

FRED Reports

WILD STOCK COHO SALMON,
Oncorhynchus kisutch,
TAGGING AND WEIR OPERATIONS
AT SPEEL LAKE 1976-1983

by
Ron Josephson
Number 58



Alaska Department of Fish & Game
Division of Fisheries Rehabilitation,
Enhancement and Development

WILD STOCK COHO SALMON,
Oncorhynchus kisutch,
TAGGING AND WEIR OPERATIONS
AT SPEEL LAKE 1976-1983

by

Ron Josephson

Number 58

Alaska Department of Fish and Game
Division of Fisheries Rehabilitation,
Enhancement and Development (FRED)

Don W. Collinsworth
Commissioner

Stanley A. Moberly
Director

P.O. Box 3-2000
Juneau, Alaska 99802

December 1985

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	2
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	3
Juvenile Tagging.....	3
Weir Operation.....	3
Fisheries Contribution.....	4
RESULTS.....	5
Juvenile Tagging.....	5
Weir Operation.....	5
Weir Operation 1978 and 1979.....	5
Weir Operation 1981.....	5
Weir Operation 1982.....	8
Weir Operation 1983.....	10
Adult Studies.....	11
Fisheries Contribution.....	14
DISCUSSION.....	18
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	21
REFERENCES.....	22
APPENDIX	24

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Estimated percentage adult return of juvenile coho salmon coded-wire tagged at Speel Lake in 1976, 1977, 1979, 1980, and 1981.....	6
2. Summary of adult coho salmon escapement, marked fish sampling, documented strays, and adjusted wild escapements by year for Speel Lake, 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1983...	7
3. Coded-wire tag analysis of marked adult coho salmon recovered at Speel Lake 1981, 1982, and 1983.....	9
4. Mean length (\pm 95% C.I. in mm) by sex and age group of coho salmon at Speel Lake, 1981, 1982, 1983, and total of all years.....	12
5. Estimated total return, harvest by gear type, and escapement of Speel Lake coho salmon, in 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1983.....	15
6. Estimated total return, harvest by area, and escapement of Speel Lake coho salmon in 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982 and 1983.....	16
7. Estimated harvest rate by area for Speel Lake coho salmon stocks in 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1983.....	17

ABSTRACT

At Speel Lake in 1976-1981 (excluding 1978), wild coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch* Walbaum, juveniles were tagged with coded wires. In 1978-1983 (excluding 1980), adults from the marking program were recovered at a weir on the system and in commercial and sport catches. The average survival rates of these coho salmon (from marking to adult return) ranged from 4.2% to 5.7%. From 32.2% to 60.9% of the harvest occurred in the commercial troll fishery. Adult returns were harvested in outside waters and entrance corridors by the troll fishery, in the Juneau area by sport fishermen, and in the Taku/Snettisham and Lynn Canal areas by gillnet and seine fisheries. Juvenile coho salmon were separated into two categories based on fork length (fl) and differentially tagged. Return rates for the two size categories were 5.6% and 4.2%, respectively, for small (\bar{x} fl = 81.3 mm) and large (\bar{x} fl = 106.1 mm) fish tagged in 1980. Returns were 4.2% and 8.3%, respectively, for small (\bar{x} fl = 78.6 mm) and large (\bar{x} fl = 104.6 mm) fish tagged in 1981. At the time when Speel Lake coho smolts emigrate to salt water, their average length is 100 mm. Results of the weir operations are discussed.

Key Words: Coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*, tagging, fisheries contribution

INTRODUCTION

Coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*, are an important resource to Southeast Alaska and are harvested in commercial, sport, and subsistence fisheries. The commercial harvest has averaged 1.1 million fish annually since 1955 (Shaul et al. 1983).

Studies using marked coho salmon have been undertaken on many southeastern stocks (Shaul et al. 1983, 1984, 1985; Gray et al. 1978, 1981; Holland 1983). This research was conducted to gain a better understanding of migrating behavior, survival rates, and harvest patterns of the coho salmon stocks in Southeast Alaska. Speel Lake coho salmon were selected as a representative of the stocks present in northern-southeast lake systems. This stock is of particular interest to Fisheries Rehabilitation, Enhancement and Development (FRED) Division because it is the Snettisham Hatchery brood stock, and information on harvest patterns, migration behavior, and survival rates are desired for hatchery-management purposes. Coho salmon research activities were conducted at Speel Lake from 1976 to 1983. FRED Division and Commercial Fisheries Division worked cooperatively in those activities for the period 1978 to 1983.

Juvenile coho salmon were tagged with coded wire at Speel Lake in 1976, 1977, 1979, 1980, and 1981; fish that measured greater than 65 mm from snout to fork of tail (fl) were tagged. The majority were expected to return as adults in 2 years (Gray et al. 1981). Returning adults harvested in commercial and sport fisheries were sampled so that tagged fish could be recovered. A weir was located at the outlet of Speel Lake to count and sample escapement of coho salmon in 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982 and 1983.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Juvenile Tagging

In August and September juvenile coho salmon were collected with wire-mesh minnow traps in Speel Lake and the outlet stream, marked by excision of the adipose fin, tagged with coded wire, and released, following Gray et al. (1978, 1985) and Koerner (1977). This operation occurred in 1976 and 1977 under the direction of the Commercial Fisheries Division and in 1979, 1980, and 1981 under FRED Division's direction.

In 1980 and 1981, juvenile coho salmon were graded into two size categories based on fork length and differentially tagged:

- 1) ≥ 65 mm and < 90 mm in fork length
- 2) ≥ 90 mm in fork length

Weir Operation

The Speel Lake weir is located on the outlet stream, approximately 400 m from Speel Lake. This stream is about 2 km long and drains into the Speel River at 10 km from tidewater. The stream is 10 to 20 m wide and up to 1 m deep at low water. As is usual for Southeast streams, severe flooding sometimes occurs. Water levels may rapidly increase by 2.5 m at the weir site.

The weir is constructed with wooden tripods, aluminum channel, and 3/4-inch electrical conduit, following Bertoni and Holland (1985). It has an attached 2.4-meter-square holding trap. From early September to early November, the weir is operated to count coho salmon and to recover marked fish.

During the period of weir operation, the trap is checked and emptied every morning; it is also checked periodically during the day and emptied as the number of captured fish dictates. The

immigrant salmonids are counted; separate counts of adults and jacks (marked or unmarked) are kept for coho salmon. Mideye-to-fork lengths are measured, sex determinations are made, and scales (3) are collected from a representative sample of the coho salmon escapement. In some years marked coho salmon were collected for tag extraction and analysis.

In 1982 and 1983, respectively, 36% and 34%, of the coho salmon that passed upstream were Floy tagged for recovery on the spawning grounds, for population estimates, and for migration-timing studies.

Fisheries Contribution

Commercial-catch sampling for coded-wire-tagged coho salmon was conducted by the Commercial Fisheries Division, which had samplers stationed at fish processors and buying stations throughout Southeast Alaska. During unloading and sorting operations, the samplers watched for coho salmon without adipose fins. Fishing vessel and tender captains were interviewed to determine fishing areas. The heads of all fish having excised adipose fins were processed for tag removal and decoding by FRED Division (Tag Lab). Areas used in expanding random recoveries were the nine Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission (PMFC) area groupings of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) regulatory districts shown in Appendix Table 1.

The Sport Fisheries Division conducted creel censuses in the Juneau area recreational marine fishery. Coho salmon were examined for missing adipose fins, and the heads of these fish were processed for tag removal and decoding. Based on the sampling rates, Sport Fisheries Division staff calculated an expanded number of tags recovered.

RESULTS

Juvenile Tagging

A total of 39,138 juvenile coho salmon were tagged in 1976, 1977, 1979, 1980, and 1981 at Speel Lake. The number of coho salmon tagged annually varied from 5,358 to 12,120. Results of the study are shown in Table 1. The grading of coho juveniles into two size categories in 1980 and 1981 required little extra effort, because a separation based on size is necessary for tagging-machine adjustments. The portion of juveniles in the large-size category was 22% in 1980 and 35% in 1981. The increase in the 1981 proportion may be partially due to tagging that occurred 10 days later than in 1980. It may also be due to a change in age composition; scales were not collected from juveniles.

Weir Operation

Escapement enumeration took place in 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1983. Each of these years corresponded with the expected adult return of juveniles that had been tagged 2 years before. Table 2 summarizes numbers of adults passing the weir for all years of operation. Appendix Tables 2-4 give the daily numbers of adult salmon for years 1981 to 1983.

Weir Operation 1978 and 1979:

During these years weir operations were conducted by research staff of the Commercial Fisheries Division; details will not be reported.

Weir Operation 1981:

The weir was installed on 1 September and operated through 10 November. Fish were allowed to move naturally through the weir,

Table 1. Estimated percentage adult return of juvenile coho salmon coded-wire tagged at Speel Lake in 1976, 1977, 1979, 1980, and 1981.

Year	Code	Number tagged	Fork length (mm)	Mean fork length (mm)	% Adult return ^{2/}
1976	4-04-10	7535	≥ 65	...	4.2% ^{1/}
1977	4-16-48	5358	≥ 65	...	5.7% ^{1/}
1979	4-17-52	6895	≥ 65	...	4.6%
1980	4-19-10	5614	≥ 65<90	81.27	5.6%
	4-19-11	1618	≥ 90	106.14	4.2%
	<u>Total</u>	<u>7232</u>	<u>≥ 65</u>		<u>5.3%</u>
1981	4-21-45	7910	≥ 65<90	78.62	4.2%
	4-21-22	4210	≥ 90	104.62	8.3%
	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>12,120</u>	<u>≥ 65 mm</u>		<u>5.6%</u>

^{1/} From unpublished data provided by Leon Shaul.

^{2/} Includes fisheries and escapement.

Table 2. Summary of adult coho salmon escapement, marked fish sampling, documented strays, and adjusted wild escapements by year for Speel Lake, 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1983.

Year	Estimated adult escapement	No. examined for marks	No. of observed marks	Estimated no. of marked adults	Estimated Snettisham Hatchery strays	Estimated First Lake ^{1/} returns	Adjusted wild escapement
1978	1300	1300	99	99	1300
1979	1811	1811	165	165	1811
1981	1937	1732	192	214	0	2	1935
1982	1183	1183	128	128	11	7	1165
1983	1799	1776	363	368	0	2	1797

^{1/} Adult returns from experimental lake stocking project on the Speel River.

and the greatest movement generally took place at night and during periods of high water. However, a large number of coho salmon were reluctant to enter the trap, and they collected in pools downstream. On 29 October, when it became evident that these fish might not enter the trap prior to freeze up, 482 adult and 24 jack coho salmon were seined and manually passed over the weir. Small numbers of salmon were also seined on 8 and 9 November.

There were 7 days during the operation when water rose over the weir: 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 September; 18 October; and 5 November.

Coho salmon escapement in 1981 was estimated at 1937 adults and 124 jacks. There were 1540 unmarked adults, 192 marked adults, 108 unmarked jacks, and 6 marked jacks counted at the weir. The additional escapement consisted of 80 coho salmon counted below the weir after its removal and an estimated 135 coho salmon that passed during high water. The 198 marked coho salmon observed at the weir were all collected for tag recovery. The tag analysis is displayed in Table 3.

Weir Operation 1982:

The weir was relocated in 1982 to a shallower location upstream. The increased current encouraged movement into the trap, and the effective additional height of the weir was a safeguard against flooding. The weir was installed on 5 September and operated until 10 November when the run ended. Coho salmon readily moved into the weir trap in 1982. During the latter part of the run, no coho salmon were observed downstream on most days; yet, they consistently moved into the weir during the nights.

Based on weir counts, coho salmon escapement in 1982 was 1183 adults and 37 jacks. There were 1056 unmarked adults, 127 marked adults, 35 unmarked jacks, and 2 marked jacks. Floy tags were implanted in 369 coho salmon, for later recovery on the spawning

Table 3. Coded-wire tag analysis of marked adult coho salmon recovered at Speel Lake in 1981, 1982, and 1983.

Year	Code	Number of Recoveries	Comments
1981	4-17-52	171	Speel Lake 1979 tagging
	H4-04-00	3 (includes 1 jack)	First Lake stocking
	4-19-11	3 (jacks)	Speel Lake 1980 tagging
	4-19-10	2 (jacks)	Speel Lake 1980 tagging
	lost tag	2	We assume these are 4-17-52
	<u>No tag</u>	<u>17</u>	
	Total	198	
1982	4-19-10	68 (includes 1 jack)	Speel Lake 1980 tagging
	4-19-11	33	Speel Lake 1980 tagging
	H4-04-00	7	First Lake stocking
	4-19-19	2	Snettisham Hatchery 1979 brood
	4-17-52	1	Speel Lake 1979 tagging
	4-21-22	1 (jack)	Speel Lake 1981 tagging
	lost head	4	We assume 3 are 4-19-10 and 1 is 4-19-11.
	<u>No tag</u>	<u>14</u>	
	Total	130	
1983	4-21-22	89	Speel Lake 1981 tagging
	4-21-45	77	Speel Lake 1981 tagging
	4-19-10	4	Speel Lake 1980 tagging
	H4-04-00	1	First Lake stocking
	<u>No tag</u>	<u>14</u>	
	Total	185	

grounds and for population estimates if the weir washed out. Most coho salmon had not begun spawning prior to 9 November (when the lake froze over); this restricted access to spawning grounds, and only 5 Floy tags were recovered. No analysis of these recoveries was made.

There were 130 marked coho salmon collected for tag recovery. The tag analysis is displayed in Table 3.

Weir Operation 1983:

The weir was installed in July 1983 by sockeye salmon research staff of the Division of Commercial Fisheries. The coho salmon research phase started on 2 September, and the weir was operated continually until 9 November. For the second year, the weir was placed in a shallow area; however, a large plastic tarp was anchored across the bottom (underneath the weir) to reduce scouring of the gravel substrate; this was also done in 1981. As in 1981, many coho salmon were reluctant to enter the trap and, instead, collected in pools below the weir. More than 300 coho salmon were seined from below the weir and passed upstream on 1, 2, and 4 November. There were no breechings of the weir in 1983.

On the basis of weir counts, the coho salmon escapement was 1799 adults and 69 jacks in 1983. There were 1413 unmarked adults, 363 marked adults, 68 unmarked jacks, and 1 marked jack counted at the weir. Floy tags were again placed on immigrant coho in 1983 but were not readily recovered, since very little spawning had taken place before the lake froze over in early November. There were 185 marked coho salmon collected for tag recovery in 1983; to minimize impacts on the natural stock, these tags were primarily taken from males. Tag analysis is displayed in Table 3.

Adult Studies:

The age, sex, and length information collected from returning adults was analyzed to provide information on sex and size for each age category; this information is provided in Table 4 for 1981, 1982, and 1983.

The age-2.1 adults were the predominant age class for all 3 years, ranging from 60% to 66.6% of the total return. Mesiar (1984) reports a trend toward higher portions of age-2.1 fish with increasing latitude in natural coho salmon stocks.

The percentage of jacks in the escapement ranged from 0.5% to 6.2% and averaged 3.7%.

The categorization of an age-2.0 class of female coho salmon (three in 1983 and one in 1981) may be the result of inaccurate sex determination or incorrect age classification by weir personnel. However, I do not discount the possibility of this age class of female.

The overall annual adult return rate of Speel Lake stocks has been relatively consistent, with a range of 4.2% to 5.7% for juveniles tagged in 1976, 1977, 1979, 1980, and 1981, (*see* Table 1).

Information on differential survival, relative to size, was sought in the tagging studies conducted in September 1980 and 1981. For coho salmon tagged in 1980, smaller juveniles had a slightly higher estimated return rate (5.6%), compared to the larger juveniles (4.2%). Although no statistical analysis was performed, coho salmon tagged in 1981 displayed a marked difference in survival; large juveniles had a return rate of 8.3%, which is almost twice the 4.2% rate of smaller juveniles.

A portion of the smaller juvenile coho salmon that were tagged was expected not to smolt the following spring. This occurred, and

Table 4. Mean length (\pm 95% C.I. in mm) by sex and age group of coho salmon at Speel Lake, 1981, 1982, 1983, and total of all years.

		1981 Escapement					
		Brood year and Age class					
Sex		1979	1978		1977		1976
		1.0	1.1	2.0	2.1	3.0	3.1
Males	\bar{x} length (mm)		663.1	385.5	652.5	370.0	
	95% C.I.		16.9	9.4	12.8		
	N	0	45	21	106	2	0
	%		11.6	5.4	27.3	0.5	
Females	\bar{x} length (mm)		672.7	400.0	676.7		640.0
	95% C.I.		8.4		5.7		
	N	0	71	1	141	0	1
	%		18.3	0.3	36.3		0.3

		1982 Escapement ^{1/}					
		Brood year and Age class					
Sex		1980	1979		1978		1977
		1.0	1.1	2.0	2.1	3.0	3.1
Males	\bar{x} length (mm)		606.4	370.0	653.7	380.0	697.5
	95% C.I.		18.5		14.0		34.3
	N	0	92	1	105	1	2
	%		23.4	0.3	26.7	0.3	0.5
Females	\bar{x} length (mm)		664.5		669.9		665.0
	95% C.I.		12.7		8.3		41.1
	N	0	56	0	131	0	5
	%		14.2		33.3		1.3

- Continued -

Table 4. Mean length (\pm 95% C.I. in mm) by sex and age group of (continued) coho salmon at Speel Lake, 1981, 1982, 1983, and total of all years.

Sex		1983 Escapement ^{2/}					1978
		Brood year and Age class					
		1981	1980		1979		
	1.0	1.1	2.0	2.1	3.0	3.1	
Males	\bar{x} length (mm)		585.8	372.9	645.8	370.0	692.5
	95% C.I.		8.8	3.6	5.1		42.5
	N	0	106	20	211	1	2
	%		17.2	3.7	34.2	0.2	0.3
Females	\bar{x} length (mm)	425.0	662.2	363.7	674.6		655.0
	95% C.I.		4.5	10.2	2.6		
	N	1	72	3	200	0	1.0
	%	0.2	11.7	0.5	32.4		0.2

Sex		Total all years					
		Age class					
		1.0	1.1	2.0	2.1	3.0	3.1
Males	\bar{x} length (mm)		607.9	379.1	649.4	372.5	695.0
	N	0	243	42	422	4	4
	%		17.4	3.0	30.2	0.3	0.3
Females	\bar{x} length (mm)	425.0	666.7	372.8	673.9		660.0
	N	1	199	4	472	0	6
	%	0.1	14.2	0.3	33.8		0.4

^{1/} Data for this year are from Mesiar, 1984.

^{2/} Data for this year are from Wood, 1985.

for the small groups, 5.6% and 10.0% of those fish tagged in 1980 and 1981, respectively, returned as adults 3 years after tagging. This did not occur to any of the fish in the large category.

Fisheries Contribution

The estimated total return, harvest by gear types, and escapement of Speel Lake coho salmon for the study years are shown in Table 5. The estimated total return, harvest by area, and escapement of Speel Lake coho salmon for the study years are shown in Table 6.

The predominant gear-type fishery harvesting Speel Lake coho salmon is the commercial-troll fishery; harvest rates have varied from a high of 60.9% in 1978 to a low of 32.2% in 1981. In only 1 year did any other gear group harvest more than 7% of the return; that was in 1982 when the purse seine fleet harvested an estimated 24.8%.

This was probably the result of an increased purse-seine effort to harvest a very large pink salmon return (Shaul et al. 1985).

The Juneau area marine sport-fishery harvest estimates of Speel Lake coho salmon ranged from 0.0% to 3.7% and averaged 1.9% for the 5 years of returns. The predominant harvest area for Speel Lake coho salmon stocks varied during the study years (Table 7). Because of the high troll catch, harvest has been highest in the outside and intermediate areas.

There is a noticeable harvest decrease in Stephens Passage (area 111) since 1978. This is because of a closure of Stephens Passage to commercial trolling (Shaul et al. 1985).

Table 5. Estimated total return, harvest by gear type, and escapement of Speel Lake coho salmon in 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1983.

Year	Tags recovered ^{1/} in the fishery	Troll	Purse seine	Drift gillnet	Sport	Total catch	Escapement	Total return
1978 ^{2/}	47	2,255 (60.9%)	-	219 (2.2%)	146 (3.7%)	2,620 (66.8%)	1,300 (33.2%)	3,920 (100%)
1979 ^{2/}	40	1,268 (36.0%)	73 (2.1%)	234 (6.7%)	132 (3.7%)	1,707 (48.5%)	1,811 (51.5%)	3,518 (100%)
1981 ^{2/}	20	1,045 (32.2%)	129 (4.0%)	78 (2.4%)	51 (1.6%)	1,303 (40.2%)	1,935 (59.8%)	3,238 (100%)
1982 ^{2/}	30	1,733 (42.5%)	1,011 (24.8%)	168 (4.1%)	-	2,912 (71.4%)	1,164 (28.6%)	4,076 (100%)
1983	78	1,510 (42.5%)	182 (5.1%)	35 (1.0%)	25 (0.7%)	1,753 (49.4%)	1,797 (50.6%)	3,550 (100%)

^{1/} Includes only expandable random recoveries.

^{2/} Data for these years from Shaul et al. (1985).

Table 6. Estimated total return, harvest by area, and escapement of Speel Lake coho salmon in 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1983.

Year	NOUT 116,157, 181,183, 186,189	COUT 113,154	SOUT 103,104, 152	CNTR 112,114	SNTR 105,109 110	CIN 106,107 108	LYNN 115	STEP 111	Escapement	Total return
1978 ^{1/}	91 (2.3%)	302 (7.7%)	-	567 (14.5%)	997 (25.4%)	-	-	663 (16.9%)	1,300 (33.2%)	3,920 (100%)
1979 ^{1/}	289 (8.2%)	611 (17.4%)	-	195 (5.5%)	217 (6.2%)	-	-	395 (11.2%)	1,811 (51.5%)	3,518 (100%)
1981 ^{1/}	43 (1.3%)	276 (8.5%)	-	541 (16.7%)	314 (9.7%)	-	-	129 (4.0%)	1,935 (59.8%)	3,238 (100%)
1982 ^{1/}	141 (3.5%)	650 (15.9%)	391 (9.6%)	1,122 (27.5%)	440 (10.8%)	-	37 (0.9%)	131 (3.2%)	1,164 (28.6%)	4,076 (100%)
1983	424 (11.9%)	594 (16.7%)	-	478 (13.5%)	189 (5.3%)	6 (0.2%)	54 (1.5%)	8 (0.2%)	1,797 (50.6%)	3,550 (100%)

^{1/} Data for these years are from Shaul et al 1985.

NOUT -- Northern Outside
 COUT -- Central Outside
 SOUT -- Southern Outside
 CNTR -- Central Intermediate
 SNTR -- Southern Intermediate
 CIN -- Central Inside
 LYNN -- Lynn Canal
 STEP -- Stephens Passage

Table 7. Estimated harvest rate^{1/} by area for Speel Lake coho salmon stocks in 1978, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1983.

Year	Outside 103,104,113,152,154 157,181,183,186,189	Intermediate 105,109,110 112,114	Inside (Troll and sport)	Inside (Drift gillnet)	Total
1978 ^{2/}	10.0%	44.3%	22.6%	14.4%	66.8%
1979 ^{2/}	25.6%	15.7%	7.3%	11.4%	48.5%
1981 ^{2/}	9.9%	29.3%	2.5%	3.9%	40.2%
1982 ^{2/}	29.0%	54.0%	-	12.6%	71.4%
1983	28.7%	26.7%	1.4%	1.9%	49.4%

^{1/} Harvest rate is defined as percentage of total return taken by the harvest method.

^{2/} Data for these years from Shaul et al. 1985.

DISCUSSION

The average survival rate of the Speel Lake coho salmon has varied little (4.2% - 5.7%) for the 5 years that fish were tagged. This consistency is reflective of the stable environment that is desirable in an index system. During this study, the harvest rates (40.2% - 71.4%) and harvest areas varied as a function of changes in the commercial fishery.

With respect to size of juvenile coho salmon at tagging, the reasons for variations in the adult return rate are not clear. Bilton (1978) showed that an increasing percentage of returns for adults was directly related to an increase in smolt size at release (up to 20 grams for coho salmon). Based on our survival estimates, this was not the case for juvenile coho salmon tagged in 1980. However, it is highly unlikely that the differences were significant (confidence intervals were not provided for these estimates because of difficulties achieving them for catch statistics). For the coho salmon tagged in 1981, there was an expected higher return rate for larger juveniles: 8.3% compared to 4.2% for the smaller juveniles.

Smolt studies have not been carried out at Speel Lake, but juveniles tagged in the fall would be expected to gain additional growth before smolting. I estimated a growth potential of 0.14% per day between September and June, based on coho salmon studies by Crone and Bond (1976). Based on this growth rate, coho salmon juveniles tagged in 1980 and 1981 would have averaged 100 mm and 98 mm, respectively, on 28 May the following spring. This is larger than the 93.1-mm mean length for Taku River coho salmon smolts in 1960 (Meehan and Siniff 1962).

Average size of Snettisham Hatchery coho salmon releases have varied from 78 to 102 mm for the years 1980 to 1983; in 1984 the releases averaged 114 mm.

All of the coho salmon in the smaller size categories tagged at Speel Lake in 1980 and 1981 did not smolt the following spring.

A portion of the adult return (5.6% and 10.0% for the 1980 and 1981 tagging studies, respectively) occurred 3 years after tagging, as opposed to the expected 2 years; those fish elected to rear another year in fresh water before emigrating. A similar situation has occurred at Snettisham Hatchery; released fish have been age 1.0, but a portion of the adult returns (including tagged fish) have been age 2.1. At Snettisham there is very little freshwater habitat for a released fish to rear an additional year.

At Speel Lake weir, the tag recoveries of 513 coho salmon with excised adipose fins have revealed 2 marked fish from Snettisham Hatchery; this number expands to represent 11 strays. A similar estimate of straying to Snettisham Hatchery from Speel Lake of 10 adults is supported by tag recoveries at the hatchery.

The information on size, as it relates to survival, is valuable because significant portions of the smaller categories (5.6% and 10.0% for 1980 and 1981, respectively) did not return as adults in the expected year but, rather, returned 3 years after tagging. Therefore, it is risky to use one year class of returning fish to evaluate the total survival resulting from the original release of smolts.

The information from tagging studies at Speel Lake will be useful for predicting behavior of Snettisham Hatchery stocks in the marine environment. The harvest rate and migration routes are of particular interest. Future fishery-management efforts to harvest surplus Snettisham coho salmon may impact Speel Lake stocks because of their close proximity; continued studies in the Speel Lake system will test this hypothesis and will compare the behavior of each stock in the same year.

The Speel Lake weir has proven to be stable and secure; even when flooded, it has remained intact. Since the new location was adopted in 1982, breeching of the weir by high water has not occurred. The reluctance of coho salmon to enter the trap in 1981 and 1983 may have been due to the large tarp that was placed under the weir to alleviate scouring. In 1982 the tarp was not used, and fish readily entered the trap. For future years I recommend that the tarp not be used.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Tom Kron and Johnny Holland for their encouragement, persistence, and help in the preparation of this report. Thanks also to the many people that have assisted at Speel Lake, in the collection of data and to Commercial Fisheries Division which worked on the project in cooperation with FRED Division. Also special thanks to Anne Fons of the FRED clerical staff for her patient work in the typing of this report.

REFERENCES

- Bertoni, S.E., and J.S. Holland. 1985. FRED Division Report in preparation.
- Bilton, H.T. 1978. Returns of adult coho salmon in relation to mean size and time at release of juveniles. Can. Fish. Mar. Serv. Tech. Rep. 832. 73p.
- Crone, R.A., and C.E. Bond. 1976. Life history of coho salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch, in Sashin Creek, Southeastern Alaska. Fish. Bull., U.S. 74(4): 897-923.
- Gray, P.L., K.R. Florey, J.F. Koerner, and R.A. Marriott. 1978. Coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch), fluorescent pigment mark-recovery program for the Taku, Berners, and Chilkat Rivers in Southeastern Alaska (1972-1974). Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Informational Leaflet No. 176. 75pp.
- Gray, P.L., J.F. Koerner, and R.A. Marriott. 1981. The age structure and length-weight relationship of Southeastern Alaska coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) 1969-1970. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Informational Leaflet No. 195. 57pp.
- Gray, P.L., J.F. Koerner, and R.A. Marriott. 1985. The use of minnow traps for evaluating rearing coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) populations and habitat in Southeastern Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Informational Leaflet in preparation.
- Holland, J.S. 1983. A synopsis of Southeastern Alaska FRED Division tagging operations for 1981. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, FRED Division Report No. 16. 40pp.

- Koerner, J.F. 1977. The use of the coded-wire tag injector under remote field conditions. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Informational Leaflet No. 172. 24pp.
- Meehan, W.R., and D.B. Siniff. 1962. A study of the downstream migrants of anadromous fishes in the Taku River, Alaska. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc., 91(4): 399-407.
- Mesiar, D.C. 1984. Abundance, age, sex, and size of coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch Walbaum) catches and escapements in Southeastern Alaska, 1982. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Tech. Data Rep. No. 104. 97pp.
- Shaul, L.D., P.L. Gray, and J.F. Koerner. 1983. Coded-wire tagging of wild coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) stocks in Southeastern Alaska. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Tech. Data Rep. 1981-1982, Project AFC-67-1. 114pp.
- Shaul, L.D., P.L. Gray, and J.F. Koerner. 1984. Migratory patterns and timing of Stikine River coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) based on coded-wire tagging studies 1978-1982. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Informational Leaflet No. 232. 42pp.
- Shaul, L.D., P.L. Gray, and J.F. Koerner. 1985. Coded-wire tagging of wild coho (Oncorhynchus kisutch) stocks in Southeastern Alaska, 1982-1983. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Tech. Data Rep. No. 140. 105pp.
- Wood, D.S. 1985. Abundance, age, sex, and size of coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch Walbaum) catches and escapements in Southeastern Alaska, 1983. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Tech. Data Rep. In preparation.

APPENDIX

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Appendix <u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission (PMFC) area groupings of Southeastern Alaska regulatory districts.....	27
2. Speel Lake weir counts of adult coho salmon, 1981.....	29
3. Speel Lake weir counts of adult coho salmon, 1982.....	31
4. Speel Lake weir counts of adult coho salmon, 1983.....	33

Appendix Table 1. Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission (PMFC) area groupings of Southeastern Alaska regulatory districts.

PMFC Area		Regulatory Districts
NOUT	Northern Outside	116, 157, 181, 183, 186, 189
COUT	Central Outside	113, 154
SOUT	Southern Outside	103, 104, 152
SIN	Southern Inside	101, 102, 150
SNTR	Southern Intermediate	105, 109, 110
CIN	Central Inside	106, 107, 108
STEP	Stephens Passage	111
CNTR	Central Intermediate	112, 114
LYNN	Lynn Canal	115

Appendix Table 2. Speel Lake weir counts of adult coho salmon, 1981.

Date	Numbers		Proportion	
	Daily	Cumulative	Daily	Cumulative
September 2	1	1	.0005	.0005
3	4	5	.0021	.0026
4	0	5	.0000	.0026
5	0	5	.0000	.0026
6	0	5	.0000	.0026
7	0	5	.0000	.0026
8	0	5	.0000	.0026
9	0	5	.0000	.0026
10	0	5	.0000	.0026
11	0	5	.0000	.0026
12	14 ^{1/}	19	.0072	.0098
13	1	20	.0005	.0103
14	0	20	.0000	.0103
15	4	24	.0021	.0124
16	5	29	.0026	.0150
17	3	32	.0015	.0165
18	3	35	.0015	.0181
19	6	41	.0031	.0212
20	6	47	.0031	.0243
21	4	51	.0021	.0263
22	7	58	.0036	.0299
23	5	63	.0026	.0325
24	5	68	.0026	.0351
25	2	70	.0010	.0361
26	15	85	.0077	.0439
27	2	87	.0010	.0449
28	3	90	.0015	.0465
29	3	93	.0015	.0480
30	4	97	.0021	.0501
October 1	101	198	.0521	.1022
2	76	274	.0392	.1415
3	16	290	.0083	.1497
4	27	317	.0139	.1637
5	23	340	.0119	.1755
6	16	356	.0083	.1838
7	4	360	.0021	.1859
8	4	364	.0021	.1879
9	7	371	.0036	.1915
10	29	400	.0150	.2065
11	3	403	.0015	.2081
12	5	408	.0026	.2106
13	56	464	.0289	.2395
14	193	657	.0996	.3392

-continued-

Appendix Table 2, (continued)

Date	Numbers		Proportion		
	Daily	Cumulative	Daily	Cumulative	
October	15	119	776	.0614	.4006
	16	59	835	.0305	.4311
	17	130	963	.0671	.4982
	18 ^{2/}	108 ^{2/}	1073	.0558	.5539
	19	23	1096	.0119	.5658
	20	6	1102	.0031	.5689
	21	4	1106	.0021	.5710
	22	5	1111	.0026	.5736
	23	6	1117	.0031	.5767
	24	3	1120	.0015	.5782
	25	47	1167	.0243	.6025
	26	12	1179	.0062	.6087
	27	3	1182	.0015	.6102
	28	2	1184	.0010	.6113
	29	482 ^{3/}	1666	.2488	.8601
	30	13	1679	.0067	.8668
	31	6	1685	.0031	.8699
November	1	5	1690	.0026	.8725
	2	0	1690	.0000	.8725
	3	0	1690	.0000	.8725
	4	0	1690	.0000	.8725
	5	25 ^{4/}	1715	.0129	.8854
	6	0	1715	.0000	.8854
	7	0	1715	.0000	.8854
	8	52 ^{3/}	1767	.0268	.9122
	9	90 ^{3/}	1857	.0465	.9587
..	10	80 ^{5/}	1937	.0413	1.0000

- 1/ An estimated 125 adult coho salmon passed the weir during high water; 14 were attributed to 12 September.
- 2/ An estimated 125 adult coho salmon passed the weir during high water; 86 were attributed to 18 October.
- 3/ Coho salmon holding below the weir were seined and passed upstream on these dates.
- 4/ An estimated 125 adult coho salmon passed the weir during high water; 25 were attributed to 5 November.
- 5/ An estimated 80 adult coho salmon were below the weir on 10 November when the weir was removed or dismantled.

Appendix Table 3. Speel Lake weir counts of adult coho salmon, 1982.

Date	Numbers		Proportion	
	Daily	Cumulative	Daily	Cumulative
September 8	1	1	.0008	.0008
9	0	1	.0000	.0008
10	0	1	.0000	.0008
11	0	1	.0000	.0008
12	0	1	.0000	.0008
13	0	1	.0000	.0008
14	0	1	.0000	.0008
15	0	1	.0000	.0008
16	0	1	.0000	.0008
17	0	1	.0000	.0008
18	25	26	.0211	.0220
19	25	51	.0211	.0431
20	3	54	.0025	.0456
21	4	58	.0034	.0490
22	2	60	.0017	.0507
23	2	62	.0017	.0524
24	8	70	.0068	.0592
25	10	80	.0085	.0676
26	5	85	.0042	.0719
27	4	89	.0034	.0752
28	8	97	.0068	.0820
29	13	110	.0110	.0930
30	95	205	.0803	.1733
October 1	50	255	.0423	.2156
2	5	260	.0042	.2198
3	31	291	.0262	.2460
4	51	342	.0431	.2891
5	66	408	.0558	.3449
6	68	476	.0575	.4024
7	61	537	.0516	.4539
8	19	556	.0161	.4700
9	45	601	.0380	.5080
10	37	638	.0313	.5393
11	8	646	.0068	.5461
12	10	656	.0085	.5545
13	0	656	.0000	.5545
14	31	687	.0262	.5807
15	28	715	.0237	.6044
16	80	795	.0676	.6720
17	33	828	.0279	.6999
18	21	849	.0178	.7177
19	9	858	.0076	.7253
20	32	890	.0270	.7523
21	12	902	.0101	.7625

-continued-

Appendix Table 3, (continued)

Date	Numbers		Proportion	
	Daily	Cumulative	Daily	Cumulative
October 22	18	920	.0152	.7777
23	9	929	.0076	.7853
24	3	932	.0025	.7878
25	5	937	.0042	.7921
26	17	954	.0144	.8064
27	31	985	.0262	.8326
28	25	1010	.0211	.8538
29	22	1032	.0186	.8724
30	36	1068	.0304	.9028
31	37	1105	.0313	.9341
November 1	10	1115	.0085	.9425
2	9	1124	.0076	.9501
3	15	1139	.0127	.9628
4	8	1147	.0068	.9696
5	14	1161	.0118	.9814
6	13	1174	.0110	.9924
7	4	1178	.0034	.9958
8	0	1178	.0000	.9958
9	1	1179	.0008	.9966
10	4	1183	.0034	1.0000

Appendix Table 4. Speel Lake weir counts of adult coho salmon, 1983.

Date	Numbers		Proportion	
	Daily	Cumulative	Daily	Cumulative
September 2	0	0		
3	3	3	.0017	.0017
4	2	5	.0011	.0028
5	0	5	.0000	.0028
6	0	5	.0000	.0028
7	1	6	.0006	.0033
8	0	6	.0000	.0033
9	2	8	.0011	.0044
10	2	10	.0011	.0056
11	6	16	.0033	.0089
12	6	22	.0033	.0122
13	1	23	.0006	.0128
14	2	25	.0011	.0139
15	3	28	.0017	.0156
16	0	28	.0000	.0156
17	4	32	.0022	.0178
18	3	35	.0017	.0195
19	0	35	.0000	.0195
20	1	36	.0006	.0200
21	3	39	.0017	.0217
22	4	43	.0022	.0239
23	18	61	.0100	.0339
24	25	86	.0139	.0478
25	7	93	.0039	.0517
26	12	105	.0067	.0584
27	32	137	.0178	.0762
28	17	154	.0094	.0856
29	6	160	.0033	.0889
30	7	167	.0039	.0928
October 1	4	171	.0022	.0951
2	20	191	.0111	.1062
3	145	336	.0806	.1868
4	3	339	.0017	.1884
5	11	350	.0061	.1946
6	86	436	.0478	.2424
7	105	541	.0584	.3007
8	145	686	.0806	.3813
9	11	697	.0061	.3874
10	35	732	.0195	.4069
11	6	738	.0033	.4102
12	75	813	.0417	.4519
13	13	826	.0072	.4591
14	14	840	.0078	.4669
15	19	859	.0106	.4775

-Continued-

Appendix Table 4, (continued)

Date	Numbers		Proportion	
	Daily	Cumulative	Daily	Cumulative
October 16	11	870	.0061	.4836
17	6	876	.0033	.4869
18	8	884	.0044	.4914
19	17	901	.0094	.5008
20	85	986	.0472	.5481
21	56	1042	.0311	.5792
22	12	1054	.0067	.5859
23	34	1088	.0189	.6048
24	2	1090	.0011	.6059
25	22	1112	.0122	.6181
26	21	1133	.0117	.6298
27	22	1155	.0122	.6420
28	11	1166	.0061	.6481
29	7	1173	.0039	.6520
30	14	1187	.0078	.6598
31	8 ^{1/}	1195	.0044	.6643
November 1	217 ^{1/}	1412	.1206	.7849
2	230 ^{1/}	1642	.1278	.9127
3	17	1659	.0094	.9222
4	98 ^{1/}	1757	.0545	.9767
5	9	1766	.0050	.9817
6	7	1773	.0039	.9855
7	1	1774	.0006	.9861
8	2	1776	.0011	.9872
9	23 ^{2/}	1799	.0128	1.000

1/ Coho salmon holding below the weir were seined and passed upstream on these dates.

2/ Count of coho salmon below weir on the day the weir was removed.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game administers all programs and activities free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, age, sex, religion, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. The department administers all programs and activities in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility, or if you desire further information please write to ADF&G, P.O. Box 25526, Juneau, AK 99802-5526; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4040 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 300 Webb, Arlington, VA 22203 or O.E.O., U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington DC 20240.

For information on alternative formats for this and other department publications, please contact the department ADA Coordinator at (voice) 907-465-6077, (TDD) 907-465-3646, or (FAX) 907-465-6078.