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#### Abstract

A creel survey to estimate angler effort, and catch and harvest of chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha was conducted on the Kenai River between the Soldotna Bridge and Cook Inlet from 17 May through 3 August 1997. During the early run (May and June), estimated angler-effort was 102,243 ( $\mathrm{SE}=5,130$ ) angler-hours and harvest was $4,942(\mathrm{SE}=619)$ chinook salmon. During the late run (July and August), estimated angler-effort was 263,642 (SE = $10,153)$ angler-hours and harvest was $10,336(\mathrm{SE}=710)$ chinook salmon. During the early run, the recreational fishery was restricted by emergency order on 17 June through 30 June to catch-and-release fishing for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in total length. This management action was taken due to low numbers of returning chinook salmon, as determined by sonar, and relatively high harvest rates in the sport fishery. During the late run the fishery was extended until 3 August in response to a greater than average return. Unguided anglers accounted for $37 \%$ of the fishing effort and took $26 \%$ of the harvest during the early run, while guided anglers accounted for $63 \%$ of the effort and took $74 \%$ of the harvest. During the late run, unguided anglers had $52 \%$ of the effort and $43 \%$ of the harvest, and guided anglers had $48 \%$ of the effort and $57 \%$ of the harvest.

The predominant age class in the recreational harvest as well as the inriver return during both runs was age-1.4 chinook salmon, followed by age-1.3 fish.


Key words: Kenai River, chinook salmon, creel survey, effort, harvest, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha.

## INTRODUCTION

The Kenai River supports the largest freshwater recreational fishery in Alaska with an average annual effort of over 340,000 angler-days during the past 7 years (Mills 1991-1994, Howe et al. 1995-1997). This represents about $13 \%$ of the state's total recreational fishing effort. The majority of sport fishing effort on the Kenai River occurs during the chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha fishery (May through July) between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 1). Angler effort in the chinook salmon fisheries increased from 1974 through 1988. Effort and harvest dropped during 1990-1992 because of decreased run size which necessitated restrictions to the fishery. Effort and harvest since 1992 have been similar to historical averages (Figures 2 and 3). Although coho salmon $O$. kisutch, sockeye salmon $O$. nerka, pink salmon O. gorbuscha, Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma, and rainbow trout O. mykiss are also harvested by sport anglers fishing the Kenai River, this report focuses only on the chinook salmon fisheries.

Prior to 1970, the recreational fishery in the Kenai River was composed of shorebased anglers targeting sockeye salmon in July and coho salmon in August and early September. In 1973, anglers began experimenting with new fishing techniques which proved effective for harvesting chinook salmon in the Kenai River; thus, the chinook salmon fishery began to expand rapidly (Figures 2 and 3).

Chinook salmon return to the Kenai River in two distinct temporal components: an early run, typically entering the river in early May until late June; and a late run, typically entering the river from late June through early August. Recreational anglers value fish from both runs due to their large size, especially those from the late run which average about $18 \mathrm{~kg}(40 \mathrm{lb})$ and may exceed $36 \mathrm{~kg}(80 \mathrm{lb})$. The world record sport-caught chinook salmon, which weighed $44.1 \mathrm{~kg}(97 \mathrm{lb})$, was taken from the Kenai River in May 1985.

The early and late chinook salmon returns to the Kenai River are managed by separate management plans adopted by the Board of Fisheries (BOF) in 1988. The Kenai River Early King Salmon Management Plan stipulates that the use of bait is prohibited from 1 January until


Figure 1.-Map of the Kenai River drainage.


Figure 2.-Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for early-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, 1974-1997.



Year
Figure 3.-Historical harvest and cffort in the recreational fishery for laterun chinook salmon, Kenai River, 1974-1997.
an estimated optimum spawning escapement level of 9,000 fish is projected. If the projected spawning escapement is between 5,300 and 9,000 fish, the department shall, by emergency order, restrict the fishery through bag limit reduction and/or time/area closure to achieve 9,000 fish in the escapement. If the projected escapement is less than 5,300 , chinook salmon fishing is to be prohibited until 1 July downstream of the Funny River and 10 July upstream of the Funny River. A 1990 amendment to the plan, which was implemented in 1992, allowed retention of fish 132 cm (52 in) or larger if hook-and-release (or trophy) fishing was imposed.
Management of the late-run recreational fishery in the Kenai River is complicated by the relatively large commercial harvest of returning chinook salmon. Chinook salmon are commercially harvested primarily by the setnet fishery along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet (McBride et al. 1985). User-group conflicts have required the Department of Fish and Game to manage the salmon resources of the Kenai River with increasing accuracy and precision.

In 1997, a creel survey was conducted to estimate angler effort, and catch and harvest of chinook salmon by the recreational fishery in the Kenai River. Chinook salmon were sampled to estimate the age and sex composition of the harvest and of the inriver return. This program provided data used for inseason management decisions appropriate to the recreational fishery, as well as information used by the Board of Fisheries to refine long-term management objectives and to allocate salmon resources. Previous information on the chinook salmon fisheries in the Kenai River was presented by Hammarstrom (1975-1981, 1988-1994), Hammarstrom and Larson (1982-1984, 1986), Hammarstrom et al. (1985), Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987), and King (1995-1997). In addition, angler-effort and harvest by species for the recreational fishery have been estimated by Mills (1979-1994) and Howe et al. (1995-1997) in the Alaska Statewide Sport Fish Harvest Survey.

## Fishing Regulations

Regulations for the chinook salmon fishery in the Kenai River are among the most restrictive of any open waters in Alaska. The river is open to fishing for chinook salmon between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet, with the exception of the confluence areas of the Funny River and Slikok Creek with the Kenai River. These waters are closed to fishing for chinook salmon until 15 July to protect early-run chinook salmon that stage in these locations prior to entering their natal streams. The season for chinook salmon is from 1 January through 31 July, but the fishery effectively begins in mid-May when the fish begin entering the river in harvestable numbers and the river becomes navigable for anglers. For management purposes the early run is defined as all chinook salmon entering the river prior to 1 July, and the late run is defined as fish entering on or after 1 July. The daily bag and possession limits are one chinook salmon per day greater than 41 cm (16 in) in length and a seasonal limit of two chinook salmon greater than 41 cm . Fishing from boats downstream from the outlet of Skilak Lake is prohibited on Mondays in May, June, and July, except Memorial Day Monday. Anyone retaining a chinook salmon that is 41 cm in length or greater is prohibited from fishing from a boat in the Kenai River downstream of Skilak Lake for the remainder of that day. The early-run fishery is further restricted in that the use of bait is prohibited until the department is able to project an escapement of at least 9,000 fish or 1 July, whichever occurs first.

There are further restrictions for guided anglers. In addition to prohibiting fishing from boats on Mondays, fishing from a registered guide vessel on Sundays in July is prohibited as well.

Fishing from a guided boat is allowed only between 0600 and 1800 hours during June and July. Also, during May, June, and July guides are prohibited from actively fishing while conducting clients.

In 1997, the early-run fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm ( 52 inches) by emergency order on 17 June. This management action was required to curtail harvest in response to low numbers of returning chinook salmon to the Kenai River as estimated by sonar. However, the late-run fishery was opened to guided anglers on Sunday, 27 July, and to fishing from boats on two successive Mondays, 21 and 28 July. The fishery was also extended through 3 August to allow retention of chinook salmon downstream of "Eagle Rock" (approximately river kilometer 18.2). These emergency orders were issued in response to the magnitude of the early and late inriver returns, but allowed continued fishing opportunity while insuring that escapement goals were achieved.

## METHODS

## Creel Survey

A stratified, two-stage roving-access site creel survey (Bernard et al. 1998a and 1998b) was used to estimate sport fishing effort, in angler-hours, and catch and harvest of chinook salmon by the recreational fishery in the Kenai River from Cook Inlet (river mile [rm]/river kilometer [rkm] 0) to the Soldotna Bridge (rm 21 or rkm 34) of the Kenai River (Figure 4). Angler effort was estimated by conducting angler counts. Harvest per unit of effort (HPUE) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) for chinook salmon were estimated from completed-trip angler interviews. The number of chinook salmon caught or harvested by the fishery was estimated as the product of the effort and harvest or catch rate estimates. Harvest refers to fish legally hooked and retained by anglers as part of their creel. Catch refers to fish legally hooked and retained plus those reported to be released by anglers, but not those that broke off before the fish was brought to the boat.

Regulations and inherent characteristics of the chinook salmon fishery determined stratification of the creel survey. The chinook salmon sonar site was originally located downstream of the sport fishery such that returning chinook salmon were enumerated prior to any harvest by the recreational fishery, but over the years, the fishery expanded downstream of the sonar site. Significant harvest downstream of the sonar site might affect the estimate of the inriver return. Thus, angler counts were stratified geographically by: (1) from the Warren Ames Bridge to the sonar site, and (2) from the sonar site to the Soldotna Bridge.

Both unguided and guided anglers participate in the Kenai River chinook salmon fishery and generally fish from boats (Hammarstrom 1977). By regulation, guides are required to register and place a decal on their boat(s), making these two groups easily identifiable on the river. The times and days when guides may participate in the fishery are restricted, and harvest and catch rates between guided and unguided anglers are significantly different (King 1995-1997); therefore, angler counts and interviews were stratified by angler type.

Geographic location of effort, catch, harvest, and angler type (above or below the sonar site) were determined during completed-trip angler interviews and estimates were poststratified by these two factors. Harvest and catch rates have also differed significantly among biweekly time intervals and between weekdays and weekend/holidays (King 1995-1997). Therefore, the creel


Figure 4.-Map of the Kenai River creel survey study area.
survey in 1997 was further stratified into approximate biweekly time intervals and by day type (weekdays and weekends/holidays).

The creel survey began 17 May and continued through 3 August. The two-stage design consisted of periods, 12 or 20 hours in length (the entire angler-day) as the first stage and angler-trips the second stage. The entire fishing day was sampled to minimize problems with length-of-stay bias (Bernard et al. 1998b). The unguided angler day was 20 hours long, from 0400 to 2400 hours during May, June and July. In May, the guided angler day was also 20 hours long but in June and July the guided angler day is restricted by regulation from 0600 to 1800 hours. The guided angler day is very structured during these two months because guides are limited to a 12 -hour fishing day and the basic unit of charter time is generally one-half day.
Based upon these factors, the following strata were used for conducting angler counts and estimating creel statistics:

| Geographic | 2 strata | (1) from the Warren Ames Bridge to the sonar site, and <br> (2) from the sonar site to the Soldotna Bridge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Temporal | 5 strata | Biweekly |
| Day Type | 2 strata | Weekday and Weekend/Holiday <br> Angler Type 2 strata |$\quad$| Guided and Unguided |
| :--- |

This resulted in a total of 40 strata. Within each of the two geographic strata, the following temporal/day type/angler type strata were employed:

| Stratum | Run | Temporal | Day Type | Angler Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Early | 17-31 May | Weekday | Guided |
| 2 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 3 |  |  | Weekend | Guided |
| 4 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 5 |  | 1-15 June | Weekday | Guided |
| 6 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 7 |  |  | Weekend | Guided |
| 8 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 9 |  | 16-30 June | Weekday | Guided |
| 10 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 11 |  |  | Weekend | Guided |
| 12 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 13 | Late | 1-15 July | Weekday | Guided |
| 14 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 15 |  |  | Weekend | Guided |
| 16 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 17 |  | 16-31 July | Weekday | Guided |
| 18 |  |  |  | Unguided |
| 19 |  |  | Weekend | Guided |
| 20 |  |  |  | Unguided |

All weekend/holiday days and one less than half of all possible weekday days (excluding Mondays when no boats were allowed on the river) were sampled within each temporal stratum. Weekday days to sample were chosen at random from all possible weekday days in each temporal stratum.
Anglers were interviewed at the following six popular campground/boat launch areas (Figure 4):
A. Centennial Campground
B. River Quest
C. Riverbend Campground
D. Stewart's Landing
E. Eagle Rock Launch Area
F. Poacher's Cove.

## Angler Counts

Five counts were made during each sample day. Time to begin the first count was chosen at random from a whole hour from 0400 to 0700 hours. All remaining counts in a day were made systematically, resulting in an angler count occurring every 4 hours. In June and July, when guided anglers were restricted to fishing from 0600-1800 hours, at least three counts of guided anglers were made. Some deviation from the schedule did occur because of mechanical breakdown and/or other duties such as public assistance or enforcement activities.
Counts of anglers were conducted from a boat from the Warren Ames Bridge to the Soldotna Bridge on the Kenai River. Two boat technicians, each working 37.5 hours per week, conducted the angler counts. The starting point of each count (upstream or downstream extremity of the survey area) was chosen at random. The technician counted anglers while driving the boat at a constant rate of speed through the survey area to the opposite end. The technician made a complete count for each geographic stratum. The entire count period usually required about 45 minutes to finish and every effort was made to ensure that the trip was completed in less than 1 hour. Angler counts were considered instantaneous and to reflect fishing effort at the time of the count. During the angler count, the boat technicians, with the use of multiple "tally-wackers," counted the following: (1) total number of unguided power boats; (2) total number of unguided drift boats; (3) total number of guided power boats; (4) total number of guided drift boats; (5) total number of unguided anglers in power boats; (6) total number of unguided anglers in drift boats; (7) total number of guided anglers in power boats (excluding the guide); (8) total number of guided anglers in drift boats (excluding the guide); and (9) total number of shore anglers.
Boats and anglers were considered engaged in fishing and were counted if the boat was in operation, regardless of whether or not an angler's line was in the water when the count was conducted. Guides were not included in the counts during the chinook salmon fishery as they are prohibited from fishing while guiding; however, this regulation does not apply during August so guides were counted as anglers during the August extension of the fishery.

## Angler Interviews

Two technicians, each working 37.5 hours per week, conducted angler interviews at the designated access sites. The two boat technicians also conducted angler interviews when they were not engaged in angler counts, but only during times when the access technicians were not conducting interviews.

For each angler interviewed, the technician inquired in which geographic stratum the angler had fished. The technician obtained an interview for each stratum fished (possibly two interviews per angler) and recorded the following information for each interview: (1) powered or nonpowered boat; (2) location fished; (3) guided or unguided angler; (4) number of hours spent fishing (to the nearest 0.5 hour); (5) number of fish, by species, retained; (6) number of fish, by species, released. All data were entered into a Hewlett-Packard HP95LS computerized data recorder.

During the interview, technicians inspected harvested fish for an adipose finclip indicating that the fish had been tagged with a coded wire tag. This sampling was done to provide data for other projects, including estimating the proportion of chinook salmon marked with coded wire tags as juveniles in the Kenai River and out-of-system interception of straying of other stocks marked with coded wire tags in Cook Inlet. For harvested fish missing the adipose fin, flesh color (red or white) was recorded and the angler was asked for permission to remove the fish head so that the coded wire tag could be recovered and decoded. Creel technicians also asked anglers if they caught or harvested any fish with a radio transmitter. All harvested fish were observed for transmitter hole-marks and an attempt was made to recover radio transmitters from anglers. If a radio tagged fish was caught or harvested, the technician recorded the date, tag number, and river location caught or harvested on a data form. Technicians gave sampled fish a hole punch in the dorsal or caudal fin to prevent resampling. Because data from coded wire tagged and transmittered chinook salmon are presented in other reports (King and Breakfield In prep, Hammarstrom and Hasbrouck In prep), this information is not presented in this report.

## Age/Sex Composition

## Harvest

Harvested chinook salmon were sampled for age, sex, and length during angler interviews. Mideye-to-fork of tail length was measured to the nearest one-half centimeter, sex of the fish was identified, and scales removed from the preferred area (Clutter and Whitesel 1956; Welander 1940). Three scales were collected from each fish and placed on an adhesive-coated card. Impressions of the scales were made on acetate, and the resulting images were projected with a microfiche reader to determine age.

## Inriver Return

To estimate the age and sex composition of the inriver return, chinook salmon were captured with $71 / 4$-inch ( 18.4 cm ) mesh gillnets in the intertidal area (from approximately Beaver Creek downstream to the Warren Ames Bridge), using the techniques described by Hammarstrom and Larson (1984). Two crews of two individuals in v-hull river boats conducted the sampling. Sampling was stratified into two 3-week strata during each run.
Fish were untangled from the gillnet and placed in a tagging cradle (Conrad and Larson 1987) for sampling and later released. Biological data collected included length (mideye-to-fork of tail), sex (using external characteristics) and three scales which were taken from the preferred area. Scale samples were prepared similarly to those of the creel samples. As with the creel samples, each fish was examined for the presence of the adipose fin.

## Data Analyses

Total effort, catch, and harvest were estimated by expanding means over all days sampled in a stratum (i.e., location, biweek, day type, and angler type). During each sample day five counts were made and interviews collected.

Angler effort, harvest, and catch rates for chinook salmon, harvest and catch of chinook salmon, and associated variances were estimated using the same procedures for guided and unguided anglers.

## Effort

The mean number of anglers counted on day $i$ in stratum $h$ was estimated by:
$\bar{x}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{g}=1}^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hig}}}{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}}$,
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{\text {hig }}=\text { the number of anglers observed in the } \mathrm{gth} \text { count of day } \mathrm{i} \text { in stratum } \mathrm{h}, \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\text { the number of counts on day } \mathrm{i} \text { in stratum } \mathrm{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Angler counts were conducted systematically within each sample day. The variance of the mean angler count was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{g}=2}^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hig}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hi}(\mathrm{~g}-1)}\right)^{2}}{2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}-1\right)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Effort (angler-hours) during day i in stratum h was estimated by:
$\hat{E}_{h i}=L_{h i} \bar{x}_{h i}$,
where:
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{hi}}=$ length of the sample day ( $=20$ hours for unguided anglers, $=20$ hours for guided anglers in May, and = 12 hours for guided anglers in June and July ) in each stratum.

The within day variance (effort) was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{2} \hat{V}\left(\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean effort of stratum $h$ was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}} \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{h}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$d_{h}=$ number of days sampled in stratum $h$.
Weekday days were sampled at random in each stratum; however, every weekend/holiday day was sampled. The variance of mean effort among days was estimated by:
$\hat{V}\left(\bar{E}_{h}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=2}^{d_{h}}\left(\hat{E}_{h i}-\bar{E}_{h}\right)^{2}}{\left(d_{h}-1\right)}$.
Total effort of stratum $h$ was estimated by:
$\hat{E}_{h}=D_{h} \bar{E}_{h}$,
where:
$D_{h}=$ total number of days the fishery is open in stratum $h$.
The variance of total effort of each stratum in a two-stage design, omitting the finite population correction factor for the second stage, was estimated by (Cochran 1977):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)=(1-\mathrm{f}) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} \frac{\hat{\mathrm{~V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mathrm{fD}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}} \hat{\mathrm{~V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathrm{f}=\text { finite population correction factor for days sampled }\left(=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \text {. }
$$

## Harvest and Catch

Catch and harvest per unit of effort of each day sampled was estimated from angler interviews using the jackknife method to minimize the bias of these ratio estimators (Efron 1982). A jackknife estimate of CPUE (similarly HPUE) was made for each angler by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { CPUE }_{\text {hij }}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{a}=1 \\ \mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{j}}}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \mathrm{c}_{\text {hia }}}{\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{ai}=1 \\ \mathrm{~m} \neq \mathrm{j}}} \mathrm{e}_{\text {hia }}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{hia}}= & \text { catches of all anglers interviewed on day } \mathrm{i} \text { in stratum } \mathrm{h} \text { except angler } \mathrm{j}, \\
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{hia}}= & \text { effort (hours fished) of all anglers interviewed on day } \mathrm{i} \text { in stratum } \mathrm{h} \text { except } \\
& \text { angler } \mathrm{j}, \text { and } \\
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}= & \text { number of anglers interviewed on day } \mathrm{i} \text { in stratum } \mathrm{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The jackknife estimate of mean CPUE of day i was the mean of the angler estimates:
$\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \text { CPUE }_{\mathrm{hij}}^{*}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{hi}}}$,
and the bias corrected mean was:
$\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}^{\mathrm{hi}}{ }^{* *}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}-\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}\right)+\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}$,
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}= & \text { the standard estimate of CPUE, or the sum of all catches over the sum of } \\
& \text { all hours fished in a day. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of the jackknife estimate of CPUE was estimated by:
$\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left({\overline{\operatorname{CPUE}_{\mathrm{hi}}}}^{* *}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}-1}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}}\left(\text { CPUE }_{\mathrm{hij}}^{*}-\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}\right)^{2}$.
Catch during each sample day was estimated as the product of effort and CPUE by:
$\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}} \overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *}$,
and the variance by (Goodman 1960):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)=\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *}\right)^{2}+\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *}\right) \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}-\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right) \hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

HPUE was estimated by substituting angler harvest for angler catch in equations (9) through (12). Harvest during sample day i was estimated by substituting the appropriate HPUE ${ }_{\text {hi }}$ statistics into equations (13) and (14). Total catch and harvest during stratum $h$ was estimated using equations (5) through (8), substituting estimated catch ( $\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ ) and harvest ( $\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ ) during sample day i for the estimated effort ( $\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ ) during day i.

The estimate of total effort, catch, and harvest, and their respective variances, were summed across the strata within each run as these estimates were considered independent. Covariances that arise because geographic locale and angler type were post-stratified (i.e., estimates of these strata are not statistically independent) are likely too small to affect the precision of the estimates.

## Biological Data

Age and sex composition of the chinook salmon harvest and inriver return was estimated for each run. The proportion of chinook salmon in age/sex group $b$ in stratum $t$ was estimated as:
$\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{bt}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}}$,
where:
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{bt}}=$ the number of fish of age group b sampled during stratum t , and
$n_{t}=$ the number of legible scales read from chinook salmon sampled during stratum t.

The variance of $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}$ was estimated as (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}\right)=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}\left(1-\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}-1\right)} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Secchi Disc Measurements

During each sampled day of the recreational fishery, the two boat technicians recorded a water clarity measurement using a Secchi disc at the beginning of their work sift. All measurements were made at approximately river mile 15.6 . The average of the two daily measurements was used to reflect the water conditions for that particular day and incorporated into the historical database. These historical data are utilized inseason for comparative purposes when reviewing the catch rates between different years.

## RESULTS

## Creel Survey

The creel survey commenced on 17 May. Angler counts were conducted on 47 of the 71 possible days: 24 days of the 39 possible sample days during the early run; and 23 days of the possible 32 days during the late run. Because of the regulatory restrictions placed on guided anglers, there were only 29 sampling days possible during the late run for guided anglers. Counts were made only 20 of those 29 days. A total of 4,292 completed-trip angler interviews were collected during both early- and late-run fisheries: 1,679 interviews during the early run; and 2,613 interviews during the late run (Tables 1 and 2).

Relatively few anglers were observed fishing, and on a number of days no anglers were counted downstream of the sonar site (Appendices A1 and A2). Estimates of effort showed that less than $1 \%$ of the total effort during the early run, and only $5 \%$ of the total effort during the late run occurred downstream of the sonar site. Because so few people fished between the Warren Ames Bridge and the sonar site, completed-trip interviews were collected from anglers who fished this area of the river. Based on the lack of fishing effort and potential biases in estimating harvest and catch rates in this area, count and interview data were combined across spatial strata to provide more accurate estimates of total effort, catch, and harvest.

During the early run, angler counts ranged from 0 to 208 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 403 for guided anglers (Appendix A1). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 14 June and for guided anglers on 10 June. During the late run, angler counts ranged from 0 to 562 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 741 for guided anglers (Appendix A2). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 15 July, and for guided anglers on 8 July.

Estimated effort during the early run was 102,243 ( $\mathrm{SE}=5,130$ ) angler-hours (Table 3). The relative precision of the total effort estimate $(9.8 \%)$ for the early run was within the levels desired

Table 1.-Estimated effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

|  | Angler Day Type | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Effort |  | Catch |  | Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Estimate | SE | Estimate | SE | Estimate | SE |
|  | 17-31 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unguided weekdays | 3 | 8 | 89 | 5,099 | 763 | 386 | 115 | 235 | 102 |
|  | Unguided weekends | 6 | 6 | 263 | 6,568 | 580 | 179 | 40 | 169 | 40 |
|  | Guided weekdays | 3 | 8 | 112 | 9,995 | 1,717 | 830 | 170 | 781 | 183 |
|  | Guided weekends |  | 6 | 156 | 6,956 | 897 | 408 | 112 | 361 | 98 |
|  | 1-15 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unguided weekdays | 3 | 8 | 196 | 10,464 | 738 | 738 | 156 | 501 | 103 |
|  | Unguided weekends | 5 | 5 | 234 | 9,633 | 864 | 487 | 78 | 377 | 65 |
|  | Guided weekdays | 3 | 8 | 136 | 22,315 | 4,179 | 2,291 | 661 | 2,024 | 552 |
|  | Guided weekends | 5 | 5 | 139 | 10,404 | 1,084 | 547 | 99 | 494 | 93 |
|  | 16-30 June ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in | Unguided weekdays | 3 | 8 | 30 | 3,200 | 511 | 162 | 111 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Unguided weekends | 4 | 4 | 108 | 2,828 | 443 | 39 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guided weekdays | 3 | 8 | 76 | 10,933 | 1,059 | 565 | 229 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guided weekends | 4 | 4 | 140 | 3,848 | 372 | 150 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unguided | 24 | 39 | 920 | 37,792 | 1,633 | 1,991 | 241 | 1,282 | 164 |
|  | Guided | 24 | 39 | 759 | 64,451 | 4,863 | 4,791 | 736 | 3,660 | 597 |
|  | Early Run Total | 24 | 39 | 1,679 | 102,243 | 5,130 | 6,782 | 775 | 4,942 | 619 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of days during which interview data were collected.
${ }^{b}$ Number of days possible for interviewing.
${ }^{c}$ Completed-trip interviews only.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 17-30 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

Table 2.-Estimated effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

| Angler Day Type | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Effort |  | Catch |  | Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Estimate | SE | Estimate | SE | Estimate | SE |
| 1-15 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 4 | 8 | 234 | 34,936 | 5,631 | 1,894 | 417 | 1,493 | 360 |
| Unguided weekends | 5 | 5 | 391 | 21,484 | 1,676 | 1,154 | 156 | 865 | 128 |
| Guided weekdays | 4 | 8 | 485 | 44,328 | 3,284 | 2,874 | 324 | 2,410 | 296 |
| Guided weekends | 3 | 3 | 202 | 11,736 | 1,306 | 715 | 118 | 673 | 113 |
| 16-31 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 7 | 12 | 404 | 51,444 | 5,100 | 1,443 | 349 | 1,072 | 265 |
| Unguided weekends | 4 | 4 | 239 | 26,096 | 2,035 | 1,241 | 250 | 1,042 | 224 |
| Guided weekdays | 7 | 12 | 438 | 55,652 | 4,914 | 2,832 | 420 | 2,437 | 362 |
| Guided weekends | 3 | 3 | 114 | 10,160 | 1,128 | 290 | 75 | 257 | 70 |
| 1-3 August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 1 | 1 | 15 | 1,110 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 18 | 2,156 | 336 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| Guided weekdays | 1 | 1 | 28 | 2,320 | 459 | 29 | 22 | 29 | 22 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 45 | 2,220 | 392 | 56 | 29 | 50 | 28 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 23 | 32 | 1,301 | 137,226 | 8,050 | 5,740 | 619 | 4,480 | 516 |
| Guided | 20 | 29 | 1,312 | 126,416 | 6,186 | 6,796 | 550 | 5,856 | 488 |
| Late Run Total |  |  | 2,613 | 263,642 | 10,152 | 12,536 | 828 | 10,336 | 710 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of days during which interview data were collected.
${ }^{b}$ Number of days possible for interviewing.
${ }^{c}$ Completed-trip interviews only.

Table 3.-Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the strata of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

| Stratum | Estimated Effort | Standard Error | $95 \%$Confidence Interval |  |  | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 May - 31 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 5,099 | 763 | 3,604 |  | 6,594 | 29.3 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 6,568 | 580 | 5,431 |  | 7,705 | 17.3 \% |
| Guided, weekdays: | 9,995 | 1,717 | 6,630 |  | 13,360 | 33.7 \% |
| Guided, weekends: | 6,956 | 897 | 5,198 |  | 8,714 | 25.3 \% |
| 1 June - 16 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 10,464 | 738 | 9,018 |  | 11,910 | 13.8 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 9,633 | 864 | 7,940 |  | 11,326 | 17.6 \% |
| Guided, weekdays: | 22,315 | 4,179 | 14,124 |  | 30,506 | 36.7 \% |
| Guided, weekends: | 10,404 | 1,084 | 8,279 | - | 12,529 | 20.4 \% |
| 17 June - 30 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 3,200 | 511 | 2,198 |  | 4,202 | 31.3 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 2,828 | 443 | 1,960 |  | 3,696 | 30.7 \% |
| Guided, weekdays: | 10,933 | 1,059 | 8,857 |  | 13,009 | 19.0 \% |
| Guided, weekends: | 3,848 | 372 | 3,119 | - | 4,577 | 18.9 \% |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 37,792 | 1,633 | 34,591 |  | 40,993 | 8.5 \% |
| Guided: | 64,451 | 4,863 | 54,919 | - | 73,983 | 14.8 \% |
| Early Run Total | 102,243 | 5,130 | 92,188 | - | 112,298 | 9.8 \% |

for this survey. Estimated effort during the late run was $263,642(\mathrm{SE}=10,153)$ angler-hours (Table 4). The relative precision (7.5\%) of the total effort estimate for the late run was also within the levels desired for the survey.

Completed-trip anglers interviewed during the early run fished a total of 7,445 angler-hours; 7\% of the total estimated effort. During the late run, interviewed anglers reported fishing a total of 12,152 angler-hours; $5 \%$ of the total estimated effort. Approximately $3 \%$ of the total late-run effort occurred during the 3-day extension of the fishery.

Daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 ( $\mathrm{SE}=$ $0.000)$ to $0.129(\mathrm{SE}=0.025)$ fish per hour, and from $0.015(\mathrm{SE}=0.011)$ to $0.169(\mathrm{SE}=0.038)$ fish per hour for anglers employing guides (Appendices C1 and C2). Peak daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 1 June, and on 12 June for guided

Table 4.-Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the strata of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

| Stratum | Estimated Effort | Standard <br> Error | $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Interval |  |  | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 July - 16 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 34,936 | 5,631 | 23,899 | - | 45,973 | 31.6 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 21,484 | 1,676 | 18,199 | - | 24,769 | 15.3 \% |
| Guided, weekdays: | 44,328 | 3,284 | 37,891 | - | 50,765 | 14.5 \% |
| Guided, weekends: | 11,736 | 1,306 | 9,176 | - | 14,296 | 21.8 \% |
| 17 July - 31 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 51,444 | 5,100 | 41,448 | - | 61,440 | 19.4 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 26,096 | 2,035 | 22,107 | - | 30,085 | 15.3 \% |
| Guided, weekdays: | 55,652 | 4,914 | 46,021 | - | 65,283 | 17.3 \% |
| Guided, weekends: | 10,160 | 1,128 | 7,949 | - | 12,371 | 21.8 \% |
| 1 August - 3 August |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 1,110 | 151 | 814 | - | 1,406 | 26.7 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 2,156 | 336 | 1,497 | - | 2,815 | 30.5 \% |
| Guided, weekdays: | 2,320 | 459 | 1,420 | - | 3,220 | 38.8 \% |
| Guided, weekends: | 2,220 | 392 | 1,452 | - | 2,988 | 34.6 \% |
| Unguided: | 137,226 | 8,050 | 121,448 | - | 153,004 | 11.5 \% |
| Guided: | 126,416 | 6,187 | 114,290 | - | 138,542 | 9.6 \% |
| Late Run Total | 263,642 | 10,153 | 243,743 | - | 283,541 | 7.5 \% |

anglers. Daily catch rates of late-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 $(\mathrm{SE}=0.000)$ to $0.081(\mathrm{SE}=0.029)$ fish per hour, and from $0.000(\mathrm{SE}=0.000)$ to $0.077(\mathrm{SE}=$ 0.016 ) fish per hour for guided anglers (Appendices C3 and C4). Peak daily catch rates of laterun chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 26 July, and by guided anglers on 5 July. During both runs, catch and harvest rates were generally higher for guided anglers than for unguided anglers (Appendices C1-C4).
An estimated 4,942 $(\mathrm{SE}=619)$ chinook salmon were harvested during the early run (Table 1$)$. Unguided anglers harvested $26 \%$ of the total. The estimated catch of early-run chinook was $6,782(\mathrm{SE}=775)$. The relative precision for total catch and harvest $(22.4 \%$ and $24.6 \%$, respectively) exceeded the desired levels of precision (15\%). Completed-trip anglers interviewed during the early run reported harvesting 299 fish which represented $6.0 \%$ of the estimated total
harvest. The catch-and-release emergency order for 17 June through 30 June (regulatory end of the early run) increased the number of chinook salmon released by anglers. Prior to the emergency order, only $16 \%$ of the catch was released, but because of the emergency order, $27 \%$ of the total early-run catch was released.
An estimated 10,336 $(\mathrm{SE}=710)$ chinook salmon were harvested during the late run (Table 2). Unguided anglers accounted for $43 \%$ of the harvest. The estimated catch of chinook salmon was $12,536(\mathrm{SE}=828)$. The relative precision for total catch and harvest $(12.9 \%$ and $13.4 \%$, respectively) was within desired levels of precision (15\%). Approximately $17 \%$ of the catch was voluntarily released during the late run. Anglers interviewed during the late run reported a harvest of 487 fish, $4.7 \%$ of the estimated total harvest.

The majority of the 1997 late-run effort was by unguided anglers (52\%). About $3 \%$ of the total effort for the late run occurred during the extension period, 1-3 August, with the guided effort (4,540 angler-hours) slightly greater than the unguided angler effort (3,266 angler-hours; Table 4). In general, catch per unit of effort (CPUE) and harvest per unit of effort (HPUE) for guided anglers was greater than for unguided anglers for both runs (Appendices B1-B4).

## Biological Data

## Recreational Fishery

Because the sport fishery was limited to catch-and-release fishing on 17 June through 30 June, there was essentially no recorded harvest during the third time stratum. Between the first two temporal strata ( 17 May-31 May, 1 June-15 June) of the early-run harvest, there was no significant difference $\left(\chi^{2}=0.677, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{P}=0.713\right)$ in the age composition among the three major age classes. Therefore, biological data from the temporal strata were combined. The most abundant age group in the early-run harvest was age-1.4 fish which comprised $82 \%$ of the total sampled harvest (Table 5). The only other major age class was 1.3 -age chinook salmon (11\%). Chinook salmon aged 1.2 and 1.5 composed $3 \%$ and $4 \%$ of the harvest, respectively.
Similarly, during the late run, the age composition of the three major age classes did not differ significantly $\left(\chi^{2}=0.260, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{P}=0.878\right)$ between temporal strata ( 1 July-15 July and 16 July3 August. Therefore, biological data were combined by strata. The most abundant age group in the late-run harvest of chinook salmon was age-1.4 fish which comprised $72 \%$ of the total sampled harvest (Table 6). The only other age class of significance was 1.3-age chinook salmon (24\%).

## Inriver Return

For the early run, there was no significant difference $\left(\chi^{2}=3.43, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{P}=0.18\right)$ in the age composition of the inriver return between the first 3-week stratum and second 3-week stratum (17 May-8 June, 9 June-30 June). Thus, it was not necessary to temporally stratify the netting data to estimate the age structure of the inriver return during the early run (Table 7). The most abundant age class was 1.4 -age fish, representing approximately $60 \%$ of the sampled fish. Age1.3 fish was the second largest contributor, with the 1.2 and 1.5 age classes also present. These age classes represented $35 \%, 4 \%$, and $1 \%$, respectively, of the inriver return during the early run.
During the late run, there was also no significant difference ( $\chi^{2}=1.41$, $\mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{P}=0.49$ ) in the age composition of the major age classes of the inriver return. The most abundant age class was

Table 5.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1997.

|  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sex | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 2.5 | 6.8 | 42.2 | 3.7 | 55.2 |
| Percent | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1.5 |  |
| SE |  |  |  |  |  |

Females

| Percent | 0.6 | 3.7 | 39.8 | 0.6 | 44.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE |  | 1.5 | 3.8 |  |  |

Combined

| Percent | 3.1 | 10.6 | 82.0 | 4.3 | 100.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE | 1.4 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 |  |

Males

| Mean Length $(\mathrm{mm})^{\text {a }}$ | 624 | 844 | 1,012 | 1,115 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE | 25 | 18 | 9 | 39 |  |
| Sample size | 4 | 11 | 68 | 6 | 89 |

Females

| Mean Length (mm) | 510 | 841 | 954 | 1,090 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE |  | 17 | 7 |  |  |
| Sample size | 1 | 6 | 64 | 1 | 72 |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample size | 5 | 17 | 132 | 7 | 161 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mideye-to-fork of tail.
1.4-age fish, representing $72 \%$ of the inriver return (Table 8). Age- 1.3 fish were the second largest contributor to the late run with approximately $22 \%$, followed by ages 1.2 and 1.5 with $4 \%$ and $2 \%$, respectively.

Analysis-of-variance was used to test for differences in mean length-at-age by sex, run, and sampling method (recreational harvest or inriver netting). For age-1.3 fish, those sampled from the recreational harvest were significantly $(\mathrm{F}=10.68 ; \mathrm{df}=1,278 ; \mathrm{P}=0.001)$ larger than those

Table 6.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1997.

|  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sex | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Total |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 1.0 | 2.2 | 12.0 | 32.2 | 1.3 | 48.7 |
| SE | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 0.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  | 0.3 | 11.4 | 39.7 |  | 51.4 |
| Percent |  |  | 1.8 | 2.8 |  |  |
| SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined | 1.0 | 2.5 | 23.3 | 72.0 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Percent | 0.5 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 |  |
| SE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length (mm) | 408 | 636 | 867 | 1,055 | 1,150 |  |
| SE | 20 | 26 | 13 | 5 | 11 |  |
| Sample size | 3 | 7 | 38 | 102 | 4 | 154 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE |  | 640 | 905 | 1,017 |  |  |
| Sample size |  |  | 9 | 4 |  |  |
| Combined |  | 1 | 36 | 126 |  | 163 |
| Sample size |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mideye-to-fork of tail.
sampled with gillnets. In addition, late-run fish were significantly larger than early-run fish ( $\mathrm{F}=$ $15.26 ; \mathrm{df}=1,278 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and females were significantly larger than males $(\mathrm{F}=7.74 ; \mathrm{df}=1$, 278; $\mathrm{P}=0.006$ ). For age-1.4 fish, the mean length for late-run fish was significantly larger than for early-run fish ( $\mathrm{F}=147.86$; $\mathrm{df}=1,787 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Age-1.4 males were also significantly larger than 1.4 females ( $\mathrm{F}=133.89 ; \mathrm{df}=1,787 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), and fish sampled from the inriver return were significantly $(\mathrm{F}=9.23 ; \mathrm{df}=1,787 ; \mathrm{P}=0.003$ ) larger than those sampled from the harvest.

Table 7.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gillnets during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1997.

| Sex | Age Group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 4.2 | 19.0 | 23.5 | 0.8 | 47.5 |
| SE | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.5 |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 15.8 | 36.4 | 0.3 | 52.5 |
| SE |  | 1.9 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 4.2 | 34.8 | 59.9 | 1.1 | 100 |
| SE | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 660 | 796 | 1,019 | 1,113 |  |
| SE | 9 | 8 | 8 | 28 |  |
| Sample size | 16 | 72 | 89 | 3 | 180 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 817 | 972 | 1,070 |  |
| SE |  | 7 | 5 |  |  |
| Sample size |  | 60 | 138 | 1 | 199 |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample size | 16 | 132 | 227 | 4 | 379 |

[^0]
## DISCUSSION

This was the first year that a stratified, two-stage roving-access creel design (Bernard et al. 1998a and 1998b) was used on the Kenai River. The study design replaced a roving creel survey (Neuhold and Lu 1957) used on the river for more than a decade. The new design was implemented so that effort, catch, and harvest could be estimated for sampled days, statistics that were not possible to estimate with the old design. In designing the survey, it was determined that

Table 8.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gillnets during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1997.

|  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 3.1 | 13.8 | 29.1 | 1.0 |  | 47.0 |
| SE | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 0.6 |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 1.0 | 8.0 | 42.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 52.9 |
| SE | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 0.5 |  |  |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 4.2 | 21.8 | 72.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 100 |
| SE | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.8 |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 684 | 815 | 1,079 | 1,193 |  |  |
| SE | 11 | 14 | 8 | 13 |  |  |
| Sample size | 9 | 40 | 84 | 3 |  | 136 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 670 | 883 | 1,019 | 1,080 | 700 |  |
| SE | 15 | 16 | 4 | 40 |  |  |
| Sample size | 3 | 23 | 124 | 2 | 1 | 153 |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample size | 12 | 63 | 208 | 5 | 1 | 289 |

[^1]sampling all weekend/holiday days and one less than half of all weekday days of each biweekly stratum would provide estimates with the desired accuracy and precision. This resulted in sampling only 1 of the 4 weekday days during some weeks, including the first week of June which is historically a critical time in making inseason management decisions. Therefore, although the level of sample effort was sufficient for stock assessment purposes, it did not provide managers sufficient information about the fishery during an important period. Pivotal periods during both runs when historical trends indicate that peak escapements and catches are
likely to occur should receive more sampling effort to guard against the possibility that high harvests may impact spawning escapements.

In 1990-1992, and 1997, emergency orders restricting the early-run fishery to catch-and-release fishing, or to a bag limit of one fish 132 cm or greater (trophy fishing) were implemented to meet escapement goals. These management actions greatly diminished angler participation in the recreational fishery (Figure 2). Relatively high catch rates apparently do not provide sufficient angler satisfaction when fish retention is limited or prohibited. Effort declined dramatically after the implementation of the emergency orders, regardless of the increased numbers of fish entering the system (Appendix D1) and the numbers of fish caught in proportion to the number of anglerhours expended. While effort during the catch-and-release period declined from the previous weeks, fishing effort during the last 2 weeks of the early run remained relatively stable at nearly $50 \%$ of the effort during early June (Figure 5).

Effort during the 1997 early run was nearly 28,000 angler hours (21\%) less than in 1996 (King 1997). The most likely explanation for this reduction is the catch-and-release emergency order during 17-30 June. Unguided anglers had the greatest decrease in effort ( $16 \%$ ) while effort by guided anglers declined only $5 \%$. In 1997, guided anglers contributed $63 \%$ of the total effort and unguided anglers $37 \%$, following a trend similar to the 1996 early run when guided anglers contributed $55 \%$ of the fishing effort and unguided anglers contributed $45 \%$ of the total effort (King 1997).

Angler participation during the 1997 late run of 263,642 angler-hours was nearly $11 \%$ greater than the recreational effort expended during the 1996 late run (King 1997). While the total fishing effort experienced only a moderate increase in 1997 versus 1996, the total harvest of chinook salmon $(10,336)$ was nearly $73 \%$ greater than the harvest evidenced during the 1996 late run (King 1997). Harvest and effort levels were highest approximately 15 July (Figure 6) and lagged several days after the seasonal high daily sonar passage estimates (Appendix D2).
Improved angler success rates relative to the 1996 season in both the early and late run during 1997 may well have been influenced by improved water levels and water clarity. Water clarity during 1997, as measured by Secchi transparency readings taken daily during the fishery, was generally less clear than the historical average, but was consistently much better than those conditions that occurred in 1996 (Figure 7). Poor water clarity is generally perceived by many anglers to reduce success in this fishery.

The creel survey was stratified to estimate harvest between the Warren Ames Bridge and the sonar site to facilitate better estimates of total inriver return (the sonar estimate plus the harvest between the Warren Ames Bridge and the sonar site (Hammarstrom and Timmons In prep). However, the estimated harvest from the Warren Ames Bridge to the sonar site was negligible, with approximately one fish for the early run and 473 fish for the late run. For both runs this harvest was approximately $0.7 \%$ of the total inriver return of $14,963(\mathrm{SE}=236)$ for the early run and $54,881(\mathrm{SE}=914)$ for the late run (Bosch and Burwen In prep). This is very similar to the 1996 results when harvests of five fish for the early run and 304 fish for the late run were estimated between the Warren Ames Bridge and the sonar site. Although no estimates of harvest downstream of the sonar site exist prior to 1996, personal observation of this fishery has indicated much greater effort in that area during past years.



Figure 5.-Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon (bottom) and angler effort (top) during the early run, Kenai River, 1997.




Figure 6.-Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon (bottom) and angler effort (top) during the late run, Kenai River, 1997.


Figure 7.-Historic Kenai River Secchi transparency readings, 1987-1997.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Although the chinook harvest downstream of the sonar site was minimal, the creel survey should continue to estimate harvest in this river section for several years. This would allow a more accurate assessment of total inriver return. However, if harvest downstream of the sonar site continues to be a minor component of the total harvest after several years, it may not be necessary to continue to geographically stratify the creel survey.

The level of sampling of the new creel survey design should be modified to ensure that management objectives are accomplished. Increased sampling of the fishery during pivotal periods when historical data indicate that peak escapements and catches likely occur would improve the department's ability to project final harvests and escapements. Such a measure would further the department's ability to provide for continued opportunity while meeting goals for spawning escapements.
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# APPENDIX A. COUNTS OF BOAT ANGLERS DURING THE CREEL SURVEY OF THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON ON THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1997 

Appendix A1.-Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers, by stratum (A, B, C, D, E), during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

-continued-

Appendix A1.-Page 2 of 2.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Downstream = downstream of the chinook salmon sonar site to the Warren Ames Bridge; upstream = upstream of the chinook salmon sonar site to the Soldotna Bridge.
${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend.
${ }^{c}$ Angler counts beginning on the whole hour between $0400-0700$ hours (A), 0800-1100 hours (B), 1200-1500 hours (C), 1600-1900 hours (D), and 2000-2300 hours (E).
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 17-30 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

Appendix A2.-Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers, by stratum, during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

-continued-

## Appendix A2.-Page 2 of 2.

|  | Downstream ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Upstream ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Combined Strata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { Date } \quad \text { Type }{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $A^{\text {c }}$ | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 22-Jul Wd | 0 | 57 | 33 | 16 | 0 |  | 13 | 55 | 110 |  | 251 | 412 | 133 | 140 | 243 |  | 614 | 467 | 441 |  | 251 | 469 | 166 | 156 | 243 | 0 | 627 | 522 | 551 | 0 |
| 23-Jul Wd | 0 | 0 | 19 | 43 | 56 |  | 0 | 8 | 70 |  | 11 | 414 | 226 | 253 | 390 |  | 566 | 221 | 208 |  | 11 | 414 | 245 | 296 | 446 | 0 | 566 | 229 | 278 | 0 |
| 24-Jul Wd | Not Sampled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 -Jul Wd | 0 | 9 | 34 | 52 | 0 |  | 0 | 26 | 50 |  | 12 | 300 | 329 | 179 | 224 |  | 525 | 231 | 196 |  | 12 | 309 | 363 | 231 | 224 | 0 | 525 | 257 | 246 | 0 |
| 26-Jul We | 8 | 16 | 63 | 112 | 0 |  | 13 | 62 | 51 |  | 210 | 400 | 195 | 262 | 241 |  | 490 | 274 | 239 |  | 218 | 416 | 258 | 374 | 241 | 0 | 503 | 336 | 290 | 0 |
| 27-Jul We | 27 | 6 | 43 | 30 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 245 | 503 | 378 | 162 | 59 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 272 | 509 | 421 | 192 | 59 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 28 -Jul Wd ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 169 | 198 | 116 | 119 | 51 | 189 | 233 | 120 |  |  | 181 | 198 | 131 | 119 | 62 | 211 | 233 | 122 | 0 | 0 |
| 29-Jul Wd | Not Sa | ampled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-Jul Wd | 0 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 5 |  | 9 | 49 | 0 |  | 50 | 189 | 93 | 162 | 82 |  | 486 | 196 | 225 |  | 50 | 192 | 100 | 174 | 87 | 0 | 495 | 245 | 225 | 0 |
| 31-Jul Wd | Not Sampled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-Aug Wd ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 59 | 77 | 45 | 41 |  | 209 | 135 | 98 | 22 |  | 59 | 77 | 45 | 41 | 0 | 209 | 135 | 98 | 22 | 0 |
| 2-Aug We ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 110 | 95 | 53 | 39 | 30 | 116 | 78 | 29 | 10 | 25 | 110 | 95 | 53 | 39 | 30 | 116 | 78 | 29 | 10 |
| 3-Aug We ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 55 | 69 | 36 | 21 | 103 | 102 | 66 | 21 | 0 | 36 | 55 | 69 | 36 | 21 | 103 | 102 | 66 | 21 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Downstream $=$ downstream of the chinook salmon sonar site to the Warren Ames Bridge; upstream $=$ upstream of the chinook salmon sonar sitc to the Soldotna Bridge.
${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Angler counts beginning on the whole hour between 0400-0700 hours (A), 0800-1100 hours (B), 1200-1500 hours (C), 1600-1900 hours (D), and 2000-2300 hours (E).
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Normal Monday closure opened to fishing by emergency order, 21 July and 28 July.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Fishery extended by emergency order, 1-3 August. No restrictions on hours or days during which anglers, including guides, were allowed to fish.

APPENDIX B. DAILY SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR FISHING EFFORT, HARVEST RATE, AND CATCH RATE FOR ANGLERS INTERVIEWED DURING THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON IN THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1997

Appendix B1.-Number of completed-trip interviews (n), catch, and harvest for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Catch |  | Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| 17-May | We | 10 | 4.5 | 0.82 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.13 |
| 18-May | We | 11 | 4.3 | 0.54 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| 20-May | Wd | 53 | 4.1 | 0.37 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 0.07 |
| 24-May | We | 58 | 4.1 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.03 |
| 25-May | We | 103 | 3.5 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| 26-May | We | 46 | 4.9 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.05 |
| 29-May | Wd | 10 | 3.4 | 0.64 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 30-May | Wd | 26 | 3.1 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.08 |
| 31-May | We | 35 | 4.5 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.05 |
| 1-Jun | We | 73 | 3.0 | 0.17 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.05 |
| 4-Jun | Wd | 57 | 3.9 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| 7-Jun | We | 50 | 4.1 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.05 |
| 8-Jun | We | 48 | 3.5 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.06 |
| 10-Jun | Wd | 45 | 4.4 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.06 |
| 12-Jun | Wd | 21 | 3.7 | 0.69 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.10 |
| 14-Jun | We | 89 | 4.0 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| 15-Jun | We | 47 | 4.6 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| 18-Jun | Wd | 13 | 5.0 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20-Jun | Wd | 5 | 2.6 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 21-Jun | We | 34 | 4.9 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22-Jun | We | 47 | 3.4 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25-Jun | Wd | 12 | 3.3 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 28-Jun | We | 18 | 2.8 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 29-Jun | We | 9 | 3.2 | 0.54 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 17-30 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

Appendix B2.-Number of completed-trip interviews (n), catch, and harvest for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Catch |  | Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| 17-May | We | 12 | 5.8 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.11 |
| 18-May | We |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-May | Wd | 64 | 5.2 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.06 | 0.45 | 0.06 |
| 24-May | We | 22 | 4.7 | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| 25-May | We | 40 | 5.2 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.07 |
| 26-May | We | 37 | 4.7 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.08 |
| 29-May | Wd | 28 | 5.7 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.09 |
| 30-May | Wd | 20 | 4.5 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.11 |
| 31-May | We | 45 | 4.1 | 0.35 | 0.51 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 0.07 |
| 1-Jun | We | 35 | 4.4 | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| 4-Jun | Wd | 25 | 6.0 | 0.72 | 0.44 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.10 |
| 7-Jun | We | 14 | 5.9 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.10 |
| 8-Jun | We | 37 | 4.4 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.08 |
| 10-Jun | Wd | 41 | 4.7 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.07 |
| 12-Jun | Wd | 35 | 3.8 | 0.39 | 0.66 | 0.10 | 0.57 | 0.08 |
| 14-Jun | We | 45 | 4.8 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.07 |
| 15-Jun | We | 43 | 5.7 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.06 |
| 18-Jun | Wd | 20 | 5.3 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20-Jun | Wd | 29 | 7.1 | 0.41 | 0.83 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 21-Jun | We | 43 | 5.4 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 22-Jun | We | 60 | 5.6 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25-Jun | Wd | 27 | 4.9 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 28-Jun | We | 17 | 5.1 | 0.74 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 29-Jun | We | 20 | 5.6 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 1730 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

Appendix B3.-Number of completed-trip interviews (n), catch, and harvest for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Catch |  | Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| 1-Jul | Wd | 53 | 5.0 | 0.44 | 0.21 | 0.060 | 0.19 | 0.050 |
| 4-Jul | We | 54 | 4.9 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.060 | 0.17 | 0.050 |
| 5-Jul | We | 89 | 3.7 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.040 | 0.16 | 0.040 |
| 6-Jul | Wc | 126 | 3.4 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.040 | 0.19 | 0.040 |
| 8-Jul | Wd | 53 | 3.6 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.060 | 0.26 | 0.060 |
| 9 -Jul | Wd | 32 | 3.8 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.070 | 0.16 | 0.070 |
| 12-Jul | We | 58 | 4.7 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.060 | 0.14 | 0.050 |
| 13-Jul | We | 64 | 4.4 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.050 | 0.17 | 0.050 |
| 15-Jul | Wd | 96 | 3.7 | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.050 | 0.09 | 0.030 |
| 17-Jul | Wd | 82 | 3.7 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.050 | 0.16 | 0.040 |
| 19-Jul | We | 59 | 4.1 | 0.32 | 0.12 | 0.040 | 0.08 | 0.040 |
| 20-Jul | We | 69 | 4.0 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.040 | 0.12 | 0.040 |
| 21-Jul | Wd | 62 | 4.0 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.030 | 0.05 | 0.030 |
| 22-Jul | Wd | 87 | 4.6 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.030 | 0.08 | 0.030 |
| 23-Jul | Wd | 53 | 4.2 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.020 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| 25-Jul | Wd | 71 | 3.7 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.040 | 0.10 | 0.040 |
| 26-Jul | We | 33 | 3.6 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.080 | 0.27 | 0.080 |
| 27-Jul | We | 78 | 4.1 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.060 | 0.18 | 0.040 |
| 28-Jul | Wd | 22 | 4.1 | 0.62 | 0.14 | 0.070 | 0.14 | 0.070 |
| 30-Jul | Wd | 27 | 5.0 | 0.57 | 0.15 | 0.070 | 0.15 | 0.070 |
| 1-Aug | Wd | 15 | 3.4 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| 2-Aug | We | 4 | 8.0 | 1.73 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| 3-Aug | We | 14 | 6.8 | 0.90 | 0.07 | 0.070 | 0.07 | 0.070 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

Appendix B4.-Number of completed-trip interviews (n), catch, and harvest for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Catch |  | Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | Mean | SE |
| 1-Jul | Wd | 119 | 4.7 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.040 | 0.34 | 0.040 |
| 4-Jul | We | 76 | 5.4 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.050 | 0.21 | 0.050 |
| 5-Jul | We | 69 | 4.5 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.060 | 0.33 | 0.060 |
| 6-Jul | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8-Jul | Wd | 140 | 5.2 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.040 | 0.29 | 0.040 |
| 9-Jul | Wd | 124 | 5.4 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.040 | 0.21 | 0.040 |
| 12-Jul | We | 57 | 5.3 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.060 | 0.30 | 0.060 |
| 13-Jul | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Jul | Wd | 102 | 5.4 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.050 | 0.28 | 0.040 |
| 17-Jul | Wd | 75 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.060 | 0.29 | 0.050 |
| 19-Jul | We | 60 | 5.7 | 0.31 | 0.15 | 0.050 | 0.13 | 0.040 |
| 20-Jul | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21-Jul | Wd | 51 | 4.6 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.050 | 0.16 | 0.050 |
| 22-Jul | Wd | 48 | 5.4 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.060 | 0.19 | 0.060 |
| 23-Jul | Wd | 132 | 5.5 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.040 | 0.14 | 0.030 |
| 25-Jul | Wd | 54 | 5.1 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.060 | 0.31 | 0.060 |
| 26-Jul | We | 54 | 5.2 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.050 | 0.15 | 0.050 |
| 27-Jul | We | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28-Jul | Wd | 46 | 5.7 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.080 | 0.22 | 0.060 |
| 30-Jul | Wd | 32 | 5.9 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 0.080 | 0.28 | 0.080 |
| 1-Aug | Wd | 28 | 5.6 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.050 | 0.07 | 0.050 |
| 2-Aug | We | 35 | 5.1 | 0.30 | 0.17 | 0.060 | 0.14 | 0.060 |
| 3-Aug | We | 10 | 5.2 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.10 | 0.100 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Sunday closed to fishing for guided anglers by regulation.

# APPENDIX C. EFFORT, CATCH AND HARVEST OF CHINOOK SALMON ESTIMATED DURING THE CREEL SURVEY OF THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON ON THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1997 

Appendix C1.-Effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by unguided boat anglers and other summary statistics estimated during each sampled day of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Counts | Mean <br> Count | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Effort (hours) |  | Catch |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | SE | Total | SE | CPUE | SE | Total | SE | HPUE | SE |
| 5/17/97 | We | 5 | 25 | 10 | 508 | 141 | 21 | 16 | 0.042 | 0.032 | 21 | 16 | 0.042 | 0.032 |
| 5/18/97 | We | 5 | 41 | 11 | 824 | 146 | 17 | 17 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 17 | 17 | 0.021 | 0.021 |
| 5/20/97 | Wd | 5 | 21 | 53 | 412 | 101 | 53 | 17 | 0.129 | 0.025 | 44 | 13 | 0.106 | 0.020 |
| 5/24/97 | We | 5 | 44 | 58 | 876 | 36 | 15 | 7 | 0.017 | 0.008 | 15 | 7 | 0.017 | 0.008 |
| 5/25/97 | We | 5 | 89 | 103 | 1,784 | 284 | 55 | 18 | 0.031 | 0.009 | 45 | 17 | 0.025 | 0.008 |
| 5/26/97 | We | 5 | 58 | 46 | 1,164 | 309 | 36 | 17 | 0.031 | 0.012 | 36 | 17 | 0.031 | 0.012 |
| 5/29/97 | Wd | 5 | 38 | 10 | 756 | 85 | 20 | 23 | 0.027 | 0.030 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 5/30/97 | Wd | 5 | 37 | 26 | 744 | 102 | 71 | 28 | 0.096 | 0.035 | 44 | 22 | 0.060 | 0.028 |
| 5/31/97 | We | 5 | 71 | 35 | 1,412 | 343 | 35 | 20 | 0.025 | 0.013 | 35 | 20 | 0.025 | 0.013 |
| 6/1/97 | We | 5 | 95 | 73 | 1,896 | 311 | 227 | 57 | 0.120 | 0.023 | 165 | 46 | 0.087 | 0.020 |
| 6/4/97 | Wd | 5 | 66 | 57 | 1,324 | 214 | 59 | 21 | 0.045 | 0.014 | 42 | 17 | 0.031 | 0.012 |
| 6/7/97 | We | 5 | 71 | 50 | 1,420 | 471 | 48 | 23 | 0.034 | 0.013 | 41 | 21 | 0.029 | 0.012 |
| 6/8/97 | We | 4 | 78 | 48 | 1,565 | 147 | 92 | 32 | 0.059 | 0.020 | 83 | 28 | 0.053 | 0.017 |
| 6/10/97 | Wd | 5 | 69 | 45 | 1,380 | 239 | 126 | 37 | 0.091 | 0.022 | 70 | 23 | 0.051 | 0.014 |
| 6/12/97 | Wd | 5 | 61 | 21 | 1,220 | 262 | 91 | 43 | 0.075 | 0.032 | 77 | 38 | 0.063 | 0.029 |
| 6/14/97 | We | 5 | 137 | 89 | 2,736 | 558 | 92 | 31 | 0.034 | 0.009 | 69 | 26 | 0.025 | 0.008 |
| 6/15/97 | We | 5 | 101 | 47 | 2,016 | 307 | 28 | 16 | 0.014 | 0.008 | 18 | 13 | 0.009 | 0.007 |
| 6/18/97 | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5 | 25 | 13 | 492 | 180 | 53 | 23 | 0.107 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 6/20/97 | Wd | 5 | 18 | 5 | 364 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 6/21/97 | We | 5 | 55 | 34 | 1,092 | 302 | 7 | 7 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 6/22/97 | We | 5 | 41 | 47 | 824 | 284 | 5 | 5 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 6/25/97 | Wd | 5 | 17 | 12 | 344 | 100 | 8 | 9 | 0.024 | 0.026 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 6/28/97 | We | 5 | 22 | 18 | 444 | 103 | 9 | 9 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 6/29/97 | We | 5 | 23 | 9 | 468 | 120 | 18 | 17 | 0.038 | 0.035 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Completed-trip interviews only.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 17-30 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

Appendix C2.-Effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by guided boat anglers and other summary statistics estimated during each sampled day of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Completed-trip interviews only.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 17-30 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Inferential values for effort, harvest and catch based on ratio of guided and unguided CPUE, HPUE for early run.

Appendix C3.-Effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by unguided boat anglers and other summary statistics estimated during each sampled day of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Counts | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Count } \end{aligned}$ | Number of Interviews | Effort (hours) |  | Catch |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date |  |  |  |  | Total | SE | Total | SE | CPUE | SE | Total | SE | HPUE | SE |
|  | 7/1/97 | Wd | 5 | 129 | 53 | 2,572 | 302 | 106 | 36 | 0.041 | 0.013 | 97 | 31 | 0.038 | 0.011 |
|  | 7/4/97 | We | 5 | 142 | 54 | 2,848 | 359 | 127 | 41 | 0.045 | 0.013 | 95 | 35 | 0.033 | 0.012 |
|  | 7/5/97 | We | 5 | 178 | 89 | 3,556 | 885 | 203 | 65 | 0.057 | 0.012 | 150 | 52 | 0.042 | 0.010 |
|  | 7/6/97 | We | 5 | 241 | 126 | 4,828 | 566 | 355 | 79 | 0.074 | 0.014 | 266 | 63 | 0.055 | 0.011 |
|  | 7/8/97 | Wd | 5 | 232 | 53 | 4,648 | 496 | 364 | 103 | 0.078 | 0.021 | 340 | 100 | 0.073 | 0.020 |
|  | 7/9/97 | Wd | 5 | 165 | 32 | 3,308 | 478 | 161 | 69 | 0.049 | 0.020 | 134 | 63 | 0.040 | 0.018 |
|  | 7/12/97 | We | 5 | 235 | 58 | 4,708 | 803 | 172 | 65 | 0.037 | 0.013 | 137 | 54 | 0.029 | 0.010 |
|  | 7/13/97 | We | 5 | 277 | 64 | 5,544 | 965 | 297 | 89 | 0.054 | 0.013 | 217 | 74 | 0.039 | 0.012 |
|  | 7/15/97 | Wd | 5 | 347 | 96 | 6,940 | 750 | 316 | 98 | 0.046 | 0.013 | 177 | 63 | 0.025 | 0.009 |
|  | 7/17/97 | Wd | 5 | 220 | 82 | 4,400 | 425 | 277 | 72 | 0.063 | 0.015 | 190 | 57 | 0.043 | 0.012 |
|  | 7/19/97 | We | 5 | 353 | 59 | 7,052 | 845 | 203 | 81 | 0.029 | 0.011 | 145 | 69 | 0.021 | 0.010 |
|  | 7/20/97 | We | 5 | 360 | 69 | 7,204 | 1,065 | 208 | 79 | 0.029 | 0.010 | 208 | 79 | 0.029 | 0.010 |
| $\pm$ | 7/21/97 | Wd | 5 | 218 | 62 | 4,368 | 324 | 87 | 40 | 0.020 | 0.009 | 52 | 31 | 0.012 | 0.007 |
|  | 7/22/97 | Wd | 5 | 257 | 87 | 5,140 | 1,212 | 127 | 49 | 0.025 | 0.008 | 89 | 39 | 0.017 | 0.007 |
|  | 7/23/97 | Wd | 5 | 282 | 53 | 5,648 | 1,470 | 25 | 25 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | 7/25/97 | Wd | 5 | 228 | 71 | 4,556 | 1,042 | 137 | 56 | 0.030 | 0.011 | 119 | 52 | 0.026 | 0.010 |
|  | 7/26/97 | We | 5 | 301 | 33 | 6,028 | 976 | 486 | 190 | 0.081 | 0.029 | 437 | 177 | 0.073 | 0.027 |
|  | 7/27/97 | We | 5 | 291 | 78 | 5,812 | 1,158 | 343 | 117 | 0.059 | 0.017 | 252 | 86 | 0.043 | 0.012 |
|  | 7/28/97 | Wd | 5 | 138 | 22 | 2,764 | 286 | 86 | 57 | 0.031 | 0.020 | 86 | 57 | 0.031 | 0.020 |
|  | 7/30/97 | Wd | 5 | 121 | 27 | 2,412 | 646 | 69 | 40 | 0.029 | 0.015 | 69 | 40 | 0.029 | 0.015 |
|  | 8/1/97 | Wd | 4 | 56 | 15 | 1,110 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | 8/2/97 | We | 5 | 64 | 4 | 1,288 | 307 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | 8/3/97 | We | 5 | 43 | 14 | 868 | 137 | 8 | 9 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 8 | 9 | 0.010 | 0.011 |

[^2]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Completed-trip interviews only.

Appendix C4.-Effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by guided boat anglers and other summary statistics estimated during each sampled day of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1997.
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| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Counts | Mean <br> Count | Number of bInterviews | Effort (hours) |  | Catch |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | SE | Total | SE | CPUE | SE | Total | SE | HPUE | SE |
| 7/1/97 | Wd | 3 | 414 | 119 | 4,972 | 1,051 | 374 | 96 | 0.075 | 0.011 | 356 | 93 | 0.072 | 0.011 |
| 7/4/97 | We | 3 | 248 | 76 | 2,980 | 949 | 145 | 55 | 0.049 | 0.010 | 115 | 46 | 0.039 | 0.010 |
| 7/5/97 | We | 3 | 323 | 69 | 3,876 | 282 | 300 | 65 | 0.077 | 0.016 | 288 | 64 | 0.074 | 0.016 |
| 7/6/97 | $\mathrm{We}^{\text {c }}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/8/97 | Wd | 3 | 478 | 140 | 5,732 | 1,291 | 394 | 104 | 0.069 | 0.010 | 315 | 85 | 0.055 | 0.009 |
| 7/9/97 | Wd | 3 | 419 | 124 | 5,032 | 756 | 248 | 60 | 0.049 | 0.009 | 195 | 49 | 0.039 | 0.008 |
| 7/12/97 | We | 3 | 407 | 57 | 4,880 | 852 | 270 | 82 | 0.055 | 0.014 | 270 | 82 | 0.055 | 0.014 |
| 7/13/97 | We ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/15/97 | Wd | 3 | 536 | 102 | 6,428 | 418 | 421 | 73 | 0.066 | 0.011 | 339 | 66 | 0.053 | 0.010 |
| 7/17/97 | Wd | 3 | 504 | 75 | 6,044 | 319 | 429 | 86 | 0.071 | 0.014 | 349 | 79 | 0.058 | 0.013 |
| 7/19/97 | We | 3 | 470 | 60 | 5,644 | 955 | 147 | 55 | 0.026 | 0.009 | 130 | 51 | 0.023 | 0.008 |
| 7/20/97 | We ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/21/97 | Wd | 3 | 264 | 51 | 3,172 | 436 | 107 | 40 | 0.034 | 0.012 | 107 | 40 | 0.034 | 0.012 |
| 7/22/97 | Wd | 3 | 567 | 48 | 6,800 | 377 | 285 | 86 | 0.042 | 0.012 | 233 | 79 | 0.034 | 0.012 |
| 7/23/97 | Wd | 3 | 358 | 132 | 4,292 | 1,180 | 160 | 53 | 0.037 | 0.007 | 113 | 40 | 0.026 | 0.006 |
| 7/25/97 | Wd | 3 | 343 | 54 | 4,112 | 929 | 249 | 82 | 0.061 | 0.015 | 249 | 82 | 0.061 | 0.015 |
| 7/26/97 | We | 3 | 376 | 54 | 4,516 | 600 | 143 | 51 | 0.032 | 0.011 | 127 | 48 | 0.028 | 0.010 |
| 7/27/97 | We | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 7/28/97 | Wd | 3 | 189 | 46 | 2,264 | 392 | 120 | 37 | 0.053 | 0.014 | 85 | 30 | 0.038 | 0.012 |
| 7/30/97 | Wd | 3 | 322 | 32 | 3,860 | 869 | 179 | 72 | 0.046 | 0.016 | 179 | 72 | 0.046 | 0.016 |
| 8/1/97 | Wd | 4 | 116 | 28 | 2,320 | 459 | 29 | 22 | 0.012 | 0.009 | 29 | 22 | 0.012 | 0.009 |
| 8/2/97 | We | 5 | 53 | 35 | 1,052 | 341 | 35 | 18 | 0.033 | 0.014 | 29 | 16 | 0.028 | 0.013 |
| 8/3/97 | We | 5 | 58 | 10 | 1,168 | 194 | 21 | 23 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 21 | 23 | 0.018 | 0.019 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Completed-trip interviews only.
${ }^{c}$ Sundays closed to fishing for guided anglers by regulation.

# APPENDIX D. ESTIMATES OF CHINOOK SALMON PASSAGE IN THE KENAI RIVER DETERMINED BY SONAR DURING THE EARLY AND LATE RUNS, 1997 

Appendix D1.-Daily estimates of chinook salmon passage during the early run as determined by split-beam sonar, Kenai River, 1997.

| Date | Daily Estimate | Cumulative Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-May | 114 | 114 |
| 17-May | 99 | 213 |
| 18-May | 93 | 306 |
| 19-May | 165 | 471 |
| 20-May | 84 | 555 |
| 21-May | 129 | 684 |
| 22-May | 114 | 798 |
| 23-May | 162 | 960 |
| 24-May | 138 | 1,098 |
| 25-May | 165 | 1,263 |
| 26-May | 220 | 1,483 |
| 27-May | 325 | 1,808 |
| 28-May | 317 | 2,125 |
| 29-May | 288 | 2,413 |
| 30-May | 350 | 2,763 |
| 31-May | 318 | 3,081 |
| 1-Jun | 213 | 3,294 |
| 2-Jun | 241 | 3,535 |
| 3-Jun | 376 | 3,911 |
| 4-Jun | 324 | 4,235 |
| 5-Jun | 427 | 4,662 |
| 6-Jun | 327 | 4,989 |
| 7-Jun | 591 | 5,580 |
| 8-Jun | 441 | 6,021 |
| 9-Jun | 391 | 6,412 |
| 10-Jun | 527 | 6,939 |
| 11-Jun | 512 | 7,451 |
| 12-Jun | 537 | 7,988 |
| 13-Jun | 681 | 8,669 |
| 14-Jun | 424 | 9,092 |
| 15-Jun | 318 | 9,410 |
| 16-Jun | 348 | 9,758 |
| 17-Jun | 405 | 10,163 |
| 18-Jun | 315 | 10,478 |
| 19-Jun | 399 | 10,877 |
| 20-Jun | 408 | 11,285 |
| 21-Jun | 252 | 11,537 |
| 22-Jun | 390 | 11,928 |
| 23-Jun | 225 | 12,153 |
| 24-Jun | 285 | 12,438 |
| 25-Jun | 332 | 12,770 |
| 26-Jun | 381 | 13,151 |
| 27-Jun | 363 | 13,514 |
| 28-Jun | 297 | 13,811 |
| 29-Jun | 570 | 14,381 |
| 30-Jun | 582 | 14,963 |

Source: Bosch and Burwen In prep.

Appendix D2.-Daily estimates of chinook salmon passage during the late run as determined by split-beam sonar, Kenai River, 1997.

|  | Daily <br> Estimate | Cumulative <br> Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  |  |
| 1-Jul | 486 | 486 |
| 2-Jul | 642 | 1,128 |
| 3-Jul | 600 | 1,728 |
| 4-Jul | 633 | 2,361 |
| 5-Jul | 657 | 3,018 |
| 6-Jul | 627 | 3,645 |
| 7-Jul | 1,158 | 4,803 |
| 8-Jul | 1,221 | 6,025 |
| 9-Jul | 1,618 | 7,643 |
| 10-Jul | 3,486 | 11,129 |
| 11-Jul | 5,649 | 16,778 |
| 12-Jul | 4,497 | 21,275 |
| 13-Jul | 5,373 | 26,648 |
| 14-Jul | 2,031 | 28,679 |
| 1-Jul | 4,042 | 32,721 |
| 16-Jul | 3,420 | 36,141 |
| 17-Jul | 4,584 | 40,725 |
| 18-Jul | 2,334 | 43,059 |
| 19-Jul | 1,146 | 44,205 |
| 20-Jul | 1,578 | 45,783 |
| 21-Jul | 894 | 46,677 |
| 22-Jul | 1,840 | 48,517 |
| 23-Jul | 1,441 | 49,958 |
| 24-Jul | 1,080 | 51,038 |
| 25-Jul | 532 | 51,570 |
| 26-Jul | 52,089 |  |
| 27-Jul | 52,527 |  |
| 28-Jul | 438 | 52,860 |
| 29-Jul | 333 | 53,260 |
| 30-Jul | 401 | 53,710 |
| 31-Jul | 450 | 54,130 |
| 1-Aug | 420 | 54,668 |
| 2-Aug | 247 | 54,881 |
| 3-Aug | 291 |  |
|  | 213 |  |
|  |  |  |

Source: Bosch and Burwen In prep.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mideye-to-fork of tail.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mideye-to-fork of tail.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday; $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

