malone (EG-C14-240)

**************************************************************************